



1. Project Data :
OEDID: L3361
Project ID: P009285
Project Name: Kolubara B Thermal Power and Lignite Mine
Country: Yugoslavia
Sector: Thermal
L/C Number: Loan 3361-YU
Partners involved :
Prepared by: Alain A. Barbu, OEDST
Reviewed by: Yves J. Albouy
Group Manager: Roger H. Slade
Date Posted: 02/27/1998

2. Project Objectives, Financing, Costs and Components :
 The project, supported by a Bank loan of US\$ 300 million, was approved in FY91. The loan was never made effective due to UN sanctions on Yugoslavia. The objectives of the project, with a total cost of about US\$ 1.3 billion, were to: (i) meet the growth in demand for electricity in Serbia most economically, and supply electricity to other republics; (ii) facilitate the rehabilitation of aging thermal generating units in Serbia; (iii) introduce a systematic air quality monitoring and study program in the Kolubara area; and (iv) improve the financial performance of the Elektroprivreda of Serbia and the Electricity Generation Company of Serbia. The project comprised: (i) construction of a 7 million ton per year lignite mine at Tamnava West in Serbia; (ii) construction of a 700 MW (2X350 MW) mine-head thermal power plant and auxiliary facilities at the Kolubara B site located at the north end of the Tamnava West mine site; (iii) urgent maintenance/rehabilitation of thermal power plants in Serbia; and (iv) technical assistance.

3. Achievement of Relevant Objectives :
 Not applicable.

4. Significant Achievements :
 Not applicable.

5. Significant Shortcomings :
 Not applicable.

6. Ratings:	ICR	OED Review	Reason for Disagreement /Comments
Outcome:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Institutional Dev .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Sustainability:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Bank Performance:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Borrower Perf .:	Not Rated	Not Rated	
Quality of ICR:		Satisfactory	

7. Lessons of Broad Applicability :
 The outbreak of the war could not have been anticipated at the time of project approval.

8. Audit Recommended? Yes No

9. Comments on Quality of ICR :

A single-page completion note was prepared, which is appropriate under the circumstances. It is not clear, however, why this note was not issued six years ago.