

**INTEGRATED SAFEGUARDS DATASHEET
APPRAISAL STAGE**

I. Basic Information

Date prepared/updated: 08/06/2010

Report No.: AC5630

1. Basic Project Data

Country: Sudan	Project ID: P122502	
Project Name: Community Development Fund Additional Financing		
Task Team Leader: Endashaw Tadesse Gossa		
Estimated Appraisal Date:	Estimated Board Date: January 16, 2006	
Managing Unit: AFTSP	Lending Instrument: Emergency Recovery Loan	
Sector: Other social services (100%)		
Theme: Conflict prevention and post-conflict reconstruction (100%)		
SPF Amount (US\$m):	0.00	
GEF Amount (US\$m.):	0.00	
PCF Amount (US\$m.):	0.00	
Other financing amounts by source:		
Borrower	10.00	
<u>Multi-donor Trust Fund for North Sudan</u>	<u>10.00</u>	
	20.00	
Environmental Category: B - Partial Assessment		
Simplified Processing	Simple <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Repeater <input type="checkbox"/>
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency Recovery) or OP 8.00 (Rapid Response to Crises and Emergencies)	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

2. Project Objectives

The Project Development Objective (PDO) is to increase access to priority economic and social services and infrastructure in war-affected and underdeveloped areas of Northern Sudan, including the three areas.

3. Project Description

The project will have two components.

Component 1. Community Development Subprojects is designed to support pro-poor community development priorities, identified by the community through a participatory process, with particular emphasis on the access to and quality of basic education, health and water supply services. It finances the building and renovation of basic education, health and water supply facilities; promotes adult literacy and child immunization; and facilitates the creation of youth and women's centers and other social services directed at the poor. This component also supports innovative activities such as village solar energy and environmental protection. Communities and the organizations that represent them would take the lead in implementation and be supported by localities, development partners, UN agencies and the private sector. This community-level window could also

serve to support the return and reintegration process#particularly related to social services.

The maximum size of a typical subproject under this component is US\$100,000 equivalent, and all subproject proposals have to satisfy specific selection and eligibility criteria as stipulated in the project#s Operational Manual (OM). A key part of the OM focuses on selecting investments where the existing conditions are predisposed to such desired outcomes as improved access to health services or higher educational level. These enabling conditions include working in areas where women can meaningfully participate in the conception and selection of subprojects (e.g., by participating on committees) and benefit from the outputs. Given the context of post-conflict, such conditions could also include working in areas and on subprojects that avoid stimulating new conflict or having benefits uniquely and exclusively captured by specific groups.

Component 2: Capacity Building, Monitoring and Evaluation, and Project Management finances: (i) activities that build the capacity of the CDF project implementing entities, communities and their organizations, local governmental and non-governmental organizations directly involved in the project planning and implementation process; (ii) activities that monitor the implementation of the project and evaluate its impact; and (iii) project management costs.

4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis

Geographically, the project will cover four northern states: South Kordofan, North Kordofan Blue Nile, and Kassala and Abyei area. The project will target all of the localities in the four states through its 29 Locality Implementation Units.

5. Environmental and Social Safeguards Specialists

Ms Yasmin Tayyab (AFTCS)

Mr Abdelmonem Osman Kardash (AFTEN)

6. Safeguard Policies Triggered	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01)	X	
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)		X
Forests (OP/BP 4.36)		X
Pest Management (OP 4.09)		X
Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11)		X
Indigenous Peoples (OP/BP 4.10)		X
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	X	
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)		X
Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP 7.50)		X
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP 7.60)		X

II. Key Safeguard Policy Issues and Their Management

A. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues

1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts: The original project triggered OP 4.01 Environmental Assessment. The Community Development Subprojects component may have potential negative environmental impacts and social risks related to construction for improving and/or rehabilitating community social and economic infrastructure. Under this component, the project would finance the rehabilitation of schools, health and cultural facilities, community potable water supply and community centers. Given the small scale of these community subprojects, predicted negative environmental impacts are unlikely to be significant, irreversible or large in scale. Potential negative impacts will be mainly related to construction activities, including interference with other infrastructures, disrupted access to residential and/or other buildings, change in the landscape (loss of vegetation), noise nuisance, air pollution due to dust formation, safety hazard from construction activities and inappropriate disposal of excavated materials and construction debris. Appropriate mitigation measures and their management are addressed under the Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) developed for the project. An Environmental Guideline was developed and included in the CDF Operations Manual, and all of the projects financed under Slice I and II were screened for environmental criteria by the LIU staff. As cited above, the nature of the works have precluded any identification of environmental issues so far.

The experience of CDF implementation shows that, the participatory and inclusive approach adopted by the project and the very strong emphasis devoted to building the capacity of communities, local community organizations and their partners is producing positive social development outcomes. One of the achievements of the project is the establishment and/or strengthening of community structures that are well organized, proactive and possess the skills to identify needs, prepare and implement projects, and mobilize the participation and contribution of the community. The community-based structures were able to enhance the inclusion of disadvantaged members of the community such as returnees, women, girls and youth in the development process. They have also played a key role in conflict resolution and creating cohesion within some of the conflict-prone communities.

No conflict has arisen regarding the availability of land for the construction of community services. Nor did reports and consultations in the target localities reveal any problems related to land acquisition for the suggested subprojects. In fact, no land has been acquired vis-à-vis involuntary resettlement in any of the subprojects.

Both the Resettlement Policy Framework and the Environmental Guidelines will be applicable to the projects financed by the Community Development Fund under Additional Financing.

2. Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area:

No long-term negative impacts are expected. To the contrary, the improvement of basic social and economic infrastructure throughout the 29 CDF localities is expected to have substantial beneficial impacts on the environment.

3. Describe any project alternatives (if relevant) considered to help avoid or minimize adverse impacts.

Not applicable

4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard policy issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. All Locality Implementation Units (LIUs) have a focal point that has been trained and is responsible for ensuring that all subprojects are screened according to the procedures laid out in the ESMF.

The Directors of the LIUs and the Social Mobilizers are externally recruited specialists. They will be retained from the original project, and thus benefit from three years of experience devising community development plans and refining the skills to support an inclusive and participatory development process. In addition, the Locality Steering Committees include representatives of CBOs and NGOs. The technical, financial, environmental and social issues associated with the project will be discussed in meetings with representatives of the communities, localities, CBOs, NGOs and various other stakeholders.

During the implementation of the project, widespread participation and consultation at the central level will be ensured through the Project Steering Committee (PSC).

5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people. The key stakeholders of the Community Development Fund include the MoFNE, State governments, targeted localities, CBOs, NGOs and community members. All project areas include pastoralists, urban dwellers, agro-pastoralists, nomadic tribes and internally displaced persons. The latter includes both groups and individuals who do not intend to return home and who therefore would be included in eligible projects and in consultation arrangements if and where they are affected.

Consultations will be held to facilitate stakeholder involvement and awareness at all levels of project implementation and to ensure that the information required for decision making is available to all interested parties. As stated above, the Social Mobilizers have an record of successfully applying the inclusive and participatory process specific to CDF and their work is reinforced by the inclusion of CBO and NGO representatives on the LSCs. The technical, financial, environmental and social issues associated with the project will be discussed in meetings with representatives of the communities, localities, CBOs, NGOs and various other stakeholders.

During the implementation of the project, widespread participation and consultation at the central level will be ensured through the Project Steering Committee (PSC).

B. Disclosure Requirements Date

Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes
Date of receipt by the Bank	03/01/2006
Date of "in-country" disclosure	03/23/2006
Date of submission to InfoShop	08/05/2010
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	

Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	Yes
Date of receipt by the Bank	05/17/2007
Date of "in-country" disclosure	05/24/2007
Date of submission to InfoShop	08/05/2010

Indigenous Peoples Plan/Planning Framework:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	
Date of receipt by the Bank	
Date of "in-country" disclosure	
Date of submission to InfoShop	

Pest Management Plan:

Was the document disclosed prior to appraisal?	
Date of receipt by the Bank	
Date of "in-country" disclosure	
Date of submission to InfoShop	

*** If the project triggers the Pest Management and/or Physical Cultural Resources, the respective issues are to be addressed and disclosed as part of the Environmental Assessment/Audit/or EMP.**

If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why:

C. Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (to be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)

OP/BP/GP 4.01 - Environment Assessment

Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	No
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit or Sector Manager (SM) review and approve the EA report?	No
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	No

OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement

Has a resettlement plan/abbreviated plan/policy framework/process framework (as appropriate) been prepared?	Yes
If yes, then did the Regional unit responsible for safeguards or Sector Manager review the plan?	Yes

The World Bank Policy on Disclosure of Information

Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World Bank's Infoshop?	Yes
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?	Yes

All Safeguard Policies

Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes
Have costs related to safeguard policy measures been included in the project cost?	Yes
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures related to safeguard policies?	Yes
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?	Yes

D. Approvals

<i>Signed and submitted by:</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Date</i>
Task Team Leader:	Mr Endashaw Tadesse Gossa	06/23/2010
Environmental Specialist:	Mr Abdelmonem Osman Kardash	06/24/2010
Social Development Specialist Additional Environmental and/or Social Development Specialist(s):	Ms Yasmin Tayyab	06/25/2010
<i>Approved by:</i>		
Regional Safeguards Coordinator: Comments:		
Sector Manager: Comments:	Ms Lynne D. Sherburne-Benz	08/05/2010