

DOING BUSINESS 2013

Smarter Regulations for Small and Medium-Size Enterprises

20072012 2004 20062013

COMPARING BUSINESS REGULATIONS FOR DOMESTIC FIRMS IN 185 ECONOMIES

10TH EDITION

© 2013 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank

1818 H Street NW Washington, DC 20433 Telephone: 202-473-1000 Internet: www.worldbank.org

Some rights reserved. 1 2 3 4 15 14 13 12

A copublication of The World Bank and the International Finance Corporation.

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. Note that The World Bank does not necessarily own each component of the content included in the work. The World Bank therefore does not warrant that the use of the content contained in the work will not infringe on the rights of third parties. The risk of claims resulting from such infringement rests solely with you.

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Nothing herein shall constitute or be considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

Rights and Permissions



This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license (CC BY 3.0) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0. Under the Creative Commons Attribution license, you are free to copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt this work, including for commercial purposes, under the following conditions:

Attribution—Please cite the work as follows: World Bank. 2013. *Doing Business 2013: Smarter Regulations for Small and Medium-Size Enterprises.* Washington, DC: World Bank Group. DOI: 10.1596/978-0-8213-9615-5. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0

Translations—If you create a translation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution: This translation was not created by The World Bank and should not be considered an official World Bank translation. The World Bank shall not be liable for any content or error in this translation.

All queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to the Office of the Publisher, The World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2625; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

Additional copies of all 10 editions of Doing Business may be purchased at www.doingbusiness.org.


ISBN (paper): 978-0-8213-9615-5 ISBN (electronic): 978-0-8213-9624-7 DOI: 10.1596/978-0-8213-9615-5 ISSN: 1729-2638

Design and Layout: Corporate Visions, Inc.



DOING BUSINESS 2013

Smarter Regulations for Small and Medium-Size Enterprises



COMPARING BUSINESS REGULATIONS FOR DOMESTIC FIRMS IN 185 ECONOMIES

RESOURCES ON THE DOING BUSINESS WEBSITE

Current features

News on the *Doing Business* project http://www.doingbusiness.org

Rankings

How economies rank—from 1 to 185 http://www.doingbusiness.org/rankings/

Data

All the data for 185 economies—topic rankings, indicator values, lists of regulatory procedures and details underlying indicators http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/

Reports

Access to *Doing Business reports* as well as subnational and regional reports, reform case studies and customized economy and regional profiles

http://www.doingbusiness.org/reports/

Methodology

The methodologies and research papers underlying Doing Business

http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology/

Research

Abstracts of papers on *Doing Business* topics and related policy issues

http://www.doingbusiness.org/research/

Doing Business reforms

Short summaries of DB2013 business regulation reforms, lists of reforms since DB2008 and a ranking simulation tool http://www.doingbusiness.org/reforms/

Historical data

Customized data sets since DB2004 http://www.doingbusiness.org/custom-query/

Law library

Online collection of business laws and regulations relating to business and gender issues

http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library/ http://wbl.worldbank.org/

Contributors

More than 9,600 specialists in 185 economies who participate in *Doing Business* http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doing-business/

NEW! Entrepreneurship data

Data on business density for 130 economies http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/ entrepreneurship/

More to come

Coming soon—information on good practices and data on transparency and on the distance to frontier



Contents

- v Preface
- 1 Executive summary
- 15 About Doing Business: measuring for impact

Case studies

- 26 Colombia: sustaining reforms over time
- 32 Latvia: maintaining a reform state of mind
- 37 Rwanda: fostering prosperity by promoting entrepreneurship
- 42 APEC: sharing goals and experience
- 47 Does *Doing Business* matter for foreign direct investment?
- 51 How transparent is business regulation around the world?

Topic notes

- 56 Starting a business
- 60 Dealing with construction permits
- 64 Getting electricity
- 68 Registering property
- 72 Getting credit
- 77 Protecting investors
- 81 Paying taxes
- 86 Trading across borders
- 90 Enforcing contracts
- 94 Resolving insolvency
- 98 Annex: employing workers
- 101 References
- 106 Data notes
- 131 Ease of doing business and distance to frontier
- 135 Summaries of Doing Business reforms in 2011/12
- 145 Country tables
- 207 Employing workers data
- 216 Acknowledgments

Doing Business 2013 is the 10th in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. Doing Business presents quantitative indicators on business regulations and the protection of property rights that can be compared across 185 economies—from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe—and over time.

Regulations affecting 11 areas of the life of a business are covered: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts, resolving insolvency and employing workers. The employing workers data are not included in this year's ranking on the ease of doing business.

Data in *Doing Business 2013* are current as of June 1, 2012. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms of business regulation have worked, where and why.

200720128 2004 112006 2013

Preface

This is the 10th edition of the *Doing Business* report. First published in 2003 with 5 indicator sets measuring business regulation in 133 economies, the report has grown into an annual publication covering 11 indicator sets and 185 economies. In these 10 years *Doing Business* has recorded nearly 2,000 business regulation reforms in the areas covered by the indicators. And researchers have produced well over 1,000 articles in peer-reviewed journals using the data published by *Doing Business*—work that helps explore many of the key development questions of our time.

Doing Business 2013 holds new information to inspire policy makers and researchers. One finding is that Poland improved the most in the Doing Business measures in 2011/12, while Singapore maintains its top spot in the overall ranking. Another finding is that European economies in fiscal distress are making efforts to improve the business climate, and this is beginning to be reflected in the indicators tracked by Doing Business, with Greece being among the 10 economies that improved the most in the Doing Business measures in the past year. Part of the solution to high debt is the recovery of economic growth, and there is broad recognition that creating a friendlier environment for entrepreneurs is central to this goal. But perhaps the most exciting finding is that of a steady march from 2003 to 2012 toward better business regulation across the wide range of economies included. With a handful of exceptions, every economy covered by Doing Business has narrowed the gap in business regulatory practice with the top global performance in the areas measured by the indicators. This is a welcome race to the top.

Collecting the more than 57,000 unique Doing Business data points each year and placing them in a broader context of economic policy and development is a major undertaking. We thank the team and the Doing Business contributors for their efforts. Data collection and analysis for *Doing Business 2013* were conducted through the Global Indicators and Analysis Department under the general direction of Augusto Lopez-Claros. The project was managed by Sylvia Solf and Rita Ramalho, with the support of Carolin Geginat and Adrian Gonzalez. Other team members included Beatriz Mejia Asserias, Andres Baquero Franco, Karim O. Belayachi, Iryna Bilotserkivska, Mariana Carvalho, Hayane Chang Dahmen, Rong Chen, Maya Choueiri, Dariga Chukmaitova, Santiago Croci Downes, Fernando Dancausa Diaz, Marie Lily Delion, Raian Divanbeigi, Alejandro Espinosa-Wang, Margherita Fabbri, Caroline Frontigny, Betina Hennig, Sarah Holmberg, Hussam Hussein, Joyce Ibrahim, Ludmila Jantuan, Nan Jiang, Hervé Kaddoura, Paweł Kopko, Jean Michel Lobet, Jean-Philippe Lodugnon-Harding, Frédéric Meunier, Robert Murillo, Joanna Nasr, Marie-Jeanne Ndiaye, Nuria de Oca, Mikiko Imai Ollison, Nina Paustian, Galina Rudenko, Valentina Saltane, Lucas Seabra, Paula Garcia Serna, Anastasia Shegay, Jayashree Srinivasan, Susanne Szymanski, Moussa Traoré, Tea Trumbic, Marina Turlakova, Julien Vilquin, Yasmin Zand and Yucheng Zheng.

More than 9,600 lawyers and other professionals generously donated their time to provide the legal assessments that underpin the data. We thank in particular the global contributors: Advocates for International Development; Allen & Overy LLP; American

Bar Association, Section of International Law; Baker & McKenzie; Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP; Ernst & Young; lus Laboris, Alliance of Labor, Employment, Benefits and Pensions Law Firms; KPMG; the Law Society of England and Wales; Lex Mundi, Association of Independent Law Firms; Panalpina; PwC; Raposo Bernardo & Associados; Russell Bedford International; SDV International Logistics; and Security Cargo Network. The efforts of all these contributors help maintain the distinctive voice of *Doing Business* and its annual contribution to business regulation reform.

Ten years marks a good time to take stock of where the world has moved in business regulatory practices and what challenges remain. We welcome you to give feedback on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org) and join the conversation as we shape the project in the years to come.

Sincerely,

Janamitra Devan

Vice President and Head of Network Financial & Private Sector Development

World Bank Group

200720128 2004 112006 2013

Executive summary

This 10th edition of the Doing Business report marks a good time to take stock to look at how far the world has come in business regulatory practices and what challenges remain. In the first report one of the main findings was that low-income economies had very cumbersome regulatory systems. Ten years later it is apparent that business regulatory practices in these economies have been gradually but noticeably converging toward the more efficient practices common in higherincome economies (box 1.1). How much has the gap narrowed? Did some regions close the regulatory gap more rapidly than others? This year's report tells that story. It points to important trends in regulatory reform and identifies the regions and economies making the biggest improvements for local entrepreneurs.

And it highlights both the areas of business regulation that have received the most attention and those where more progress remains to be made.

The report also reviews research on which regulatory reforms have worked and how. After 10 years of data tracking reforms and regulatory practices around the world, more evidence is available to address these questions. The report summarizes just some of the main findings. Among the highlights: Smarter business regulation supports economic growth. Simpler business registration promotes greater entrepreneurship and firm productivity, while lower-cost registration improves formal employment opportunities. An effective regulatory environment boosts trade performance. And sound

BOX 1.1 MAIN FINDINGS SINCE 2003 AND THE FIRST DOING BUSINESS REPORT

- Over these 10 years 180 economies implemented close to 2,000 business regulatory reforms as measured by *Doing Business*.
- Eastern Europe and Central Asia improved the most, overtaking East Asia and the Pacific as the world's second most business-friendly region according to *Doing Business* indicators. OECD high-income economies continue to have the most business-friendly environment.
- Business regulatory practices have been slowly converging as economies with initially poor performance narrow the gap with better performers. Among the 50 economies with the biggest improvements since 2005, the largest share—a third are in Sub-Saharan Africa.
- Among the categories of business regulatory practices measured by *Doing Business*, there has been more convergence in those that relate to the complexity and cost of regulatory processes (business start-up, property registration, construction permitting, electricity connections, tax payment and trade procedures) than in those that relate to the strength of legal institutions (contract enforcement, insolvency regimes, credit information, legal rights of borrowers and lenders and the protection of minority shareholders).
- Two-thirds of the nearly 2,000 reforms recorded by Doing Business were focused on reducing the complexity and cost of regulatory processes.
- A growing body of research has traced out the effects of simpler business regulation on a range of economic outcomes, such as faster job growth and an accelerated pace of new business creation.

MAIN FINDINGS IN 2011/12

- Worldwide, 108 economies implemented 201 regulatory reforms in 2011/12 making it easier to do business as measured by *Doing* Business.
- Poland improved the most in the ease of doing business, through 4 reforms—making it easier to register property, pay taxes, enforce contracts and resolve insolvency as measured by Doing Business.
- Eastern Europe and Central Asia once again had the largest share of economies implementing regulatory reforms—88% of its economies reformed in at least one of the areas measured by Doing Business.
- European economies in fiscal distress are working to improve the business climate, and this is beginning to be reflected in the indicators tracked by *Doing Business*. Greece is one of the 10 most improved globally in 2011/12.
- Reform efforts globally have focused on making it easier to start a new business, increasing the efficiency of tax administration and facilitating trade across international borders. Of the 201 regulatory reforms recorded in the past year, 44% focused on these 3 policy areas alone.

financial market infrastructure—courts, creditor and insolvency laws, and credit and collateral registries—improves access to credit (see the chapter "About Doing Business").

WHAT ARE SMART RULES FOR BUSINESSES?

Just as good rules are needed to allow traffic to flow in a city, they are also essential to allow business transactions to flow. Good business regulations enable the private sector to thrive and businesses to expand their transactions network. But regulations put in place to safeguard economic activity and facilitate business operations, if poorly designed, can become obstacles to doing business. They can be like traffic lights put up to prevent gridlock—ineffective if a red light lasts for an hour. Most people would run the red light, just as most businesses facing burdensome regulations will try to circumvent them to stay afloat.

Striking the right balance in business regulation can be a challenge. It becomes an even greater challenge in a changing world, where regulations must continually adapt to new realities. Just as traffic systems have to adjust when a new road is being constructed, regulations need to adapt to new demands from the market and to changes in technology (such as the growing use of information and communication technology in business processes).

This challenge is one focus of this report. Through indicators benchmarking 185 economies, *Doing Business* measures and tracks changes in the regulations applying to domestic small and mediumsize companies in 11 areas in their life cycle. This year's aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business is based on indicator sets that measure and benchmark regulations affecting 10 of those areas: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and

resolving insolvency. *Doing Business* also documents regulations on employing workers, which are not included in this year's aggregate ranking or in the count of reforms.

The economies that rank highest on the ease of doing business are not those where there is no regulation—but those where governments have managed to create rules that facilitate interactions in the marketplace without needlessly hindering the development of the private sector. In essence, *Doing Business* is about SMART business regulations—Streamlined, Meaningful, Adaptable, Relevant, Transparent—not necessarily fewer regulations (see figure 2.1 in the chapter "About *Doing Business*").

Doing Business encompasses 2 types of indicators: indicators relating to the strength of legal institutions relevant to business regulation and indicators relating to the complexity and cost of regulatory processes. Those in the first group focus on the legal and regulatory framework for getting credit, protecting investors, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Those in the second focus on the cost and efficiency of regulatory processes for starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, paying taxes and trading across borders. Based on time-and-motion case studies from the perspective of the business, these indicators measure the procedures, time and cost required to complete a transaction in accordance with relevant regulations. (For a detailed explanation of the Doing Business methodology, see the data notes and the chapter "About Doing Business.")

Economies that rank high on the ease of doing business tend to combine efficient regulatory processes with strong legal institutions that protect property and investor rights (figure 1.1). OECD high-income economies have, by a large margin, the most business-friendly regulatory environment on both dimensions. Regions such as East Asia and the Pacific and the Middle East and North Africa have

relatively efficient regulatory processes but still lag in the strength of legal institutions relevant to business regulation. Good practices around the world provide insights into how governments have improved the regulatory environment in the past in the areas measured by *Doing Business* (see table 1.4 at the end of the executive summary).

WHO NARROWED THE REGULATORY GAP IN 2011/12?

As reflected in the ranking on the ease of doing business, the 10 economies with the most business-friendly regulation are Singapore; Hong Kong SAR, China; New Zealand; the United States; Denmark; Norway; the United Kingdom; the Republic of Korea; Georgia; and Australia (table 1.1). Singapore tops the global ranking for the seventh consecutive year.

A number 1 ranking on the ease of doing business does not mean that an economy ranks number 1 across all 10 regulatory areas included in this aggregate measure. Indeed, Singapore's rankings range from 1 in trading across borders to 36 in registering property. Its top 3 rankings (on trading across borders, dealing with construction permits and protecting investors) average 2, while its lowest 3 (on registering property, getting credit and enforcing contracts) average 20. Similarly, Guatemala's top 3 (on getting credit, registering property and getting electricity) average 22, and its bottom 3 (on paying taxes, protecting investors and starting a business) average 151. So while the ease of doing business ranking is a useful aggregate measure, analysis based on this measure should also take into account the dispersion of regulatory efficiency across the areas measured by Doing Business (figure 1.2).


In the past year 58% of economies covered by *Doing Business* implemented at least 1 institutional or regulatory reform making it easier to do business in the areas measured, and 23 undertook reforms in 3 or more areas. Of these 23 economies, 10 stand out as having jumped

ABLE 1.1 Rankings on the ease of doing business DB2013 DB2013							DB20	
ank	Economy	reforms	Rank	Economy	reforms	Rank	Economy	refor
1	Singapore	0	63	Antigua and Barbuda	0	125	Honduras	0
2	Hong Kong SAR, China	0	64	Ghana	0	126	Bosnia and Herzegovina	2
3	New Zealand	1	65	Czech Republic	3	127	Ethiopia	1
4	United States	0	66	Bulgaria	1	128	Indonesia	1
5	Denmark	1	67	Azerbaijan	0	129	Bangladesh	1
6	Norway	2	68	Dominica	1	130	Brazil	1
7	United Kingdom	1	69	Trinidad and Tobago	2	131	Nigeria	C
8	Korea, Rep.	4	70	Kyrgyz Republic	0	132	India	1
9	Georgia	6	71	Turkey	2	133	Cambodia	1
10	Australia	1	72	Romania	2	134	Tanzania	1
11	Finland	0	73	Italy	2	135	West Bank and Gaza	
12	Malaysia	2	74	Seychelles	0	136	Lesotho	7
13	Sweden	0	75	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0	137	Ukraine	
14	Iceland	0	76	Mongolia	3	138	Philippines	(
15	Ireland	2	77	Bahamas, The	0	139	Ecuador	(
16	Taiwan, China	2	78	Greece	3	140	Sierra Leone	2
17	Canada	1	79	Brunei Darussalam	2	141	Tajikistan	
18	Thailand	2	80	Vanuatu	0	142	Madagascar	
19	Mauritius	2	81	Sri Lanka	4	143	Sudan	(
20	Germany	2	82	Kuwait	0	144	Syrian Arab Republic	-
21	Estonia	0	83	Moldova	2	145	Iran, Islamic Rep.	
22	Saudi Arabia	2	84	Croatia	1	146	Mozambique	(
23	Macedonia, FYR	1	85	Albania	2	147	Gambia, The	(
24	Japan Japan	1	86	Serbia	3	148	Bhutan	(
25	Latvia	0	87	Namibia	1	149	Liberia	3
26	United Arab Emirates	3	88	Barbados	0	150	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	(
27	Lithuania	2	89	Uruguay	2	151	Mali	1
28	Switzerland	0	90	Jamaica	2	151	Algeria	1
29	Austria	0	91	China	2	153	Burkina Faso	(
30	Portugal	3	92	Solomon Islands	0	154	Uzbekistan	2
31	Netherlands	4	93	Guatemala	1	155	Bolivia	(
32	Armenia	2	93	Zambia	1	156	Togo	1
		0	95	Maldives	0			-
33	Belgium		95		0	157	Malawi	
34	France	0		St. Kitts and Nevis		158	Comoros	4
35	Slovenia	3	97	Morocco	1	159	Burundi	4
36	Cyprus	1	98	Kosovo	2	160	São Tomé and Príncipe	(
37	Chile	0	99	Vietnam	1	161	Cameroon	
38	Israel	1	100	Grenada	1	162	Equatorial Guinea	(
39	South Africa	1	101	Marshall Islands	0	163	Lao PDR	3
40	Qatar	1	102	Malta	0	164	Suriname	(
41	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	1	103	Paraguay	0	165	Iraq	(
42	Bahrain	0	104	Papua New Guinea	0	166	Senegal	(
43	Peru	2	105	Belize	1	167	Mauritania	(
44	Spain	2	106	Jordan	0	168	Afghanistan	(
45	Colombia	1	107	Pakistan	0	169	Timor-Leste	(
46	Slovak Republic	4	108	Nepal	0	170	Gabon	(
47	Oman	1	109	Egypt, Arab Rep.	0	171	Djibouti	(
48	Mexico	2	110	Costa Rica	4	172	Angola	
49	Kazakhstan	3	111	Palau	0	173	Zimbabwe	(
50	Tunisia	0	112	Russian Federation	2	174	Haiti	(
51	Montenegro	2	113	El Salvador	1	175	Benin	
52	Rwanda	2	114	Guyana	0	176	Niger	1
53	St. Lucia	0	115	Lebanon	0	177	Côte d'Ivoire	(
54	Hungary	3	116	Dominican Republic	0	178	Guinea	3
55	Poland	4	117	Kiribati	0	179	Guinea-Bissau	(
56	Luxembourg	0	118	Yemen, Rep.	0	180	Venezuela, RB	(
57	Samoa	0	119	Nicaragua	0	181	Congo, Dem. Rep.	1
58	Belarus	2	120	Uganda	1	182	Eritrea	(
59	Botswana	1	121	Kenya	1	183	Congo, Rep.	2
60	Fiji	1	122	Cape Verde	0	184	Chad	1
	Panama	3	123	Swaziland	1	185	Central African Republic	0

Note: The rankings for all economies are benchmarked to June 2012 and reported in the country tables. This year's rankings on the ease of doing business are the average of the economy's percentile rankings on the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate ranking. The number of reforms excludes those making it more difficult to do business.

FIGURE 1.1 OECD high-income economies combine efficient regulatory processes with strong legal institutions

Average ranking on sets of Doing Business indicators



Note: Strength of legal institutions refers to the average ranking on getting credit, protecting investors, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Complexity and cost of regulatory processes refers to the average ranking on starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, paying taxes and trading across borders.

Source: Doing Business database.

ahead the most in the relative ranking (table 1.2). Others in this group advanced less in the global ranking because they already ranked high. Two are Korea and the Netherlands. Already among the top 35 in last year's global ranking, both implemented regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in 4 areas measured by *Doing Business*.

Four of the 10 economies improving the most in the ease of doing business are in Eastern Europe and Central Asia—the region that also had the largest number of regulatory reforms per economy in the past year. Four of the 10 are lower-middle-income economies; of the rest, 1 is low income, 3 are upper middle income and 2 are high income. And for the first time in 7 years, a South Asian economy—Sri Lanka—ranks among those improving the most in the ease of doing business.

Eight of the 10 economies made it easier to start a business. Kazakhstan, Mongolia and Ukraine reduced or eliminated the minimum capital requirement

TAB	TABLE 1.2 The 10 economies improving the most across 3 or more areas measured by <i>Doing Business</i> in 2011/12											
				Reforms making it easier to do business								
	Economy	Ease of doing business rank	Starting a business	Dealing with construction permits	Getting electricity	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency
1	Poland	55				~			~		~	~
2	Sri Lanka	81	~			~	~			~		
2	Ukraine	137	~			~			V			
4	Uzbekistan	154	~				V			~		~
5	Burundi	159	~	~		~				~		
6	Costa Rica	110	V	~			V		V			
6	Mongolia	76	V				V	~				
8	Greece	78		V				~				~
9	Serbia	86	V								V	V
10	Kazakhstan	49	V				V					~

Note: Economies are ranked on the number of their reforms and on how much they improved in the ease of doing business ranking. First, Doing Business selects the economies that implemented reforms making it easier to do business in 3 or more of the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate ranking. Regulatory reforms making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the number of those making it easier to do business. Second, Doing Business ranks these economies on the increase in their ranking on the ease of doing business from the previous year. The increase in economy rankings is not calculated using the published ranking of last year but by using a comparable ranking for DB2012 that captures the effects of other factors, such as the inclusion this year of 2 new economies in the sample, Barbados and Malta. The choice of the most improved economies is determined by the largest improvement in rankings, among those economies with at least 3 reforms.

Average of Intelligence of Int

FIGURE 1.2 An economy's regulatory environment may be more business-friendly in some areas than in others

Note: Rankings reflected are those on the 10 Doing Business topics included in this year's aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business. Figure is for illustrative purposes only; it does not include all 185 economies covered by this year's report. See the country tables for rankings on the ease of doing business and each Doing Business topic for all economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

for company incorporation. Sri Lanka computerized and expedited the process for registering employees. Burundi eliminated 3 requirements: to have company documents notarized, to publish information on new companies in a journal and to register new companies with the Ministry of Trade and Industry.

Five of the 10 made it easier to resolve insolvency, and 2 of these also strengthened their systems for enforcing contracts. Serbia strengthened its insolvency process by introducing private bailiffs, prohibiting appeals of the court's decision on the proposal for enforcement, expediting service of process and adopting a public electronic registry for injunctions. The new private bailiff system also increased efficiency in enforcing contracts. Poland introduced a new civil procedure code that, along with an increase in the number of judges, reduced the time required to enforce a commercial contract. Poland also made it easier to resolve insolvency,

by updating the documentation requirements for bankruptcy filings.

Four economies made it easier to register property. Poland increased efficiency in processing property registration applications through a series of initiatives in recent years. These included creating 2 new registration districts in Warsaw and, in the past year, introducing a new caseload management system for the land and mortgage registries and continuing to digitize their records.

Five economies improved in the area of getting credit. Costa Rica, Mongolia and Uzbekistan guaranteed borrowers' right to inspect their personal credit data. Sri Lanka established a searchable electronic collateral registry and issued regulations for its operation. Kazakhstan strengthened the rights of secured creditors in insolvency proceedings.

Greece, driven in part by its economic crisis, implemented regulatory reforms in 3 areas measured by *Doing*

Business—improving its regulatory environment at a greater pace in the past year than in any of the previous 6. It made construction permitting faster by transferring the planning approval process from the municipality to certified private professionals, strengthened investor protections by requiring greater disclosure and introduced a new prebankruptcy rehabilitation procedure aimed at enhancing the rescue of distressed companies.

Costa Rica, the only economy in Latin America and the Caribbean in the group of 10, implemented regulatory changes in 4 areas measured by *Doing Business*. It introduced a risk-based approach for granting sanitary approvals for business start-ups and established online approval systems for the construction permitting process. Costa Rica also guaranteed borrowers' right to inspect their personal data and made paying taxes easier for local companies by implementing electronic payments for municipal taxes.

While these 10 economies improved the most in the ease of doing business, they were far from alone in introducing improvements in the areas measured by *Doing Business* in 2011/12. A total of 108 economies did so, through 201 institutional and regulatory reforms. And in the years since the first report was published in 2003, 180 of the 185 economies covered by *Doing Business* made improvements in at least one of these areas—through nearly 2,000 such reforms in total.

In 2011/12 starting a business was again the area with the most regulatory reforms. In the past 8 years the start-up process received more attention from policy makers than any other area of business regulation tracked by *Doing Business*—through 368 reforms in 149 economies. These worldwide efforts reduced the average time to start a business from 50 days to 30 and the average cost from 89% of income per capita to 31%.

In the past year Eastern Europe and Central Asia once again had the largest share of economies registering improvements, with 88% of economies implementing at least 1 institutional or regulatory reform making it easier to do business and 67% implementing at least 2 (figure 1.3). This region has been consistently active through all the years covered by Doing Business, implementing 397 institutional and regulatory reforms since 2005. At least some of this regulatory reform push reflects efforts by economies joining the European Union in 2004 to continue to narrow the gap in regulatory efficiency with established EU members—as well as similar efforts among economies now engaged in EU accession negotiations.


WHO HAS NARROWED THE GAP OVER THE LONG RUN?

To complement the ease of doing business ranking, a relative measure, last year's *Doing Business* report introduced the distance to frontier, an absolute measure of business regulatory efficiency. This measure aids in assessing how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs improves in absolute terms

over time by showing the distance of each economy to the "frontier," which represents the best performance observed on each of the *Doing Business* indicators across all economies and years included since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the frontier. A higher score therefore indicates a more efficient business regulatory system (for a detailed description of the methodology, see the chapter on the ease of doing business and distance to frontier).

Analysis based on the distance to frontier measure shows that the burden of regulation has declined since 2005 in the areas measured by *Doing Business*. On average the 174 economies covered by *Doing Business* since that year are today closer to the frontier in regulatory practice (figure 1.4). In 2005 these economies were 46 percentage points from the frontier on average, with the closest economy 10 percentage points away and the furthest one 74 percentage points away. Now these 174 economies are 40 percentage points from the frontier on average, with


FIGURE 1.4 Almost all economies are closer to the frontier in regulatory practice today than they were in 2005



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years.

FIGURE 1.3 Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the largest share of economies reforming business regulation in 2011/12

Share of economies with at least 2 Doing Business reforms making it easier to do business (%)



Source: Doing Business database.

the closest economy 8 percentage points away and the furthest economy 69 percentage points away.

OECD high-income economies are closest to the frontier on average. But other regions are narrowing the gap. Eastern

Europe and Central Asia has done so the most, thanks to about 17 institutional and regulatory reforms per economy since 2005 (figure 1.5). Economies in the Middle East and North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa have implemented more than 9 institutional and regulatory

reforms on average—and those in East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean and South Asia about 8. With its faster pace of improvement, Eastern Europe and Central Asia overtook East Asia and the Pacific as the second most business-friendly region according to *Doing Business* indicators.

But the variation within regions is large. In Latin America and the Caribbean, for example, Colombia implemented 25 institutional and regulatory reforms in the past 8 years, while Suriname had none. In East Asia and the Pacific, Vietnam implemented 18 reforms, and Kiribati none. In a few economies (such as República Bolivariana de Venezuela and Zimbabwe) the business environment deteriorated as measures added to the complexity and cost of regulatory processes or undermined property rights and investor protections. Within the European Union, 4 Southern European economies have recently accelerated regulatory reform efforts (box 1.2).





FIGURE 1.5 Doing business is easier today than in 2005, particularly in Eastern Europe and Central Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa

Average distance to frontier (percentage points)




Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005) and to the regional classifications that apply in 2012. Eleven economies were added in subsequent years.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 1.6 Globally, reform efforts have focused more on reducing the complexity and cost of regulatory processes than on strengthening legal institutions

Average distance to frontier (percentage points)



Note: Figure illustrates the extent to which average regulatory practice across economies has moved closer to the most efficient practice in each area measured by Doing Business. The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in Doing Business 2006 (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years.

Source: Doing Business database.

Improvements happened across all regulatory areas measured by *Doing Business* between 2005 and 2012. But governments were more likely to focus their reform efforts on reducing the complexity and cost of regulatory processes—the focus of 1,227 reforms recorded by *Doing Business* since 2005—than on strengthening legal institutions—the focus of close to 600 (figure 1.6).

Improving business regulation is a challenging task, and doing it consistently over time even more so. Yet some economies have achieved considerable success since 2005 in doing just that (table 1.3). A few of these economies stand out within their region: Georgia, Rwanda, Colombia, China and Poland.

Georgia is the top improver since 2005 both in Eastern Europe and Central Asia and globally. With 35 institutional and regulatory reforms since 2005, Georgia has improved in all areas measured by Doing Business. In the past year alone it improved in 6 areas. As just one example, Georgia made trading across borders easier by introducing customs clearance zones in such cities as Tbilisi and Poti. These one-stop shops for trade clearance processes are open all day every day, allowing traders to submit customs documents and complete other formalities in a single place. Georgia also strengthened its secured transactions system. A new amendment to its civil code allows a security interest to extend to the products, proceeds and replacements of an asset used as collateral.

Georgia has also distinguished itself by following a relatively balanced regulatory reform path. Many economies aiming to improve their regulatory environment start by reducing the complexity and cost of regulatory processes (in such areas as starting a business). Later they may move on to reforms strengthening legal institutions relevant to business regulation (in such areas as getting credit). These tend to be a bigger challenge, sometimes requiring amendments to key pieces of legislation rather than simply changes in

BOX 1.2 FISCAL IMBALANCES AND REGULATORY REFORM IN SOUTHERN EUROPE


The 2008–09 global financial crisis contributed to rapid increases in public debt levels among high-income economies. The recession depressed tax revenues and forced governments to increase spending to ease the effects of the crisis. Governments used public sector stimulus to cushion the impact of the sharp contraction in output, and many were also forced to intervene to strengthen the balance sheets of commercial banks and prop up industries struck particularly hard by the crisis. The fiscal deterioration in the context of weak global demand contributed to greater risk aversion among investors, complicating fiscal management in many economies, particularly those with already high debt levels or rapidly growing deficits.

Greece, Italy, Portugal and Spain were among those most affected by the crisis and associated market pressures. Aware that the resumption of economic growth would be key to returning to a sustainable fiscal position, authorities in these economies moved to implement broad-ranging reforms.

Business regulation reforms were an integral part of these plans, as reflected in the *Doing Business* data. While Greece is among the 10 economies with the biggest improvements in the ease of doing business in the past year, the other 3 economies also made important strides. Italy made it easier to get an electricity connection and to register property. Portugal simplified the process for construction permitting, for importing and exporting and for resolving insolvency. Spain made trading across borders simpler and amended its bankruptcy law. All 4 economies reformed or are also in the process of reforming their labor laws with the aim of making their labor market more flexible.

Doing Business reforms are not new to these economies. Since 2004, Portugal has implemented 25, Spain and Greece 17, and Italy 14 institutional or regulatory reforms. The impact of these reforms has helped these 4 economies narrow the business regulatory gap with the best performers in the European Union (see figure).

In Southern Europe, an acceleration in the pace of regulatory reform



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The top 10 in EU-27 are the 10 economies closest to the frontier among current members of the European Union.

Source: Doing Business database.

administrative procedures. Georgia has followed this pattern, focusing initially on reducing the complexity and cost of regulatory processes and later on strengthening legal institutions. But among a group of 5 top regional improvers, Georgia has improved the most along both dimensions (figure 1.7).

Rwanda, the number 2 improver globally and top improver in Sub-Saharan Africa since 2005, has reduced the gap with the frontier by almost half. To highlight key lessons emerging from Rwanda's sustained efforts, this year's report features a case study of its reform process. But Rwanda is far from alone in the region: of the 50 economies advancing the most

TABLE 1.3 The 50 economies narrowing the distance to frontier the most since 2005

		Improvement			
Rank	Economy	Region	(percentage		
1	Georgia	ECA	points) 31.6		
2	Rwanda	SSA	26.5		
3	Belarus	ECA	23.5		
4	Burkina Faso	SSA	18.5		
	Macedonia, FYR	ECA	17.4		
	· ·	MENA			
<u>6</u> 7	Egypt, Arab Rep.		16.3		
- 8	Mali Colombia	SSA	15.8 15.3		
9		LAC			
	Tajikistan	ECA	15.2		
10	Kyrgyz Republic	ECA	14.8		
11	Sierra Leone	SSA	14.7		
12	China	EAP	14.3		
13	Azerbaijan	ECA	12.9		
14	Croatia	ECA	12.8		
15	Ghana	SSA	12.7		
16	Burundi	SSA	12.6		
17	Poland	OECD	12.3		
18	Guinea-Bissau	SSA	12.2		
19	Armenia	ECA	12.2		
20	Ukraine	ECA	12.0		
21	Kazakhstan	ECA	11.9		
22	Senegal	SSA	11.5		
23	Cambodia	EAP	11.1		
24	Angola	SSA	11.0		
25	Mauritius	SSA	10.9		
26	Saudi Arabia	MENA	10.7		
27	India	SAS	10.6		
28	Guatemala	LAC	10.4		
29	Madagascar	SSA	10.3		
30	Morocco	MENA	10.1		
31	Yemen, Rep.	MENA	10.1		
32	Peru	LAC	10.1		
33	Mozambique	SSA	10.0		
34	Czech Republic	OECD	9.8		
35	Timor-Leste	EAP	9.7		
36	Côte d'Ivoire	SSA	9.5		
37	Togo	SSA	9.5		
38	Slovenia	OECD	9.5		
39	Mexico	LAC	9.4		
40	Niger	SSA	9.4		
41	Nigeria	SSA	9.0		
42	Portugal	OECD	9.0		
43	Solomon Islands	EAP	8.9		
44	Uruguay	LAC	8.8		
45	Dominican Republic	LAC	8.8		
46	Taiwan, China	EAP	8.8		
47	São Tomé and Príncipe	SSA	8.7		
48	France	OECD	8.6		
49	Bosnia and Herzegovina	ECA	8.4		
50	Albania	ECA	8.3		
Note: Rankings are based on the absolute difference for each					

Note: Rankings are based on the absolute difference for each economy between its distance to frontier in 2005 and that in 2012. The data refer to the 174 economies included in Doing Business 2006 (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). EAP = East Asia and the Pacific; ECA = Eastern Europe and Central Asia; LAC = Latin America and the Caribbean; MENA = Middle East and North Africa; OECD = OECD high income; SAS = South Asia; SSA = Sub-Saharan Africa.

toward the frontier since 2005, 17 are in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Worldwide, economies at all income levels are narrowing the gap with the frontier on average—but low-income economies more so than high-income ones. This is an important achievement. Indeed, while business regulatory practices in all lowerincome groups are converging toward those in high-income economies on average, low-income economies have reduced the gap the most, by 4 percentage points since 2005. Lower-middle-income economies have closed the gap with high-income economies by 3 percentage points, and upper-middle-income economies by 2 percentage points. This convergence is far from complete, however.


While the Arab Republic of Egypt is the top improver in the Middle East and North Africa since 2005, its improvement was concentrated in the years before 2009. In the past 4 years there was no visible improvement in the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Regionally, there was less focus on reforming business regulation in the past year than in any previous year covered by *Doing Business*, with only 11% of economies implementing at least 2 regulatory reforms (box 1.3).

Colombia, the economy narrowing the gap with the frontier the most in Latin America and the Caribbean, is also featured in a case study this year. Between 2006 and 2009 Colombia focused mostly on improving the efficiency of regulatory processes, with an emphasis on business registration and tax administration. But in 2010 it began reforming legal institutions, such as by strengthening the protection of minority shareholders and by improving the insolvency regime.

Two of the "BRICs" rank among the top 50 improvers—China and India, each also the top improver in its region since 2005. Both implemented regulatory reforms particularly in the early years covered by *Doing Business*. China established a new company law in 2005, a new credit registry in 2006, its first bankruptcy law

FIGURE 1.7 Different economies have followed a variety of regulatory reform paths

Average distance to frontier in sets of *Doing Business* indicators (percentage points)



Note: Strength of legal institutions refers to the average distance to frontier in getting credit, protecting investors, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Complexity and cost of regulatory processes refers to the average distance to frontier in starting a business, dealing with construction permits, registering property, paying taxes and trading across borders. Each dot refers to a different year, starting in 2005 and ending in 2012. The reform progress of Singapore, the economy with the most business-friendly regulation for the seventh year in a row, is shown for purposes of comparison. For visual clarity the series for Singapore starts in 2007. The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier).

Source: Doing Business database.

in 2007, a new property law in 2007, a new civil procedure law in 2008 and a new corporate income tax law in 2008. After establishing its first credit bureau in 2004, India focused mostly on simplifying and reducing the cost of regulatory processes in such areas as starting a business, paying taxes and trading across borders.

Five OECD high-income economies make the list of top 50 improvers: Poland, the Czech Republic, Slovenia, Portugal and France. Poland in the past year alone implemented 4 institutional and regulatory reforms, among the 20 recorded for it by *Doing Business* since 2005. It improved the process for transferring property, made paying taxes more convenient by promoting the use of electronic facilities, reduced the time to enforce contracts and

strengthened the process of resolving insolvency.

IN WHAT AREAS IS THE GAP NARROWING THE MOST?

Since 2005 there has been a convergence in business regulatory practices in two-thirds of the areas measured by *Doing Business*: starting a business, paying taxes, dealing with construction permits, registering property, getting credit and enforcing contracts. This means that laws, regulations and procedures in these areas are more similar across economies today than they were 8 years ago. Overall, more convergence has occurred in the areas measured by *Doing Business* that relate to the complexity and cost of regulatory processes than in those that relate to the strength of legal institutions.¹

The greatest convergence in regulatory practice has occurred in business startup. Among the 174 economies covered by Doing Business since 2005, the time to start a business in that year averaged 112 days in the worst quartile of the economies as ranked by performance on this indicator, while it averaged 29 days for the rest (figure 1.8). Since then, thanks to 368 reforms in 149 economies, the average time for the worst quartile has fallen to 63 days, getting closer to the average of 18 for the rest. Similar but less strong patterns are observed for indicators of time, procedures and cost for paying taxes, dealing with construction permits and registering property.

But in 3 areas the trend runs weakly in the other direction. In protecting investors, trading across borders and resolving insolvency the realities in different economies have slowly drifted apart rather than converged. This does not mean that in these 3 areas the average regulatory environment is worse today than in 2005; it is actually better (see figure 1.6). But it does mean that economies that were in the best 3 quartiles of the distribution in these 3 areas in 2005 have strengthened practices and institutions somewhat faster than those in the worst quartile.

WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON ECONOMIC OUTCOMES?

Beyond what *Doing Business* measures, have the business regulation reforms undertaken by governments since 2005 had an impact? In presenting analysis of this question, earlier editions of *Doing Business* focused on cross-country analyses linking business regulation to economic variables such as corruption or rates of informality in the economy.

With more years of data now available, previous research on the impact of reforms in the areas measured by *Doing Business* can be extended over time and linked to more economic outcomes. Using several years of data for the same economy makes it possible to take into account country characteristics that

remain constant over time when doing analysis across economies—something not possible in the earlier cross-country analyses. Based on a 5-year panel of economies, one such study finds that in low-income economies that implemented reforms making it easier to do business, the growth rate increased by 0.4 percentage point in the following year.2 Emerging evidence from analysis based on 8 years of Doing Business data and building on the earlier studies shows that improvements in business entry and other aspects of business regulation matter for aggregate growth as well. Credibly pinning down the magnitude of this effect is more difficult, however.3

Research on the effect of regulatory reforms is advancing especially rapidly around the question of business start-up. A growing body of research has shown that simpler entry regulations encourage the creation of more new firms and new jobs in the formal sector. Economies at varying income levels and in different regions saw noticeable increases in the number of new firm registrations after implementing such reforms (figure 1.9). Within-country studies have confirmed the positive association between improvements in business registration and registration of new firms in such countries as Colombia, India, Mexico and Portugal. These studies have found increases of 5-17% in the number of newly registered businesses after reforms of the business registration process (for more discussion, see the chapter "About Doing Business").

Better business regulation as measured by *Doing Business* is also associated with greater new business registration. Ongoing research by *Doing Business* using 8 years of data shows that reducing the distance to frontier by 10 percentage points is associated with an increase of 1 newly registered business for every 1,000 working-age people, a meaningful result given the world average of 3.2 newly registered businesses for every 1,000 working-age people per year.⁴

FIGURE 1.8 Strong convergence across economies since 2005

Averages by quartile

Time to start a business (days)

120

100

80


60

Worst
quartile


20

2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012


Time to deal with construction permits (days)



Time to pay taxes (hours per year)



Time to register property (days)



Note: Economies are ranked in quartiles by performance in 2005 on the indicator shown. The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years.

BOX 1.3 BUSINESS REGULATION IN THE MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA—THE CHALLENGES AHEAD

Earlier editions of the Doing Business report highlighted substantial efforts by governments in the Middle East and North Africa to improve business regulation for local entrepreneurs. But the reform momentum has slowed since the beginning of the Arab Spring in January 2011, as some countries have entered a complex process of transition to more democratic forms of governance. The post-Arab Spring governments have had a broad range of economic, social and political issues to address, and this in turn has resulted in a slower overall reform process, as new governments have struggled to adjust to important shifts in the political and economic landscape.

The region faces structural challenges that can impede private sector activity. A history of government intervention has created more opportunities for rent seeking than for entrepreneurship. Firm surveys show that manufacturing firms as well as their managers are older on average than those in other regions, indicating weaker entry and exit mechanisms. Firm entry density in the Middle East and North Africa is among the lowest in the world.¹

Moreover, the region suffers from a crisis of governance and trust: businesses do not trust officials, and officials do not trust businesses. Business managers in the region

rank corruption, anticompetitive practices and regulatory policy uncertainty high on their list of concerns. At the same time 60% of public officials interviewed across the region perceive the private sector as rent seeking and corrupt. And banks cite lack of corporate transparency as among the main obstacles to extending more finance to small and medium-size enterprises.²

Some governments in the region have tried to aggressively reform the business environment in the past, but have seen the impact of their efforts lessened by a lack of sustained commitment to in-depth changes and the related risk of upsetting the established order. A common view is that only connected entrepreneurs are successful. suggesting a dual set of rules with preferential treatment for those close to the ruling elites. This suggests a need for governments to invest in governance structures and increase transparency in parallel with efforts to improve the business regulatory environment. The case study on transparency in this year's report points to one area where they could start: the Middle East and North Africa is one of the regions with the most constrained access to basic regulatory information such as fee schedules.


Although economies in the region have made some strides in reducing the

complexity and cost of regulatory processes, entrepreneurs across the region still contend with weak investor and property rights protections (see figure). With an average ease of doing business ranking of 98, the region still has much room for making the life of local businesses easier through clearer and more transparent rules applied more consistently. Such rules would facilitate rather than impede private sector activity in economies where the state has traditionally had an outsized presence in the national economy and in a region where the need to encourage entrepreneurship is thus perhaps more intense than in any other.

All these challenges notwithstanding, the recent political changes in the regionfast, hectic, unpredictable, far-reaching in their effects—provide a unique opportunity for governments to substantively address many of the impediments to private sector development that have plagued the region in recent decades. Moving to a system of more transparent and sensible rules—rules that are better able to respond to the needs of the business community and that provide incentives to narrow the gap between the law as written and the law as practiced will go a long way toward creating the conditions for more equitable economic growth and a faster pace of job creation.

Entrepreneurs across the Middle East and North Africa face relatively weak investor and property rights protections

Average ranking on sets of Doing Business indicators by economy and global income group



Note: Strength of legal institutions refers to the average ranking on getting credit, protecting investors, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Complexity and cost of regulatory processes refers to the average ranking on starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, paying taxes and trading across borders. The global income groups exclude economies in the Middle East and North Africa.

^{1.} World Bank, From Privilege to Competition: Unlocking Private-Led Growth in the Middle East and North Africa (Washington, DC: World Bank, 2009). Firm entry density is defined as the number of newly registered limited liability companies per 1,000 working-age people (ages 15–64).

^{2.} Roberto Rocha, Subika Farazi, Rania Khouri and Douglas Pearce, "The Status of Bank Lending to SMEs in the Middle East and North Africa Region: The Results of a Joint Survey of the Union of Arab Banks and the World Bank" (World Bank, Washington, DC; and Union of Arab Banks, Beirut, 2010).

TABLE 1.4 (Good practices around the world, by <i>Doing Business</i> topic				
Topic	Practice	Economies ^a	Examples		
Making it	Putting procedures online	106	Hong Kong SAR, China; FYR Macedonia; New Zealand; Peru; Singapo		
easy to start a business	Having no minimum capital requirement	91	Kazakhstan; Kenya; Kosovo; Madagascar; Mexico; Mongolia; Morocco; Portugal; Rwanda; Serbia; United Arab Emirates; United Kingdom		
	Having a one-stop shop	88	Bahrain; Burkina Faso; Georgia; Republic of Korea; Peru; Vietnam		
Making	Having comprehensive building rules	135	Croatia; Kenya; New Zealand; Republic of Yemen		
it easy to deal with	Using risk-based building approvals	86	Armenia; Germany; Mauritius; Singapore		
construction permits	Having a one-stop shop	31	Bahrain; Chile; Hong Kong SAR, China; Rwanda		
Making it easy to	Streamlining approval processes (utility obtains excavation permit or right of way if required)	104 ^b	Armenia; Austria; Benin; Cambodia; Czech Republic; Panama		
obtain an electricity	Providing transparent connection costs and processes	103	France; Germany; Ireland; Netherlands; Trinidad and Tobago		
connection	Reducing the financial burden of security deposits for new connections	96	Argentina; Austria; Kyrgyz Republic; Latvia; Mozambique; Nepal		
	Ensuring the safety of internal wiring by regulating the elec- trical profession rather than the connection process	40	Denmark; Germany; Iceland; Japan		
Making	Using an electronic database for encumbrances	108	Jamaica; Sweden; United Kingdom		
it easy to register	Offering cadastre information online	50	Denmark; Lithuania; Malaysia		
property	Offering expedited procedures	16	Azerbaijan; Bulgaria; Georgia		
	Setting fixed transfer fees	10	New Zealand; Russian Federation; Rwanda		
Making it easy to get	Legal rights				
credit	Allowing out-of-court enforcement	122	Australia; India; Nepal; Peru; Russian Federation; Serbia; Sri Lanka		
	Allowing a general description of collateral	92	Cambodia; Canada; Guatemala; Nigeria; Romania; Rwanda; Singapore		
	Maintaining a unified registry	67	Bosnia and Herzegovina; Ghana; Honduras; Marshall Islands; Mexico; Montenegro; New Zealand		
	Credit information				
	Distributing data on loans below 1% of income per capita	123	Brazil; Bulgaria; Germany; Kenya; Malaysia; Sri Lanka; Tunisia		
	Distributing both positive and negative credit information	105	China; Croatia; India; Italy; Jordan; Panama; South Africa		
	Distributing credit information from retailers, trade creditors or utilities as well as financial institutions	55	Fiji; Lithuania; Nicaragua; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia; Spain		
Protecting	Allowing rescission of prejudicial related-party transactions ^c	73	Brazil; Mauritius; Rwanda; United States		
investors	Regulating approval of related-party transactions	60	Albania; France; United Kingdom		
	Requiring detailed disclosure	53	Hong Kong SAR, China; New Zealand; Singapore		
	Allowing access to all corporate documents during the trial	46	Chile; Ireland; Israel		
	Requiring external review of related-party transactions	43	Australia; Arab Republic of Egypt; Sweden		
	Allowing access to all corporate documents before the trial	30	Japan; Sweden; Tajikistan		
	Defining clear duties for directors	28	Colombia; Malaysia; Mexico; United States		
Making it easy to pay	Allowing self-assessment	156	Argentina; Canada; China; Rwanda; Sri Lanka; Turkey		
taxes	Allowing electronic filing and payment	74	Australia; Colombia; India; Lithuania; Malta; Mauritius; Tunisia		
	Having one tax per tax base	48	FYR Macedonia; Namibia; Paraguay; United Kingdom		
Making it easy to	Allowing electronic submission and processing	149e	Belize; Chile; Estonia; Pakistan; Turkey		
trade across	Using risk-based inspections	133	Morocco; Nigeria; Palau; Vietnam		
borders ^d	Providing a single window	71 ^f	Colombia; Ghana; Republic of Korea; Singapore		
Making it easy to enforce contracts	Making all judgments in commercial cases by first-instance courts publicly available in practice	121 ^g	Chile; Iceland; Nigeria; Russian Federation; Uruguay		
	Maintaining specialized commercial court, division or judge	82	Burkina Faso; France; Liberia; Poland; Sierra Leone; Singapore		
	Allowing electronic filing of complaints	19	Brazil; Republic of Korea; Malaysia; Rwanda; Saudi Arabia		
	Allowing creditors' committees a say in insolvency proceeding	109	Australia; Bulgaria; Philippines; United States; Uzbekistan		
it easy to	decisions				
Making it easy to resolve insolvency	decisions Requiring professional or academic qualifications for insolvency administrators by law	107	Armenia; Belarus; Colombia; Namibia; Poland; United Kingdom		
it easy to resolve	decisions Requiring professional or academic qualifications for insol-	107 94 82	Armenia; Belarus; Colombia; Namibia; Poland; United Kingdom Albania; Italy; Japan; Republic of Korea; Lesotho Argentina; Hong Kong SAR, China; Latvia; Philippines; Romania		

a. Among 185 economies surveyed, unless otherwise specified.

Source: Doing Business database; for starting a business, also World Bank (2009b).

b. Among 151 economies surveyed.

c. Rescission is the right of parties involved in a contract to return to a state identical to that before they entered into the agreement.

d. Among 181 economies surveyed.


e. Thirty-one have a full electronic data interchange system, 118 a partial one.

 $f. \ Eighteen have a single-window system that links all relevant government agencies, 53 a system that does so partially. \\$

g. Among 184 economies surveyed.

FIGURE 1.9 More new firms are registered after reforms making it simpler to start a business

Number of newly registered firms (thousands)



Note: All 6 economies implemented a reform making it easier to start a business as measured by Doing Business. The reform year varies by economy and is represented by the vertical line in the figure. For Bangladesh and Rwanda it is 2009; for Chile, 2011; for Kenya, 2007; for Morocco, 2006; and for Sweden, 2010.

Source: World Bank Group Entrepreneurship Snapshots, 2012 edition.

Yet another finding relates to the relationship between foreign direct investment and business regulation. A case study in this year's report shows that although the *Doing Business* indicators measure regulations applying to domestic firms, economies that do well in this area also provide an attractive regulatory environment for foreign firms. Again using multiple years of data, the case study shows that economies that are closer to the frontier in regulatory practice attract larger inflows of foreign direct investment.

WHAT'S NEW IN THIS YEAR'S REPORT?

This year's report, like last year's, presents country case studies. These feature Colombia, Latvia and Rwanda. In addition, the report presents a regional case study on Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation

(APEC), focusing on peer-to-peer learning. And for the first time the report presents thematic case studies, on foreign direct investment and on transparency in business regulation.

This year's report also reintroduces the topic chapters. But it presents them in a different format, as shorter "topic notes" that focus on the changes in the data from the previous year and over all years covered by *Doing Business*. The topic notes also discuss the most prominent reforms from the past year. Full information for each topic, including examples of good practices and relevant research, is available on the *Doing Business* website.⁵ The website also presents the full list of good practices by topic summarized in table 1.4.

NOTES

- 1. To measure convergence. Doing Business calculated the change in the variance of distance to frontier across 174 economies since 2005 for each topic. The results suggest that the largest convergence has been in starting a business, with the variance decreasing by 49% since 2005. The topics with the next largest convergence are paying taxes (with a change in variance of -24%), dealing with construction permits (-23%), registering property (-19%), getting credit (-12%) and enforcing contracts (-4%). Several other topics show a small divergence: trading across borders (7%), protecting investors (2%) and resolving insolvency (1%). The overall change in the variance is -16%, suggesting an overall convergence in all Doing Business topics.
- 2. Eifert 2009.
- 3. The analysis, by Divanbeigi and Ramalho (2012), finds that narrowing the distance to frontier in the indicator sets measuring the complexity and cost of regulatory processes by 10 percentage points is associated with an increase of close to 1 percentage point in the GDP growth rate. Since the distance to frontier improves by 1 percentage point a year on average, these simulations are based on expected results for a 10-year period. Results are based on Arellano-Bond dynamic panel estimation to control for economic cycle and time-invariant country-specific factors. Following Eifert (2009) and Djankov, McLeish and Ramalho (2006), the analysis controls for government consumption, institutional quality and corruption perception. It also controls for total trade openness and rents from natural resources
- This research follows Klapper and Love (2011a). The analysis controls for government consumption, institutional quality and corruption perception. It also controls for total trade openness and rents from natural resources.
- 5. http://www.doingbusiness.org.

About *Doing Business*: measuring for impact



The private sector provides an estimated 90% of jobs in developing economies.¹ Where government policies support a dynamic business environment—with firms making investments, creating jobs and increasing productivity—all people have greater opportunities. A growing body of evidence suggests that policy makers seeking to strengthen the private sector need to pay attention not only to macroeconomic factors but also to the quality of laws, regulations and institutional arrangements that shape daily economic life.²

This is the 10th Doing Business report. When the first report was produced, in 2003, there were few globally available and regularly updated indicators for monitoring such microeconomic issues as business regulations affecting local firms. Earlier efforts from the 1980s drew on perceptions data, but these expert or business surveys focused on broad aspects of the business environment and often captured the experiences of businesses. These surveys also lacked the specificity and cross-country comparability that Doing Business provides—by focusing on well-defined transactions, laws and institutions rather than generic, perceptions-based questions on the business environment.

Doing Business seeks to measure business regulations for domestic firms through an objective lens. The project looks primarily at small and medium-size companies in the largest business city. Based on standardized case studies, it presents quantitative indicators on the regulations that apply to firms at different stages of their life cycle. The results for each

economy can be compared with those for 184 other economies and over time.

Over the years the choice of indicators for Doing Business has been guided by a rich pool of data collected through the World Bank Enterprise Surveys. These data highlight the main obstacles to business activity as reported by entrepreneurs in well over 100 economies. Among the factors that the surveys have identified as important to businesses have been taxes (tax administration as well as tax rates) and electricity-inspiring the design of the paying taxes and getting electricity indicators. In addition, the design of the Doing Business indicators has drawn on theoretical insights gleaned from extensive research literature.3 The Doing Business methodology makes it possible to update the indicators in a relatively inexpensive and replicable way.

The Doing Business methodology is also responsive to the needs of policy makers. Rules and regulations are under the direct control of policy makers-and policy makers intending to change the experience and behavior of businesses will often start by changing rules and regulations that affect them. Doing Business goes beyond identifying that a problem exists and points to specific regulations or regulatory procedures that may lend themselves to regulatory reform. And its quantitative measures of business regulation enable research on how specific regulations affect firm behavior and economic outcomes.

The first *Doing Business* report covered 5 topics and 133 economies. This year's report covers 11 topics and 185 economies.

Ten topics are included in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business, and 9 in the distance to frontier measure.⁴ The project has benefited from feedback from governments, academics, practitioners and reviewers.⁵ The initial goal remains: to provide an objective basis for understanding and improving the regulatory environment for business.

WHAT DOING BUSINESS COVERS

Doing Business captures several important dimensions of the regulatory environment as they apply to local firms. It provides quantitative measures of regulations for starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Doing Business also looks at regulations on employing workers. Pending further progress on research in this area, this year's report does not present rankings of economies on the employing workers indicators or include the topic in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business. It does present the data on the employing workers indicators. Additional data on labor regulations collected in 185 economies are available on the Doing Business website.6


The foundation of Doing Business is the notion that economic activity, particularly private sector development, benefits from clear and coherent rules: Rules that set out and clarify property rights and facilitate the resolution of disputes. And rules that enhance the predictability of economic interactions and provide contractual partners with essential protections against arbitrariness and abuse. Where such rules are reasonably efficient in design, are transparent and accessible to those for whom they are intended and can be implemented at a reasonable cost, they are much more effective in shaping the incentives of economic agents in ways that promote growth and development. The quality of the rules also has a crucial bearing on how societies distribute the benefits and bear the costs of development strategies and policies.

Consistent with the view that rules matter, some Doing Business indicators give a higher score for more regulation and better-functioning institutions (such as courts or credit bureaus). In the area of protecting investors, for example, higher scores are given for stricter disclosure requirements for related-party transactions. Higher scores are also given for a simplified way of applying regulation that keeps compliance costs for firms low-such as by allowing firms to comply with business start-up formalities in a one-stop shop or through a single online portal. Finally, Doing Business scores reward economies that apply a risk-based approach to regulation as a way to address social and environmental concerns—such as by imposing a greater regulatory burden on activities that pose a high risk to the population and a lesser one on lower-risk activities.

Thus the economies that rank highest on the ease of doing business are not those where there is no regulation—but those where governments have managed to create rules that facilitate interactions in the marketplace without needlessly hindering the development of the private sector. In essence, *Doing Business* is about smart business regulations, not necessarily fewer regulations (figure 2.1).

In constructing the indicators the Doing Business project uses 2 types of data. The first come from readings of laws and regulations in each economy. The Doing Business team, in collaboration with local expert respondents, examines the company law to find the disclosure requirements for related-party transactions. It reads the civil law to find the number of procedures necessary to resolve a commercial sale dispute before local courts. It reviews the labor code to find data on a range of issues concerning employeremployee relations. And it plumbs other legal instruments for other key pieces of data used in the indicators, several of which have a large legal dimension.

FIGURE 2.1 What are SMART business regulations as defined by *Doing Business*?



Indeed, about three-quarters of the data used in *Doing Business* are of this factual type, reducing the need to have a larger sample size of experts in order to improve accuracy. The local expert respondents play a vital role in corroborating the *Doing Business* team's understanding and interpretation of rules and laws.

Data of the second type serve as inputs into indicators on the complexity and cost of regulatory processes. These indicators measure the efficiency in achieving a regulatory goal, such as the number of procedures to obtain a building permit or the time taken to grant legal identity to a business. In this group of indicators cost estimates are recorded from official fee schedules where applicable. Time estimates often involve an element of judgment by respondents who routinely administer the relevant regulations or undertake the relevant transactions.7 These experts have several rounds of interaction with the Doing Business team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team until there is convergence on the final answer. To construct the time indicators, a regulatory process such as starting a business is broken down into clearly defined steps and procedures (for more details, see the discussion on methodology in this chapter). Here *Doing Business* builds on Hernando de Soto's pioneering work in applying the time-and-motion approach in the 1980s to show the obstacles to setting up a garment factory on the outskirts of Lima.⁸

WHAT DOING BUSINESS DOES NOT COVER

The *Doing Business* data have key limitations that should be kept in mind by those who use them.

Limited in scope

The *Doing Business* indicators are limited in scope. In particular:

- Doing Business does not measure the full range of factors, policies and institutions that affect the quality of the business environment in an economy or its national competitiveness. It does not, for example, capture aspects of security, the prevalence of bribery and corruption, market size, macroeconomic stability (including whether the government manages its public finances in a sustainable way), the state of the financial system or the level of training and skills of the labor force.
- Even within the relatively small set of indicators included in Doing Business, the focus is deliberately narrow. The getting electricity indicators, for example, capture the procedures, time and cost involved for a business to obtain a permanent electricity connection to supply a standardized warehouse. Through these indicators Doing Business thus provides a narrow perspective on the range of infrastructure challenges that firms face, particularly in the developing world. It does not address the extent to which inadequate roads, rail, ports and communications may add to firms' costs and undermine competitiveness. Doing Business covers 11 areas of a company's life cycle,

TABLE 2.1 Doing Business—benchmarking 11 areas of business regulation					
Complexity and cost of regulatory processes					
Starting a business	Procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital requirement				
Dealing with construction permits	Procedures, time and cost				
Getting electricity	Procedures, time and cost				
Registering property	Procedures, time and cost				
Paying taxes	Payments, time and total tax rate				
Trading across borders	Documents, time and cost				
Strength of legal institutions					
Getting credit	Movable collateral laws and credit information systems				
Protecting investors	Disclosure and liability in related-party transactions				
Enforcing contracts	Procedures, time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute				
Resolving insolvency	Time, cost, outcome and recovery rate				
Employing workers ^a	Flexibility in the regulation of employment				

a. The employing workers indicators are not included in this year's ranking on the ease of doing business nor in the calculation of any data on the strength of legal institutions included in figures in the report.

through 11 specific sets of indicators (table 2.1). Similar to the indicators on getting electricity, those on starting a business or protecting investors do not cover all aspects of commercial legislation. And those on employing workers do not cover all areas of labor regulation; for example, they do not measure regulations addressing health and safety issues at work or the right of collective bargaining.

 Doing Business does not attempt to measure all costs and benefits of a particular law or regulation to society as a whole. The paying taxes indicators, for example, measure the total tax rate, which in isolation is a cost to the business. The indicators do not measure, nor are they intended to measure, the benefits of the social and economic programs funded through tax revenues. Measuring business laws and regulations provides one input into the debate on the regulatory burden associated with achieving regulatory objectives. Those objectives can differ across economies.

Limited to standardized case scenarios

A key consideration for the *Doing Business* indicators is that they should ensure comparability of the data across a global set of economies. The indicators are therefore developed around standardized case scenarios with specific assumptions.

One such assumption is the location of a notional business in the largest business city of the economy. The reality is that business regulations and their enforcement very often differ within a country, particularly in federal states and large economies. But gathering data for every relevant jurisdiction in each of the 185 economies covered by *Doing Business* would be far too costly.

Doing Business recognizes the limitations of the standardized case scenarios and assumptions. But while such assumptions come at the expense of generality, they also help ensure the comparability of data. For this reason it is common to see limiting assumptions of this kind in economic indicators. Inflation statistics, for example, are often based on prices of a set of consumer goods in a few urban areas, since collecting nationally representative price data at high frequencies may be prohibitively costly in many countries. To capture regional variation in the business environment within economies. Doing Business has complemented its global indicators with subnational studies in some economies where resources and interest have come together (box 2.1).

Some *Doing Business* topics include complex and highly differentiated areas. Here the standardized cases and assumptions are carefully considered and defined. For example, the standardized case scenario

usually involves a limited liability company or its legal equivalent. The considerations in defining this assumption are twofold. First, private limited liability companies are, empirically, the most prevalent business form in many economies around the world. Second, this choice reflects the focus of *Doing Business* on expanding opportunities for entrepreneurship:

investors are encouraged to venture into business when potential losses are limited to their capital participation.

Limited to the formal sector

The *Doing Business* indicators assume that entrepreneurs have knowledge of and comply with applicable regulations. In practice, entrepreneurs may not know

what needs to be done or how to comply and may lose considerable time in trying to find out. Or they may deliberately avoid compliance altogether—by not registering for social security, for example. Where regulation is particularly onerous, levels of informality tend to be higher (figure 2.2).

Informality comes at a cost. Compared with their formal sector counterparts, firms in the informal sector typically grow more slowly, have poorer access to credit and employ fewer workers—and these workers remain outside the protections of labor law. All this may be even more so for female-owned businesses, according to country-specific research. Firms in the informal sector are also less likely to pay taxes.

Doing Business measures one set of factors that help explain the occurrence of informality and give policy makers insights into potential areas of reform. Gaining a fuller understanding of the broader business environment, and a broader perspective on policy challenges, requires combining insights from Doing Business with data from other sources, such as the World Bank Enterprise Surveys.¹¹

BOX 2.1 COMPARING REGULATIONS AT THE LOCAL LEVEL: SUBNATIONAL *DOING BUSINESS* REPORTS

Subnational *Doing Business* reports expand the indicators beyond the largest business city in an economy. They capture local differences in regulations or in the implementation of national regulations across cities within an economy (as in Colombia) or region (as in South East Europe). Projects are undertaken at the request of central governments, which often contribute financing, as in Mexico. In some cases local governments also provide funding, as in the Russian Federation.

Subnational indicators provide governments with standard measures, based on laws and regulations, that allow objective comparisons both domestically and internationally. As a diagnostic tool, they identify bottlenecks as well as highlight good practices that are easily replicable in other cities sharing a similar legal framework.

Governments take ownership of a subnational project by participating in all steps of its design and implementation—choosing the cities to be benchmarked, the indicators that can capture local differences and the frequency of benchmarking. All levels of government are involved—national, regional and municipal.

Subnational projects create a space for discussing regulatory reform and provide opportunities for governments and agencies to learn from one another, through the report and through peer-to-peer learning workshops. Even after the report is launched, knowledge sharing continues. In Mexico 28 of 32 states hold regular exchanges.

Repeated benchmarking creates healthy competition between cities to improve their regulatory environment. The dissemination of the results reinforces this process and gives cities an opportunity to tell their stories. Fifteen economies have requested 2 or more rounds of benchmarking since 2005 (including Colombia, Indonesia and Nigeria), and many have expanded the geographic coverage to more cities (including Russia). In Mexico each successive round has captured an increase in the number of states improving their regulatory environment in each of the 4 indicator sets included—reaching 100% of states in 2011.

Since 2005 subnational reports have covered 335 cities in 54 economies, including Brazil, China, the Arab Republic of Egypt, India, Kenya, Morocco, Pakistan and the Philippines.¹

This year studies were updated in Indonesia, Kenya, Mexico, Russia and the United Arab Emirates. Studies are ongoing in Hargeisa (Somaliland) as well as in 23 cities and 4 ports in Colombia, 15 cities and 3 ports in Egypt and 13 cities and 7 ports in Italy. In addition, 3 regional reports were published:

- Doing Business in OHADA, comparing business regulations in the 16 member states
 of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (Benin, Burkina
 Faso, Cameroon, the Central African Republic, Chad, the Comoros, the Republic of
 Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger,
 Senegal and Togo).
- Doing Business in the East African Community, covering 5 economies (Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda).
- Doing Business in the Arab World, covering 20 economies (Algeria, Bahrain, the Comoros, Djibouti, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Mauritania, Morocco, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, the Syrian Arab Republic, Tunisia, the United Arab Emirates, West Bank and Gaza, and the Republic of Yemen).

WHY THIS FOCUS?

Why does Doing Business focus on the regulatory environment for small and medium-size enterprises? These enterprises are key drivers of competition, growth and job creation, particularly in developing economies. But in these economies up to 65% of economic activity takes place in the informal sector, often because of excessive bureaucracy and regulation—and in the informal sector firms lack access to the opportunities and protections that the law provides. Even firms operating in the formal sector might not have equal access to these opportunities and protections. Where regulation is burdensome and competition limited, success tends to depend on whom one knows. But where regulation is transparent, efficient and implemented in a simple way, it becomes easier for aspiring entrepreneurs to compete, innovate and grow.

Subnational reports are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org/ subnational.

FIGURE 2.2 Higher levels of informality are associated with lower *Doing Business* rankings



Note: The correlation between the 2 variables is 0.57. Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita. The data sample includes 143 economies.

Source: Doing Business database; Schneider, Buehn and Montenegro 2010.

Do the focus areas of Doing Business matter for development and poverty reduction? The World Bank study Voices of the Poor asked 60,000 poor people around the world how they thought they might escape poverty.¹² The answers were unequivocal: women and men alike pin their hopes, above all, on income from their own business or wages earned in employment. Enabling growth—and ensuring that all people, regardless of income level, can participate in its benefits—requires an environment where new entrants with drive and good ideas can get started in business and where good firms can invest and grow, thereby generating more jobs. In this sense Doing Business values good rules as a key to social inclusion.

In effect, *Doing Business* functions as a barometer of the regulatory environment for domestic businesses. To use a medical analogy, *Doing Business* is similar to a cholesterol test. A cholesterol test does not tell us everything about our health. But our cholesterol level is easier to measure than our overall health, and the test provides us with important information, warning us when we need to adjust our behavior. Similarly, *Doing Business* does not tell us everything we need to know about the regulatory environment for domestic businesses. But its indicators


cover aspects that are more easily measured than the entire regulatory environment, and they provide important information about where change is needed. What type of change or regulatory reform is right, however, can vary substantially across economies.

To test whether *Doing Business* serves as a proxy for the broader business environment and for competitiveness, one approach is to look at correlations between the *Doing Business* rankings and

other major economic benchmarks. The indicator set closest to Doing Business in what it measures is the set of indicators on product market regulation compiled by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). These are designed to help assess the extent to which the regulatory environment promotes or inhibits competition. They include measures of the extent of price controls, the licensing and permit system, the degree of simplification of rules and procedures, the administrative burdens and legal and regulatory barriers, the prevalence of discriminatory procedures and the degree of government control over business enterprises.¹³ These indicators—for the 39 countries that are covered, several of them large emerging markets—are correlated with the Doing Business rankings (the correlation here is 0.53) (figure 2.3).

There is a high correlation (0.83) between the *Doing Business* rankings and the rankings on the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Index, a much broader measure capturing such factors as macroeconomic stability, aspects of human capital, the soundness of public institutions and the sophistication of the business community (figure 2.4).¹⁴ Self-reported experiences with business regulations, such as those captured by the


FIGURE 2.3 A significant correlation between *Doing Business* rankings and OECD rankings on product market regulation



Note: Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database; OECD data.

FIGURE 2.4 A strong correlation between *Doing Business* rankings and World Economic Forum rankings on global competitiveness

2012/13 ranking on Global Competitiveness Index



Note: Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database; WEF 2012.

Global Competitiveness Index, often vary much more within economies (across respondents in the same economy) than across economies.¹⁵ A high correlation such as this one can therefore coexist with significant differences within economies.

DOING BUSINESS AS A BENCHMARKING EXERCISE

By capturing key dimensions of regulatory regimes, *Doing Business* provides a rich opportunity for benchmarking. Such a benchmarking exercise is necessarily incomplete, just as the *Doing Business* data are limited in scope. It is useful when it aids judgment, but not when it supplants judgment.

Since 2006 Doing Business has sought to provide 2 perspectives on the data it collects: it presents "absolute" indicators for each economy for each of the 11 regulatory topics it addresses, and it provides rankings of economies for 10 topics, by topic and also in the aggregate. Judgment is required in interpreting these measures for any economy and in determining a sensible and politically feasible path for regulatory reform.

Reviewing the *Doing Business* rankings in isolation may reveal unexpected results. Some economies may rank unexpectedly high on some topics. And some

economies that have had rapid growth or attracted a great deal of investment may rank lower than others that appear to be less dynamic.

As economies develop, they may add to or improve on regulations that protect investor and property rights. Many also tend to streamline existing regulations and prune outdated ones. One finding of *Doing Business* is that dynamic and growing economies continually reform and update their business regulations and the implementation of those regulations, while many poor economies still work with regulatory systems dating to the late 1800s.

For reform-minded governments, how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs improves in an absolute sense matters far more than their economy's ranking relative to other economies. To aid in assessing the absolute level of regulatory performance and how it improves over time, this year's report again presents the distance to frontier measure. This measure shows the distance of each economy to the "frontier," which represents the highest performance observed on each of the indicators across all economies included in *Doing Business* since 2003.

At any point in time the distance to frontier measure shows how far an economy is from the highest performance. And comparing an economy's score at 2 points in time allows users to assess the absolute change over time in the economy's regulatory environment as measured by *Doing Business*, rather than simply the change in the economy's performance relative to others. In this way the distance to frontier measure complements the yearly ease of doing business ranking, which compares economies with one another at a point in time.

Each topic covered by Doing Business relates to a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The rankings of each economy vary, sometimes significantly, across topics. A quick way to assess the variability of an economy's regulatory performance across the different areas of business regulation is to look at the topic rankings (see the country tables). Guatemala, for example, stands at 93 in the overall ease of doing business ranking. Its ranking is 12 on the ease of getting credit, 20 on the ease of registering property and 34 on the ease of getting electricity. At the same time, it has a ranking of 124 on the ease of paying taxes, 158 on the strength of investor protections and 172 on the ease of starting a business (see figure 1.2 in the executive summary).

WHAT 10 YEARS OF DATA SHOW

A growing body of empirical research shows that particular areas of business regulation, and particular regulatory reforms in those areas, are associated with vital social and economic outcomesincluding firm creation, employment, formality, international trade, access to financial services and the survival of struggling but viable firms. 16 This research has been made possible by a decade of Doing Business data combined with other data sets. Some 1.245 research articles published in peer-reviewed academic journals, and about 4,071 working papers available through Google Scholar, refer to the Doing Business data.17

Determining the empirical impact of regulatory reforms is not easy. One possible approach is cross-country correlation analysis. But with this method it is difficult to isolate the effect of a particular regulatory reform because of all the other factors that may vary across economies and that may not have been taken into account in the analysis. How then do researchers determine whether social or economic outcomes would have been different without a specific regulatory reform? A growing number of studies have been able to investigate such questions by analyzing regulatory changes within a country over time or by using panel estimations. Others have focused on regulatory reforms relevant only for particular firms or industries within a country. The broader literature, using a range of different empirical strategies, has produced a number of interesting findings, including those described below.

Smarter business regulation promotes economic growth. Economies with better business regulation grow faster. One study found that for economies in the best quartile of business regulation as measured by Doing Business, the difference in business regulation with those in the worst quartile is associated with a 2.3 percentage point increase in annual growth rates. Another found that regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in relatively low-income economies are associated with an increase in growth rates of 0.4 percentage point in the following year. 19

Simpler business registration promotes greater entrepreneurship and firm productivity. Economies that have efficient business registration also tend to have a higher entry rate by new firms and greater business density. Faster business registration is associated with more businesses registering in industries with the strongest potential for growth, such as those experiencing expansionary global demand or technology shifts. And easier start-up is associated with more investment in industries often sheltered from competition, including transport,

utilities and communications.²² Empirical evidence also suggests that more efficient business entry regulations improve firm productivity and macroeconomic performance.²³

Lower costs for business registration improve formal employment opportunities. Because new firms are often set up by high-skilled workers, lowering entry costs often leads to higher take-up rates for education, more jobs for high-skilled workers and higher average productivity.²⁴ And by increasing formal registration, it can also boost legal certainty—because the newly formal firms are now covered by the legal system, benefiting themselves as well as their customers and suppliers.²⁵

Country-specific studies confirm that simplifying entry regulations can promote the establishment of new formal sector firms:

- In Colombia the introduction of onestop shops for business registration in different cities across the country was followed by a 5.2% increase in new firm registrations.²⁶
- In Mexico a study analyzing the effects of a program simplifying municipal licensing found that it led to a 5% increase in the number of registered businesses and a 2.2% increase in employment. Moreover, competition from new entrants lowered prices by 0.6% and the income of incumbent businesses by 3.2%.²⁷ A second study found that the program was more effective in municipalities with less corruption and cheaper additional registration procedures.²⁸ Yet another found that simpler licensing may result in both more wage workers and more formal enterprises, depending on the personal characteristics of informal business owners: those with characteristics similar to wage workers were more likely to become wage workers, while those with characteristics similar to entrepreneurs in the formal sector were more likely to become formal business owners.29

- In India a study found that the progressive elimination of the "license raj"—the system regulating entry and production in industry—led to a 6% increase in new firm registrations.30 Another study found that simpler entry regulation and labor market flexibility were complementary: in Indian states with more flexible employment regulations informal firms decreased by 25% more, and real output grew by 18% more, than in states with less flexible regulations.31 A third study found that the licensing reform resulted in an aggregate productivity increase of 22% among the firms affected.32
- In Portugal the introduction of a onestop shop for businesses led to a 17% increase in new firm registrations. The reform favored mostly small-scale entrepreneurs with low levels of education operating in low-tech sectors such as agriculture, construction and retail.³³

An effective regulatory environment improves trade performance. Strengthening institutional environment trade—such as by increasing customs efficiency—can boost trade volumes.34 In Sub-Saharan Africa an inefficient trade environment was found to be among the main factors in poor trade performance.35 One study found that a 1-day reduction in inland travel times leads to a 7% increase in exports.³⁶ Another found that among the factors that improve trade performance are access to finance, the quality of infrastructure and the government's ability to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that promote private sector development.37 The same study showed that the more constrained economies are in their access to foreign markets, the more they can benefit from improvements in the investment climate. Yet another study found that improvements in transport efficiency and the business environment have a greater marginal effect on exports in lowerincome economies than in high-income ones.38 One study even suggests that behind-the-border measures to improve logistics performance and facilitate trade

may have a larger effect on trade, especially on exports, than tariff reduction would.³⁹

Other areas of regulation matter for trade performance. Economies with good contract enforcement tend to produce and export more customized products than those with poor contract enforcement. 40 Since production of high-quality output is a precondition for firms to become exporters, reforms that lower the cost of high-quality production increase the positive effect of trade reforms. 41 Moreover, reforms removing barriers to trade need to be accompanied by other reforms, such as those making labor markets more flexible, to increase productivity and growth. 42

Sound financial market infrastructure including courts, creditor and insolvency laws, and credit and collateral registries improves access to credit. Businesses worldwide identify access to credit as one of the main obstacles they face.⁴³ Good credit information systems and strong collateral laws help overcome this obstacle. An analysis of reforms improving collateral law in 12 transition economies concludes that they had a positive effect on the volume of bank lending.⁴⁴ Greater information sharing through credit bureaus is associated with higher bank profitability and lower bank risk. And stronger creditor rights and the existence of public or private credit registries are associated with a higher ratio of private credit to GDP.45

Country-specific studies confirm that efficient debt recovery and exit processes are key in determining credit conditions and in ensuring that less productive firms are either restructured or exit the market:

• In India the establishment of specialized debt recovery tribunals had a range of positive effects, including speeding up the resolution of debt recovery claims, allowing lenders to seize more collateral on defaulting loans, increasing the probability of repayment by 28% and reducing interest rates on loans by 1–2 percentage points.⁴⁶

- Brazil's extensive bankruptcy reform in 2005 was associated with a 22% reduction in the cost of debt and a 39% increase in the aggregate level of credit.⁴⁷
- Introducing streamlined mechanisms for reorganization has been shown to reduce the number of liquidations because it encourages more viable firms to opt for reorganization. Indeed, it reduced the number of liquidations by 14% in Colombia and by 8.4% in Belgium. 48 One important feature of Colombia's new system is that it better distinguishes between viable and nonviable firms, making it more likely that financially distressed but fundamentally viable firms will survive.
- Improving investor protections, developing financial markets and promoting more active markets for corporate control reduce the persistence of family-controlled firms over time, expanding opportunity for firms with more diversified capital structures.⁴⁹

HOW GOVERNMENTS USE DOING BUSINESS

Doing Business offers policy makers a benchmarking tool useful in stimulating policy debate, both by exposing potential challenges and by identifying good practices and lessons learned. The initial debate on the results highlighted by the data typically turns into a deeper discussion on the relevance of the data to the economy and on areas where business regulation reform is needed, including areas well beyond those measured by Doing Business.

Reform-minded governments seeking success stories in business regulation refer to *Doing Business* for examples (box 2.2). Saudi Arabia, for example, used the company law of France as a model for revising its own law. Many African governments look to Mauritius—the region's strongest performer on *Doing Business* indicators—as a source of good practices to inspire regulatory reforms in their own countries. Governments shared knowledge of business regulations before

the *Doing Business* project began. But *Doing Business* made it easier by creating a common language comparing business regulations around the world.

Over the past 10 years governments worldwide have been actively improving the regulatory environment for domestic companies. Most reforms relating to Doing Business topics have been nested in broader reform programs aimed at enhancing economic competitiveness, as in Colombia, Kenya and Liberia. In structuring reform programs for the business environment, governments use multiple data sources and indicators. This recognizes the reality that the Doing Business data on their own provide an incomplete roadmap for successful business regulation reforms.⁵⁰ It also reflects the need to respond to many stakeholders and interest groups, all of whom bring important issues and concerns to the reform debate.

When the World Bank Group engages with governments on the subject of improving the investment climate, the dialogue aims to encourage the critical use of the Doing Business data—to sharpen judgment and promote broad-based reforms that enhance the investment climate rather than a narrow focus on improving the Doing Business rankings. The World Bank Group uses a vast range of indicators and analytics in this policy dialogue, including its Global Poverty Monitoring Indicators, World Development Indicators, Logistics Performance Indicators and many others. The open data initiative has made data for many such indicators conveniently available to the public at http://data .worldbank.org.

METHODOLOGY AND DATA

The *Doing Business* data are based on domestic laws and regulations as well as administrative requirements. The data cover 185 economies—including small economies and some of the poorest economies, for which little or no data are available in other data sets. (For a detailed explanation of the *Doing Business* methodology, see the data notes.)

BOX 2.2 HOW ECONOMIES HAVE USED *DOING BUSINESS* IN REGULATORY REFORM PROGRAMS

To ensure the coordination of efforts across agencies, such economies as Brunei Darussalam, Colombia and Rwanda have formed regulatory reform committees, reporting directly to the president. These committees use the *Doing Business* indicators as one input to inform their programs for improving the business environment. More than 35 other economies have formed such committees at the interministerial level. In East and South Asia they include India; Korea; Malaysia; the Philippines; Taiwan, China; and Vietnam. In the Middle East and North Africa: Morocco, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. In Eastern Europe and Central Asia: Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kosovo, the Kyrgyz Republic, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro and Tajikistan. In Sub-Saharan Africa: Botswana, Burundi, the Central African Republic, the Comoros, the Democratic Republic of Congo, the Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, Mali, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Togo and Zambia. And in Latin America: Chile, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama and Peru. Since 2003 governments have reported more than 350 regulatory reforms that have been informed by *Doing Business*.¹

Many economies share knowledge on the regulatory reform process related to the areas measured in *Doing Business*. Among the most common venues for this knowledge sharing are peer-to-peer learning events—workshops where officials from different governments across a region or even across the globe meet to discuss the challenges of regulatory reform and share their experiences. In recent years such events have taken place in Colombia (for Latin America and the Caribbean), in Rwanda (for Sub-Saharan Africa), in Georgia (for Eastern Europe and Central Asia), in Malaysia (for East Asia and the Pacific) and in Morocco (for the Middle East and North Africa). In addition, regional organizations such as APEC, featured in a case study in this year's report, use the *Doing Business* data as a tool and common language to set an agenda for business regulation reform.

1. These are reforms for which *Doing Business* is aware that information provided by the *Doing Business* report was used in shaping the reform agenda.

Doing Business respondents

Over the past 10 years more than 18,000 professionals in 185 economies have assisted in providing the data that inform the Doing Business indicators. This year's report draws on the inputs of more than 9,600 professionals.51 Table 20.2 in the data notes lists the number of respondents for each indicator set. The Doing Business website shows the number of respondents for each economy and each indicator. Respondents are professionals who routinely administer or advise on the legal and regulatory requirements covered in each Doing Business topic. They are selected on the basis of their expertise in the specific areas covered by Doing Business. Because of the focus on legal and regulatory arrangements, most of the respondents are legal professionals such as lawyers, judges or notaries. The credit information survey is answered by officials of the credit registry or bureau. Freight forwarders, accountants, architects, engineers and other professionals

answer the surveys related to trading across borders, taxes and construction permits. Certain public officials (such as registrars from the commercial or property registry) also provide information that is incorporated into the indicators.

Information sources for the data

Most of the *Doing Business* indicators are based on laws and regulations. In addition, most of the cost indicators are backed by official fee schedules. *Doing Business* respondents both fill out written questionnaires and provide references to the relevant laws, regulations and fee schedules, aiding data checking and quality assurance. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue, as the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy.

For some indicators—for example, those on dealing with construction permits, enforcing contracts and resolving

insolvency—the time component and part of the cost component (where fee schedules are lacking) are based on actual practice rather than the law on the books. This introduces a degree of judgment. The Doing Business approach has therefore been to work with legal practitioners or professionals who regularly undertake the transactions involved. Following the standard methodological approach for time-and-motion studies, Doing Business breaks down each process or transaction, such as starting a business or registering a building, into separate steps to ensure a better estimate of time. The time estimate for each step is given by practitioners with significant and routine experience in the transaction. When time estimates differ, further interactions with respondents are pursued to converge on one estimate that reflects the majority of applicable cases.

The *Doing Business* approach to data collection contrasts with that of firm surveys, which capture perceptions and experiences of businesses. A corporate lawyer registering 100–150 businesses a year will be more familiar with the process than an entrepreneur, who will register a business only once or maybe twice. A bankruptcy attorney or judge dealing with dozens of cases a year will have more insight into bankruptcy than a company that may undergo the process once.

Development of the methodology

The methodology for calculating each indicator is transparent, objective and easily replicable. Leading academics collaborate in the development of the indicators, ensuring academic rigor. Eight of the background papers underlying the indicators have been published in leading economic journals.⁵²

Doing Business uses a simple averaging approach for weighting component indicators and calculating rankings and the distance to frontier measure. Other approaches were explored, including using principal components and unobserved components.⁵³ They turn out to

yield results nearly identical to those of simple averaging. In the absence of a strong theoretical framework that assigns different weights to the topics covered for the 185 economies by *Doing Business*, the simplest method is used: weighting all topics equally and, within each topic, giving equal weight to each of the topic components (for more details, see the chapter on the ease of doing business and distance to frontier).⁵⁴

Improvements to the methodology

The methodology has undergone continual improvement over the years. For enforcing contracts, for example, the amount of the disputed claim in the case study was increased from 50% of income per capita to 200% after the first year of data collection, as it became clear that smaller claims were unlikely to go to court.

Another change related to starting a business. The minimum capital requirement can be an obstacle for potential entrepreneurs. *Doing Business* measured the required minimum capital regardless of whether it had to be paid up front or not. In many economies only part of the minimum capital has to be paid up front. To reflect the relevant barrier to entry, the paid-in minimum capital has been used rather than the required minimum capital.

This year's report includes an update in the ranking methodology for paying taxes. Last year's report introduced a threshold for the total tax rate for the purpose of calculating the ranking on the ease of paying taxes. This change came as a result of consultations on the survey instrument and methodology for the paying taxes indicators with external stakeholders, including participants in the International Tax Dialogue. All economies with a total tax rate below the threshold (which is calculated and adjusted on a yearly basis) now receive the same ranking on the total tax rate indicator. This year's threshold is set at the 15th percentile of the total tax rate distribution, which translates into a threshold for the total tax rate of 25.7%.

Data adjustments

All changes in methodology are explained in the data notes as well as on the Doing Business website. In addition, data time series for each indicator and economy are available on the website, beginning with the first year the indicator or economy was included in the report. To provide a comparable time series for research, the data set is back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and any revisions in data due to corrections. The data set is not back-calculated for year-to-year revisions in income per capita data (that is, when the income per capita data are revised by the original data sources, Doing Business does not update the cost measures for previous years). The website also makes available all original data sets used for background papers.

Information on data corrections is provided in the data notes and on the website. A transparent complaint procedure allows anyone to challenge the data. If errors are confirmed after a data verification process, they are expeditiously corrected.

NOTES

- 1. World Bank 2005; Stampini and others 2011
- 2. See, for example, Alesina and others (2005); Perotti and Volpin (2005); Fisman and Sarria-Allende (2010); Antunes and Cavalcanti (2007); Barseghyan (2008); Klapper, Lewin and Quesada Delgado (2009); Freund and Bolaky (2008); Chang, Kaltani and Loayza (2009); Helpman, Melitz and Rubinstein (2008); Klapper, Laeven and Rajan (2006); World Bank (2005); and Ardagna and Lusardi (2010).
- 3. This includes Djankov and others (2002); Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2007); Djankov, La Porta and others (2008); Djankov, Freund and Pham (2010); Djankov and others (2003); Djankov, Hart and others (2008); Botero and others (2004); and Djankov and others (2010).
- For more details on how the aggregate ranking is created, see the chapter on the ease of doing business and distance to frontier.
- This has included a review by the World Bank Independent Evaluation Group

- (2008), input from the International Tax Dialogue and regular input from the Indicators Advisory Group.
- 6. http://www.doingbusiness.org.
- Local experts in 185 economies are surveyed annually to collect and update the data. The local experts for each economy are listed on the Doing Business website (http://www .doingbusiness.org) and in the acknowledgments at the end of this report.
- B. De Soto 2000.
- 9. Schneider 2005; La Porta and Shleifer 2008
- 10. Amin 2011.
- 11. http://www.enterprisesurveys.org.
- 12. Narayan and others 2000.
- OECD, "Indicators of Product Market Regulation," http://www.oecd.org/. The measures are aggregated into 3 broad families that capture state control, barriers to entrepreneurship and barriers to international trade and investment. The 39 countries included in the OECD market regulation indicators are Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russia, the Slovak Republic, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States.
- 14. The World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report uses Doing Business data sets on starting a business, employing workers, protecting investors and getting credit (legal rights), representing 7 of a total of 113 different indicators (or 6.19%).
- 15. Hallward-Driemeier, Khun-Jush and Pritchett (2010), analyzing data from World Bank Enterprise Surveys for Sub-Saharan Africa, show that de jure measures such as Doing Business indicators are virtually uncorrelated with ex post firm-level responses, providing evidence that deals rather than rules prevail in Africa. The authors find that the gap between de jure and de facto conditions grows with the formal regulatory burden. The evidence also shows that more burdensome processes open up more space for making deals and that firms may not incur the official costs of compliance but still pay to avoid them

- 16. Much attention has been given to exploring links to microeconomic outcomes, such as firm creation and employment. Recent research focuses on how business regulations affect the behavior of firms by creating incentives (or disincentives) to register and operate formally, to create jobs, to innovate and to increase productivity. For details, see Djankov and others (2002); Alesina and others (2005); Banerjee and Duflo (2005); Perotti and Volpin (2005); Klapper, Laeven and Rajan (2006); Fisman and Sarria-Allende (2010); Antunes and Cavalcanti (2007); Barseghyan (2008); Eifert (2009); Klapper, Lewin and Quesada Delgado (2009); Djankov, Freund and Pham (2010); Klapper and Love (2011a); Chari (2011); and Bruhn (2011).
- According to searches for citations of the 9 background papers that serve as the basis for the *Doing Business* indicators in the Social Science Citation Index and on Google Scholar (http://scholar .google.com).
- 18. Djankov, McLiesh and Ramalho 2006.
- 19. Eifert 2009.
- 20. Klapper, Lewin and Quesada Delgado 2009. Entry rate refers to newly registered firms as a percentage of total registered firms. Business density is defined as the total number of businesses as a percentage of the working-age population (ages 18–65).
- 21. Ciccone and Papaioannou 2007.
- 22. Alesina and others 2005.
- 23. Loayza, Oviedo and Servén 2005; Barseghyan 2008.
- Dulleck, Frijters and Winter-Ebmer 2006; Calderon, Chong and Leon 2007; Micco and Pagés 2006.
- 25. Masatlioglu and Rigolini 2008; Djankov 2009
- 26. Cardenas and Rozo 2009.

- 27. Bruhn 2011.
- 28. Kaplan, Piedra and Seira 2007.
- 29. Bruhn 2012.
- 30. Aghion and others 2008.
- 31. Sharma 2009.
- 32. Chari 2011.
- 33. Branstetter and others 2010.
- 34. Djankov, Freund and Pham 2010.
- 35. Iwanow and Kirkpatrick 2009.
- 36. Freund and Rocha 2011.
- 37. Seker 2011.
- 38. Portugal-Perez and Wilson 2011.
- 39. Hoekman and Nicita 2011.
- 40. Nunn 2007.
- 41. Rauch 2010.
- 42. Chang, Kaltani and Loayza 2009; Cuñat and Melitz 2007.
- 43. http://www.enterprisesurveys.org.
- 44. Haselmann, Pistor and Vig 2010.

 The countries studied were Bulgaria,
 Croatia, the Czech Republic, Estonia,
 Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland,
 Romania, the Slovak Republic, Slovenia
 and Ukraine.
- 45. Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer 2007; Houston and others 2010.
- 46. Visaria 2009. In a follow-up study, von Lilienfeld-Toal, Mookherjee and Visaria (2012) found that the average effects identified by Visaria (2009) differ between wealthy and poor borrowers when the credit supply is inelastic (because of limits in such resources as funds, staff and information). In particular, they found that in the short term after the debt recovery tribunals are introduced, borrowers with less collateral may experience a reduction in access to credit while those with more collateral may experience an increase. But the authors also point out that this short-term effect disappears over time as banks are able to increase

- their resources and the credit supply becomes elastic.
- 47. Funchal 2008.
- 48. Giné and Love (2010) on Colombia;

 Dewaelheyns and Van Hulle (2008) on Belgium.
- 49. Franks and others 2011.
- 50. One recent study using *Doing Business* indicators illustrates the difficulties in using highly disaggregated indicators to identify reform priorities (Kraay and Tawara 2011).
- 51. While about 9,600 contributors provided data for this year's report, many of them completed a survey for more than one *Doing Business* indicator set. Indeed, the total number of surveys completed for this year's report is more than 12,000, which represents a truer measure of the inputs received. The average number of surveys per indicator set and economy is just under 6. For more details, see http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doing-business.
- 52. All background papers are available on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).
- 53. For more details, see the chapter on the ease of doing business and distance to frontier
- 54. A technical note on the different aggregation and weighting methods is available on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).



Colombia: sustaining reforms over time

- Colombia's experience shows the importance of sustaining reform efforts over time and adjusting them to the changing needs of the economy, whether at the national or local level.
- Colombia is a regional leader in narrowing the gap with the world's most efficient regulatory practice.
- Over time, the focus of Colombia's reform efforts has shifted from reducing the cost and complexity of business regulation to strengthening legal institutions.
- Colombia's most notable regulatory improvements have been in the areas of starting a business, paying taxes, protecting investors and resolving insolvency.
- While development hurdles remain, Colombia's regulatory reforms have increased its competitiveness and have had local and regional "spillover" effects.

Over the past several decades Colombia has pursued a broad range of structural and institutional reforms. The emphasis has shifted over the years, reflecting the priorities of different administrations and the perceived needs of the economy. In the 1980s and early 1990s much of the focus was on macroeconomic management.1 As progress was made in laying a firm foundation of macroeconomic stability, the focus shifted to other areas. The government gave particular emphasis to policies and institutions seen as central to enhancing productivity and growth and boosting the country's competitiveness. As part of this, it set in motion reforms aimed at improving the regulatory framework and the rules underpinning private sector activity. The Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Tourism led a coordinated reform effort bringing together government agencies, the Congress and the judiciary as well as the private sector.

In 2007 Colombia's government further institutionalized its commitment to regulatory reform by establishing the Private Council for Competitiveness. A public-private partnership, the council is made up of business associations and private sector players working closely with the government to promote sound, business-friendly regulatory practices.²

Recent administrations have continued to use national development plans to establish a clear economic agenda. In 2009 President Alvaro Uribe highlighted Colombia's progress and his government's plans for new regulatory reforms aimed at further gains in competitiveness.³ And since the change of legislature

in August 2010, the new government, led by President Juan Manuel Santos, has been pushing forward an economic reform agenda through the "Prosperity for All" national development plan for 2010–14. The plan's overall goals are to reduce poverty, increase income, generate employment, improve security, ensure the sustainable use of natural resources and improve the quality of the business environment.⁴


SUSTAINED EFFORT AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

As Colombia has improved its business regulatory environment, results have shown in *Doing Business* indicators—including those on starting a business, paying taxes, protecting investors and resolving insolvency. Indeed, thanks to its sustained efforts, Colombia has made greater progress toward the frontier in regulatory practice since 2005 than any other Latin American economy (figure 3.1).

Other indicators also reflect the improvements. The total number of newly registered businesses in the country rose from 33,752 in 2006 to 57,768 in 2011.5 Colombia's performance on several relevant measures compiled by the Worldwide Governance Indicators project improved between 2002 and 2010—including the Rule of Law Index (reflecting perceptions of the extent to which firms have confidence in and abide by the rules of society) and the Regulatory Quality Index (capturing perceptions of the government's ability to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development).6

FIGURE 3.1 Colombia has outpaced the region in advancing toward the frontier in regulatory practice

Progress in narrowing distance to frontier since 2005 (percentage points)



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The figure shows the absolute difference for each economy between its distance to frontier in 2005 and that in 2012. No data are shown for The Bahamas and Barbados, which were added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005.

Source: Doing Business database.

And Colombia's ranking on the ease of doing business rose from 79 among the 175 economies included in 2006 to 45 among the 185 included in 2012.

Choosing a reform path

While Colombia simultaneously pursued very different types of regulatory

FIGURE 3.2 A trend toward stronger legal institutions and less expensive regulatory processes in Colombia

Average distance to frontier in sets of Doing Business indicators



Note: Strength of legal institutions refers to the average distance to frontier in getting credit, protecting investors, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. Complexity and cost of regulatory processes refers to the average distance to frontier in starting a business, dealing with construction permits, registering property, paying taxes and trading across borders. The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator since 2005.

Source: Doing Business database.

reforms, it first completed those aimed at streamlining business regulation and reducing its cost to companies. Until 2008 the focus was largely on reducing transactions costs, such as by simplifying business start-up procedures or tax administration. These types of reforms have continued since 2008, but the focus has shifted toward strengthening legal institutions such as bankruptcy systems and investor protections (figure 3.2).

This sequencing of reforms is not unusual. Many economies have focused first on simplifying regulatory transactions for businesses, then moved on to more complex and time-consuming reforms aimed at improving legal institutions such as court systems. Such reforms require more sustained efforts, often over a period of several years.

Encouraging business start-ups

Regulatory reforms implemented by Colombia in recent years have made a clear difference in the ease of starting a business as measured by *Doing Business*. They have reduced the time required to start a business from 60 days to 14, the cost from 28% of income per capita to

8% and the number of procedures from 19 to 9 in 2011 (figure 3.3).

The introduction and subsequent upgrades of one-stop shops for business registration at chambers of commerce account for much of the change. The first one-stop shops started to operate in May 2003. As the changes in the start-up process yielded positive results, the government continued to improve it. In 2005, for example, Law 962—the "antitrámites" ("antipaperwork") law-eliminated around 80 bureaucratic processes required to start a business and introduced a provision preventing government agencies from creating new procedures. It also simplified the procedures required by allowing electronic submission of documents and eliminating the need to have signatures notarized.

More improvements came in 2010. A new public-private health provider, Nueva EPS, replaced the previous provider administered by the Social Security Institute. The new system enables employers and employees to register for health services in just 1 week. In addition, Colombia introduced online preenrollment for new companies, making registration faster and simpler.


New regulations recently introduced a progressive fee schedule for new companies. The fee schedule exempts new firms from up-front payment of regulatory fees during their first few years of operation. And the start-up fee associated with the commercial license is no longer required.

Simplifying tax compliance

Over the years Colombia has greatly improved its tax and social security compliance processes. In 2002, as the government realized that about a third of its potential revenue from corporate income, personal income and value added taxes went uncollected, it decided to introduce an electronic payment system in an attempt to lower tax evasion.⁸

In 2009 the government lowered corporate income tax rates and introduced an online form for social contribution

FIGURE 3.3 Starting a business is now faster and less costly in Colombia



Source: Doing Business database.

payments. This form simplified tax compliance for Colombian businesses by combining into a single online payment all contributions for social security, the welfare security system and labor risk insurance.

To further improve and simplify tax compliance, in 2010 the government made electronic filing of corporate income tax and value added tax mandatory for firms with annual sales exceeding 500 million Colombian pesos (about \$280,000) in or after 2008.

Thanks to these continued efforts, paying taxes as measured by *Doing Business* became considerably easier between 2004 and 2010. The number of payments fell from 69 a year to 9, and the time needed to prepare and file taxes from 456 hours a year to 193. And the total tax rate declined from 82.1% of profit to 74.8% in this period (figure 3.4).

Enhancing investor protections

Starting in 2005, Colombia implemented 3 major legal reforms aimed at strengthening investor protections. In 2005 Colombia enacted Law 964, providing

FIGURE 3.4 Colombia has made tax compliance simpler for businesses





FIGURE 3.5 Legal and regulatory changes have strengthened investor protections in Colombia



Source: Doing Business database.

a modern framework for capital market activity. The law encourages better corporate governance practices by requiring greater transparency and disclosure, equitable treatment of minority shareholders and more effective boards of directors.

In 2007 the government amended Colombia's securities regulation. Decree 3139 requires listed companies to report more information to investors. Before, listed companies had to report any "relevant" or "extraordinary" event—a subjective standard open to abuse. Although the decree still includes the broad "relevant" requirement, it lists specific events that must be disclosed to the financial authorities. It also requires companies to report extensive information before going public.

In 2010 the government made further progress by amending the company law. The amendments clarified the liability regime for company directors involved in related-party transactions that harm the company. Now directors can be forced to pay damages and disgorge profits made from such transactions.

As a result of these changes, Colombia's scores have improved on both the extent of director liability index (which measures the liability of company executives for abusive related-party transactions) and the extent of disclosure index (which

measures the approval and disclosure regime for related-party transactions; figure 3.5).

Making insolvency proceedings more efficient

Colombia's insolvency reforms began almost 2 decades ago. In 1995 the enactment of Law 222, allowing debtors and creditors to resolve disputes before the Superintendence of Companies, helped ease the burden on the judiciary. In 1999 changes to the reorganization law improved the existing corporate reorganization proceedings and introduced new time limits for negotiations. These changes increased the efficiency of the bankruptcy system and improved its capacity to distinguish between viable and nonviable businesses.9

Another series of insolvency reforms took place in the past 6 years. Thanks to these reforms, creditors' recovery rate rose from 56 cents on the dollar to 76 and the time to complete a liquidation proceeding fell from 3 years to 1.3.

The reforms began with a comprehensive revision of the insolvency proceedings available. In 2007 authorities introduced 2 new proceedings: a reorganization procedure to restructure insolvent companies and a mandatory liquidation procedure. And a new insolvency law

imposed more stringent time limits for negotiating reorganization agreements.

In 2009 the government issued several decrees as part of continued efforts to better regulate the profession of insolvency administrators. In addition, it introduced an electronic filing system to make insolvency proceedings faster and more efficient. And it eliminated the requirement to submit financial statements to request reorganization in cases where these statements had previously been submitted to the Superintendence of Companies.

Improving other areas of regulation

Colombia has also made improvements in other areas of regulation. In 1995 the country undertook a complete overhaul of its construction approvals. It moved the administration of building permits out of the state-run planning office into the private domain, becoming the first economy in Latin America to privatize the review process. This move carried risks, but public and private stakeholders in the country were calling for comprehensive change.

Bogotá's mayor first appointed 5 ad hoc "urban curators," all architects or engineers with construction experience, to review building permit applications. Soon after, a more transparent, merit-based hiring system was established that is still in place. Potential curators now undergo a selection process that includes exams and interviews with public and private sector experts. Privatizing the issuance of building permits improved timeliness and freed up the planning office's resources.

In other regulatory areas, introducing electronic systems made processes easier. When registering property, a business can now obtain online certification of valuation, ownership and good standing for property taxes. And for properties with no liens, it can submit online certificates directly to the land registry. Certificates have no cost if requested online.

An electronic data interchange system was introduced for exports, making it possible to centralize electronic data. The new system also allows traders to pay duties electronically, eliminating the need to go to a bank to submit payments. And it allows shippers to share information with customs electronically, so that customs declarations can be processed before the vessel even arrives at the port. Most importantly, since 2008 Colombia has implemented improvements to the Single Window for Foreign Trade (VUCE) system. The system now connects over a dozen government agencies that are involved in import and export procedures.

SPILLOVER TO THE LOCAL LEVEL

Colombia has been actively reforming its regulatory environment at the local as well as the national level. Local efforts have been inspired in part by a subnational study. Carried out through the National Department of Planning, the 2008 study was designed to analyze the regulatory environment in different regions with the aim of improving regional competitiveness across the country. The study was also intended to enable Colombian cities to learn from one another and adopt good practices from elsewhere in the country.

The subnational *Doing Business* report resulting from the study was soon followed by another, and work on a third began in 2012.¹⁰ The second report showed that all 12 cities included in the first one had improved on at least one *Doing Business* indicator.

Among these 12 cities, Neiva made the most progress in improving the ease of doing business. Local authorities took several measures to increase the city's competitiveness, including creating an anti-red-tape committee to reduce the regulatory burden on the private sector. The committee encompassed wide representation, with participants from the municipality, the chamber of commerce, business associations and national agencies such as police and tax authorities.

Neiva's local government also set up one-stop shops for registering new companies. This eliminated 11 procedures and reduced the time required to register a business from 32 days to 8. The success of the one-stop shops has been due largely to cooperation between municipal and national government departments.

Medellín is another city that substantially improved its business regulatory environment. The city government cut 3 procedures required to start a business by improving one-stop shops and eliminating the requirement for a land use certificate. And it made registering property easier by merging 2 certificates and eliminating a stamp previously required as proof of registration tax compliance.

CONCLUSION

Colombia's commitment to regulatory reform has led to substantial improvements in the quality of the business environment and a more solid foundation for private sector development. Its experience shows the importance of sustaining reform efforts over time and adjusting them to the changing needs of the economy. Initially, most of the regulatory reforms took place at the national level. But as the business environment continued to improve, the reforms spilled over to the local level.

Colombia's experience is having "spillover" effects in the region as well. Bolivia has shown an interest in learning more about Colombia's experience with business entry. Paraguay has sought to learn from Colombia's innovations in construction permitting. And both Costa Rica and El Salvador intend to learn from Colombia's trade logistics reforms.

Colombia's experience also shows the importance of setting out economic policy objectives. The government's commitment to well-defined, long-term economic goals has helped drive implementation of the reforms. Having made major strides in safeguarding macroeconomic stability, the government widened the focus of its policies to include a range of institutional and economic reforms aimed

at boosting productivity. The steady pace of change led to the development of the broader competitiveness agenda and the creation of a public-private partnership aimed at promoting business-friendly regulatory practices.

Yet despite the government's sustained efforts, and its success in improving the business climate and implementing an ambitious competitiveness agenda, a number of challenges remain. Addressing income inequality remains a key priority, in part because it would strengthen support in the business community and in civil society for the government's overall development strategies.

While the country has more development hurdles to overcome, the measures taken over the past years have greatly improved its competitiveness. The regulatory reforms may take more time to show full results in all areas of doing business, but they have already led to substantial immediate benefits. Colombia's reform agenda is expected to continue to expand—and to inspire further improvements in the region.

NOTES

This case study was written by Valentina Saltane and Hayane Chang Dahmen.

- 1. According to the International Monetary Fund, average annual inflation in Colombia fell from 23% in the 1980s to 6% by the 2000s. Management of public finances also improved, with public deficits in recent years lower as a percentage of GDP. Colombia's general government public debt was 35.9% of GDP in 2009, low by international standards ("IMF Data Mapper," http://www.imf.org/).
- Consejo Privado de Competitividad, http://www.compite.com.co/site/ sistema-nacional-de-competitividad/.
- Remarks delivered before the Americas Society/Council of the Americas, September 24, 2009. Available at http:// www.as-coa.org/article.php?id=1908.
- 4. International Fund for Agricultural Development, "Rural Poverty Portal," http://www.ruralpovertyportal.org/.
- 5. Doing Business database; World Bank

- Group Entrepreneurship Snapshots database.
- 6. World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators, "2011 Update," http://www.govindicators.org. The Rule of Law Index and the Regulatory Quality Index both range from -2.5 (weak) to 2.5 (strong). On the Rule of Law Index Colombia's score rose from -0.84 in 2002 to -0.33 in 2010. On the Regulatory Quality Index its score rose from 0.05 in 2002 to 0.31 in 2010.
- 7. Law 1429 of 2010 and Decree 545 of 2011.
- 8. Sohn 2008.
- 9. Giné and Love 2010.
- 10. Subnational *Doing Business* reports are available at http://www.doingbusiness.org/reports/subnational-reports.



Latvia: maintaining a reform state of mind

- For Latvia, accession to the European Union has been among the main motivations for improving business regulation.
- Latvia's reform agenda has benefited from strong public support for economic integration.
- Since 2004 the country has made positive changes across all areas measured by Doing Business.
- Despite being substantially affected by the financial crisis starting in 2008, Latvia continued its reform agenda, adapting it to the new challenges the country was facing.

Latvia has made substantial economic progress since its transition to a liberal market economy in the 1990s. Income per capita has more than tripled over the past 15 years despite a deep recession following the global financial crisis. Exports grew by almost 7% a year in the 2000s, and the share of the population living on less than \$4 a day fell from 25.8% in 1998 to 3.4% in 2008, the latest year for which this information is available.

Economic reforms have been a central part of this process. Structural reforms have increased competitiveness and facilitated integration with the world economy. Reforms to business laws and regulations have substantially improved the investment climate. Since the late 1990s successive governments have held a regular dialogue with the private sector and international organizations to identify and implement ways to streamline business registration, improve the tax system and increase the efficiency of international trade, among many other such reforms.³

These reform efforts have been sustained through changing domestic and international conditions. They began as part of a process to join the European Union (EU). They continued during a period of rapid growth in the mid-2000s. And they have persisted during the significant economic downturn following the financial crisis. Throughout this transition there were many changes in political leadership—but the commitment to legislative and regulatory reform endured.

What enabled this continued commitment to reform? How has Latvia made such

significant improvements to its regulatory environment—advancing further toward the frontier in regulatory practice than almost all other EU member economies (figure 4.1)? And what lessons can be learned about this "reform state of mind" demonstrated by Latvia?

REFORMING FOR THE EUROPEAN UNION


Broad consensus for reform emerged in Latvia in the late 1990s, as the country transitioned to a liberal market economy after regaining independence in 1991. Integration into the world economy was a commonly held goal, and the Latvian government and business community began a dialogue on how to achieve it. Latvia joined the World Trade Organization in 1999, then targeted membership in the European Union.

The goal of EU accession provided a structure for an array of legislative and regulatory reforms. The EU membership requirements, known as the Copenhagen criteria, provided a series of general directives for reforms centered on democratic governance, human rights, a market economy and commitment to European integration. Latvia also began harmonizing its laws with the body of EU legislation, the acquis communautaire, including in ways to reduce administrative barriers to investment. In 1999 the Latvian Cabinet of Ministers adopted an action plan to improve the business environment and welcomed support from international financial institutions to implement the reforms.4

These reform efforts proved very successful: by 2003, 91 of 106 reforms

FIGURE 4.1 Latvia has made big advances toward the frontier in regulatory practice

Progress in narrowing distance to frontier since 2005 (percentage points)



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The figure shows the absolute difference for each economy between its distance to frontier in 2005 and that in 2012. It shows data for all current EU members except Cyprus, Luxembourg and Malta, which were added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005.

Source: Doing Business database.

initially identified in 1999 had been implemented.⁵ During this initial reform phase the government focused on improving aspects of the investment climate that had been raised as issues by the Latvian business community.

One focus was streamlining business registration. The government simplified the procedures required, such as by combining company and tax registration. By 2004 starting a business in Latvia took only 5 procedures and 16 days—less time than in all but 21 economies covered by *Doing Business 2005*. The change was dramatic: in 1999 opening a business in Latvia had required 17 procedures and 114 days.

The government also improved business inspections. Most business inspectorates in Latvia were perceived as obstructing rather than enabling legitimate business in their enforcement of government regulations. The government requested that inspectorate reform be included as a conditionality of financing from the World Bank.⁶ Later efforts provided new instruction on the rights and responsibilities

of government inspectors and private firms, introduced a requirement for written reports after all inspections and developed performance indicators for inspectorates.⁷

Construction permitting was another target of regulatory reform. In 2001 it took Latvian businesses 2 years to obtain all the licenses and inspections required to build a warehouse. By 2004 the government had reduced the time required to obtain a building permit by 2 months, simply by preparing a flowchart showing what offices to visit and which documents to take.⁸ Further improvements followed, including amendments to the construction code and the establishment of a public register for construction companies.

The government improved tax administration by amending the laws on value added and corporate income tax to resolve specific issues identified by businesses. Draft tax legislation was posted online for public comment, and an appeals body was established in the State Revenue Service.⁹

Latvia enjoyed significant growth during this initial reform period. From 2000 to 2004 GDP growth averaged 7.5%, and unemployment fell from 14.2% to 9.9%. In May 2004 Latvia achieved its goal of joining the European Union.

CONTINUING THE AGENDA

Latvia's strong economic performance continued after the country became an EU member. From 2005 to 2007 economic growth averaged nearly 11% a year. The number of newly registered firms rose from around 7,000 a year to 12,000. And exports of goods and services grew by more than 5% a year, with a peak in growth of 20% in 2005.¹¹

Business regulation reforms continued as well. Rather than relaxing the reform agenda after becoming an EU member, Latvia continued working to enhance its competitiveness by bringing its economic laws, regulations and institutions further into line with those of Western European countries. The action plan initially established in 1999 was regularly amended to identify new areas to target with regulatory reforms. *Doing Business* has tracked

the success of many of these reforms over time.


One set of improvements made property registration faster and easier. Businesses trying to expand were being hindered by complex administrative procedures to access land, leading to long delays and considerable uncertainty. The government responded by installing electronic terminals at the land registry, enabling businesses to pay fees and stamp duties at the same time that they registered property. It also granted the land registry electronic access to municipal tax databases, eliminating the requirement to obtain the property tax status in paper format. As a result, the time required to transfer property fell from 55 days in 2004 to 18 in 2012 (figure 4.2).

Construction permitting, a focus of earlier efforts, received renewed attention in response to investors' complaints that unclear fee schedules were a burden to construction activity. The government established a more transparent set of construction fees and duties, reducing the cost associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse from 43.5% of income per capita in 2006 to 18.6% in 2012.

Even after Latvia's accession to the European Union, some regulatory reforms were still driven by the integration process. One was the adoption of an electronic customs system, triggered by the implementation of EU regulations in 2009. Entry and exit declaration forms can now be submitted electronically, and a requirement to submit customs information in advance allows the system to perform computerized risk analysis before goods are presented to customs.

Continuing its improvements in tax administration, Latvia introduced a process for electronic submission and acceptance of tax declarations in 2005 and 2006. This reduced the number of tax payments as measured by *Doing Business* from 29 a year to 7 in 2006.

FIGURE 4.2 Latvia made transferring property simpler and faster



Source: Doing Business database.

More recently, Latvia made getting electricity easier by streamlining the approval process for connection designs for straightforward projects. Before 2011 an entrepreneur in Riga had to wait more than 6 months to connect a warehouse to the electricity network. Reducing the number of approvals that were required shortened the wait by almost 3 months—a change that earned Latvia recognition in *Doing Business 2012* as having made the biggest improvement in the ease of getting electricity in the year covered by the report.

CONFRONTING THE CRISIS WITH REFORMS

The global financial crisis brought Latvia's strong economic growth to a halt. Much of the growth had been driven by increased domestic demand enabled by substantial inflows of foreign capital, and when the capital inflows ceased, the economy went into a deep recession starting in 2008.¹³ Latvia responded by undertaking significant structural reforms, including reductions in public spending and wage moderation in the public sector. The public broadly supported the main thrust of the authorities' response to the crisis, and election results in October 2010 endorsed the government's reform efforts.¹⁴


Despite the economic turmoil associated with the financial crisis—or perhaps because of it—Latvia also implemented

a series of new business regulation reforms. The crisis highlighted the need for greater resilience to such shocks in the future and for greater access to finance. It also underscored the need to reduce administrative barriers to investment. The Latvian authorities responded with reforms targeting the insolvency regime, the credit information system and corporate governance.

The insolvency law was amended in 2008 to ensure a better balance between the interests of debtors and creditors and to facilitate the recovery of companies experiencing financial problems. The changes included allowing easier access to insolvency and restructuring procedures, introducing faster procedures for selling a debtor's assets and implementing stricter qualification standards for insolvency administrators. In 2009 further amendments to the insolvency law introduced a mechanism for settling insolvencies out of court to ease pressure on the judiciary. As a result of these reforms, the recovery rate for creditors rose from 32 cents on the dollar to 56 between 2010 and 2011, leading to the biggest improvement in the ease of resolving insolvency worldwide according to Doing Business 2012.

Another focus was expanding the credit information system. In 2008 the Bank of Latvia's registry of debtors was transformed into a full-fledged credit registry.

FIGURE 4.3 More and better credit information in Latvia



Source: Doing Business database.

It now collects both positive and negative information on borrowers, borrower guarantors and their obligations. The registry is also able to record more precise information, such as the type of settlement of the borrower's obligations and the date on which settlement of a delayed payment is registered. And the registry expanded its coverage from 3.5% of adults in 2008 to 63.8% in 2012 (figure 4.3).

With the goal of increasing investors' confidence in the market, Latvia also introduced more robust corporate governance measures. The government amended the company law to harmonize with the EU acquis communautaire, including by improving disclosure mechanisms and increasing transparency.¹⁵ And in 2010 the Riga Stock Exchange issued corporate governance principles and recommendations related to disclosure requirements, remuneration policy and conflicts of interest, further strengthening corporate governance rules for listed companies.

WHAT ARE THE LESSONS?

Latvia has sustained a clear commitment to business regulation reform over more than a decade, under changing political leadership and through economic booms and downturns. What factors have enabled this impressive commitment?

One is the structural incentive provided by economic integration. The

EU requirements of committing to democratic institutions and processes, strengthening the institutional underpinnings of a free market and harmonizing laws with EU legislation provided an actionable roadmap. Results are reflected in Latvia's improvement on the Worldwide Governance Indicators between 2000 and 2005, including on the Regulatory Quality Index.¹⁶ In addition, the potential economic benefits of joining the European Union created strong public support for the reform agenda. This combination of EU requirements and potential economic benefits made it possible to sustain the implementation of both broad structural reforms and specific business regulation reforms.

Similar support for economic reform after the crisis can be linked to a desire for further integration with the European Union, including as a future full member of the euro zone. Devaluation of the Latvian currency against the euro was a policy option for mitigating the effects of the crisis, and it might arguably have implied lower short-term economic costs than cuts in public spending. But the government opted instead to intensify the pace of structural reforms; it viewed maintaining the currency peg less in terms of the purely macroeconomic effects and more as part of its long-term strategy for strengthening links with the European Union, particularly the members of the euro zone.¹⁷ This approach was broadly endorsed by the business community and the population.

That economic integration can provide useful incentives is not a new lesson: Doing Business 2012 identified a similar association between successful reforms in FYR Macedonia and its preparations ahead of eventual EU entry. But the case of Latvia provides another example of how economic integration can serve as a powerful stimulus for economic and institutional reforms—and how integration and reform together can create a virtuous circle of development.

The case of Latvia also shows that local circumstances matter as well Latvia has benefited from a high-quality technocratic bureaucracy through which pro-reform civil servants were able to provide competent support to the reform process over time. The presence of a stable cadre of well-qualified civil servants, maintained through changes in political leadership, almost certainly aided the development and implementation of what has been a largely successful reform agenda. In addition, the ability to establish an ongoing dialogue between the government and the business community may have helped build and sustain the broad political consensus for the reform process.

Whatever the combination of causes, Latvia has maintained a state of mind focused on reform of the business environment and the broader economy. *Doing Business* measures just one component of the reforms that Latvia has implemented. But the results are clear: in the areas tracked by *Doing Business* indicators, the quality of the business environment has improved substantially over the past decade and a half.

CONCLUSION

Latvia's reform process is likely to continue. The authorities have signaled their determination to continue to implement cautious macroeconomic policies that will support continued investments in infrastructure, education and training, seen as key elements of an ambitious

competitiveness agenda.¹⁸ Further business regulatory reforms are planned as well, as part of Latvia's program to implement the "Europe 2020" strategy.¹⁹ The objectives include, among others, the reduction of administrative barriers and the strengthening of access to finance as well as support for access to external markets and encouragement of greater inflows of foreign direct investment to export-oriented sectors. These reforms should enable Latvia to fully overcome the economic effects of the financial crisis and allow it to continue on its path toward successful long-term development.

NOTES

This case study was written by Caroline Frontigny and Betina Tirelli Hennig.

 World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data .worldbank.org/. The income measure is gross national income (GNI) per capita

- at purchasing power parity.
- 2. Export growth data are from the World Bank's World Development Indicators database (http://data.worldbank.org/). Poverty data are based on the poverty headcount ratio at purchasing power parity and are from PovcalNet, the online tool for poverty measurement developed by the World Bank's Development Research Group (http://iresearch.worldbank.org/PovcalNet).
- 3. See, for example, Coolidge, Grava and Putnina (2003).
- 4. Liepina, Coolidge and Grava 2008.
- 5. Liepina, Coolidge and Grava 2008.
- 6. World Bank 2001.
- 7. Coolidge, Grava and Putnina 2003.
- 8. World Bank 2007.
- 9. Liepina, Coolidge and Grava 2008.
- World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data .worldbank.org/.
- 11. World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data.worldbank.org/.

- 12. Liepina, Coolidge and Grava 2008.
- 13. Latvia, Ministry of Economics 2011.
- 14. EBRD 2011.
- 15. EBRD 2011.
- 16. The Regulatory Quality Index captures perceptions of the government's ability to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development. It ranges from -2.5 (weak government performance) to 2.5 (strong government performance). Latvia's score rose from 0.74 in 2000 to 0.94 in 2005, then rose to 0.98 in 2010.
- 17. See, for example, Åslund (2009).

 Another consideration in the government's policy choice may have been a desire to protect the significant share of the population with debt in euros and other foreign currencies from the consequences of a devaluation.
- 18. EBRD 2011.
- 19. Latvia, Ministry of Economics 2011.

Rwanda: fostering prosperity by promoting entrepreneurship



Emerging from a decade marked by civil war and political instability, Rwanda began a comprehensive and ambitious campaign in 2000 to rebuild, foster national reconciliation and drastically reduce poverty. The government's agenda gave priority to health, education, infrastructure, and private and financial sector development, showing a commitment to improving citizens' living conditions and building a solid foundation for reconciliation.

Starting early on in the reform campaign, Rwanda has implemented many business regulation reforms. These have transformed the life of the private sector and made it noticeably easier to do business. While challenges remain, the country has achieved much success in its reform agenda since the early 2000s. This success stems from many factors, and Rwanda's experience may provide useful lessons for other nations seeking to improve their business climate, particularly for those coming out of conflict.

DESIGNING A STRATEGY

Between 2005 and 2011 Rwanda's real GDP per capita grew by 4.5% a year, reflecting a sustained expansion of exports and domestic investment, with inflows of foreign direct investment also increasing substantially. In addition, the government strengthened the foundations of macroeconomic stability by implementing cautious fiscal policies supported by a number of structural and institutional reforms. Underpinning this policy stance was a strong and sustained commitment by national authorities to private sector development.

Building on a 2-year consultation process, the government designed a long-term development strategy, Rwanda Vision 2020, aimed at transforming Rwanda into a middle-income economy by raising income per capita from \$290 to \$900 before 2020.2 Introduced in 2000, the strategy recognized and sought to overcome Rwanda's multiple development challenges-including past civil war, poor governance, weak infrastructure, underdeveloped financial and private sectors, unemployment, overwhelming public debt, a poorly developed education system, HIV and the rapid growth of a population expected to reach 13 million by 2020

In 2001 the World Bank set up the Competitiveness and Enterprise Development Project, designed to help the government establish an environment conducive to private sector growth and the emergence of a more competitive investment climate. The project focused on developing and updating the commercial law and supporting the government's privatization program through technical assistance, capacity building and advice on bank restructuring. This program contributed to an overhaul of the country's financial sector that led to the recapitalization of banks, the establishment of an insurance market and the introduction of microfinance lenders. In addition, the Competitiveness and Enterprise Development Project collaborated with the World Bank's Rwanda Investment Climate Reform Program to develop a robust reform agenda. The project helped establish the Doing Business Unit, the institution responsible for spearheading Rwanda's reform initiatives, while the investment

- Rwanda's commitment to private sector development has facilitated growth in exports, domestic investment and foreign direct investment inflows—and the implementation of effective fiscal policies supported by structural and institutional reforms.
- Starting in 2000, Rwanda developed a strong institutional pipeline for designing and implementing business regulation reforms.
- Since 2004 Rwanda has substantially improved access to credit, streamlined procedures for starting a business, reduced the time to register property, simplified cross-border trade and made courts more accessible for resolving commercial disputes.
- Rwanda is among more than 35 economies where the executive branch has made private sector development a priority by establishing institutions whose main purpose is to design and implement business regulation reforms.

climate reform program provided technical assistance and expertise to support the implementation of planned legal, regulatory and institutional reforms.

Rwanda's 2007 Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy, like its *Vision 2020*, emphasized private sector development as the key to creating jobs, bringing peace, generating wealth and ultimately eliminating poverty.³ In addition, aware of its scarce natural resources and landlocked location, Rwanda has focused on business regulation reform to attract foreign investment.

Dubbed "Africa's new Singapore" by *The Economist* for its positive economic reforms,⁴ Rwanda has been effectively learning from the success stories of economies like Singapore since the early 2000s. And in 2007 it started using the *Doing Business* report as a tool to identify and learn from good practices in business regulation and to monitor improvement.

Several elements of a successful reform program were present, including political will and commitment at the highest level and a broadly appropriate set of macroeconomic policies that created room in the budget to invest in reforms and gained strong support from the donor community.

BUILDING AN EFFECTIVE REFORM PIPELINE

Government responsibility for improving the investment climate in Rwanda and driving through the reforms has shifted over time. The responsibility was initially assigned to the Rwanda Investment Promotion Agency. In August 2008 this agency was joined by 7 others to create the Rwanda Development Board.⁵

The board's creation marked not only a change in name and gains in size, resources and efficiency but also a fundamental increase in political will and support. The president of Rwanda made business regulation reform a priority, as did the leaders of more than 35

other economies—including economies that have made some of the biggest improvements in the ease of doing business, such as Burundi, Colombia and Georgia.⁶ The approach has proved effective in triggering reforms. In Rwanda it helped put investment climate reforms at the top of the economic policy agenda for promoting private sector development and helped consolidate and unify the multiple reform efforts.

Since reforms to the investment climate require changes across many areas of government, the Doing Business Steering Committee, bringing together representatives from different ministries, was created in early 2009 to lead the reform efforts at the cabinet level. While other countries have created similar institutions to promote reform, Rwanda has made effective use of the steering committee in implementing successful regulatory reforms (as detailed in the following section).

Below the steering committee is a technical task force made up of 6 working groups focusing on business entry, licensing reform, legislative changes, taxes and trade logistics, construction permits and property registration. One key to the working groups' effectiveness has been their inclusion of private sector representatives. This has helped ensure private sector buy-in and allowed participants to share their experiences during discussions about reform design.

To ensure success, the organizational structure still needed something to bring all the pieces together. For this purpose the Doing Business Unit was created. A small, full-time team, this unit links the working groups to the steering committee, coordinates with donors providing technical support, manages development funding to ensure proper use and promotes efforts to improve the investment climate. It also advises agencies, explains the reforms to the private sector and monitors progress through internal indicators.

The Doing Business Unit identifies reform opportunities; the technical task force and the steering committee approve the reform proposals. The annual plan for regulatory reforms is then communicated to the cabinet. The steering committee and the technical task force commit to the new priorities that are agreed on at the national leadership's annual retreats.7 The Doing Business Unit monitors implementation and reports to the steering committee and to the prime minister, who is ultimately responsible for ensuring the execution of goals.8 Besides reporting directly to the Rwanda Development Board, the unit also periodically informs the head of the Strategy and Policy Unit in the Office of the President about reform progress.

Far from being rigid, this structure has been further improved by the involvement of other stakeholders. Ahead of the promulgation of major pieces of legislation, the Rwanda Development Board has worked closely with the parliament and the judiciary, both of which have helped in meeting targets and deadlines. Civil society, development partners and institutions such as the Presidential Advisory Council have also provided crucial input in shaping the reform agenda.⁹

LAUNCHING REGULATORY REFORMS

Even as the internal organization was evolving, the government was enacting reforms: since 2005 Rwanda has implemented 26 business regulation reforms as recorded by *Doing Business*.

Improving access to credit

A series of changes improved conditions for getting credit. In 2005 the public credit registry expanded its database of financial institutions and improved the content of its credit reporting system. In 2009 a new secured transactions law was introduced, allowing a wider range of assets to be used as collateral and permitting out-of-court enforcement proceedings.¹⁰


In 2010 the legislature passed a law regulating the distribution of information from credit bureaus. This led to the creation of the country's first private credit bureau, which provides wider coverage than the public registry because it includes information from utilities. In addition, the public registry expanded coverage to loans of all sizes. In December 2011 the public registry stopped issuing credit reports, and now only the private bureau shares credit information. The public registry still collects information from regulated financial institutions but only for supervisory purposes.

Streamlining regulatory processes

Other changes streamlined regulatory processes. In 2006 the introduction of hundreds of new notaries made starting a business faster. Before, only 1 notary had been available countrywide, and the high volume of requests meant a long wait for entrepreneurs wanting to register a new business. After an overhaul of the company law in 2009, entrepreneurs no longer needed to use the services of a notary; they could use standard forms instead. An online system for publishing registration notice replaced requirements for physical publication. And a new one-stop shop streamlined business registration by reducing the number of interactions required from 9 to 2 (figure 5.1). The time required to start a business fell from 18 days to 3, and the cost from 235% of income per capita to 4%.

Rwanda also made it easier to transfer property. In 2008 it eliminated mortgage registration fees and shifted from a 6% transfer tax to a flat rate of 20,000 Rwandan francs (about \$33). In 2010 the government decentralized the Office of the Registrar and Land Titles and created 5 branches throughout the country, purging the backlog of cases in Kigali. It also introduced strict time limits for some procedures. One was the issuance of tax clearance certificates, which had been the lengthiest part of the process.

FIGURE 5.1 Rwanda streamlined the procedures for starting a business



Source: Doing Business database.

The administrative reorganization and the statutory time limits reduced the time required to transfer property by 346 days—from more than a year in 2004 to less than a month (figure 5.2). And the changes in the transfer fees reduced the cost from 10.3% of the property value to 5.6%.¹¹

Changes over several years made trading across borders faster. In 2005 Rwanda made it possible to submit customs declarations electronically. In 2007 the customs authority introduced more acceptance points for customs declarations, reducing the waiting time to submit them. In 2008 the government


extended operating hours for border posts and implemented an electronic data interchange system and risk-based inspections. And in 2010 it streamlined trade documentation requirements and improved border cooperation.

Results are clear. In 2006 exporting goods in Rwanda required 14 documents and 60 days (figure 5.3). Today it takes only 8 documents and 29 days. The story is similar for importing.

Strengthening laws and the judiciary


The new company law adopted in 2009 introduced several concepts into Rwanda's


FIGURE 5.2 Rwanda cut the time for property transfers by almost a year



Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 5.3 Big reduction in time and documents to trade across borders in Rwanda





Source: Doing Business database.

corporate legal system for the first time: minority shareholder rights, regulation of conflicts of interest, extensive corporate disclosure and directors' duties. The new law introduced rules requiring approval by the board of directors for related-party transactions representing less than 5% of the company's assets and by shareholders for those representing more than 5%. The law strengthened the director liability regime for breach of fiduciary duties and for related-party transactions that harm the company. And it increased corporate transparency by improving disclosure requirements and minority shareholders' access to corporate information.

In 2005 the government made contract enforcement more of a reality by establishing more commercial courts¹² and creating the Business Law Reform Cell, whose review of 14 commercial

laws proved crucial for the approval of important legal reforms. The government further enhanced the court system in 2008 by creating lower commercial courts.

Consistent with its emphasis on bringing in the skills and expertise needed to ensure the success of the reform process, the government also hired non-Rwandan expatriate judges: 2 Mauritian judges to help local judges run the new commercial courts during the first 3 years of operation.¹³ In addition, the government has provided incentives for Western-educated members of the diaspora to repatriate and has promoted an exchange of skills by opening the job market to immigrants from neighboring countries, including Burundi, Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda.¹⁴ Moreover, the Capacity Strengthening Program (financed by the Competitiveness and Enterprise Development Project) and the Institute for Legal Practice are training judges, legal officers and lawyers to work in a mixed legal system, where the civil law tradition dominates but common law and customary law tendencies are also evident.¹⁵

With the aim of increasing efficiency in resolving corporate insolvencies, the government enacted a new insolvency law in 2009. But resolving insolvency remains the one area among all those included in the ease of doing business index in which Rwanda still has great room for improvement. Achieving widespread use of the law in insolvency cases has been among the greatest regulatory reform challenges in this area.¹⁶

SEEING MEASURABLE RESULTS

The ultimate goal of the reform program is a private sector that promotes economic growth and job creation.¹⁷ And the program is achieving measurable progress toward this goal.

After Rwanda simplified formalities for business registration in 2006, 77% more firms registered in the following year.¹⁸ In 2008 more than 3,000 firms registered, up from an average of 700 in previous years. In 2009 the number rose to 6,905. And in 2010 the government managed to register 18,447 new businesses—nearly achieving its goal of registering 20,000 that year.¹⁹ The jump in registration numbers cannot be attributed solely to the simplification of the start-up process; the business registration reforms were part of a wider government agenda to promote private sector growth and entrepreneurship in Rwanda. Even so, the increase points to a positive trend.

Good results are also showing up in the area of contract enforcement: the commercial courts started operating in Kigali in May 2008 and had fully cleared the case backlog by the end of 2009.²⁰

Rwanda's consistent reforms to make trade easier improved the productivity

of customs officials, who increased the number of documents they cleared annually by 39% between 2006 and 2009. And according to the Ministry of Trade and Industry, Rwanda's exports rose from \$147 million in 2006 to \$193 million in 2009

Rwanda recently adjusted some of the targets set in *Vision 2020*. Most notably, it raised the income per capita target from \$900 to \$3,500. This brings the target into line with levels in middle-income economies today and reflects Rwanda's recent growth, which increased income per capita to around \$570 in 2011.²¹

CONCLUSION

Every country faces different development challenges. But Rwanda's ambitious and complex reform program may offer lessons for others seeking to reform through private sector development.

One key to its achievements has been the strong commitment to reform shown by Rwanda's leaders and its citizens. The government has established structures for building a foundation for private sector development and coordinating government-wide reform efforts. And it has created a well-defined, long-term reform strategy that informs all of the country's short-term development goals.

The government entities involved in the process have had clearly defined roles and responsibilities, and they have respected the goals set in initial implementation strategy documents. The Doing Business Unit has played a pivotal role not only in ensuring coordination within the government and between the government and donors but also in coordinating development funding initiatives so as to avoid duplication.

The government has worked to meet the needs of entrepreneurs by streamlining regulatory processes involved in starting, operating and closing a business. Beyond undertaking legal and administrative reforms, the government has invested in training for professionals—including

lawyers and judges—to ensure proper administration of the reforms. Recognizing the benefits of a diverse knowledge base, Rwanda has also imported technical expertise from other countries, to replicate good practices and build capacity. And the government has involved the private sector in the reform process and maintained an open line of communication to keep entrepreneurs, civil society and other stakeholders apprised of developments.

All these efforts are showing results in Rwanda's regulatory performance. And Rwanda's dedication to private sector development, in triggering positive legal reforms, has contributed substantially to its overarching goal of promoting national reconciliation and prosperity.

NOTES

This case study was written by Moussa Traoré, Adrian Gonzalez, César Chaparro Yedro, Jean Michel Lobet and Jonathan Bailey.

- World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data .worldbank.org/.
- 2. Rwanda, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning 2000.
- 3. Rwanda, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning 2007.
- 4. "Africa's New Singapore?"

 The Economist, February 25, 2012, http://www.economist.com/.
- The 7 agencies were Tourism and Conservation, the Registrar General's Office, the Privatization Unit, Human and Institutional Development, the Center for the Support to Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (CAPMER), the IT Agency and the National Environment Management Authority.
- 6. See box 2.2 in the chapter "About *Doing Business*" for a list of economies using this approach.
- These retreats, which gather about 300 top members of the administration, have included *Doing Business* reforms on the agenda since 2007.
- Presentation by Emmanuel Hategeka, permanent secretary, Ministry of Trade and Industry, Kigali, March 16, 2011; Karim 2011.
- 9. In particular, the U.K. Department for International Development's multiyear

- program to support the Rwanda Revenue Authority is considered a success, enabling the agency both to improve its tax collection rate and to simplify its interactions with businesses.
- 10. Legal changes often require only modest investments. For the secured transactions law, for example, Rwanda invested \$55,320 (excluding technical assistance from donors) in the validation and translation of the new law as well as in the legislative process.
- 11. World Bank 2010a.
- 12. World Bank 2006.
- 13. Hertveldt 2008.
- 14. "Africa's New Singapore?"

 The Economist, February 25, 2012, http://www.economist.com/.
- The Institute for Legal Practice was established by an organic law in 2006 and started to operate in May 2008.
- "Rwanda: Country Struggles on Insolvency Law," East African Business Week, May 13, 2012, http://allafrica.com/.
- 17. Edmund Kagire, "New Reforms Set Up to Boost Doing Business," *New Times* (Kigali), April 18, 2010.
- 18. World Bank 2010a.
- Frank Kanyesigye, "Rwanda Development Board Targets to Register 20,000 New Businesses," New Times (Kigali), May 14, 2010.
- 20. Interview by *Business Times* (Kigali) with Benoit Gatete, vice president of the commercial high court, January 12, 2010, http://allafrica.com/.
- 21. "Government to Adjust Vision 2020,"

 New Times (Kigali), February 25, 2010;

 World Bank, World Development

 Indicators database, http://data

 .worldbank.org/.



APEC: sharing goals and experience

- Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), a regional forum of 21 member economies, has as its primary goal to ensure sustainable economic growth and prosperity through voluntary cooperation.
- A key focus is promoting regulatory reforms, and in 2009 the APEC
 Ease of Doing Business Action
 Plan was launched as a way to set collective targets and measure progress.
- Using 5 Doing Business indicator sets, the action plan targets an APEC-wide aspirational goal of making it 25% cheaper, faster and easier to do business by 2015, with an interim target of 5% improvement by 2011.
- Between 2009 and 2012 APEC members improved their performance on the 5 indicator sets by 11.5% on average. But much variation remains among APEC members in the ease of doing business and in the rate of progress being made.
- Consistent with APEC's view of capacity building as central to enhancing cooperation and accelerating progress, the action plan identifies "champion economies" to share information and experience and to assist other members through tailored diagnostic studies.

Many factors can drive reforms in an economy's business regulatory environment—from domestic factors such as financial crises to international ones such as binding agreements in the World Trade Organization (WTO). For economies in the Asia-Pacific region, regional factors play a part, including commitments made in Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC). Improving the region's business regulatory environment is a focus of APEC, and member economies have pledged to carry out regulatory reforms both collectively and unilaterally.

To help monitor and assess members' progress toward these commitments, APEC sets measurable targets with specific timelines. While these targets are set at the regional level, APEC also encourages members to draft plans for their own economy that will aid in achieving APEC-wide targets. One set of targets that APEC has chosen for this purpose is based on *Doing Business* indicators.

APEC also encourages capacity building activities among members in support of its goals. Toward the goal of improving the region's regulatory environment, APEC has selected "champion economies" to provide capacity building assistance to other members.

A HISTORY OF COLLECTIVE GOAL SETTING

Established in 1989, APEC is a forum for supporting economic growth, cooperation, trade and investment in the Asia-Pacific region. APEC operates on a voluntary and consensual basis, with activities and work programs centered on 3 main pillars: trade and investment


liberalization, business facilitation, and economic and technical cooperation.¹

Meeting in Bogor, Indonesia, in 1994, leaders of APEC members committed to achieving free and open trade and investment by 2010 for developed economy members and by 2020 for developing economy members—targets that became known as the Bogor Goals. Today APEC's 21 members account for about 54% of world GDP and about 44% of world trade.² APEC members' total trade grew by 10% a year on average between 1989 and 2010. This rate, though impressive, only slightly exceeded the world's overall trade growth rate of 9%.3 On the other hand, APEC members reduced their average applied tariff from 16.9% to 5.8% over this period.4

As tariffs declined in APEC members, attention shifted to addressing the structural and regulatory obstacles that inhibit cross-border trade and investment by removing behind-the-border barriers to doing business.⁵ At the same time, economic integration between APEC members highlighted difficult new challenges—such as how to ensure that growth and economic integration are sustainable and shared by all APEC members in a constantly changing economic environment.

To address these challenges, in 2010 APEC leaders embraced the APEC Growth Strategy, which takes into consideration new global realities—including energy and environmental constraints, human security concerns and disparities in opportunity across and within economies. APEC leaders also endorsed the

FIGURE 6.1 Milestones in the APEC Ease of Doing Business Action Plan



Source: Based on information from APEC Policy Support Unit.

New Strategy for Structural Reform, a broad work program that calls on each member economy to undertake demonstrable and significant structural reform, consistent with the objective of achieving strong, inclusive and balanced growth. Recognizing the importance of capacity building to assist members in undertaking structural reform, APEC is supporting workshops, peer-to-peer events and knowledge sharing tools in such areas as regulatory reform and public sector governance.

AN ACTION PLAN FOR MAKING IT EASIER TO DO BUSINESS

Another APEC initiative focuses more closely on improving the business regulatory environment. To provide a pragmatic way of addressing priorities, senior government officials of APEC members agreed to put in place the APEC Ease of Doing Business Action Plan in 2009.⁶ The action plan uses *Doing Business* indicators to set collective targets and encourage measurable progress in regulatory reform. The overall goal is to make it 25% cheaper, faster and easier to do business in the region by 2015, with an interim target of 5% improvement by 2011.

The action plan focuses on 5 priority areas. These were identified through a survey asking APEC members to rank by priority the 11 areas measured by *Doing Business*. The 5 priority areas are starting a business, getting credit, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and dealing with construction permits.

The action plan has highlighted the importance of measuring results since the beginning. And the APEC Secretariat has agreed to regularly assess progress toward the targets set (figure 6.1).⁷


Encouraging early results

Early results are encouraging. Among the 5 areas covered by the action plan, APEC members made the biggest improvements in starting a business between 2009 and 2012. On average, they reduced the number of procedures to start a business by 19.3% (from 7.9 to 6.4), the time by 22.5% (from 28.1 days to 21.8), the cost by 16.5% (from 8.8% of income per capita to 7.4%) and the paid-in minimum capital requirement by 35.3% (from 9.8% of income per

capita to 6.4%). Economies in the rest of the world made smaller improvements on average on 3 of these indicators, reducing the number of procedures by 8.2%, the time by 17.7% and the paid-in minimum capital requirement by 32.4%. But they improved more than APEC members on the cost to start a business, reducing it by 29.1%.

Overall, APEC members improved the ease of starting a business by 23.4% on average, while non-APEC economies improved it by 21.9%. Beyond the differences with the rest of the world, what makes these improvements by APEC particularly impressive is that in 2009 the region already performed better on

FIGURE 6.2 APEC members have advanced furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice for starting a business



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier).

Source: Doing Business database.

average on the *Doing Business* indicators for starting a business than on those for the other 4 areas (figure 6.2).

Over the same period APEC members also improved their performance on the Doing Business indicators for dealing with construction permits (by 15.8% on average, compared with 13.9% in non-APEC economies) and for getting credit (by 16.1%, compared with 23.9%). Their performance on the trading across borders indicators improved only slightly (by 2.3%, compared with a decline of 0.7% in non-APEC economies), while that on the enforcing contracts indicators remained nearly unchanged (improving by 0.1%, compared with no improvement in non-APEC economies). Across all 5 priority areas, APEC members improved their performance on the Doing Business indicators by 11.5% on average.


Wide discrepancies between APEC members

Despite the good start, the ease of doing business still varies sharply among APEC members. Consider the process for starting a business. In New Zealand it requires only 1 procedure and 1 day and costs 0.4% of income per capita; in the Philippines it takes 16 procedures and 36 days and costs 18.1% of income per capita. Similarly, while dealing with construction permits in Singapore takes 26 days and costs 16.7% of income per capita, in Russia it takes 344 days and in Mexico it costs 322.7% of income per capita.

Indeed, APEC's high-income members perform substantially better in all 5 priority areas as measured by *Doing Business* than its middle-income members do. And on the aggregate ease of doing business they rank 59 places higher on average than middle-income members (figure 6.3).

Moreover, while APEC as a whole is making improvements, its members are progressing at very different rates. Among APEC members, China has made the most progress toward the frontier in regulatory practice (figure 6.4). In the past 8

FIGURE 6.3 APEC members' performance on *Doing Business* indicators varies widely




Note: Champion economies as defined by the APEC Ease of Doing Business Action Plan are Hong Kong SAR, China; Japan; Korea; New Zealand; Singapore; and the United States.

Source: Doing Business database.

years China implemented 16 reforms in 8 areas of business regulation measured by *Doing Business*. These changes included a new company law in 2005, a new credit

registry in 2006, a new law regulating the bankruptcy of private enterprises in 2007 and a new corporate income tax law in 2008.

FIGURE 6.4 Which APEC economies have advanced the most in narrowing the gap with the frontier?



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier).

a. Because Brunei Darussalam was first included in the aggregate ranking in *Doing Business 2008* (2007), its distance to frontier in 2012 is compared with that in 2007.

Source: Doing Business database.

What does all this mean for APEC's prospects of meeting its ambitious goal? APEC's 2011 interim report on the initiative delivered a clear message: if APEC is to improve the ease of doing business by 25% by 2015, it must intensify and accelerate its efforts, including through capacity building programs.⁸

Sharing of information and experience

While APEC members advocate building capacity and sharing experience as a way of enhancing cooperation in a range of areas, such efforts feature strongly in the initiative to improve the ease of doing business. The action plan identifies champion economies with strong performance in each of the 5 priority areas to lead capacity building activities in those areas.

In phase 1 (2009–11) the focus was on building awareness through seminars and workshops to share information and experience in each of the 5 priority areas. The APEC Secretariat and the champion economies organized 6 topic-specific seminars and workshops.⁹

In phase 2 (2012–15) the focus is on developing more customized capacity building programs for economies seeking specific assistance in their regulatory reform efforts. In these programs technical experts conduct diagnostic studies of an economy's priority area and develop practical recommendations for improvement. While designed to directly benefit the participating economies, the programs also help move APEC closer to its collective goal of making it 25% cheaper, faster and easier to do business by 2015.¹⁰

Several programs focus on the area of starting a business. One is in Indonesia, where an expert from New Zealand and another from the United States made a joint visit in July 2010 to collect data and information. The diagnostic report, issued in August 2010, contains 8 concrete recommendations. Among them is a recommendation to consolidate the procedure for labor and social insurance registration

with those for issuing the trade license and registering the business at the one-stop shop.¹¹

In Thailand an assessment by U.S. experts in July 2011 went beyond the aspects of business start-up measured by *Doing Business*, resulting in a comprehensive report and policy recommendations. The study found that Thailand, by creating a customer-friendly and demand-driven system for business registration, had made it substantially easier to start a business as measured by *Doing Business*. It also recommended that the government broaden the focus of its efforts to improve business registration beyond the aspects captured by the *Doing Business* indicators.¹²

Korea, a champion for the topic of enforcing contracts, is assisting Indonesia and Peru in developing customized solutions. A Korean delegation visited Indonesia in January 2011 and Peru in July 2011 to review the systems and procedures in place for enforcing contracts. In addition, international seminars were held in the 2 countries on ways to improve such systems. Together, these attracted more than 100 participants, including judges, attorneys, professors and government officials. In October 2011 the Korean government brought together legal experts and high-level policy makers from Indonesia and Peru to discuss the future of both countries' systems for enforcing contracts.13

Japan, a champion for the topic of getting credit, is preparing a study on financing for small and medium-size enterprises in Thailand. Singapore is preparing a diagnostic study on trading across borders for Peru and planning similar ones for Mexico and Vietnam. Singapore is also planning diagnostic studies on dealing with construction permits for Indonesia, Peru and Thailand.¹⁴

The next phase of capacity building activities will focus on converting the diagnostic studies' recommendations into actions. Champion economies will

again play a role, by assisting other member economies in implementing regulatory reforms.

CONCLUSION

APEC has focused on institutional, regulatory and policy reforms to encourage efficient functioning of markets and reduce barriers to regional trade since the early 2000s. The APEC Ease of Doing Business Action Plan represents only one set of targets that APEC uses to encourage regulatory reforms. But it provides a useful example of the application of *Doing Business* indicators in setting concrete collective targets and in monitoring and assessing progress.

The framework of capacity building activities created through the action plan has proved useful in promoting exchanges between member economies. Here, the diversity of APEC's 21 member economies—with different income levels and located in different geographic regions—has contributed to success. By sharing experience and providing assistance to other APEC members, those identified as champions in each of the priority areas can lift the APEC-wide performance.

Other regional bodies can learn from this model of capacity building. *Doing Business 2012* found that in many economies the degree to which regulations and institutions are business-friendly varies fairly widely across different areas of regulation. ¹⁵ Regional bodies can take advantage of these differences, encouraging each member economy to capitalize on its strengths by providing assistance in areas of strong performance to members with weaker performance.

APEC appears poised to continue its capacity building efforts, with talks already under way on a new phase related to policy implementation. Because APEC is a voluntary and nonbinding forum, sustained engagement by top government officials from every APEC member is needed to accelerate progress toward the goals it has set for itself.

NOTES

This case study was written by Mikiko Imai Ollison, Paula Garcia Serna and Anastasia Shegay.

- 1. APEC 2010a.
- APEC 2010b. The founding members of APEC are Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Canada, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, New Zealand, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and the United States. China; Hong Kong SAR, China; and Taiwan, China, joined in 1991. Mexico and Papua New Guinea followed in 1993, Chile in 1994 and Peru, Russia
- and Vietnam in 1998—bringing the current membership to 21.
- 3. WTO Statistics Database, Trade Profile, http://stat.wto.org/.
- 4. WTO Statistics Database, Tariff Profile, http://stat.wto.org/.
- 5. APEC 2005.
- 6. APEC 2011a.
- 7. As *Doing Business 2013* was going to press, the *2012 APEC Economic Policy Report* was scheduled to be released in early October 2012.
- 8. APEC 2011b.

- Based on information provided by the APEC Policy Support Unit as of June 2012.
- 10. APEC 2011b.
- 11. USAID and New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade 2010.
- 12. APEC 2012.
- 13. Republic of Korea, Ministry of Justice 2011
- 14. Based on information provided by the APEC Policy Support Unit as of June 2012.
- 15. World Bank 2011a, p. 7.

Does *Doing Business* matter for foreign direct investment?



Many people who use Doing Business data—particularly in policy-making circles and in the private sector—associate better performance on the Doing Business indicators with greater inflows of foreign direct investment (FDI), even though the methodology is not explicitly designed for this purpose. Since the launch of last year's report nearly 2,000 articles in the international press have drawn a connection between FDI and Doing Business. Such articles often suggest that higher Doing Business rankings will be associated with more foreign investment, which is believed to create jobs, bring in new technologies and processes and have other beneficial collateral effects on the real economy. And many senior government officials have suggested that a better ranking for an economy implies that its investment climate is more favorable to foreign investors.

The case studies underpinning the *Doing Business* indicators focus on small to medium-size domestic firms, so the laws, regulations and practices tracked by the project are not necessarily relevant to larger foreign-owned firms. But the quality of the laws and regulations, and the extent to which this quality is reflected in their implementation, may be a useful

signal to foreign investors of the overall quality of the business environment. And some laws may indeed affect foreignowned firms in the same way that they affect domestic firms.

Given the interest of so many governments in attracting more foreign investment, this raises an important question: does *Doing Business* actually matter for FDI? If so, does this suggest that *Doing Business* indicators reflect the quality of the investment climate at a broader level? This case study presents evidence suggesting that they do—supporting a broader claim that economies that provide a good regulatory environment for domestic firms tend to also provide a good one for foreign-owned firms.

A FIRST LOOK AT THE LINK

There is certainly a correlation between the overall ease of doing business and FDI flows. Grouping economies by the *Doing Business* distance to frontier score for 2011,¹ table 7.1 shows that those closest to the frontier in regulatory practice received substantially more FDI than those in the middle, which in turn received substantially more than those furthest from the frontier. Figure 7.1 demonstrates this

- Even though Doing Business indicators focus on small to medium-size domestic firms, many policy makers have associated improvements in the indicators with greater inflows of foreign direct investment (FDI).
- Cross-country correlations show that FDI inflows are indeed higher for economies performing better on *Doing Business* indicators, even when taking into account differences across economies in other factors considered important for FDI.
- Results suggest that on average across economies, a difference of 1 percentage point in regulatory quality as measured by *Doing Business* distance to frontier scores is associated with a difference in annual FDI inflows of \$250-500 million.
- Although this correlation does not imply causation, the evidence suggests that *Doing Business* reflects more about the overall investment climate than what matters only to small and mediumsize domestic firms.
- In particular, these findings support the claim that economies that provide a good regulatory environment for domestic firms tend to also provide a good one for foreign firms.


TABLE 7.1 Average FDI inflows and stocks by tiers of economies grouped by their distance to frontier, 2011

Economies grouped by distance to frontier	Average FDI inflows (US\$ millions)	Average FDI stocks (US\$ millions)	Average distance to frontier (percentage points)
Top 10	50,384	768,496	86.0
Middle 10	14,362	89,776	58.9
Lowest 10	1,257	8,179	34.2

Note: The distance to frontier measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier).

Source: Doing Business database; United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, UNCTADstat database.

FIGURE 7.1 Better overall regulation is correlated with more FDI inflows per capita



Note: The distance to frontier measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). Sample includes 157 economies with positive 2011 FDI inflows per capita of \$1,500 or less. This includes all economies covered by Doing Business 2012 for which data are available, excluding outliers with negative inflows or inflows greater than \$1,500 per capita. Dropping these outliers does not significantly affect the trend line.

Source: Doing Business database; United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, UNCTADstat database.

graphically, using a different measure of FDI: it shows that FDI inflows per person in 2011 were higher for economies that were closer to the frontier.

But these are simple statistical correlations looking at the relationship between performance on the distance to frontier measure and FDI at a particular point in time. What does more robust research say about the determinants of FDI flows?

RESEARCH ON FDI DETERMINANTS

A large body of research has looked at the question of what the key drivers of FDI are. One approach in the literature sees FDI as being market-seeking (driven by economy size and country location), efficiency-seeking (driven by human capital or infrastructure quality) or resource-seeking (driven by the availability of natural resources or other strategic assets). Numerous studies have measured the significance of these and other explanatory variables.²

Many studies use a "gravity model," which seeks to explain what causes FDI flows between 2 specific countries. This research confirms that such factors as

the size of the market and its growth prospects, distance to important markets, relative labor endowments and openness to trade tend to be important drivers of FDI. For example, the larger the market, the greater the scope for economies of scale in production and thus the greater the chances for producing at competitive prices. Economies in Central and Eastern Europe have received large inflows of FDI over the past couple of decades because they are seen as entry points into the huge European market and also because they have relatively well-educated labor forces.

The institutional and regulatory framework has also been shown to be an important determinant of FDI. One study finds that judicial independence and labor market flexibility are significantly associated with FDI inflows, depending on the sector of the investment.³ Another finds that corruption is a significant deterrent to FDI, having an effect comparable to the impact of substantial increases in the tax rate on foreign firms.⁴ Indirect taxes on foreign investors, which are higher than the direct foreign income taxes in many countries, also significantly reduce FDI inflows.⁵ Business regulations matter as

well. Using a data set of regulations specific to foreign investment, a study finds that the number of procedures required to start a foreign-owned business and the strength of the arbitration regime both have a significant and robust effect on FDI.⁶

What about Doing Business? Using 4 years of Doing Business data, a recent study finds that a better Doing Business ranking is significantly associated with larger FDI inflows⁷—strong support for the claim that higher Doing Business rankings are a broad indicator of an attractive investment climate. But the study is unable to find evidence for smaller subsets of economies, such as for developing economies.8 Related research finds that business regulations as measured by Doing Business influence the impact of FDI inflows: economies with more effective regulations for starting a business benefit more from the FDI flows that they receive.9

WHAT DO THE DATA TELL US?


To expand on this existing body of research, Doing Business conducted its own econometric analysis of the relationship between Doing Business indicators and FDI flows. The analysis generally follows the model established by an earlier study, 10 considering the relationship between an economy's performance on Doing Business indicators and total FDI inflows from all other economies and taking into account differences in macroeconomic and governance conditions. But it also adds to prior analysis in several ways. It uses distance to frontier scores rather than economy rankings, as a more precise measure of how far business regulations are from the most efficient practice. Most specifications use 1 year of distance to frontier scores to explain subsequent years of FDI inflows, rather than panel data over time. The analysis considers differences in natural resource exports, and it covers a larger sample of between 145 and 160 economies across specifications.11

The basic model considers whether distance to frontier scores in 1 year are associated with total FDI inflows in the following year. When taking into account differences in income, inflation, population size, governance measures, openness to trade and exports of primary goods, the analysis finds significant results: a better distance to frontier score is significantly associated with larger inflows of FDI. To account for potential fluctuations in annual FDI flows, a different model examines the distance to frontier score for 2005 and average FDI inflows for the subsequent 5 years, and finds similar results. When considering population and income levels, as well as when using several other model specifications, the analysis finds a significant positive association between the distance to frontier score and FDI inflows. Other research has shown that Doing Business reforms are associated with greater domestic investment and GDP growth,12 supporting the general finding that reforms that improve the quality of the regulatory environment are positively associated with FDI inflows.

In general, these results need to be interpreted cautiously. Correlation of course does not imply causation. But the estimated magnitudes suggest that the laws, regulations and practices captured by Doing Business may have a strong influence on FDI flows. Results suggest that for an economy with an average distance to frontier score, moving 1 percentage point closer to the frontier regulatory environment is associated with \$250-500 million more in annual FDI inflows. These strong correlations, if upheld by further and more refined research, would have significant policy implications: they suggest that relatively modest improvements in the regulatory environment could potentially attract substantial increases in foreign investment. Consider the example of Costa Rica. If causation is proven, the correlations suggest that improving its score by just a percentage point—to a regulatory environment comparable to that of Uruguay-would

FIGURE 7.2 Complexity and cost of starting a domestic business are strongly correlated with complexity of starting a foreign one

Complexity of starting a foreign business, 2010 (distance to frontier, percentage points)



Note: Figure plots the distance to frontier in starting a (domestic) business as measured by *Doing Business* and the distance to frontier in starting a foreign business as measured by *Investing Across Borders*. The distance to frontier measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). Scores are shown for the 92 economies for which *Investing Across Borders* collected data in 2010.

Source: Doing Business database; Investing Across Borders database.

be associated with a 21% increase in its annual FDI inflows.¹³

GOOD REGULATIONS ALL AROUND

The strong and statistically significant relationship between FDI and the overall level of regulation as measured by Doing Business indicators supports the claim that Doing Business data reflect more about the overall investment climate than what matters only to small and medium-size local firms. These findings also support the more general claim that governments that regulate well in one area, such as domestic business, tend to also regulate well in other areas, such as foreign investment. For example, a working paper on transparency for this year's report highlights the positive correlation between a transparent approach to governance in one regulatory area and efficient regulation in other areas.14

Comparing the *Doing Business* indicators with other measures of the regulatory environment also supports this perspective. For example, some *Doing Business* indicators are strongly correlated with

similar indicators from the *Investing Across Borders* project, which focuses on regulation of foreign direct investment.¹⁵ The correlation between the distance to frontier measures of the 2 sets of indicators is 57%.

This general relationship also holds for comparable individual indicators from Doing Business and Investing Across Borders (figure 7.2). The correlation between the complexity and cost of starting a local company as measured by Doing Business and the complexity of starting a local subsidiary of a foreign firm as measured by Investing Across Borders is 81%.16 This correlation does not imply that the level of complexity is identical, however-indeed, while it takes 8 procedures and 26 days on average to start a local business in the economies covered by Investing Across Borders, it takes 10 procedures and 41 days on average to start a foreign-owned company in those economies.

CONCLUSION

This case study presents evidence of a significant correlation between the *Doing Business* indicators and flows of FDI.

Although this does not imply causation, the findings do support the claim that Doing Business reflects more about the overall investment climate than what matters only to small and medium-size domestic firms. More definitive conclusions about the relationship between Doing Business indicators and FDI will require more refined research. One initial step could be to disaggregate FDI by sector—for example, to compare the effect of business regulations on manufacturing FDI with their effect on resource extraction FDI. If such research supports the association between regulatory quality as measured by Doing Business and the size of FDI flows, government officials and business analysts will have even stronger justification for claims that better Doing Business rankings should attract more FDI.

NOTES

This case study was written by John Anderson and Adrian Gonzalez.

 The Doing Business indicators can be aggregated in multiple ways to create composite measures of the investment climate. One approach is the ease of doing business index, which ranks economies from 1 to 185. Another is the distance to frontier, which measures how far an economy is from the most efficient practice or highest score achieved by any economy since 2005 for each Doing Business indicator. This case study uses the distance to frontier measure to capture not only how an economy ranks relative to others but also how far it is from the most efficient business regulatory practices identified by Doing Business. For more details, see the chapter on the ease of doing business and distance to frontier.

- 2. For an overview of such studies, see, for example, Blonigen and Piger (2011); and Hornberger, Battat and Kusek (2011).
- 3. Walsh and Yu 2010.
- 4. Wei 2000.
- 5. Desai, Foley and Hines 2003.
- 6. Waglé 2011.
- 7. Jayasuriya 2011.
- This suggests that the results may be driven by differences between higherand lower-income economies, not by variation within groups of economies.
- 9. Busse and Groizard 2008.
- 10. Jayasuriya 2011.
- 11. Jayasuriya (2011) estimates the influence of *Doing Business* rankings across 84

- economies, noting that this smaller sample of economies is due to the use of an unbalanced panel.
- 12. See, for example, Eifert (2009); and Haidar (2012).
- 13. These calculations were made using distance to frontier scores for 2009 and data on FDI inflows in 2010 from the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development's UNCTADstat database. The calculation for Costa Rica uses a lower-end estimate of \$300 million in FDI flows being associated with a 1 percentage point difference in the distance to frontier score
- 14. Geginat, Gonzalez and Saltane 2012.
- 15. The Investing Across Borders database launched by the World Bank Group in 2010 presents indicators of FDI regulation across economies. The Investing Across Borders indicators referenced in this case study are based on data for 87 economies published in the 2010 Investing Across Borders report plus 5 additional economies for which data were collected but not included in that report.
- 16. This correlation is calculated between the distance to frontier in starting a business as measured by *Doing Business* and the distance to frontier in starting a foreign business as measured by *Investing Across Borders*, the same data as those shown in figure 7.2.

How transparent is business regulation around the world?



Nobel Prize-winning economist Amartya Sen wrote in 2009 that lack of transparency in the global financial system was among the main factors contributing to the financial crisis that began in 2008.¹ Had there been greater disclosure of information, regulatory authorities could have more effectively monitored the explosive growth of increasingly sophisticated and opaque financial instruments—and the crisis might have been less severe.

An institutional environment characterized by openness and transparency is of central importance not only for private markets but also for the effective and efficient management of public resources.2 Lack of transparency around the decisions made by policy makers and government officials can lead to resource misallocation as funds, rather than being directed toward their most productive ends, are instead captured for private gain. Lack of transparency can also undermine the credibility of those who are perceived as being its beneficiaries and thus sharply limit their ability to gain public support for economic and other reforms.

Access to information can empower citizens to monitor the quality of government services and the use of public resources. Because government markets are usually monopolistic, the consumers of public services have no "exit" option—they cannot "vote with their feet" by going to a competitor for better services. Access to information is therefore critical if citizens are to exercise their "voice" in demanding greater accountability from public servants.³ The government of Uganda demonstrated this by having

newspapers publish data on monthly transfers of school grants to local governments. By improving the ability of schools and parents to monitor how local officials handled the grants, the program reduced the share of grant funding lost to corruption from 80% to 20%.⁴ With more information, people can better evaluate different options and manage risks more effectively.⁵

How much can transparency and access to information affect the quality of the government services relevant for businesses? A sizable body of literature already attests to the importance of information in ensuring the quality of public services in such areas as health, sanitation and education.⁶ But thus far little attention has been paid to this role of information in the administrative branches of government that implement business regulation, such as company and property registries, building departments and power distribution utilities.

Yet the World Bank Enterprise Surveys suggest that there is much room for improvement in service quality and accountability in business regulation. The companies surveyed report that in a typical week their senior managers spend on average 11% of their time dealing with government regulations. More than 50% of them disagree with the notion that regulations are implemented consistently and predictably. And what's worse, companies often have to pay a bribe to get things done. Worldwide, 19% of firms report having had to pay bribes in connection with their application for an operating license or electricity connection.7

- It is in OECD high-income economies that businesses can expect the most consistently easy access to regulatory information through websites or printed brochures.
- Access to fee schedules for regulatory processes is most limited in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East and North Africa, where it is more common to have to meet with an official to obtain this information.
- The accessibility of regulatory information varies with income level and internet penetration, but resources are not the only explanation.
- Access to regulatory information is easier in economies that are characterized by greater political accountability and that guarantee greater political and civil rights.
- Economies providing greater access to regulatory information tend to have more efficient regulatory processes and lower regulatory compliance costs.

About two-thirds of these are small or medium-size firms.

This year's report presents new data that speak to the efforts at transparency made by government agencies tasked with implementing business regulation. The data capture how governments make basic regulatory information such as fee schedules available to businesses. Because agencies in many developing economies may be unable to rely on online solutions, the data also consider other ways of making information available, such as brochures and notice boards (see box 8.1 for a description of the new data and the *Doing Business* website for detailed data at the economy level).8

HOW TRANSPARENT IS BUSINESS REGULATION?

Company registries, property registries, building departments and power distribution utilities in too many economies make it difficult to access basic information such as fee schedules for their services. In only 25% of economies do all 4 agencies make fee schedules easily accessible through their websites or through brochures or notice boards. These are mostly higher-income economies, but they also include low- and lower-middle-income economies such as Armenia, Burkina Faso, El Salvador, Georgia and Tanzania. Around the world company registries are most likely to make information available online or through brochures or notice boards, and building departments least likely to do so (figure 8.1). On the brighter side, in only 7 of 176 economies do all 4 of these agencies require that customers meet with an official to obtain fee schedules.

Access to fee schedules is most limited in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East and North Africa. Of the 7 economies globally where fee schedules cannot be obtained from any of the agencies surveyed without meeting with an official, 6

BOX 8.1 HOW IS THE ACCESSIBILITY OF REGULATORY INFORMATION MEASURED?

The new data on the accessibility of regulatory information, collected between January and August 2012, measure how easy it is to access fee schedules for 4 regulatory processes in the largest business city of an economy: incorporating a new company, obtaining a building permit, connecting a business to electricity and transferring property. Fee schedules are considered easily accessible if they can be obtained either through the website of the relevant agency or through public notices (brochures or notice boards) available at that agency or a related one, without a need to meet with an official. They are considered not easily accessible if they can be obtained only by meeting with an official.

For incorporation fees the relevant agency is the company registry; for building permit fees, the building department; for electricity connection fees, the distribution utility or electricity regulator; and for property transfer fees, the property registry.


For each regulatory area, economies where information is easily accessible are assigned a score of 1; those where information is not easily accessible are assigned a score of 0.

Computed as a simple average of the scores for these 4 areas, an aggregate accessibility of information index is constructed for a sample of 176 economies for which the data are available for all 4 (see table). The index illustrates how consistent governments are in their transparency efforts across different agencies and branches of government.

Sample sizes for accessibility of information data					
Measure	Sample				
Accessibility of information on incorporation fees	185 economies				
Accessibility of information on building permit fees	176 economies				
Accessibility of information on electricity connection fees	185 economies				
Accessibility of information on property transfer fees	185 economies				
Accessibility of information index	176 economies				

FIGURE 8.1 Which agencies are more likely to make information accessible?

Share of economies where agency makes fee schedules easily accessible (%)




Source: Doing Business database.

are in Sub-Saharan Africa and the other in the Middle East and North Africa. On average in these regions businesses are unable to find fee schedules online or in a brochure for 2 of the 4 agencies. But there are notable exceptions. In Sub-Saharan Africa, Burkina Faso, Mauritius, South Africa and Tanzania guarantee easy access to information in all 4 regulatory areas. In the Middle East and North Africa, Oman and the United Arab Emirates provide the easiest access: in both these countries 3 of the 4 agencies provide information without a need for a meeting with an official.

Businesses can expect consistently easy access to information in OECD highincome economies. More than 60% of these economies make it easy to access information in all 4 regulatory areas covered by the new data. In Australia, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Sweden and the United States, for example, company registries, property registries, building departments and power distribution utilities all make fee schedules associated with their services available on the internet or through brochures. Greece, Hungary and Luxembourg are the only OECD high-income economies where businesses still have to meet with an official at 2 of the 4 agencies to get this information

FIGURE 8.2 Accessibility of regulatory information varies with economies' income level and internet penetration



Note: For an explanation of the accessibility of information index, see box 8.1. Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita.

Source: Doing Business database; World Bank, World Development Indicators database (2008 data).

WHO MAKES REGULATORY INFORMATION EASY TO ACCESS?

The accessibility of regulatory information varies with income level and internet penetration: low-income economies have the least regulatory transparency on average, and high-income economies the most (figure 8.2). In OECD high-income economies the accessibility of regulatory information as measured by *Doing Business* is 38% higher than the average for the sample. Is the reason simply that richer economies have more resources to invest in online solutions and in other ways to make information easily accessible to the public?

Variation within income groups suggests that making information easily accessible may not be entirely a question of resources; for many governments it may also be a question of choice. Tanzania, a low-income economy, makes more information easily accessible than such high-income economies as Greece, Kuwait and the United Arab Emirates. Cape Verde and Georgia, two lower-middle-income economies, also have higher accessibility levels than some richer economies. Moreover, as figure 8.2 illustrates, there

are multiple ways in which governments can share information with the public. Where internet access might be difficult, for example, information can be distributed though brochures and notice boards. Low-income economies such as Burkina Faso and Tanzania show that brochures can be an effective means of creating more transparency around regulatory information.

The new data show that even when differences in income per capita are


taken into account, economies with easy access to regulatory information are more likely to be democratic, to be generally more transparent and to guarantee greater political and civil rights (figure 8.3). Governments that provide greater transparency in their business regulatory environment are also more transparent in other areas. To take 2 examples, they disclose more budgetary information (as measured by the Open Budget Index of the International Budget Partnership), and they make greater efforts to publicize laws and make them comprehensible to the wider public (as measured by the Rule of Law Index of the World Justice Project).10

MORE INFORMATION, BETTER BUSINESS REGULATION?

Greater access to regulatory information is also associated with more efficient regulatory processes. Economies that make fee schedules consistently easy to access rank higher on the ease of doing business—and they keep regulatory compliance costs for firms significantly lower.

Take the cost of starting a business. The global average is a significant 31% of income per capita. Entrepreneurs in lower-income economies face even higher costs, reaching 87% of income per capita in Sub-Saharan Africa. But regardless of income levels, official incorporation fees

FIGURE 8.3 Access to regulatory information is greater where democracy and political rights are greater




Note: The 176 economies in the sample are divided into 4 groups based on the accessibility of information index, and averages are taken for the economies in each group on institutionalized democracy ratings (for 2012) and political rights ratings (for 2010). Numbers in parentheses are the number of economies in each group. Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita.


Source: Freedom House 2012; Center for Systemic Peace, Integrated Network for Societal Conflict Research; Doing Business database.

FIGURE 8.4 Incorporation and electricity connection fees are lower in economies with greater disclosure of fee schedules and structures

Average cost to start a business (% of income per capita)



Average cost to connect to electricity (% of income per capita)




Note: Fee schedules are considered easily accessible if they can be obtained through the website of the relevant authority or another government agency or through public notices, without a need for a meeting with an official. The data sample includes 185 economies. Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita.

Source: Doing Business database.

tend to be significantly lower in economies where fee schedules are easily accessible (figure 8.4). Starting a business costs 26% of income per capita on average in economies where fee schedules are publicly available, but 52% where they are not. Similarly, getting a new electricity connection costs more than twice as much in economies where information on the connection fees is more difficult to

FIGURE 8.5 Greater access to regulatory information is associated with greater trust in regulatory quality



Note: The 176 economies in the sample are divided into 5 groups based on the accessibility of information index, and averages are taken for the economies in each group on the Regulatory Quality Index ranking of the Worldwide Governance Indicators for 2009. The Regulatory Quality Index, ranging from -2.5 (weak) to 2.5 (strong), measures public perception of government's ability to formulate and implement sound policies. Relationships are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita.

Source: World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators; Doing Business database.

access. Similar results were found for the fees to register property and to obtain a construction permit.

Moreover, access to basic regulatory information is also positively associated with the trust the public places in its government. Where regulatory information is more consistently accessible, businesses perceive their government as being better able to formulate and implement sound policies and regulations that permit and promote private sector development (figure 8.5).

CONCLUSION

A growing body of empirical research suggests that while transparency alone might not be enough to increase government accountability, it is certainly necessary.¹² A study of Brazilian municipalities shows that mayors are less corrupt where citizens can gain access to municipal budget reports, but only in the municipalities where electoral rules stipulate the possibility for reelection of a mayor. Where mayors cannot be reelected, access to budgetary information has no effect in reducing corruption.¹³ Similarly, a study in India found evidence that local governments' responsiveness

to newspaper reports on drops in food production and flood damage to crops is more pronounced where elections loom close, political competition is strong and voter turnout high.¹⁴ In short, information is more powerful when it is complemented by incentives that hold officials accountable.

The data and analysis presented here suggest that easier access to regulatory information such as fee schedules is associated with greater regulatory efficiency, lower compliance costs and better regulatory quality for businesses. This seems to confirm the findings of others who have shown that more transparency and better-quality government tend to go hand in hand.¹⁵

The correlations cannot answer the question whether greater transparency might lead to better governments or whether better governments might also simply be more transparent. Yet it seems that improving transparency could at least be a good start in increasing the accountability of public agencies charged with implementing regulations. Only when citizens have access to information do they also have a chance to act on the

information and use it to pressure for greater accountability of public agents. The effort appears to be worth making, and as the data here show, it need not always be costly. Sometimes printing a simple brochure might be enough.

NOTES

This case study was written by Carolin Geginat.

- Amartya Sen, "Adam Smith's Market Never Stood Alone," Financial Times, March 11, 2009, http://www.ft.com/.
- 2. Hirschman 1970; Paul 1992; Stiglitz 2003; Kaufmann 2003.
- Exit and voice are terms introduced by Hirschman (1970) in his discussion on how consumers can respond to poorquality provision of goods and services.
- 4. Reinikka and Svensson 2005.
- 5. Akerlof 1970; Stiglitz and Weiss 1981; Hirshleifer 1980; Stigler 1971.
- See, for example, World Bank (2004); Reinikka and Svensson (2005); Deininger and Mpuga (2005); and Besley and Burgess (2002).

- 7. In addition, research suggests that enterprise surveys in corrupt countries tend to understate the gravity of the corruption problem. Jensen, Li and Rahman (2010) find that enterprises in countries with less press freedom are more likely to provide no responses or false responses on the issue of corruption. Corruption is understated in such countries.
- 8. Fee schedules are generally made available by implementing agencies and are not part of national legislation. The accessibility of this type of regulatory information therefore speaks directly to the openness and transparency efforts of particular government agencies.
- These economies are Botswana, the Republic of Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Gabon and Mauritania in Sub-Saharan Africa and Iraq in the Middle East and North Africa.
- 10. Geginat, Gonzalez and Saltane 2012.
- 11. The correlations were calculated on the basis of official regulatory compliance costs only. Bribes that might have to be paid as well in some economies are not captured by the cost estimates.
- 12. See Olken (2007); Besley and Burgess (2002); and Ferraz and Finan (2011).

- 13. Ferraz and Finan 2011.
- 14. Besley and Burgess 2002.
- 15. See, for example, Islam (2006); and Williams (2009). Islam (2006) finds that governments that are timelier in releasing important political and macroeconomic data also rank better on various measures of good governance. Using Granger causality regressions, Williams (2009) shows that the release of information by governments has a positive short-term effect on the quality of bureaucracy. The analysis uses data similar to those employed by Islam (2006) as well as measures of corruption, the size of government and education, along with trade variables as control variables.



Starting a business

- Starting a business is easiest in New Zealand, where it takes 1 procedure, 1 day, less than 1% of income per capita and no paid-in minimum capital.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 36 reforms making it easier to start a business.
- Burundi made the biggest improvement in the ease of starting a business in the past year.
- Madagascar is among the economies advancing the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in starting a business since 2005.
- Simplifying company registration formalities was the most common feature of business start-up reforms in the past 8 years.
- Among regions, Eastern Europe and Central Asia has improved the business start-up process the most since 2005.

For more information on good practices and research related to starting a business, visit http://www .doingbusiness.org/data/ exploretopics/starting-a-business. For more on the methodology, see the section on starting a business in the data notes.

Entrepreneurs around the world face a range of challenges. One of them is inefficient regulation. Doing Business measures the procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital required for a small or medium-size limited liability company to start up and formally operate. To make the data comparable across 185 economies, Doing Business uses a standardized business that is 100% domestically owned, has start-up capital equivalent to 10 times income per capita, engages in general industrial or commercial activities and employs between 10 and 50 people within the first month of operations.


According to a recent review, evidence from several studies shows that reforms making it easier to start a formal business are associated with increases in the number of newly registered firms and sustained gains in economic performance, including improvements in employment and productivity. For example, in both Canada and the United States empirical research finds that economic growth is driven by the entry of new formal businesses rather than by the growth of existing firms. In Mexico the number of registered businesses increased by 5% and employment by 2.2% after business registration was simplified in different municipalities.

WHO REFORMED IN STARTING A BUSINESS IN 2011/12?

In 2011/12, 36 economies made it easier to start a business (table 9.1). Five others made the process more difficult. Among those making it easier, some created online

TABLE 9.1 Who made starting a business easier in 2011/12—and what did they do?					
Feature	Economies	Some highlights			
Simplified registration formalities (seal, publication, notarization, inspection, other requirements)	Albania; Benin; Bulgaria; Burundi; China; Colombia; Comoros; Democratic Republic of Congo; Republic of Congo; Lesotho; FYR Macedonia; Netherlands; Romania; Slovak Republic; Tanzania; Togo; Ukraine; Uzbekistan; Vietnam	Albania made the notarization of incorporation documents optional, cutting procedures by 1, time by 1 day and cost by 7% of income per capita. The Netherlands eliminated the requirement for a declaration of nonobjection before incorporation, cutting procedures by 1, time by 3 days and cost by €91.			
Abolished or reduced minimum capital requirement	Kazakhstan; Kosovo; Mexico; Mongolia; Morocco; Norway; Serbia	Mexico eliminated its minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies. Norway reduced its requirement by 70%.			
Created or improved one-stop shop	Burundi; Chad; Guinea; Lao PDR; Lesotho; Madagascar; Thailand	Guinea created a one-stop shop for business start-up, cutting 6 procedures and 5 days from the start-up process.			
Cut or simplified postregistration procedures (tax registration, social security registration, licensing)	Costa Rica; Sri Lanka; United Arab Emirates	Sri Lanka computerized and expedited the process of obtaining registration numbers with the Employees Provident Fund and Employees Trust Fund. This cut time by 29 days.			
Introduced or improved online procedures	Ireland; Lithuania	Ireland introduced an online facility for business registration, reducing time by 3 days and cost by a third.			
Source: Doing Business database.					

FIGURE 9.1 Burundi made starting a business easier in 2011/12 by setting up a one-stop shop



Source: Doing Business database.

services and standard registration documents, which go a long way in facilitating swift and legally sound incorporation.

Lithuania introduced an online facility for business registration. Sri Lanka computerized and expedited the process of obtaining registration numbers with the social security agencies. Other economies—including Mongolia and Serbia—eliminated the paid-in minimum capital requirement. Norway reduced it. To encourage entrepreneurship among youth, Italy created a new type of limited liability company with a simplified incorporation process for people under age 35. Now it is working to extend this option to all entrepreneurs.

Globally, Burundi improved the most in the ease of starting a business in the past year. The government reduced tax registration costs and created a one-stop shop at the Burundi Revenue Authority, bringing together representatives from several agencies involved in the business start-up process (figure 9.1).

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 368 business registration reforms in 149 economies (figure 9.2). Globally since 2005, the average time to start a business has fallen from 50 days to 30—and in low-income economies the average has been reduced by half. Many economies

have abolished the paid-in minimum capital requirement (figure 9.3).


In 2005 only 2 low-income economies made it easier to start a business. Seven years later 9 did so. As a result, today 2 low- or lower-middle-income economies rank among the top 10 globally on the ease of starting a business (table 9.2).

Madagascar is among the economies advancing furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in starting a business since 2005 (table 9.3). This is thanks to 6 reforms making business start-up easier. The country set up a one-stop shop and improved its services over time. It also simplified registration formalities and the publication requirement. Finally, it reduced and then progressively eliminated the paid-in minimum capital requirement. Other economies also made steady progress over time: Guinea-Bissau and Tajikistan both implemented a one-stop shop and simplified business registration procedures.

Introducing information and communication technology has been a common feature of start-up reforms, and today 106 economies use it for services ranging from name search to full online business registration. Of these, more than 40 offer electronic registration services. Several economies with the fastest business start-up offer electronic

FIGURE 9.2 Sub-Saharan Africa and Eastern Europe & Central Asia still lead in start-up reforms


Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to start a business by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 9.3 Worldwide, big cuts in the time and paid-in minimum capital requirement to start a business



DB2013
DB2006

Eastern Europe & Central Asia
DB2006

South Asia
DB2006

Middle East & North Africa
DB2013
DB2006

East Asia & Pacific
DB2013
DB2006

Sub-Saharan Africa
DB2013
DB2006

DB2013
DB2006

DB2013
DB2006

DB2013
DB2006

DB2013
DB2006

Share of economies with no paid-in minimum capital requirement (%)

Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the Doing Business sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

Latin America & Caribbean

registration—New Zealand, Australia, Singapore, Canada, Portugal, Denmark and Estonia (table 9.4). And online services are increasingly being offered in developing economies.

DB2013

DB2006

Eighty-eight economies have some sort of one-stop shop for business registration, including the 58 that established or improved theirs in the past 8 years. Ninety-one economies require no paid-in minimum capital, and many others have lowered the requirement. The average paid-in minimum capital requirement has fallen from 183% of income per capita to only 44% since 2005.

60

75

TABLE 9.2 Where is starting a business easiest—and where most difficult?					
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK		
New Zealand	1	Côte d'Ivoire	176		
Australia	2	Iraq	177		
Canada	3	Suriname	178		
Singapore	4	West Bank and Gaza	179		
Macedonia, FYR	5	Congo, Rep.	180		
Hong Kong SAR, China	6	Chad	181		
Georgia	7	Equatorial Guinea	182		
Rwanda	8	Eritrea	183		
Belarus	9	Haiti	183		
Ireland	10	Djibouti	185		

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital for starting a business. See the data notes for details. Economies shown with the same number are tied in the ranking.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 9.3	Who has narrowed the distance to
	frontier in starting a business the
	most since 2005?

111031 311100 2003	ļē.
Most improved	Improvement in distance to frontier (percentage points)
Guinea-Bissau	63 (0 → 63)
Yemen, Rep.	57 (17 → 74)
Tajikistan	55 (30 → 85)
Angola	53 (7 → 60)
Madagascar	52 (43 → 95)
Saudi Arabia	50 (31 → 81)
Egypt, Arab Rep.	46 (42 → 88)
Timor-Leste	45 (16 → 61)
Mali	41 (26 → 67)
Mozambique	41 (40→81)

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the starting a business indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business* 2006 (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 9.4 Who makes starting a business easy—and who does not?								
Procedures (number)			Cost (% of income	per cap	oita)			
Fewest		Most		Least		Most		
Canada	1	Honduras	13	Slovenia	0.0	Côte d'Ivoire	130.0	
New Zealand	1	Suriname	13	Denmark	0.2	Ethiopia	135.3	
Australia	2	Algeria	14	Ireland	0.3	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	144.2	
Georgia	2	Argentina	14	South Africa	0.3	Comoros	150.0	
Kyrgyz Republic	2	Bolivia	15	Canada	0.4	Djibouti	150.7	
Macedonia, FYR	2	Brunei Darussalam	15	New Zealand	0.4	Gambia, The	158.7	
Madagascar	2	Uganda	15	Sweden	0.5	Central African Republic	172.6	
Rwanda	2	Philippines	16	Singapore	0.6	Chad	202.0	
Slovenia	2	Venezuela, RB	17	Kazakhstan	0.6	Congo, Dem. Rep.	284.7	
Armenia	3	Equatorial Guinea	18	Australia	0.7	Haiti	286.6	

Time (days)			Paid-in minimum capital			
Fastest		Slowest		Most	% of income per capita	US\$
New Zealand	1	Zimbabwe	90	Chad	289	1,997
Australia	2	Lao PDR	92	Guinea	325	1,428
Georgia	2	Timor-Leste	94	Mauritania	328	3,279
Macedonia, FYR	2	Brunei Darussalam	101	Mali	332	2,025
Hong Kong SAR, China	3	Haiti	105	Guinea-Bissau	338	2,028
Rwanda	3	Brazil	119	Burkina Faso	354	2,017
Singapore	3	Equatorial Guinea	135	Togo	366	2,047
Albania	4	Venezuela, RB	144	Djibouti	384	5,627
Belgium	4	Congo, Rep.	161	Central African Republic	444	2,087
Canada	5	Suriname	694	Niger	573	2,062

 $\it Note$: Ninety-one economies have no paid-in minimum capital requirement.

Source: Doing Business database.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Karim O. Belayachi, Paula Garcia Serna, Hussam Hussein and Frédéric Meunier.

- 1. Motta, Oviedo and Santini 2010.
- 2. Klapper and Love 2011b.
- 3. Bruhn 2011.



Dealing with construction permits

- Dealing with construction permits is easiest in Hong Kong SAR, China, where it takes 6 procedures and 67 days to complete this process.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 20 reforms making it easier to deal with construction permits.
- Taiwan, China, made the biggest improvement in the ease of dealing with construction permits in the past year.
- FYR Macedonia has advanced the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in construction permitting since 2005.
- Among regions, Eastern Europe and Central Asia has made the biggest improvements in the ease of dealing with construction permits since 2005.
- Introducing or improving onestop shops was among the most common features of construction permitting reforms in the past 8 years.

For more information on good practices and research related to dealing with construction permits, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/dealing-with-construction-permits. For more on the methodology, see the section on dealing with construction permits in the data notes.

Construction regulation matters for public safety. If procedures are too complicated or costly, builders tend to proceed without a permit. 1 By some estimates 60-80% of building projects in developing economies are undertaken without the proper permits and approvals.2 Construction regulation also matters for the health of the building sector and the economy as a whole. According to a recent study, the construction industry accounts on average for 6.5% of GDP in OECD economies.3 Good regulations help ensure the safety standards that protect the public while making the permitting process efficient, transparent and affordable.

To measure the ease of dealing with construction permits. Doing Business records the procedures, time and cost required for a small to medium-size business to obtain all the necessary approvals to build a simple commercial warehouse and connect it to water, sewerage and a fixed telephone line (table 10.1). The case study includes all types of inspections and certificates needed before, during and after construction of the warehouse. To make the data comparable across 185 economies, the case study assumes that the warehouse is located in the periurban area of the largest business city, is not in a special economic or industrial zone and will be used for general storage activities.

WHO REFORMED IN DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS IN 2011/12?

From June 2011 to June 2012 *Doing Business* recorded 20 reforms making it easier to deal with construction permits (table 10.2). Six others made the process

construction permits easiest— and where most difficult?						
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK			
Hong Kong SAR, China	1	Montenegro	176			
Singapore	2	Azerbaijan	177			
Georgia	3	Russian Federation	178			
Marshall Islands	4	Serbia	179			
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	5	Tajikistan	180			
New Zealand	6	China	181			
Bahrain	7	India	182			
Denmark	8	Ukraine	183			
Taiwan, China	9	Albania	185			
Grenada	10	Eritreaª	185			

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the procedures, time and cost to comply with formalities to build a warehouse. See the data notes for details.

a. Albania and Eritrea are both "no practice" economies with barriers preventing private builders from legally obtaining a building permit. They are tied in the ranking. Source: Doing Business database.

longer and costlier. East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, OECD high-income economies and Sub-Saharan Africa had the largest number making it easier, all with 4, followed by Eastern Europe and Central Asia with 3 and South Asia with 1. The Middle East and North Africa implemented no major regulatory improvements in the area of dealing with construction permits in 2011/12.

Taiwan, China, made the biggest improvement in the ease of dealing with construction permits in the past year (figure 10.1). By early 2012 the city of Taipei had finished implementing a single window for preconstruction approvals and

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
Streamlined procedures	Burundi; China; Costa Rica; Netherlands; Panama; Peru; Portugal; Russian Federation	Burundi eliminated the requirement to obtain a clearance from the Ministry of Health and reduced the cost of the geotechnical study.
Reduced time for processing permit applications	Benin; Burundi; Greece; India; Malaysia; Norway; Portugal	India implemented strict time limits at the municipality for processing building permits.
Introduced or improved one-stop shop	Brunei Darussalam; Malaysia; Taiwan, China	Taiwan, China, introduced a risk-based, self-regulatory inspection system and improved operational features of its one-stop shop for building permits.
Reduced fees	Republic of Congo; Guinea; Montenegro	The Republic of Congo reduced the cost of first-time registration of the building.
Improved electronic platforms or online services	Costa Rica; Netherlands	The Netherlands merged several types of approvals and implemented online application systems.
Introduced risk-based approvals	Guatemala; Turkey	Guatemala introduced a risk-based approval system for building permits.

another for postconstruction approvals in its one-stop shop. In addition, authorities issued new rules on private inspections. These allow builders to perform inspections during the construction of lowerrisk commercial buildings with fewer than 5 floors. The changes eliminated 14 procedures and 31 days from the process of dealing with construction permits.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

Source: Doing Business database.

In the past 8 years 83 economies around the world implemented 146 reforms

making it easier to deal with construction permits (figure 10.2). Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the most, with 39, followed by Sub-Saharan Africa (33), Latin America and the Caribbean (22), OECD high-income economies (22), East Asia and the Pacific (16), the Middle East and North Africa (13) and South Asia (1).

Economies in Eastern Europe and Central Asia have achieved the biggest time savings since 2005, reducing the time to deal with construction permits by 88 days on average (figure 10.3).

FIGURE 10.1 Taiwan, China, made dealing with construction permits faster and easier




TABLE 10.3 Who makes dealing with construction permits easyand who does not? Procedures (number) Most Fewest Hong Kong 6 Azerbaijan 28 SAR, China New Zealand 6 Guinea 29 St. Lucia 7 Philippines 29 Sweden 7 Poland 29 Colombia 8 Kazakhstan 32 Denmark 8 Czech Republic 33 Jamaica 8 El Salvador 33 Spain 8 India 34 St. Vincent and 8 Malaysia 37 the Grenadines Thailand 8a Russian 42

Time (days)					
Fastest		Slowest			
Singapore	26	Mozambique	377		
United States	27	Venezuela, RB	381		
Korea, Rep.	29	Barbados	416		
Bahrain	43	Suriname	461		
Colombia	46	Brazil	469		
United Arab Emirates	46	Côte d'Ivoire	475		
Vanuatu	54	Zimbabwe	614		
Qatar	62	Cambodia	652		
Finland	66	Cyprus	677		
Hong Kong SAR, China	67	Haiti	1,129		

Federation


Cost (% of income per capita)					
Least		Most			
Qatar	1.1	Congo, Dem. Rep.	1,582.7		
Brunei Darussalam	4.0	Niger	1,612.8		
Trinidad and Tobago	5.3	Zambia	1,679.1		
St. Kitts and Nevis	5.4	Burundi	1,911.9		
Hungary	5.7	Djibouti	2,023.6		
Slovak Republic	7.3	Mauritania	2,796.6		
Palau	7.6	Kosovo	2,986.0		
Dominica	7.6	Afghanistan	4,308.6		
Maldives	8.2	Zimbabwe	4,423.4		
Barbados	8.3	Chad	5,106.8		

a. Five other economies also have 8 procedures for dealing with construction permits: Belize, Grenada, Guyana, Maldives and the Marshall Islands.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 10.2 Eastern Europe and Central Asia keeps up its fast pace in construction permitting reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to deal with construction permits by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.


Source: Doing Business database.

Among the most difficult changes to implement is the introduction or improvement of a one-stop shop. Construction approval systems usually involve many different agencies. To prevent overlap in their roles and ensure efficiency, many economies have opted to put representatives from many agencies in a single

location. These one-stop shops improve the organization of the review process—not by reducing the number of checks needed but by better coordinating the efforts of the agencies involved. In the past 8 years 18 regulatory reforms were implemented to set up or improve one-stop shops, including the efforts made

FIGURE 10.3 Biggest time savings in Eastern Europe and Central Asia

Average time to deal with construction permits (days)



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure data includes 172 practice economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database

to frontier in dealing with construction permits the most since 2005? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) Macedonia, FYR 46 $(36 \rightarrow 82)$ Kyrgyz Republic 42 $(39 \rightarrow 81)$ Tajikistan $(11 \rightarrow 52)$ Burkina Faso 39 (43>82) Nigeria 34 $(44 \rightarrow 78)$ Georgia 31

Equatorial Guinea

Guatemala

Hong Kong SAR, China

São Tomé and Príncipe

 $(61 \rightarrow 92)$

30

 $(45 \rightarrow 75)$

30 (67**→**97)

26 (51→77)

26ª

 $(53 \rightarrow 79)$

TABLE 10.4 Who has narrowed the distance

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the dealing with construction permits indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

a. The Democratic Republic of Congo and Croatia also have an improvement of 26 percentage points.

Source: Doing Business database.

by Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia and Taiwan, China, in 2011/12. The 2 regions with the most such reforms are East Asia and the Pacific (with 5) and Eastern Europe and Central Asia (with 5).

Introducing risk-based approval systems is also a complex yet important change. Not all building projects are associated with the same economic or environmental risks. It therefore makes sense to differentiate construction permitting processes to treat buildings according to their risk level and location. This saves time for both entrepreneurs and authorities and allows them to direct their efforts and resources more efficiently. Seventeen regulatory reforms introduced risk-based approvals

in the past 8 years, including those in Guatemala and Turkey in 2011/12. Eastern Europe and Central Asia led the way with 7 such reforms, followed by Latin America and the Caribbean with 4.

Many economies have gone particularly far in closing the gap with the most efficient regulatory systems for dealing with construction permits, such as those in Hong Kong SAR, China, and Georgia (table 10.3). Those making the greatest progress toward the frontier in regulatory practice in this area have been able to do so thanks to a continual effort to

improve regulations. FYR Macedonia has advanced the furthest toward this frontier since 2005 (table 10.4).

Authorities in Skopje implemented 4 reforms making it easier to deal with construction permits, including passing new construction laws, privatizing part of the inspection process and reducing several fees. The changes made a difference for builders in FYR Macedonia. In 2005 complying with all regulatory requirements for constructing the standard warehouse took 20 procedures and 244 days and cost the equivalent of 2,439% of income

per capita. Today it takes 10 procedures and 117 days and costs 518% of income per capita.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Marie Lily Delion, Anastasia Shegay, Alejandro Espinosa-Wang and Yucheng Zheng.

- 1. Moullier 2009.
- 2. De Soto 2000.
- 3. OECD 2010.



Getting electricity

- Getting an electricity connection is easiest in Iceland, where it takes 4 procedures and 22 days.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 13 reforms making it easier to get electricity.
- Armenia made the biggest improvement in the ease of getting electricity in the past year.
- Vanuatu and The Gambia have advanced the furthest in narrowing the gap with the most efficient regulations governing electricity connections since 2010.
- Sub-Saharan Africa, the region where improvements are most needed, leads in the number of reforms making electricity regulations more business-friendly.

For more information on good practices and research related to getting electricity, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/getting-electricity. For more on the methodology, see the section on getting electricity in the data notes.

Infrastructure services, particularly electricity, are a concern for businesses around the world. World Bank Enterprise Surveys show that managers in 109 economies, 71 of them low or lower middle income, consider electricity to be among the biggest constraints to their business. In addition, managers estimate losses due to power outages at an average 5.1% of annual sales.¹

Doing Business measures the procedures, time and cost for a small to medium-size business to get a new electricity connection for a warehouse. To make the data comparable across 185 economies, Doing Business uses a standardized case study of a newly established warehouse requiring a connection 150 meters long and with a power need of 140 kilovolt-amperes (kVA). The warehouse is assumed to be located in the largest business city, in an area where warehouses usually locate and electricity is most easily available.

WHO REFORMED IN GETTING ELECTRICITY IN 2011/12?

Economies where getting an electricity connection is easy have several good practices in common (table 11.1). Other economies are adopting some of these practices. From June 2011 to June 2012 *Doing Business* recorded 13 reforms that made getting electricity easier (table 11.2). Two economies introduced changes that made connections costlier.

Improving process efficiency within the utility and streamlining approvals with other public agencies are the most common features of reforms making it easier to get electricity. These are also among the most effective ways to reduce

TABLE 11.1 Where is getting electricity easiest—and where most difficult?			
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK
Iceland	1	Sierra Leone	176
Germany	2	Kyrgyz Republic	177
Korea, Rep.	3	Nigeria	178
Hong Kong SAR, China	4	Malawi	179
Singapore	5	Senegal	180
Taiwan, China	6	Tajikistan	181
United Arab Emirates	7	Guinea-Bissau	182
Switzerland	8	Madagascar	183
Sweden	9	Russian Federation	184
Thailand	10	Bangladesh	185

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the procedures, time and cost to get an electricity connection. See the data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.

connection delays and the duplication of formalities. In Canada a more efficient process for obtaining the excavation permit and materials needed for the connection reduced the time to get a new electricity connection by 26 days. In Indonesia in 2011 the utility PT PLN set up a call center enabling customers to request a new electricity connection by phone. It further simplified the application process by eliminating the requirement to bring in a copy of a neighbor's bill to help determine the exact address of the new customer's business.

As these examples show, small adjustments can lead to big gains in time and efficiency. Other economies have adopted broader approaches. Armenia and Georgia streamlined procedures and revised connection costs through several amendments to the regulations

TABLE 11.2 Who made getting electricity easier in 2011/12—and what did they do? **Feature Economies** Some highlights In Italy the utility Acea Distribuzione reorganized its Improved process Canada; Indonesia; efficiency departmental workflow, increasing efficiency and reducing Italy; Liberia; Mexico; Namibia; United the time to complete external connection works. In Liberia Arab Emirates the materials needed for an electricity connection are now readily available in the utility's stock, reducing the time to obtain a connection. The purchase of materials was facilitated by increased donor funding. Streamlined approval Angola; Armenia; In Armenia the Public Services Regulatory Commission adopted resolutions giving customers more technical process Georgia: Guinea options for connecting to electricity. As a result, customers no longer have to wait for a permit from the State Energy Inspectorate. The commission also revised its fee structure, reducing the costs customers pay for a new connection. Improved regulation Republic of Korea; In Rwanda the installation cost that a customer must pay of connection costs Rwanda the Energy, Water and Sanitation Authority for the external and processes connection works was reduced from 30% of the materials cost to 15% when the customer provides the materials.

governing the process of connecting new customers. In Armenia the new connection process eliminated 1 procedure while a revised fee structure reduced the cost of new connections. In Georgia the National Commission on Energy and Water Regulation, through a resolution adopted in November 2011, also introduced a new process and a revised fee structure. The changes reduced the number of procedures by 1, the time by a quarter and the cost by a fifth (figure 11.1).

In the United Arab Emirates the Dubai Electricity and Water Authority introduced a "one window, one step" application for getting electricity as the latest enhancement to its SAP system. The new system allows customers to both submit and track their application online. It also streamlines their interactions with the utility and with their electrical contractor by offering a single interface. Implementation of the new system reduced the time to get a new connection by 15 days.

Other utilities have reduced connection costs and wait times by improving procurement practices. The Liberia Electricity Corporation reduced the time to get a new connection by 120 days by ensuring that the materials needed for the connection are readily available in its stock. The utility of the Namibian city of Windhoek

FIGURE 11.1 Georgia made obtaining an electricity connection faster and cheaper




TABLE 11.3 Who makes getting electricity easy—and who does not? Procedures (number) Most Fewest 3 8 Comoros Nigeria Germany 3 Senegal 8 3 Sierra Leone 8 Japan 9 Micronesia, 3 Azerbaijan Fed. Sts. St. Vincent 3 Bangladesh 9 and the Grenadines 3 9 Sweden Mozambique Switzerland 3 Tajikistan 9 Uzbekistan Timor-Leste 3 9 Afghanistan 4 Russian 10 Federation

4

Ukraine

11


Iceland

Time (days)				
Fastest		Slowest		
Germany	17	Cyprus	247	
St. Kitts and Nevis	18	Hungary	252	
Iceland	22	Nigeria	260	
Austria	23	Czech Republic	279	
Taiwan, China	24	Russian Federation	281	
St. Lucia	25	Ukraine	285	
Korea, Rep.	28	Bangladesh	404	
Rwanda	30	Madagascar	450	
Chile	31	Guinea- Bissau	455	
Puerto Rico (U.S.)	32	Liberia	465	

Cost (% of income per capita)				
Least		Most		
Japan	0.0	Djibouti	7,776.4	
Hong Kong SAR, China	1.6	Guinea	8,377.7	
Qatar	3.9	Malawi	8,854.9	
Norway	6.5	Madagascar	9,056.7	
Trinidad and Tobago	6.6	Chad	11,017.6	
Australia	8.7	Central African Republic	12,603.6	
Panama	13.6	Burkina Faso	12,662.0	
Israel	13.8	Benin	14,343.1	
Uruguay	14.3	Burundi	21,481.7	
Iceland	14.9	Congo, Dem. Rep.	27,211.6	

FIGURE 11.2 Sub-Saharan Africa had the most reforms in getting electricity in the past 3 years

Number of Doing Business reforms making it easier to get electricity by Doing Business report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2011 (2010) includes 176 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) includes a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

took several steps aimed at reducing connection times and costs. First, the utility created a new template for calculating commodity prices, enabling it to provide customers with a cost estimate for a new connection more easily and thus more quickly. Second, the utility selected a more effective, efficient and experienced civil contractor through an open tender process. Together, these 2 measures reduced the connection time by 17 days. Finally, the utility began acquiring materials and equipment through an open tender process held every 2 years. This led to more competition and lower prices, reducing the connection cost by 77.8% of income per capita.

Many economies put an emphasis on making it easier to get a connection to the distribution network as a way to increase the electrification rate and stimulate business growth. Rwanda is an example. Its process for obtaining a connection is among the fastest in the world (table 11.3). The government improved it further by reducing installation costs. Customers still provide the materials for the connection, but rather than paying an additional 30% of that cost to the utility for installation, they now pay only half that.

WHAT WERE THE TRENDS IN THE PAST 3 YEARS?

In the past 3 years 30 economies around the world implemented 31

regulatory reforms making it easier to get a new electricity connection. Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for the largest number of such reforms, with 11. Eastern Europe and Central Asia follows (figure 11.2). Among the most common and effective features of regulatory reforms in this area have been improving process efficiency within the utility, streamlining procedures and approvals with other public agencies, making information on connection fees and costs more readily available to customers, regulating the electrical profession to ensure the quality


TABLE 11.4 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in getting electricity the most since 2010? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) Vanuatu 19 (48**→**67) Gambia, The 17 $(46 \rightarrow 63)$ Central African Republic 15 $(13 \rightarrow 28)$ Zimbabwe 13 $(40 \rightarrow 53)$ Afghanistan 12 (55>67) Latvia 12 $(61 \rightarrow 73)$ Georgia 12 $(72 \rightarrow 84)$ Kyrgyz Republic 11 $(33 \rightarrow 44)$ Congo, Rep. 11 $(35 \rightarrow 46)$ Angola


Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator—in this case for the getting electricity indicators since 2010. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 176 economies included in the getting electricity sample in 2010. Nine economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2010 and 2012.

 $(55 \to 65)$

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 11.3 In economies where utilities make the connection process cheap and efficient, supply is likely to be more reliable





Note: Data refer to outages per low- or medium-voltage customer in the largest business city. The sample includes 86 economies. South Asia is excluded because of lack of data. Relationships in the first graph are significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita. Relationships in the second graph are significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.

of internal wiring and lessening the burden of security deposits.

Making it easier to get an electricity connection pays off. Since 2010 Vanuatu and The Gambia have advanced the furthest in narrowing the gap with the regulatory systems of economies with the most efficient practices in connecting new customers (table 11.4).

WHAT DO THE INDICATORS SUGGEST ABOUT QUALITY OF SUPPLY?

Studies have shown that poor electricity supply adversely affects the productivity of firms and the investments they make in their productive capacity.² It is therefore essential for businesses to have reliable, good-quality electricity supply. But whether electricity supply is reliable or not, the first step for customers is to get a new connection, the process measured by the getting electricity indicators. This process represents only a small part of electricity services. Yet the indicators offer information on a number of issues for which data were previously unavailable, complementing indicators measuring such outcomes as outages.

Analysis of data for 140 economies suggests that the getting electricity indicators can serve as a useful proxy for the broader performance of the electricity sector.3 Greater time and cost to get an electricity connection are associated with lower electrification rates. Additional connection procedures are more likely to occur in economies where the electricity supply is weak as a result of high losses in the transmission and distribution systems. New analysis of data for 86 economies suggests that where utilities make the connection process cheap and efficient as measured by the getting electricity indicators, supply is likely to be more reliable as measured by the total hours of power outages per customer per year (figure 11.3).4

NOTES

This topic note was written by Maya Choueiri, Caroline Frontigny, Anastasia Shegay, Jayashree Srinivasan and Susanne Szymanski.

- The surveys are for various years in 2002-10. The data sample includes 113 economies.
- Calderon and Servén 2003; Dollar, Hallward-Driemeier and Mengistae 2006; Reinikka and Svensson 1999; Eifert 2007; Iimi 2011.

- This analysis, by Geginat and Ramalho (2010), was done in 2009, when the data sample for the getting electricity indicators included only 140 economies.
 For 2012 the indicators cover 185 economies.
- 4. The price paid by a customer to get a new connection is not necessarily a measure of the operational performance of the electricity utility but of the existing regulatory framework and the policy to expand electricity access (partial or total subsidization of the costs incurred to build the connection). Besides efficient distribution companies, generation capacity and proper transmission infrastructure also play a critical part in reducing power outages.

The analysis was based on data collected from distribution utilities and regulators on the total hours of outages per customer in the largest business city. The analysis distinguished connection type by low or medium voltage (based on the getting electricity case study) and outages for the respective voltage level. The data analysis included the System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI), the System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) and the Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI). Many utilities do not use these measures but provided other indices and statistics on power outages.



Registering property

- As measured by Doing Business, registering property is easiest in Georgia.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 17 reforms making it easier to register property.
- Malaysia made the biggest improvement in the ease of registering property in the past year.
- Angola, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Mauritius, Rwanda and Sierra Leone rank among the 10 economies making the biggest improvements since 2005, giving Sub-Saharan Africa the largest representation in this group.
- Economies making effective cuts in the procedures to register property have centralized procedures in a single agency. And they use information and communication technology or better caseload management systems to make the process faster and less costly.

For more information on good practices and research related to registering property, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/registering-property. For more on the methodology, see the section on registering property in the data notes.

Doing Business records the procedures necessary for a business to purchase a property from another business and to formally transfer the property title to the buyer's name. The process starts with obtaining the necessary documents, such as a copy of the seller's title, and ends when the buyer is registered as the new owner of the property. Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer and even if it must be completed by a third party on their behalf. As measured by Doing Business, formally transferring and registering property is easiest in Georgia (table 12.1).

WHO REFORMED IN REGISTERING PROPERTY IN 2011/12?

In 2011/12, 17 economies made it easier for local businesses to register property by reducing the procedures, time or cost required (table 12.2). The most common improvements were introducing time limits or expedited procedures, increasing administrative efficiency, streamlining procedures and computerizing cadastres and registries. Nine other economies made it more difficult to transfer property by increasing the procedures, time or cost involved.

Malaysia made the biggest improvement in the ease of registering property in the past year by introducing a new caseload management system at the land registry. Inspired by Toyota's effective supply chain management strategy, the registry reduced registration time from 41 days in 2011 to 7 days in 2012 for nonstrata properties (those that are not part of a

subdivision or common-interest community). Malaysia is now working to bring registration times for strata properties, still in the range of 90–100 days, down to a similar time frame.

The OECD high-income group had both the largest share of economies with a property registration reform and the largest number of such reforms in 2011/12, accounting for 6 of the 17 such reforms recorded worldwide (figure 12.1). Poland, with the biggest improvement in the group, increased the efficiency of its land and mortgage registries through a series of coordinated changes. These included creating 2 new registration districts in Warsaw, introducing a new caseload management system and digitizing the records of the registries. Thanks to the changes, the time to process property applications at the registries fell from 3-6

TABLE 12.1	Where is registering property
	easiest—and where most

annearer				
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK	
Georgia	1	Belgium	176	
New Zealand	2	Trinidad and Tobago	177	
Belarus	3	Liberia	178	
Armenia	4	Bahamas, The	179	
Lithuania	5	Guinea-Bissau	180	
Denmark	6	Eritrea	181	
Norway	7	Nigeria	182	
Slovak Republic	8	Marshall Islands	185	
Azerbaijan	9	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	185	
Iceland	9	Timor-Leste	185	


Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the procedures, time and cost to register property. See the data notes for details. Economies shown with the same number are tied in the ranking.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 12.2 Who made registering property easier in 2011/12—and what did they do?				
Feature	Economies	Some highlights		
Introduced effective time limits	Burundi; Israel; Mauritius; Ukraine	Average time saved: 39 days Israel introduced a 20-day time limit for tax authorities to process capital gains self-assessments on property transfers, saving about 2 months. Burundi, Mauritius and Ukraine introduced time limits at their land registries and, while full compliance has not yet been achieved, have already cut registration time by 30 days, 7 days and 48 days, respectively.		
Increased administrative efficiency	Malaysia; Panama; Poland; Sierra Leone; Trinidad and Tobago	Average time saved: 38 days Malaysia implemented a new caseload management system in the land office, enabling clerks to process property transfer applications 34 days faster. The increase of the number of operating hours of the Public Registry of Panama until 11pm has cut 4 days in time. Poland cut 98 days from the time to register property by introducing a new caseload management system for land registries. Sierra Leone increased efficiency at the Ministry of Lands by digitizing records and hiring more personnel, reducing the time to register property by 19 days. The Water Authority (WASA) of Trinidad and Tobago, reduced the time needed to obtain its clearance certificate by 35 days, from 42 days to 7 days.		
Computerized procedures	Bosnia and Herzegovina; Cyprus; Italy; Mauritius; Poland	Average time saved: 32 days Bosnia and Herzegovina computerized its commercial registries, cutting registration time by 8 days. Cyprus reduced time by 14 days by computerizing its land registry. Mauritius implemented an electronic information management system at the Registrar-General's Department to allow different branches of the department to share information, cutting 7 days from the processing of property transfers. Italy merged all due diligence procedures performed by notaries through a secure portal, Notartel, which gives notaries access to the databases of the land registry, cadastre and commercial registry.		
Reduced taxes or fees	Comoros; Ireland	Cost reduction: up to 6% of the property value Ireland introduced a single stamp duty rate for transfers of nonresidential properties and reduced the rate by 4% of the property value, from 6% to 2%. The Comoros reduced the transfer tax from 15% of the property value to 9%.		
Combined or reduced procedures	Czech Republic; Italy	Procedures cut: 1 The Czech Republic cut 1 procedure by giving the cadastral office online access to the database of the commercial registry. Italy gave notaries online access to all cadastral plans, eliminating the need to request the plans from the cadastre.		
Put procedures online	Denmark	Time saved: 6 days Denmark's land registry introduced electronic filing of property transfers and now accepts property transfer applications only online, cutting 6 days.		
Source: Doing Business da	ntabase.			

FIGURE 12.1 Sub-Saharan Africa leads in number of property registration reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to register property by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

months in 2011 to as little as 14-60 days in 2012. Other OECD high-income economies improving their property registration process were the Czech Republic, Denmark, Ireland, Israel and Italy.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 185 reforms, undertaken in 121 economies, which increased the efficiency of procedures for transferring property (see figure 12.1). Globally, the average time to transfer property fell by 35 days, from 90 to 55, and the average cost by 1.2 percentage points, from 7.1% of the property value to 5.9% (figure 12.2).

TABLE 12.3 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in registering property the most since 2005?


property til	e most since 2003:
Most improved	Improvement in distance to frontier (percentage points)
Maldives	49 (0 → 49)
Belarus	42 (54 → 96)
Burkina Faso	39 (23 → 62)
Rwanda	38 (36 → 74)
Mauritius	37 (33 → 70)
Bosnia and Herzegovina	32 (36 → 68)
Côte d'Ivoire	30 (22 → 52)
Angola	27 (27 → 54)
Sierra Leone	24 (28 → 52)
Slovenia	24ª (47 → 71)

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator since 2005—in this case for the registering property indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in Doing Business 2006 (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

 a. Burundi and the Solomon Islands also have an improvement of 24 percentage points.

FIGURE 12.2 Property transfers have become faster in all regions


Average time to register property (days)



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 170 practice economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the Doing Business sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 12.3 Burkina Faso made transferring property faster and easier



Among regions, Sub-Saharan Africa had the largest number of property registration reforms in the past 8 years. As a result, it also cut the cost to register property the most, though the regional average remains the highest. Among the biggest cost cutters was Angola, whose government slashed the property transfer cost from 11.5% of the property value to 3.2% in 2011 by reducing both the transfer tax, or *sisa* (from 10% to 2%), and the stamp duty (from 0.8% to 0.3%).

Thanks to effective efforts to increase efficiency, Burkina Faso ranks among the 10

economies making the biggest improvements in property registration since 2005 (table 12.3). By introducing a one-stop shop for property issues and eliminating the need to obtain a consent to the transfer from the municipality, Burkina Faso cut the number of procedures from 8 to 4 and the time from 182 days to 59 (figure 12.3). It also reduced the property transfer tax 2 years in a row—from 15% of the property value to 10% in 2008, then to 8% in 2009. This helped bring down the total cost from 20.7% of the property value in 2004 to 12.6% in 2012.

TABLE 12.4 Who makes registering property easy—and who does not? Procedures (number) **Fewest** Most 1 Algeria 10 Georgia Ethiopia 10 Norway 1 Portugal 1 Liberia 10 Sweden 1 Ukraine 10 2 Eritrea Bahrain 11 Belarus Greece 2 11 New Zealand 2 Uganda 12 2 Oman 13 Nigeria Thailand 2 Brazil 14 United Arab Uzbekistan 2 15 **Emirates**

Time (days)				
Fastest		Slowest		
Portugal	1	Angola	184	
Georgia	2	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	194	
New Zealand	2	Suriname	197	
Thailand	2	Guinea-Bissau	210	
Lithuania	3	Bangladesh	245	
Norway	3	Afghanistan	250	
Iceland	4	Togo	295	
Kyrgyz Republic	5	Brunei Darussalam	298	
Nepal	5	Haiti	301	
Taiwan, China	5	Kiribati	513	

Cost (% of property value)			
Least		Most	
Saudi Arabia	0.00	Côte d'Ivoire	13.9
Belarus	0.03	Guinea	14.2
Kiribati	0.04	Tonga	15.1
Slovak Republic	0.05	Maldives	16.1
Georgia	0.06	Chad	17.9
New Zealand	0.08	Cameroon	19.1
Kazakhstan	0.08	Senegal	20.2
Armenia	0.16	Nigeria	20.8
Russian Federation	0.18	Congo, Rep.	21.3
Qatar	0.25	Syrian Arab Republic	27.8
Source: Doing Business database			

Source: Doing Business database.

Worldwide, economies making effective cuts in the number of procedures have reviewed the efficiency of their property transfer process, then designed regulatory reforms that centralized procedures in a single agency—such as due diligence, signing of the contract, payment of taxes and registration. One is Italy, which centralized most procedures at the notary office by introducing an electronic platform

(Notartel). Now notaries can electronically access the databases of all agencies involved in property transfers.

Economies making effective reductions in time have reorganized the workflow of their registries, introduced time limits (taking into account the capacity of the institutions involved) or paired the computerization of their registries with the introduction of efficient caseload management systems. Portugal made its land registry one of the world's most efficient by introducing an effective 1-day time limit for urgent transfers and a 10-day time limit for others (table 12.4).

NOTE

This topic note was written by Dariga Chukmaitova, Nuria de Oca and Moussa Traoré.



Getting credit

- Malaysia, South Africa and the United Kingdom remain tied at the top of the ranking on the ease of getting credit.
- Between June 2011 and June 2012 Doing Business recorded 5 reforms strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders and 16 improving credit information systems.
- Cambodia improved the most in the ease of getting credit in the past year.
- Guatemala is among the 10
 economies advancing the furthest
 toward the frontier in regulatory
 practice in the area of getting credit
 since 2005. Of the rest, 5 are in
 Eastern Europe and Central Asia.
- Among regions, Sub-Saharan Africa had the most reforms strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders in the past 8 years, while Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the most improving credit information systems.
- Among reforms strengthening legal rights in the past year, the most common feature was implementing collateral registries. Among those improving credit information systems, the most common was guaranteeing by law borrowers' right to inspect their own credit data.

For more information on good practices and research related to getting credit, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/getting-credit. For more on the methodology, see the section on getting credit in the data notes.

The United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL), in its Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions, emphasizes the importance the international community places on secured credit: "All businesses, whether engaged in mining, lumbering, agriculture, manufacturing, distributing, providing services or retailing, require working capital to operate, to grow and to compete successfully in the marketplace. It is well established that one of the most effective means of providing working capital to commercial enterprises is through secured credit."

In that spirit Doing Business measures 2 types of institutions and systems that can facilitate access to finance and improve its allocation: credit registries or credit bureaus and the legal rights of borrowers and lenders in secured transactions and bankruptcy laws. These institutions and systems work best together.2 Information sharing through credit registries or bureaus helps creditors assess the creditworthiness of clients (though it is not the only risk assessment tool), while legal rights can facilitate the use of collateral and the ability to enforce claims in the event of default. Creditors' rights and insolvency regimes are fundamental to a sound investment climate and can help promote commerce and economic growth.3

These 2 types of institutions are measured by 2 sets of indicators. One set analyzes the legal framework for secured transactions by looking at how well collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending. The other looks at the coverage, scope and quality of credit information

TABLE 13.1 Where is getting credit easiest— and where most difficult?			
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK
Malaysia	1	Congo, Dem. Rep.	176
South Africa	1	Iraq	176
United Kingdom	1	Malta	176
Australia	4	Syrian Arab Republic	176
Georgia	4	Djibouti	180
Hong Kong SAR, China	4	Eritrea	180
Latvia	4	Madagascar	180
Montenegro	4	São Tomé and Príncipe	180
New Zealand	4	Tajikistan	180
Poland	4 ^a	Palau	185

Note: Rankings on the ease of getting credit are based on the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index. See the data notes for details. Economies shown with the same number are tied in the ranking.

a. The United States is also tied in the ranking at 4. *Source: Doing Business* database.

available through credit registries and credit bureaus.

Rankings on the ease of getting credit are based on the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index (table 13.1).

WHO REFORMED IN GETTING CREDIT IN 2011/12?

In 2011/12, 5 economies improved access to credit by reforming their secured transactions legislation or strengthening the rights of secured creditors during bankruptcy proceedings (table 13.2).

Three of the 5 reforming economies are in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Considered one of the success stories of collateral reform in the 1990s, Romania

TABLE 13.2 Who strengthened legal rights of borrowers and lenders in 2011/12—and what did they do?			
Feature	Economies	Some highlights	
Expanded range of movable assets that can be used as collateral	Georgia; Romania	In Romania a new civil code repealed the previous legal framework for secured transactions while maintaining most of its modern principles. The new code introduced the concept of <i>hypothèque</i> , allowing security interests in immovable as well as movable property.	
Created a unified registry for movable property	Australia; Sri Lanka	In Australia the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 and associated regulations came into effect, and a single, national online registry began operating. The web-based registry allows creditors to conduct searches and register security interests in personal property at any time. ^a	
Strengthened rights of secured creditors during reorganization procedures	Kazakhstan	In Kazakhstan a new law introduced changes to the regulation of the rehabilitation procedure under bankruptcy legislation, specifying several conditions under which secured creditors can apply for relief during the procedure.	

a. Accessible at http://www.ppsr.gov.au.

went a step further in harmonizing its secured transactions legislation. It adopted a new civil code, entering into force in October 2011, that repealed the previous legal framework for secured transactions. Inspired in part by the law of the Canadian province of Quebec, the new code introduces the concept of *hypothèque* to cover security interests in movable and immovable assets. While positive overall, this reform also rendered out-of-court enforcement procedures more formalistic. Kazakhstan introduced new grounds for relief from an automatic stay for secured creditors during rehabilitation

proceedings. Georgia amended its civil code to allow a security interest to extend to the products, proceeds and replacements of an asset used as collateral.

Sixteen economies improved their credit reporting system in the past year (table 13.3); 1 economy made access to credit information more difficult. Seven of these economies—Costa Rica, Ethiopia, Mongolia, Montenegro, Oman, Uzbekistan, and West Bank and Gaza—introduced new laws or regulations guaranteeing the right of borrowers to inspect their personal data.

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
Guaranteed by law borrowers' right to access data	Costa Rica; Ethiopia; Mongolia; Montenegro; Oman; Uzbekistan; West Bank and Gaza	In West Bank and Gaza a new ordinance gave borrowers the right to inspect their credit data.
Improved regulatory framework for sharing credit information	El Salvador; Hungary; New Zealand	New Zealand adopted a legal framework for expanding the set of information collected by credit bureaus.
Provided online access to data at credit registry or bureau	Bangladesh; Ethiopia; Syrian Arab Republic	Ethiopia introduced a new online system for sharing credit information.
Expanded set of information collected in credit registry or bureau	Ethiopia; Mauritius	In Mauritius the public credit registry developed a new format for credit reports that includes on-time payments and unpaid installments and also began collecting data from retailers.
Created a new credit registry or bureau	Cambodia; Sierra Leone	Cambodia's first private credit bureau started operations, covering more than 1.1 million individuals.
Lowered or eliminated threshold for loans reported	Algeria	Algeria eliminated the minimum threshold for loans included in the database.

TABLE 13.4 Who has the most legal rights for borrowers and lenders— and who the least?				
Strength of legal	rights inc	lex (0-10)		
Most		Least		
Australia	10	Eritrea	2	
Hong Kong SAR, China	10	São Tomé and Príncipe	2	
Kyrgyz Republic	10	Timor-Leste	2	
Latvia	10	Yemen, Rep.	2 ^b	
Malaysia	10	Bolivia	1	
Montenegro	10	Djibouti	1	
New Zealand	10	Palau	1	
Singapore	10	Syrian Arab Republic	1	
South Africa	10	Venezuela, RB	1	
United Kingdom	10ª	West Bank and Gaza	1	

- a. Kenya also has a score of 10 on the strength of legal rights index.
- b. Four other economies also have a score of 2 on the strength of legal rights index: Jordan, Madagascar, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

Source: Doing Business database.

Cambodia established its first private credit bureau, which began operating in March 2012. The bureau collects and distributes both positive and negative credit information on individuals and includes all loans in its database, regardless of size. In addition, a regulation on credit information sharing issued in May 2011 guarantees the right of borrowers to inspect their own data. The country made the biggest improvement in the ease of getting credit in 2011/12.


Mauritius also improved access to credit information in the past year. Its credit registry now reports both positive and negative data and collects payment information from retailers.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

Several economies have incorporated good practices in their legal framework for secured transactions with the aim of improving access to finance for small and medium-size enterprises. Such reforms are usually reflected in a change in score on the strength of legal rights index (table 13.4).

FIGURE 13.1 Sub-Saharan Africa leads in number of legal rights reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

a. During the period covered by *Doing Business 2012*, amendments to the Uniform Act on Secured Transactions strengthened legal rights in the 16 member economies of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (OHADA). Source: Doing Business database.

One example is Guatemala, which enhanced its secured transactions regime by issuing a decree in 2007 that broadened the range of movable assets that can be used as collateral and created a registry for movable property that began operating in January 2009. In addition, Guatemala strengthened its credit information system in 2009 through a decree guaranteeing the right of borrowers to inspect their own data in any public institution. Thanks to these changes, Guatemala ranks among the 10 economies advancing the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in the area of getting credit since 2005 (table 13.5).

Guatemala is far from being the only example. In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 72 reforms strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders in 58 economies. Sub-Saharan Africa and East Asia and the Pacific are among the regions with the most such reforms (figure 13.1).

The data also reflect a difference in focus. Governments in East Asia and the Pacific focused more on aspects relating to the creation and publicity of security interests in movable property (figure 13.2). Those in Sub-Saharan Africa gave greater emphasis to aspects relating to the enforcement of security interests. For

example, the new Uniform Act on Secured Transactions adopted by the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (OHADA) introduced a novel provision allowing out-of-court enforcement between "professionals."

Worldwide, creating a collateral registry was among the most common features of legal rights reforms. While there are different types of collateral registries, notice-based registries are widely considered the most effective.4 Since 2005 a number of economies have tried to unify the information on collateral under some sort of centralized registry: Australia, Chile, France, Georgia, Ghana, Guatemala, Honduras, the Marshall Islands, Mexico, the Federated States of Micronesia. Peru. Rwanda, the Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Vanuatu and Vietnam. Some of these new registries, accompanied by legal reform, have proved to be a real success story. One example is Mexico's registry, which began operating in September 2010. By April 2012 the number of filings had increased by 4 times, and the secured amounts registered totaled \$172 billion.⁵

The past 8 years also saw 171 regulatory reforms to improve credit information systems, implemented in 99 economies—more than half of the

TABLE 13.5 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in getting credit the most since 2005? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) Cambodia 69 $(0 \to 69)$ Georgia 63 (31→94) Rwanda 56 $(25 \rightarrow 81)$ Croatia 44 $(31 \rightarrow 75)$ Ghana 43 (38->81) Guatemala 38 $(50 \to 88)$ Kyrgyz Republic 38 $(50 \rightarrow 88)$ 37 Kazakhstan $(19 \rightarrow 56)$ India $(50 \rightarrow 81)$ Russian Federation 31a $(19 \rightarrow 50)$

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the getting credit indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

a. Afghanistan, Mauritius, the Solomon Islands, Uganda and Zambia also have an improvement of 31 percentage points

Source: Doing Business database.

146 economies with a credit reporting system as recorded by *Doing Business* (figure 13.3). Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the largest share of economies with improvements: 85% implemented at least 1 such reform, for a total of 43. And 14 of the 18 economies with 100% coverage of borrowers are in the OECD high-income group (table 13.6).

The efforts to improve credit reporting should be no surprise: responsible finance is much in the news these days. But since the onset of the financial crisis in 2008, consumer protection issues have also received attention worldwide. In the past year, for the first time since 2005, the most common feature of credit

information reforms as recorded by *Doing Business* was guaranteeing by law borrowers' right to access their data. The main objective is to balance the ability of institutions to exchange credit information with the protection of individuals' right to privacy.


Today 104 economies guarantee by law consumers' right to access their credit information. In 72 of them the law guarantees this access at no cost.⁶ Among the rest, consumers can obtain a credit report at no cost in 9 economies in practice, at little cost in 7 economies (\$2.60 on average) and at a relatively high cost in 14 economies (\$13.30 on average). In 100 of the 146 economies with a credit reporting system the law guarantees the right of consumers to dispute erroneous data.⁷ And in 55 economies regulations require the bureau or registry to either flag the disputed data or block their distribution.⁸

In the past 8 years 30 economies adopted legislation providing borrowers with the right to access data held on them. Efforts also focused on expanding the sources of information collected by credit registries or bureaus: 28 credit information reforms were aimed at having these entities distribute both positive and negative information, collect alternative data from utilities or retailers or report historical information (figure 13.4). In 2005 credit registries and credit bureaus in 42 economies around the world included credit information from sources other than banks. Today those in 55 economies do so.

The other main focus was expanding the coverage of borrowers, such as by lowering or eliminating the minimum threshold for the loans included in a credit bureau or registry's database. Where these thresholds are high, retail and small business loans are more likely to be excluded. In 2005, 79 economies had a minimum loan

FIGURE 13.2 East Asia and the Pacific made the biggest improvement in laws on the creation of security interests in movable property

Regional averages in strength of legal rights



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology. *Creation of security interest* refers to the first 5 components of the strength of legal rights index. *Registration of security interest* refers to the component relating to the existence of a collateral registry. *Enforcement of security interest* refers to the last 4 components. See the data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 13.6	Who has the most credit
	information—and who
	the least?

Depth of credit in	formatio	n index (0–6)	
Most		Least	
Argentina	6	Benin	1
Canada	6	Burkina Faso	1
Germany	6	Burundi	1
Japan	6	Djibouti	1
Korea, Rep.	6	Guinea-Bissau	1
Lithuania	6	Liberia	1
Malaysia	6	Mauritania	1
Mexico	6	Niger	1 ^b
United Kingdom	6	Guinea	0
United States	6ª	Madagascar	0

Borrowers covered by credit registries or bureaus
(% of adults)


Most		Least	
Argentina	100	Bangladesh	0.82
Australia	100	Haiti	0.70
Canada	100	Sierra Leone	0.68
Iceland	100	Mauritania	0.53
Ireland	100	Nepal	0.47
New Zealand	100	Burundi	0.26
Norway	100	Djibouti	0.23
Sweden	100	Madagascar	0.10
United Kingdom	100	Ethiopia	0.07
United States	100°	Guinea	0.01

Note: The rankings on borrower coverage reflected in the table include only economies with a credit registry or credit bureau (146 in total). Another 39 economies have no credit registry or bureau and therefore no coverage (see http://www.doingbusiness.org). See the data notes for details.

- a. Twenty other economies also have a score of 6 on the depth of credit information index: Armenia, Austria, Bolivia, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Georgia, Guatemala, Honduras, FYR Macedonia, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Poland, Rwanda, Saudi Arabia, South Africa and Uruguay.
- b. Four other economies also have a score of 1 on the depth of credit information index: Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Senegal and Togo.
- c. Eight other economies also have coverage of 100% of the adult population: Croatia, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Serbia and Uruguay.

FIGURE 13.3 Eastern Europe and Central Asia leads in number of credit information reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms improving credit information systems by *Doing Business* report year




Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 123 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) includes a total of 146 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 13.4 Guaranteeing by law borrowers' right to access data was the biggest focus of credit information reform worldwide in the past 8 years

Regional averages in depth of credit information



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 123 economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology. Who is covered refers to whether both individuals and firms are covered by a bureau or registry and whether loans below 1% of income per capita are included. Type of information refers to the availability of information from retailers or utilities, distribution of positive and negative information and availability of historical data.

Consumers' right refers to whether the law guarantees borrowers' right to inspect their own data.

Source: Doing Business database.

threshold below 1% of income per capita (including those in which loans of all sizes are reported). Today 123 economies do.

An encouraging trend over the past 8 years has been the establishment of new credit bureaus or registries in economies that previously had none-25 in total, mainly in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Credit information is still hardly shared in Sub-Saharan Africa, despite the pickup in efforts to develop credit information systems starting in 2008. Since then Ghana, Liberia, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Uganda and Zambia have established new credit reporting systems. In East Asia and the Pacific 10 of 24 economies still have no credit bureau or registry. But things are improving. Brunei Darussalam, Lao PDR, Samoa, the Solomon Islands, Tonga and Vanuatu are all working to get their credit reporting systems operating.9

NOTES

This topic note was written by Santiago Croci Downes, Hayane Chang Dahmen and Joanna Nasr.

- 1. UNCITRAL 2007, p. 1.
- 2. Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer 2007.
- 3. World Bank 2011b.
- 4. Alvarez de la Campa, Croci Downes and Tirelli Hennig 2012.
- 5. Estimates were provided by the Mexican government.
- 6. No data are available for 2 economies.
- 7. No data are available for 7 economies.
- 8. No data are available for 13 economies.
- As of June 1, 2012, the credit bureaus in Tonga and Vanuatu had loaded the information into their systems but the databases were not yet accessible to banks.

200720128 2004 1120062013

Protecting investors

Corporations are instruments of entrepreneurship and growth. They can also be abused for personal gain. In July 2012 authorities in Korea imposed a \$30 million fine on SK Group, the country's third-largest conglomerate, for illicit related-party transactions. The transactions were priced significantly above market averages and allegedly allowed the group's founder to misappropriate \$87 million. The group's market capitalization declined sharply as a result.1 Korea's strong institutions and extensive disclosure requirements played an essential part in addressing this situation and protecting minority investors.

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor protections: approval and transparency of relatedparty transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability of company directors for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and shareholders' ability to obtain corporate documents before and during litigation (ease of shareholder suits index). The standard case study assumes a related-party transaction between Company A ("Buyer") and Company B ("Seller") where "Mr. James" is the controlling shareholder of both Buyer and Seller and a member of both their boards of directors. The transaction is overpriced and causes damages to Buyer.

Protecting minority investors matters for companies. Without adequate regulations, equity markets fail to develop and banks become the only source of the finance that companies need to grow, innovate, diversify and compete. A recent study shows that in economies with stronger investor protections, investment in firms is less sensitive to financial constraints and leads to greater growth in revenue and profitability.² Another study shows that regulating conflicts of interest is essential to successfully empowering minority shareholders.³

New Zealand provides the strongest minority investor protections as measured by *Doing Business*, ranking highest in this area for the eighth year in a row (table 14.1).

WHO IMPROVED INVESTOR PROTECTIONS IN 2011/12?

In the past year 13 economies strengthened investor protections as measured by *Doing Business*. OECD high-income economies, with 4 legal changes, continue to

TABLE 14.1 Where are investors most protected—and where least?

Most protected	RANK	Least protected	RANK
New Zealand	1	Haiti	176
Singapore	2	Gambia, The	177
Hong Kong SAR, China	3	Guinea	177
Canada	4	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	177
Malaysia	4	Palau	177
Colombia	6	Djibouti	181
Ireland	6	Venezuela, RB	181
Israel	6	Suriname	183
United States	6	Lao PDR	184
United Kingdom	10	Afghanistan	185


Note: Rankings are based on the strength of investor protection index. See the data notes for details. Economies shown with the same number are tied in the ranking.

Source: Doing Business database.

- New Zealand has the strongest minority investor protections in related-party transactions, for the eighth year in a row.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 13 legal changes strengthening the protections of minority investors.
- Kosovo made the biggest improvement in the strength of investor protections in the past year.
- Tajikistan has advanced the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in protecting investors since 2005.
- Improving disclosure was the most common feature of investor protection reforms in the past 8 years.
- Among regions, Eastern Europe and Central Asia has strengthened investor protections the most since 2005—and is quickly catching up with OECD high-income economies.

For more information on good practices and research related to protecting investors, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/protecting-investors.
For more on the methodology, see the section on protecting investors in the data notes.

FIGURE 14.1 Kosovo's new Law on Business Organizations strengthened investor protections



provide the strongest protections. Eastern Europe and Central Asia, also with 4, remains the most improved region and the most active in making legal changes, with 24 recorded in 16 economies since 2005.

Kosovo improved minority shareholder protections the most in the past year, through a comprehensive revision of its Law on Business Organizations (figure 14.1). The amended law requires shareholder approval of related-party transactions and mandates greater disclosure both by directors to their board and by companies in their annual reports. In addition, the law allows shareholders to petition a judge for rescission of a prejudicial related-party transaction and clarifies the liability of directors. If found liable, directors must now pay damages and disgorge any profit made from the transaction.

Economies in other regions were active as well. In Greece the Hellenic Capital Market Commission issued a circular clarifying the concept of material transactions for purposes of disclosure by listed companies—helping to instill more transparency in an economy looking to restore confidence in its market.

Peru now requires that the terms of transactions between interested parties be reviewed by an independent external auditor certified by the securities commission.

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
Made it easier to sue directors	Armenia; Republic of Korea; Kosovo; Lesotho; Peru; Taiwan, China; Tajikistan	Korea clarified directors' duties in its commercial code. Now negligent directors can be held liable for damages caused by prejudicial related-party transactions.
Increased disclosure requirements	Armenia; Greece; Islamic Republic of Iran; Kosovo; Lesotho; Mongolia; Taiwan, China	Lesotho enacted a new company law that requires company directors to disclose to the board the full extent of any conflict of interest they may have relating to a proposed transaction.
Regulated approval of related-party transactions	Armenia; Kosovo; Netherlands; Peru; Slovenia; Taiwan, China	Kosovo amended its Law on Business Organizations. Now only disinterested shareholders can approve related-party transactions.
Allowed the rescission of prejudicial related- party transactions	Kosovo; Moldova	Moldova amended its law on joint stock companies. Shareholders can now petition the court for a rescission of transactions approved despite major conflicts of interest when such transactions cause damages to the company.

Continuing a trend in Sub-Saharan Africa of upgrading company law, Lesotho adopted a new one setting out duties of care, diligence and skill for directors. Breach of these duties constitutes a cause of action for shareholders (table 14.2).

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years 68% of economies in Eastern Europe and Central Asia implemented at least 1 reform strengthening investor protections (figure 14.2). Among OECD high-income economies 48% did, and in East Asia and the Pacific and the Middle East and North Africa 33% did. Of all these reforms captured by *Doing*

report year

Business, 49% improved the extent of disclosure index. But OECD high-income economies had a much higher share that did so, at 78%, followed by the Middle East and North Africa with 60% and Eastern Europe and Central Asia with 54%. In Sub-Saharan Africa the priority was increasing director liability. In East Asia and the Pacific and Latin America and the Caribbean the approach was more balanced.

While many economies have strengthened investor protections, Tajikistan, Albania and Rwanda have made the biggest improvements since 2005 (table 14.3). Two of them did so through one major overhaul of their company law,

FIGURE 14.2 Eastern Europe and Central Asia still leading in number of investor protection reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms strengthening investor protections by *Doing Business*

Eastern Europe & Central Asia (24 economies)

OECD high income (31 economies)

East Asia & Pacific (24 economies)

Latin America & Caribbean (33 economies)

Middle East & North Africa (19 economies)

Middle East & North Africa (19 economies)

DB2006

4 2 1 1 4 DB2008

DB2009

DB2010

DB2010

DB2011

Latin America & Caribbean
(33 economies)

Middle East & North Africa
(19 economies)

Sub-Saharan Africa
(46 economies)

South Asia
(8 economies)

0 5 10 15 20 25

Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

28 (35**→**63)

 $(44 \rightarrow 69)$

25 (57**→**82)


25

(57**→**82) 25ª

 $(37 \to 63)$

FIGURE 14.3 Strongest investor protections in OECD high-income economies

Regional averages in protecting investors



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

Albania in 2008 and Rwanda in 2009. Tajikistan achieved similar results by amending its law incrementally—in 2007, in 2009 (twice) and in 2011.

OECD high-income economies may have the strongest investor protections as measured by *Doing Business*, but Eastern Europe and Central Asia is quickly catching up, having passed East Asia and the Pacific in 2007 (figure 14.3). Policy makers in the region have emphasized stricter disclosure requirements and better standards for company directors.

Sub-Saharan Africa has had some of the most comprehensive investor protection reforms. Besides Lesotho, such economies as Burundi and Rwanda have also updated their company laws following global good practices. East Asia and the Pacific has focused mostly on strengthening disclosure requirements and

directors' duties (as in Taiwan, China, and in Thailand).

Investor protection reforms been sparse in Latin America and the Caribbean, with Chile, Colombia and Mexico among the few economies implementing them. In the Middle East and North Africa, despite some improvements (as in Morocco and Saudi Arabia), protections are often weak because of limited access to corporate information during litigation. South Asia has been the least active in strengthening investor protections. Over the past 8 years Doing Business recorded 3 investor protection reforms among the region's 8 economies—in India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka.

Improving disclosure was the most common feature of investor protection reforms in the past 8 years, accounting for 46

to frontier in protecting investors the most since 2005? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) Tajikistan 52 $(17 \rightarrow 69)$ Albania 48 (29>77) Rwanda 38 $(29 \rightarrow 67)$ Georgia 31 $(41 \rightarrow 72)$ Burundi 29 $(34 \to 62)$

Tunisia

Colombia

Azerbaijan

Kazakhstan

Mexico

TABLE 14.3 Who has narrowed the distance

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the protecting investors indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

a. Swaziland also has an improvement of 25 percentage points.

Source: Doing Business database.

of the total. But in the past year, for the first time, the most common feature was increasing director liability (accounting for 8 of the 13 reforms).

Overall, smart, comprehensive regulations have had the strongest lasting impact (table 14.4). Economies undertaking a complete overhaul of their corporate, securities and civil procedure laws—including Albania, Burundi, Kosovo, Mexico, Rwanda, Swaziland, Tajikistan and Thailand—have improved the most on the strength of investor protections as measured by *Doing Business*.

TABLE 14.4 Who provides strong minority investor protections—and who does not?

Extent of disclosure index (0–10)			
Most		Least	
Bulgaria	10	Afghanistan	1
China	10	Bolivia	1
France	10	Cape Verde	1
Hong Kong SAR, China	10	Croatia	1
Indonesia	10	Honduras	0
Ireland	10	Maldives	0
Malaysia	10	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	0
New Zealand	10	Palau	0
Singapore	10	Sudan	0
Thailand	10ª	Switzerland	0

Extent of director	liability i	ndex (0–10)	
Most		Least	
Albania	9	Afghanistan	1
Cambodia	9	Barbados	1
Canada	9	Belarus	1
Israel	9	Benin	1
Malaysia	9	Bulgaria	1
New Zealand	9	El Salvador	0
Rwanda	9	Marshall Islands	0
Singapore	9	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	0
Slovenia	9	Palau	0
United States	9⁵	Suriname	0

Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)			
Easiest		Most difficult	
Kenya	10	Lao PDR	2
New Zealand	10	Senegal	2
Colombia	9	Syrian Arab Republic	2
Hong Kong SAR, China	9	United Arab Emirates	2
Ireland	9	Venezuela, RB	2
Israel	9	Yemen, Rep.	2
Panama	9	Afghanistan	1
Poland	9	Guinea	1
Singapore	9	Djibouti	0
United States	9°	Iran, Islamic Rep.	0

- a. The United Kingdom also has a score of 10 points on the extent of disclosure index.
- b. Trinidad and Tobago also has a score of 9 points on the extent of director liability index.
- c. Canada, Kazakhstan, Mauritius, Mozambique and Nepal also have a score of 9 points on the ease of shareholder suits index.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Hervé Kaddoura and Jean Michel Lobet.

- Sangim Han and Seyoon Kim, "SK Group Units Fall After Chairman Questioned by Prosecutors," *Bloomberg News*, December 18, 2011.
- 2. Mclean, Zhang and Zhao 2012.
- 3. Hamdani and Yafeh 2012.

200720125 2004 112006 2013

Paying taxes

Jean-Baptiste Colbert, French philosopher and minister of finance to King Louis XIV, once remarked that "the art of taxation consists in so plucking the goose as to obtain the largest possible amount of feathers with the smallest possible amount of hissing." How taxes are collected and paid has changed a great deal since then. But governments still face the challenge of maximizing revenue collection while minimizing distortions.

Doing Business records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a mediumsize company must pay in a given year and also measures the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions. It does this with 3 indicators: number of payments, time and total tax rate for the Doing Business case study firm. The number of payments indicates the frequency with which the company has to file and pay different types of taxes and contributions, adjusted for the way in which those filings and payments are made.1 The time indicator captures the number of hours it takes to prepare, file and pay 3 major types of taxes: profit taxes, consumption taxes, and labor taxes and mandatory contributions. The total tax rate measures the tax cost (as a percentage of profit) borne by the standard firm. The indicators do not measure the fiscal health of economies, the macroeconomic conditions under which governments collect revenue or the provision of public services supported by taxation. The ranking on the ease of paying taxes is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators, with a threshold applied to the total tax rate (table 15.1).2

WHO REFORMED IN PAYING TAXES IN 2011/12?

From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 31 reforms making it easier or less costly for firms to pay taxes (table 15.2). Sixteen economies mandated or enhanced electronic filing, eliminating the need for 196 separate tax payments and reducing compliance time by 134 days (1,070 hours) in total. In Uruguay small and mediumsize companies can now file and pay corporate income tax, value added tax and capital tax online. This option was available only for large taxpayers until 2011. Seven other economies implemented electronic filing for the first time, raising the number offering this option from 67 in 2010 to 74 in 2011.3 Thanks to improvements in electronic systems for filing and paying social security contributions, Saudi Arabia

TABLE 15.1 Where is paying taxes easiest— and where most difficult?			
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK
United Arab Emirates	1	Cameroon	176
Qatar	2	Mauritania	177
Saudi Arabia	3	Senegal	178
Hong Kong SAR, China	4	Gambia, The	179
Singapore	5	Bolivia	180
Ireland	6	Central African Republic	181
Bahrain	7	Congo, Rep.	182
Canada	8	Guinea	183
Kiribati	9	Chad	184
Oman	10	Venezuela, RB	185

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the number of payments, time and total tax rate, with a threshold imposed on the total tax rate. See the data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.

- Firms in the United Arab Emirates face the lightest administrative burden in paying taxes. They must make only 4 payments a year and spend 12 hours doing so.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 31 reforms making it easier and less costly for companies to comply with taxes.
- Liberia made the biggest improvement in the ease of paying taxes in the past year.
- Belarus has advanced the most toward the frontier in regulatory practice in paying taxes since 2004.
- The most common feature of tax reforms in the past 8 years was to reduce profit tax rates, often in the context of parallel efforts to improve tax compliance. But in the past 2 years more economies focused on introducing electronic systems.
- Among regions, Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the biggest improvement in the ease of paying taxes in the past 8 years.

For more information on good practices and research related to paying taxes, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/paying-taxes. For more on the methodology, see the section on paying taxes in the data notes.

TABLE 15.2 Who made paying taxes easier and lowered the tax burden in 2011/12 and what did they do? Feature Some highlights Economies Introduced or enhanced Albania; Belarus; Bosnia and Ukraine introduced an online filing and payment system and made its use electronic systems Herzegovina; Costa Rica; Czech Republic; Georgia; Germany; Kenya; mandatory for medium-size and large Panama; Russian Federation; Saudi enterprises. Arabia; Slovak Republic; Slovenia; Ukraine; United Arab Emirates; Uruguay Reduced profit tax rate Belarus; Brunei Darussalam; Fiji The United Kingdom reduced 2 by 2 percentage points Japan; Republic of Korea; Lao PDR; corporate income tax rates: the main Liberia; Mali; Puerto Rico (U.S.); rate from 28% to 26% and the smallor more Slovenia; Thailand; United Kingdom company rate from 21% to 20%. Merged or eliminated Albania; Hungary; Liberia Liberia abolished the turnover tax. taxes other than profit tax Simplified tax compliance Jamaica; Mali; Panama; Poland Jamaica introduced joint filing and payment of all 5 types of social security process contributions that firms must make. Reduced labor taxes and Croatia Croatia made paying taxes less costly by mandatory contributions reducing health insurance contributions. Introduced change in Swaziland Swaziland introduced value added tax cascading sales tax to replace its cascading sales tax.

this year ranks among the 10 economies with the fewest payments and lowest tax compliance time (table 15.3).

Electronic systems for filing and paying taxes eliminate excessive paperwork and interaction with tax officers. They can reduce the time businesses spend on complying with tax laws, increase tax compliance and reduce the cost of revenue administration.⁴ But achieving these results requires effective implementation and high-quality security systems.

Twelve economies reduced profit tax rates in 2011/12: 6 high-income economies (Brunei Darussalam, Japan, Korea, Puerto Rico [territory of the United States], Slovenia and the United Kingdom), 4 middle-income ones (Belarus, Fiji, Lao PDR and Thailand) and 2 low-income ones (Liberia and Mali). Reductions in profit tax rates are often combined with efforts to widen the tax base by removing exemptions and with increases in the rates of other taxes, such as value added tax (VAT). Liberia improved the most in the ease of paying taxes. It reduced the corporate income tax rate from 35% to 25% and abolished the turnover tax. The total tax rate fell from 43.7% of profit to 27.4%.

Eleven economies introduced new taxes (Cambodia, Costa Rica, Cyprus, El Salvador, Ethiopia, Japan, Malawi, Maldives, Mali, Nigeria and República Bolivariana de Venezuela). Others increased profit or income tax rates (Botswana, the Dominican Republic and Moldova)⁵ or social security contributions (Hungary and Poland).

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

Since 2005 Doing Business has recorded 296 tax reforms in 142 economies (figure 15.1). Some of these reforms introduced online filing, added in 29 economies in the past 8 years. These and other improvements to simplify tax compliance reduced the time required to comply with the 3 major taxes measured (profit, labor and consumption taxes) by 54 hours on average, and the number of payments by 7. Eastern Europe and Central Asia had the biggest improvement, with the time reduced by 181 hours and the number of payments by 24 (figure 15.2). Upper-middle-income economies have advanced the most toward the frontier in regulatory practice in paying taxes, followed by lower-middleincome economies (figure 15.3).

TABLE 15.3 Who makes paying taxes easy and who does not—and where is the total tax rate highest?

Payments (number per year)			
Fewest		Most	
Hong Kong SAR, China	3	Antigua and Barbuda	57
Saudi Arabia	3	Guinea	58
Norway	4	Senegal	59
Qatar	4	Panama	60
Sweden	4	Congo, Rep.	61
United Arab Emirates	4	Sri Lanka	61
Georgia	5	Côte d'Ivoire	62
Singapore	5	Serbia	66
Chile	6	Tajikistan	69
Malta	6	Venezuela, RB	71

Time (hours per year)			
Fastest		Slowest	
United Arab Emirates	12	Cameroon	654
Bahrain	36	Ecuador	654
Qatar	48	Senegal	666
Bahamas, The	58	Mauritania	696
Luxembourg	59	Chad	732
Oman	62	Venezuela, RB	792
Switzerland	63	Vietnam	872
Saudi Arabia	72	Nigeria	956
Seychelles	76	Bolivia	1,025
Hong Kong SAR, China	78	Brazil	2,600

Total tax rate (% of profit)	
Highest	
Colombia	74.8
Palau	75.7
Bolivia	83.4
Tajikistan	84.5
Eritrea	84.5
Uzbekistan	98.5
Argentina	108.3ª
Comoros	217.9ª
Gambia, The	283.5ª
Congo, Dem. Rep.	339.7ª


Note: The indicator on payments is adjusted for the possibility of electronic or joint filing and payment when used by the majority of firms in an economy. See the data notes for more details.

a. As a result of assumptions about the profit margin used to standardize the financial statements of the case study company, in 4 economies the amount of taxes due would exceed the profit of the company. To be able to comply with its tax obligations in these economies, the company would therefore have to charge more for its products and generate a higher profit. The methodology does not allow for price adjustments and assumes a standard cost markup of 120%. See the data notes for more details.

FIGURE 15.1 Tax reforms implemented by more than 75% of economies in the past 8 years

Number of Doing Business reforms making it easier to pay taxes by Doing Business

Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to pay taxes by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2004) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2011) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.


Source: Doing Business database.

Besides lessening the administrative burden of taxes, many economies also reduced tax rates, often from relatively high levels and with complementary efforts to improve tax compliance. Sub-Saharan Africa had the largest reduction in the total tax rate, 13.3 percentage points on average since 2005. Some of this reduction came from the introduction of VAT,

which replaced the cascading sales tax.⁶ Burundi, Djibouti, Mozambique, Sierra Leone and Swaziland all introduced VAT systems. In Sierra Leone tax revenue remained relatively stable as a percentage of GDP, rising only from 10.8% in 2005 to 11% in 2009. But the share of revenue coming from taxes on goods and services increased from 11.9% to 24.6%.⁷

FIGURE 15.2 Tax compliance simplified the most in Eastern Europe and Central Asia


Average payments (number per year)



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2006 (2004) and DB2013 (2011) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2004 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 15.3 Middle-income economies have advanced the most toward the frontier in paying taxes



Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each Doing Business indicator—in this case for the paying taxes indicators since 2004. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in Doing Business 2006 (2004). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The figure shows data for the financial years 2004 (measured by the paying taxes indicators in Doing Business 2006) and 2011 (measured in Doing Business 2013).

Source: Doing Business database.

Many African economies also reduced profit tax rates in the past 8 years, reducing the share of profit taxes in the total tax rate by 0.9 percentage point on average in the region. But the biggest reduction in this share occurred in OECD high-income economies, where it fell by 4.1 percentage points on average. Over the same period tax revenue increased slightly as a percentage of GDP in Sub-Saharan Africa and remained relatively stable in OECD high-income economies.⁸

Such reforms have had positive effects. Matching the data available since 2005 on total tax rates with investment data indicates that a reduction of 1 percentage point in the total tax rate is linked to an increase in investment equivalent to 1% of GDP.9

Belarus has advanced the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in paying taxes since 2004 (table 15.4). Embarking on an ambitious tax reform in

TABLE 15.4 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in paying taxes the most since 2004? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) **Belarus** 61 $(0 \to 61)$ Colombia 47 $(13 \rightarrow 60)$ Georgia 47 $(39 \rightarrow 86)$ China 42 $(19 \rightarrow 61)$ Azerbaijan 37 $(38 \rightarrow 75)$ Ukraine 31 $(16 \rightarrow 47)$ Argentina 30 $(14 \to 44)$

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator—in this case for the paying taxes indicators since 2004. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2004). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between financial years 2004 and 2011.

30 (34**→**64)

30

 $(31 \rightarrow 61)$

 $(33 \rightarrow 63)$

Source: Doing Business database.


Sierra Leone

Yemen, Rep.

Uruguay

2005, Belarus abolished several taxes, reduced tax rates, broadened the tax base, simplified filing forms and the tax law and invested in electronic systems that make it easier to file and pay taxes. These changes reduced the number of annual payments from 125 to 10, the time from 987 hours a year to 338 and the total tax rate from 137.5% of profit to 60.7% (figure 15.4). The efforts to make tax compliance easier and less costly are paying off. While 1,681 new limited liability corporations registered for the first time in 2005 in Belarus, 6,142 did so in 2011. Indeed, the total number registered in this period increased by 68.9% (from 27,619 to 46,653).10

FIGURE 15.4 Broad tax reform in Belarus reduces payments, time and total tax rate



Source: Doing Business database.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Nan Jiang, Pawel Kopko, Nina Paustian, Momodou Salifu Sey and Tea Trumbic.

- Companies sometimes prefer more frequent payments, to smooth cash flow, and less frequent filing.
- 2. The threshold is set at the 15th percentile of the total tax rate distribution, and this year is 25.7%. All economies with a total tax rate below this level receive the same percentile ranking on this component. The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an "optimal tax rate" that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in the tax system of an economy overall. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the indicators toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the Doing Business standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology).
- One of the economies added to the sample in this year's report, Malta, has offered electronic filing for several years and so is included in the count for 2010.
- 4. Mexico, for example, has relied heavily on technology and the use of electronic

- systems to lessen the administrative burden for taxpayers. These efforts simplified requirements for firms, reducing the number of annual tax payments recorded by *Doing Business* from 27 in 2007 to 6 in 2011 and the time to comply with major taxes from 549 hours to 337.
- 5. At the same time Moldova reduced the withholding tax for dividends from 15% to 6% and lowered the withholding tax for payments other than dividends from 15% to 12%. In addition, it introduced a new tax regime for small and mediumsize enterprises under which small companies pay a single tax of 3% of revenues from operational activities.
- 6. VAT is collected by the firm and its cost is fully passed on to the consumer. Because the firm has to make the payments and spend time filling out the returns, VAT is included in the indicators on payments and time. But the amount of VAT paid is not included in the total tax rate. Cascading sales tax, which is paid at every point of the supply chain, is included in the total tax rate, because the firm cannot deduct the sales tax it pays on its supplies from the amount it owes on its sales. Economies introducing VAT regimes to replace the sales tax regime have therefore seen a reduction in their total tax rate.
- 7. World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data .worldbank.org/.
- World Bank, World Development Indicators database, http://data .worldbank.org/.

- Following Eifert (2009) and Djankov, McLiesh and Ramalho (2006), the analysis controls for government consumption, institutional quality and corruption perception. It also controls for total trade openness and rents from natural resources.
- 10. World Bank Group Entrepreneurship Snapshots. The full data set is available on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).



Trading across borders

- Trading across borders remains easiest in Singapore.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing **Business recorded 22 reforms** making it easier to trade across borders.
- South Africa made the biggest improvement in the ease of trading across borders in the past year.
- Georgia has made the greatest progress toward the frontier in regulatory practice in trading across borders since 2005. Among the 10 economies making the most progress, 4 are in Sub-Saharan Africa.
- The most common feature of trade facilitation reforms in the past 8 years was the introduction or improvement of electronic submission and processing.
- Economies in Latin America and the Caribbean have made the biggest reductions in the time to trade across borders since 2005. Those in the Middle East and North Africa have made the biggest reductions in the documents required to export and import.

For more information on good practices and research related to trading across borders, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/ exploretopics/trading-across-borders. For more on the methodology, see the section on trading across borders in the data notes.

"Inefficiencies in processing and clearing goods put traders in developing countries at a competitive disadvantage," declared the heads of the World Bank and regional development banks in a statement urging the international community to commit to a new WTO trade facilitation agreement. "Developing countries stand to gain the most from improving trade facilitation. The right support will help traders in poorer countries compete and integrate into global supply chains."1

To shed light on the bureaucratic and logistical hurdles facing traders, Doing Business measures the time and cost (excluding tariffs) associated with exporting and importing by sea transport and the number of documents necessary to complete the transaction.² The indicators cover documentation requirements and procedures at customs and other regulatory agencies as well as at the port. They also cover logistical aspects, including the time and cost of inland transport between the largest business city and the main port used by traders. As measured by Doing Business, trading across borders remains easiest in Singapore (tables 16.1 and 16.2).

Outdated and inefficient border procedures, inadequate infrastructure and lack of reliable logistics services often mean high transactions costs and long delays, particularly for landlocked economies.3 The more costly and time consuming it is to export or import, the more difficult it is for local companies to be competitive and to reach international markets. Indeed, a study in Sub-Saharan Africa shows that reducing inland travel time by 1 day increases exports by 7%.4

easiest—and where most difficult?					
Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK		
Singapore	1	Niger	176		
Hong Kong SAR, China	2	Burundi	177		

TARIE 16.1 Where is trading across borders

Easiest	KANK	Most difficult	KANK
Singapore	1	Niger	176
Hong Kong SAR, China	2	Burundi	177
Korea, Rep.	3	Afghanistan	178
Denmark	4	Iraq	179
United Arab Emirates	5	Chad	180
Finland	6	Congo, Rep.	181
Estonia	7	Central African Republic	182
Sweden	8	Kazakhstan	182
Panama	9	Tajikistan	184
Israel	10	Uzbekistan	185

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the documents, time and cost required to export and import. See the data notes for details. Economies shown with the same number are tied in the

Source: Doing Business database.

WHO REFORMED IN TRADING **ACROSS BORDERS IN 2011/12?**

In 2011/12 South Africa improved the most in the ease of trading across borders as measured by Doing Business. Through its customs modernization program it implemented measures that reduced the time, cost and documents required for international trade (figure 16.1). Improvements in South Africa have effects throughout southern Africa, Since overseas goods to and from Botswana, Lesotho, Swaziland and Zimbabwe transit through South Africa, traders in these economies are also enjoying the benefits.

South Africa was not alone. Doing Business recorded reforms making it easier to trade across borders in 21 other economies in the past year, for a total of 22 (table 16.3). Latin America and the

TABLE 16.2 Who makes exporting easy— and who does not?			Who makes im and who does		easy—		
Documents (num	ber)			Documents (num	ber)		
Fewest		Most		Fewest		Most	
France	2	Afghanistan	10	France	2	Chad	11
Canada	3	Burkina Faso	10	Denmark	3	Niger	11
Estonia	3	Côte d'Ivoire	10	Korea, Rep.	3	Russian Federation	11
Japan	3	Iraq	10	Panama	3	Tajikistan	11
Korea, Rep.	3	Angola	11	Sweden	3	Bhutan	12
Panama	3	Cameroon	11	Hong Kong SAR, China	4	Cameroon	12
Sweden	3	Congo, Rep.	11	Israel	4	Eritrea	12
Finland	4	Nepal	11	Netherlands	4	Kazakhstan	12
Hong Kong SAR, China	4	Tajikistan	11	Singapore	4	Uzbekistan	14
Singapore	4	Uzbekistan	13	United Kingdom	4	Central African Republic	17

Time (days)				Time (days)			
Fastest		Slowest		Fastest		Slowest	
Denmark	5	Zimbabwe	53	Singapore	4	Niger	64
Estonia	5	Central African Republic	54	Cyprus	5	Kazakhstan	69
Hong Kong SAR, China	5	Niger	59	Denmark	5	Venezuela, RB	71
Singapore	5	Kyrgyz Republic	63	Estonia	5	Tajikistan	72
Netherlands	6	Tajikistan	71	Hong Kong SAR, China	5	Zimbabwe	73
United States	6	Afghanistan	74	United States	5	Kyrgyz Republic	75
Germany	7	Chad	75	Netherlands	6	Afghanistan	77
Luxembourg	7	Iraq	80	Sweden	6	Iraq	82
Norway	7	Uzbekistan	80	United Kingdom	6	Uzbekistan	99
United Kingdom	7	Kazakhstan	81	Luxembourg	7	Chad	101

Cost (US\$ per cor	ntainer)			Cost (US\$ per container)			
Least		Most		Least		Most	
Malaysia	435	Afghanistan	3,545	Malaysia	420	Kazakhstan	4,665
Singapore	456	Iraq	3,550	Singapore	439	Kyrgyz Republic	4,700
Finland	540	Niger	3,676	Hong Kong SAR, China	565	Uzbekistan	4,750
Hong Kong SAR, China	575	Congo, Rep.	3,818	Israel	565	Rwanda	4,990
Morocco	577	Kyrgyz Republic	4,160	São Tomé and Príncipe	577	Burundi	5,005
China	580	Uzbekistan	4,585	United Arab Emirates	590	Zimbabwe	5,200
Philippines	585	Kazakhstan	4,685	Vietnam	600	Central African Republic	5,554
Thailand	585	Central African Republic	5,491	China	615	Congo, Rep.	7,709
Latvia	600	Chad	5,902	Finland	620	Chad	8,525
Vietnam	610	Tajikistan	8,450	Fiji	635	Tajikistan	9,800

FIGURE 16.1 Modernizing customs made importing faster in South Africa

Time to import (days)



Source: Doing Business database.

Caribbean and Sub-Saharan Africa had the most, with 6 each, followed by OECD high-income economies (5) and Eastern Europe and Central Asia (2). One reform each was also recorded in East Asia and the Pacific, the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia. Six economies made trading across borders more difficult as measured by *Doing Business*—4 in Latin America and the Caribbean and 2 in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Automation has continued to play an important part in facilitating the processing and clearance of goods in many economies. In the past year 6 economies—Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Lao PDR, Sri Lanka, and Trinidad and Tobago—implemented computerized customs management systems that allow web-based submission of customs declarations.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 212 trade facilitation reforms around the world (figure 16.2). Eastern Europe and Central Asia and the Middle East and North Africa had the largest share

TABLE 16.3 Who made trading across borders easier in 2011/12—and what did they do?					
Feature	Economies	Some highlights			
Introduced or improved electronic submission and processing	Belize; Botswana; Burundi; Czech Republic; Dominica; Grenada; Hungary; Lao PDR; Niger; Qatar; South Africa; Spain; Sri Lanka; Trinidad and Tobago; Uruguay	Lao PDR launched the ASYCUDA electronic data interchange system at the Thanaleng–Friendship Bridge border crossing.			
Improved customs administration	Georgia; Jamaica; Malawi; South Africa	Jamaica facilitated overnight processing of customs declarations by extending the hours for lodging customs entries.			
Introduced electronic single window	Benin; Portugal; Uzbekistan	Benin implemented an electronic single-window and unique payment system integrating customs, control agencies, port authorities and other service providers at the Cotonou port.			
Introduced or improved risk-based inspections	Botswana; Lao PDR	Botswana introduced a scanner at the Kopfontein— Tlokweng border crossing, replacing physical inspections. Trucks are selected for scanning on the basis of their risk.			
Improved port procedures	Netherlands; Uruguay	The Netherlands introduced a new web-based system for cargo release at the port terminals in Rotterdam.			
Source: Doing Business da	itabase.				


of economies with such reforms: in both regions 83% implemented at least 1. Latin America and the Caribbean had the next largest share, with 73% of economies implementing at least 1, followed closely by Sub-Saharan Africa with 72%. The share in East Asia and the Pacific was 63%, in South Asia 50% and among the OECD high-income economies 42%.

Thanks to these efforts, trading across borders as measured by *Doing Business* has become faster and easier around the world. In 2006 it took 26.0 days on average to export and 30.4 days to import

a standardized cargo of goods by ocean transport (with every official procedure recorded but actual time in the ocean excluded). Today it takes only 22.2 days on average to export and 25.0 to import (figure 16.3). Analysis shows that such gains have had positive effects. Matching the data available since 2005 on the time to trade across borders with GDP per capita growth data indicates that a reduction of 4 days in the time to import or export is linked to an increase in the per capita growth rate of 0.1 percentage point.⁵

FIGURE 16.2 Sub-Saharan Africa leads in number of trade facilitation reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to trade across borders by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 16.4 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in trading across borders the most since 2005?

Improvement in

Most improved	Improvement in distance to frontier (percentage points)
Georgia	49 (28 → 77)
France	31 (57 → 88)
Rwanda	30 (0 → 30)
Thailand	28 (52 → 80)
Djibouti	25 (50 → 75)
Madagascar	25 (38 → 63)
Senegal	23 (48 → 71)
Romania	20 (50 → 70)
Kenya	19 (26 → 45)
Korea, Rep.	17 (74 → 91)


Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the trading across borders indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

Source: Doing Business database.

While many economies have made strides in improving international trade practices, Georgia has made the greatest progress toward the frontier in regulatory practice in trading across borders since 2005 (table 16.4). It did so through improvements over several years. In 2006 Georgia enacted a new customs code, simplifying the customs clearance process and better aligning it with international good practices. Three years later it reduced the cost to trade and simplified the documentation requirements for imports and exports. And in the past year Georgia created customs clearance zones—one-stop shops for different clearance processes.

The most common feature of trade facilitation reforms in all regions over the past 8

FIGURE 16.3 Large decline in document preparation time across regions



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2007 (2006) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the Doing Business sample after 2006 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2007 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

years was the introduction or improvement of electronic submission and processing of customs declarations—implemented in 110 economies. The improvement of customs administration was the second most common feature, undertaken by 61 economies. Improving port procedures was the third most common among economies in Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East and North Africa. By contrast, among other economies, including those in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean and the OECD high-income group, introducing or improving risk-based inspection systems was more common.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Iryna Bilotserkivska, Robert Murillo and Mikiko Imai Ollison.

- 1. Zoellick and others 2012.
- To ensure comparability across economies, the *Doing Business* methodology assumes that trade is by sea transport and therefore may not capture regional trade in some regions, such as Sub-Saharan Africa and Eastern Europe and Central Asia. While sea transport still accounts for the majority of world trade, regional trade is becoming increasingly important for small and medium-size enterprises.
- 3. Arvis, Marteau and Raballand 2010.
- 4. Freund and Rocha 2011. The authors use a modified gravity equation that controls for importer fixed effects and exporter remoteness to understand whether different types of export costs affect trade differently. All 3 techniques used to analyze the effect on trade values of export times for key components lead to the same conclusion: that inland transit delays have a robust negative effect on export values.
- 5. Results are based on Arellano-Bond dynamic panel estimation to control for economic cycle and time-invariant country-specific factors. Following Eifert (2009) and Djankov, McLiesh and Ramalho (2006), the analysis controls for initial level of education, initial level of income per capita and institutional quality. It also controls for total trade openness and rents from natural resources.



Enforcing contracts

- Enforcing contracts is easiest in Luxembourg, where it takes 321 days and 26 procedures and costs 9.7% of the value of the claim.
- From June 2011 to June 2012

 Doing Business recorded 11

 reforms making it easier to enforce contracts.
- In the past year Poland improved the most in the ease of enforcing contracts.
- Bhutan has advanced the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in contract enforcement since 2005. Among the 10 economies making the greatest progress in this period, 6 are in Sub-Saharan Africa.
- Introducing specialized commercial courts or divisions was the most common feature of reforms making it easier to enforce contracts in the past 8 years.

For more information on good practices and research related to enforcing contracts, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/enforcing-contracts. For more on the methodology, see the section on enforcing contracts in the data notes.

A judicial system that provides effective commercial dispute resolution is crucial to a healthy economy.1 Without one, firms risk finding themselves operating in an environment where compliance with contractual obligations is not the norm. While using alternative dispute resolution systems may have benefits, Doing Business focuses on how public institutions function in the case of a commercial dispute.2 Doing Business measures the time, cost and procedural complexity of resolving a commercial lawsuit between 2 domestic businesses. The dispute involves the breach of a sales contract worth twice the income per capita of the economy. The case study assumes that the court hears arguments on the merits and that an expert provides an opinion on the quality of the goods in dispute. This distinguishes the case from simple debt enforcement. The time, cost and procedures are measured from the perspective of an entrepreneur (the plaintiff) pursuing the standardized case through local courts.

Efficiency in this process matters. A study in Eastern Europe found that in countries with slower courts, firms on average tend to have less bank financing for new investment. The study shows that reforms in other areas, such as creditors' rights, help increase bank lending only if contracts can be enforced before the courts.³ Another recent study, analyzing 98 developing economies, suggests that foreign direct investment tends to be greater where the cost of contract enforcement in debt collection and property eviction cases is lower, particularly when the host economy is more indebted.⁴

Among the 185 economies covered by *Doing Business*, Luxembourg has the top ranking on the ease of enforcing contracts (table 17.1). But contract enforcement is fastest in Singapore, where it takes only 150 days to resolve the standardized case measured by *Doing Business* (table 17.2).

WHO REFORMED IN ENFORCING CONTRACTS IN 2011/12?

From June 2011 to June 2012 *Doing Business* recorded 11 reforms making it easier to enforce contracts and 1 making it more difficult (table 17.3). Brazil, Rwanda and Saudi Arabia improved electronic systems in their courts. Such systems offer multiple benefits. By allowing litigants to file complaints electronically in commercial cases, they can speed up the filing and service process. They can prevent the

TABLE 17.1 Where is enforcing contracts easiest—and where most difficult?

Easiest	RANK	Most difficult	RANK
Luxembourg	1	Syrian Arab Republic	176
Korea, Rep.	2	Central African Republic	177
Iceland	3	Benin	178
Norway	4	Honduras	179
Germany	5	Suriname	180
United States	6	São Tomé and Príncipe	181
Austria	7	Bangladesh	182
France	8	Angola	183
Finland	9	India	184
Hong Kong SAR, China	10	Timor-Leste	185

Note: Rankings are the average of the economy's rankings on the procedures, time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute through the courts. See the data notes for details.

TABLE 17.2 Who makes enforcing contracts easy—and who does not?

Procedures (number of steps)				
Fewest		Most		
Ireland	21	Armenia	49	
Singapore	21	Guinea	49	
Rwanda	23	Kuwait	50	
Austria	25	Belize	51	
Belgium	26	Iraq	51	
Luxembourg	26	Oman	51	
Netherlands	26	Timor-Leste	51	
Czech Republic	27	Kosovo	53	
Hong Kong SAR, China	27	Sudan	53	
Iceland	27	Syrian Arab Republic	55	


T' / I \	T (1)					
Time (days)						
Fastest		Slowest				
Singapore	150	Sri Lanka	1,318			
Uzbekistan	195	Barbados	1,340			
New Zealand	216	Trinidad and Tobago	1,340			
Bhutan	225	Colombia	1,346			
Korea, Rep.	230	India	1,420			
Rwanda	230	Bangladesh	1,442			
Azerbaijan	237	Guatemala	1,459			
Kyrgyz Republic	260	Afghanistan	1,642			
Namibia	270	Guinea-Bissau	1,715			
Russian Federation	270	Suriname	1,715			

Cost (% of claim)					
Least		Most			
Bhutan	0.1	Comoros	89.4		
Iceland	8.2	Malawi	94.1		
Luxembourg	9.7	Cambodia	103.4		
Norway	9.9	Papua New Guinea	110.3		
Korea, Rep.	10.3	Zimbabwe	113.1		
China	11.1	Indonesia	139.4		
Slovenia	12.7	Mozambique	142.5		
Portugal	13.0	Congo, Dem. Rep.	147.6		
Finland	13.3	Sierra Leone	149.5		
Russian Federation	13.4	Timor-Leste	163.2		
Source: Doing Business database.					

loss, destruction or concealment of court records. And they can increase transparency and limit opportunities for corruption in the judiciary. Even more beneficial is the use of computerized systems for case management. Case management,

FIGURE 17.1 Sub-Saharan Africa continues to lead in number of contract enforcement reforms

Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to enforce contracts by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

which involves monitoring and managing cases in the court docket from the filing of the claim until the judgment is issued, has proved to be an effective tool for reducing procedural delays at court and for monitoring the performance of judges and court officers.

Increasing the specialization of judges, divisions or courts in commercial cases has been a common feature of reforms to increase court efficiency in recent years. Two economies implemented such reforms in the past year. Liberia launched a specialized commercial court in November 2011 and has already appointed 3 new judges for the court. Cameroon created specialized commercial divisions within its courts of first instance. Benin appointed more judges and bailiffs in commercial courts. And it introduced the

FIGURE 17.2 Contract enforcement has become faster in most regions

Average time to enforce contracts (days) Eastern Europe & Central Asia DB2013 DB2013 OECD high income DB2008 DB2013 East Asia & Pacific DB2008 DB2013 Middle East & North Africa DB2008 Sub-Saharan Africa DB2013 DB2008 DB2013 Latin America & Caribbean DB2008 South Asia DB2013 DB2008 0 200 400 600 800 1,000 1,200 Filing and service Trial and judgment Enforcement

Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 178 economies for both DB2008 (2007) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the Doing Business sample after 2007 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Cyprus, Kosovo, Malta and Qatar. DB2008 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology.

Source: Doing Business database.

TABLE 17.3 Who made enforcing contracts easier in 2011/12—and what did they do? Feature **Economies** Some highlights Georgia; Poland; Increased procedural The Slovak Republic amended its civil procedure code to efficiency at main trial simplify and speed up proceedings and to limit obstructive Slovak Republic; court Turkey tactics by the parties to a case. Brazil; Rwanda; Introduced Saudi Arabia expanded the computerization of its courts or expanded Saudi Arabia and introduced an electronic filing system for commercial computerized case cases, allowing attorneys to submit a summons online management system through a dedicated website. Significantly increased Benin; Liberia; Poland appointed more judges and bailiffs in commercial number of judges Poland courts. Made enforcement Poland: Serbia Serbia introduced private bailiffs. of judgment more efficient Introduced specialized Liberia launched a specialized commercial court in Novem-Cameroon; Liberia commercial court ber 2011 and has appointed 3 new judges for the court.

concept of managing judges as well as enforcement judges.

Serbia made it easier to enforce contracts by introducing a private bailiff system, providing competitive options for enforcing a binding decision. The winning party in a commercial case may now choose between private and court bailiffs to carry out enforcement proceedings.

Georgia, Poland, the Slovak Republic and Turkey amended the procedural rules applying to commercial cases, mainly to simplify and speed up proceedings and to limit obstructive tactics by the parties to a case. New legislation adopted in January 2012 by the Slovak Republic imposes new individual deadlines on the parties at different stages of the proceedings. For example, courts are now obliged to

deliver a complaint to the defendant in less than 60 days.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 116 reforms that helped improve court efficiency in commercial dispute resolution. Sub-Saharan Africa had the most reforms, with 35 (figure 17.1). But Eastern Europe and Central Asia, the region where contract enforcement is the fastest on average (figure 17.2), had the largest share of economies with such reforms: 15 of 24 economies in the region implemented at least 1.

Some economies introduced specialized commercial courts. Others overhauled the organization of their courts or their system of judicial case management for commercial dispute resolution. In the past

year the implementation of electronic filing systems was among the most common improvements recorded by *Doing Business*. Today 19 economies allow electronic filing of complaints, including 12 OECD high-income economies. Among all OECD high-income economies, the average time for filing and service fell by 9 days between 2007 and 2012 (see figure 17.2).

Specialized courts tend to improve efficiency.⁵ Creating specialized commercial courts can result in faster and less costly contract enforcement, particularly where the commercial caseload is large. Today 82 of the 185 economies covered by Doing Business have a dedicated stand-alone court, a specialized commercial section within an existing court or specialized judges within a general civil court. In 7 Sub-Saharan African economies that introduced commercial courts or sections in the past 10 years—the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Lesotho, Mauritania, Mozambique, Nigeria and Rwanda—the average time to resolve the standardized case measured by Doing Business dropped by more than 5 months.

Poland improved the most in the ease of enforcing contracts in the past year and is also among the 10 economies advancing the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in this area since 2005 (table 17.4). In 2003 resolving a commercial dispute in Warsaw took 1,000 days. Today, thanks to extensive efforts, it takes 685 (figure 17.3).

What did Poland do? In 2007 it started deregulating the bailiff profession, increasing the number of service providers. That same year it created its first electronic court, in Lublin; the new court, which processes cases and assigns them to judges in only 2–3 weeks on average, has already dealt with more than 3 million cases. In a parallel effort Poland launched an information technology system in 2003, then the Praetor software in 2007, improving the internal operations of courts over time. The software system facilitates the circulation of documents

FIGURE 17.3 How Poland cut the time to enforce contracts by a third in Warsaw




TABLE 17.4	Who has narrowed the distance
	to frontier in enforcing contracts
	the most since 2005?

the most since 2003:		
Most improved	Improvement in distance to frontier (percentage points)	
Bhutan	35 (31 → 66)	
Gambia, The	14 (50 → 64)	
Poland	13 (50 → 63)	
Botswana	11 (56 → 67)	
Georgia	11 (59 → 70)	
Mozambique	10 (29 → 39)	
Nigeria	8 (48 → 56)	
Lesotho	7 (44 → 51)	
Mali	6 (43 → 49)	
Portugal	6ª (64 → 70)	

Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the enforcing contracts indicators. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business 2006* (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

a. Ethiopia, FYR Macedonia and Malaysia also have an improvement of 6 percentage points.

Source: Doing Business database.

within the court and allows users to trace the history of the decision stage for particular documents. By 2007 the implementation of these court management systems had already reduced the backlog of cases by 36% compared with 2004.

Efforts are ongoing. In May 2012 Poland amended its civil procedure code, eliminating separate procedural steps in commercial cases. Poland also appointed more judges and bailiffs to the district and regional commercial courts, expanded the role of judges in managing processes (particularly in the introduction of evidence), expanded the responsibilities of assistant judges (such as in overseeing bailiffs and enforcing court judgments), allowed new electronic processes and introduced economic incentives for debtors to comply with judgments.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Joyce Antone Ibrahim and Julien Vilquin.

- 1. Ramello and Voigt 2012.
- 2. World Bank Facility for Investment Climate Advisory Services 2011.
- 3. Safavian and Sharma 2007.
- 4. Ahlguist and Prakash 2010.
- 5. Botero and others 2003.



Resolving insolvency

- Creditors of firms facing insolvency benefit from the highest recovery rate in Japan.
- From June 2011 to June 2012 Doing Business recorded 17 reforms aimed at improving insolvency proceedings.
- Poland is among the 10 economies advancing the furthest toward the frontier in regulatory practice in resolving insolvency since 2005.
- Common features of insolvency reforms in the past 8 years include passing new bankruptcy laws, promoting reorganization proceedings, shortening time limits, regulating the qualifications of insolvency administrators and strengthening the rights of secured creditors.
- Eastern Europe and Central
 Asia had the biggest increase in
 the recovery rate in the past 8
 years, while OECD high-income
 economies had the most insolvency
 reforms.

For more information on good practices and research related to resolving insolvency, visit http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/resolving-insolvency. For more on the methodology, see the section on resolving insolvency in the data notes.

Driven by steeper labor costs and the high fuel prices and dampened travel demand resulting from the global financial crisis, American Airlines, the third largest U.S. carrier, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in November 2011. Its core business is still viable, and if allowed to reorganize its finances and operations the company could avoid failure-to the benefit of its creditors, shareholders and employees. Thanks to the solid insolvency laws in the United States, American Airlines had the opportunity to file for restructuring, and if the company's viability is proven, it is expected to survive.1 This does not happen in the many economies that lack restructuring frameworks.

Doing Business measures the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings involving domestic entities. The time required for creditors to recover their credit is recorded in calendar years. The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the value of the debtor's estate. The recovery rate for creditors depends on whether the case study company (a hotel business) emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or its assets are sold piecemeal. The rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recouped by creditors through reorganization, liquidation or debt enforcement (foreclosure) proceedings. If an economy had zero insolvency cases a year over the past 5 years, it receives a "no practice" classification. This means that creditors are unlikely to recover their money through a formal legal process (in or out of court). The recovery rate for "no practice" economies is zero. The ranking on the ease of resolving insolvency is based on the recovery rate, which is

affected by the key variables of time, cost and outcome (tables 18.1 and 18.2).

Whether insolvency proceedings are efficient matters not just for firms that are struggling. A recent study shows that Brazil's 2005 bankruptcy reform, which strengthened the rights of secured creditors, led to a significant reduction in the cost of debt and an increase in both short- and long-term debt.² However, an analysis of Italy's 2005-06 reform of its bankruptcy law shows that excessive use of reorganization proceedings increases interest rates on loan financing because it reduces the incentives for entrepreneurs to act prudently.3 Another study, focusing on U.S. airlines, shows that bankruptcies reduce the collateral value of other firms in the same industry, increasing the cost

TABLE 18.1 Where is resolving insolvency easiest—and where most difficult?

Recovery rate			
Easiest		Most difficult	
Japan	92.8	Angola	8.0
Singapore	91.3	Venezuela, RB	6.4
Norway	90.8	São Tomé and Príncipe	5.2
Canada	90.7	Philippines	4.9
Finland	89.7	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	3.4
Netherlands	88.8	Rwanda	3.1
Belgium	88.7	Congo, Dem. Rep.	1.6
United Kingdom	88.6	Zimbabwe	0.1
Ireland	87.5	Central African Republic	0.0
Denmark	87.1	Eritrea	0.0ª

Note: Rankings are based on the recovery rate: how many cents on the dollar creditors recover from an insolvent firm as calculated by *Doing Business*. See the data notes for details.

a. Sixteen economies have a recovery rate of 0, including 14 "no practice" economies.

TABLE 18.2 Who makes resolving insolvency easy—and who does not?				
Time (years)	Time (years)			
Fastest		Slowest		
Ireland	0.4	Congo, Dem. Rep.	5.2	
Japan	0.6	Ecuador	5.3	
Canada	0.8	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	5.3	
Singapore	0.8	Indonesia	5.5	
Belgium	0.9	Haiti	5.7	
Finland	0.9	Philippines	5.7	
Norway	0.9	Cambodia	6.0	
Australia	1.0	Angola	6.2	
Belize	1.0	São Tomé and Príncipe	6.2	

1.0a

Mauritania

8.0

Denmark

Cost (% of estate)			
Least		Most	
Norway	1	Dominican Republic	38
Singapore	1	Marshall Islands	38
Armenia	4	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	38
Bahamas, The	4	Philippines	38°
Belgium	4	Sierra Leone	42
Brunei Darussalam	4	Ukraine	42
Canada	4	Liberia	43
Denmark	4	Rwanda	50
Finland	4	Chad	60
Georgia	4 ^b	Central African Republic	76

- a. Four other economies also have a time of 1 year: Iceland; Palau; the Solomon Islands; and the United Kingdom.
- Eleven other economies also have a cost of 4% of the estate value: Iceland; Japan; Korea; Maldiwes; the Netherlands; New Zealand; Oman; Pakistan; Slovenia; Switzerland; and Taiwan, China.
- c. Four other economies also have a cost of 38% of the estate value: Samoa; the Solomon Islands; Vanuatu; and República Bolivariana de Venezuela.
- Source: Doing Business database.

of external debt financing for all firms in the industry.⁴ In the aftermath of the financial crisis, researchers, practitioners and policy makers have been emphasizing the importance of efficient bankruptcy regimes to strengthen local economies while also discussing the challenges of implementing bankruptcy reforms.⁵

TABLE 18.3 Who made resolving insolvency easier in 2011/12—and what did they do?			
Feature	Economies	Some highlights	
Established or promoted reorganization, liquidation or foreclosure procedures	Belarus; Germany; Greece; Kazakhstan; Lithuania; Moldova; Poland; Serbia; Slovak Republic; Spain; Uzbekistan	Germany amended its insolvency law to facilitate in-court restructuring of distressed companies, providing new opportunities for creditors and debtors.	
Eliminated formalities or introduced or tightened time limits	Belarus; Georgia; Kazakhstan; Republic of Korea; Lithuania; Slovenia; Uganda; Uzbekistan	Georgia streamlined all insolvency procedures, introducing a deadline for the creditors' first meeting and shorter time limits for the submission of documentation and creditors' claims, for decisions on the outcome of insolvency proceed- ings and for the duration of the auction.	
Regulated profession of insolvency administrators	Kazakhstan; Moldova; Poland; Slovenia; Uganda; Zambia	Zambia established qualification requirements, professional duties and provisions on pay for liquidators and receivers.	
Granted priority to secured creditors	Kazakhstan; Slovak Republic	The Slovak Republic strengthened the rights of secured creditors, prioritizing their claims and granting them voting power over the restructuring plan.	
Increased transparency of insolvency system	Lithuania; Serbia	Serbia introduced an online public registry, making public all injunctions issued by the court.	
Introduced framework for out-of-court restructurings	Portugal; Spain	Spain improved its framework for out-of-court restructur- ing by facilitating the approval of an agreement between creditors and debtors.	
Source: Doing Business da	Source: Doing Business database.		

WHO REFORMED IN RESOLVING INSOLVENCY IN 2011/12?


From June 2011 to June 2012 *Doing Business* recorded 17 reforms making it easier to resolve insolvency (table 18.3). Most were in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, where 29% of economies had such reforms, and in OECD high-income economies, of which 26% did.

Germany promoted its reorganization proceedings by streamlining insolvency

procedures and introducing a debt-forequity swap remedy. It also strengthened the rights of secured creditors by involving creditors in the restructuring process and establishing a preliminary creditors' committee. The Slovak Republic adopted a new amendment to its bankruptcy and restructuring law that clearly defines the roles and powers of creditors, secured creditors and trustees with the aim of increasing the efficiency of the insolvency process.

FIGURE 18.1 Eastern Europe & Central Asia and OECD high-income economies keep up fast pace in insolvency reforms


Number of *Doing Business* reforms making it easier to resolve insolvency by *Doing Business* report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

FIGURE 18.2 Big increase in recovery rate in Eastern Europe and Central Asia

Average recovery rate by type of outcome (cents on the dollar)



Note: To ensure an accurate comparison, the figure shows data for the same sample of 174 economies for both DB2006 (2005) and DB2013 (2012) and uses the regional classifications that apply in 2012. The economies added to the *Doing Business* sample after 2005 and therefore excluded here are The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar. DB2006 data are adjusted for any data revisions and changes in methodology. All outcomes are recorded as piecemeal sales for economies in the Middle East and North Africa and South Asia. Source: Doing Business database.

Kazakhstan further developed its rehabilitation process by introducing an accelerated proceeding, setting clear time limits for developing a rehabilitation plan and clearly defining the roles and powers of the court in the process. Lithuania also specified time limits for some insolvency procedures, including for creditors to file their claims and for the court to hear an appeal of the ruling to initiate bankruptcy proceedings or dismiss the administrator.

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years *Doing Business* recorded 126 insolvency reforms in 74 economies (figure 18.1). While economies focused their efforts on different aspects of insolvency, these reforms still shared some common features. For example, 27 economies passed new bankruptcy laws over the past 8 years. Many economies promoted reorganization proceedings by simplifying and accelerating procedures, defining the roles of the parties involved and introducing innovative instruments

such as out-of-court workouts. Shortening the time limits for different procedures was also a common feature of insolvency reforms. Other common features were regulating and refining standards for the profession of insolvency administrators and strengthening the rights of secured creditors.

The financial crisis prompted many economies to take immediate action to improve their insolvency regimes. *Doing Business* 2012 reported a record number

TABLE 18.4 Who has narrowed the distance to frontier in resolving insolvency the most since 2005? Improvement in distance to frontier Most improved (percentage points) Czech Republic 40 $(20 \to 60)$ Afghanistan 29 $(0 \to 29)$ Uzbekistan 29 $(12 \rightarrow 41)$ Latvia 27 (37**→**64) Colombia 26 (55>81) Relarus 23 (23>46) Côte d'Ivoire 23 $(17 \to 40)$ **Poland** 23 $(35 \to 58)$ Burkina Faso 22 $(8 \rightarrow 30)$ Senegal


Note: The distance to frontier measure shows how far on average an economy is from the best performance achieved by any economy on each *Doing Business* indicator since 2005—in this case for the resolving insolvency indicator. The measure is normalized to range between 0 and 100, with 100 representing the best performance (the frontier). The data refer to the 174 economies included in *Doing Business* 2006 (2005). Eleven economies were added in subsequent years. The first column lists the top 10 most improved economies in order; the second shows the absolute improvement in the distance to frontier between 2005 and 2012.

 $(13 \rightarrow 35)$

Source: Doing Business database.

of insolvency reforms globally: 29. *Doing Business* 2006 recorded only 8. In the past year 4 regions had no insolvency reforms: East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America and the Caribbean, the Middle East and North Africa, and South Asia.

FIGURE 18.3 Poland improved the efficiency of insolvency proceedings in the past 6 years



How has the efficiency in resolving insolvency changed? No significant improvements were observed in low-income economies from 2005 to 2012: the average time to complete an insolvency proceeding remained at 3.9 years, and the average cost even increased from 23% to 24% of the value of the debtor's estate.⁶ Globally over that period, the average time to complete an insolvency proceeding fell from 3 years to 2.8 years, the cost remained at about 20%, and the recovery rate rose from 31% to 35% (figure 18.2).

Poland is among those making the biggest improvements since 2005 in the efficiency of resolving insolvency as measured by *Doing Business* (table 18.4). Through extensive efforts to build a full-fledged insolvency regime, it reduced

the cost to complete an insolvency proceeding by a third between 2007 and 2012—and doubled the recovery rate (figure 18.3). Among the highlights of Poland's insolvency reforms: specifying qualifications for insolvency administrators with the aim of improving the quality of professional services, reducing the cost by setting a maximum limit on pay for administrators, and introducing a prebankruptcy reorganization procedure. In the past year Poland continued its reform trajectory by strengthening the rights of secured creditors and making it easier to start bankruptcy proceedings.

NOTES

This topic note was written by Valentina Saltane and Rong Chen.

- Patrick Rizzo, "American Airlines Files for Bankruptcy Protection," NBC News, November 29, 2011, http://bottomline .nbcnews.com/; Kyle Peterson and Matt Daily, "American Airlines Files for Bankruptcy," Reuters, November 29, 2011, http://www.reuters.com/.
- 2. De Araujo, Xavier Ferreira and Funchal 2011.
- 3. Rodano, Serrano-Velarde and Tarantino 2011.
- 4. Benmelech and Bergman 2011.
- 5. Cirmizi, Klapper and Uttamchandani 2012.
- 6. To ensure an accurate comparison, only the 32 low-income economies included in the *Doing Business 2006* (2005) sample are included.



Annex: employing workers

- Fourteen economies implemented changes in their labor regulations affecting the *Doing Business* indicators on employing workers in the past year; 72 did so in the past 8 years.
- In 107 economies there is no limit on how long fixed-term employment contracts may last. In the 78 economies that have set a limit, the average maximum duration of fixed-term contracts is 39.2 months.
- One hundred and seventy-four economies limit employees' workweek in manufacturing to 6 or fewer days, complying with International Labour Organization (ILO) Convention 14 on the length of the workweek.
- One hundred and fifty-four economies have set a minimum wage by law, and 48 of them have set a special minimum wage for apprentices.
- Redundancy dismissals are allowed in 183 of 185 economies.
- The average cost of redundancy dismissals in the 185 economies as measured by *Doing Business* is 17.2 weeks of salary.

For more information on the methodology for the employing workers indicators, see the section on employing workers in the data notes.

Employment laws are needed to protect workers from arbitrary or unfair treatment and to ensure efficient contracting between employers and workers. Doing Business, through its employing workers indicators, measures flexibility in the regulation of hiring, working hours and redundancy. These measures are consistent with the conventions of the International Labour Organization (ILO) but do not assess compliance with them. The indicators do not cover any of the ILO core labor standards, such as the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of forced labor, the abolition of child labor and equitable treatment in employment practices.

To make the data comparable across 185 economies, *Doing Business* uses a standardized case study that assumes, among other things, a company with 60 employees that operates in the manufacturing sector and an employee who is a nonexecutive, full-time worker.

HIGHLIGHTS FROM THE EMPLOYING WORKERS DATA

Doing Business covers 28 different areas related to employing workers. This year's report highlights 2 of them—apprentice wages and the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks, both likely to affect the employability of young workers. Future editions will analyze other areas.

Apprentice wages

Young workers are especially likely to experience the negative effects of rigid employment regulation. They typically lack training and substantial experience, and burdensome regulation and high redundancy costs discourage potential employers. Apprentice wages are one way to address these issues and create new opportunities for young workers. They allow businesses to hire young, first-time employees for a portion-typically between 70% and 80%—of the mandatory minimum wage for a short period of time, typically 1 year. Data show that the average minimum wage ratio for apprentices around the world is 0.33.1

FIGURE 19.1 Apprentice wages are rare in the Middle East and North Africa

Share of economies with apprentice wages (%)





FIGURE 19.2 Fixed-term contracts are more widely allowed among high-income economies

Share of economies allowing fixedterm contracts by income group (%)



Source: Doing Business database.

Use of this practice is most common in South Asia, where 63% of economies have some kind of apprentice wages, and among OECD high-income economies, where 48% do. It is much less common in other regions: only 8% of economies in Eastern Europe and Central Asia have apprentice wages, and only 5% in the Middle East and North Africa do (figure 19.1). Economies without apprentice wages are missing an important opportunity to help young workers access the labor market. Apprenticeships can pay a "double dividend": securing the transition to permanent employment for young workers and providing lower labor costs (compensated by a training commitment) for the employer.2

Fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks

Another measure that may encourage businesses to hire young workers is allowing the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks. Such economies as Denmark and the United States allow this practice, and some, such as Italy and Lithuania, have reformed their labor regulations in recent years to allow it.

These contracts are used to screen workers for permanent positions, with on-thejob training providing a test of abilities. Both parties benefit: young fixed-term

TABLE 19.1 Who made employing workers easier in 2011/12—and what did they do?		
Feature	Economies	Some highlights
Strengthened fixed- term contracts	Czech Republic; Portugal; Slovak Republic; Spain	The Czech Republic and Slovak Republic increased the maximum duration of a single fixed-term contract from 24 months to 36. The Czech Republic also allows 2 renewals of the contract, for a total of up to 108 months. Portugal increased the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts from 36 months to 54. Spain temporarily allowed unlimited cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts.
Reduced redundancy costs	Czech Republic; Montenegro; Portugal; Slovak Republic	The Czech Republic made severance pay in cases of redundancy dismissal dependent on the employee's years of service. Montenegro reduced severance payments applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal, and the Slovak Republic eliminated them. ^a Portugal reduced the severance pay applicable to contracts signed after November 1, 2012, to 20 days.
Strengthened conditions on applicable hiring rules	Bhutan; Kosovo	Bhutan and Kosovo implemented a minimum wage in the private sector for the first time.
Streamlined process for redundancy dismissals	Latvia; Slovak Republic	Latvia and the Slovak Republic changed their restrictions on redundancy dismissals. An employer making 1 or more workers redundant no longer needs to notify the authorities beforehand.

a. Montenegro also reduced the maximum duration of single and multiple fixed-term contracts from an unlimited period to 24 months, increased paid annual leave from 19 working days to 21 and increased the notice period applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal.

Source: Doing Business database.

workers are given the opportunity to acquire professional skills, and employers can optimize their labor costs while evaluating the workers' performance. And evidence suggests that fixed-term contracts maximize the chances of a temporary worker being promoted to a permanent position. Once a vacancy for a permanent position arises, employers would prefer to fill the vacancy with a worker who already has the skills required and who has performed adequately in previous months.³

Where restrictive regulations prohibiting these contracts are left in place, young workers therefore find it more difficult to access and transition to permanent employment. This is the case in more than half of low-income economies: 52% of such economies do not allow fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks. Use of such contracts increases with income level. Indeed, 38 of 50 high-income economies (76%) allow employers to use fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks (figure 19.2).

WHO REFORMED IN EMPLOYING WORKERS IN 2011/12?


In 2011/12, 14 economies changed their labor regulations in ways that affect the *Doing Business* indicators on employing workers. Eight economies changed their laws to increase labor market flexibility; 6 economies did the opposite. Of those 8 economies, 3 are in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Most of the legal changes increasing labor market flexibility focused on redundancy costs or procedures (table 19.1).

WHAT HAVE WE LEARNED FROM 8 YEARS OF DATA?

In the past 8 years 72 economies around the world implemented 106 reforms affecting the employing workers indicators. OECD high-income economies had the most changes, with 37, followed by Eastern Europe and Central Asia with 26 and Sub-Saharan Africa with 16 (figure 19.3). The data also show differences in focus. Governments in Sub-Saharan Africa, for example, focused on reforming the regimes applicable to fixed-term contracts, generally allowing longer,

FIGURE 19.3 OECD high-income economies had the most legal and regulatory reforms in the past 8 years

Number of Doing Business reforms in employing workers by Doing Business report year



Note: An economy can be considered to have only 1 Doing Business reform per topic and year. The data sample for DB2006 (2005) includes 174 economies. The sample for DB2013 (2012) also includes The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Kosovo, Liberia, Luxembourg, Malta, Montenegro and Qatar, for a total of 185 economies.

Source: Doing Business database.

sometimes indefinite, duration. One such change was Rwanda's in 2010.

By contrast, governments in OECD high-income economies focused on reducing redundancy costs, addressing one of the main factors deterring employers from creating jobs in the formal sector.⁴ Indeed, 15 labor regulation reforms introduced by OECD high-income economies in the past 8 years (including Portugal's reform of the past year) either shortened the required notice period for employees or reduced the severance pay applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal. Today the average severance payment in OECD high-income economies is 5.83 weeks of salary.⁵

Severance payments matter when it comes to labor regulation, since they are the prevalent form of insurance against unemployment, particularly in low-income economies that have not implemented unemployment protection schemes. They protect workers from abuses and provide a safety net in case of sudden job loss. But some economies adopt a very restrictive approach: the average severance payment in Sub-Saharan Africa is 15.81 weeks of salary,⁶ almost 3 times the average in OECD high-income economies.

Economies in Eastern Europe and Central Asia focused on easing restrictions relating to redundancy dismissals. For example, in 2009 Estonia eliminated an employer's obligation to obtain prior approval from labor authorities when carrying out redundancy dismissals. And the Kyrgyz Republic, also in 2009, eliminated the priority rules mandating the dismissal of more junior workers first in cases of redundancy.

NOTES

This annex was written by Fernando Dancausa Diaz, Raian Divanbeigi and Galina Rudenko.

- 1. Ratio of the apprentice minimum wage to the value added per worker.
- 2. Scarpetta, Sonnet and Manfredi 2010.
- 3. Varejão and Portugal 2007.
- 4. Bosch and Esteban-Pretel 2009.
- 5. Average for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure. Collective bargaining agreements in OECD high-income economies may establish severance payments that are more generous on average. See the data notes for more information on cases in which *Doing Business* considers collective bargaining agreements.
- 6. Average for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure.

200720128 2004 112006 2013

References

- Aghion, Philippe, Robin Burgess, Stephen Redding and Fabrizio Zilibotti. 2008. "The Unequal Effects of Liberalization: Evidence from Dismantling the License Raj in India." *American Economic Review* 98 (4): 1397–412.
- Ahlquist, John S., and Aseem Prakash. 2010. "FDI and the Costs of Contract Enforcement in Developing Countries." Policy Sciences 43 (2): 181–200.
- Akerlof, George A. 1970. "The Market for Lemons: Quality Uncertainty and the Market Mechanism." Quarterly Journal of Economics 84 (3): 488-500.
- Alesina, Alberto, Silvia Ardagna, Giuseppe Nicoletti and Fabio Schiantarelli. 2005. "Regulation and Investment." Journal of the European Economic Association 3 (4): 791–825.
- Alvarez de la Campa, Alejandro, Santiago Croci Downes and Betina Tirelli Hennig. 2012. *Making Security Interests Public: Registration Mechanisms in 35 Jurisdictions*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- Amin, Mohammad. 2011. "Labor Productivity, Firm-Size and Gender: The Case of Informal Firms in Argentina and Peru." Enterprise Note 22, Enterprise Analysis Unit, World Bank Group, Washington, DC. http://www .enterprisesurveys.org/.
- Antunes, Antonio, and Tiago Cavalcanti. 2007. "Start Up Costs, Limited Enforcement, and the Hidden Economy." European Economic Review 51 (1): 203-24.
- APEC (Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation). 2005. A Mid-Term Stocktake of Progress towards the Bogor Goals: Busan Roadmap to Bogor Goals. http://publications.apec .org/.
- ____. 2010a. APEC at Glance, 2010. APEC#210-SE-05.2. http://publications .apec.org/.
- ____. 2010b. The Kyoto Report on Growth Strategy and Finance: 2010 APEC Finance Ministerial Meeting. http://apec.org.
- ____. 2011a. APEC New Strategy for Structural Reform: Economy Priorities and Progress

- Assessment Measures. http://publications.apec.org/.
- ____. 2011b. APEC's Ease of Doing Business: Interim Assessment. http://publications .apec.org/.
- ____. 2012. "EoDB Starting a Business in Thailand." http://aimp.apec.org/.
- Ardagna, Silvia, and Annamaria Lusardi. 2010. "Explaining International Differences in Entrepreneurship: The Role of Individual Characteristics and Regulatory Constraints." In *International* Differences in Entrepreneurship, ed. Josh Lerner and Antoinette Schoar, 17–62. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Arvis, Jean-François, Jean-François Marteau and Gaël Raballand. 2010. The Cost of Being Landlocked: Logistics Costs and Supply Chain Reliability. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Åslund, Anders. 2009. "How Latvia Can Escape from the Financial Crisis." Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Bank of Latvia, Riga. Available at http://www.iie.com/.
- Banerjee, Abhijit, and Esther Duflo. 2005. "Growth Theory through the Lens of Development Economics." In *Handbook* of *Development Economics*, ed. Philippe Aghion and Steven Durlauf, vol. 1A: 473–552. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Barseghyan, Levon. 2008. "Entry Costs and Cross-Country Differences in Productivity and Output." *Journal of Economic Growth* 13 (2): 145–67.
- Benmelech, Efraim, and Nittai K. Bergman. 2011. "Bankruptcy and the Collateral Channel." *Journal of Finance* 66 (2): 337–78.
- Besley, Timothy, and Robin Burgess. 2002. "The Political Economy of Government Responsiveness: Theory and Evidence from India." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (4): 1415–51.
- Blonigen, Bruce, and Jeremy Piger. 2011. "Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment." NBER Working Paper 16704, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.

- Bosch, Mariano, and Julen Esteban-Pretel. 2009. "Cyclical Informality and Unemployment." CIRJE Discussion Paper 613, Center for International Research on the Japanese Economy, Tokyo.
- Botero, Juan Carlos, Simeon Djankov, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2004. "The Regulation of Labor." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (4): 1339–82.
- Botero, Juan Carlos, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer and Alexander Volokh. 2003. "Judicial Reform." World Bank Research Observer 18 (1): 67–88.
- Branstetter, Lee G., Francisco Lima, Lowell J. Taylor and Ana Venâncio. 2010. "Do Entry Regulations Deter Entrepreneurship and Job Creation? Evidence from Recent Reforms in Portugal." NBER Working Paper 16473, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Bruhn, Miriam. 2011. "License to Sell: The Effect of Business Registration Reform on Entrepreneurial Activity in Mexico." Review of Economics and Statistics 93 (1): 382–86.
- Busse, Matthias, and José Luis Groizard. 2008. "Foreign Direct Investment, Regulations, and Growth." World Economy 31 (7): 861-86.
- Calderon, César, Alberto Chong and Gianmarco Leon. 2007. "Institutional Enforcement, Labor-Market Rigidities, and Economic Performance." *Emerging Markets Review* 8 (1): 38–49.
- Calderon, César, and Luis Servén. 2003.

 "The Output Cost of Latin America's
 Infrastructure Gap." In The Limits
 of Stabilization: Infrastructure, Public
 Deficits, and Growth in Latin America,
 ed. William R. Easterly and Luis Servén.
 Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Cardenas, Mauricio, and Sandra Rozo. 2009. "Firm Informality in Colombia: Problems and Solutions." *Desarrollo y Sociedad*, no. 63: 211-43.
- Chang, Roberto, Linda Kaltani and Norman Loayza. 2009. "Openness Can Be Good for Growth: The Role of Policy Complementarities." *Journal of Development Economics* 90: 33–49.
- Chari, Anusha. 2011. "Identifying the Aggregate Productivity Effects of Entry and Size Restrictions: An Empirical Analysis of License Reform in India."

- American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 3: 66-96.
- Ciccone, Antonio, and Elias Papaioannou. 2007. "Red Tape and Delayed Entry." Journal of the European Economic Association 5 (2-3): 444-58.
- Cirmizi, Elena, Leora Klapper and Mahesh Uttamchandani. 2012. "The Challenges of Bankruptcy Reform." World Bank Research Observer 27 (2): 185–203.
- Coolidge, Jacqueline, Lars Grava and Sanda Putnina. 2003. "Case Study: Inspectorate Reform in Latvia 1999–2003." Background paper prepared for World Bank, World Development Report 2005. Available at https://openknowledge .worldbank.org/.
- Cuñat, Alejandro, and Marc J. Melitz. 2007. "Volatility, Labor Market Flexibility, and the Pattern of Comparative Advantage." NBER Working Paper 13062, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- de Araujo, Aloisio Pessoa, Rafael De Vasconcelos Xavier Ferreira and Bruno Funchal. 2011. "The Brazilian Bankruptcy Law Experiment." Working paper. Available at http://ssrn.com/ abstract=1853984.
- Deininger, Klaus, and Paul Mpuga. 2005. "Does Greater Accountability Improve the Quality of Public Service Delivery? Evidence from Uganda." World Development 33 (1): 171-91.
- Desai, Mihir, C. Fritz Foley and James Hines Jr. 2003. "Foreign Direct Investment in a World of Multiple Taxes." *Journal of Public Economics* 88: 2727-44.
- de Soto, Hernando. 2000. The Mystery of Capital: Why Capitalism Triumphs in the West and Fails Everywhere Else. New York: Basic Books.
- Dewaelheyns, Nico, and Cynthia Van Hulle. 2008. "Legal Reform and Aggregate Small and Micro Business Bankruptcy Rates: Evidence from the 1997 Belgian Bankruptcy Code." *Small Business Economics* 31 (4): 409-24.
- Divanbeigi, Raian, and Rita Ramalho. 2012. "Smart Regulations and Growth." Draft paper, Doing Business Unit, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Djankov, Simeon. 2009. "The Regulation of Entry: A Survey." World Bank Research Observer 24 (2): 183–203.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caroline Freund and Cong S. Pham. 2010. "Trading on Time." Review of Economics and Statistics 92 (1): 166-73.
- Djankov, Simeon, Tim Ganser, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer. 2010. "The Effect of

- Corporate Taxes on Investment and Entrepreneurship." American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics 2 (3): 31-64.
- Djankov, Simeon, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "Debt Enforcement around the World." *Journal of Political Economy* 116 (6): 1105–49.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. "The Regulation of Entry." Quarterly Journal of Economics 117 (1): 1-37.
- ____. 2003. "Courts." Quarterly Journal of Economics 118 (2): 453–517.
- . 2008. "The Law and Economics of Self-Dealing." Journal of Financial Economics 88 (3): 430-65.
- Djankov, Simeon, Darshini Manraj, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho. 2005. "Doing Business Indicators: Why Aggregate, and How to Do It." World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho. 2006. "Regulation and Growth." *Economics Letters* 92 (3): 395-401.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2007. "Private Credit in 129 Countries." *Journal of Financial Economics* 84 (2): 299–329.
- Dollar, David, Mary Hallward-Driemeier and Taye Mengistae. 2006. "Investment Climate and International Integration." World Development 34 (9): 1498–516.
- Dulleck, Uwe, Paul Frijters and R. Winter-Ebmer. 2006. "Reducing Start-up Costs for New Firms: The Double Dividend on the Labor Market." Scandinavian Journal of Economics 108: 317–37.
- EBRD (European Bank for Reconstruction and Development). 2011. "Strategy for Latvia." http://www.ebrd.com/.
- Eifert, Benjamin. 2007. "Infrastructure and Market Structure in Least-Developed Countries." Department of Economics, University of California, Berkeley.
- ____. 2009. "Do Regulatory Reforms
 Stimulate Investment and Growth?
 Evidence from the Doing Business Data,
 2003-07." Working Paper 159, Center for
 Global Development, Washington, DC.
- Ferraz, Claudio, and Frederico Finan. 2011. "Electoral Accountability and Corruption: Evidence from the Audits of Local Governments." *American Economic Review* 101: 1274–311.
- Fisman, Raymond, and Virginia Sarria-Allende. 2010. "Regulation of Entry and the Distortion of Industrial Organization."

- Journal of Applied Economics 13 (1): 91–120.
- Franks, Julian, Colin Mayer, Paolo Volpin and Hannes F. Wagner. 2011. "The Life Cycle of Family Ownership: International Evidence." Review of Financial Studies 25 (8): 1–38.
- Freedom House. 2012. Freedom in the World 2012. Washington, DC: Freedom House.
- Freund, Caroline, and Bineswaree Bolaky. 2008. "Trade, Regulations and Income." Journal of Development Economics 87: 309-21
- Freund, Caroline, and Nadia Rocha. 2011. "What Constrains Africa's Exports?" World Bank Economic Review 25 (3): 361–86.
- Funchal, Bruno. 2008. "The Effects of the 2005 Bankruptcy Reform in Brazil." Economics Letters 101: 84–86.
- Geginat, Carolin, Adrian Gonzalez and Valentina Saltane. 2012. "Cutting Out the Middle Man: Transparent Government and Business Regulation." Draft paper, Doing Business Unit, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Geginat, Carolin, and Rita Ramalho. 2010.
 "Connecting Businesses to the Electrical
 Grid in 140 Economies." Paper presented at the International Conference
 on Infrastructure Economics and
 Development, Toulouse, January 14-15.
- Giné, Xavier, and Inessa Love. 2010. "Do Reorganization Costs Matter for Efficiency? Evidence from a Bankruptcy Reform in Colombia." Journal of Law and Economics 53 (4): 833-64.
- Haidar, Jamal. 2012. "The Impact of Business Regulatory Reforms on Economic Growth." Journal of the Japanese and International Economies. Published online May 25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jjie.2012.05.004.
- Hallward-Driemeier, Mary, Gita Khun-Jush and Lant Pritchett. 2010. "Deals versus Rules: Policy Implementation Uncertainty and Why Firms Hate It." NBER Working Paper 16001, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Hamdani, Assaf, and Yishay Yafeh. 2012. "Institutional Investors as Minority Shareholders." *Review of Finance*. Published online February 7. doi:10.1093/ rof/rfr039.
- Haselmann, Rainer, Katharina Pistor and Vikrant Vig. 2010. "How Law Affects Lending." *Review of Financial Studies* 23 (2): 549–80.
- Helpman, Elhanan, Marc Melitz and Yona Rubinstein. 2008. "Estimating Trade Flows: Trading Partners and Trading

- Volumes." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 123 (2): 441–87.
- Hertveldt, Sabine. 2008. "Rwanda: Pragmatism Leads the Way in Setting Up Specialized Commercial Courts." World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Hirschman, Albert O. 1970. Exit, Voice, and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Hirshleifer, Jack. 1980. "Privacy: Its Origin, Function and Future." Journal of Legal Studies 9 (4): 649-64.
- Hoekman, Bernard, and Alessandro Nicita. 2011. "Trade Policy, Trade Cost and Developing Country Trade." World Development 39 (12): 2069–79.
- Hornberger, Kusi, Joseph Battat and Peter Kusek. 2011. "Attracting FDI: How Much Does Investment Climate Matter?" Viewpoint Note 327, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Houston, Joel, Chen Lin, Ping Lin and Yue Ma. 2010. "Creditor Rights, Information Sharing, and Bank Risk Taking." *Journal of Financial Economics* 96 (3): 485–512.
- limi, Atsushi. 2011. "Effects of Improving Infrastructure Quality on Business Costs: Evidence from Firm-Level Data in Eastern Europe and Central Asia." *Developing Economies* 49 (2): 121–47.
- Islam, Roumeen. 2006. "Does More Transparency Go Along with Better Governance?" *Economics and Politics* 18 (2): 121–67.
- Iwanow, Thomasz, and Colin Kirkpatrick. 2009. "Trade Facilitation and Manufacturing Exports: Is Africa Different?" World Development 37 (6): 1039-50.
- Jayasuriya, Dinuk. 2011. "Improvements in the World Bank's Ease of Doing Business Rankings: Do They Translate into Greater Foreign Direct Investment Inflows?" Policy Research Working Paper 5787, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Jensen, Nate, Quan Li and Aminur Rahman. 2010. "Understanding Corruption and Firm Responses in Cross-National Firm Level Surveys." Journal of International Business Studies 41: 1481–504.
- Kaplan, David, Eduardo Piedra and Enrique Seira. 2007. "Entry Regulation and Business Start-Ups: Evidence from Mexico." Policy Research Working Paper 4322, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Karim, Tushabe. 2011. "Doing Business Reforms: Rwandan Experience." Presentation at U.S. Agency for International Development, Speed Program. http://www.speed-program.com/.

- Kaufmann, Daniel. 2003. "Governance Redux: The Empirical Challenge." In World Economic Forum, *The Global Competitiveness Report 2003–2004*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kaufmann, Daniel, Aart Kraay and Massimo Mastruzzi. 2010. "The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues." Policy Research Working Paper 5430, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Klapper, Leora, Luc Laeven and Raghuram Rajan. 2006. "Entry Regulation as a Barrier to Entrepreneurship." *Journal of Financial Economics* 82 (3): 591–629.
- Klapper, Leora, Anat Lewin and Juan Manuel Quesada Delgado. 2009. "The Impact of the Business Environment on the Business Creation Process." Policy Research Working Paper 4937, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Klapper, Leora, and Inessa Love. 2011a.

 "The Impact of Business Environment Reforms on New Firm Registration."

 Policy Research Working Paper 5493, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- ____. 2011b. "The Impact of the Financial Crisis on New Firm Registration." Economics Letters 113 (1): 1-4.
- Kraay, Aart, and Norikazu Tawara. 2011.

 "Can Disaggregated Indicators Identify
 Governance Reform Priorities?" Policy
 Research Working Paper 5254, World
 Bank, Washington, DC.
- Lagarde, Christine. 2012. "Latvia and the Baltics—a Story of Recovery." Speech as prepared for delivery in Riga, Latvia. http://www.imf.org/.
- La Porta, Rafael, and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "The Unofficial Economy and Economic Development." Tuck School of Business Working Paper 2009-57. Available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=1304760.
- Latvia, Ministry of Economics. 2011.

 "National Reform Programme of Latvia for the Implementation of the 'Europe 2020' Strategy." Available at http://ec.europa.eu/europe2020/.
- Liepina, Sanda, Jacqueline Coolidge and Lars Grava. 2008. "Improving the Business Environment in Latvia: The Impact of FIAS Assistance." Foreign Investment Advisory Service Occasional Paper 18, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Loayza, Norman, Ana Maria Oviedo and Luis Servén. 2005. "Regulation and Macroeconomic Performance." Policy Research Working Paper 3469, World Bank, Washington, DC.

- Masatlioglu, Yusufcan, and Jamele Rigolini. 2008. "Informality Traps." B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy 8 (1).
- Mclean, R. D., T. Zhang and M. Zhao. 2012. "Why Does the Law Matter? Investor Protection and Its Effects on Investment, Finance, and Growth." *Journal of Finance* 67: 313–50.
- Micco, Alejandro, and Carmen Pagés. 2006. "The Economic Effects of Employment Protection: Evidence from International Industry-Level Data." IZA Discussion Paper 2433, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn.
- Motta, Marialisa, Ana Maria Oviedo and Massimiliano Santini. 2010. "An Open Door for Firms: The Impact of Business Entry Reforms." Viewpoint Note 323, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Moullier, Thomas. 2009. "Reforming Building Permits: Why Is It Important and What Can IFC Really Do?" International Finance Corporation, Washington, DC.
- Narayan, Deepa, Robert Chambers, Meer Kaul Shah and Patti Petesh. 2000. Voices of the Poor: Crying Out for Change. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Nunn, Nathan. 2007. "Relationship-Specificity, Incomplete Contracts, and the Pattern of Trade." Quarterly Journal of Economics 122 (2): 569–600.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development). 2010. "Construction Industry." OECD Journal of Competition Law and Policy 10 (1).
- Olken, Benjamin A. 2007. "Monitoring Corruption: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Indonesia." *Journal of Political Economy* 115 (2): 200–49.
- Paul, Samuel. 1992. "Accountability in Public Services: Exit, Voice and Control." World Development 20 (7): 1047–60.
- Perotti, Enrico, and Paolo Volpin. 2005. "The Political Economy of Entry: Lobbying and Financial Development." Paper presented at the American Finance Association 2005 Philadelphia Meetings.
- Portugal-Perez, Alberto, and John Wilson. 2011. "Export Performance and Trade Facilitation Reform: Hard and Soft Infrastructure." World Development 40 (7): 1295–307.
- Ramello, Giovanni, and Stephen Voigt. 2012. "The Economics of Efficiency and the Judicial System." *International Review of Law and Economics* 32: 1–2.
- Rauch, James. 2010. "Development through Synergistic Reforms." *Journal of Development Economics* 93 (2): 153–61.
- Reinikka, Ritva, and Jakob Svensson. 1999. "Confronting Competition: Investment

- Response and Constraints in Uganda." Policy Research Working Paper 2242, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Republic of Korea, Ministry of Justice. 2011. "Ease of Doing Business Enforcing Contracts of Indonesia and Peru." Available at http://aimp.apec.org.
- Rocha, Roberto, Subika Farazi, Rania Khouri and Douglas Pearce. 2010. "The Status of Bank Lending to SMEs in the Middle East and North Africa Region: The Results of a Joint Survey of the Union of Arab Banks and the World Bank." World Bank, Washington, DC; and Union of Arab Banks, Beirut.
- Rodano, Giacomo, Nicolas Andre Benigno Serrano-Velarde and Emanuele Tarantino. 2011. "The Causal Effect of Bankruptcy Law on the Cost of Finance." Available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=1967485.
- Rwanda, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning. 2000. Rwanda Vision 2020. Available at http://www.gesci.org/.
- ____. 2007. Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy, 2008–2012. Available at http://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/.
- Safavian, Mehnaz, and Siddharth Sharma. 2007. "When Do Creditor Rights Work?" Journal of Comparative Economics 35 (3): 484-508.
- Scarpetta, Stefano, Anne Sonnet and Thomas Manfredi. 2010. "Rising Youth Unemployment during the Crisis: How to Prevent Negative Long-Term Consequences on a Generation?" OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Paper 106, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris.
- Schneider, Friedrich. 2005. "The Informal Sector in 145 Countries." Department of Economics, University Linz, Austria.
- Schneider, Friedrich, Andreas Buehn and Claudio E. Montenegro. 2010. "New Estimates for the Shadow Economies All Over the World." *International Economic Journal* 24 (4): 443–61.
- Seker, Murat. 2011. "Trade Policies, Investment Climate, and Exports." Policy Research Working Paper 5654, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Sharma, Siddharth. 2009. "Entry Regulation, Labor Laws and Informality: Evidence from India." Enterprise Survey Working Paper, Enterprise Analysis Unit, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.

- Sohn, Ira. 2008. "Back from the Brink: Economic and Financial Reform in Colombia." International Trade and Finance Association Working Paper, International Trade and Finance Association, Kingsville, TX.
- Stampini, Marco, Ron Leung, Setou M.
 Diarra and Lauréline Pla. 2011. "How
 Large Is the Private Sector in Africa?
 Evidence from National Accounts and
 Labor Markets." IZA Discussion Paper
 6267, Institute for the Study of Labor
 (IZA), Bonn.
- Stigler, George J. 1961. "The Economics of Information." *Journal of Political Economy* 69 (3): 213-25.
- ____. 1971. "The Theory of Economic Regulation." *Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science* 2: 3–21.
- Stiglitz, Joseph E. 2003. "Transparency of Government (Part 1): Breakthrough for Reforming the Shape of a Nation." *Economics Review* (Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry). http://www.rieti.go.jp/en/.
- Stiglitz, Joseph E., and Andrew Weiss. 1981. "Credit Rationing in Markets with Imperfect Information." *American Economic Review* 71 (3): 393–410.
- UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law). 2004. Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law. New York: United Nations.
- ___. 2007. Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions. New York: United Nations.
- USAID (U.S. Agency for International Development) and New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade. 2010. "Making It Easier to Start a Business in Indonesia: Diagnostic Study." Available at http://aimp.apec.org.
- Varejão, José, and Pedro Portugal. 2007. "Employment Dynamics and the Structure of Labor Adjustment Costs." Journal of Labor Economics 25: 137–65.
- Visaria, Sujata. 2009. "Legal Reform and Loan Repayment: The Microeconomic Impact of Debt Recovery Tribunals in India." American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 1 (3): 59-81.
- von Lilienfeld-Toal, Ulf, Dilip Mookherjee and Sujata Visaria. 2012. "The Distributive Impact of Reforms in Credit Enforcement: Evidence from Indian Debt Recovery Tribunals." Econometrica 80 (2): 497-558.
- Waglé, Swarnim. 2011. "Investing across Borders with Heterogeneous Firms: Do FDI-Specific Regulations Matter?" Policy Research Working Paper 5914, World Bank, Washington, DC.

- Walsh, James, and Jiangyan Yu. 2010.
 "Determinants of Foreign Direct
 Investment: A Sectoral and Institutional
 Approach." IMF Working Paper
 WP/10/87, International Monetary Fund,
 Washington, DC.
- WEF (World Economic Forum). 2012. The Global Competitiveness Report 2012–2013. Geneva: WEF.
- Wei, Shang-Jin. 2000. "How Taxing Is Corruption on International Investors?" Review of Economics and Statistics 82 (1): 1–11
- Williams, Andrew. 2009. "On the Release of Information by Governments: Causes and Consequences." *Journal of Development Economics* 89 (1): 124–38.
- World Bank. 2001. "Latvia: Programmatic Structural Adjustment Loan Project." Report 22457, Europe and Central Asia Region, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- ____. 2003. Doing Business in 2004:

 Understanding Regulation.

 Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

- ____. 2004. World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- ____. 2005. World Development Report 2005: A Better Investment Climate for Everyone. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- ____. 2006. Doing Business in 2007: How to Reform. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- ____. 2007. Doing Business in 2008.

 Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- ____. 2009a. From Privilege to Competition:

 Unlocking Private-Led Growth in the Middle

 East and North Africa. Washington, DC:

 World Bank.
- ___. 2009b. How Many Stops in a One-Stop Shop? Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- _____. 2010a. Doing Business 2011: Making a Difference for Entrepreneurs. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- ____. 2010b. *Investing Across Borders 2010.* Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

- ____. 2011a. Doing Business 2012: Doing Business in a More Transparent World. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- ____. 2011b. "Principles for Effective Creditor Rights and Insolvency Systems." Revised draft, January 20. http://www.worldbank .org/ifa/rosc_icr.html.
- ____. 2012. World Development Indicators 2012. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank Facility for Investment Climate Advisory Services. 2011. *Managing* for Impact: FIAS Strategy for FY12–16. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- World Bank Independent Evaluation Group. 2008. Doing Business: An Independent Evaluation—Taking the Measure of the World Bank-IFC Doing Business Indicators. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Zoellick, Robert B., Ahmad M. Al-Madani, Donald Kaberuka, Haruhiko Kuroda, Thomas Mirow and Luis A. Moreno. 2012. "How to Make Trade Easier." Commentary, Project Syndicate. http://www.project-syndicate.org/.



Data notes

The indicators presented and analyzed in Doing Business measure business regulation and the protection of property rights—and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the complexity of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or to register and transfer commercial property. Second, they gauge the time and cost of achieving a regulatory goal or complying with regulation, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of investors against looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. Finally, a set of data covers different aspects of employment regulation. The 11 sets of indicators measured in Doing Business were added over time, and the sample of economies expanded (table 20.1).

The data for all sets of indicators in *Doing* Business 2013 are for June 2012.¹

METHODOLOGY

The Doing Business data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the Doing Business team, with academic advisers, designs a questionnaire. The questionnaire uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across economies and over time-with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations. Questionnaires are administered through more than 9,600 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 20.2). These experts have several rounds of interaction with the Doing Business team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. For Doing Business 2013 team

TABLE 20.1 Topics and economies covered by each <i>Doing Business</i> report										
Торіс	DB 2004	DB 2005	DB 2006	DB 2007	DB 2008	DB 2009	DB 2010	DB 2011	DB 2012	DB 2013
Starting a business										
Employing workers										
Enforcing contracts										
Resolving insolvency										
Getting credit										
Registering property										
Protecting investors										
Paying taxes										
Trading across borders										
Dealing with construction permits										
Getting electricity										
Number of economies	133	145	155	175	178	181	183	183	183	185

Note: Data for the economies added to the sample each year are back-calculated to the previous year. The exception is Kosovo, which was added to the sample after it became a member of the World Bank Group.

TABLE 20.2 How many experts does <i>Doing Business</i> consult?					
Indicator set	Contributors				
Starting a business	1,585				
Dealing with construction permits	852				
Getting electricity	830				
Registering property	1,069				
Getting credit	1,325				
Protecting investors	1,083				
Paying taxes	1,173				
Trading across borders	933				
Enforcing contracts	1,146				
Resolving insolvency	1,085				
Employing workers	1,052				

members visited 24 economies to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from questionnaires are subjected to numerous rounds of verification, leading to revisions or expansions of the information collected.

The Doing Business methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue; Doing Business is not a statistical survey, and the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive and easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. Finally, the data not only highlight the extent of specific regulatory obstacles to business but also identify their source and point to what might be reformed.

LIMITS TO WHAT IS MEASURED

The *Doing Business* methodology has 5 limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, the collected data refer to businesses in the economy's largest business city (which in some economies differs from the capital) and may not be representative of regulation in other parts of the economy.

To address this limitation, subnational Doing Business indicators were created (box 20.1). Second, the data often focus on a specific business form-generally a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent) of a specified size—and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses, for example, sole proprietorships. Third, transactions described in a standardized case scenario refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in Doing Business represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice,

BOX 20.1 SUBNATIONAL DOING BUSINESS INDICATORS

This year Doing Business completed subnational studies for Indonesia, Kenya, Mexico, Russia and the United Arab Emirates. Each of these countries had already asked to have subnational data in the past, and this year Doing Business updated the indicators, measured improvements over time and expanded geographic coverage to additional cities or added additional indicators. Doing Business also published regional studies for the Arab world, the East African Community and member states of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (OHADA).

The subnational studies point to differences in business regulation and its implementation—as well as in the pace of regulatory reform—across cities in the same economy. For several economies subnational studies are now periodically updated to measure change over time or to expand geographic coverage to additional cities. This year that is the case for all the subnational studies published.

completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in *Doing Business 2013* would differ from the recollection of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other perception surveys.

ECONOMY CHARACTERISTICS

Gross national income per capita

Doing Business 2013 reports 2011 income per capita as published in the World Bank's World Development Indicators 2012. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (current U.S. dollars). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2011 gross national income (GNI) in U.S. dollars is used as the denominator. GNI data were not available from the World Bank for Afghanistan, Australia, The Bahamas, Bahrain, Barbados, Brunei Darussalam, Cyprus, Djibouti, Guyana, the Islamic Republic of Iran, Kuwait, Malta, New Zealand, Oman, Puerto Rico (territory of the United States), Sudan, Suriname, the Syrian Arab Republic, Timor-Leste, West Bank and Gaza, and the Republic of Yemen. In these cases GDP or GNP per capita data and growth rates from the International Monetary Fund's World Economic Outlook database and the Economist Intelligence Unit were used.

Region and income group

Doing Business uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications. The World Bank does not assign regional classifications to high-income economies. For the purpose of the Doing Business report, high-income OECD economies are assigned the "regional" classification OECD high income. Figures and tables presenting regional averages include economies from all income groups (low, lower middle, upper middle and high income).

Population

Doing Business 2013 reports midyear 2011 population statistics as published in World Development Indicators 2012.

CHANGES IN WHAT IS MEASURED

The ranking methodology for paying taxes was updated this year. The threshold for the total tax rate introduced last year for the purpose of calculating the ranking on the ease of paying taxes was updated. All economies with a total tax rate below the threshold (which is calculated and adjusted on a yearly basis) receive the same ranking on the total tax rate indicator. The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an "optimal tax rate" that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in the tax system of an economy overall. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the indicators toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the Doing Business standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology). Giving the same ranking to all economies whose total tax rate is below the threshold avoids awarding economies in the scoring for having an unusually low total tax rate, often for reasons unrelated to government policies toward enterprises. For example, economies that are very small or that are rich in natural resources do not need to levy broad-based taxes.

DATA CHALLENGES AND REVISIONS

Most laws and regulations underlying the *Doing Business* data are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org. All the sample questionnaires and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through the website's "Ask a Question" function at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

Doing Business publishes 9,620 indicators each year. To create these indicators, the team measures more than 57,000 data points, each of which is made available on the Doing Business website. Historical data for each indicator and economy are available on the website, beginning with the first year the indicator or economy was included in the report. To provide a comparable time series for research, the data set is back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and any revisions in data due to corrections. The website also makes available all original data sets used for background papers. The correction rate between Doing Business 2012 and Doing Business 2013 is 8.6%.2

STARTING A BUSINESS

Doing Business records all procedures officially required, or commonly done in practice, for an entrepreneur to start up and formally operate an industrial or commercial business, as well as the time and cost to complete them and the paid-in minimum capital requirement (figure 20.1). These procedures include obtaining all necessary licenses and permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions for the company and employees with relevant authorities. The ranking on the ease of starting a business is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.2).

After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures is developed, along with the time and cost of complying with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital requirement. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers, notaries and government officials complete and verify the data.

Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that the entrepreneur will pay no bribes. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent). If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most popular among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most popular form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.

FIGURE 20.1 What are the time, cost, paid-in minimum capital and number of procedures to get a local limited liability company up and running?





FIGURE 20.2 Starting a business: getting a local limited liability company up and running

Rankings are based on 4 indicators



- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita, paid in cash.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the production or sale to the public of products or services. The business does not perform foreign trade activities and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. It is not using heavily polluting production processes.
- Leases the commercial plant and offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has at least 10 and up to 50 employees
 1 month after the commencement of operations, all of them nationals.
- Has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed 10 pages long.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founders with external parties (for example, government agencies, lawyers, auditors or notaries). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed in the same building but in different offices or at different counters are counted as separate procedures. If founders have to visit the same office several times for different sequential procedures, each is counted separately. The founders are assumed to complete all procedures themselves, without middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law. If the services of professionals are required, procedures conducted by such professionals on behalf of the company are counted separately. Each electronic procedure is counted separately. If 2 procedures can be completed through the same website but require separate filings, they are counted as 2 procedures.

Both pre- and postincorporation procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to formally operate a business are recorded (table 20.3).

Procedures required for official correspondence or transactions with public agencies are also included. For example, if a company seal or stamp is required on official documents, such as tax declarations, obtaining the seal or stamp is

TABLE 20.3 What do the starting a business indicators measure?

Procedures to legally start and operate a company (number)

Preregistration (for example, name verification or reservation, notarization)

Registration in the economy's largest business city

Postregistration (for example, social security registration, company seal)

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Procedure completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

No professional fees unless services required by law

Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)

Funds deposited in a bank or with a notary before registration (or within 3 months)

counted. Similarly, if a company must open a bank account before registering for sales tax or value added tax, this transaction is included as a procedure. Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill 4 criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, they are used by the majority of companies, and avoiding them causes substantial delays.

Only procedures required of all businesses are covered. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses conducting general commercial or industrial activities. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers indicate is necessary in practice to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no extra payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). A procedure is considered completed once the company has received the final document, such as the company registration certificate or tax number. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen if that option is more beneficial to the economy's ranking. It is assumed that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry requirements and their sequence from the beginning but has had no prior contact with any of the officials.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. It includes all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. The company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating costs. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official source. In the absence of a government officer's estimate, estimates of incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Paid-in minimum capital

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank or with a notary before registration and up to 3 months following incorporation and is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. The amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. Many economies require minimum capital but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Turkey in June 2012, for example, the minimum capital requirement was 5,000 Turkish liras, of which one-fourth needed to be paid before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Turkey is

therefore 1,250 Turkish liras, or 7.2% of income per capita.

The data details on starting a business can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.

DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a warehouse (figure 20.3). These procedures include submitting all relevant project-specific documents (for example, building plans and site maps) to the authorities; obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates; completing all required notifications; and receiving all necessary inspections. Doing Business also records procedures for obtaining connections for water, sewerage and a fixed landline.3 Procedures necessary to register the property so that it can be used as collateral or transferred to another entity are also counted. The survey divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures and calculates the time and cost of completing each procedure. The ranking on the ease of dealing with construction permits is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.4).

FIGURE 20.3 What are the time, cost and number of procedures to comply with formalities to build a warehouse?





FIGURE 20.4 Dealing with construction permits: building a warehouse

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



Information is collected from experts in construction licensing, including architects, construction lawyers, construction firms, utility service providers and public officials who deal with building regulations, including approvals and inspections. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business, the warehouse project and the utility connections are used.

Assumptions about the construction company

The business (BuildCo):

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Is fully licensed and insured to carry out construction projects, such as building warehouses.
- Has 60 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to obtain construction permits and approvals.
- Has at least 1 employee who is a licensed architect and registered with the local association of architects.
- Has paid all taxes and taken out all necessary insurance applicable to its general business activity (for example,

accidental insurance for construction workers and third-person liability).

• Owns the land on which the warehouse is built.

Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- Will be used for general storage activities, such as storage of books or stationery. The warehouse will not be used for any goods requiring special conditions, such as food, chemicals or pharmaceuticals.
- Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). Each floor is 3 meters (9 feet, 10 inches) high.
- Has road access and is located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city (that is, on the fringes of the city but still within its official limits).
- Is not located in a special economic or industrial zone. The zoning requirements for warehouses are met by building in an area where similar warehouses can be found.
- Is located on a land plot of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) that is 100% owned by BuildCo and is accurately registered in the cadastre and land registry.
- Is a new construction (there was no previous construction on the land).
- Has complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect.
- Will include all technical equipment required to make the warehouse fully operational.
- Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements).

Assumptions about the utility connections

The water and sewerage connection:

• Is 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) from the existing water source and sewer tap.

- Does not require water for fire protection reasons; a fire extinguishing system (dry system) will be used instead. If a wet fire protection system is required by law, it is assumed that the water demand specified below also covers the water needed for fire protection.
- Has an average water use of 662 liters (175 gallons) a day and an average wastewater flow of 568 liters (150 gallons) a day.
- Has a peak water use of 1,325 liters (350 gallons) a day and a peak wastewater flow of 1,136 liters (300 gallons) a day.
- Will have a constant level of water demand and wastewater flow throughout the year.

The telephone connection:

- Is 10 meters (32 feet, 10 inches) from the main telephone network.
- Is a fixed telephone landline.

Procedures

A procedure is any interaction of the company's employees or managers with external parties, including government agencies, notaries, the land registry, the cadastre, utility companies, public and private inspectors and technical experts apart from in-house architects and engineers. Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and inspections conducted by employees, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to water, sewerage and telephone services are included. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for building a warehouse are counted, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases (table 20.4).

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that local experts indicate is necessary to complete a procedure in practice. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day

TABLE 20.4 What do the dealing with construction permits indicators measure?

Procedures to legally build a warehouse (number)

Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates

Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections

Obtaining utility connections for water, sewerage and a land telephone line

Registering the warehouse after its completion (if required for use as collateral or for transfer of the warehouse)

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Procedure completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

(that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that BuildCo is aware of all building requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. Only official costs are recorded. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are recorded, including those associated with obtaining land use approvals and preconstruction design clearances; receiving inspections before, during and after construction; getting utility connections; and registering the warehouse property. Nonrecurring taxes required for the completion of the warehouse project are also recorded. The building code, information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several

local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used.

The data details on dealing with construction permits can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list.

GETTING ELECTRICITY

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business to obtain a permanent electricity connection and supply for a standardized warehouse. These procedures include applications and contracts with electricity utilities, all necessary inspections and clearances from the utility and other agencies and the external and final connection works. The survey divides the process of getting an electricity connection into distinct procedures and calculates the time and cost of completing each procedure (figure 20.5). The ranking on the ease of getting electricity is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.6).

Data are collected from the electricity distribution utility, then completed and verified by electricity regulatory agencies and independent professionals such as electrical engineers, electrical contractors and construction companies. The electricity distribution utility surveyed is the one serving the area (or areas) where warehouses are located. If there is a choice of distribution utilities, the one serving the largest number of customers is selected.


To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the warehouse and the electricity connection are used.

Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- · Is owned by a local entrepreneur.
- Is located in the economy's largest business city.
- Is located within the city's official limits and in an area where other warehouses are located (a nonresidential area).

FIGURE 20.5 Doing Business measures the connection process at the level of distribution utilities



- Is not located in a special economic or investment zone; that is, the electricity connection is not eligible for subsidization or faster service under a special investment promotion regime. If several options for location are available, the warehouse is located where electricity is most easily available.
- Has road access. The connection works involve the crossing of a road (for excavation, overhead lines and the like), but they are all carried out on public land; that is, there is no crossing onto another owner's private property.
- Is located in an area with no physical constraints. For example, the property is not near a railway.
- Is used for storage of refrigerated goods.
- Is a new construction (that is, there was no previous construction on the land where it is located). It is being connected to electricity for the first time.
- Has 2 stories, both above ground, with a total surface area of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). The plot of land on which it is built is 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).

Assumptions about the electricity connection


The electricity connection:

Is a permanent one.

- Is a 3-phase, 4-wire Y, 140-kilovoltampere (kVA) (subscribed capacity) connection.
- Is 150 meters long. The connection is to either the low-voltage or the medium-voltage distribution network and either overhead or underground, whichever is more common in the economy and in the area where the warehouse is located. The length of any connection in the customer's private domain is negligible.
- Involves the installation of only one electricity meter. The monthly electricity consumption will be 0.07 gigawatt-hour (GWh). The internal electrical wiring has already been completed.

FIGURE 20.6 Getting electricity: obtaining an electricity connection

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



Steps to file an application, prepare a design, complete works, obtain approvals, go through inspections, install a meter and sign a supply contract

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company's employees or its main electrician or electrical engineer (that is, the one who may have done the internal wiring) with external parties such as the electricity distribution utility, electricity supply utilities, government agencies, electrical contractors and electrical firms. Interactions between company employees and steps related to the internal electrical wiring, such as the design and execution of the internal electrical installation plans, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed with the same utility but with different departments are counted as separate procedures (table 20.5).

The company's employees are assumed to complete all procedures themselves unless the use of a third party is mandated (for example, if only an electrician registered with the utility is allowed to submit an application). If the company can, but is not required to, request the services of professionals (such as a private firm rather than the utility for the external works), these procedures are recorded if they are commonly done. For all procedures, only the most likely cases (for example, more

TABLE 20.5 What do the getting electricity indicators measure?

Procedures to obtain an electricity connection (number)

Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances and permits

Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections

Obtaining external installation works and possibly purchasing material for these works

Concluding any necessary supply contract and obtaining final supply

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Is at least 1 calendar day

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Does not include time spent gathering information

Reflects the time spent in practice, with little follow-up and no prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)

Official costs only, no bribes

Value added tax excluded

than 50% of the time the utility has the material) and those followed in practice for connecting a warehouse to electricity are counted.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that the electricity utility and experts indicate is necessary in practice, rather than required by law, to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up and no extra payments. It is also assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). It is assumed that the company does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the company spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the company is aware of all electricity connection requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. Costs are recorded exclusive of value added tax. All the fees and costs associated with completing the procedures to connect a warehouse to electricity are recorded, including those related to obtaining clearances from government agencies, applying for the connection, receiving inspections of both the site and the internal wiring, purchasing material, getting the actual connection works and paying a security deposit. Information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Security deposit

Utilities require security deposits as a guarantee against the possible failure of customers to pay their consumption bills. For this reason the security deposit for a new customer is most often calculated

as a function of the customer's estimated consumption.

Doing Business does not record the full amount of the security deposit. If the deposit is based on the customer's actual consumption, this basis is the one assumed in the case study. Rather than the full amount of the security deposit, Doing Business records the present value of the losses in interest earnings experienced by the customer because the utility holds the security deposit over a prolonged period, in most cases until the end of the contract (assumed to be after 5 years). In cases where the security deposit is used to cover the first monthly consumption bills, it is not recorded. To calculate the present value of the lost interest earnings, the end-2011 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics are used. In cases where the security deposit is returned with interest, the difference between the lending rate and the interest paid by the utility is used to calculate the present value.

In some economies the security deposit can be put up in the form of a bond: the company can obtain from a bank or an insurance company a guarantee issued on the assets it holds with that financial institution. In contrast to the scenario in which the customer pays the deposit in cash to the utility, in this scenario the company does not lose ownership control over the full amount and can continue using it. In return the company will pay the bank a commission for obtaining the bond. The commission charged may vary depending on the credit standing of the company. The best possible credit standing and thus the lowest possible commission are assumed. Where a bond can be put up, the value recorded for the deposit is the annual commission times the 5 years assumed to be the length of the contract. If both options exist, the cheaper alternative is recorded.

In Honduras in June 2012 a customer requesting a 140-kVA electricity connection would have had to put up a security

deposit of 126,894 Honduran lempiras (L) in cash or check, and the deposit would have been returned only at the end of the contract. The customer could instead have invested this money at the prevailing lending rate of 18.56%. Over the 5 years of the contract this would imply a present value of lost interest earnings of L 72,719. In contrast, if the customer chose to settle the deposit with a bank guarantee at an annual rate of 2.5%, the amount lost over the 5 years would be just L 15,862.

The data details on getting electricity can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

REGISTERING PROPERTY

Doing Business records the full sequence of procedures necessary for a business (buyer) to purchase a property from another business (seller) and to transfer the property title to the buyer's name so that the buyer can use the property for expanding its business, use the property as collateral in taking new loans or, if necessary, sell the property to another business. The process starts with obtaining the necessary documents, such as a copy of the seller's title if necessary, and conducting due diligence if required. The transaction is considered complete when it is opposable to third parties and when the buyer can use the property, use it as collateral for a bank loan or resell it (figure 20.7). The ranking on the ease of registering property is the simple average of the

percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.8).

Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or must be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers, notaries and property registries provide information on procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the parties

The parties (buyer and seller):

- Are limited liability companies.
- Are located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city.
- Are 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

Assumptions about the property

The property:

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita. The sale price equals the value.
- Is fully owned by the seller.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.

FIGURE 20.7 What are the time, cost and number of procedures required to transfer property between 2 local companies?




FIGURE 20.8 Registering property: transfer of property between 2 local companies

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



Steps to check encumbrances, obtain clearance certificates, prepare deed and transfer title so that the property can be occupied, sold or used as collateral

- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 557.4 square meters (6,000 square feet). A 2-story warehouse of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements. The property of land and building will be transferred in its entirety.
- Will not be subject to renovations or additional building following the purchase.
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.
- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants (legal or illegal), and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the buyer or the seller, their agents (if

TABLE 20.6 What do the registering property indicators measure?

Procedures to legally transfer title on immovable property (number)

Preregistration procedures (for example, checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement, paying property transfer taxes)

Registration procedures in the economy's largest business city

Postregistration procedures (for example, filing title with municipality)

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day

Procedure completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of of property value)

Official costs only, no bribes

No value added or capital gains taxes included

an agent is legally or in practice required) or the property with external parties, including government agencies, inspectors, notaries and lawvers. Interactions between company officers and employees are not considered. All procedures that are legally or in practice required for registering property are recorded, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases (table 20.6). It is assumed that the buyer follows the fastest legal option available and used by the majority of property owners. Although the buyer may use lawyers or other professionals where necessary in the registration process, it is assumed that the buyer does not employ an outside facilitator in the registration process unless legally or in practice required to do so.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that property lawyers, notaries or registry officials indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for

an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all requirements and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. Both costs borne by the buyer and those borne by the seller are included. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.


The data details on registering property can be found for each economy at http://www .doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list.

GETTING CREDIT

Doing Business measures the legal rights of borrowers and lenders with respect to secured transactions through one set of indicators and the sharing of credit information through another. The first set of indicators measures whether certain

FIGURE 20.10 Getting credit: collateral rules and credit information

Rankings are based on 2 indicators




Note: Private bureau coverage and public registry coverage are measured but do not count for the rankings.

features that facilitate lending exist within the applicable collateral and bankruptcy laws. The second set measures the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through public credit registries and private credit bureaus (figure 20.9). The ranking on the ease of getting credit is based on the percentile rankings on the sum of its component indicators: the depth of credit information index and the strength of legal rights index (figure 20.10).

LEGAL RIGHTS

The data on the legal rights of borrowers and lenders are gathered through a survey of financial lawyers and verified through analysis of laws and regulations as well as public sources of information on collateral and bankruptcy laws. Survey responses are verified through several rounds of

FIGURE 20.9 Do lenders have credit information on entrepreneurs seeking credit? Is the law favorable to borrowers and lenders using movable assets as collateral?



follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The survey data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

Strength of legal rights index

The strength of legal rights index measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders and thus facilitate lending (table 20.7). Two case scenarios, case A and case B, are used to determine the scope of the secured transactions system. The case scenarios involve a secured borrower, the company ABC, and a secured lender, BizBank. In some economies the legal framework for secured transactions will allow only case A or case B to apply (not both). Both cases examine the same set of legal provisions relating to the use of movable collateral.

Several assumptions about the secured borrower and lender are used:

- ABC is a domestically incorporated, limited liability company.
- The company has up to 100 employees.
- ABC has its headquarters and only base of operations in the economy's largest business city.
- Both ABC and BizBank are 100% domestically owned.

TABLE 20.7 What do the getting credit indicators measure?

Strength of legal rights index (0-10)

Protection of rights of borrowers and lenders through collateral laws

Protection of secured creditors' rights through bankruptcy laws

Depth of credit information index (0-6)

Scope and accessibility of credit information distributed by public credit registries and private credit bureaus

Public credit registry coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in a public credit registry as percentage of adult population

Private credit bureau coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in largest private credit bureau as percentage of adult population

The case scenarios also involve assumptions. In case A, as collateral for the loan, ABC grants BizBank a nonpossessory security interest in one category of movable assets, for example, its machinery or its inventory. ABC wants to keep both possession and ownership of the collateral. In economies where the law does not allow nonpossessory security interests in movable property, ABC and BizBank use a fiduciary transfer-of-title arrangement (or a similar substitute for nonpossessory security interests). The strength of legal rights index does not cover functional equivalents to security over movable assets (for example, leasing or reservation of title).

In case B, ABC grants BizBank a business charge, enterprise charge, floating charge or any charge that gives BizBank a security interest over ABC's combined movable assets (or as much of ABC's movable assets as possible). ABC keeps ownership and possession of the assets.

The strength of legal rights index includes 8 aspects related to legal rights in collateral law and 2 aspects in bankruptcy law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

- Any business may use movable assets as collateral while keeping possession of the assets, and any financial institution may accept such assets as collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in a single category of movable assets (such as accounts receivable or inventory), without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in substantially all its movable assets, without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- A security right may extend to future or after-acquired assets and may extend automatically to the products, proceeds or replacements of the original assets

- A general description of debts and obligations is permitted in the collateral agreement and in registration documents; all types of debts and obligations can be secured between the parties, and the collateral agreement can include a maximum amount for which the assets are encumbered.
- A collateral registry or registration institution for security interests over movable property is in operation, unified geographically and by asset type, with an electronic database indexed by debtors' names.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before general tax claims and employee claims) when a debtor defaults outside an insolvency procedure.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before general tax claims and employee claims) when a business is liquidated.
- Secured creditors either are not subject to an automatic stay or moratorium on enforcement procedures when a debtor enters a court-supervised reorganization procedure, or the law provides secured creditors with grounds for relief from an automatic stay or moratorium (for example, if the movable property is in danger) or sets a time limit for the automatic stay.
- The law allows parties to agree in a collateral agreement that the lender may enforce its security right out of court.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

CREDIT INFORMATION

The data on credit information sharing are built in 2 stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of a public credit registry or private credit bureau. Second, when applicable, a detailed survey on the public credit registry's or private credit bureau's structure, laws and associated rules is administered to the entity itself. Survey responses are verified

through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The survey data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

Depth of credit information index

The depth of credit information index measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a public credit registry or a private credit bureau. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following 6 features of the public credit registry or private credit bureau (or both):

- Data on both firms and individuals are distributed
- Both positive credit information (for example, outstanding loan amounts and pattern of on-time repayments) and negative information (for example, late payments, and number and amount of defaults and bankruptcies) are distributed.
- Data from retailers and utility companies as well as financial institutions are distributed.
- More than 2 years of historical data are distributed. Credit registries and bureaus that erase data on defaults as soon as they are repaid obtain a score of 0 for this indicator.
- Data on loan amounts below 1% of income per capita are distributed. Note that a credit registry or bureau must have a minimum coverage of 1% of the adult population to score a 1 on this indicator.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data in the largest credit registry or bureau in the economy.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating the availability of more credit information, from either a public credit registry or a private credit bureau, to facilitate lending decisions. If the credit registry or bureau is not operational or has a coverage of less than 0.1% of the

adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0.

In Lithuania, for example, both a public credit registry and a private credit bureau operate. Both distribute positive and negative information (a score of 1). Both distribute data on firms and individuals (a score of 1). Both distribute more than 2 years of historical data (a score of 1). Although the public credit registry does not distribute data from retailers or utilities, the private credit bureau does do so (a score of 1). Although the public credit registry has a threshold of 1,000 litai, the private credit bureau distributes data on loans of any value (a score of 1). Borrowers have the right to access their data in both the public credit registry and the private credit bureau (a score of 1). Summing across the indicators gives Lithuania a total score of 6.

Public credit registry coverage

The public credit registry coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a public credit registry with information on their borrowing history from the past 5 years. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2011 according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators). A public credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and other regulated financial institutions. If no public registry operates, the coverage value is 0.

Private credit bureau coverage

The private credit bureau coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed by a private credit bureau with information on their borrowing history from the past 5 years. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2011 according to the World Bank's *World Development Indicators*).

A private credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among creditors. Credit investigative bureaus and credit reporting firms that do not directly facilitate information exchange among banks and other financial institutions are not considered. If no private bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.

The data details on getting credit can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2007) and is adopted here with minor changes.

PROTECTING INVESTORS

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor protections: transparency of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and shareholders' ability to sue officers and directors for misconduct (ease of shareholder suits index) (figure 20.11). The data come from a survey of corporate and securities lawyers and are based on securities regulations, company laws, civil procedure codes and court rules of evidence. The ranking on the strength of investor protection index is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.12).


To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business (Buyer):

• Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy's most important stock exchange. If the number of publicly traded companies listed on that exchange is less than 10, or if there is

FIGURE 20.11 How well are minority shareholders protected against self-dealing in related-party transactions?



no stock exchange in the economy, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.


- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- Has a supervisory board (applicable to economies with 2-tier board systems) of which 60% of the shareholderelected members have been appointed by Mr. James.
- Is a manufacturing company.
- · Has its own distribution network.

Assumptions about the transaction

 Mr. James is Buyer's controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer's board of directors. He owns 60% of Buyer and elected 2 directors to Buyer's 5-member board.

FIGURE 20.12 Protecting investors: minority shareholder rights in related-party transactions

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently closed a large number of its stores.
- Mr. James proposes that Buyer purchase Seller's unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer's distribution of its products, a proposal to which Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer's assets and is higher than the market value.
- The proposed transaction is part of the company's ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made (that is, the transaction is not fraudulent).
- The transaction causes damages to Buyer. Shareholders sue Mr. James and the other parties that approved the transaction.

Extent of disclosure index

The extent of disclosure index has 5 components (table 20.8):

• Which corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction. A score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors, the supervisory board or shareholders must vote and Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors or the supervisory board must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote; 3 if shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote.

- Whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the regulator or the shareholders is required.⁴ A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure in the annual report is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors or the supervisory board is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if a general disclosure of the existence of a conflict of interest is required without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts relating to Mr. James's interest in the Buyer-Seller transaction is required.
- Whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. In Poland, for example, the board of directors must approve the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James's ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index

TABLE 20.8 What do the protecting investors indicators measure?

Extent of disclosure index (0-10)

Who can approve related-party transactions

Disclosure requirements in case of related-party transactions

Extent of director liability index (0-10)

Ability of shareholders to hold interested parties and members of the approving body liable in case of related-party transactions

Available legal remedies (damages, repayment of profits, fines and imprisonment)

Ability of shareholders to sue directly or derivatively

Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)

Direct access to internal documents of the company and use of a government inspector without filling suit in court

Documents and information available during trial

Strength of investor protection index (0-10)

Simple average of the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices

Extent of director liability index

The extent of director liability index has 7 components:⁵

- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold Mr. James liable for the damage the Buyer-Seller transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if Mr. James can be held liable only if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold the approving body (the CEO, the members of the board of directors, or members of the supervisory board) liable for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if the approving body cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a court can void the transaction upon a successful claim by a shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is

assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud or bad faith; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest.

- Whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether both fines and imprisonment can be applied against Mr. James. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholder plaintiffs are able to sue directly or derivatively for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if suits are unavailable or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company's share capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. Assuming that the prejudicial transaction was duly approved and disclosed, in order to hold Mr. James liable in Panama, for example, a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). The prejudicial transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1) but he is not required to disgorge his profits (a score of 0). Mr. James cannot be fined and imprisoned (a score of 0). Direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Panama a score of 4 on the extent of director liability index.

Ease of shareholder suits index

The ease of shareholder suits index has 6 components:

- What range of documents is available to the shareholder plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff's claim; any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim; and any information that may lead to the discovery of relevant information.
- Whether the plaintiff can directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval.
- Whether the plaintiff can obtain categories of relevant documents from the defendant without identifying each document specifically. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital can request that a government inspector investigate the Buyer-Seller transaction without filing suit in court. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital have the right to inspect the transaction documents before filing suit. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Greece, for example, the plaintiff can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense and that

directly prove facts in the plaintiff's claim (a score of 2). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial, though only with prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents being sought (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2006) and cannot just request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). A shareholder holding 5% of Buyer's shares can request that a government inspector review suspected mismanagement by Mr. James and the CEO without filing suit in court (a score of 1). Any shareholder can inspect the transaction documents before deciding whether to sue (a score of 1). The standard of proof for civil suits is the same as that for a criminal case (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Greece a score of 5 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

Strength of investor protection index


The strength of investor protection index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating more investor protection.

The data details on protecting investors can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov, La Porta and others (2008).

PAYING TAXES

Doing Business records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year as well as measures of the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions. The project was developed and implemented in cooperation with PwC.⁶ Taxes and contributions measured include the profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, property

FIGURE 20.13 What are the time, total tax rate and number of payments necessary for a local medium-size company to pay all taxes?



transfer taxes, dividend tax, capital gains tax, financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes, vehicle and road taxes, and any other small taxes or fees (figure 20.13).

The ranking on the ease of paying taxes is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators, with a threshold being applied to one of the component indicators, the total tax rate (figure 20.14). The threshold is defined as the highest total tax rate among the top 15% of economies in the ranking on the total tax rate. It is calculated and adjusted on a yearly basis. This year's threshold is 25.7%. All economies with a total tax rate below this threshold receive the same score as the economy at the threshold. The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an "optimal tax rate" that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in the tax system of an economy overall. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the indicators toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the Doing Business standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways-for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology).

Doing Business measures all taxes and contributions that are government mandated (at any level—federal, state or local) and that apply to the standardized business and have an impact in its financial statements. In doing so, Doing Business goes beyond the traditional definition of a tax. As defined for the purposes of government national accounts, taxes include only compulsory, unrequited payments to general government. Doing Business departs from this definition because it measures imposed charges that affect business accounts, not government accounts. One main difference relates to labor contributions. The Doing Business measure includes government-mandated contributions paid by the employer to a

FIGURE 20.14 Paying taxes: tax compliance for a local manufacturing company

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



requited private pension fund or workers' insurance fund. The indicator includes, for example, Australia's compulsory superannuation guarantee and workers' compensation insurance. For the purpose of calculating the total tax rate (defined below), only taxes borne are included. For example, value added taxes are generally excluded (provided they are not irrecoverable) because they do not affect the accounting profits of the business—that is, they are not reflected in the income statement. They are, however, included for the purpose of the compliance measures (time and payments), as they add to the burden of complying with the tax system.

Doing Business uses a case scenario to measure the taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business and the complexity of an economy's tax compliance system. This case scenario uses a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the course of the year. In each economy tax experts from a number of different firms (in many economies these include PwC) compute the taxes and mandatory contributions due in their jurisdiction based on the standardized case study facts. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing and payments as well as time taken to comply with tax laws in an economy. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

The methodology for the paying taxes indicators has benefited from discussion with members of the International Tax Dialogue and other stakeholders, which led to a refinement of the survey questions on the time to pay taxes, the collection of additional data on the labor tax wedge for further research and the introduction of a threshold applied to the total tax rate for the purpose of calculating the ranking on the ease of paying taxes.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

• Is a limited liability, taxable company. If there is more than one type of limited

- liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most common among domestic firms is chosen. The most common form is reported by incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Started operations on January 1, 2010. At that time the company purchased all the assets shown in its balance sheet and hired all its workers.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has
 5 owners, all of whom are natural persons.
- At the end of 2010, has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
- At the beginning of 2011, owns 2 plots of land, 1 building, machinery, office equipment, computers and 1 truck and leases 1 truck.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
- Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and 1 manager is also an owner. The company pays for additional medical insurance for employees (not mandated by any law) as an additional benefit. In addition, in some economies reimbursable business travel and client entertainment expenses are considered fringe benefits. When applicable, it is assumed that the company pays the fringe benefit tax on this expense or that the benefit becomes taxable income for the employee. The case study assumes no additional salary additions for meals, transportation, education or others. Therefore, even when such benefits are frequent, they are not added to or removed from the taxable gross salaries to arrive at the labor tax or contribution calculation.

- Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
- Makes a loss in the first year of operation.
- Has a gross margin (pretax) of 20% (that is, sales are 120% of the cost of goods sold).
- Distributes 50% of its net profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the second year.
- Sells one of its plots of land at a profit at the beginning of the second year.
- Has annual fuel costs for its trucks equal to twice income per capita.
- Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case. All financial statement variables are proportional to 2005 income per capita. For example, the owner who is also a manager spends 10% of income per capita on traveling for the company (20% of this owner's expenses are purely private, 20% are for entertaining customers and 60% for business travel).

Assumptions about the taxes and contributions

- All the taxes and contributions recorded are those paid in the second year of operation (calendar year 2011). A tax or contribution is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes and contributions with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax or contribution.
- The number of times the company pays taxes and contributions in a year is the number of different taxes or contributions multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each tax. The frequency of payment includes advance payments (or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

Tax payments

The tax payments indicator reflects the total number of taxes and contributions paid, the method of payment, the

TABLE 20.9 What do the paying taxes indicators measure?

Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2011 (number per year adjusted for electronic and joint filing and payment)

Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax)

Method and frequency of filing and payment

Time required to comply with 3 major taxes (hours per year)

Collecting information and computing the tax payable

Completing tax return forms, filing with proper agencies

Arranging payment or withholding

Preparing separate mandatory tax accounting books, if required

Total tax rate (% of profit before all taxes)

Profit or corporate income tax

Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer

Property and property transfer taxes

Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes

Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes

frequency of payment, the frequency of filing and the number of agencies involved for this standardized case study company during the second year of operation (table 20.9). It includes taxes withheld by the company, such as sales tax, value added tax and employee-borne labor taxes. These taxes are traditionally collected by the company from the consumer or employee on behalf of the tax agencies. Although they do not affect the income statements of the company, they add to the administrative burden of complying with the tax system and so are included in the tax payments measure.

The number of payments takes into account electronic filing. Where full electronic filing and payment is allowed and it is used by the majority of medium-size businesses, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if filings and payments are more frequent. For payments made through third parties, such as tax on interest paid by a financial institution or fuel tax paid by a fuel distributor, only one payment is included even if payments are more frequent.

Where 2 or more taxes or contributions are filed for and paid jointly using the same form, each of these joint payments is counted once. For example, if mandatory health insurance contributions and mandatory pension contributions are filed for and paid together, only one of these contributions would be included in the number of payments.

Time

Time is recorded in hours per year. The indicator measures the time taken to prepare, file and pay 3 major types of taxes and contributions: the corporate income tax, value added or sales tax, and labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social contributions. Preparation time includes the time to collect all information necessary to compute the tax payable and to calculate the amount payable. If separate accounting books must be kept for tax purposes—or separate calculations made-the time associated with these processes is included. This extra time is included only if the regular accounting work is not enough to fulfill the tax accounting requirements. Filing time includes the time to complete all necessary tax return forms and file the relevant returns at the tax authority. Payment time considers the hours needed to make the payment online or at the tax authorities. Where taxes and contributions are paid in person, the time includes delays while waiting.

Total tax rate

The total tax rate measures the amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne

by the business in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profit. Doing Business 2013 reports the total tax rate for calendar year 2011. The total amount of taxes borne is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for allowable deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld (such as personal income tax) or collected by the company and remitted to the tax authorities (such as value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax) but not borne by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into 5 categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer (in respect of which all mandatory contributions are included, even if paid to a private entity such as a requited pension fund), property taxes, turnover taxes and other taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle and fuel taxes).

The total tax rate is designed to provide a comprehensive measure of the cost of all the taxes a business bears. It differs from the statutory tax rate, which merely provides the factor to be applied to the tax base. In computing the total tax rate, the actual tax payable is divided by commercial profit. Data for Norway illustrate (table 20.10).

Commercial profit is essentially net profit before all taxes borne. It differs from the conventional profit before tax, reported in financial statements. In computing profit before tax, many of the taxes borne by a

TABLE 20.10 Computing the total tax rate for Norway							
Type of tax (tax base)	Statutory rate r	Statutory tax base b NKr	Actual tax payable $a = r \times b$ NKr	Commercial profit* C NKr	Total tax rate $t = a/c$		
Corporate income tax (taxable income)	28.0%	20,612,719	5,771,561	23,651,183	24.4%		
Social security contributions (taxable wages)	14.1%	26,684,645	3,762,535	23,651,183	15.9%		
Fuel tax (fuel price)	NKr 4 per liter	74,247 liters	297,707	23,651,183	1.3%		
Total			9,831,803		41.6%		

^{*} Profit before all taxes borne.

Note: NKr is Norwegian kroner. Commercial profit is assumed to be 59.4 times income per capita. Source: Doing Business database. firm are deductible. In computing commercial profit, these taxes are not deductible. Commercial profit therefore presents a clear picture of the actual profit of a business before any of the taxes it bears in the course of the fiscal year.

Commercial profit is computed as sales minus cost of goods sold, minus gross salaries, minus administrative expenses, minus other expenses, minus provisions, plus capital gains (from the property sale) minus interest expense, plus interest income and minus commercial depreciation. To compute the commercial depreciation, a straight-line depreciation method is applied, with the following rates: 0% for the land, 5% for the building, 10% for the machinery, 33% for the computers, 20% for the office equipment, 20% for the truck and 10% for business development expenses. Commercial profit amounts to 59.4 times income per capita.

The methodology for calculating the total tax rate is broadly consistent with the Total Tax Contribution framework developed by PwC and the calculation within this framework for taxes borne. But while the work undertaken by PwC is usually based on data received from the largest companies in the economy, *Doing Business* focuses on a case study for a standardized medium-size company.

The data details on paying taxes can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov, Ganser and others (2010).

TRADING ACROSS BORDERS

Doing Business measures the time and cost (excluding tariffs) associated with exporting and importing a standardized cargo of goods by sea transport. The time and cost necessary to complete every official procedure for exporting and importing the goods are recorded; however, the time and cost for sea transport are not included. All documents needed by the trader to export or import the goods

across the border are also recorded. For exporting goods, procedures range from packing the goods into the container at the warehouse to their departure from the port of exit. For importing goods, procedures range from the vessel's arrival at the port of entry to the cargo's delivery at the warehouse. For landlocked economies. these include procedures at the inland border post, since the port is located in the transit economy. Payment is made by letter of credit, and the time, cost and documents required for the issuance or advising of a letter of credit are taken into account (figure 20.15). The ranking on the ease of trading across borders is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.16).

Local freight forwarders, shipping lines, customs brokers, port officials and banks provide information on required documents and cost as well as the time to complete each procedure. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the traded goods are used.


Assumptions about the traded goods

The traded product travels in a dry-cargo, 20-foot, full container load. It weighs 10 tons and is valued at \$20,000. The product:

 Is not hazardous nor does it include military items.

FIGURE 20.16 Trading across borders: exporting and importing by sea transport

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



- Does not require refrigeration or any other special environment.
- Does not require any special phytosanitary or environmental safety standards other than accepted international standards.
- Is one of the economy's leading export or import products.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Has at least 60 employees.
- Is located in the economy's largest business city.
- Is a private, limited liability company. It does not operate in an export

FIGURE 20.15 How much time, how many documents and what cost to export and import by sea transport?




TABLE 20.11 What do the trading across borders indicators measure?

Documents required to export and import (number)

Bank documents

Customs clearance documents

Port and terminal handling documents

Transport documents

Time required to export and import (days)

Obtaining, filling out and submitting all the documents

Inland transport and handling

Customs clearance and inspections

Port and terminal handling

Does not include sea transport time

Cost required to export and import (US\$ per container)

All documentation

Inland transport and handling

Customs clearance and inspections

Port and terminal handling

Official costs only, no bribes

processing zone or an industrial estate with special export or import privileges.

- Is 100% domestically owned.
- Exports more than 10% of its sales.

Documents

All documents required per shipment to export and import the goods are recorded (table 20.11). It is assumed that a new contract is drafted per shipment and that the contract has already been agreed upon and executed by both parties. Documents required for clearance by relevant agencies—including government ministries, customs, port authorities and other control agencies—are taken into account. Since payment is by letter of credit, all documents required by banks for the issuance or securing of a letter of credit are also taken into account. Documents that are requested at the time of clearance but that are valid for a year or longer and do not require renewal per shipment (for example, an annual tax clearance certificate) are not included.

Time

The time for exporting and importing is recorded in calendar days. The time calculation for a procedure starts from the moment it is initiated and runs until

it is completed. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost and is available to all trading companies, the fastest legal procedure is chosen. Fasttrack procedures applying only to firms located in an export processing zone, or only to certain accredited firms under authorized economic operator programs, are not taken into account because they are not available to all trading companies. Sea transport time is not included. It is assumed that neither the exporter nor the importer wastes time and that each commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. Procedures that can be completed in parallel are measured as simultaneous. But it is assumed that document preparation, inland transport, customs and other clearance, and port and terminal handling require a minimum time of 1 day each and cannot take place simultaneously. The waiting time between procedures—for example, during unloading of the cargo—is included in the measure.

Cost

Cost measures the fees levied on a 20-foot container in U.S. dollars. All the fees associated with completing the procedures to export or import the goods are taken into account. These include costs for documents, administrative fees for customs clearance and inspections, customs broker fees, port-related charges and inland transport costs. The cost does not include customs tariffs and duties or costs related to sea transport. Only official costs are recorded.

The data details on trading across borders can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov, Freund and Pham (2010) and is adopted here with minor changes.

ENFORCING CONTRACTS

Indicators on enforcing contracts measure the efficiency of the judicial system in resolving a commercial dispute. The data are built by following the step-by-step

FIGURE 20.17 What are the time, cost and number of procedures to resolve a commercial dispute through the courts?



evolution of a commercial sale dispute before local courts. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedure and other court regulations as well as surveys completed by local litigation lawyers and by judges (figure 20.17). The ranking on the ease of enforcing contracts is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (figure 20.18).

The name of the relevant court in each economy—the court in the largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita—is published at http://www.doingbusiness.org/ExploreTopics/EnforcingContracts/.

FIGURE 20.18 Enforcing contracts: resolving a commercial dispute through the courts

Rankings are based on 3 indicators



Assumptions about the case

- The value of the claim equals 200% of the economy's income per capita.
- The dispute concerns a lawful transaction between 2 businesses (Seller and Buyer), located in the economy's largest business city. Seller sells goods worth 200% of the economy's income per capita to Buyer. After Seller delivers the goods to Buyer, Buyer refuses to pay for the goods on the grounds that the delivered goods were not of adequate quality.
- Seller (the plaintiff) sues Buyer (the defendant) to recover the amount under the sales agreement (that is, 200% of the economy's income per capita). Buyer opposes Seller's claim, saying that the quality of the goods is not adequate. The claim is disputed on the merits. The court cannot decide the case on the basis of documentary evidence or legal title alone.
- A court in the economy's largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita decides the dispute.
- Seller attaches Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles) before obtaining a judgment because Seller fears that Buyer may become insolvent.
- An expert opinion is given on the quality of the delivered goods. If it is standard practice in the economy for each party to call its own expert witness, the parties each call one expert witness. If it is standard practice for the judge to appoint an independent expert, the judge does so. In this case the judge does not allow opposing expert testimony.
- The judgment is 100% in favor of Seller: the judge decides that the goods are of adequate quality and that Buyer must pay the agreed price.
- Buyer does not appeal the judgment.
 Seller decides to start enforcing the judgment as soon as the time allocated by law for appeal expires.

 Seller takes all required steps for prompt enforcement of the judgment.
 The money is successfully collected through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles).

Procedures

The list of procedural steps compiled for each economy traces the chronology of a commercial dispute before the relevant court. A procedure is defined as any interaction, required by law or commonly used in practice, between the parties or between them and the judge or court officer. Other procedural steps, internal to the court or between the parties and their counsel, may be counted as well. Procedural steps include steps to file and serve the case, steps to assign the case to a judge, steps for trial and judgment and steps necessary to enforce the judgment (table 20.12).

The survey allows respondents to record procedures that exist in civil law but not common law jurisdictions and vice versa. For example, in civil law jurisdictions the judge can appoint an independent expert, while in common law jurisdictions each party submits a list of expert witnesses to the court. To indicate overall efficiency, 1 procedure is subtracted from the total

TABLE 20.12 What do the enforcing contracts indicators measure?

Procedures to enforce a contract through the courts (number)

Any interaction between the parties in a commercial dispute, or between them and the judge or court officer

Steps to file and serve the case

Steps for trial and judgment

Steps to enforce the judgment

Time required to complete procedures (calendar days)

Time to file and serve the case

Time for trial and obtaining judgment

Time to enforce the judgment

Cost required to complete procedures (% of claim)

No bribes

Average attorney fees

Court costs

Enforcement costs

number for economies that have specialized commercial courts, and 1 procedure for economies that allow electronic filing of the initial complaint in court cases. Some procedural steps that are part of others are not counted in the total number of procedures.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment the plaintiff decides to file the lawsuit in court until payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods between. The average duration of different stages of dispute resolution is recorded: the completion of service of process (time to file and serve the case), the issuance of judgment (time for the trial and obtaining the judgment) and the moment of payment (time for enforcement of the judgment).

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the claim, assumed to be equivalent to 200% of income per capita. No bribes are recorded. Three types of costs are recorded: court costs, enforcement costs and average attorney fees.

Court costs include all court costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to the court, regardless of the final cost to Seller. Enforcement costs are all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to enforce the judgment through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets, regardless of the final cost to Seller. Average attorney fees are the fees that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to a local attorney to represent Seller in the standardized case.

The data details on enforcing contracts can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org by selecting the economy in the drop-down list. This methodology was developed in Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with minor changes.

RESOLVING INSOLVENCY

Doing Business studies the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings

involving domestic entities. The name of this indicator set was changed from closing a business to resolving insolvency to more accurately reflect the content of the indicators. The indicators did not change in content or scope. The data are derived from questionnaire responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on bankruptcy systems (figure 20.19). The ranking on the ease of resolving insolvency is based on the recovery rate (figure 20.20).

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically owned, with the founder, who is also the chairman of the supervisory board, owning 51% (no other shareholder holds more than 5% of shares).
- Has downtown real estate, where it runs a hotel, as its major asset. The hotel is valued at 100 times income per capita or \$200,000, whichever is larger.
- Has a professional general manager.

FIGURE 20.19 What are the time, cost and outcome of the insolvency proceedings against a local company?





FIGURE 20.20 Resolving insolvency: time, cost and outcome of the insolvency proceedings against a local company

Rankings are based on 1 indicator

Recovery rate is a function of time, cost and other factors such as lending rate and the likelihood of the company continuing to operate



Note: Time and cost do not count separately for the rankings.

- Has 201 employees and 50 suppliers, each of which is owed money for the last delivery.
- Has a 10-year loan agreement with a domestic bank secured by a universal business charge (for example, a floating charge) in economies where such collateral is recognized or by the hotel property. If the laws of the economy do not specifically provide for a universal business charge but contracts commonly use some other provision to that effect, this provision is specified in the loan agreement.
- Has observed the payment schedule and all other conditions of the loan up to now.
- Has a mortgage, with the value of the mortgage principal being exactly equal to the market value of the hotel.

Assumptions about the case

The business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2011 reduced its net worth to a negative figure. It is January 1, 2012. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due the next day, January 2. The business will therefore default on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2012 and 2013 as well.

The amount outstanding under the loan agreement is exactly equal to the market

value of the hotel business and represents 74% of the company's total debt. The other 26% of its debt is held by unsecured creditors (suppliers, employees, tax authorities).

The company has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court work-out. The following options are available: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the company to permit its continued operation; a judicial procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding-up of the company; or a debt enforcement or foreclosure procedure against the company, enforced either in court (or through another government authority) or out of court (for example, by appointing a receiver).

Assumptions about the parties

The bank wants to recover as much as possible of its loan, as quickly and cheaply as possible. The unsecured creditors will do everything permitted under the applicable laws to avoid a piecemeal sale of the assets. The majority shareholder wants to keep the company operating and under its control. Management wants to keep the company operating and preserve its employees' jobs. All the parties are local entities or citizens; no foreign parties are involved.

Time

Time for creditors to recover their credit is recorded in calendar years (table 20.13). The period of time measured by *Doing Business* is from the company's default until the payment of some or all of the money owed to the bank. Potential delay tactics by the parties, such as the filing of dilatory appeals or requests for extension, are taken into consideration.

Cost

The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the value of the debtor's estate. The cost is calculated on the basis of questionnaire responses and includes court fees and government levies; fees of insolvency administrators, auctioneers, assessors and lawyers; and all other fees and costs.

TABLE 20.13 What do the resolving insolvency indicators measure?

Time required to recover debt (years)

Measured in calendar years

Appeals and requests for extension are included

Cost required to recover debt (% of debtor's estate)

Measured as percentage of estate value

Court fees

Fees of insolvency administrators

Lawyers' fees

Assessors' and auctioneers' fees

Other related fees

Recovery rate for creditors (cents on the dollar)

Measures the cents on the dollar recovered by creditors

Present value of debt recovered

Official costs of the insolvency proceedings are deducted

Depreciation of furniture is taken into account

Outcome for the business (survival or not) affects the maximum value that can be recovered

Outcome

Recovery by creditors depends on whether the hotel business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the company's assets are sold piecemeal. If the business keeps operating, no value is lost and the bank can satisfy its claim in full, or recover 100 cents on the dollar. If the assets are sold piecemeal, the maximum amount that can be recovered will not exceed 70% of the bank's claim, which translates into 70 cents on the dollar.

Recovery rate

The recovery rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recouped by creditors through reorganization, liquidation or debt enforcement (foreclosure) proceedings. The calculation takes into account the outcome: whether the business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the assets are sold piecemeal. Then the costs of the proceedings are deducted (1 cent for each percentage point of the value of the debtor's estate). Finally, the value lost as a result of the time the money remains tied up in insolvency proceedings is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the annual depreciation rate for

furniture is taken to be 20%. The furniture is assumed to account for a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2011 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's *International Financial Statistics*, supplemented with data from central banks and the Economist Intelligence Unit.

No practice

If an economy had zero cases a year over the past 5 years involving a judicial reorganization, judicial liquidation or debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure), the economy receives a "no practice" ranking. This means that creditors are unlikely to recover their money through a formal legal process (in or out of court). The recovery rate for "no practice" economies is zero.

This methodology was developed in Djankov, Hart and others (2008) and is adopted here with minor changes.

EMPLOYING WORKERS

Doing Business measures flexibility in the regulation of employment, specifically as it affects the hiring and redundancy of workers and the rigidity of working hours. Over the period from 2007 to 2011 improvements were made to align the methodology for the employing workers indicators with the letter and spirit of the ILO conventions. Only 4 of the 188 ILO conventions cover areas measured by Doing Business: employee termination, weekend work, holiday with pay and night work. The Doing Business methodology is fully consistent with these 4 conventions. The ILO conventions covering areas related to the employing workers indicators do not include the ILO core labor standards—8 conventions covering the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of forced labor, the abolition of child labor and equitable treatment in employment practices.

Between 2009 and 2011 the World Bank Group worked with a consultative group—including labor lawyers, employer and employee representatives, and experts from the ILO, the OECD, civil society and the private sector—to review the employing workers methodology and explore future areas of research.⁷ A full report with the conclusions of the consultative group is available at http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology/employing-workers.

This year *Doing Business* continued research initiated last year, collecting additional data on regulations covering worker protection. The data will serve as a basis for developing a joint analysis of worker protection by the World Bank Group and the ILO and for developing new areas of research in the area of worker protection measures.

Doing Business 2013 does not present rankings of economies on the employing workers indicators or include the topic in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business. The report does present the data on the employing workers indicators in an annex. Detailed data collected on labor regulations are available on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).

The data on employing workers are based on a detailed survey of employment regulations that is completed by local lawyers and public officials. Employment laws and regulations as well as secondary sources are reviewed to ensure accuracy. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

Assumptions about the worker

The worker:

- Earns a salary plus benefits equal to the economy's average wage during the entire period of his employment.
- Has a pay period that is the most common for workers in the economy.
- Is a lawful citizen who belongs to the same race and religion as the majority of the economy's population.
- Resides in the economy's largest business city.

• Is not a member of a labor union, unless membership is mandatory.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city.
- Is 100% domestically owned.
- Operates in the manufacturing sector.
- · Has 60 employees.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements in economies where such agreements cover more than half the manufacturing sector and apply even to firms not party to them.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than mandated by law, regulation or (if applicable) collective bargaining agreement.

Rigidity of employment index

The rigidity of employment index is the average of 3 subindices: the difficulty of hiring index, rigidity of hours index and difficulty of redundancy index. Data and scores for a particular country (country X) are provided as an example (table 20.14).

All the subindices have several components. And all take values between 0 and 100, with higher values indicating more rigid regulation.

The difficulty of hiring index measures (i) whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks; (ii) the maximum cumulative duration of fixedterm contracts; and (iii) the ratio of the minimum wage for a trainee or first-time employee to the average value added per worker.8 An economy is assigned a score of 1 if fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks and a score of O if they can be used for any task. A score of 1 is assigned if the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts is less than 3 years; 0.5 if it is 3 years or more but less than 5 years; and 0 if fixed-term contracts can last 5 years or more. Finally, a score of 1 is assigned if the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value

	Data for country X	Score for country X
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)		45.33
Simple average of the difficulty of hiring, rigidity of hours and difficulty of redundancy indices		56 + 10 + 70
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)		56
Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Yes	1
Maximum duration of fixed-term contracts	6 years	0
Ratio of minimum wage for trainee or first-time employee to value added per worker	0.61	0.67
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)		10
Restrictions on night work and weekend work?	No	0
Allowed maximum length of the workweek in days and hours, including overtime	5.5 days	0
Fifty-hour workweeks permitted for 2 months due to an increase in production?	Yes	0
Paid annual vacation days	22 days	0.5
Difficulty of redundancy index (0–100)		70
Redundancy allowed as grounds for termination?	Yes	0
Notification required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers?	Yes	2
Approval required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers?	Yes	3
Employer obligated to reassign or retrain and to follow priority rules for redundancy and reemployment?	Yes	2
Redundancy cost (weeks of salary)		23.9
Notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary	8.66 weeks +15.22 weeks	23.9
Source: Doing Business database.		

Source: Doing Business database.

added per worker is 0.75 or more; 0.67 for a ratio of 0.50 or more but less than 0.75: 0.33 for a ratio of 0.25 or more but less than 0.50; and 0 for a ratio of less than 0.25. A score of 0 is also assigned if the minimum wage is set by a collective bargaining agreement that applies to less than half the manufacturing sector or does not apply to firms not party to it, or if the minimum wage is set by law but does not apply to workers who are in their apprentice period. A ratio of 0.251 (and therefore a score of 0.33) is automatically assigned in 4 cases: if there is no minimum wage; if the law provides a regulatory mechanism for the minimum wage that is not enforced in practice; if there is no minimum wage set by law but there is a wage amount that is customarily used as a minimum; or if there is no minimum wage set by law in the private sector but there is one in the public sector.

In country X, for example, fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks (a score of 1), and they can be used for a maximum of 6 years (a score of 0). The ratio of the mandated minimum wage to the value added per worker is 0.61 (a score of 0.67). Averaging the 3 values and scaling the index to 100 gives country X a score of 56.

The rigidity of hours index has 5 components: (i) whether there are restrictions on night work; (ii) whether there are restrictions on weekly holiday work; (iii) whether the workweek can consist of 5.5 days or is more than 6 days; (iv) whether the workweek can extend to 50 hours or more (including overtime) for 2 months a year to respond to a seasonal increase in production; and (v) whether the average paid annual leave for a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is more than 26 working days or fewer than 15 working

days. For questions (i) and (ii), if restrictions other than premiums apply, a score of 1 is given. If the only restriction is a premium for night work or weekly holiday work, a score of 0, 0.33, 0.66 or 1 is given, depending on the quartile in which the economy's premium falls. If there are no restrictions, the economy receives a score of O. For question (iii) a score of 1 is assigned if the legally permitted workweek is less than 5.5 days or more than 6 days; otherwise a score of O is assigned. For question (iv), if the answer is no, a score of 1 is assigned; otherwise a score of 0 is assigned. For question (v) a score of 0 is assigned if the average paid annual leave is between 15 and 21 working days, a score of 0.5 if it is more than 21 but less than 26 working days and a score of 1 if it is less than 15 or more than 26 working days.

For example, country X does not impose any restrictions either on night work (a score of 0) or on weekly holiday work (a score of 0), allows 5.5-day workweeks (a score of 0), permits 50-hour workweeks for 2 months (a score of 0) and requires average paid annual leave of 22 working days (a score of 0.5). Averaging the scores and scaling the result to 100 gives a final index of 10 for country X.

The difficulty of redundancy index has 8 components: (i) whether redundancy is disallowed as a basis for terminating workers; (ii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party (such as a government agency) to terminate 1 redundant worker; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party to terminate a group of 9 redundant workers; (iv) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate 1 redundant worker; (v) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate a group of 9 redundant workers; (vi) whether the law requires the employer to reassign or retrain a worker before making the worker redundant; (vii) whether priority rules apply for redundancies; and (viii) whether priority rules apply for reemployment. For question (i) an answer of yes for workers of any income level gives a score of 10 and means that the rest of

the questions do not apply. An answer of yes to question (iv) gives a score of 2. For every other question, if the answer is yes, a score of 1 is assigned; otherwise a score of 0 is given. Questions (i) and (iv), as the most restrictive regulations, have greater weight in the construction of the index.

In country X, for example, redundancy is allowed as grounds for termination (a score of 0). An employer has to notify a third party to terminate a single redundant worker (a score of 1) as well as to terminate a group of 9 redundant workers (a score of 1), and the approval of a third party is also required in both these cases (a score of 3). The law does not mandate any retraining or alternative placement before termination (a score of 0). There are priority rules for termination (a score of 1) and reemployment (a score of 1). Adding the scores and scaling to 100 gives a final index of 70.

Redundancy cost

The redundancy cost indicator measures the cost of advance notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary. The average value of notice requirements and severance payments applicable to a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is used to assign the score. If the redundancy cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary and the workers can benefit from unemployment protection, a score of 0 is assigned, but the actual number of weeks is published. If the redundancy cost adds up to 8 or fewer weeks of salary and the workers cannot benefit from any type of unemployment protection, a score of 8.1 is assigned, although the actual number of weeks is published. If the cost adds up to more than 8 weeks of salary, the score is the number of weeks. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks.

In country X, for example, an employer is required to give an average of 2 months' notice (8.66 weeks) before a redundancy termination, and the average severance pay for a worker with 1 year of service,

a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years equals 3.5 months of wages (15.22 weeks). No penalty is levied and the workers cannot benefit from any type of unemployment protection. Altogether, the employer pays the equivalent of 23.9 weeks of salary to dismiss a worker.

The data details on employing workers can be found for each economy at http://www.doingbusiness.org. The Doing Business website provides historical data sets to allow comparison of data across years. The employing workers methodology was developed by Botero and others (2004). Doing Business 2013 does not present rankings of economies on the employing workers indicators.

NOTES

- 1. The data for paying taxes refer to January–December 2011.
- 2. This correction rate reflects changes that exceed 5% up or down.
- Following the inclusion of getting electricity indicators in the ease of doing business index in *Doing Business 2012*, additional procedures, time and cost related to obtaining an electricity connection in the preconstruction stage were removed from the dealing with construction permits indicators this year to avoid double counting.
- This question is usually regulated by stock exchange or securities laws. Points are awarded only to economies with more than 10 listed firms in their most important stock exchange.
- 5. When evaluating the regime of liability for company directors for a prejudicial related-party transaction, *Doing Business* assumes that the transaction was duly disclosed and approved. *Doing Business* does not measure director liability in the event of fraud.
- 6. PwC refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts

- or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.
- 7. For the terms of reference and composition of the consultative group, see World Bank, "Doing Business Employing Workers Indicator Consultative Group," http://www.doingbusiness.org.
- 8. The average value added per worker is the ratio of an economy's GNI per capita to the working-age population as a percentage of the total population.

Ease of doing business and distance to frontier



This year's report presents results for 2 aggregate measures: the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business and the distance to frontier measure. The ease of doing business ranking compares economies with one another, while the distance to frontier measure benchmarks economies to the frontier in regulatory practice, measuring the absolute distance to the best performance on each indicator. Both measures can be used for comparisons over time. When compared across years, the distance to frontier measure shows how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs in each economy has changed over time in absolute terms, while the ease of doing business ranking can show only relative change.

EASE OF DOING BUSINESS

The ease of doing business index ranks economies from 1 to 185. For each economy the ranking is calculated as the simple average of the percentile rankings on each of the 10 topics included in the index in Doing Business 2013: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. The employing workers indicators are not included in this year's aggregate ease of doing business ranking. In addition to this year's ranking, Doing Business presents a comparable ranking for the previous year, adjusted for any changes in methodology as well as additions of economies or topics.1

Construction of the ease of doing business index

Here is one example of how the ease of doing business index is constructed. In Finland it takes 3 procedures, 14 days and 4% of property value in fees to register a property. On these 3 indicators Finland ranks in the 6th, 16th and 39th percentiles. So on average Finland ranks in the 20th percentile on the ease of registering property. It ranks in the 30th percentile on starting a business, 28th percentile on getting credit, 24th percentile on paying taxes, 13th percentile on enforcing contracts, 5th percentile on trading across borders and so on. Higher rankings indicate simpler regulation and stronger protection of property rights. The simple average of Finland's percentile rankings on all topics is 21st. When all economies are ordered by their average percentile rankings, Finland stands at 11 in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business.

More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components—yield a ranking nearly identical to the simple average used by *Doing Business.*² Thus *Doing Business* uses the simplest method: weighting all topics equally and, within each topic, giving equal weight to each of the topic components.³

If an economy has no laws or regulations covering a specific area—for example, insolvency—it receives a "no practice" mark. Similarly, an economy receives a "no practice" or "not possible" mark if regulation exists but is never used in practice or if a competing regulation prohibits such practice. Either way, a "no practice" mark

TABLE 21.1 Correlations between economy rankings on <i>Doing Business</i> topics									
	Dealing with construction permits	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency	Getting electricity
Starting a business	0.34	0.30	0.44	0.60	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.44	0.28
Dealing with construction permits		0.24	0.19	0.21	0.41	0.49	0.23	0.36	0.49
Registering property			0.37	0.33	0.37	0.29	0.50	0.38	0.26
Getting credit				0.49	0.26	0.38	0.43	0.49	0.22
Protecting investors					0.39	0.36	0.30	0.41	0.22
Paying taxes						0.50	0.33	0.42	0.46
Trading across borders							0.36	0.55	0.58
Enforcing contracts					_			0.46	0.24
Resolving insolvency									0.32

Source: Doing Business database.

puts the economy at the bottom of the ranking on the relevant indicator.

The ease of doing business index is limited in scope. It does not account for an economy's proximity to large markets, the quality of its infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders and getting electricity), the strength of its financial system, the security of property from theft and looting, macroeconomic conditions or the strength of underlying institutions.

Variability of economies' rankings across topics

Each indicator set measures a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The rankings of an economy can vary, sometimes significantly, across indicator sets. The average correlation coefficient between the 10 indicator sets included in the aggregate ranking is 0.37, and the coefficients between any 2 sets of indicators range from 0.19 (between dealing with construction permits and getting credit) to 0.60 (between starting a business and protecting investors). These correlations suggest that economies rarely score universally well or universally badly on the indicators (table 21.1).

Consider the example of Canada. It stands at 17 in the aggregate ranking on the ease of doing business. Its ranking is 3 on starting a business, and 4 on both resolving insolvency and protecting investors. But its ranking is only 62 on enforcing contracts, 69 on dealing with construction permits and 152 on getting electricity.

Figure 1.2 in the executive summary illustrates the degree of variability in each economy's performance across the different areas of business regulation covered by Doing Business. The figure draws attention to economies with a particularly uneven performance by showing the distance between the average of the highest 3 topic rankings and the average of the lowest 3 for each of 185 economies across the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate ranking. While a relatively small distance between these 2 averages suggests a broadly consistent approach across the areas of business regulation measured by Doing Business, a relatively large distance suggests a more uneven approach, with greater room for improvement in some areas than in others.

Variation in performance across the indicator sets is not at all unusual. It reflects differences in the degree of priority that government authorities give to particular areas of business regulation reform and the ability of different government agencies to deliver tangible results in their area of responsibility.

Economies that improved the most across 3 or more *Doing Business* topics in 2011/12

Doing Business 2013 uses a simple method to calculate which economies improved the most in the ease of doing business. First, it selects the economies that in 2011/12 implemented regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in 3 or more of the 10 topics included in this year's ease of doing business ranking.4 Twenty-three economies meet this criterion: Benin, Burundi, Costa Rica, the Czech Republic, Georgia, Greece, Guinea, Kazakhstan, Korea, Lao PDR, Liberia, Mongolia, the Netherlands, Panama, Poland, Portugal, Serbia, the Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Sri Lanka, Ukraine, the United Arab Emirates and Uzbekistan. Second, Doing Business ranks these economies on the increase in their ranking on the ease of doing business from the previous year using comparable rankings.

Selecting the economies that implemented regulatory reforms in at least 3 topics and improved the most in the aggregate ranking is intended to highlight

economies with ongoing, broad-based reform programs.

DISTANCE TO FRONTIER MEASURE

A drawback of the ease of doing business ranking is that it can measure the regulatory performance of economies only relative to the performance of others. It does not provide information on how the absolute quality of the regulatory environment is improving over time. Nor does it provide information on how large the gaps are between economies at a single point in time.

The distance to frontier measure is designed to address both shortcomings, complementing the ease of doing business ranking. This measure illustrates the distance of an economy to the "frontier," and the change in the measure over time shows the extent to which the economy has closed this gap. The frontier is a score derived from the most efficient practice or highest score achieved on each of the component indicators in 9 Doing Business indicator sets (excluding the employing workers and getting electricity indicators) by any economy since 2005. In starting a business, for example, New Zealand has achieved the highest performance on the time (1 day), Canada and New Zealand on the number of procedures required (1), Slovenia on the cost (0% of income per capita) and Australia and 90 other economies on the paid-in minimum capital requirement (0% of income per capita) (table 21.2).

Calculating the distance to frontier for each economy involves 2 main steps. First, individual indicator scores are normalized to a common unit: except for the total tax rate, each of the 28 component indicators y is rescaled to (max – y)/(max – min), with the minimum value (min) representing the frontier—the highest performance on that indicator across all economies since 2005. For the total tax rate, consistent with the calculation of the rankings, the frontier is defined as the total tax rate corresponding to the 15th percentile based on the overall

distribution of total tax rates for all years. Second, for each economy the scores obtained for individual indicators are aggregated through simple averaging into one distance to frontier score. An economy's distance to frontier is indicated on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the lowest performance and 100 the frontier.⁵

The difference between an economy's distance to frontier score in 2005 and its score in 2012 illustrates the extent to which the economy has closed the gap to the frontier over time. And in any given year the score measures how far an economy is from the highest performance at that time.

The maximum (max) and minimum (min) observed values are computed for the 174 economies included in the Doing Business sample since 2005 and for all years (from 2005 to 2012). The vear 2005 was chosen as the baseline for the economy sample because it was the first year in which data were available for the majority of economies (a total of 174) and for all 9 indicator sets included in the measure. To mitigate the effects of extreme outliers in the distributions of the rescaled data (very few economies need 694 days to complete the procedures to start a business, but many need 9 days), the maximum (max) is defined as the 95th percentile of the pooled data for all economies and all years for each indicator. The exceptions are the getting credit, protecting investors and resolving insolvency indicators, whose construction precludes outliers.

Take Ghana, which has a score of 67 on the distance to frontier measure for 2012. This score indicates that the economy is 33 percentage points away from the frontier constructed from the best performances across all economies and all years. Ghana was further from the frontier in 2005, with a score of 54. The difference between the scores shows an improvement over time.

The distance to frontier measure can also be used for comparisons across

TABLE 21.2 What is the frontier in regulatory practice?							
Topic and indicator	Frontier						
Starting a business							
Procedures (number)	1						
Time (days)	1						
Cost (% of income per capita)	0						
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0						
Dealing with construction permits							
Procedures (number)	6						
Time (days)	25						
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2						
Registering property							
Procedures (number)	1						
Time (days)	1						
Cost (% of property value)	0						
Getting credit							
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	10						
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	6						
Protecting investors							
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10						
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9						
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	10						
Paying taxes							
Payments (number per year)	3						
Time (hours per year)	O ^a						
Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.5 ^b						
Trading across borders							
Documents to export (number)	2						
Time to export (days)	5						
Cost to export (US\$ per container)	390						
Documents to import (number)	2						
Time to import (days)	4						
Cost to import (US\$ per container)	317						
Enforcing contracts							
Procedures (number)	21						
Time (days)	120						
Cost (% of claim)	0.1						
Resolving insolvency							
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	94.4						

a. The time of 0 hours refers to Maldives, where the 3 major taxes covered by the paying taxes indicators did not exist until 2011

Source: Doing Business database.

b. The frontier total tax rate differs from the threshold set for the indicator this year. See the data notes for more details.

economies in the same year, complementing the ease of doing business ranking. For example, Ghana stands at 64 this year in the ease of doing business ranking, while Peru, which is 29 percentage points from the frontier, stands at 43.

NOTES

- In case of revisions to the methodology or corrections to the underlying data, the data are back-calculated to provide a comparable time series since the year the relevant economy or topic was first included in the data set. The time series is available on the *Doing Business* website
- (http://www.doingbusiness.org). Six topics and more than 50 economies have been added since the inception of the project. Earlier rankings on the ease of doing business are therefore not comparable.
- 2. See Djankov and others (2005). Principal components and unobserved components methods yield a ranking nearly identical to that from the simple average method because both these methods assign roughly equal weights to the topics, since the pairwise correlations among indicators do not differ much. An alternative to the simple average method is to give different weights to the topics, depending on which are considered of
- more or less importance in the context of a specific economy.
- 3. A technical note on the different aggregation and weighting methods is available on the *Doing Business* website (http://www.doingbusiness.org).
- Doing Business reforms making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the total number of those making it easier to do business.
- 5. This represents a change from last year's report, where 100 represented the lowest performance and 0 the frontier.

Summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2011/12



Doing Business reforms affecting all sets of indicators included in this year's report, implemented from June 2011 to June 2012.

- ✔ Doing Business reform making it easier to do business
- ✗ Doing Business reform making it more difficult to do business

ALBANIA

Starting a business

Albania made starting a business easier by making the notarization of incorporation documents optional.

✓ Paying taxes

Albania made paying taxes easier for companies by abolishing the vehicle tax and encouraging electronic filing for taxes.

ALGERIA

✓ Getting credit

Algeria improved access to credit information by eliminating the minimum threshold for loans to be included in the database.

ANGOLA

Getting electricity

Angola made getting electricity easier by eliminating the requirement for customers applying for an electricity connection to obtain authorizations from the 2 utility companies.

ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA

x Trading across borders

Antigua and Barbuda made trading across borders more difficult by increasing the number of documents required to import.

ARGENTINA

Trading across borders

Argentina increased the time, cost and number of documents needed to import

by expanding the list of products requiring nonautomatic licenses and introducing new preapproval procedures for all imports.

ARMENIA

✓ Getting electricity

Armenia made getting electricity easier by streamlining procedures and reducing connection fees.

✓ Protecting investors

Armenia strengthened investor protections by introducing a requirement for shareholder approval of related-party transactions, requiring greater disclosure of such transactions in the annual report and making it easier to sue directors when such transactions are prejudicial.

AUSTRALIA

✓ Getting credit

Australia strengthened its secured transactions system by adopting a new national legal regime governing the enforceability of security interests in personal property and implementing a unified collateral registry.

BANGLADESH

x Getting electricity

Bangladesh made getting electricity more difficult by requiring all customers to meet 7% of their electricity needs through solar energy, making it necessary to install solar panels.

Getting credit

Bangladesh improved access to credit information by establishing an online platform for sharing such information.

BELARUS

x Starting a business

Belarus made starting a business more difficult by increasing the cost of business

Reforms affecting the employing workers indicators are included here but do not affect the ranking on the ease of doing business.

registration and the cost to obtain a company seal.

✓ Paying taxes

Belarus made paying taxes easier and less costly for companies by reducing the profit tax rate and encouraging the use of electronic filing and payment systems.

Resolving insolvency

Belarus enhanced its insolvency process by exempting the previously state-owned property of a privatized company from the bankruptcy proceeding, requiring that immovable property not sold in the auction be offered to creditors for purchase and allowing immovable property to be sold without proof of state registration in a bankruptcy auction if there are no funds to pay for the registration.

BELIZE

✓ Trading across borders

Belize reduced the time to export and import by implementing the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system.

BENIN

✓ Starting a business

Benin made starting a business easier by appointing a representative of the commercial registry at the one-stop shop and reducing some fees.

✔ Dealing with construction permits

Benin reduced the time required to obtain a construction permit by speeding up the processing of applications.

✓ Trading across borders

Benin reduced the time required to trade across borders by implementing an electronic single-window system integrating customs, control agencies, port authorities and other service providers at the Cotonou port.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Benin made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a new code of civil, administrative and social procedures.

BHUTAN

Employing workers

Bhutan introduced a minimum wage.

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Registering property

Bosnia and Herzegovina made it easier to transfer property between companies by computerizing the commercial registry.

x Getting credit

Bosnia and Herzegovina made access to credit information more difficult by stopping the private credit bureau's collection of credit information on individuals.

✓ Paying taxes

Bosnia and Herzegovina eased the administrative burden of filing and paying social security contributions by implementing electronic filing and payment systems.

BOTSWANA

x Paying taxes

Botswana made paying taxes more costly for companies by increasing the profit tax

✓ Trading across borders

In Botswana exporting and importing became faster thanks to the introduction of a scanner by the country's customs authority and an upgrade of South Africa's customs declaration system, both at the Kopfontein-Tlokweng border post.

BRAZIL

x Registering property

Brazil made transferring property more difficult by introducing a new certificate of good standing on labor debts, adding to the number of due diligence procedures.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Brazil made enforcing contracts easier by implementing an electronic system for filing initial complaints at the São Paulo civil district court.

Employing workers

Brazil increased the notice period applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal of employees.

BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Brunei Darussalam made dealing with construction permits easier by creating a one-stop shop for preconstruction approvals.

Paying taxes

Brunei Darussalam made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the profit tax rate.

BULGARIA

✓ Starting a business

Bulgaria made starting a business easier by reducing the cost of registration.

BURUNDI

✓ Starting a business

Burundi made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirements to have company documents notarized, to publish information on new companies in a journal and to register new companies with the Ministry of Trade and Industry.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Burundi made obtaining a construction permit easier by eliminating the requirement for a clearance from the Ministry of Health and reducing the cost of the geotechnical study.

✓ Registering property

Burundi made property transfers faster by establishing a statutory time limit for processing property transfer requests at the land registry.

✓ Trading across borders

Burundi reduced the time to trade across borders by enhancing its use of electronic data interchange systems, introducing a more efficient system for monitoring goods going through transit countries and improving border coordination with neighboring transit countries.

CAMBODIA

✓ Getting credit

Cambodia improved access to credit information by establishing its first private credit bureau.

x Paying taxes

Cambodia introduced a new tax on immovable property.

CAMEROON

✓ Enforcing contracts

Cameroon made enforcing contracts easier by creating specialized commercial divisions within its courts of first instance.

CANADA

✓ Getting electricity

Canada made getting an electricity connection easier by reducing the time needed for external connection works.

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

x Dealing with construction permits

The Central African Republic made obtaining a construction permit more costly.

CHAD

✓ Starting a business

Chad made starting a business easier by setting up a one-stop shop.

CHINA

Starting a business

China made starting a business less costly by exempting micro and small companies from paying several administrative fees from January 2012 to December 2014.

Dealing with construction permits

China simplified the process of obtaining a construction permit by streamlining and centralizing preconstruction approvals.

COLOMBIA

Starting a business

Colombia made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement to purchase and register accounting books at the time of incorporation.

COMOROS

Starting a business

The Comoros made starting a business easier and less costly by replacing the requirement for a copy of the founders' criminal records with one for a sworn declaration at the time of the company's registration and by reducing the fees to incorporate a company.

✓ Registering property

The Comoros made it easier to transfer property by reducing the property transfer tax.

CONGO, DEM. REP.

Starting a business

The Democratic Republic of Congo made starting a business easier by appointing additional public notaries.

CONGO, REP.

Starting a business

The Republic of Congo made starting a business easier by eliminating or reducing several administrative costs associated with incorporation.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

The Republic of Congo made dealing with construction permits less expensive by reducing the cost of registering a new building at the land registry.

COSTA RICA

✓ Starting a business

Costa Rica made starting a business easier by streamlining the process of obtaining a sanitary permit for low-risk activities.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Costa Rica streamlined the process for obtaining construction permits by implementing online approval systems.

✓ Getting credit

Costa Rica improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

Paying taxes

Costa Rica made paying taxes easier for companies by implementing electronic payment for municipal taxes—though it also introduced a registration flat tax.

CROATIA

Paying taxes

Croatia made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the health insurance contribution rate.

CYPRUS

✓ Registering property

Cyprus made property transfers faster by computerizing its land registry.

x Paying taxes

Cyprus made paying taxes more costly for companies by increasing the special defense contribution rate on interest income and introducing a private sector special contribution and a fixed annual fee for companies registered in Cyprus. At the same time, it simplified tax compliance by introducing electronic filing for corporate income tax.

CZECH REPUBLIC

Registering property

The Czech Republic made registering property easier by allowing the cadastral office online access to the commercial registry's database and thus eliminating the need to obtain a paper certificate from the registry before applying for registration at the cadastre.

Paying taxes

The Czech Republic made paying taxes faster for companies by promoting the use of electronic facilities.

✓ Trading across borders

The Czech Republic reduced the time to export and import by allowing electronic

submission of customs declarations and other documents.

Employing workers

The Czech Republic increased the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and reduced the severance pay applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal of employees with 1 year of service.

DENMARK

✓ Registering property

Denmark made registering property easier by introducing electronic submission of property transfer applications at the land registry.

DOMINICA

✓ Trading across borders

Dominica reduced the time to import by implementing the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

x Paying taxes

The Dominican Republic increased the corporate income tax rate.

ECUADOR

x Registering property

In Ecuador property transfers became more time consuming as a result of implementation problems in transferring authority over property records to the municipality of Quito.

EL SALVADOR

✓ Getting credit

El Salvador improved access to credit information through a new law regulating the management of personal credit information.

x Paying taxes

El Salvador introduced an alternative minimum tax

ETHIOPIA

✓ Getting credit

Ethiopia improved access to credit information by establishing an online platform for sharing such information and by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

x Paying taxes

Ethiopia introduced a social insurance contribution.

FIJI

x Starting a business

Fiji made starting a business more difficult by requiring new companies applying for a business license to obtain a certificate from the national fire authority and a letter of compliance from the Ministry of Labor.

x Dealing with construction permits

Fiji made obtaining a construction permit more expensive by implementing a fee for the fire department clearance.

x Registering property

Fiji made transferring property more difficult by requiring parties to a property transaction to obtain a capital gains tax clearance certificate from the Fiji Revenue and Customs Authority.

✓ Paying taxes

Fiji made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the profit tax rate. At the same time, Fiji introduced a capital gains tax.

GABON

x Registering property

In Gabon registering property became more difficult because of longer administrative delays at the land registry.

GEORGIA

✓ Getting electricity

Georgia made getting electricity easier by simplifying the process of connecting new customers to the distribution network and reducing connection fees.

✓ Getting credit

Georgia strengthened its secured transactions system through an amendment to the civil code allowing a security interest to extend to the products, proceeds and replacements of collateral.

Paying taxes

Georgia made paying taxes easier for companies by enhancing the use of electronic systems and providing more services to taxpayers.

✓ Trading across borders

Georgia reduced the time to export and import by creating customs clearance zones.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Georgia made enforcing contracts easier by simplifying and speeding up the proceedings for commercial disputes.

Resolving insolvency

Georgia expedited the process of resolving insolvency by establishing or tightening

time limits for all insolvency-related procedures, including auctions.

GERMANY

Paying taxes

Germany made paying taxes more convenient for companies by canceling ELENA procedures and implementing an electronic filing and payment system for most taxes.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Germany strengthened its insolvency process by adopting a new insolvency law that facilitates in-court restructurings of distressed companies and increases participation by creditors.

GHANA

x Trading across borders

Ghana added to the time required to import by increasing its scanning of imports and changing its customs clearance system.

GREECE

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Greece reduced the time required to obtain a construction permit by introducing strict time limits for processing permit applications at the municipality.

✓ Protecting investors

Greece strengthened investor protections by requiring greater immediate and annual disclosure of material related-party transactions.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Greece enhanced its insolvency process by abolishing the conciliation procedure and introducing a new rehabilitation proceeding.

GRENADA

✓ Trading across borders

Grenada reduced the time to export and import by implementing the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system.

GUATEMALA

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Guatemala made dealing with construction permits easier by introducing a riskbased approval system.

GUINEA

✓ Starting a business

Guinea made starting a business easier by setting up a one-stop shop for company incorporation and by replacing the requirement for a copy of the founders' criminal records with one for a sworn declaration at the time of the company's registration.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Guinea made obtaining a building permit less expensive by clarifying the method for calculating the cost.

✓ Getting electricity

Guinea made getting electricity easier by simplifying the process for connecting new customers to the distribution network.

HUNGARY

x Starting a business

Hungary made starting a business more complex by increasing the registration fees for limited liability companies and adding a new tax registration at the time of incorporation.

✓ Getting credit

Hungary improved access to credit information by passing its first credit bureau law mandating the creation of a database with positive credit information on individuals.

Paying taxes

Hungary made paying taxes easier for companies by abolishing the community tax. At the same time, Hungary increased health insurance contributions paid by the employer.

✓ Trading across borders

Hungary reduced the time to export and import by allowing electronic submission of customs declarations and other documents.

ICELAND

x Paying taxes

Iceland increased the corporate income tax rate.

INDIA

✓ Dealing with construction permits

India reduced the time required to obtain a building permit by establishing strict time limits for preconstruction approvals.

INDONESIA

✓ Getting electricity

Indonesia made getting electricity easier by eliminating the requirement for new customers applying for an electricity connection to show a neighbor's electricity bill as a way to help determine their address.

IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.

x Starting a business

The Islamic Republic of Iran made starting a business more difficult by requiring company founders to obtain a criminal record clearance to register a new company.

✓ Protecting investors

The Islamic Republic of Iran strengthened investor protections by requiring greater immediate disclosure of related-party transactions.

IRELAND

Starting a business

Ireland made starting a business easier by introducing a new online facility for business registration.

Registering property

Ireland made property transfers less costly by introducing a single stamp duty rate for transfers of nonresidential property. It also extended compulsory registration to all property in Ireland.

ISRAEL

✓ Registering property

Israel made transferring property easier by tightening time limits for tax authorities to process capital gains self-assessments on property transfers.

ITALY

Getting electricity

Italy made getting electricity easier and less costly by improving the efficiency of the utility Acea Distribuzione and reducing connection fees.

✓ Registering property

Italy made transferring property easier by digitizing cadastral maps of properties and making the maps available to notaries online.

JAMAICA

Paying taxes

Jamaica made paying taxes easier for companies by allowing joint filing and payment of all social security contributions.

✓ Trading across borders

Jamaica reduced the time to import by allowing customs entries to be lodged at night.

JAPAN

Paying taxes

Japan made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the corporate income tax rate—though it also introduced a restoration surtax for a 3-year period.

KAZAKHSTAN

Starting a business

Kazakhstan made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement to pay in minimum capital within 3 months after incorporation.

✓ Getting credit

Kazakhstan strengthened secured creditor rights by introducing new grounds for relief from an automatic stay during rehabilitation proceedings.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Kazakhstan strengthened its insolvency process by introducing an accelerated rehabilitation proceeding, extending the period for rehabilitation, expanding the powers of and improving qualification requirements for insolvency administrators, changing requirements for bankruptcy filings, extending the rights of creditors, changing regulations related to the continuation of operations, introducing a time limit for adopting a rehabilitation plan and adding court supervision requirements.

KENYA

✓ Paying taxes

Kenya made paying taxes faster for companies by enhancing electronic filing systems.

KOREA, REP.

✓ Getting electricity

Korea made getting electricity less costly by introducing a new connection fee schedule and an installment payment system.

✓ Protecting investors

Korea strengthened investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in cases of prejudicial related-party transactions.

Paying taxes

Korea made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the profit tax rate.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Korea expedited the insolvency process by implementing a fast track for company rehabilitation.

KOSOVO

✓ Starting a business

Kosovo made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement and business registration fee and streamlining the business registration process.

✓ Protecting investors

Kosovo strengthened investor protections by introducing a requirement for shareholder approval of related-party transactions, requiring greater disclosure of such transactions in the annual report and making it easier to sue directors when such transactions are prejudicial.

Employing workers

Kosovo introduced a minimum wage.

LAO PDR

✓ Starting a business

Lao PDR made starting a business easier by allowing entrepreneurs to apply for tax registration at the time of incorporation.

Paying taxes

Lao PDR made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the corporate income tax rate.

✓ Trading across borders

Lao PDR reduced the time to export and import by implementing the ASYCUDA electronic data interchange system at the Thanaleng-Friendship Bridge border crossing.

LATVIA

Employing workers

Latvia eliminated requirements for notification of third parties in cases of redundancy dismissal.

LESOTHO

Starting a business

Lesotho made starting a business easier by creating a one-stop shop for company incorporation and by eliminating the requirements for paid-in minimum capital and for notarization of the articles of association.

Protecting investors

Lesotho strengthened investor protections by increasing the disclosure requirements for related-party transactions and improving the liability regime for company directors in cases of abusive related-party transactions.

LIBERIA

Getting electricity

In Liberia obtaining an electricity connection became easier thanks to the adoption of better procurement practices by the Liberia Electricity Corporation.

Paying taxes

Liberia made paying taxes easier for companies by reducing the profit tax rate and abolishing the turnover tax.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Liberia made enforcing contracts easier by creating a specialized commercial court.

LITHUANIA

Starting a business

Lithuania made starting a business easier by introducing online registration for limited liability companies and eliminating the notarization requirement for incorporation documents.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Lithuania made resolving insolvency easier by establishing which cases against the company's property shall be taken to the bankruptcy court, tightening the time frame for decisions on appeals, abolishing the court's obligation to individually notify creditors and other stakeholders about restructuring proceedings and setting new time limits for creditors to file claims.

MACEDONIA, FYR

✓ Starting a business

FYR Macedonia made starting a business easier by simplifying the process for obtaining a company seal.

MADAGASCAR

✓ Starting a business

Madagascar made starting a business easier by allowing the one-stop shop to deal with the publication of the notice of incorporation.

MALAWI

x Dealing with construction permits

Malawi made dealing with construction permits more expensive by increasing the cost to obtain the plan approval and to register the property.

x Paying taxes

Malawi introduced a mandatory pension contribution for companies.

✓ Trading across borders

Trading across borders became easier in Malawi thanks to improvements in customs clearance procedures and transport links between the port of Beira in Mozambique and Blantyre.

MALAYSIA

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Malaysia made dealing with construction permits faster by improving the one-stop center for new buildings and by reducing the time to connect to telephone service.

✓ Registering property

Malaysia substantially reduced the number of days it takes to register property transfers.

MALDIVES

x Paying taxes

Maldives introduced a goods and service tax, a business profit tax and additional social contributions.

MALI

Paying taxes

Mali made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the corporate income tax rate—though it also introduced a new tax on land. At the same time, Mali simplified the process of paying taxes by introducing a single form for joint filing and payment of several taxes.

MAURITIUS

Registering property

Mauritius made property transfers faster by implementing an electronic information management system at the Registrar-General's Department.

✓ Getting credit

Mauritius improved access to credit information by starting to collect and distribute payment information from retailers and beginning to distribute both positive and negative information.

MEXICO

Starting a business

Mexico made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.

✓ Getting electricity

In Mexico the distribution utility made getting electricity easier by streamlining procedures, offering training opportunities to private contractors, using a geographic information system (GIS) to map the electricity distribution network and increasing the stock of materials.

MOLDOVA

✓ Protecting investors

Moldova strengthened investor protections by allowing the rescission of prejudicial related-party transactions.

x Paying taxes

Moldova made paying taxes more costly for companies by reintroducing the corporate income tax—but also made tax compliance easier by encouraging electronic filing and payment.

x Enforcing contracts

Moldova made enforcing contracts more difficult by abolishing the specialized economic courts.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Moldova strengthened its insolvency process by extending the duration of the reorganization proceeding and refining the qualification requirements for insolvency administrators.

MONGOLIA

✓ Starting a business

Mongolia made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.

✓ Getting credit

Mongolia improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

✓ Protecting investors

Mongolia strengthened investor protections by increasing the disclosure requirements for related-party transactions.

MONTENEGRO

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Montenegro made dealing with construction permits less expensive by reducing the cost of pre- and postconstruction procedures.

✓ Getting credit

Montenegro improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

Employing workers

Montenegro lowered redundancy costs though it also reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and increased paid annual leave.

MOROCCO

Starting a business

Morocco made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.

Registering property

Morocco made registering property more costly by increasing property registration fees.

NAMIBIA

Getting electricity

Namibia made getting electricity easier by reducing the time required to provide estimates and external connection works and by lowering the connection costs.

x Registering property

Namibia made transferring property more difficult by requiring conveyancers to obtain a building compliance certificate beforehand.

NETHERLANDS

✓ Starting a business

The Netherlands made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement for a declaration of nonobjection by the Ministry of Justice before incorporation.

Dealing with construction permits

The Netherlands made dealing with construction permits simpler by merging several approvals and implementing an online application system.

✓ Protecting investors

The Netherlands strengthened investor protections through a new law regulating the approval of related-party transactions.

Trading across borders

The Netherlands made importing easier by introducing a new web-based system for cargo release at the port terminals in Rotterdam.

NEW ZEALAND

✓ Getting credit

New Zealand improved access to credit information by allowing credit bureaus to collect positive information on individuals.

NIGER

✓ Trading across borders

Niger reduced the time to import by expanding and optimizing the use of an electronic data interchange system for customs clearance.

NIGERIA

x Paying taxes

Nigeria introduced a new compulsory labor contribution paid by the employer.

NORWAY

✓ Starting a business

Norway made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement for private joint stock companies.

Dealing with construction permits

Norway reduced the time required to obtain a building permit by implementing strict time limits for construction project approvals.

OMAN

✓ Getting credit

Oman improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

Employing workers

Oman reduced the maximum number of working days per week and increased the paid annual leave applicable for employees with 1 year of service.

PANAMA

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Panama made dealing with construction permits easier by reducing the fees for a permit from the fire department's safety office and by accelerating the process at the building registry for obtaining a certificate of good standing and for registering the new building.

✓ Registering property

Panama made property transfers faster by increasing working hours at the registry and reorganizing the caseload of its staff.

✓ Paying taxes

Panama made paying taxes easier for companies by enhancing the electronic filing system for value added tax and simplifying tax return forms for corporate income tax—though it also began requiring companies to pay corporate income tax monthly rather than quarterly.

PERU

Dealing with construction permits

Peru made obtaining a construction permit easier by eliminating requirements for several preconstruction approvals.

✓ Protecting investors

Peru strengthened investor protections through a new law regulating the approval of related-party transactions and making it easier to sue directors when such transactions are prejudicial.

POLAND

✓ Registering property

Poland made property registration faster by introducing a new caseload management system for the land and mortgage registries and by continuing to digitize the records of the registries.

✓ Paying taxes

Poland made paying taxes easier for companies by promoting the use of electronic filing and payment systems—though it also increased social security contributions.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Poland made enforcing contracts easier by amending the civil procedure code and appointing more judges to commercial courts.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Poland strengthened its insolvency process by updating guidelines on the information and documents that need to be included in the bankruptcy petition and by granting secured creditors the right to take over claims encumbered with financial pledges in case of liquidation.

PORTUGAL

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Portugal made obtaining construction permits easier by implementing strict time limits to process urban projects and simplifying the associated procedures.

✓ Trading across borders

Portugal made trading across borders easier by implementing an electronic single window for port procedures.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Portugal made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new insolvency law that expedites liquidation procedures and creates fast-track mechanisms both in and out of court

Employing workers

Portugal increased the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and reduced the severance pay applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal.

PUERTO RICO (U.S.)

Paying taxes

Puerto Rico (territory of the United States) made paying taxes easier and less costly for companies by introducing a new internal revenue code and tax codification and by reducing the effective corporate income tax rate.

QATAR

✓ Trading across borders

Qatar reduced the time to export and import by introducing a new online portal allowing electronic submission of customs declarations for clearance at the Doha seaport.

ROMANIA

✓ Starting a business

Romania made starting a business easier by reducing the time required to obtain a clearance certificate from the fiscal administration agency.

✓ Getting credit

Romania strengthened its legal framework for secured transactions by allowing the automatic extension of security interests to the products, proceeds and replacements of collateral.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

✔ Dealing with construction permits

Russia made obtaining a construction permit simpler by eliminating requirements for several preconstruction approvals.

Paying taxes

Russia eased the administrative burden of taxes for firms by simplifying compliance procedures for value added tax and by promoting the use of tax accounting software and electronic services.

RWANDA

Getting electricity

Rwanda made getting electricity easier by reducing the cost of obtaining a new connection.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Rwanda made enforcing contracts easier by implementing an electronic filing system for initial complaints.

SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE

x Dealing with construction permits

São Tomé and Príncipe made obtaining a construction permit more expensive by increasing the fees.

SAUDI ARABIA

x Getting electricity

Saudi Arabia made getting electricity more expensive by increasing the connection fees.

✓ Paying taxes

Saudi Arabia made paying taxes easier for companies by introducing online filing and payment systems for social security contributions.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Saudi Arabia made enforcing contracts easier by expanding the computerization of its courts and introducing an electronic filing system.

SERBIA

Starting a business

Serbia made starting a business easier by eliminating the paid-in minimum capital requirement.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Serbia made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a private bailiff system.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Serbia strengthened its insolvency process by introducing private bailiffs, reducing the starting prices for the sale of assets, prohibiting appeals, expediting service of process and adopting an electronic registry for injunctions to make public all prohibitions on the disposal or pledge of movable or immovable property.

SIERRA LEONE

✓ Registering property

Sierra Leone made registering property easier by computerizing the Ministry of Lands, Country Planning and the Environment.

✓ Getting credit

Sierra Leone improved access to credit information by establishing a public credit registry at its central bank and guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Starting a business

The Slovak Republic made starting a business easier by speeding up the processing of applications at the one-stop shop for

trading licenses, income tax registration and health insurance registration.

Paying taxes

The Slovak Republic made paying taxes easier for companies by implementing electronic filing and payment of social security and health insurance contributions.

Enforcing contracts

The Slovak Republic made enforcing contracts easier by adopting several amendments to the code of civil procedure intended to simplify and speed up proceedings as well as to limit obstructive tactics by the parties to a case.

Resolving insolvency

The Slovak Republic improved its insolvency process by redefining the roles and powers of creditors and trustees, strengthening the rights of secured creditors and redefining rules for the conversion of restructuring into a bankruptcy proceeding.

Employing workers

The Slovak Republic increased the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts, eliminated requirements for notification of third parties in cases of redundancy dismissal and reduced redundancy costs.

SLOVENIA

Protecting investors

Slovenia strengthened investor protections through a new law regulating the approval of related-party transactions.

✓ Paying taxes

Slovenia made paying taxes easier and less costly for companies by implementing electronic filing and payment of social security contributions and by reducing the corporate income tax rate.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Slovenia strengthened its insolvency process by requiring that the debtor offer creditors payment of at least 50% of the claims within 4 years; giving greater power to the creditors' committee in a bankruptcy proceeding; prohibiting insolvency administrators from allowing relatives to render services associated with the bankruptcy proceeding; and establishing fines for members of management that violate certain obligations or prohibitions.

SOUTH AFRICA

Trading across borders

South Africa reduced the time and documents required to export and import through its ongoing customs modernization program.

SPAIN

✓ Trading across borders

Spain reduced the time to import by further expanding the use of electronic submission of customs declarations and improving the sharing of information among customs and other agencies.

Resolving insolvency

Spain strengthened its insolvency process by making workouts easier, offering more protections for refinancing agreements, allowing conversion from reorganization into liquidation at any time, allowing reliefs of the stay under certain circumstances and permitting the judge to determine whether an asset of the insolvent company is necessary for its continued operation.

Employing workers

Spain temporarily allowed unlimited duration of fixed-term contracts.

SRI LANKA

✓ Starting a business

Sri Lanka made starting a business easier by computerizing and expediting the process of obtaining a registration number for the Employees Provident Fund and Employees Trust Fund.

✓ Registering property

Sri Lanka made registering property faster by introducing an electronic system at the land registry in Colombo.

Getting credit

Sri Lanka strengthened its secured transactions system by establishing an electronic, searchable collateral registry and issuing regulations for its operation.

Trading across borders

Sri Lanka reduced the time to export by implementing the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system.

ST. KITTS AND NEVIS

x Trading across borders

St. Kitts and Nevis made it more expensive to export by increasing the cost of operations at the port of Basseterre.

SURINAME

Trading across borders

Suriname increased the time to export by involving more customs departments in clearing exports.

SWAZILAND

Paying taxes

Swaziland introduced a value added tax.

SWEDEN

x Registering property

In Sweden property transfers became more time consuming during implementation of a new information technology system at the land registry.

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

Getting credit

Syria improved access to credit information by establishing an online system for data exchange between all banks and microfinance institutions and the central bank's credit registry.

TAIWAN, CHINA

Dealing with construction permits

Taiwan, China, made dealing with construction permits easier by introducing a risk-based and self-regulatory inspection system and improving operational features of the one-stop shop for building permits.

✓ Protecting investors

Taiwan, China, strengthened investor protections by increasing disclosure requirements for related-party transactions and improving the liability regime for company directors in cases where such transactions are abusive.

TAJIKISTAN

✓ Protecting investors

Tajikistan strengthened investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in cases of prejudicial related-party transactions.

TANZANIA

Starting a business

Tanzania made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement for inspections by health, town and land officers as a prerequisite for a business license.

x Dealing with construction permits

Tanzania made dealing with construction permits more expensive by increasing the cost to obtain a building permit.

x Trading across borders

Tanzania made importing more difficult by introducing a requirement to obtain a certificate of conformity before the imported goods are shipped.

THAILAND

Starting a business

Thailand made starting a business easier by allowing the registrar at the Department of Business Development to receive the company's work regulations.

Paying taxes

Thailand made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the profit tax rate.

TIMOR-LESTE

Employing workers

Timor-Leste reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and also introduced a wage premium for night work.

TOGO

✓ Starting a business

Togo made starting a business easier and less costly by reducing incorporation fees, improving the work flow at the one-stop shop for company registration and replacing the requirement for a copy of the founders' criminal records with one for a sworn declaration at the time of the company's registration.

Employing workers

Togo increased the wage premium for weekly holiday work and the severance payment in cases of redundancy dismissal.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

✓ Registering property

In Trinidad and Tobago property transfers became faster thanks to speedier issuance of clearance certificates by the Water and Sewerage Authority.

✓ Trading across borders

Trinidad and Tobago reduced the time to export and import by launching the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system and simplifying the process for obtaining a certificate of origin.

TURKEY

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Turkey made dealing with construction permits easier by eliminating the requirement to build a shelter in nonresidential buildings with a total area of less than 1,500 square meters.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Turkey made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a new civil procedure law.

UGANDA

x Registering property

Uganda made transferring property more difficult by introducing a requirement for property purchasers to obtain an income tax certificate before registration, resulting in delays at the Uganda Revenue Authority and the Ministry of Finance. At the same time, Uganda made it easier by digitizing records at the title registry, increasing efficiency at the assessor's office and making it possible for more banks to accept the stamp duty payment.

Resolving insolvency

Uganda strengthened its insolvency process by clarifying rules on the creation of mortgages, establishing the duties of mortgagors and mortgagees, defining priority rules, providing remedies for mortgagors and mortgagees and establishing the powers of receivers.

UKRAINE

Starting a business

Ukraine made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for company incorporation as well as the requirement to have incorporation documents notarized.

Registering property

Ukraine made property transfers faster by introducing an effective time limit for processing transfer applications at the land cadastre in Kiev.

✓ Paying taxes

Ukraine made paying taxes easier by implementing electronic filing and payment for medium-size and large enterprises.

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

✓ Starting a business

The United Arab Emirates made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement for a company to prepare a name board in English and Arabic after having received clearance on the use of office premises.

Getting electricity

In the United Arab Emirates the Dubai Electricity and Water Authority made getting electricity easier by introducing an electronic "one window, one step" application process allowing customers to submit and track their applications online and reducing the time for processing the applications.

Paying taxes

The United Arab Emirates made paying taxes easier for companies by establishing

an online filing and payment system for social security contributions.

UNITED KINGDOM

Paying taxes

The United Kingdom made paying taxes less costly for companies by reducing the corporate income tax rate.

Employing workers

The United Kingdom increased the severance pay applicable in cases of redundancy dismissal.

URUGUAY

Paying taxes

Uruguay made paying taxes easier for small and medium-size companies by fully implementing an online filing and payment system for capital, value added and corporate income taxes and by improving the online facilities for social security contributions.

✓ Trading across borders

Uruguay reduced the time to import by improving port efficiency and introducing electronic payment and predeclaration systems for customs.

UZBEKISTAN

✓ Starting a business

Uzbekistan made starting a business easier by introducing an online facility for name reservation and eliminating the fee to open a bank account for small businesses.

Getting credit

Uzbekistan improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

✓ Trading across borders

Uzbekistan reduced the time to export by introducing a single window for customs clearance and reduced the number of documents needed for each import transaction.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Uzbekistan strengthened its insolvency process by introducing new time limits for insolvency proceedings and new time limits and procedures for the second auction and by making it possible for businesses to continue operating throughout the liquidation proceeding.

VANUATU

x Dealing with construction permits

Vanuatu made obtaining a construction permit more cumbersome by making a preliminary environmental assessment mandatory and made it more expensive by increasing the fees.

VENEZUELA, RB

x Starting a business

República Bolivariana de Venezuela made starting a business more difficult by increasing the cost of company incorporation.

x Paying taxes

República Bolivariana de Venezuela made paying taxes more costly and difficult for companies by introducing a sports, physical activities and physical education tax.

Employing workers

República Bolivariana de Venezuela introduced a new labor code that prohibits redundancy dismissals.

VIETNAM

✓ Starting a business

Vietnam made starting a business easier by allowing companies to use self-printed value added tax invoices.

WEST BANK AND GAZA

x Registering property

West Bank and Gaza made transferring property more costly by increasing the property transfer fee.

Getting credit

West Bank and Gaza improved access to credit information by guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their personal data.

ZAMBIA

✓ Resolving insolvency

Zambia strengthened its insolvency process by introducing further qualification requirements for receivers and liquidators and by establishing specific duties and remuneration rules for them.

Country tables

✓ Reform making it easier to do business
✗ Reform making it more difficult to do business

AFCHANICTAN		South Asia		CNI per sepite /UC¢\	FOF
AFGHANISTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	168	Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	585 35.3
			474		
Starting a business (rank)	28	Registering property (rank)	174	Trading across borders (rank)	178
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	9	Documents to export (number)	10
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	250	Time to export (days)	74
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.5	Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,545
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	154	Time to import (days)	77
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	164	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,830
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	334	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	164
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,308.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	47
				Time (days)	1,642
Getting electricity (rank)	110	Protecting investors (rank)	185	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	1		
Time (days)	109	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	115
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,494.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	1	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	1.0	Cost (% of estate)	25
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	94		
		Payments (number per year)	20		
		Time (hours per year)	275		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.4		
ALBANIA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,980
Ease of doing business (rank)	85	Lower middle income		Population (m)	3.2
✓ Starting a business (rank)	62	Registering property (rank)	121	Trading across borders (rank)	79
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	7
r roccuures (number)	4		U		
Time (days)	4	Time (days)	33	Time to export (days)	19
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	4	Time (days)	33	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	19
Time (days)	4 22.1	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	33	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	19 745
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4 22.1	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	33 11.4	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	19 745 8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	4 22.1 0.0	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	33 11.4 23	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	19 745 8 18
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	33 11.4 23 9 4	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	19 745 8 18 730
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	19 745 8 18 730
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	33 11.4 23 9 4	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6 177	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7 66 2.0
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6 177	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6 177	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7 66 2.0 10
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6 177	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0 17 8 9 5 7.3	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7 66 2.0 10
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 22.1 0.0 185 NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE NO PRACTICE 154 6 177	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	33 11.4 23 9 4 19.7 0.0 17 8 9 5 7.3	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	19 745 8 18 730 85 39 390 35.7 66 2.0 10

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in an economy's largest business city. For more details, see the data notes.

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
ALGERIA Ease of doing business (rank)	152	Middle East & North Africa Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,470 36.0
Starting a business (rank)	156	Registering property (rank)	172	Trading across borders (rank)	129
Procedures (number)	14	Procedures (number)	10	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	25	Time (days)	63	Time to export (days)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.1	Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,260
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	27.2			Documents to import (number)	9
5 H 14	420	✓ Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	27
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	138	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,330
Procedures (number)	19 281	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 2.3	Enforcing contracts (ronk)	126
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	54.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	45
cost (% of income per capita)	54.0	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or addits)	0.0	Time (days)	630
Getting electricity (rank)	165	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	21.9
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	cost (/o or claim)	25
Time (days)	159	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	62
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,489.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	7
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	41.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	170	•	
		Payments (number per year)	29		
		Time (hours per year)	451		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	72.0		
ANGOLA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,060
Ease of doing business (rank)	172	Upper middle income		Population (m)	19.6
Starting a business (rank)	171	Registering property (rank)	131	Trading across borders (rank)	164
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	11
Time (days)	68	Time (days)	184	Time to export (days)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	105.4	Cost (% of property value)	3.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,850
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	24.6			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	45
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	124	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,690
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	348	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	183
Cost (% of income per capita)	153.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	46
46 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	443	Post of the transfer of 10	70	Time (days)	1,011
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	113	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	44.4
Procedures (number)	7 55	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5 6	Possibiling insolveness (ronk)	162
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	754.9	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	162 6.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	754.9	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	22
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	154	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	0.0
		Payments (number per year)	31		
		Time (hours per year)	282		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	53.2		
		• •			
ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA Ease of doing business (rank)	63	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	12,060 0.1
Starting a business (rank)	85	Registering property (rank)	125	✗ Trading across borders (rank)	110
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	21	Time (days)	26	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.9	Cost (% of property value)	10.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,440
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	23
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	24	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,870
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	•	
Time (days)	134	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	23.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	45
				Time (days)	351
Getting electricity (rank)	18	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	22.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
Time (days)	42	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	85
Cost (% of income per capita)	131.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	7
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	142		
		Payments (number per year)	57		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.5		

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do b	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
ARGENTINA	424	Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	9,740
Ease of doing business (rank)	124	Upper middle income		Population (m)	40.8
Starting a business (rank)	154 14	Registering property (rank)	135 7	X Trading across borders (rank)	139 7
Procedures (number) Time (days)	26	Procedures (number) Time (days)	, 55	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.3	Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,650
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.7			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	30
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	171	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,260
Procedures (number)	24	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	5 6 · 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	365 74.3	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	37.0 100.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	48 36
Cost (% of income per capita)	74.3	Frivate bureau coverage (% of addits)	100.0	Time (days)	590
Getting electricity (rank)	74	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	91	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	94
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	12
		Daving tayon (rank)	140	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.8
		Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	149 9		
		Time (hours per year)	405		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	108.3		
ARMENIA Ease of doing business (rank)	32	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,360 3.1
Starting a business (rank)	11	Registering property (rank)	4	Trading across borders (rank)	107
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	7	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.5	Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,815
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
Darling	46	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	18
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	46 17	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6 6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,195
Time (days)	77	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	20.5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	91
Cost (% of income per capita)	50.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	56.0	Procedures (number)	49
		,		Time (days)	440
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	101	Protecting investors (rank)	25	Cost (% of claim)	19.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	242	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	63
Cost (% of income per capita)	107.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	1.9
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 41.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	108	Recovery rate (certs on the dollar)	41.2
		Payments (number per year)	13		
		Time (hours per year)	380		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.8		
AUSTRALIA		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	65,477
Ease of doing business (rank)	10	High income		Population (m)	22.6
Starting a business (rank)	2	Registering property (rank)	37	Trading across borders (rank)	44
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	2	Time (days)	5	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,100
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	✓ Getting credit (rank)	4	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	7 8
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,120
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	(SST Par Container)	.,.20
Time (days)	112	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	28
				Time (days)	395
Getting electricity (rank)	36	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	21.8
Procedures (number)	5 75	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8 2	Posolving insolvenes (souls)	10
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	75 8.7	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	18 1.0
cost (70 of meonic per capita)	0.7	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	8
			2.,	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	80.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	48	,	
		Payments (number per year)	11		
		Time (hours per year)	109		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	47.5		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do business
AUSTRIA		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	48,300
Ease of doing business (rank)	29	High income		Population (m)	8.4
Starting a business (rank)	134	Registering property (rank)	34	Trading across borders (rank)	26
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 25	Procedures (number) Time (days)	3 21	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	4 8
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.9	Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,090
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	49.1	Cost (70 or property value)	4.0	Documents to import (number)	5
capital (70 of meome per capita)	.5	Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	8
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	75	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,155
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	194	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	52.6	Procedures (number)	25
				Time (days)	397
Getting electricity (rank)	24	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	18.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	23	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	104.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	1.1
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	10
		P . 1. 4 (1)	77	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	83.3
		Paying taxes (rank)	77		
		Payments (number per year)	12 170		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	170 53.1		
		Total tax rate (70 of profit)	١.۵د		
AZERBAIJAN		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	5,290
Ease of doing business (rank)	67	Upper middle income		Population (m)	9.2
Starting a business (rank)	18	Registering property (rank)	9	Trading across borders (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	11	Time to export (days)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3	Cost (% of property value)	0.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,430
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
5 H 14	4-7-	Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	38
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	177	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,490
Procedures (number)	28	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	F. f	25
Time (days)	212	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	17.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	292.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	39
Catting alastricity (rank)	175	Duatasting investors (rank)	25	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	237 18.5
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	9	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	25 7	COST (% OF CIAITI)	10.5
Time (days)	241	Extent of disclosure findex (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	95
Cost (% of income per capita)	591.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.7
cost (% of income per capita)	331.2	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate)	8
		sacingar of investor protection index (o 10)	0.7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	76	,	
		Payments (number per year)	18		
		Time (hours per year)	214		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.0		
BAHAMAS, THE Ease of doing business (rank)	77	Latin America & Caribbean High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	23,175 0.3
Starting a business (rank)	82	Registering property (rank)	179	Trading across borders (rank)	58
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	31	Time (days)	122	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.3	Cost (% of property value)	13.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	930
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	13
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	68	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,405
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	178	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	123
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	49
				Time (days)	427
Getting electricity (rank)	43	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	28.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	67	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	5.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	4
		B 10 10 0 (1)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	55.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	51		
		Payments (number per year)	18		
		Time (hours per year)	58 47.9		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	47.8		

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do bu	ısiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
BAHRAIN		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	23,132
Ease of doing business (rank)	42	High income		Population (m)	1.3
Starting a business (rank)	88	Registering property (rank)	29	Trading across borders (rank)	54
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	2	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	9	Time (days)	31	Time to export (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Cost (% of property value)	2.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	955
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	229.9	Getting credit (rank)	129	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	7 15
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	995
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3	cost to import (054 per container)	333
Time (days)	43	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	113
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	23.5	Procedures (number)	48
				Time (days)	635
Getting electricity (rank)	48	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	14.7
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	90	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	27
Cost (% of income per capita)	56.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	10
		Paying taxes (rank)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	66.2
		Payments (number per year)	13		
		Time (hours per year)	36		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	13.9		
BANGLADESH	120	South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	770
Ease of doing business (rank)	129	Low income	,	Population (m)	150.5
Starting a business (rank)	95	Registering property (rank)	175	Trading across borders (rank)	119
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	19 25.1	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	245	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	25 1,025
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (% or property value)	6.8	Documents to import (number)	1,025
willilliam capital (// or income per capita)	0.0	✓ Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	34
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	83	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,430
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2	cost to import (ost per container,	1,150
Time (days)	201	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	182
Cost (% of income per capita)	126.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	41
				Time (days)	1,442
✗ Getting electricity (rank)	185	Protecting investors (rank)	25	Cost (% of claim)	63.3
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	404	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	119
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,193.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8 25.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	97	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.5
		Payments (number per year)	20		
		Time (hours per year)	302		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.0		
BARBADOS	00	Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	16,149
Ease of doing business (rank)	88	High income	45.	Population (m)	0.3
Starting a business (rank)	70	Registering property (rank)	154	Trading across borders (rank)	31
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 18	Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 153	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	5 9
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.2	Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	810
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (70 of property value)	7.5	Documents to import (number)	6
william capital (78 of meonic per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	8
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	53	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,615
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	416	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	105
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	38
				Time (days)	1,340
Getting electricity (rank)	81	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	19.7
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	65	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	28
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	15 65 1
		Paying taxes (rank)	121	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	65.1
		Payments (number per year)	28		
		Time (hours per year)	237		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.4		
		•			

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busine
BELARUS		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	5,830
Ease of doing business (rank)	58	Upper middle income		Population (m)	9.5
Starting a business (rank)	9	Registering property (rank)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	151
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	2	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	10	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3	Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,510
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	30
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	30	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,315
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	130	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	56.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	29
C-441	171	D44	02	Time (days)	275
Getting electricity (rank)	171 7	Protecting investors (rank)	82 7	Cost (% of claim)	23.4
Procedures (number)		Extent of disclosure index (0-10)		· / Posolving insolvensy (sould)	F.C.
Time (days)	179 838.8	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	030.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8 5.3	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3.0 22
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.5		43.0
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	129	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0
			10		
		Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year)	338		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	60.7		
		rotal tax rate (// or profit)	00.7		
BELGIUM		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	46,160
Ease of doing business (rank)	33	High income		Population (m)	11.0
Starting a business (rank)	44	Registering property (rank)	176	Trading across borders (rank)	29
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	4	Time (days)	64	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.2	Cost (% of property value)	12.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,230
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	18.2			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	57	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,400
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	205	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	89.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	18
Cost (% of income per capita)	54.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	26
				Time (days)	505
Getting electricity (rank)	82	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	17.7
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	88	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	92.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	0.9
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	4
		-		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	75		
		Payments (number per year)	11		
		Time (hours per year)	156		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	57.7		
DELIZE				and to track	2.500
BELIZE	105	Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,690
Ease of doing business (rank)	105	Lower middle income	426	Population (m)	0.4
Starting a business (rank)	158	Registering property (rank)	136	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	102
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	44	Time (days)	60	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.9	Cost (% of property value)	4.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,355
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	6.01		Documents to import (number)	7
Barding odd and the state of th		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	20
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	21	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,600
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	Fufundam and to (1)	4.00
Time (days)	91	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	169
Cost (% of income per capita)	97.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	51
Cotting electricity (repl-)	ΕO	Protecting investors (reals)	120	Time (days)	892
Getting electricity (rank)	58	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	27.5
Procedures (number)	5 66	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Pasalying incolvener (reals)	20
Time (days)	66	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	30 1.0
		Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 4.3	Time (years)	1.0
	400.5	Strongth of invector protection index (0.40)	4.5	Cost (% of estate)	23
	400.5	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)			C 1 0
	400.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.0
	400.5	Paying taxes (rank)	45		64.0
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	400.5	Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	45 29		64.0
	400.5	Paying taxes (rank)	45		64.0

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do l	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	It to do busines
BENIN		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	780
Ease of doing business (rank)	175	Low income		Population (m)	9.1
Starting a business (rank)	153	Registering property (rank)	133	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	130
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	26	Time (days)	120	Time to export (days)	29
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	126.8 264.5	Cost (% of property value)	11.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,079 8
Millimum capital (% of income per capita)	204.5	Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	30
' Dealing with construction permits (rank)	111	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,549
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1	cost to import (oss per container)	1,545
Time (days)	282	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10.9	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	178
Cost (% of income per capita)	167.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	42
		-		Time (days)	795
Getting electricity (rank)	141	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	64.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	158	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	132
Cost (% of income per capita)	14,343.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	22
		5	470	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	173		
		Payments (number per year)	55		
		Time (hours per year)	270		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.9		
BHUTAN	140	South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,070
Ease of doing business (rank)	148	Lower middle income		Population (m)	0.7
Starting a business (rank)	94	Registering property (rank)	85	Trading across borders (rank)	172
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	36	Time (days)	92	Time to export (days)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.5	Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,230
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	12 38
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	124	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,330
Procedures (number)	22	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Cost to import (033 per container)	2,330
Time (days)	150	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	13.5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	37
Cost (% of income per capita)	92.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	47
cost (,,, or meaning per capita)	52.,	ace pareau coverage (75 or addis)	0.0	Time (days)	225
Getting electricity (rank)	136	Protecting investors (rank)	150	Cost (% of claim)	0.1
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	,	
Time (days)	90	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,149.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.7	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	71		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	274		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.8		
BOLIVIA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,040
Ease of doing business (rank)	155	Lower middle income		Population (m)	10.1
Starting a business (rank)	174	Registering property (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	125
Procedures (number)	15	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	50	Time (days)	92	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	74.1	Cost (% of property value)	4.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,425
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.9			Documents to import (number)	7
.		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	23
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	114	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	1	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,747
Procedures (number)		Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		136
	14	Dublic registry coverage (0/ of adulta)			130
Time (days)	249	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	14.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
		Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	14.8 34.7	Procedures (number)	40
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	249 63.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	34.7	Procedures (number) Time (days)	40 591
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	249 63.6 126	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	34.7 139	Procedures (number)	40
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	249 63.6 126 8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	34.7 139 1	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	40 591 33.2
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	249 63.6 126 8 42	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	34.7 139 1 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	40 591 33.2 68
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	249 63.6 126 8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	34.7 139 1 5 6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	40 591 33.2 68 1.8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	249 63.6 126 8 42	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	34.7 139 1 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	40 591 33.2 68 1.8 15
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	249 63.6 126 8 42	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	34.7 139 1 5 6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	40 591 33.2 68 1.8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	249 63.6 126 8 42	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	34.7 139 1 5 6 4.0	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	40 591 33.2 68 1.8 15
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	249 63.6 126 8 42	Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	34.7 139 1 5 6 4.0	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	40 591 33.2 68 1.8 15

DOCNIA AND HEDZECOVINA		-	easier to do l	business X Reform making it more difficult	
BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA Ease of doing business (rank)	126	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,780 3.8
Starting a business (rank)	162	✓ Registering property (rank)	93	Trading across borders (rank)	103
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	37	Time (days)	25	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.9	Cost (% of property value)	5.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,240
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	29.1			Documents to import (number)	9
		X Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	13
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	163	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,200
Procedures (number) Time (days)	17 180	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	5 36.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,102.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4.8	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	37
Cost (70 of income per capita)	1,102.1	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or addits)	4.0	Time (days)	595
Getting electricity (rank)	158	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	34.0
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	,	
Time (days)	125	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	83
Cost (% of income per capita)	493.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.4
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	128		
		Payments (number per year)	44		
		Time (hours per year)	407		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	24.1		
BOTSWANA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,480
Ease of doing business (rank)	59	Upper middle income		Population (m)	2.0
Starting a business (rank)	99	Registering property (rank)	51	Trading across borders (rank)	147
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	61	Time (days)	16	Time to export (days)	27
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.6	Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,945
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	37
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	132	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,445
Procedures (number)	22	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	145	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	68
Cost (% of income per capita)	172.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.9	Procedures (number)	28
Carta de Artir (1)	00	Post of the form (1)	10	Time (days)	625
Getting electricity (rank)	90	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	28.1
Procedures (number)	5 121	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7 8	Becalving incolvency (reply)	29
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	353.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1.7
Cost (% of income per capita)	333.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	1.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.8
		X Paying taxes (rank)	39	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	04.0
		Payments (number per year)	32		
		Time (hours per year)	152		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	25.3		
BRAZIL		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	10,720
Ease of doing business (rank)	130	Upper middle income		Population (m)	196.7
Starting a business (rank)	121	✗ Registering property (rank)	109	Trading across borders (rank)	123
Procedures (number)	13	Procedures (number)	14	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	119	Time (days)	34	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.8	Cost (% of property value)	2.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,215
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	131	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,275
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	469	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	46.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	116
		6/ ()	62.2	Procedures (number)	44
	36.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.0			Time (days)	731
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	36.0 60	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	731 16.5
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	36.0 60 6	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6 7	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	16.5 143
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 7 3	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	16.5 143 4.0
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6 7	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	16.5 143 4.0 12
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6 7 3 5.3	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	16.5 143 4.0
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	6 7 3 5.3	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	16.5 143 4.0 12
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	6 7 3 5.3 156 9	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	16.5 143 4.0 12
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.0 60 6 57	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	6 7 3 5.3	Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	16.5 143 4.0 12

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult t	to do business
BRUNEI DARUSSALAM Ease of doing business (rank)	70	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	36,584
Starting a business (rank)	79 135	High income	115	Trading across borders (rank)	0.4 40
Procedures (number)	155	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	101	Time (days)	298	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.7	Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	680
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	15
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	43	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	745
Procedures (number)	22	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	95	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	158
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	47 540
Getting electricity (rank)	29	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	36.6
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	cost (% or claim)	50.0
Time (days)	56	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	46
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47.2
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	22		
		Payments (number per year)	27		
		Time (hours per year)	96		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	16.8		
BULGARIA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,550
Ease of doing business (rank)	66	Upper middle income		Population (m)	7.5
✓ Starting a business (rank)	57	Registering property (rank)	68	Trading across borders (rank)	93
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	18	Time (days)	15	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.1 0.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,551 6
Millimum Capital (% of Income per Capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	123	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,626
Procedures (number)	21	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	cost to import (ost per container)	.,020
Time (days)	107	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	56.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	86
Cost (% of income per capita)	293.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	39
				Time (days)	564
Getting electricity (rank)	128	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	23.8
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
Time (days)	130	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	93
Cost (% of income per capita)	340.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	3.3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	9 31.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	91	Recovery rate (certs on the dollar)	31.7
		Payments (number per year)	15		
		Time (hours per year)	454		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.7		
BURKINA FASO Ease of doing business (rank)	153	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	570 17.0
Starting a business (rank)	120	Registering property (rank)	113	Trading across borders (rank)	173
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	10
Time (days)	13	Time (days)	59	Time to export (days)	41
Cost (% of income per capita)	46.8	Cost (% of property value)	12.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,412
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	353.9			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	47
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	64	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4,030
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	98	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	109
Cost (% of income per capita)	380.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	37 446
Getting electricity (rank)	139	Protecting investors (rank)	150	Cost (% of claim)	446 81.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Cost (70 of claim)	01.7
Time (days)	158	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	113
Cost (% of income per capita)	12,662.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.3
		Paying taxes (rank)	157	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.3
		Payments (number per year)	46	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.3
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.3

BURUNDI		Sub-Saharan Africa	asier to do	business X Reform making it more difficult GNI per capita (US\$)	25
Ease of doing business (rank)	159	Low income		Population (m)	8
Starting a business (rank)	28	✓ Registering property (rank)	127	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	17
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	1
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	64	Time to export (days)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.3	Cost (% of property value)	3.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	, , , , ,		Documents to import (number)	1
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	4
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	141	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	5,00
Procedures (number)	21	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	99	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,911.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	4
				Time (days)	83
Getting electricity (rank)	164	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	38
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	188	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	21,481.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	
(, pp,		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	
		sacingar of investor protection mack (o 10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8
		Paying taxes (rank)	137	necovery rate (certs on the donar)	,
			25		
		Payments (number per year)			
		Time (hours per year)	274		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	53.0		
CAMBODIA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	8
ase of doing business (rank)	133	Low income		Population (m)	14
itarting a business (rank)	175	Registering property (rank)	115	Trading across borders (rank)	1
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	85	Time (days)	56	Time to export (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	100.5	Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	28.5	, , , ,		Documents to import (number)	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		✓ Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	149	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	9
Procedures (number)	21	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3	cost to import (05\$ per container)	,
	652	·	0.0	Enforcing contracts (ronk)	1
ime (days)		Public registry coverage (% of adults)		Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	12.1	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	4
Getting electricity (rank)	132	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	103
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
ime (days)	183	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,802.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	(
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1.
		✗ Paying taxes (rank)	66		
		Payments (number per year)	40		
		Time (hours per year)	173		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.5		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.5		
	161	Sub-Saharan Africa	22.5	GNI per capita (US\$)	
ase of doing business (rank)	161 125	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		Population (m)	2
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank)	125	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank)	158	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	2) 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number)	125 5	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	158 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	125 5 15	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	158 5 93	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	2(1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) iost (% of income per capita)	125 5 15 35.8	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	158 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2) 1 1,3
case of doing business (rank) Carting a business (rank) Crocedures (number) Cime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	125 5 15	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	158 5 93 19.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,3
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) iost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	158 5 93 19.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1,3
case of doing business (rank) ctarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Alinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,3
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) fost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	158 5 93 19.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1,3
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) fost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1,3 2,1
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Coealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,1 1,3
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Coealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) V Enforcing contracts (rank)	2,1 1,3
case of doing business (rank) ctarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) cine (days) cost (% of income per capita) Alnimum capital (% of income per capita) cealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) V Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	2,1 1,3
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Alinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) ime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) V Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	2,1 1,3
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Alinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) crocedures (number)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Finforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	2,1 1,3 2,1 1 8
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita) dinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) crocedures (number) crocedures (number) crocedures (number) crocedures (number) crocedures (number) crocedures (number)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Finforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	2,1 1,3 2,1 1 8 44
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) crime (days) cost (% of income per capita) dinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) crocedures (number) crime (days) cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) crocedures (number) crime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Fine to import (US\$ per container) Fine (days) Cost (mumber) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	20 1 1,3 2,1 1 8 46 1
case of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Pocaling with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Fine to import (US\$ per container) Fine (days) Cost (mumber) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20 1 1,3 2,1 1 8 46 1
CAMEROON Case of doing business (rank) Costarting a business (rank) Cost (when the content of th	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Fine to import (US\$ per container) Fine (days) Cost (mumber) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1,2 20 1 1,3 2,1 1 8 46 1 3
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Poealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0 128 6 1 6 4.3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Fine to import (US\$ per container) Fine (days) Cost (mumber) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20 1 1,3 2,1 1 8 46 1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Poealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days)	125 5 15 35.8 168.3 95 11 147 1,008.7	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	158 5 93 19.1 104 6 2 9.1 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Fine to import (US\$ per container) Fine (days) Cost (mumber) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20 1 1,3 2,1 1 8 46

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
CANADA Ease of doing business (rank)	17	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	45,560 34.5
Starting a business (rank)	3	Registering property (rank)	54	Trading across borders (rank)	44
Procedures (number)	1	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	3
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	17	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.4	Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,610
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	4
D1:	60	Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	11
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	69 13	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	7 6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,660
Procedures (number) Time (days)	163	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	62
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	36
cost (// or meonic per capita)	04.1	Titate bareau coverage (70 of addits)	100.0	Time (days)	570
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	152	Protecting investors (rank)	4	Cost (% of claim)	22.3
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	,	
Time (days)	142	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	140.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	0.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.7	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	90.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	8		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	131		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	26.9		
CAPE VERDE		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,540
Ease of doing business (rank)	122	Lower middle income		Population (m)	0.5
Starting a business (rank)	129	Registering property (rank)	69	Trading across borders (rank)	63
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	11	Time (days)	31	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.9	Cost (% of property value)	3.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,200
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	34.2			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	18
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	122	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,000
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	122	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	19.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	459.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	37
	405	5	420	Time (days)	425
Getting electricity (rank)	106	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	19.8
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	1	Dk-iik(k)	105
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	981.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 4.0	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	, ,	NO PRACTICE 0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	102	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	186		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.2		
		Total tax rate (70 or pronty	37.2		
CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	185	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	470 4.5
Starting a business (rank)	170		132	Trading across borders (rank)	182
Procedures (number)	8	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	22	Time (days)	75	Time to export (days)	54
Cost (% of income per capita)	172.6	Cost (% of property value)	11.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	5,491
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	444.1	(/o o. proporty runde/		Documents to import (number)	17
(, meanie per eapita)		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	62
✗ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	147	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	5,554
Procedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	203	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	2.4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	177
Cost (% of income per capita)	194.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	43
				Time (days)	660
Getting electricity (rank)	173	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	82.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	102	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	12,603.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	4.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	76
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	181		
		Payments (number per year)	55		
		Time (hours per year)	504		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.2		

✓ Reform making it easier to do business
✗ Reform making it more difficult to do business

		Reform making it e	asier to do bı	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busines
CHAD		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	690
Ease of doing business (rank)	184	Low income		Population (m)	11.5
✓ Starting a business (rank)	181	Registering property (rank)	140	Trading across borders (rank)	180
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	62	Time (days)	44	Time to export (days)	75
Cost (% of income per capita)	202.0	Cost (% of property value)	17.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	5,902
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	289.4			Documents to import (number)	11
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	101
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	127	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	8,525
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	154	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	167
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,106.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	41
	4.40	Port de la contract (1)	450	Time (days)	743
Getting electricity (rank)	149	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	45.7
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Decelois a insulation at (mails)	105
Time (days)	67	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1 3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	11,017.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3.3	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	4.0 60
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	184	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Payments (number per year)	54		
		Time (hours per year)	732		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.4		
		Total tax rate (70 of profit)	05.4		
CHILE		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	12,280
Ease of doing business (rank)	37	Upper middle income		Population (m)	17.3
Starting a business (rank)	32	Registering property (rank)	55	Trading across borders (rank)	48
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	31	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.5	Cost (% of property value)	1.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	980
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	12
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	84	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	965
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	155	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	37.4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	70
Cost (% of income per capita)	67.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3.5	Procedures (number)	36
				Time (days)	480
Getting electricity (rank)	40	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	28.6
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	31	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	98
Cost (% of income per capita)	67.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	3.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	36		
		Payments (number per year)	6		
		Time (hours per year)	291		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.1		
CHINA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,930
Ease of doing business (rank)	91	Upper middle income		Population (m)	1,344.1
✓ Starting a business (rank)	151	Registering property (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	68
Procedures (number)	13	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	33	Time (days)	29	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Cost (% of property value)	3.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	580
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	85.7	Control of the Automatical		Documents to import (number)	5
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	24
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	181	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	615
Procedures (number)	28	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	270	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	27.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	375.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	37
				Time (days)	406
Getting electricity (rank)	114	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	11.1
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
Time (days)	145	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	82
Cost (% of income per capita)	547.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	1.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	22
		Sacingar of investor protection index (6-10)			
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	122	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.7
		Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.7
		Paying taxes (rank)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.7

		✓ Reform making it	easier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
COLOMBIA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,110
Ease of doing business (rank)	45	Upper middle income		Population (m)	46.9
Starting a business (rank)	61	Registering property (rank)	52	Trading across borders (rank)	91
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	13	Time (days)	15	Time to export (days)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	Cost (% of property value)	2.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,255
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	70	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	6 13
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	27	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,830
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	cost to import (05\$ per container)	2,030
Time (days)	46	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	154
Cost (% of income per capita)	312.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	72.5	Procedures (number)	34
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Time (days)	1,346
Getting electricity (rank)	134	Protecting investors (rank)	6	Cost (% of claim)	47.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	165	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	995.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	1.3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.3	Cost (% of estate)	6
		P. 1. 1 (1)	00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	76.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	99		
		Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year)	9 203		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	74.4		
		Total tax rate (70 of profit)	74.4		
COMOROS		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	770
Ease of doing business (rank)	158	Low income		Population (m)	0.8
✓ Starting a business (rank)	168	Registering property (rank)	77	Trading across borders (rank)	146
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	20	Time (days)	30	Time to export (days)	31
Cost (% of income per capita)	150.0	Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,295
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	261.9	Getting credit (rank)	154	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	10 26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	60	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,295
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	cost to import (05\$ per container)	1,233
Time (days)	143	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	159
Cost (% of income per capita)	74.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	43
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,		Time (days)	506
Getting electricity (rank)	104	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	89.4
Procedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	120	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,477.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		P. 1. 1 (1)	444	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	114 33		
		Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year)	100		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	217.9		
		rotal tax rate (70 or profit)	217.5		
CONGO, DEM. REP.		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	190
Ease of doing business (rank)	181	Low income		Population (m)	67.8
✓ Starting a business (rank)	149	Registering property (rank)	106	Trading across borders (rank)	170
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	58	Time (days)	47	Time to export (days)	44
Cost (% of income per capita)	284.7	Cost (% of property value)	6.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,155
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Gatting gradit (rank)	176	Documents to import (number)	9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	81	Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	176 3	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	63 3,435
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	cost to import (054 per container)	2,433
Time (days)	117	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	173
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,582.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	43
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	*	· 3· (·······,		Time (days)	610
Getting electricity (rank)	140	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	147.6
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	168
Cost (% of income per capita)	27,211.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	5.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	29
		5		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	171		
		Payments (number per year)	32		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	336 339.7		
		Total tax rate (70 or profit)	333./		

CONGO, REP.		Sub-Saharan Africa		usiness X Reform making it more difficult GNI per capita (US\$)	2,2
Ease of doing business (rank)	183	Lower middle income		Population (m)	4
Starting a business (rank)	180	Registering property (rank)	156	Trading across borders (rank)	18
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	•
Time (days)	161	Time (days)	55	Time to export (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	55.3	Cost (% of property value)	21.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	80.5			Documents to import (number)	•
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	(
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	149	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	7,7
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	201	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	8.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,151.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	5
Getting electricity (rank)	170	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	5.
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
ime (days)	135	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,775.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1
		Paying taxes (rank)	182		
		Payments (number per year)	61		
		Time (hours per year)	606		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	62.9		
			32.3		
COSTA RICA iase of doing business (rank)	110	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	7,6
starting a business (rank)	128	Registering property (rank)	46	Trading across borders (rank)	
Procedures (number)	12	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	60	Time (days)	20	Time to export (days)	
cost (% of income per capita)	11.4	Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,0
Inimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (70 of property value)	3.4	Documents to import (number)	1,0
illillidili capital (% of lifcolle per capita)	0.0	(Cotting gradit (rank)	83	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	120	✓ Getting credit (rank)		Time to import (days)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	128	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,0
rocedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
ime (days)	160	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	28.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	154.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	82.8	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	8
Getting electricity (rank)	45	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	2
rocedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
ime (days)	62	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	256.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	125		
		Payments (number per year)	23		
		Time (hours per year)	226		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	55.0		
ÔTE D'IVOIRE		Cub Caharan Africa		CNI per capita (IIC¢)	1,1
CÔTE D'IVOIRE ase of doing business (rank)	177	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2
tarting a business (rank)	176	Registering property (rank)	159	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	32	Time (days)	62	Time to export (days)	
	120.0	Cost (% of property value)	13.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,9
	130.0				
ost (% of income per capita)	184.6			Documents to import (number)	
ost (% of income per capita)		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	
ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita)		Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	129 6	1 1	
ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank)	184.6	_		Time to import (days)	
ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number)	184.6 169 17	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6 1	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,7
ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	184.6 169 17 475	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 1 2.9	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	2,7
ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	184.6 169 17	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6 1	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,7 1
Cost (% of income per capita) Alnimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 1 2.9 0.0	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	2,7 1
cost (% of income per capita) Alinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	6 1 2.9 0.0	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	2,7 1
cost (% of income per capita) Aninimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	2,7 1 7 4
cost (% of income per capita) Aninimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Desetting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8 55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	2,7 1 7 4
cost (% of income per capita) Aninimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Desetting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1 3	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	2,7 1 7 4
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8 55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2,7 1 7 4
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Perocedures (number) Firme (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Firme (days)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8 55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1 3 3.3	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	2,7 1 7 4
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8 55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1 3 3.3	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2,7 1 7 4
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	184.6 169 17 475 155.1 153 8 55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6 1 2.9 0.0 158 6 1 3 3.3	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2,7 1 7 41 2

		Reform making it	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do busines
CROATIA Ease of doing business (rank)	84	Eastern Europe & Central Asia High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	13,850 4.4
Starting a business (rank)	80	Registering property (rank)	104	Trading across borders (rank)	105
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	9	Time (days)	104	Time to export (days)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,300
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.4			Documents to import (number)	8
Desline with a section of the form	142	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	16
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	143 12	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7 5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,180
Procedures (number) Time (days)	317	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	52
Cost (% of income per capita)	573.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	38
cost (% of income per capita)	373.3	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or addres)	100.0	Time (days)	572
Getting electricity (rank)	56	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	13.8
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	1	,	
Time (days)	70	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	97
Cost (% of income per capita)	318.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.1
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.1
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	42		
		Payments (number per year)	18		
		Time (hours per year)	196		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.8		
CYPRUS		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	30,571
Ease of doing business (rank)	36	High income		Population (m)	1.1
Starting a business (rank)	37	Registering property (rank)	99	Trading across borders (rank)	18
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	28	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.4	Cost (% of property value)	9.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	790
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	5
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	80	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	900
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	677	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	108
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.5	Procedures (number)	43
			22	Time (days)	735
Getting electricity (rank)	98	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	16.4
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	Deceloises in column or (see la)	25
Time (days)	247 86.5	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4 7	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	1.5 15
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	70.7
		X Paying taxes (rank)	31	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	70.7
		Payments (number per year)	28		
		Time (hours per year)	147		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	23.0		
		• •			
CZECH REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	65	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	18,520 10.5
Starting a business (rank)	140	✓ Registering property (rank)	27	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	68
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	20	Time (days)	24	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.2	Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,145
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	29.7			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	74	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,180
Procedures (number)	33	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	120	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	79
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	98.7	Procedures (number)	27
				Time (days)	611
Getting electricity (rank)	143	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	33.0
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	279	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	34
Cost (% of income per capita)	180.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	3.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	17
		A Paving tayon (1)	430	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	56.3
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	120		
		Payments (number per year)	8 412		
		Time (hours per year) Total tay rate (% of profit)	413 49.2		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.2		

		-	asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	
DENMARK Ease of doing business (rank)	5	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	60,390 5.6
Starting a business (rank)	33	✓ Registering property (rank)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	4
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	10	Time to export (days)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	744
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	24.2	, , , , ,		Documents to import (number)	3
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	5
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	744
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	cost to import (ost per container)	
Time (days)	68	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	34
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.1	3 , 3	7.3	Procedures (number)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.5		
I			22	Time (days)	410
Getting electricity (rank)	14	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	23.3
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	38	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	119.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	1.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	13		
		Payments (number per year)	10		
		Time (hours per year)	130		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.7		
		• •			
DJIBOUTI Ease of doing business (rank)	171	Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,467 0.9
Starting a business (rank)	185	Registering property (rank)	148	Trading across borders (rank)	41
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	7	_	
				Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	37	Time (days)	40	Time to export (days)	18
Cost (% of income per capita)	150.7	Cost (% of property value)	12.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	830
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	383.6			Documents to import (number)	!
		Getting credit (rank)	180	Time to import (days)	18
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	145	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	1	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	91
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	172	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,023.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	40
(, рр,	_,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Time (days)	1,225
Getting electricity (rank)	142	Protecting investors (rank)	181	Cost (% of claim)	34.0
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	Cost (70 of Claim)	34.0
				Book to took on (1)	4.4
Time (days)	180	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	142
Cost (% of income per capita)	7,776.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0	Time (years)	5.
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.3	Cost (% of estate)	1
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	16.
		Paying taxes (rank)	67		
		Payments (number per year)	35		
		Time (hours per year)	82		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.7		
		Total tax rate (% or profit)	30.7		
DOMINICA Ease of doing business (rank)	68	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	7,09 0.
Starting a business (rank)	46	Registering property (rank)	119	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	9
				_	
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	1
ime (days)	13	Time (days)	42	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.4	Cost (% of property value)	13.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,34
	0.0			Documents to import (number)	
viinimum capitai (% of income per capita)		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	1
viinimum capitai (% of income per capita)		3 , ,			
	22	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,35
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	22 9	=	9 0	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,35
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)		Strength of legal rights index (0-10)		Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0		17
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	17 4
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	9 165 7.6	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0 0.0 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	17 4 68
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank)	9 165 7.6 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	0 0.0 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	17 4 68
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) Procedures (number)	9 165 7.6 61 5	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	17 2 68 36
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	17 2 68 36
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8 7	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	17 4 68 36. 10
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	17 4 68 36. 10 4.
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Filme (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Filme (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8 7	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	17 4 68 36. 10 4.
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8 7	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	17 4 68 36. 10 4.
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8 7 6.3	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	17/ 4/ 68 36. 10/ 4.1
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 165 7.6 61 5 61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	0 0.0 0.0 32 4 8 7 6.3	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,35(17/ 4: 68 36.(10/ 4.(28.)

		Reform making it e	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do busines
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	116	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	5,240 10.1
Starting a business (rank)	137	Registering property (rank)	110	Trading across borders (rank)	46
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	19	Time (days)	60	Time to export (days)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.3	Cost (% of property value)	3.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,040
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	49.3	cost (70 or property value)	5.7	Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	10
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	108	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,150
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Time (days)	216	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	44.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	84
Cost (% of income per capita)	72.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	60.0	Procedures (number)	34
		_		Time (days)	460
Getting electricity (rank)	122	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	40.9
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	87	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	156
Cost (% of income per capita)	322.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	38
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.7
		X Paying taxes (rank)	98		
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	324		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.5		
ECUADOR		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,140
Ease of doing business (rank)	139	Upper middle income		Population (m)	14.7
Starting a business (rank)	169	✗ Registering property (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	128
Procedures (number)	13	Procedures (number)	9	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	56	Time (days)	39	Time to export (days)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.9	Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,535
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.5			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	25
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	104	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,530
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	128	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	99
Cost (% of income per capita)	208.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.5	Procedures (number)	39
				Time (days)	588
Getting electricity (rank)	146	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	27.2
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	1		
Time (days)	89	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	137
Cost (% of income per capita)	860.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	5.3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	18
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	84		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	654		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.6		
EGYPT, ARAB REP.		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,600
Ease of doing business (rank)	109	Lower middle income		Population (m)	82.5
Starting a business (rank)	26	Registering property (rank)	95	Trading across borders (rank)	70
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	72	Time to export (days)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.2	Cost (% of property value)	0.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	625
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	9
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	13
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	165	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	755
Procedures (number)	22	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	218	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	152
Cost (% of income per capita)	135.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	16.4	Procedures (number)	42
		But the transfer to the		Time (days)	1,010
Getting electricity (rank)	99	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	26.2
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	Docalidae incolores (1)	120
Time (days)	54 206.0	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	139
Cost (% of income per capita)	396.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	4.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	22 17.6
		Daving taxes (reals)	4.45	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	145		
		Payments (number per year)	29		
		Time (hours per year)	392 42.6		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.6		

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
EL SALVADOR Ease of doing business (rank)	113	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,480 6.2
Starting a business (rank)	139	Registering property (rank)	56	Trading across borders (rank)	80
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	17	Time (days)	31	Time to export (days)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	46.7	Cost (% of property value)	3.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	980
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.9			Documents to import (number)	8
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	10
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	146	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	980
Procedures (number)	33	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	F-F	74
Time (days)	157 162.5	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	26.5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	71 34
Cost (% of income per capita)	162.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	83.7	Procedures (number) Time (days)	786
Getting electricity (rank)	131	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	19.2
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Cost (70 or claim)	13.2
Time (days)	78	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	89
Cost (% of income per capita)	554.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.2
		✗ Paying taxes (rank)	153		
		Payments (number per year)	53		
		Time (hours per year)	320		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.0		
EQUATORIAL GUINEA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	14,540
Ease of doing business (rank)	162	High income		Population (m)	0.7
Starting a business (rank)	182	Registering property (rank)	103	Trading across borders (rank)	136
Procedures (number)	18	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	135	Time (days)	23	Time to export (days)	29
Cost (% of income per capita)	98.2	Cost (% of property value)	12.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,390
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11.7	Getting credit (rank)	104	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	7 44
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	107	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,600
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2	cost to import (oss per container)	1,000
Time (days)	166	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	3.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	61
Cost (% of income per capita)	120.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	40
,		3 . ,		Time (days)	475
Getting electricity (rank)	86	Protecting investors (rank)	150	Cost (% of claim)	18.5
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	106	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	456.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.7	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		Paris a tarras (mala)	172	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	173 46		
		Time (hours per year)	492		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	46.0		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.0		
ERITREA	182	Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	430 5.4
Ease of doing business (rank)		Low income	101	•	
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	183 13	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	181 11	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	165 10
Time (days)	84	Time (days)	78	Time to export (days)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.3	Cost (% of property value)	9.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,460
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	203.1	cost (% or property value)	3	Documents to import (number)	12
		Getting credit (rank)	180	Time to import (days)	59
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	185	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,600
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	39
				Time (days)	405
Getting electricity (rank)	93	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	22.6
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	Book to the Control of the	405
Time (days)	59 3 509 0	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,508.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5 4.7	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	NO PRACTICE 0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	146	necovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Payments (number per year)	30		
		Time (hours per year)	216		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	84.5		

		Reform making it e	easier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
ESTONIA Ease of doing business (rank)	21	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	15,200 1.3
Starting a business (rank)	47	Registering property (rank)	14	Trading across borders (rank)	7
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	3
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	18	Time to export (days)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.6	Cost (% of property value)	0.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	745
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.1			Documents to import (number)	4
5 11 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	25	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	5
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	35	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	795
Procedures (number)	13 148	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	5	Enforcing contracts (ronk)	21
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	16.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 33.4	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	31 35
Cost (/// of income per capita)	10.1	Trivate bureau coverage (70 of addits)	33.4	Time (days)	425
Getting electricity (rank)	52	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	22.3
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	cost (70 or claim)	22.0
Time (days)	111	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	201.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	50		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	85		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	67.3		
ETHIOPIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	400
Ease of doing business (rank)	127	Low income		Population (m)	84.7
Starting a business (rank)	163	Registering property (rank)	112	Trading across borders (rank)	161
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	10	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	15	Time (days)	41	Time to export (days)	42
Cost (% of income per capita)	135.3	Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,160
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	249.1			Documents to import (number)	9
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	44
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	53	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,660
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	128	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	275.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	38
				Time (days)	530
Getting electricity (rank)	94	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	15.2
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
Time (days)	95	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	117
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,544.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
		V Davids a tarres (mark)	100	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.9
		✗ Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	103		
		, , , ,	31 306		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.3		
		Total tax rate (% or profit)	33.3		
FIJI	50	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,680
Ease of doing business (rank)	60	Lower middle income		Population (m)	0.9
X Starting a business (rank)	138	X Registering property (rank)	58	Trading across borders (rank)	111
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	10
Time (days)	58	Time (days)	69	Time to export (days)	22
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	655
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cotting quadit (rank)	70	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	10
✗ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	82	Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	70 7		23 635
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	033
Time (days)	148	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	67
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	69.5	Procedures (number)	34
cost (70 or meonic per capita)	45.0	. Avate sareau coverage (70 of addits)	05.5	Time (days)	397
Getting electricity (rank)	79	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	38.9
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		55.5
Time (days)	81	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,904.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	1.8
	.,50	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	10
		5		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	45.2
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	85	,	
		Payments (number per year)	34		
		Time (hours per year)	163		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.6		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	
FINLAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	48,420
Ease of doing business (rank)	11	High income		Population (m)	5.4
Starting a business (rank)	49	Registering property (rank)	24	Trading across borders (rank)	6
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	14	Time (days)	14	Time to export (days)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	540
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.0			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	7
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	34	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	620
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	66	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	18.9	Procedures (number)	33
		3 , , ,		Time (days)	375
Getting electricity (rank)	21	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	13.3
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	cost (70 or claim)	
Time (days)	47	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	0.9
cost (% of income per capita)	25.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	4
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.1		89.7
		Daving tayes (rank)	22	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	03.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	23		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	93		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.6		
FRANCE		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	42,420
Ease of doing business (rank)	34	High income		Population (m)	65.4
-	27	Registering property (rank)	146		27
Starting a business (rank)			146	Trading across borders (rank)	
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	2
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	59	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,078
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	2
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	11
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	52	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,248
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	184	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	42.4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	68.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	29
		3 . (,		Time (days)	390
Getting electricity (rank)	42	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	17.4
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10	cost (70 or claim)	
Time (days)	79	Extent of disclosure index (0 10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	43
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	1.9
Cost (% of income per capita)	45.9			Cost (% of estate)	9
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3		
		5 t t (1)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	48.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	53		
		Payments (number per year)	7		
		Time (hours per year)	132		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.7		
GABON		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,980
Ease of doing business (rank)	170	Upper middle income		Population (m)	1.5
Starting a business (rank)	157	✗ Registering property (rank)	170	Trading across borders (rank)	135
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	58	Time (days)	104	Time to export (days)	20
				1 ' ' '	
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.5	Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,945
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.3	mark the second		Documents to import (number)	3
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	22
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	110	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,955
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	243	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	53.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	153
Cost (% of income per capita)	79.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	38
				Time (days)	1,070
Getting electricity (rank)	135	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	34.3
D d /	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Procedures (number)	141	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	145
		Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	5.
Time (days)				Cost (% of estate)	1
Time (days)	354.2				1.
Time (days)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3		15.3
Time (days)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.2
Time (days)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	146		15.2
* * *		Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	146 26		15.2
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	146		15.2

		Reform making it e	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
GAMBIA, THE Ease of doing business (rank)	147	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	610 1.8
Starting a business (rank)	123	Registering property (rank)	120	Trading across borders (rank)	87
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	27	Time (days)	66	Time to export (days)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	158.7	Cost (% of property value)	7.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,180
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	21
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	90	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	885
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	143	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	65
Cost (% of income per capita)	124.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	33 407
Getting electricity (rank)	119	Protecting investors (rank)	177	Cost (% of claim)	37.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2	Cost (% of claim)	31.3
Time (days)	78	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	108
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,976.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.0
(/ рр/	2,212.2	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.7	Cost (% of estate)	15
		, ,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	179	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	50		
		Time (hours per year)	376		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	283.5		
CEORGIA		5 . 5 . 00 . 141		(1) (1) (1) (1)	2.052
GEORGIA Ease of doing business (rank)	9	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,860 4.5
Starting a business (rank)	7	Registering property (rank)	1	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	38
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	1	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	2	Time (days)	2	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.8	Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,355
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	4
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	4	Time to import (days)	10
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,595
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	74	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	30
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	35.5	Procedures (number)	33
				Time (days)	285
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	50	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	29.9
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9		
Time (days)	71	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	81
Cost (% of income per capita)	561.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.7
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	33		
		Payments (number per year)	5		
		Time (hours per year)	280		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	16.5		
GERMANY	20	OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	43,980
Ease of doing business (rank)	20	High income	04	Population (m)	81.7
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	106	Registering property (rank)	81	Trading across borders (rank)	13
, ,	9	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	15 4.9	Time (days)	40 5.7	Time to export (US\$ per centainer)	7 872
Cost (% of income per capita)		Cost (% of property value)	5.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	23	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	5 7
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	23 7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	937
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	cost to import (033 per container)	331
Time (days)	97	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	30
				Time (days)	394
Getting electricity (rank)	2	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	14.4
Procedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	,	
Time (days)	17	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	1.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	8
		•		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	78.1
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	72	•	
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	46.8		

		Reform making it e	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
GHANA Ease of doing business (rank)	64	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,410 25.0
Starting a business (rank)	112	Registering property (rank)	45	✗ Trading across borders (rank)	99
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	12	Time (days)	34	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.5	Cost (% of property value)	1.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	815
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.3			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	34
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	162	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,315
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	218	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	481.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5.7	Procedures (number)	36
Cotting alacticity (rank)	62	Duatacting investors (vanle)	40	Time (days)	487
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	63 4	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	49 7	Cost (% of claim)	23.0
Time (days)	78	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	114
Cost (% of income per capita)	957.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.9
Cost (70 of income per capita)	331.3	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	22
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	89	necovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.5
		Payments (number per year)	32		
		Time (hours per year)	224		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.5		
GREECE Ease of doing business (rank)	78	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	25,030 11.3
Starting a business (rank)	146	Registering property (rank)	150	Trading across borders (rank)	62
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	11	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	11	Time (days)	18	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	20.5	Cost (% of property value)	11.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,115
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	24.4	cost (70 of property value)		Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	15
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	31	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,135
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	cost to import (ost per container)	.,.55
Time (days)	89	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	84.0	Procedures (number)	39
, , , ,		3 · ,		Time (days)	819
Getting electricity (rank)	59	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	14.4
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	62	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	62.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	44.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	56		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	202		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	44.6		
GRENADA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,220
Ease of doing business (rank)	100	Upper middle income		Population (m)	0.1
Starting a business (rank)	65	Registering property (rank)	151	Trading across borders (rank)	71
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	15	Time (days)	47	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.1	Cost (% of property value)	7.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,300
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,235
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	123	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	165
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	47
Carrier aleani to (1)		Production in the Control	22	Time (days)	688
Getting electricity (rank)	66	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	32.6
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	Possiving insolvence (1)	105
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	49 282 5	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (70 of income per Capita)	283.5		7 6.3	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.3		NO PRACTICE 0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	85	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Payments (number per year)	30		
		Time (hours per year)	140		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.3		
		. 2.23. tax. race (70 or pronty	13.3		

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
GUATEMALA Ease of doing business (rank)	93	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,870 14.8
Starting a business (rank)	172	Registering property (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	117
Procedures (number)	172	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	40	Time (days)	23	Time to export (days)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.1	Cost (% of property value)	0.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,307
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	20.9			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	94	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,425
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	158	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	18.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	500.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	8.7	Procedures (number) Time (days)	31
Getting electricity (rank)	34	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	1,459 26.5
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Cost (% of claim)	20.3
Time (days)	39	Extent of disclosure index (0 10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	109
Cost (% of income per capita)	594.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	124		
		Payments (number per year)	24		
		Time (hours per year)	332		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.9		
GUINEA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	440
Ease of doing business (rank)	178	Low income		Population (m)	10.2
Starting a business (rank)	158	Registering property (rank)	151	Trading across borders (rank)	133
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	35	Time (days)	59	Time to export (days)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	96.9	Cost (% of property value)	14.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	855
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	324.7	Getting credit (rank)	154	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	9 32
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	152	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,391
Procedures (number)	29	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	cost to import (054 per container)	1,551
Time (days)	197	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	131
Cost (% of income per capita)	94.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	49
				Time (days)	276
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	88	Protecting investors (rank)	177	Cost (% of claim)	45.0
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	69	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	141
Cost (% of income per capita)	8,377.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	1	Time (years)	3.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.7	Cost (% of estate)	8
		Paying taxes (rank)	183	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.1
		Payments (number per year)	58		
		Time (hours per year)	416		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	73.2		
GUINEA-BISSAU Ease of doing business (rank)	179	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	600 1.5
Starting a business (rank)	148	Registering property (rank)	180	Trading across borders (rank)	116
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	9	Time (days)	210	Time to export (days)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.2	Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,448
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	338.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	22
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	117	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,006
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1	Enforcingtt(1)	4.43
Time (days)	163 785.2	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.1 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	142 40
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.2	Thrate buleau coverage (70 of addits)	0.0	Time (days)	1,715
Getting electricity (rank)	182	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		_3.0
Time (days)	455	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,737.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	146		
		Payments (number per year)	46		
		Time (hours per year)	208 45.0		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.9		

			asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	
GUYANA Ease of doing business (rank)	114	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,202 0.8
Starting a business (rank)	89	Registering property (rank)	114	Trading across borders (rank)	84
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	20	Time (days)	75	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.9	Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	730
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	22
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	29	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	745
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	195	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	75
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	36
				Time (days)	581
Getting electricity (rank)	148	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	25.2
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	109	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	138
Cost (% of income per capita)	542.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	29
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	118		
		Payments (number per year)	35		
		Time (hours per year)	263		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.1		
HAITI		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	70
Ease of doing business (rank)	174	Low income		Population (m)	10.
Starting a business (rank)	183	Registering property (rank)	130	Trading across borders (rank)	14
Procedures (number)	12	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	105	Time (days)	301	Time to export (days)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	286.6	Cost (% of property value)	6.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,18
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	21.0			Documents to import (number)	1
		Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	3
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	136	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,54
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	1,129	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	692.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	3
				Time (days)	53
Getting electricity (rank)	71	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	42.
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	60	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,599.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	5.
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	3
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.
		Paying taxes (rank)	123		
		Payments (number per year)	46		
		Time (hours per year)	184		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.8		
HONDURAS	125	Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,97
ase of doing business (rank) itarting a business (rank)	125 155	Lower middle income Registering property (rank)	92	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	7
Procedures (number)	13	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	-
ime (days)	14	Time (days)	23	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	45.9	Cost (% of property value)	5.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,34
Ainimum capital (% of income per capita)	15.7	Cost (70 of property value)	3.1	Documents to import (number)	
willillium capital (% of income per capita)	13.7	Gotting gradit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	1
Popling with construction normits (real-)	CE.	Getting credit (rank) Strangth of logal rights index (0.10)	12 g	1 ' ' '	1 51
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	65 12	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,51
rocedures (number)	13 94	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 20.7	Enforcing contracts (roals)	4-
ime (days)		3 , 3	20.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	274.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	32.9	Procedures (number)	0.
	447	Donate at least transfer of 10	100	Time (days)	97
* - 44! 4! - !4 /! \	117	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	35
= -		Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0	Parallel and the Control of the Control	
rocedures (number)	8	e	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	13
rocedures (number) ime (days)	33	Extent of director liability index (0-10)			
rocedures (number) ime (days)		Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	
rocedures (number) ime (days)	33			Cost (% of estate)	1
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	33	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 3.0		1
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	33	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	1
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	33	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 3.0	Cost (% of estate)	1
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	33	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	4 3.0 139	Cost (% of estate)	3.1 1! 19.4

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do b	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
HONG KONG SAR, CHINA Ease of doing business (rank)	2	East Asia & Pacific High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	35,160 7.1
Starting a business (rank)	6	Registering property (rank)	60	Trading across borders (rank)	2
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	3	Time (days)	36	Time to export (days)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.9	Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	575
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	4
B. P. M. J.		Getting credit (rank)	4	Time to import (days)	5
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	1	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	565
Time (days)	6 67	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	5 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	89.4	Procedures (number)	27
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.5	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or adults)	03.4	Time (days)	360
Getting electricity (rank)	4	Protecting investors (rank)	3	Cost (% of claim)	21.2
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10	(,,,,	
Time (days)	41	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	1.1
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	9.0	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	4		
		Payments (number per year)	3		
		Time (hours per year)	78		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	23.0		
HUNGARY		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	12,730
Ease of doing business (rank)	54	High income		Population (m)	10.0
✗ Starting a business (rank)	52	Registering property (rank)	43	Trading across borders (rank)	73
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	17	Time to export (days)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.9	Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	885
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.4			Documents to import (number)	7
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	19
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	55	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	875
Procedures (number)	26	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	F. C	4.6
Time (days)	102	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	15.8	Procedures (number)	35
Cattle or all attributes (small)	100	Posts sting investors (see b)	120	Time (days)	395
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	109 5	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	128 2	Cost (% of claim)	15.0
Time (days)	252	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	70
Cost (% of income per capita)	116.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	2.0
cost (% of income per capita)	110.5	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
		sacingar or investor protection mack (o 10)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.8
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	118	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	12		
		Time (hours per year)	277		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	50.3		
1071 4115					
ICELAND Ease of doing business (rank)	14	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	35,020 0.3
Starting a business (rank)	45	Registering property (rank)	9	Trading across borders (rank)	82
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	4	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,465
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11.5			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	40	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,620
Procedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	77	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	27
	_			Time (days)	417
Getting electricity (rank)	1	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	8.2
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	Desching in-the-	4.4
Time (days)	22	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 6.0	Time (years)	1.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	4 0E 0
		Y Paving taxes (rank)	41	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	85.0
		✗ Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	41 29		
		Time (hours per year)	140		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.0		
		Total tax rate (/o or profit)	33.0		

INDIA		South Asia		usiness X Reform making it more difficult GNI per capita (US\$)	1,42
Ease of doing business (rank)	132	Lower middle income		Population (m)	1,241
Starting a business (rank)	173	Registering property (rank)	94	Trading across borders (rank)	12
Procedures (number)	12	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	27	Time (days)	44	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	49.8	Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,12
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	140.1			Documents to import (number)	
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	:
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	182	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,2
Procedures (number)	34	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
ime (days)	196	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,528.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	14.9	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	1,4
ietting electricity (rank)	105	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	3
rocedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
ime (days)	67	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	247.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	
,		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	
		su engar or investor protection mack (o 10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20
		Paying taxes (rank)	152	necovery rate (certs on the donar)	-
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	243		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	61.8		
		rotal tax rate (% of profit)	٥.١٥		
NDONESIA ase of doing business (rank)	128	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,9 24:
tarting a business (rank)	166	Registering property (rank)	98	Trading across borders (rank)	242
rocedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	
	47				
ime (days)		Time (days)	22	Time to export (days)	,
ost (% of income per capita)	22.7	Cost (% of property value)	10.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	6
linimum capital (% of income per capita)	42.0			Documents to import (number)	
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	
Pealing with construction permits (rank)	75	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	6
rocedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
ime (days)	158	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	36.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	95.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	4
Getting electricity (rank)	147	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	139
rocedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
ime (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	1,243.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	!
,	•	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14
		Paying taxes (rank)	131	necovery rate (certs on the donar)	
		Payments (number per year)	51		
		Time (hours per year)	259		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.5		
RAN, ISLAMIC REP.	1.45	Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,3
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank)	145 87	Upper middle income Registering property (rank)	165	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	7.
rocedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	9	Documents to export (number)	'
ime (days)	13	Time (days)	36	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	3.3	Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,4
linimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.5	Casting and it / 1)	02	Documents to import (number)	
outro Minima de la compa		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	2.4
ealing with construction permits (rank)	166	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,1
rocedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
ime (days)	320	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	25.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
ost (% of income per capita)	262.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.9	Procedures (number)	-
				Time (days)	5
ietting electricity (rank)	163	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	150	Cost (% of claim)	1
rocedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
ime (days)	140	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
ost (% of income per capita)	788.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0	Time (years)	4
cost (18 of income per capital)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.7	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	23
		Paying taxes (rank)	129		
		Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	129 20		

		✓ Reform making it	easier to do bu	isiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do business
IRAQ	165	Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,640
Ease of doing business (rank)	165	Lower middle income	400	Population (m)	33.0
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	177 10	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	100 5	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	179 10
Time (days)	74	Time (days)	51	Time to export (days)	80
Cost (% of income per capita)	81.1	Cost (% of property value)	7.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,550
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	25.2	(/		Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	176	Time to import (days)	82
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	84	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,650
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	187	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	141
Cost (% of income per capita)	109.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	51 520
Getting electricity (rank)	46	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	520 28.1
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	Cost (% of claim)	20.1
Time (days)	47	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	516.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	65		
		Payments (number per year)	13		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	312		
		Total tax rate (% or profit)	28.1		
IRELAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	38,580
Ease of doing business (rank)	15	High income		Population (m)	4.5
✓ Starting a business (rank)	10	✓ Registering property (rank)	53	Trading across borders (rank)	28
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	10	Time (days)	38	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	7
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.3 0.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Documents to import (number)	1,135 4
willimum capital (70 of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	12
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	106	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,121
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	, in the second second	•
Time (days)	156	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	63
Cost (% of income per capita)	626.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	21
				Time (days)	650
Getting electricity (rank)	95	Protecting investors (rank)	6	Cost (% of claim)	26.9
Procedures (number)	5 205	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10 6	Docaluing incolvency (rank)	9
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	94.2	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	0.4
cost (70 of income per capita)	34.2	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.3	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	6		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	80		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	26.4		
ISRAEL		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	28,930
Ease of doing business (rank)	38	High income		Population (m)	7.8
Starting a business (rank)	41	✓ Registering property (rank)	144	Trading across borders (rank)	10
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	21	Time (days)	81 7.2	Time to export (days)	10 620
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.0 0.0	Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	620 4
williman capital (70 of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	10
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	139	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	565
Procedures (number)	19	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	212	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	94
Cost (% of income per capita)	86.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	35
			_	Time (days)	890
Getting electricity (rank)	91	Protecting investors (rank)	6	Cost (% of claim)	25.3
Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 132	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7 9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	47
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% or income per capita)	13.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.3	Cost (% of estate)	23
		protection (6 10)	0.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	45.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	82		
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	235		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.5		

	$oldsymbol{arkappa}$ Reform making it easier to do business $oldsymbol{arkappa}$ Reform making it more difficult to do busines				
ITALY Ease of doing business (rank)	73	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	35,330 60.8
Starting a business (rank)	84	Registering property (rank)	39	Trading across borders (rank)	55
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	24	Time to export (days)	19
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.5	Cost (% of property value)	4.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,145
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.7	and the control of th	404	Documents to import (number)	4
5 8 31 31 3 3 3 (1)	402	Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	18
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	103 11	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3 5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,145
Procedures (number) Time (days)	234	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	24.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	160
Cost (% of income per capita)	184.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	41
cost (70 of income per capita)	104.2	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or addres)	100.0	Time (days)	1,210
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	107	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	29.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	2031 (70 01 1141111)	25.5
Time (days)	155	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	31
Cost (% of income per capita)	319.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	1.8
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	22
		•		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	63.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	131	,	
		Payments (number per year)	15		
		Time (hours per year)	269		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	68.3		
JAMAICA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,980
Ease of doing business (rank)	90	Upper middle income		Population (m)	2.7
Starting a business (rank)	21	Registering property (rank)	105	Trading across borders (rank)	106
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	37	Time to export (days)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.7	Cost (% of property value)	7.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,500
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	50	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,560
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	5.6 - (1.1.)	120
Time (days)	145	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	129
Cost (% of income per capita)	212.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	35
C-441	122	Posts sting investors (see b)	02	Time (days)	655 45.6
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	123 6	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	82 4	Cost (% of claim)	45.6
Time (days)	96	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	32
Cost (% of income per capita)	557.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	1.1
cost (% of income per capita)	337.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	18
		Suchgui of investor protection mack (o 10)	3.3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	63.1
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	163	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	05.1
		Payments (number per year)	36		
		Time (hours per year)	368		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.6		
JAPAN Ease of doing business (rank)	24	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	45,180 127.8
Starting a business (rank)	114	Registering property (rank)	64	Trading across borders (rank)	19
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	3
Time (days)	23	Time (days)	14	Time to export (days)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.5	Cost (% of property value)	5.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	880
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	11
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	72	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	970
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	193	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	30
				Time (days)	360
Getting electricity (rank)	27	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	32.2
Procedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	105	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	0.6
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	4
		4 5 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (1	407	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	92.8
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	127		
		Payments (number per year)	14		
		Time (hours per year)	330		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	50.0		

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do l	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
JORDAN Ease of doing business (rank)	106	Middle East & North Africa Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,380 6.2
Starting a business (rank)	103	Registering property (rank)	102	Trading across borders (rank)	52
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	12	Time (days)	21	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	Cost (% of property value)	7.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	825
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	15
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	102	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,335
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2	Fuf-using	120
Time (days)	70 529.8	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	129
Cost (% of income per capita)	529.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time (days)	38 689
Getting electricity (rank)	38	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	31.2
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	Cost (70 or claim)	31.2
Time (days)	47	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	112
Cost (% of income per capita)	292.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	4.3
,		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	9
		, ,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	35	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	25		
		Time (hours per year)	151		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.1		
VAZAVIICTAN		Factory Furance 9 Control Acia		GNI per capita (US\$)	0 220
KAZAKHSTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	49	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income		Population (m)	8,220 16.6
✓ Starting a business (rank)	25	Registering property (rank)	28	Trading across borders (rank)	182
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	19	Time (days)	40	Time to export (days)	81
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	4,685
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	12
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	69
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	155	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4,665
Procedures (number)	32	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	189	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	28
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	39.3	Procedures (number)	37
				Time (days)	370
Getting electricity (rank)	80	Protecting investors (rank)	10	Cost (% of claim)	22.0
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9		
Time (days)	88	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	55
Cost (% of income per capita)	71.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	1.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.0	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	17		
		Payments (number per year)	7		
		Time (hours per year)	188		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.6		
KENYA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	820
Ease of doing business (rank)	121	Low income		Population (m)	41.6
Starting a business (rank)	126	Registering property (rank)	161	Trading across borders (rank)	148
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	9	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	32	Time (days)	73	Time to export (days)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.4	Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,255
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
Bullion March 2011		Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	45	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,350
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Enforcingt	4.40
Time (days)	125	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	149
Cost (% of income per capita)	211.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4.9	Procedures (number)	44 465
Getting electricity (rank)	162	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	465 47.2
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Cost (/o or claim)	41.2
Time (days)	146	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	100
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,208.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	10	Time (years)	4.5
st (/s s. mesme per capital)	1,200.2	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	22
		a.i.ga. ocotor protection much (o 10)	5.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.5
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	164		25.5
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	340		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	44.4		

		-	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	
KIRIBATI Ease of doing business (rank)	117	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,110 0.1
Starting a business (rank)	145	Registering property (rank)	71	Trading across borders (rank)	88
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	31	Time (days)	513	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.3	Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,120
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	21.3			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	21
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	120	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,120
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	5 f • i • • • • i • i • i • • • i • i • • • • • i • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	70
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	170 164.8	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	73 32
Cost (% of income per capita)	104.0	Filvate buleau coverage (% of addits)	0.0	Time (days)	660
Getting electricity (rank)	159	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	25.8
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	,	
Time (days)	97	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,199.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	9		
		Payments (number per year)	7		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	120 31.8		
		Total tax rate (% or profit)	31.0		
KOREA, REP.		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	20,870
Ease of doing business (rank)	8	High income		Population (m)	49.8
Starting a business (rank)	24	Registering property (rank)	75	Trading across borders (rank)	3
Procedures (number) Time (days)	5 7	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	3 7
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	11 5.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	665
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (% or property value)	J.1	Documents to import (number)	3
William capital (70 of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	7
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	26	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	695
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	29	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	127.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	33
				Time (days)	230
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	3	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	10.3
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	A Basakian inakanan (mala)	1.4
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	28 33.3	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4 7	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	14 1.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.3	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	4
		Strength of investor protection mack (6-10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.8
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	30	, , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	10		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.8		
KOSOVO		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,520
Ease of doing business (rank)	98	Lower middle income		Population (m)	1.8
✓ Starting a business (rank)	126	Registering property (rank)	76	Trading across borders (rank)	124
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	52	Time (days)	33	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	23.0	Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,775
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cotting cradit (rank)	22	Documents to import (number)	8 15
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	144	Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	23 8	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	15 1,810
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-10)	o 5	Cost to import (033 per container)	1,010
Time (days)	156	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	22.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	138
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,986.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	53
	-	<u> </u>		Time (days)	420
Getting electricity (rank)	116	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	33.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	48	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	915.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	15 34.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	44	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.7
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	164		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.4		
		, , ,			

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do busines
KUWAIT Ease of doing business (rank)	82	Middle East & North Africa High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	47,982 2.8
Starting a business (rank)	142	Registering property (rank)	89	Trading across borders (rank)	113
Procedures (number)	12	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	32	Time (days)	47	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Cost (% of property value)	0.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,085
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	56.7			Documents to import (number)	10
B - P 2d	440	Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	19
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	119 24	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,242
Procedures (number) Time (days)	130	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	117
Cost (% of income per capita)	96.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.0	Procedures (number)	50
cost (% of income per capita)	30.1	Titude bareau coverage (% of addits)	31.0	Time (days)	566
Getting electricity (rank)	55	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	18.8
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	,	
Time (days)	42	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	92
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	4.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	10
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	11		
		Payments (number per year)	12		
		Time (hours per year)	98		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	10.7		
KYRGYZ REPUBLIC		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	920
Ease of doing business (rank)	70	Low income		Population (m)	5.5
Starting a business (rank)	15	Registering property (rank)	11	Trading across borders (rank)	174
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	10	Time (days)	5	Time to export (days)	63
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.8	Cost (% of property value)	1.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	4,160
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	75
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	67	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4,700
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	142	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	47
Cost (% of income per capita)	140.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	24.6	Procedures (number)	38
				Time (days)	260
Getting electricity (rank)	177	Protecting investors (rank)	13	Cost (% of claim)	29.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	B - 1 - 1 - 1 / - 1)	455
Time (days)	159	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	155
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,428.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15 9.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	168	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	9.1
		Payments (number per year)	51		
		Time (hours per year)	210		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	68.9		
			00.5		
LAO PDR Ease of doing business (rank)	163	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,130 6.3
✓ Starting a business (rank)	81	Registering property (rank)	74	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	160
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	100
Time (days)	92	Time (days)	98	Time to export (days)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.1	Cost (% of property value)	1.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,140
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 of property value)	•••	Documents to import (number)	10
······· (// moonie per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	87	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,125
Procedures (number)	23	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		•
Time (days)	108	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	114
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	42
•		-		Time (days)	443
Getting electricity (rank)	138	Protecting investors (rank)	184	Cost (% of claim)	31.6
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	134	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,130.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	1.7	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	126		
		Payments (number per year)	34		
		Time (hours per year)	362		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.3		

Starting a business (min) 29 Upper middle income Population (m) 2			<u> </u>	easier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	
Procedures funither 3 Documents to export funither Time (days) 16 Time (days) 18 Time to export (days) 16 Time (days) 18 Time to export (days) 16 Time (days) 18 Time to export (days) 19 Document (days) 19		25	•		The state of the s	12,350 2.2
Time (days)	Starting a business (rank)	59	Registering property (rank)	31	Trading across borders (rank)	16
Cost (% of income per capital) Cost (% of income per praphial) Cost (% of income per capital) Cost (% of income per capi	Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	5
Cetting extention permits (anis) 13 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						10
Setting credit (rank)			Cost (% of property value)	2.0		600
Dealing with construction permits (ank) 113 Strength of legal ringhts index (0-10) 10 Cest to imprort (255 per container) 88	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	6 (1) 12 (-1)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6
Procedures (number)	Dealing with construction normits (reals)	112	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			11
Time (days)	•				Cost to import (05\$ per container)	001
Cost (% of income per capita) 18.6 Private bureau coverage (% of adulta) 0.0 Procedure (number) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Enforcing contracts (rank)	24
Setting electricity (rank) 83			3 , 3 , ,			27
Cot (% of Income per capita) Sample Protecting investors (rank) 70 Cot (% of claim) 22	(,		····,		, ,	469
Procedures (number)	Getting electricity (rank)	83	Protecting investors (rank)	70		23.1
Cost (% of income per capita) 389.1 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5	=	5		
Paying taxes (rank) 52 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Time (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	33
Paying taxes (rank)	Cost (% of income per capita)	389.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	3.0
Payment success from S2 Payment (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 36.6			Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	13
Peyments (number per year)					Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	59.8
LEBANON			Paying taxes (rank)	52		
Total tax rate (% of profit) 36.6						
LEBANON Middle East & North Africa Population (m) A						
Starting a business (rank)			Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.6		
Starting a business (rank)						9,110
Procedures (number) 5	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		·	4.3
Time (days) 9 Time (days) 25 Time to export (days) 7.	Starting a business (rank)				Trading across borders (rank)	95
Cost (% of income per capita) 67.0 Cost (% of property value) 5.8 Cost to export (USS per container) 1.08						5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 35.2 Setting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (bumber) 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					1 1 7 7	22
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 172 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost t import (days) 7.			Cost (% of property value)	5.8		1,080
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 172 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,36	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	35.2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
Procedures (number) 19						30
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 301.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 302. Procedures (number) 303.6 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 304. Procedures (number) 305. Time (days) 307. Extent of director liability index (0-10) 308. Time (days) 309.5 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 309. Time (days) 309.5 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 300. Cost (% of estate) 300. Paying taxes (rank) 300. Procedures (number) 300. Time (days) 301. Time (days) 302. Time (days) 303. Time (days) 303. Trading across borders (rank) 304. Time (days) 305. Time (rank) 306. Time (rank) 307. Trading across borders (rank) 308. Trading across borders (rank) 309. Trading across borders (rank) 309. Trading across borders (rank) 309. Trading across borders (rank) 300. Trading acros	•				Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,365
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Frocedures (number) Sub-Saharan Africa Forcedures (number) Time (days) Total tax rate (% of profit) Total business (rank) Forcedures (number) Total tax rate (% of profit) Total (days) Total tax rate (% of profit) Time (days) Total tax rate (% of income per capita) Total tax rate (% of profit) Time (days) Total tax rate (% of frome) Forcedures (number) Time (days) Total tax rate (% of of messor protection index (0-10) Time (days) Total tax rate (% of profit) Total			•		F. f	424
Time (days)					_	121
Getting electricity (rank)	Cost (% of income per capita)	301.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		37
Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 9 1 Resolving insolvency (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 99.5 Ease of shareholder sults index (0-10) 5 1 Time (years) 4 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 20 Paying taxes (rank) 37 Payments (number per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 180 Total tax rate (% of profit) 30.2 LESOTHO Sub-Saharan Africa Sub-Saharan Africa Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 15 Trading across borders (rank) 140 Strength of investor protey value) 7.9 Cost to export (USS) 9 Cost to export (USS) 9 Cost (% of income per capita) 13.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 0 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 17 Ime (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 13 Protecdures (number) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 13 Protecdures (number) 15 Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 12 Procedures (number) 13 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 10 Procedures (number) 15 Extent of disclosue index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 31 Protecdures (number) 15 Extent of disclosue index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 31 Time (days) 31 Protecdures (number) 31 Time (days) 31 Time (days) 31 Protecting investors (rank) 31 Fire (days) 31 Time (day	Cattle and a tribital (mails)	47	D44	100		721
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Per search of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor					Cost (% of claim)	30.8
Cost (% of income per capita) 99.5 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) Ease of doing business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 100 Settength of investor protection index (0-10) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Population (m) 20 Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-10) Setting a lower of days) Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 140 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Find (days) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investors (rank) Time (days) Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 140 Procedures (number) Time (days) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor protection					Posolving insolvency (rank)	121
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) 20 Paying taxes (rank) 37 Payments (number per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 180 Total tax rate (% of profit) 30.2 LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 136 Lower middle income Population (m) 2 Starting a business (rank) 79 Registering property (rank) 157 Trading across borders (rank) 14 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) 17 Ifime (days) 101 Time to export (days) 30.2 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 13.0 Cost (% of property value) 7.9 Cost to export (USS per container) 1.65 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 6 Cost to import (days) 3 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 95.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 150 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Frocedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Time (days) 31 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			4.0
Paying taxes (rank) 37 Payments (number per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 180 Total tax rate (% of profit) 30.2 LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 79 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 6 Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 133 Procedures (number) 15 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Time (days) 15 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 8 Time (days) 5 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95	Cost (% of income per capita)	33.3			* '	22
Payments (number per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 180 Total tax rate (% of profit) 30.2 LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 136 Lower middle income Population (m) 2 Starting a business (rank) 79 Registering property (rank) 157 Trading across borders (rank) 14 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) 110 Time (days) 101 Time to export (days) 3 Cost (% of income per capita) 13.0 Cost (% of property value) 7.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 1,65 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 6 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,94 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 125 Getting electricity (rank) 133 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Time (days) 6 Getting electricity (rank) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Paying taxes (rank) 95			strength of investor protection mack (o 10)	3.0	, ,	20.9
Payments (number per year) 19 Time (hours per year) 180 Total tax rate (% of profit) 30.2			Paving taxes (rank)	37	necevery rate (cents on the donar,	20.5
LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 136 Lower middle income ✓ Starting a business (rank) 79 Registering property (rank) 157 Trading across borders (rank) 140 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) 13.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 13.0 Cost (% of property value) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Cetting credit (rank) 154 Time (days) 155 Time (days) 154 Time to import (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 130 Cost (% of income per capita) 131 Cost (% of income per capita) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 154 Time (days) 154 Time to import (days) 154 Time (days) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 156 Cost (% of income per capita) 157 Trading across borders (rank) 158 Trading across borders (rank) 159 Cost (wo fincome to export (lumber) 150 Time (days) 150 Time to export (lUSS per container) 155 Time to import (days) 156 Cost to import (days) 157 Time (days) 158 Time (days) 159 Cost to import (lusS per container) 159 Time (days) 150 Cost (% of income per capita) 150 Cost (% of income per capita) 151 Cost (% of income per capita) 152 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 153 Time (days) 154 Time (days) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 156 Cost (% of income per capita) 157 Time (days) 158 Time (days) 159 Time (days) 150 Cost (% of claim) 151 Time (days) 152 Cost (% of income per capita) 153 Cost (% of income per capita) 154 Time (days) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 156 Cost (% of income per capita) 157 Cost (% of income per capita) 158 Cost (% of income per capita) 159 Cost (% of income per capita) 150 Cost (% of income per capita) 151 Cost (% of income per capita) 152 Cost (% of income per capita) 153 Cost (% of income per capita) 154 Cost (% of income per capita) 155 Cost (% of income per capita) 156 Cost (% of income per capita) 157 Cost (% of income per capita) 158 Cost (% of income per capita) 159 Cost (% of income per capita) 150 Cost (% of income per capita) 151 Cost (% of income per capita) 152 Cost (% of income per capita) 153 Cost (% of income per capita) 1						
LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 136 Lower middle income Population (m) 2 ✓ Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 9 Procedures (number) 13.0 Procedures (rank) 154 Procedures (rank) 154 Procedures (number) 154 Procedures (number) 155 Procedures (number) 160 Procedures (number) 17 Procedures (number) 18 Procedures (number) 19 Procedures (number) 11 Popth of credit information index (0-6) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Popth of credit information index (0-6) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Popth of credit information index (0-6) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Popth of credit information index (0-6) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Popth of credit information index (0-6) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Procedures (number) 130 Procedures (number) 131 Procedures (number) 132 Protecting investors (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Procedures (number) 11 Procedures (number) 13 Procedures (number) 13 Procedures (number) 13 Protecting investors (rank) 10 Procedures (number) 11 Procedures (number) 12 Procedures (number) 13 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Procedures (number) 100 P						
LESOTHO Ease of doing business (rank) 136 Lower middle income Population (m) 2 ✓ Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 130 Procedures (number) 140 Strength of legal rights coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) 130 Cost (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 111 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 111 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 140 Cost (% of income per capita) Do Procedures (number) Time (days) Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 140 Cost (% of income per capita) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (days) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95						
Ease of doing business (rank) 136			• •			
✓ Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (days) 3 Cost (% of income per capita) 8 Documents to import (days) 9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 9 Documents to import (number) 11 Depth of credit (rank) 15 Time to import (days) 15 Time to import (days) 15 Time to import (days) 15 Time to import (fumber) 16 Cost to import (fumber) 17 Procedures (number) 18 Procedures (number) 19 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 12 Cost (% of income per capita) 13 Protecting investors (rank) 14 Protecting investors (rank) 15 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 16 Cost (% of income per capita) 17 Protecting investor protection index (0-10) 18 Time (years) 19 Cost (% of estate) 10 Cost (% of estate) 10 Cost (% of estate) 11 Procedures (number) 12 Extent of investor protection index (0-10) 13 Procedures (number) 14 Resolving insolvency (rank) 15 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 17 Paying taxes (rank) 18 Paying taxes (rank) 19 Starting investor (rank) 10 Cost (% of estate) 10 Cost (% of estate)		136				1,220 2.2
Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 6 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 24 Time (days) 101 Time to export (days) 3 Cost (% of income per capita) 13.0 Cost (% of property value) 7.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 1,69 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Cetting credit (rank) 154 Time to import (number) Cetting credit (rank) 154 Time to import (days) 3 Documents to import (number) 1,99 Procedures (number) 111 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 6 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,99 Procedures (number) 111 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 17 Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 7 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95				157		144
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) 424 Time (days) Cost to export (days) Cost to export (uss per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (days) Cost to import (uss) Cost to import (uss) Cost to import (uss) Cost (ost to import (uss) Cost					_	7
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Getting credit (rank) 110 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Cost (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) Provedures (number) Time (days) Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 133 Procecting investors (rank) Time (days) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Time (da						31
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Time (days) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Time (days) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Time (days) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)						1,695
Getting credit (rank) 154 Time to import (days) 33 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 6 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,94 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 2 Getting electricity (rank) 133 ✓ Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 3 7 Time (days) 15 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 3 4 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 Getting electricity (rank) 133 ✓ Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 32 32 32 33 32 33 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 <td></td> <td></td> <td>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7</td>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			7
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 140 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 6 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,94 Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 4 Getting electricity (rank) 133 ✓ Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 7 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Cost (% of estate) Extent of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95 95 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			Getting credit (rank)	154		35
Procedures (number) 11 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 330 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 13 Cost (% of income per capita) 95.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 2 Time (days) 61 Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 5 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	140	_		Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,945
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Getting electricity (rank) 133 Protecting investors (rank) 140 Cost (% of income per capita) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 7 Paying taxes (rank) 95	•			0		-
Cost (% of income per capita) 950.4 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) Time (days) 61 Getting electricity (rank) 133 Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) 95 Procedures (number) Cost (% of claim) 31 Resolving insolvency (rank) 70 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95	Time (days)	330	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	139
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Faging taxes (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 31 Resolving insolvency (rank) 70 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95	Cost (% of income per capita)	950.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	41
Procedures (number) 5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 3 Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 7 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95						615
Time (days) 125 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) 7 Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Time (years) 2 Cost (% of estate) 7 Cost (% of estate) 7 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95					Cost (% of claim)	31.3
Cost (% of income per capita) 2,275.9 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 7 Paying taxes (rank) 95						
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95					•	75
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 37 Paying taxes (rank) 95	Cost (% of income per capita)	2,275.9				2.6
Paying taxes (rank) 95			Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0		8
					Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	37.6
Payments (number per year) 33						
Time (hours per year) 324						
Total tax rate (% of profit) 16.0			rotal tax rate (% of profit)	16.0		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
LIBERIA Ease of doing business (rank)	149	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$)	240 4.1
Starting a business (rank)	38		170	Population (m)	
Procedures (number)	30 4	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	178 10	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	137 10
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	50	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.7	Cost (% of property value)	13.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,220
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	the first of the second		Documents to import (number)	11
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	28
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	126	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,320
Procedures (number)	23	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	75	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.1	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	163
Cost (% of income per capita)	559.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	40
Cattle or all attribute (mark)	1.45	Posts discussions (see b)	150	Time (days)	1,280
✓ Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	145 4	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	150 4	Cost (% of claim)	35.0
Time (days)	465	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	159
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,528.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.0
cost (% of income per capita)	3,320.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.7	Cost (% of estate)	43
		g p (,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.5
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	45	, , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	158		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.4		
LITHUANIA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	12,280
Ease of doing business (rank)	27	Upper middle income		Population (m)	3.2
✓ Starting a business (rank)	107	Registering property (rank)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	24
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	20	Time (days)	3	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Cost (% of property value)	0.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	825
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	31.3			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	8
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	48	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	980
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	Fufacion contrata (cont.)	1.4
Time (days)	142 22.3	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	24.4 81.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	81.2	Procedures (number) Time (days)	30 275
Getting electricity (rank)	75	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	23.6
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	Cost (70 or claim)	25.0
Time (days)	148	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	40
Cost (% of income per capita)	55.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	7
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	51.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	60		
		Payments (number per year)	11		
		Time (hours per year)	175		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.7		
LUXEMBOURG		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	78,130
Ease of doing business (rank)	56	High income		Population (m)	0.5
Starting a business (rank)	93	Registering property (rank)	134	Trading across borders (rank)	32
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	19	Time (days)	29	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.9	Cost (% of property value)	10.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,420
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	20.9	Carlo	450	Documents to import (number)	4
Dealing with construction as well (m. 1)	22	Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	7 1 420
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	33	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,420
Time (days)	12 157	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	1 26
2001 (70 of income per capita)	13.4	vate bareau coverage (70 or addres)	0.0	Time (days)	321
Getting electricity (rank)	63	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	9.7
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	,	***
Time (days)	120	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	52
Cost (% of income per capita)	58.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	14		
		Payments (number per year)	23		
		Time (hours per year)	59		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	21.0		

			easier to do b	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	
MACEDONIA, FYR Ease of doing business (rank)	23	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,730 2.1
✓ Starting a business (rank)	5	Registering property (rank)	50	Trading across borders (rank)	76
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	2	Time (days)	40	Time to export (days)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.9	Cost (% of property value)	3.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,376
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	11
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	65	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,380
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	117	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	34.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	59
Cost (% of income per capita)	517.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	72.2	Procedures (number) Time (days)	37 370
Getting electricity (rank)	101	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	31.1
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9	Cost (% of claim)	31.1
Time (days)	151	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	296.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.0
cost (/o or income per capita)	250	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	10
		g p (,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	24	, (
		Payments (number per year)	29		
		Time (hours per year)	119		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	9.4		
MADAGASCAR Ease of doing business (rank)	142	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	430 21.3
✓ Starting a business (rank)	17	Registering property (rank)	147	Trading across borders (rank)	112
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	74	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.8	Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,197
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	9
		Getting credit (rank)	180	Time to import (days)	24
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	148	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,555
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	172	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	156
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,116.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	38
				Time (days)	871
Getting electricity (rank)	183	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	42.4
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	450	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	151
Cost (% of income per capita)	9,056.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	30
		P 1 (1)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	68		
		Payments (number per year)	23		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	201 36.0		
		Total tax fate (/o of profit)	30.0		
MALAWI	157	Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	340
Ease of doing business (rank)	157	Low income	07	Population (m)	15.4
Starting a business (rank)	141	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	97 6	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	168
Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 39	Time (days)	69	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	10 34
Cost (% of income per capita)	83.7	Cost (% of property value)	3.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,175
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	2000 (70 or property value)	5.0	Documents to import (number)	2,173
	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	43
✗ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	175	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,870
Procedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	st to import (554 per container)	2,070
Time (days)	200	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	144
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,198.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	42
·		3		Time (days)	432
Getting electricity (rank)	179	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	94.1
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	•	
Time (days)	222	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	134
Cost (% of income per capita)	8,854.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.6
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	25
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.5
		X Paying taxes (rank)	58		
		Payments (number per year)	26		
		Time (hours per year)	175		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.7		

MALAYSIA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	8,42
Ease of doing business (rank)	12	Upper middle income		Population (m)	28
itarting a business (rank)	54	✓ Registering property (rank)	33	Trading across borders (rank)	1
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	6	Time (days)	14	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.1	Cost (% of property value)	3.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	4:
Ainimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 or property value)	3.3	Documents to import (number)	_
minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	1	Time to import (days)	
Applies with construction normits (ronk)	06		10		4
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	96	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)		Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4
Procedures (number)	37	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	F. F	
ime (days)	140	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	56.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
ost (% of income per capita)	17.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	81.8	Procedures (number)	
				Time (days)	4
etting electricity (rank)	28	Protecting investors (rank)	4	Cost (% of claim)	2
rocedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
ime (days)	46	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
ost (% of income per capita)	53.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.7	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4
		Paying taxes (rank)	15	, , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	13		
		Time (hours per year)	133		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	24.5		
		Total tax rate (// or profit)	2 4 .J		
MALDIVES ase of doing business (rank)	95	South Asia Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,5 (
-	63	Registering property (rank)	151	•	1
tarting a business (rank)	5		6	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)		Procedures (number)		Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	9	Time (days)	57	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	6.7	Cost (% of property value)	16.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,5
Iinimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.2			Documents to import (number)	
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	
ealing with construction permits (rank)	19	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,5
rocedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
ime (days)	174	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
ost (% of income per capita)	8.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	
		3 . ,		Time (days)	6
ietting electricity (rank)	120	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	16
rocedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0	(,,	
ime (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
ost (% of income per capita)	380.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	
ost (% of income per capita)	300.3				
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	-
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	50
		X Paying taxes (rank)	57		
		Payments (number per year)	17		
		Time (hours per year)	252		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.7		
		C.I.C.I. AC'		GNI per capita (US\$)	
1ALI		Sub-Saharan Africa			б
	151	Low income		Population (m)	
ase of doing business (rank)	<mark>151</mark> 118		91		1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank)		Low income	91 5	Population (m)	1!
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number)	118	Low income Registering property (rank)		Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	1! 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	5 29	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	1 <u>!</u> 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	118 4 8 86.2	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1 <u>!</u> 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	118 4 8	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 29 12.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1! 1 2,2
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	5 29 12.0 129	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1 <u>!</u> 1 2,2
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1 <u>!</u> 1 2,2
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1! 1 2,2 3,0
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) me (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) rocedures (number) me (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	1! 1 2,2 3,0
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1! 1 2,2 3,0
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1! 1 2,2 3,0 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1! 1 2,2 3,0 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1! 1 2,2 3,0 1
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,9 1,2,2 3,0 1 6 5,2
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) recedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1! 1 2,2 3,0 1 6 52
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) recedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) set (in the construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	15 1 2,2 3,0 1 6 52
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) recedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) set (in the construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0 150 6 1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3,0 1,6 5,7
ase of doing business (rank) tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) recedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) set (in the construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Case of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	15 1 2,2 3,0 1 6 52 1
WALI case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) cime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Coaling with construction permits (rank) Crocedures (number) cime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (mumber) cime (days) Cost (mumber)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) V Paying taxes (rank)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	155 119 2,20 3,00 110 60 52
case of doing business (rank) citarting a business (rank) crocedures (number) crime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Alinimum capital (% of income per capita) Cost (mumber) Crocedures (number) Crocedures (number) Crocedures (mumber) Crocedures (mumber) Crocedures (number)	118 4 8 86.2 331.9 99 11 179 418.6	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Case of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5 29 12.0 129 6 1 3.3 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	6 15 1! 2,20 3,00 1: 66 52 1: 3 3

		✓ Reform making in	t easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busi
MALTA Ease of doing business (rank)	102	Middle East & North Africa High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	21,028 0.4
Starting a business (rank)	150	Registering property (rank)	80	Trading across borders (rank)	34
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	(
Time (days)	40	Time (days)	15	Time to export (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.9	Cost (% of property value)	5.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	855
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.5			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	176	Time to import (days)	9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	167	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	970
Procedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	237	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	121
Cost (% of income per capita)	243.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	40
		5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	70	Time (days)	505
Getting electricity (rank)	111	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	35.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Paralaina inaakan na (naak)	_
Time (days)	136	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	6 3.
Cost (% of income per capita)	463.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8 5.7	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7		1 39.
		Paying taxes (rank)	27	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.
		Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	6		
		Time (hours per year)	139		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.6		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.0		
MARSHALL ISLANDS Ease of doing business (rank)	101	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,91 0.
Starting a business (rank)	48	Registering property (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	6
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Documents to export (number)	,
Time (days)	17	Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Time to export (days)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.6	Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	94
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 of property value)	NO FRACTICE	Documents to import (number)	,
vinimum capital (70 of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	97
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	cost to import (054 per container)	3,
Time (days)	87	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	3
cost (/o or meome per capita)	22.0	ate pareau coverage (70 or audie)	0.0	Time (days)	47
Getting electricity (rank)	73	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	27.
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2	,	
Time (days)	67	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	772.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	3
		Daving tayor (rank)	02	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17
		Paying taxes (rank)	92		
		Payments (number per year)	21		
		Time (hours per year)	128		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	64.9		
MAURITANIA case of doing business (rank)	167	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,00
tarting a business (rank)	160	Registering property (rank)	65	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	19	Time (days)	49	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	47.6	Cost (% of property value)	4.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,5
finimum capital (% of income per capita)	327.9	(, pp)		Documents to import (number)	.,
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	:
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	115	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,52
rocedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1	, (p coa)	.,5
ime (days)	82	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,796.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	
		- J. (Time (days)	3
	121	Protecting investors (rank)	150	Cost (% of claim)	23
etting electricity (rank)		Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	•	
<u> </u>	5		3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	5 75	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3		
rocedures (number) ime (days)			3	Time (years)	3
rocedures (number) ime (days)	75	Extent of director liability index (0-10)		Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	8
Procedures (number) Time (days)	75	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3		
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	75	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Cost (% of estate)	
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	75	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3 3.7	Cost (% of estate)	
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	75	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	3 3.7 177	Cost (% of estate)	10.

		✓ Reform making i	t easier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	t to do business
MAURITIUS Ease of doing business (rank)	19	Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	8,240 1.3
	14		60	•	
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	5	✓ Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	15 5
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	15	Time to export (days)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.3	Cost (% of property value)	10.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	660
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	10
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	62	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	695
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	143	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	56.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	58
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	36
Cattle or all attributes (small)	44	D4	12	Time (days)	645
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	44 4	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	13 6	Cost (% of claim)	16.3
Time (days)	84	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	64
Cost (% of income per capita)	295.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	1.7
cost (/o or income per capita)	233	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.7	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	40.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	12		
		Payments (number per year)	7		
		Time (hours per year)	161		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.5		
MEXICO		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	9,240
Ease of doing business (rank)	48	Upper middle income		Population (m)	114.8
Starting a business (rank)	36	Registering property (rank)	141	Trading across borders (rank)	61
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	9	Time (days)	74	Time to export (days)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.1	Cost (% of property value)	5.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,450
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	e est the first the		Documents to import (number)	4
5 P. M	26	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	12
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	36 10	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,780
Procedures (number) Time (days)	69	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	76
Cost (% of income per capita)	322.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	99.2	Procedures (number)	38
cost (% of income per capita)	322.7	Trivate bareau coverage (70 of adults)	33.2	Time (days)	415
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	130	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	31.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8	,	
Time (days)	95	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	382.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	1.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	18
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	67.3
		Paying taxes (rank)	107		
		Payments (number per year)	6		
		Time (hours per year)	337		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	52.5		
MICRONESIA, FED. STS.		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,900
Ease of doing business (rank)	150	Lower middle income		Population (m)	0.1
Starting a business (rank)	104	Registering property (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	100
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	16	Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Time to export (days)	30
Cost (% of income per capita)	144.2	Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,295
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	6 30
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	42	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,295
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-10)	0	cost to import (053 per container)	1,433
Time (days)	114	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	149
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	34
		3 . ,		Time (days)	885
Getting electricity (rank)	53	Protecting investors (rank)	177	Cost (% of claim)	66.0
Procedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0		
Time (days)	105	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	166
Cost (% of income per capita)	424.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	5.3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.7	Cost (% of estate)	38
		Paris a tarras (mal)	0.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3.4
		Payments (number per year)	85 21		
		Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year)	21 128		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	58.7		
		. Stat. tast. (40 of profit)	50.7		

✓ Reform making it easier to do business
✗ Reform making it more difficult to do business

			asier to do l	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	
MOLDOVA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,980
Ease of doing business (rank)	83	Lower middle income		Population (m)	3.6
Starting a business (rank)	92	Registering property (rank)	16	Trading across borders (rank)	142
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	9 5.7	Time (days)	5 0.9	Time to export (US\$ per centainer)	32
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8.7	Cost (% of property value)	0.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,545 7
William Capital (70 of income per capita)	0.7	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	35
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	168	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,870
Procedures (number)	26	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Time (days)	291	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	✗ Enforcing contracts (rank)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	69.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3.9	Procedures (number)	31
				Time (days)	327
Getting electricity (rank)	161	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	28.6
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	140	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	91
Cost (% of income per capita)	578.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	9
		✗ Paying taxes (rank)	109	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.0
		Payments (number per year)	48		
		Time (hours per year)	220		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	31.2		
MONGOLIA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,320
Ease of doing business (rank)	76	Lower middle income		Population (m)	2.8
' Starting a business (rank)	39	Registering property (rank)	22	Trading across borders (rank)	175
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	10
Time (days)	12	Time (days)	11	Time to export (days)	49
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.4	Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,555
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	11
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	50
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	121	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,710
Procedures (number)	19	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	208	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	58.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	29
Cost (% of income per capita)	39.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	32
Cattle - alasteiste (cault)	100	(Donto still a investory (see b)	25	Time (days)	314
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	169 8	✓ Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	25 6	Cost (% of claim)	30.6
Time (days)	126	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	127
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,012.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	4.0
cost (70 of income per capita)	1,012.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate)	
		Suchgar of investor protection mack (6 10)	0.7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	22.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	70	, (
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	192		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	24.6		
MONTENECRO					
MONTENEGRO	F1	Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,060
Ease of doing business (rank)	51	Upper middle income	447	Population (m)	0.6
Starting a business (rank)	58	Registering property (rank)	117	Trading across borders (rank)	42
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	1.
Time (days)	10	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	71	Time to export (US\$ per centainer)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.6	Cost (% or property value)	3.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	85
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	✓ Getting credit (rank)	4	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	176	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	91!
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	cost to import (033 per container)	513
Time (days)	267	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	25.2	Enforcing contracts (rank)	13!
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,169.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	49
(,, o, moone per capita)	.,105.0	ate sailed coverage (70 of dudie)	0.0	Time (days)	54!
Getting electricity (rank)	69	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	25.
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	,	
, ,	71	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	4
Time (days)		Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.
Cost (% of income per capita)	490.3		6.3	Cost (% of estate)	
	490.3	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.5	cost (70 of cstate)	
	490.3	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.3	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	48.3
	490.3	Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	81		48.3
	490.3				48.3
	490.3	Paying taxes (rank)	81		48.3

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busines
MOROCCO Ease of doing business (rank)	97	Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,970 32.3
✓ Starting a business (rank)	56	✗ Registering property (rank)	163	Trading across borders (rank)	47
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	12	Time (days)	75	Time to export (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.5	Cost (% of property value)	5.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	577
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	16
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	79	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	950
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	97	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	88
Cost (% of income per capita)	220.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	17.2	Procedures (number)	40
				Time (days)	510
Getting electricity (rank)	92	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	25.2
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	62	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	86
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,515.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.8
,	,	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	18
		sa engar or investor protection mack (o 10)	5.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	110	necovery rate (cents on the donar,	33.1
		Payments (number per year)	17		
		Time (hours per year)	238		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.6		
MOZAMBIQUE		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	470
Ease of doing business (rank)	146	Low income		Population (m)	23.9
Starting a business (rank)	96	Registering property (rank)	155	Trading across borders (rank)	134
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	13	Time (days)	42	Time to export (days)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.7	Cost (% of property value)	8.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,100
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	(,		Documents to import (number)	10
minimum capital (70 or meome per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	28
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	135	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,545
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	cost to import (033 per container)	1,545
		•		F-f	122
Time (days)	377	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4.4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	132
Cost (% of income per capita)	113.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	30
				Time (days)	730
Getting electricity (rank)	174	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	142.5
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	117	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	147
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,394.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	5.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	105	, ,	
		Payments (number per year)	37		
		Time (hours per year)	230		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.3		
		rotal tax rate (% or profit)	34.3		
NAMIBIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,700
Ease of doing business (rank)	87	Upper middle income		Population (m)	2.3
Starting a business (rank)	133	✗ Registering property (rank)	169	Trading across borders (rank)	140
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	9
Time (days)	66	Time (days)	46	Time to export (days)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.5	Cost (% of property value)	13.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,800
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	20
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	56	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,905
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	1	
Time (days)	139	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	41
Cost (% of income per capita)	110.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.9	Procedures (number)	33
cost (70 of income per capita)	110.3	Trivate pareau coverage (70 Of addits)	05.3	Time (days)	270
✓ Gatting electricity (rapk)	87	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	35.8
Getting electricity (rank)				COSE (/0 OF CIGITI)	33.0
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	Pacabine in the second ()	
Time (days)	38	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	59
C + /0/ C'	482.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of income per capita)			5.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.5		
Cost (% of income per capita)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.3
Cost (% of income per capita)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	112		
Cost (% of income per capita)					
Cost (% of income per capita)		Paying taxes (rank)	112		

		Reform making it e	easier to do l	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
NEPAL		South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	540
Ease of doing business (rank)	108	Low income		Population (m)	30.5
Starting a business (rank)	105	Registering property (rank)	21	Trading across borders (rank)	171
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	11
Time (days)	29	Time (days)	5	Time to export (days)	41
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.0	Cost (% of property value)	4.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,975
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	70	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	11 38
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	97	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	70	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,095
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3	cost to import (05\$ per container)	2,033
Time (days)	115	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	137
Cost (% of income per capita)	654.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.5	Procedures (number)	39
		3 · · · ·		Time (days)	910
Getting electricity (rank)	96	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	26.8
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	70	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	121
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,762.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	5.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	9
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	24.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	114		
		Payments (number per year)	34		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	326 31.5		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	31.3		
NETHERLANDS		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	49,730
Ease of doing business (rank)	31	High income		Population (m)	16.7
✓ Starting a business (rank)	67	Registering property (rank)	49	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	12
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	7	Time to export (days)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.1	Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	895
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	49.4			Documents to import (number)	4
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	6
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	89	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	975
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	Fufacion contrata (cont.)	22
Time (days)	159 78.9	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	32
Cost (% of income per capita)	78.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	81.7	Procedures (number) Time (days)	26 514
Getting electricity (rank)	67	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	23.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	cost (70 or claim)	23.3
Time (days)	143	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.1
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	29		
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	127		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.1		
NEW ZEALAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	36,648
Ease of doing business (rank)	3	High income		Population (m)	4.4
Starting a business (rank)	1	Registering property (rank)	2	Trading across borders (rank)	25
Procedures (number)	1	Procedures (number)	2	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	1	Time (days)	2	Time to export (days)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.4	Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	870
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	4	Time to import (days)	9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	825
Procedures (number)	6	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	89	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	30
Carte Academy (CA)	2.5	But at the total of 15	_	Time (days)	216
Getting electricity (rank)	32	Protecting investors (rank)	1	Cost (% of claim)	27.2
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10	Posolving insolvence (1.)	12
Time (days)	50 76.1	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9 10	Resolving insolvency (rank)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	76.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	10 9.7	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1.3 4
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.1	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	83.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	21	covery rate (certs on the dollar)	55.0
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	152		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.5		

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do b	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
NICARAGUA	119	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,170 5.9
Ease of doing business (rank)			122	Population (m)	
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	131 8	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	123 8	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	81 5
Time (days)	39	Time (days)	49	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	100.6	Cost (% of property value)	4.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,140
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	(/		Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	20
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	154	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,245
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	218	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	55
Cost (% of income per capita)	362.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	29.5	Procedures (number)	37
				Time (days)	409
Getting electricity (rank)	129	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	26.8
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4	Paris 1 (1)	00
Time (days)	70 1 526 6	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	80
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,526.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 5.0	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2.2 15
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	158	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	50.0
		Payments (number per year)	42		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.0		
NICED					
NIGER Ease of doing business (rank)	176	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	360 16.1
Starting a business (rank)	167	Registering property (rank)	87	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	176
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	17	Time (days)	35	Time to export (days)	59
Cost (% of income per capita)	112.8	Cost (% of property value)	11.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,676
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	572.8			Documents to import (number)	11
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	64
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	160	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,711
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	326	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	140
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,612.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	39
Cattle or all atolicites (souls)	110	Donto stino invocato na (mala)	150	Time (days)	545
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	118 4	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	158 6	Cost (% of claim)	59.6
Time (days)	115	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	130
Cost (% of income per capita)	6,562.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	5.0
cost (/o or meome per capita)	0,302.1	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	18
		g p (,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	151	•	
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	270		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.8		
NIGERIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,200
Ease of doing business (rank)	131	Lower middle income		Population (m)	162.5
Starting a business (rank)	119	Registering property (rank)	182	Trading across borders (rank)	154
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	13	Documents to export (number)	10
Time (days)	34	Time (days)	86	Time to export (days)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.4	Cost (% of property value)	20.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,380
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	39
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	88	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,540
Procedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	F. C	00
Time (days)	85	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	98
Cost (% of income per capita)	417.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4.1	Procedures (number) Time (days)	40 457
Getting electricity (rank)	178	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	32.0
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	Cost (10 of claim)	32.0
Time (days)	260	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	105
Cost (% of income per capita)	873.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	22
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.2
		X Paying taxes (rank)	155		
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	956		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.8		

		-	easier to do b	usiness X Reform making it more difficult	
NORWAY Ease of doing business (rank)	6	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	88,890 5.0
Starting a business (rank)	43	Registering property (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	21
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	1	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	3	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.7	Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,125
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.4	(,,,,		Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	7
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	23	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,100
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	123	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	30.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	34
				Time (days)	280
Getting electricity (rank)	14	Protecting investors (rank)	25	Cost (% of claim)	9.9
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	66	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	0.9
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	90.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	19		
		Payments (number per year)	4		
		Time (hours per year)	87		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.6		
OMAN		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	23,315
Ease of doing business (rank)	47	High income		Population (m)	2.
Starting a business (rank)	73	Registering property (rank)	18	Trading across borders (rank)	4
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	2	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	16	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.6	Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	74
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	223.1			Documents to import (number)	
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	59	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	68
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	174	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	37.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	37.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	5
				Time (days)	59
Getting electricity (rank)	54	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	13.
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
Time (days)	62	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	4.
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	20
		Paving tayon (ronk)	10	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.
		Paying taxes (rank)	10		
		Payments (number per year)	14		
		Time (hours per year)	62		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.0		
PAKISTAN	107	South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,12
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank)	107 98	Lower middle income Registering property (rank)	126	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	176. 8
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	21	Time (days)	50	Time to export (days)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.9	Cost (% of property value)	7.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	66
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 or property value)	7.0	Documents to import (number)	00
willing capital (70 of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	1
		_	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	70
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	105	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)		st toport (obj per container)	/ (
•	105 11	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)			
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1'
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	11 222	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 7.2	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Procedures (number)	4
Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	11 222 216.0	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 7.2 2.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	97
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	11 222 216.0 171	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	4 7.2 2.0	Procedures (number)	97
Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	11 222 216.0 171 6	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	9: 23
Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days)	11 222 216.0 171 6 206	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	97 23
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 222 216.0 171 6	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6 7	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	23 23 7 2
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 222 216.0 171 6 206	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	4 97 23. 7 2.
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 222 216.0 171 6 206	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6 7 6.3	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	4 97 23. 7 2.
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	11 222 216.0 171 6 206	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6 7 6.3	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	4 97 23. 7 2.
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 222 216.0 171 6 206	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 7.2 2.0 32 6 6 7 6.3	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	15: 49: 97: 23: 7: 2.: 36.:

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do bu	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	t to do business
PALAU Ease of doing business (rank)	111	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,250
Starting a business (rank)		Upper middle income	17	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	0.0
Procedures (number)	130 8	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	108 5
Time (days)	28	Time (days)	14	Time to export (days)	29
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.2	Cost (% of property value)	0.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	970
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.8			Documents to import (number)	9
		Getting credit (rank)	185	Time to import (days)	33
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	44	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	1	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	930
Procedures (number)	22	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	93	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	146
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	38
Getting electricity (rank)	78	Protecting investors (rank)	177	Cost (% of claim)	810 35.3
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0	Cost (% of Claim)	33.3
Time (days)	125	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	71
Cost (% of income per capita)	173.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	1.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.7	Cost (% of estate)	23
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	83		
		Payments (number per year)	11		
		Time (hours per year)	142		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	75.7		
PANAMA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	7,910
Ease of doing business (rank)	61	Upper middle income		Population (m)	3.6
Starting a business (rank)	23	✓ Registering property (rank)	107	Trading across borders (rank)	9
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	3
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	28	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.8	Cost (% of property value)	5.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	615
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	3
45 11 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	70	Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	9
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	73	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	965
Procedures (number)	17 101	Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	125
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	83.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	57.9	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	125 32
Cost (% of income per capita)	03.7	riivate buleau coverage (% of adults)	37.9	Time (days)	686
Getting electricity (rank)	16	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	50.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	(,,	
Time (days)	35	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	110
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	25
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.5
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	172		
		Payments (number per year)	60		
		Time (hours per year)	431		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.0		
PAPUA NEW GUINEA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,480
Ease of doing business (rank)	104	Lower middle income		Population (m)	7.0
Starting a business (rank)	91	Registering property (rank)	88	Trading across borders (rank)	120
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	51	Time (days)	72	Time to export (days)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.6	Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	949
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	9
Dealing with construction accounts (as 1)	150	Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	1 120
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	159 21	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5 4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,130
Time (days)	219	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	166
Cost (% of income per capita)	114.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.9	Procedures (number)	42
2555 (70 of meaning per cupitar)	1.17.7	ate sale coverage (70 of addits)	2.5	Time (days)	591
Getting electricity (rank)	23	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	110.3
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	•	
Time (days)	66	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	125
Cost (% of income per capita)	59.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	23
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	23.5
		Paying taxes (rank)	106		
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	207 42.2		
		Total tax rate (/o or profit)	44.4		

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busines:
PARAGUAY Ease of doing business (rank)	103	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,970 6.6
Starting a business (rank)	111	Registering property (rank)	67	Trading across borders (rank)	155
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	35	Time (days)	46	Time to export (days)	33
Cost (% of income per capita)	46.8	Cost (% of property value)	1.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,440
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	33
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	71	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,750
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	137	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	16.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	106
Cost (% of income per capita)	223.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	47.5	Procedures (number)	38
Casting alastaista (cast)	20	Donato ation of investment (see b)	70	Time (days)	591
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	26 4	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	70 6	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Time (days)	53	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	144
Cost (% of income per capita)	221.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	3.9
cost (70 of income per capita)	221.7	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
		sacingar or investor protection mack (o 10)	5.,	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.3
		Paying taxes (rank)	141	, ,	
		Payments (number per year)	35		
		Time (hours per year)	387		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.0		
PERU		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	5,500
Ease of doing business (rank)	43	Upper middle income		Population (m)	29.4
Starting a business (rank)	60	Registering property (rank)	19	Trading across borders (rank)	60
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	26	Time (days)	7	Time to export (days)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.6	Cost (% of property value)	3.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	890
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	17
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	86	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	880
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	Enforcing contracts (ronk)	115
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	173 62.8	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.2 42.5	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	115 41
Cost (% of income per capita)	02.0	riivate bureau coverage (% of adults)	42.3	Time (days)	428
Getting electricity (rank)	77	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	13	Cost (% of claim)	35.7
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9	,	
Time (days)	100	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	106
Cost (% of income per capita)	378.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	3.1
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.7	Cost (% of estate)	7
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	85		
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	293		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.5		
PHILIPPINES	420	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,210
Ease of doing business (rank)	138	Lower middle income	40-	Population (m)	94.9
Starting a business (rank)	161	Registering property (rank)	122	Trading across borders (rank)	53
Procedures (number)	16	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	36	Time (days)	39	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.1 4.8	Cost (% of property value)	4.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	585
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	8 14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	100	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	660
Procedures (number)	29	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3	2000 to import (000 per container)	500
Time (days)	84	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	111
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	9.0	Procedures (number)	37
• •		<u> </u>		Time (days)	842
Getting electricity (rank)	57	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	26.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2		
Time (days)	50	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	165
Cost (% of income per capita)	833.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	5.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38 4.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	143	necovery rate (certs on the world!)	4.3
		Payments (number per year)	47		
		Time (hours per year)	193		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	46.6		
		. I an take (70 or profity	.0.0		

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
POLAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	12,480
Ease of doing business (rank)	55	High income		Population (m)	38.2
Starting a business (rank)	124	Registering property (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	50
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	32 14.4	Time (days)	54 0.4	Time to export (days)	17 1,050
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.0	Cost (% of property value)	0.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,050
Millinum capital (70 of income per capita)	15.0	Getting credit (rank)	4	Time to import (days)	16
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	161	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,025
Procedures (number)	29	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	,	.,
Time (days)	301	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	49.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	76.9	Procedures (number)	33
				Time (days)	685
Getting electricity (rank)	137	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	19.0
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	186	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	37
Cost (% of income per capita)	208.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	15
		A Paving taxos (rank)	114	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	54.5
		✓ Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	114		
		Time (hours per year)	286		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.8		
PORTUGAL		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	21,250
Ease of doing business (rank)	30	High income		Population (m)	10.6
Starting a business (rank)	31	Registering property (rank)	30	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	17
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	1	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	1	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3 0.0	Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	685 5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	104	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	12
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	78	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	899
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	cost to import (05\$ per container)	033
Time (days)	108	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	90.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	22
Cost (% of income per capita)	370.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	22.9	Procedures (number)	32
		3 . ,		Time (days)	547
Getting electricity (rank)	35	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	13.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	64	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	9
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	74.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	77		
		Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year)	8 275		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.6		
		Total tax rate (70 or pronty	42.0		
PUERTO RICO (U.S.)		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	17,655
Ease of doing business (rank)	41	High income		Population (m)	3.7
Starting a business (rank)	12	Registering property (rank)	128	Trading across borders (rank)	96
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	194	Time to export (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Cost (% of property value)	0.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,300
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Catting quadit (rank)	12	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	9
Dealing with construction normity (rank)	156	Getting credit (rank)	12		1 250
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	156 18	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	9 5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,350
Time (days)	189	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	101
Cost (% of income per capita)	361.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	81.5	Procedures (number)	39
Fr. supran,	501.5	(// 0. ddd.cs/	35	Time (days)	620
Getting electricity (rank)	37	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	25.6
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7	•	
Time (days)	32	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	384.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	8
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	73.4
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	104		
		Payments (number per year)	16		
		Time (hours per year)	218 50.7		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	50.7		

Model Page Model Page Model Page Model Page Page Model Model Model Page Page Model Model Model Page Page			Reform making it e	easier to do l	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
Procedures transfert 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		40			The state of the s	
Time (days)	Starting a business (rank)	109	Registering property (rank)	40	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	58
Cost (% of income per capital) 6.07	Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	5
Minimum capital (% of income per capital) Cetting predict (rank) Cetting predict (rank) 104 The import (dayly 17		9		13	Time to export (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (vanis)			Cost (% of property value)	0.3		
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 16 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 4 Cost to import USS per containing 1,923	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	60.7			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Procedures (number)	5 H 14	4.0				
Time (days)	•				Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,033
Cost (% of income per capital) 1.1 Private burrous coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) 4.3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Enforcing contracts (ronk)	O.E.
Setting electricity (rank) 25 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Coct (thy of claim) 21.6			3 , 3		_	
Cost (% of income per capita) 25 Protecting investors (rank) 100 Cost (% of claim) 21.6 Procedures (unimber) 4 Extent of discotor liability intels (0-10) 5 Resolving insolveney (rank) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 39 Extent of director liability intels (0-10) 4 Time (para) 2.8 Cost (% of income per capita) 39 Extent of director liability intels (0-10) 4 Time (para) 2.5 Cost (% of extende) 2.5 Cost (% of profil) 11.3 Cost (% of extende) 2.5 Cost (% of profil) 2.5 Cost (% of profil) 2.5 Cost (% of extende) 2.5	cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Trivate bureau coverage (70 or addits)	0.0		
Procedure furnamen	Getting electricity (rank)	25	Protecting investors (rank)	100		
Time (days)					2001 (70 01 214111)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)					Resolving insolvency (rank)	36
Paying taxes (rank) 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						
	,				* .	
ROMANIA Ease of Going business (rank) 72 Upper middle (income Tender Property (ank) 1.3						
ROMANIA Ease of doing business (rank) 2 Lastern Europe & Central Asia Cotton (%) of profit) 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			Paying taxes (rank)	2	•	
ROMANIA			Payments (number per year)	4		
ROMANIA Eastern Europe & Central Asia GN per capita (USS) 7,910			Time (hours per year)	48		
Sarting a business (annk)			Total tax rate (% of profit)	11.3		
Sarting a business (annk)	ROMANIA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	7.910
Procedures (number) 6		72	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Time (days)	Starting a business (rank)	68	Registering property (rank)	72	Trading across borders (rank)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.8	Time (days)	10		26	Time to export (days)	12
Cetting with construction permits (rank) 129 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 99 Cost to import (days) 139 1495 1	Cost (% of income per capita)	2.8	Cost (% of property value)	1.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,485
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 129 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 9 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 1,495	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8.0			Documents to import (number)	6
Procedures (number) 15			✓ Getting credit (rank)		Time to import (days)	13
Time (days)	•				Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,495
Cost (% of income per capita) 79.1 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 44.9 Procedures (number) 32 216 512			•			
Getting electricity (rank)					_	
Cetting electricity (rank) 168 Protecting investors (rank) 49 Cost (% of claim) 28.9 Procedures (number) 7 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 9 102 Cost (% of income per capita) 584.2 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4 Time (years) 3.3 Cost (% of income per capita) 584.2 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4 Time (years) 3.3 Payments (rank) 136 Payments (number) 216 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Payments (number per year) 216 Paying taxes (rank) 216 Paying taxes (rank) 216 Payments (number per year) 216 Cost (% of estate) 10,4000 RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income Population (m) 141.9 Starting a business (rank) 101 Registering property (rank) 46 Trading across borders (rank) 162 Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,4 Getting property value) 0,2 Cost to export (USS per container) 2,200 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1,4 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) 36 ✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank) 178 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (USS per container) 2,200 Procedures (number) 14 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (spay) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 129,2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0,0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 114 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 120 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4 Cost (% of claim) 43 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 120 1	Cost (% of income per capita)	79.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.9		
Procedures (number) 7 (a) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 9 (a) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c						
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 842 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Steepth of investor protection index (0-10) Steep Hayding taxes (rank) Time (hours per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) Starting a business (rank) Starting a bu					Cost (% of claim)	28.9
Cost (% of income per capita) Several Face of shareholder suits index (0-10) Several for investor protection index (0-10) Several for investor protection index (0-10) Face overy rate (cents on the dollar) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) Starting a business (rank) Starting a business (rank) 110 Registering property (rank) Starting a business (rank) 111 Starting a business (rank) 111 Starting a business (rank) 111 Registering property (rank) Starting a business (rank) 112 Starting a business (rank) 113 Starting a business (rank) 114 Starting a business (rank) 115 Starting a business (rank) 116 Procedures (number) 8 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (fumber) 8 Time (days) 44 Time to export (dusys) 118 Time (days) 44 Time to export (dusys) 121 Cost (% of income per capita) 25 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 178 Sternigh of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Time (days) 184 Procedures (number) 194 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Frocedures (number) 194 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Frocedures (number) 104 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) 270 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Procedures (number) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of income per capita) 118 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 119 Ease of shareholders uits index (0-10) 119 Procedures (number) 110 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 110 Procedures (number) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 110 Extent of director liability						400
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.0 Cost (% of estate) 11 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 29.2 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Payments (number per year) 41 Time (hours per year) 216 Total tax rate (% of profit) 44.2 RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income Population (m) 141.9 Starting a business (rank) 101 Registering property (rank) 46 Trading across borders (rank) 162 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Time (days) 44 Time to export (days) 22.0 Cost (% of property value) 0.2 Cost to export (umber) 11 Time to form per capita) 1.4 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 1.4 Documents to import (number) 11 Time to import (days) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 1.4 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Time (days) 34 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Cost (% of income per capita) 1.573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 33 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 270 Cost (% of income per capita) 1.573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7, Time (hours per year) 7, Time (hours per year) 177						
Payments (number per year) 136 Payments (number per year) 216 Total tax rate (% of profit) 44.2 RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income	Cost (% of income per capita)	584.2			* .	
Paying taxes (rank) 136 Payments (number per year) 41 1716 (hours per year) 216 1701al tax rate (% of profit) 44.2			Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	, ,	
RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 18 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 19 Pealing with construction permits (rank) 114 Upper middle ingeried (ingly) 115 Upper middle ingeried (ingly) 116 Cost (% of income per capita) 117 Cost (% of income per capita) 118 Strength of incerdiate (ingly) 119 Setting a business (rank) 110 Registering property (rank) 111 Registering property (rank) 112 Upper middle income 115 Procedures (number) 116 Registering property (rank) 117 Cost (% of income per capita) 118 Time (days) 119 Cetting credit (rank) 110 Getting credit (rank) 110 Getting credit (rank) 110 Time (and (and (and (and (and (and (and (and			Daving taxes (reals)	126	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.2
RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income Population (m) 141.9 Starting a business (rank) 101 Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) 102 Registering property (rank) 103 Procedures (number) 104 Time (days) 105 Time (days) 106 Registering property (rank) 107 Registering property (rank) 108 Procedures (number) 109 Cost (% of income per capita) 109 Cost (% of income per capita) 100 Cost (% of property value) 100 Cost (% of property value) 100 Cost (% of property value) 101 Time to import (number) 102 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 103 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 104 Time to import (days) 105 Procedures (number) 105 Documents to import (number) 110 Cost (% of income per capita) 111 Cost (% of income per capita) 112 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 113 Cost (% of income per capita) 114 Procedures (number) 115 Cost (% of income per capita) 116 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 117 Cost (% of claim) 118 Procedures (number) 119 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 110 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 111 Cost (% of income per capita) 112 Cost (% of income per capita) 113 Cost (% of income per capita) 114 Procedures (number) 115 Cost (% of income per capita) 115 Cost (% of income per capita) 116 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 117 Cost (% of claim) 118 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 119 Cost (% of estate) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 117 Cost (% of estate) 118 Cost (% of income per capita) 119 Cost (% of estate) 119 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 110 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 115 Cost (% of estate) 116 Procedures (number) 117 Cost (% of estate) 118 Procedures (number) 119 Cost (% of estate) 119 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 110 Cost (% of estate) 110 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 1110 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 1111 Cost (% of estate) 1111 Cost (% of est						
RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income 114.9 Starting a business (rank) 110 Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 10.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.1 Getting credit (rank) 10.4 Getting credit (rank) 10.4 Time to export (luss per container) 10.5 Evently of income per capita) 10.4 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 10.5 Time (days) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.6 Cetting credit (rank) 10.7 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 10.8 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 10.5 Time (days) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor yrotection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of estate) 10.5 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 10.5 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10.5 Cost (% of estate)						
RUSSIAN FEDERATION Ease of doing business (rank) 112 Upper middle income Population (m) 141.9 Starting a business (rank) 101 Registering property (rank) 46 Trading across borders (rank) 162 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Procedures (number) 18 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 44 Time to export (days) 21 Cost (% of income per capita) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 2.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 2.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 4.0 Forcedures (number) 4.1 Getting credit (rank) 4.1 Time to import (days) 4.2 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 4.3 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 4.4 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 4.5 Time (days) 4.5 Procedures (number) 4.7 Procedures (number) 4.8 Time (days) 4.9 Procedures (number) 4.1 Procedures (number) 4.1 Procedures (number) 4.2 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 4.5 Procedures (number) 4.6 Protecting investors (rank) 4.7 Procedures (number) 4.8 Time (days) 4.7 Cost (% of claim) 4.8 Protecting investors (rank) 4.9 Procedures (number) 4.1 Cost (% of income per capita) 4.1 Procedures (number) 4.2 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of claim) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 4.8 Resolving insolvency (rank) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 4.8 Resolving insolvency (rank) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 4.8 Resolving insolvency (rank) 4.3 Average (and the dollar) 4.3 Average (and the dollar) 4.3 Average (and the dollar) 4.4 Payments (number per year) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 4.8 Payments (number per year) 4.7 Time (hours per year) 4.7 Ti						
Ease of doing business (rank)112Upper middle incomePopulation (m)141.9Starting a business (rank)101Registering property (rank)46Trading across borders (rank)162Procedures (number)8Procedures (number)5Documents to export (number)8Time (days)18Time (days)44Time to export (days)21Cost (% of income per capita)2.0Cost (% of property value)0.2Cost to export (USS per container)2,820Minimum capital (% of income per capita)1.4Getting credit (rank)104Time to import (days)36✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)178Strength of legal rights index (0-10)3Cost to import (USS per container)2,920Procedures (number)42Depth of credit information index (0-6)5Enforcing contracts (rank)11Cost (% of income per capita)129.2Private bureau coverage (% of adults)0.0Enforcing contracts (rank)11Cost (% of income per capita)129.2Private bureau coverage (% of adults)45.4Procedures (number)36Getting electricity (rank)184Protecting investors (rank)117Cost (% of claim)13.4Procedures (number)10Extent of disclosure index (0-10)6Time (days)270Getting electricity (rank)281Extent of disclosure index (0-10)6Time (years)2.0Time (days)281Extent of director liability index (0-10)4.7Cost (% of estate) <td></td> <td></td> <td>rotal tax rate (// or profit)</td> <td>44.2</td> <td></td> <td></td>			rotal tax rate (// or profit)	44.2		
Starting a business (rank) 101 Registering property (rank) 46 Trading across borders (rank) 162 Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Time (days) 44 Time to export (days) 21 Cost (% of income per capita) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 0.2 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 2,820 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1.4 Cetting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (number) 11 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) 36 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 2,920 Procedures (number) 42 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 2,920 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Time (days) 270 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Time (days) 270 Cost (% of income per capita) 15 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 270 Cost (% of income per capita) 15 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 200 (Gost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Time (years) 2.0 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7		112				
Procedures (number) 8 Procedures (number) 5 Documents to export (number) 8 Time (days) 44 Time to export (days) 21 Cost (% of income per capita) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 0.2 Cost to export (USS per container) 2,820 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1.4 Documents to import (number) 11 Time to income per capital 1.4 Documents (rank) 178 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (USS per container) 2,920 Procedures (number) 42 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Time (days) 344 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 177 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 6 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177				16		
Time (days) 18 Time (days) 44 Time to export (days) 21 Cost (% of income per capita) 2.0 Cost (% of property value) 0.2 Cost to export (US\$ per container) 2,820 Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1.4 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (number) 11 Setting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) 36 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 178 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 2,920 Procedures (number) 42 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Time (days) 344 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177					_	
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1.4 Getting credit (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) 134 Procedures (number) 149 Procedures (number) 140 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Procedures (number) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 150 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 150 Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Extent						
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 1.4 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (number) 2,920 Procedures (number) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 1104 Procedures (number) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 1107 Getting electricity (rank) 1108 Procedures (number) 1109 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 1110 Cost (% of income per capita) 1111 Cost (% of income per capita) 1120 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 1117 Cost (% of income per capita) 1117 Cost (% of income per capita) 1117 Cost (% of claim) 1117 Cost (% of claim) 1118 Procedures (number) 1119 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 1119 Cost (% of income per capita) 1117 Cost (% of estate) 1117 Cost (% of e						
Getting with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Add Public registry coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Procedures (number) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Procedures (number) 100 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 117 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.1 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 134 Extent of director liability index (0-10) Cost (% of income per capita) 153 Cost (% of income per capita) 153 Cost (% of income per capita) 154 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 57 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	the state of the s		cost (70 or property value)	0.2		
V Dealing with construction permits (rank) 178 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 3 Cost to import (US\$ per container) 2,920 Procedures (number) 42 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Time (days) 344 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 28 Time (days) 281 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Time (years) 2.0 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Paying taxes (rank) 64 Payments (number per year) 7 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Image: Procedures (number)	capital (70 of income per capita)	1.7	Getting credit (rank)	104		
Procedures (number) 42 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Time (days) 344 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) 11 Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 6 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	178	=			
Time (days) 344 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 10 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 11 Time (years) 12 Cost (% of estate) 12 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 13 August (2-10) 14 August (2-10) 15 Payments (number per year) 17 Time (hours per year)	=				[(+ Fer consumer)	-,
Cost (% of income per capita) 129.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 45.4 Procedures (number) 36 Time (days) 270 Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5 trength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177			•		Enforcing contracts (rank)	11
Getting electricity (rank) 184 Protecting investors (rank) 117 Cost (% of claim) 13.4 Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Time (years) 2.0 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	• • • •				_	
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 25 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5 trength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 8 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	• • •		<u> </u>			
Procedures (number) 10 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Time (days) 281 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 2 Resolving insolvency (rank) 53 Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Time (years) 2.0 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	Getting electricity (rank)	184	Protecting investors (rank)	117		
Cost (% of income per capita) 1,573.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5 trength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	Procedures (number)	10		6		
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.7 Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	Time (days)	281	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	53
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 43.4 Paying taxes (rank) 64 Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177	Cost (% of income per capita)	1,573.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.0
✓ Paying taxes (rank)64Payments (number per year)7Time (hours per year)177			Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
Payments (number per year) 7 Time (hours per year) 177					Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.4
Time (hours per year) 177			✓ Paying taxes (rank)	64		
			Payments (number per year)	7		
Total tax rate (% of profit) 54.1						
			Total tax rate (% of profit)	54.1		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
RWANDA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	570
Ease of doing business (rank)	52	Low income		Population (m)	10.9
Starting a business (rank)	8	Registering property (rank)	63	Trading across borders (rank)	158
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	3	Time (days)	25	Time to export (days)	29
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.3 0.0	Cost (% of property value)	5.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,245 8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	23	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	o 31
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	98	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4,990
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	cost to import (oss per container)	4,550
Time (days)	164	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	39
Cost (% of income per capita)	278.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.1	Procedures (number)	23
		-		Time (days)	230
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	49	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	78.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7		
Time (days)	30	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	167
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,948.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	50
		5 1 4 4 10	25	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3.1
		Paying taxes (rank)	25		
		Payments (number per year)	17		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	134 31.3		
		Total tax rate (// or profit)	٥.١٥		
SAMOA	£7	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,190
Ease of doing business (rank)	57	Lower middle income	22	Population (m)	0.2
Starting a business (rank)	20	Registering property (rank)	23	Trading across borders (rank)	66
Procedures (number)	4	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	9	Time (days)	15	Time to export (days)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.5	Cost (% of property value)	1.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	690
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	129	Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	6 28
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	70	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	775
Procedures (number)	21	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	cost to import (034 per container)	773
Time (days)	87	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	81
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	44
		3		Time (days)	455
Getting electricity (rank)	33	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	19.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	34	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	146
Cost (% of income per capita)	790.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	38
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	79		
		Payments (number per year)	37		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	224 18.9		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	10.9		
SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE	160	Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,360
Ease of doing business (rank)	160	Lower middle income	1.01	Population (m)	0.2
Starting a business (rank)	100	Registering property (rank)	161	Trading across borders (rank)	89
Procedures (number)	4 7	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	19.1	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	62 9.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	26 690
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	285.8	Cost (% or property value)	3.0	Documents to import (number)	7
willimum capital (% of income per capita)	203.0	Getting credit (rank)	180	Time to import (days)	28
✗ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	91	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	577
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	i (par container)	
Time (days)	118	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	181
Cost (% of income per capita)	386.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	43
				Time (days)	1,185
Getting electricity (rank)	72	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	50.5
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
Time (days)	89	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	164
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,066.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	6.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	22
		P. 10. 10. 10. 10.		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	144		
		Payments (number per year)	42 424		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	424 32.5		
		Total tax rate (70 of profit)	32.3		

			easier to do	business X Reform making it more difficult	
SAUDI ARABIA Ease of doing business (rank)	22	Middle East & North Africa High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	17,820 28.1
Starting a business (rank)	78	Registering property (rank)	12	Trading across borders (rank)	36
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	21	Time (days)	8	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.0	Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	935
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	53	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	32	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,054
Procedures (number)	14 103	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6	. Cufaveing contracts (rould)	124
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	24.7	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 33.3	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	124 40
cost (% of income per capita)	24.7	Trivate bareau coverage (70 or addits)	33.3	Time (days)	635
K Getting electricity (rank)	12	Protecting investors (rank)	19	Cost (% of claim)	27.5
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9	,	
Time (days)	61	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	107
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	2.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.0	Cost (% of estate)	22
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.0
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	3		
		Payments (number per year)	3		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	72 14.5		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	14.5		
SENEGAL Ease of doing business (rank)	166	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,070 12.8
Starting a business (rank)	102	Registering property (rank)	173	Trading across borders (rank)	67
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	5	Time (days)	122	Time to export (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.4	Cost (% of property value)	20.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,098
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	192.3	the first of the same of the s		Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	133	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,740
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	1		
Time (days)	210	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4.6	Enforcing contracts (rank)	148
Cost (% of income per capita)	529.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	43
Catting alacticity (rould)	180	Protecting investors (repla)	169	Time (days)	780 26.5
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	8	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Cost (% of claim)	20.5
Time (days)	125	Extent of disclosure findex (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	90
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,624.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	3.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	7
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	178		
		Payments (number per year)	59		
		Time (hours per year)	666		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	46.0		
SERBIA		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	5,680
Ease of doing business (rank)	86	Upper middle income		Population (m)	7.3
Starting a business (rank)	42	Registering property (rank)	41	Trading across borders (rank)	94
Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 12	Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 11	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	7 12
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.7	Cost (% of property value)	2.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,455
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 of property value)	2.0	Documents to import (number)	7
William Capital (70 of Income per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	179	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,660
Procedures (number)	18	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		-
Time (days)	269	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	103
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,427.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	36
				Time (days)	635
Getting electricity (rank)	76	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	31.3
	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7 6	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	100
Procedures (number)		Extent of director liability index (0.10)			103
Time (days)	131	Extent of director liability index (0-10) Fase of shareholder suits index (0-10)			2.0
		Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	2.0 20
Time (days)	131	•		Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20
Time (days)	131	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3	Time (years)	
Time (days)	131	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3 5.3	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20
Time (days)	131	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	3 5.3 149	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	20

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to do business
SEYCHELLES		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	11,130
Ease of doing business (rank)	74	Upper middle income		Population (m)	0.1
Starting a business (rank)	117	Registering property (rank)	66	Trading across borders (rank)	33
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	39	Time (days)	33	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.3	Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	876
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	57	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	876
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	126	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	83
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	37
				Time (days)	915
Getting electricity (rank)	144	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	15.4
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
Time (days)	147	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	65
Cost (% of income per capita)	429.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)	11
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	20		
		Payments (number per year)	27		
		Time (hours per year)	76		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	25.7		
SIERRA LEONE		Cub Caharan Africa		CNI per cenite (IIC¢)	240
Ease of doing business (rank)	140	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	340 6.0
			167	•	
Starting a business (rank)	76	✓ Registering property (rank)	167	Trading across borders (rank)	131
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	12	Time (days)	67	Time to export (days)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	80.4	Cost (% of property value)	11.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,385
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	(Cassing and distance)	02	Documents to import (number)	7
Dealing with construction normite (real)	172	✓ Getting credit (rank)	83 7	Time to import (days)	27
Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	173 20	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,780
Time (days)	238	·	0.7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	147
		Public registry coverage (% of adults)		Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	265.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time (days)	39 515
Getting electricity (rank)	176	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	149.5
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Cost (% of claim)	145.5
Time (days)	137	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Posalving insolvency (rank)	154
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,124.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	2.6
cost (% of income per capita)	2,124.4	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	42
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	9.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	117	necovery rate (cents on the donal)	3.2
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	357		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.1		
		Total tax rate (70 or profit)	32.1		
SINGAPORE		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	42,930
Ease of doing business (rank)	1	High income		Population (m)	5.2
Starting a business (rank)	4	Registering property (rank)	36	Trading across borders (rank)	1
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	3	Time (days)	21	Time to export (days)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	456
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	4
		Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	4
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	439
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	26	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.3	Procedures (number)	21
				Time (days)	150
Getting electricity (rank)	5	Protecting investors (rank)	2	Cost (% of claim)	25.8
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
Time (days)	36	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	9	Time (years)	0.8
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	9.3	Cost (% of estate)	1
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	91.3
		Paying taxes (rank)	5		
		Payments (number per year)	5		
		Time (hours per year)	82		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.6		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	t to ao busin
SLOVAK REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	46	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	16,070 5.4
Starting a business (rank)	83	Registering property (rank)	8	Trading across borders (rank)	98
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	16	Time (days)	17	Time to export (days)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.8	Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,560
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	21.3	cost (70 of property value)	0.0	Documents to import (number)	7,300
minimum capital (70 of meome per capita)	21.5	Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	46	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,540
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	cost to import (054 per container)	1,540
Time (days)	286	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	2.7	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	69
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.5	Procedures (number)	32
cost (% of income per capita)	7.5	Trivate bareau coverage (70 or addits)	30.3	Time (days)	545
Getting electricity (rank)	100	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3	Cost (70 or claim)	30.0
Time (days)	158	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	249.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	243.1		4.7	Cost (% of estate)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7		18
		Double of towns (world)	100	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	53.6
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	100		
		Payments (number per year)	20		
		Time (hours per year)	207		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	47.9		
SLOVENIA		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	23,610
Ease of doing business (rank)	35	High income		Population (m)	2.1
Starting a business (rank)	30	Registering property (rank)	83	Trading across borders (rank)	57
Procedures (number)	2	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	110	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	745
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	43.9	(,		Documents to import (number)	8
Thin tapital (70 or meome per capita)	.5.5	Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	61	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	830
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Cost to import (033 per container)	030
	197	·		Enforcing contracts (rould)	E.C.
Time (days)		Public registry coverage (% of adults)	3.4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	65.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	98.9	Procedures (number)	32
				Time (days)	1,290
Getting electricity (rank)	31	✓ Protecting investors (rank)	17	Cost (% of claim)	12.7
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	38	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	42
Cost (% of income per capita)	119.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.3	Cost (% of estate)	4
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	49.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	63		
		Payments (number per year)	11		
		Time (hours per year)	260		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.7		
		•			
COLOMON ICLANDS		E . A O.D C		CALL 'L (LICÈ)	4.440
SOLOMON ISLANDS Ease of doing business (rank)	92	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	
Ease of doing business (rank)			168	Population (m)	0.6
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank)	75	Lower middle income Registering property (rank)	168	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	0.6 86
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	75 7	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	10	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	0.6 86 7
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 87	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	0.6 86 7 24
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	75 7 9 47.9	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	10	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	10 87 4.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	10 87 4.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	10 87 4.8 83 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	10 87 4.8 83 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 2C 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5	Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5 125 4 160	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3 7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5 125 4 160	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3 7 8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5 125 4 160	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Exse of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3 7 8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	0.6 86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5 125 4 160	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3 7 8 8 6.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	0.6 86
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	75 7 9 47.9 0.0 77 15 92 248.5 125 4 160	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Exse of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	10 87 4.8 83 9 0 0.0 0.0 49 3 7 8 6.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	86 7 24 1,070 5 20 1,037 109 37 455 78.9

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	t to do business
SOUTH AFRICA	20	Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,960
Ease of doing business (rank)	39	Upper middle income	70	Population (m)	50.6
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	53 5	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	79 6	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	115 6
Time (days)	19	Time (days)	23	Time to export (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Cost (% of property value)	5.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,620
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (% of property value)	5.5	Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	1	Time to import (days)	23
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	39	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,940
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	127	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	82
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	54.0	Procedures (number)	29
Cattion also this its (mult)	150	P	10	Time (days)	600
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	150 5	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10 8	Cost (% of claim)	33.2
Time (days)	226	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	84
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,505.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.0
	,	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.0	Cost (% of estate)	18
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	32		
		Payments (number per year)	9		
		Time (hours per year)	200		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.3		
SPAIN		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	30,990
Ease of doing business (rank)	44	High income		Population (m)	46.2
Starting a business (rank)	136	Registering property (rank)	57	Trading across borders (rank)	39
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	28	Time (days)	13	Time to export (days)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.7	Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,260
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.2	Cotting cradit (rank)	53	Documents to import (number)	6 9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	38	Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	6	Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,350
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	Cost to import (053 per container)	1,550
Time (days)	182	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	53.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	64
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.8	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	13.2	Procedures (number)	40
				Time (days)	510
Getting electricity (rank)	70	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	17.2
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Time (days)	101	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	232.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	1.5
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	11
		Paying taxes (rank)	34	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	76.5
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	167		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.7		
SRI LANKA	01	South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,580
Ease of doing business (rank)	81	Lower middle income	1.42	Population (m)	20.9
✓ Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	33 5	✓ Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	143 8	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	56 6
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	60	Time to export (days)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.1	Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	720
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	19
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	112	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	775
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	216	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	133
Cost (% of income per capita)	35.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	33.6	Procedures (number)	40 1 210
Getting electricity (rank)	103	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1,318 22.8
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	Cost (70 or claim)	22.0
Time (days)	132	Extent of disclosure fidex (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,257.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	1.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	10
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	169		
		Payments (number per year)	61		
		Time (hours per year)	254		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	50.1		

ST. KUTS AND NEVS	It to do busine
Starting a business (rank) 69 Registering property (rank) 166 X Tading across borders (rank) 17 Procedures (mumber) 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 18 18	12,480
Procedures (number) 7	0.1
Time (days)	64
Cost (% of income per capital) 9.1 Cost (% of property value) 13.3 Cost to export (USS per container) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 15 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7.0 Cost to import (pumber) Procedures (number) 111 Depart or credit information index (0-6) 0 Cost to import (USS per container) Foreign electricity (rank) 13.9 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) Getting electricity (rank) 17 Protecting investors (rank) 22 Cost (% of claim) Getting delectricity (rank) 18 Estent of discustive index (0-10) 48 Resolving insolvency (rank) Gott (% of income per capita) 30.4 Estent of discustive index (0-10) 48 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cott (% of income per capita) 30.4 Estent of discustive index (0-10) 48 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cott (% of income per capita) 30.4 Estent of discustive index (0-10) 48 Resolving insolvency (rank) ST. LUCIA Limit America Carabbe Limit America Carabbe 75 Time (elsy) Starting a Austines Carabbe<	5
Dealing with construction permits (ank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (skry)	13 805
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 15 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (days)	7
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 15 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (USS per container)	12
Procedures (number)	2,635
Time (days) 139	_,
Setting electricity (rank)	119
Protectures (number) 17 Protectures (nank) 12 Cost (% of claim)	47
Procedures (number)	578
Time (days)	20.5
Cost (% of income per capita) 304.3 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate)	
Paying taxes (rank)	185
Paying taxes (rank) 135 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Paying taxes (rank) 136 Time (hours per year) 137 Trading across borders (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) 118 Time (days) 15 Time (days) 15 Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) 15 Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) 17 Time to export (days) 18 Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) 18 Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) 18 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 19	NO PRACTICE
Paying taxes (rank) Paying taxes (rank) 135 Paying taxes (rank) Paying taxes (NO PRACTICE
Payments (number per year) 36 Time (hours per year) 203	0.0
Time (hours per year) 203 52.1	
ST. LUCIA Latin America & Caribbean GNI per capita (USS) Ease of doing business (rank) 53 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 51 Registering property (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 5 Procedures (number) 8 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 17.8 Cost (% of property value) 7.6 Cost to export (number) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Getting credit (rank) 104 Time (days) 104 Time (days) Cost to export (loumber) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 11 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (USS per container) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 12 Procedures (number) 3 Cost (% of clam) Procedures (number) 12	
ST. LUCIA Latin America & Caribbean Solitor Capital (USS)	
Starting a business (rank) 53 Registering property (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) 51 Registering property (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) 118 Procedures (number) 8 Documents to export (number) 118 Cost (% of income per capita) 17 Time to export (days) 18 Cost (% of income per capita) 10.0 Cost (% of property value) 16 Time to export (days) 18 Cost to export (USS per container) 18 Cost (% of income per capita) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 10 Procedures (number) 18 Resolving insolvency (rank) 19 Cost (% of income per capita) 18 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 19 Time (years) 19 Cost (% of income per capita) 19 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 19 Time (years) 19 Cost (% of income per capita) 19 Paying taxes (rank) 14 Paying taxes (rank) 1	
Starting a business (rank) 51 Registering property (rank) 117 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 5 Procedures (number) 8 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) 17 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 19 Time (days) 19 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 19 Time (days) 10 Time (days) 10 Time (days) 11 Time (days)	6,680
Procedures (number) 5 Procedures (number) 15 Time (days) 17 Time to export (number) 17 Time (days) 18 Time (days) 19 Procedures (number) 19 Time (days) 19 Tim	0.2 109
Time (days) 15 Time (days) 17 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.8 Cost (% of property value) 7.6 Cost to export (USS per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Edeting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (number) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (USS per container) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (USS per container) Procedures (number) 125 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) Frocedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Frocy (% of income per capita) 20.5 Extent of director liabi	5
Cost (% of income per capita) 17.8 (number) Cost (% of property value) 7.6 (number) Cost to export (US\$ per containen) not number) Minimum capital (% of income per capital) 0.0 (acting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 11 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (days) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 32 20 cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Faving taxes (rank) 43 43	14
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Time (days) Time (day	1,375
Getting credit (rank) 104 Time to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 11 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cetting electricity (rank) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 32 Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Paying taxes (rank) 43 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Payments (number) per year) 32 Ost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Str. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Latin America & Caribbean Paying taxes (rank) 43 Paying taxes (rank) Population (m)	1,373
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 11 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 8 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Time (days) 125 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 20 Procedures (number) Getting electricity (rank) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 32 Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (days) 25 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Paying taxes (rank) 43 Payments (number) 32 Procedures (number) ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Latin America & Caribbean Solice (% of profit)<	17
Procedures (number) 7 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number) Getting electricity (rank) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 32 Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 4 Time (days) 25 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (pars) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (pars) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Payments (number per year) 32 Cost (% of estate) Frocedures (number) 43 Payments (number per year) 32 Cost (% of estate) Ease of doling business (rank) 5 Upper middle income Fopulation (m) Fopulation (m)	2,675
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of income per capita) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 25 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 26 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 27 Time (years) Cost (% of income per capita) 28 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 29 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 20 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 21 Time (years) 22 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 23 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 24 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 25 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 26 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 27 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 28 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 29 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 20 Ease o	2,075
Cost (% of income per capita) 23.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Cetting electricity (rank) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 23 Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 25 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 26 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 27 Time (years) Cost (% of income per capita) 28 Ease of shareholder suits (number) 29 Payments (number per year) 29 Time (hours per year) 29 Total tax rate (% of profit) 29 Tatal tax rate (% of profit) 29 Total tax rate (% of profit) 20 Total tax rate (% of profit) 21 Total tax rate (% of profit) 22 Total tax rate (% of profit) 23 Total tax rate (% of profit) 24 Total tax rate (% of profit) 25 Total tax rate (% of profit) 26 Total tax rate (% of profit) 27 Procedures (number) 28 Total tax rate (% of profit) 29 Total tax rate (% of profit) 20 Total tax rate (% of profit) 21 Total tax rate (% of profit) 22 Total tax rate (% of profit) 23 Total tax rate (% of profit) 25 Total tax rate (% of profit) 26 Total tax rate (% of profit) 27 Documents to export (fumber) 28 Total tax rate (% of profit) 29 Time (to import (fumber) 20 Total tax rate (% of profit) 20 Total tax rate (%	168
Getting electricity (rank) 12 Protecting investors (rank) 32 Cost (% of claim) Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Cstrength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Payments (number per year) 32 Payments (number per year) 10 Total tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 115 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 10 Time (days) 10 Time (days) 110 (also discovery) 111 (also export (dusys) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 10 Eventual Line (% of adults) 10 Eventual Line (% of adults) 10 Enforcing contracts (rank) 10 Frocedures (number) 11 Procedures (number) 11 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 11 Provedures (number) 11 Procedures (number)	47
Getting electricity (rank)12Protecting investors (rank)32Cost (% of claim)Procedures (number)4Extent of disclosure index (0-10)4Time (days)25Extent of director liability index (0-10)8Resolving insolvency (rank)Cost (% of income per capita)202.8Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)7Time (years)Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)Paying taxes (rank)43Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)34.6GNI per capita (USS)Ease of doing business (rank)75Upper middle incomePopulation (m)Starting a business (rank)64Registering property (rank)145Trading across borders (rank)Starting a business (rank)64Registering property (rank)145Trading across borders (rank)Procedures (number)7Pocuments to export (number)Time (days)38Time to export (days)Cost (% of income per capita)17.9Cost (% of property value)11.9Cost to export (US\$ per container)Minimum capital (% of income per capita)17.9Getting credit (rank)12.9Time to import (days)Dealing with construction permits (rank)5Strength of legal rights index (0-10)7Cost to import (US\$ per container)Time (days)12Public registry coverage (% of adults)0.0Enforcing contracts (rank)Cost (% of income per capita)112Public registry coverage	635
Procedures (number) 4 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4 Time (days) 25 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Payments (number per year) 32 Time (hours per year) 92 Total tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 145 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 10 Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (USS per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (USS per container) Procedures (number) 8 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 12 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	37.3
Cost (% of income per capita) 202.8 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5trength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 202.8 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Latin America & Caribbean Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Poeth of credit information index (0-10) Time (days) 102 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) As a payments (number per year) Population (m) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Frocedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (lus\$ per container) Documents to export (days) Documents to import (number) Frocedures (number) Documents to import (number) Time (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) As a payments (number (perpear) 2	
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) 43 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	61
Paying taxes (rank) 43 Payments (number per year) 32 Time (hours per year) 92 Total tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 145 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 10 Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	2.0
Paying taxes (rank) 43 Payments (number per year) 32 Time (hours per year) 92 Total tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 145 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 10 Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Documents to export (duys) Time (days) 129 Time to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	9
Payments (number per year) Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit) ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 75 Procedures (number) 7 Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Pocedures (number) Sterning a business (rank) 17.9 Cest (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	42.0
Time (hours per year) 7014 tax rate (% of profit) 34.6 ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 145 Trading across borders (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 10 Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	
Total tax rate (% of profit) ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) 7 Documents to export (number) 110 Time (days) 20st (% of property value) 111 Pocedures (rank) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 113 Procedures (number) 124 Procedures (number) 125 Procedures (number) 126 Procedures (number) 127 Procedures (number) 128 Procedures (% of adults) 129 Time to import (days) 129 Cost to import (days) 120 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 120 Enforcing contracts (rank) 121 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 122 Procedures (number)	
ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES Ease of doing business (rank) 75 Upper middle income Population (m) Starting a business (rank) 64 Registering property (rank) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Time (days) 10 Time (days) 13 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Documents to import (number) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Documents to import (days) Documents to import (days) Documents to export (lumber) Reference (rank) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) Procedures (number)	
Ease of doing business (rank)75Upper middle incomePopulation (m)Starting a business (rank)64Registering property (rank)145Trading across borders (rank)Procedures (number)7Procedures (number)7Documents to export (number)Time (days)38Time to export (days)Cost (% of income per capita)17.9Cost (% of property value)11.9Cost to export (US\$ per container)Minimum capital (% of income per capita)0.0Documents to import (number)Dealing with construction permits (rank)5Strength of legal rights index (0-10)129Time to import (days)Procedures (number)8Depth of credit information index (0-6)0Time (days)Time (days)112Public registry coverage (% of adults)0.0Enforcing contracts (rank)Cost (% of income per capita)9.8Private bureau coverage (% of adults)0.0Procedures (number)	
Starting a business (rank)64Registering property (rank)145Trading across borders (rank)Procedures (number)7Procedures (number)7Documents to export (number)Time (days)38Time to export (days)Cost (% of income per capita)17.9Cost (% of property value)11.9Cost to export (US\$ per container)Minimum capital (% of income per capita)0.0Documents to import (number)Minimum capital (% of income per capita)5Strength of legal rights index (0-10)7Cost to import (US\$ per container)Pocedures (number)8Depth of credit information index (0-6)0Time (days)112Public registry coverage (% of adults)0.0Enforcing contracts (rank)Cost (% of income per capita)9.8Private bureau coverage (% of adults)0.0Procedures (number)	6,100
Procedures (number) 7 Procedures (number) 7 Documents to export (number) Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Documents to import (number) Documents to import (number) Poaling with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	0.1
Time (days) 10 Time (days) 38 Time to export (days) Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Fetting credit (rank) 129 Time to import (days) Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Frocedures (number) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	43
Cost (% of income per capita) 17.9 Cost (% of property value) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time (days) Time (days) 11.9 Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time (days) To cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) Documents to import (days) To cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) Time (days) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Documents to import (number) To cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) Procedures (number) Procedures (% of adults) Documents to import (number) To cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number)	5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) 0.0 Getting credit (rank) 129 Time to import (dumber) Toest to import (dumber) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	12
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	935
Dealing with construction permits (rank) 5 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) 7 Cost to import (US\$ per container) Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	5
Procedures (number) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 0 Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	13
Time (days) 112 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Enforcing contracts (rank) Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	1,575
Cost (% of income per capita) 9.8 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Procedures (number)	99
	45
(44)5/	394
Getting electricity (rank) 22 Protecting investors (rank) 32 Cost (% of claim)	30.3
Procedures (number) 3 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 4	
Time (days) 52 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 8 Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita) 246.7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 7 Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 6.3 Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Paying taxes (rank) 72	
Payments (number per year) 36	
Time (hours per year) 111	
Total tax rate (% of profit) 38.7	

			asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busine
SUDAN Ease of doing business (rank)	143	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,982 34.3
Starting a business (rank)	122	Registering property (rank)	37	Trading across borders (rank)	153
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	7
Time (days)	36	Time (days)	9	Time to export (days)	32
Cost (% of income per capita)	20.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,050
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	46
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	156	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,900
Procedures (number)	16	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	270	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	151
Cost (% of income per capita)	240.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	53
		-		Time (days)	810
Getting electricity (rank)	108	Protecting investors (rank)	158	Cost (% of claim)	19.8
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0		
Time (days)	70	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	88
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,527.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	2.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.3	Cost (% of estate)	20
		3		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	33.2
		Paying taxes (rank)	101	, (,	
		Payments (number per year)	42		
		Time (hours per year)	180		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.1		
		Total tax fate (78 of profit)	30.1		
SURINAME Ease of doing business (rank)	164	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	7,096 0.5
Starting a business (rank)	178	Registering property (rank)	171	✗ Trading across borders (rank)	97
Procedures (number)	13	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	8
Time (days)	694	Time (days)	197	Time to export (days)	23
	110.9	Cost (% of property value)	13.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,000
Cost (% of income per capita)		Cost (% of property value)	15./		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.4	Carlos and Profession	450	Documents to import (number)	6
Dliishtiit- (l.)	02	Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	21
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	92	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,165
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	F. C	400
Time (days)	461	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	180
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	44
				Time (days)	1,715
Getting electricity (rank)	39	Protecting investors (rank)	183	Cost (% of claim)	37.1
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	1		
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	158
Cost (% of income per capita)	634.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	5.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.0	Cost (% of estate)	30
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.6
		Paying taxes (rank)	49		
		Payments (number per year)	29		
		Time (hours per year)			
		Title (flours per year)	199		
			199 27.9		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)			
	123			GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,300 1.1
Ease of doing business (rank)		Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income	27.9	Population (m)	1.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank)	165	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank)	27.9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	1.1 141
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	165 12	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	27.9 129 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	1.1 141 8
case of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	27.9 129 9 21	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	1.1 141 8 18
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	165 12 56 24.1	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	27.9 129 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	165 12 56	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085
SWAZILAND Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1.1 141 8 1880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1.1 141 8 18 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5 6 4.3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	141 8 188 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1 74 2.0
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	165 12 56 24.1 0.4 41 13 95 94.9	Total tax rate (% of profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	27.9 129 9 21 7.1 53 6 5 0.0 47.8 128 2 5 6 4.3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1.1 141 8 188 1,880 8 27 2,085 174 40 956 56.1 74 2.0

CIMEDEN			asier to do b	usiness X Reform making it more difficult	
SWEDEN Ease of doing business (rank)	13	OECD high income High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	53,230 9.5
Starting a business (rank)	54	✗ Registering property (rank)	35	Trading across borders (rank)	8
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	1	Documents to export (number)	3
ime (days)	16	Time (days)	30	Time to export (days)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	705
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.2	(/		Documents to import (number)	3
		Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	6
Pealing with construction permits (rank)	25	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	735
rocedures (number)	7	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	, , , , ,	
ime (days)	116	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	27
ost (% of income per capita)	77.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	30
				Time (days)	314
ietting electricity (rank)	9	Protecting investors (rank)	32	Cost (% of claim)	31.2
rocedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	8		
ime (days)	52	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	2
ost (% of income per capita)	37.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	2.
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	74.
		Paying taxes (rank)	38		
		Payments (number per year)	4		
		Time (hours per year)	122		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	53.0		
SWITZERLAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	76,38
ase of doing business (rank)	28	High income		Population (m)	70,36 7.
tarting a business (rank)	97	Registering property (rank)	15	Trading across borders (rank)	3
rocedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	18	Time (days)	16	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Cost (% of property value)	0.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,43
linimum capital (% of income per capita)	26.3	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Documents to import (number)	
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	
ealing with construction permits (rank)	50	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,44
rocedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
ime (days)	154	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	39.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	26.8	Procedures (number)	3
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,		Time (days)	39
Getting electricity (rank)	8	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	24.
rocedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0		
ime (days)	39	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	4
ost (% of income per capita)	61.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)	3
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	
		, ,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47
		Paying taxes (rank)	18	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	19		
		Time (hours per year)	63		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.2		
TVDIAN ADAD DEDUDIE				cu to (use)	2.04
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC ase of doing business (rank)	144	Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,80 20
	132	Registering property (rank)	84	Trading across borders (rank)	1.
tarting a business (rank)	132 7	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	84 4	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	12
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number)				_	
carting a business (rank) ocedures (number) me (days)	7	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	1
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	7 13	Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 19	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	1
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	7 13 15.6	Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 19	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,19
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) me (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita)	7 13 15.6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 19 27.8	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,1!
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank)	7 13 15.6 122.6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) ✓ Getting credit (rank)	4 19 27.8 176	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1,19
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number)	7 13 15.6 122.6	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	1,19 2 1,62
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) me (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,19 2 1,62
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	1,15 1,62 1,62
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1,1! 1,6: 1 1
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) me (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) me (days) ost (% of income per capita) etting electricity (rank)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,1! 1,6: 1 1
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) receding with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,1! 1,6: 1,6: 1
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1,19 1,62 1,62 13 88 29
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) feeting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9 84 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	1,19 1,62 1,62 13 88 29
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9 84 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1,15 2,1,62 1,62 17,5 87 29
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) dinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9 84 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1 1,19 2 1,62 17 5 87 29
tarting a business (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) feeting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9 84 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0 117 7 5 2 4.7	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,15 2,1,62 1,62 17,5 87 29
citarting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Foot (% of income per capita) Foot (% of income per capita) Foot (% of income per capita) Forcedures (number) Fime (days) Foot (% of income per capita)	7 13 15.6 122.6 134 23 104 483.9 84 5	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4 19 27.8 176 1 2 4.9 0.0 117 7 5 2 4.7	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	12 1,19 2 1,62 17 5 87 29. 11 4.

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busines
TAIWAN, CHINA Ease of doing business (rank)	16	East Asia & Pacific High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	20,200 23.2
Starting a business (rank)	16	Registering property (rank)	32	Trading across borders (rank)	23.2
Procedures (number)	3	Procedures (number)	3	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	10	Time (days)	5	Time to export (days)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.4	Cost (% of property value)	6.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	655
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	6
		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	10
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	720
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	94	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	90
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	94.1	Procedures (number)	45
C-441		A Donato ation of investment (result)	22	Time (days)	510
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	6 4	✓ Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	32 9	Cost (% of claim)	17.7
Time (days)	24	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	15
Cost (% of income per capita)	50.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	1.9
cost (% of income per capita)	30.4	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.3	Cost (% of estate)	4
		sacingar or investor protection mack (o 10)	0.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.8
		Paying taxes (rank)	54	, ,	
		Payments (number per year)	12		
		Time (hours per year)	221		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.8		
TAJIKISTAN		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	870
Ease of doing business (rank)	141	Low income		Population (m)	7.0
Starting a business (rank)	77	Registering property (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	184
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	11
Time (days)	24	Time (days)	37	Time to export (days)	71
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.1	Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	8,450
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	11
		Getting credit (rank)	180	Time to import (days)	72
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	180	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	9,800
Procedures (number)	24	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0		
Time (days)	228	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	43
Cost (% of income per capita)	638.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	35
Cotting alactricity (roals)	101	A Dustasting investors (vanle)	25	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	430
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	181 9	✓ Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	25 8	Cost (% of claim)	25.5
Time (days)	185	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	79
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,140.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	1.7
cost (70 or income per capita)	171 1010	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate)	9
		, , ,		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	175	•	
		Payments (number per year)	69		
		Time (hours per year)	224		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	84.5		
TANZANIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	540
Ease of doing business (rank)	134	Low income		Population (m)	46.2
✓ Starting a business (rank)	113	Registering property (rank)	137	✗ Trading across borders (rank)	122
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	26	Time (days)	68	Time to export (days)	18
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.2	Cost (% of property value)	4.4	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,040
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
VDoaling with construction normits (rosts)		Cotting and dit /l·\			31
X Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)		Getting credit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	
	174	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,565
Time (days)	174 19	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	7 0	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,565
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	174 19 206	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	7 0 0.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	1,565 36
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	174 19	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	7 0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	1,565 36 38
	174 19 206	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	7 0 0.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	1,565 36
Cost (% of income per capita)	174 19 206 564.6	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7 0 0.0 0.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,565 36 38 462
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	174 19 206 564.6	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	7 0 0.0 0.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,565 36 38 462
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4 109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3 4	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4 109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3 4 8	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3 129 3.0
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4 109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3 4 8	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3 129 3.0 22
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4 109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3 4 8 5.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3 129 3.0 22
Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	174 19 206 564.6 96 4 109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	7 0 0.0 0.0 100 3 4 8 5.0	Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,565 36 38 462 14.3 129 3.0 22

		✓ Reform making it	easier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficul	it to do busine
THAILAND	10	East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,420
Ease of doing business (rank)	18	Upper middle income	2.5	Population (m)	69.5
Starting a business (rank)	85	Registering property (rank)	26	Trading across borders (rank)	20
Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 29	Procedures (number) Time (days)	2 2	Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	5 14
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.7	Cost (% of property value)	6.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	585
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost (70 of property value)	0.5	Documents to import (number)	5
minimum capital (70 of meome per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	13
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	16	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	5	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	750
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	157	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	23
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.1	Procedures (number)	36
				Time (days)	440
Getting electricity (rank)	10	Protecting investors (rank)	13	Cost (% of claim)	15.0
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
Time (days)	35	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	58
Cost (% of income per capita)	75.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6	Time (years)	2.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	7.7	Cost (% of estate)	36
		45	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.4
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	96		
		Payments (number per year)	22		
		Time (hours per year)	264		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.6		
TIMOR-LESTE		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,949
Ease of doing business (rank)	169	Lower middle income		Population (m)	1.2
Starting a business (rank)	147	Registering property (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	83
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	94	Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Time to export (days)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.9	Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	750
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	126.6			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	116	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	755
Procedures (number)	19	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3		
Time (days)	238	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	2.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	51
				Time (days)	1,285
Getting electricity (rank)	40	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	163.2
Procedures (number)	3	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
Time (days)	63	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	593.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		5		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	61		
		Payments (number per year)	18		
		Time (hours per year)	276		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.1		
TOGO		Sub-Saharan Africa	15.1	GNI per capita (US\$)	560
TOGO Ease of doing business (rank)	156	• •	15.1	GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	560 6.2
Ease of doing business (rank)	156 164	Sub-Saharan Africa	15.1		
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank)		Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		Population (m)	6.2
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	164	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank)	160	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	6.2 101
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	160 5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	6.2 101 6 24
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	164 6 38	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	160 5 295	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	6.2 101 6
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	164 6 38 119.4	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	160 5 295	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	6.2 101 6 24 940
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (USS per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (USS per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (USS per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (USS per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0 150 6 1 4	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank) Payments (number per year)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5 96 3.0
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	164 6 38 119.4 365.6 137 12 309 431.5	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	160 5 295 12.5 129 6 1 2.8 0.0 150 6 1 4 3.7	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	6.2 101 6 24 940 8 28 1,109 157 41 588 47.5

		✓ Reform making it expressions.	easier to do b	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	t to do busines
TONGA	62	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,580
Ease of doing business (rank)	62		1.42	Population (m)	0.1
Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	35 4	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	142 4	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	77 6
Time (days)	16	Time (days)	112	Time to export (days)	22
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.3	Cost (% of property value)	15.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	755
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 of property value)	13.1	Documents to import (number)	6
minimum capital (70 of meome per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	37	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	740
Procedures (number)	13	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	,	
Time (days)	69	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	54
Cost (% of income per capita)	103.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	37
		_		Time (days)	350
Getting electricity (rank)	30	Protecting investors (rank)	117	Cost (% of claim)	30.5
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
Time (days)	42	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	118
Cost (% of income per capita)	101.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2.7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.7	Cost (% of estate)	22
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.7
		Paying taxes (rank)	40		
		Payments (number per year)	31		
		Time (hours per year)	164		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	25.7		
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	15,040
Ease of doing business (rank)	69	High income		Population (m)	1.3
Starting a business (rank)	71	✓ Registering property (rank)	176	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	75
Procedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	9	Documents to export (number)	5
Time (days)	41	Time (days)	78	Time to export (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	843
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	10
		Getting credit (rank)	23	Time to import (days)	14
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	101	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,260
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	297	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	170
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	46.0	Procedures (number)	42
				Time (days)	1,340
Getting electricity (rank)	11	Protecting investors (rank)	25	Cost (% of claim)	33.5
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
Time (days)	61	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	9	Resolving insolvency (rank)	135
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	4.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.7	Cost (% of estate)	25
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.4
		Paying taxes (rank)	90		
		Payments (number per year)	39		
		Time (hours per year)	210		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.1		
TUNISIA		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,070
Ease of doing business (rank)	50	Upper middle income		Population (m)	10.7
Starting a business (rank)	66	Registering property (rank)	70	Trading across borders (rank)	30
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	11	Time (days)	39	Time to export (days)	13
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.1	Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	773
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	7
		Getting credit (rank)	104	Time to import (days)	17
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	93	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	3	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	858
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	88	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	27.8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	78
Cost (% of income per capita)	256.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	39
Cattle or all attributes (see 1)	F4	Production investors (1)	40	Time (days)	565
Getting electricity (rank)	51	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	21.8
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5	Posolving insolvens: (!-)	20
Time (days)	65 878 5	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	39 1 3
Cost (% of income per capita)	878.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	6 6.0	Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1.3 7
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	52.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	62	necovery rate (cents on the dollar)	52.0
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	144		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	62.9		
		i otalitax late (70 oli prolli)	02.9		

Reform making it easier to do business * Reform making it more difficult to do business

TURKEY		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		business X Reform making it more difficult GNI per capita (US\$)	10,4		
Ease of doing business (rank)	71	Upper middle income		Population (m)	73		
Starting a business (rank)	72	Registering property (rank)	42	Trading across borders (rank)			
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)			
Time (days)	6	Time (days)	6	Time to export (days)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.5	Cost (% of property value)	3.3				
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.2			Documents to import (number)			
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	142	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,2		
rocedures (number)	20	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5				
ime (days)	180	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	23.5	Enforcing contracts (rank)			
ost (% of income per capita)	164.3	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.0	Procedures (number)			
				Time (days)	4		
ietting electricity (rank)	68	Protecting investors (rank)	70	Cost (% of claim)	2		
rocedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	9				
ime (days)	70	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	1		
ost (% of income per capita)	517.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	4	Time (years)			
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7	Cost (% of estate)			
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2		
		Paying taxes (rank)	80				
		Payments (number per year)	15				
		Time (hours per year)	223				
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.2				
JGANDA ase of doing business (rank)	120	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3		
tarting a business (rank)	144	✗ Registering property (rank)	124	Trading across borders (rank)	1		
rocedures (number)	15	Procedures (number)	12	Documents to export (number)			
ime (days)	33	Time (days)	52	Time to export (days)			
ost (% of income per capita)	76.7	Cost (% of property value)	1.9	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,0		
Inimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (70 of property value)	1.5	Documents to import (number)	3,0		
illillidili capital (% of lifcolle per capita)	0.0	Cotting gradit (rank)	40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	110	Getting credit (rank)		Time to import (days)	2.5		
ealing with construction permits (rank)	118	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,2		
rocedures (number)	15	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5				
ime (days)	125	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1		
ost (% of income per capita)	853.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3.7	Procedures (number)			
				Time (days)	4		
ietting electricity (rank)	127	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	4		
rocedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	2				
ime (days)	91	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)			
ost (% of income per capita)	4,622.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5	Time (years)			
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)			
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3		
		Paying taxes (rank)	93				
		Payments (number per year)	31				
		Time (hours per year)	213				
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.1				
II/D A IAIF		5 . 5 . 05 . 14 !		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	2.4		
JKRAINE ase of doing business (rank)	137	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,1 4		
	50	✓ Registering property (rank)	149	Trading across borders (rank)	1		
tarting a business (rank)				Documents to export (number)			
	7	Procedures (number)	10	Documents to export (number)			
rocedures (number)	7 22	Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 69	Time to export (days)			
rocedures (number) me (days)				, , ,	1,8		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	22	Time (days)	69	Time to export (days)	1,8		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	22 1.5	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	69	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,8		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) Iinimum capital (% of income per capita)	22 1.5	Time (days)	69 3.7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)			
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank)	22 1.5 0.0	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	69 3.7 23	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)			
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	69 3.7 23 9 4	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)			
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) ealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) me (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)			
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	69 3.7 23 9 4	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	2,1		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	1,8 2,1		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) linimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	2,1		
rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) finimum capital (% of income per capita) realing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) ietting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	1,8 2,1 3 4		
rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) dinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6 166 11 285	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	1,8 2,1 3 4		
rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) dinimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days) ime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5 2 7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1,8 2,1 3 4		
rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6 166 11 285	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,8 2,1 3 4		
rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6 166 11 285	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5 2 7 4.7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	1,8 2,1 3 4		
cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (minimum capital (minimum capital) Cost (minimum capital)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6 166 11 285	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5 2 7 4.7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,8 2,1 3 4 1		
rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Ainimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days) rost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) rime (days)	22 1.5 0.0 183 20 375 1,262.6 166 11 285	Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	69 3.7 23 9 4 0.0 23.3 117 5 2 7 4.7	Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	1,8 2,1 3 4		

		Reform making it e	easier to do b	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busiiii
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES Ease of doing business (rank)	26	Middle East & North Africa High income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	40,760 7.9
Starting a business (rank)	22	Registering property (rank)	12	Trading across borders (rank)	5
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	2	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	8	Time (days)	10	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.0	Cost (% of property value)	2.3	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	630
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	5
		Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	7
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	13	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	590
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	46	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	5.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	104
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.2	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.7	Procedures (number)	49
				Time (days)	524
Getting electricity (rank)	7	Protecting investors (rank)	128	Cost (% of claim)	19.5
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
Time (days)	40	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	101
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	3.2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.3	Cost (% of estate)	20
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.4
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	1		
		Payments (number per year)	4		
		Time (hours per year)	12		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	14.9		
UNITED KINGDOM		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	37,780
Ease of doing business (rank)	7	High income		Population (m)	62.6
Starting a business (rank)	19	Registering property (rank)	73	Trading across borders (rank)	14
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	4
Time (days)	13	Time (days)	29	Time to export (days)	7
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Cost (% of property value)	4.7	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	950
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	4
		Getting credit (rank)	1	Time to import (days)	6
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	20	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	10	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,045
Procedures (number)	9	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	99	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	62.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	28
				Time (days)	399
Getting electricity (rank)	62	Protecting investors (rank)	10	Cost (% of claim)	25.9
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	10		
Time (days)	105	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	108.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	1.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	8.0	Cost (% of estate)	6
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.6
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	16		
		Payments (number per year)	8		
		Time (hours per year)	110		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.5		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33.3		
UNITED STATES		OECD high income	55.5	GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business (rank)	4	OECD high income High income		Population (m)	311.6
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank)	13	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank)	25	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank)	311.6 22
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	25 4	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	311.6 22 4
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	25 4 12	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days)	311.6 22 4 6
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 6 6 1.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	25 4	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6 6	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	25 4 12 3.5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank)	25 4 12 3.5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370 14.4
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370 14.4
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370 14.4 16 1.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	311.6 22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370 14.4 16 1.5
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0 6 7 9 9 8.3	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with construction permits (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 6 6 1.4 0.0 17 15 27 14.4	OECD high income High income Registering property (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit (rank) Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	25 4 12 3.5 4 9 6 0.0 100.0	Population (m) Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number) Time to export (days) Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number) Time to import (days) Cost to import (US\$ per container) Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	22 4 6 1,090 5 5 1,315 6 32 370 14.4 16 1.5

Reform making it easier to do business X Reform making it more difficult to do busines

		✓ Reform making it	easier to do	business 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	
URUGUAY Ease of doing business (rank)	89	Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	11,86 3.
Starting a business (rank)	39	Registering property (rank)	164	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	10
Procedures (number)	5	Procedures (number)	8	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	7	Time (days)	66	Time to export (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.3	Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,12
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	-
		Getting credit (rank)	70	Time to import (days)	1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	158	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	4	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,44
Procedures (number)	27	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6		
Time (days)	234	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	32.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	67.0	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Procedures (number)	4
				Time (days)	7.
Getting electricity (rank)	20	Protecting investors (rank)	100	Cost (% of claim)	19
rocedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
ime (days)	48	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	8	Time (years)	2
iost (78 or income per capita)		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0	Cost (% of estate)	
		Strength of investor protection index (6-16)	5.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43
		✓ Paying taxes (rank)	140	necovery rate (cents on the donar)	7.
		Payments (number per year)	33		
		Time (hours per year)	310		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.0		
JZBEKISTAN		Eastern Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,5
ase of doing business (rank)	154	Lower middle income		Population (m)	2:
tarting a business (rank)	90	Registering property (rank)	138	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	15	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	12	Time (days)	78	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	3.8	Cost (% of property value)	1.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	4,5
finimum capital (% of income per capita)	27.4			Documents to import (number)	
		✓ Getting credit (rank)	154	Time to import (days)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	152	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	4,7
rocedures (number)	25	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	,	.,.
Time (days)	243	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	60.7	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	15.7	Procedures (number)	
ost (% of income per capita)	00.7	Trivate bureau coverage (70 of addits)	13.7		1
	167	D44ii(I-)	120	Time (days)	
Getting electricity (rank)	167	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	22
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	4		
ime (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,420.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38
		Paying taxes (rank)	161		
		Payments (number per year)	41		
		Time (hours per year)	205		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	98.5		
/ANUATU		Foot Acia & Docific		CMI nov canita (IIC¢)	2.0
ase of doing business (rank)	80	East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,8
tarting a business (rank)	116	Registering property (rank)	110	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	8	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	35	Time (days)	118	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	47.2	Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	1,6
linimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (78 or property value)	,,,	Documents to import (number)	.,.
a capital (70 of meonic per capita)	0.0	Getting credit (rank)	83	Time to import (days)	
ealing with construction permits (rank)	48	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,6
		Depth of credit information index (0-10)		cost to import (033 per container)	1,0
rocedures (number)	12		0	Enforcingt(1)	
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	54	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
DET 19% OT INCOMO POR CAPITAL		Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	
ost (/// of income per capita)	431.2			Time (days)	4
				C + (0/ - + - - - -	56
ietting electricity (rank)	124	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	
setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	124 5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	124	=		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
ietting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	124 5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	5		
Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	124 5 122	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5 6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	2
Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	124 5 122	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	5 6 5	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	2
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	124 5 122	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5 6 5 5.3	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2
Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	124 5 122	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	5 6 5 5.3	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	124 5 122	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5 6 5 5.3	Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	.! 2 : 42

		✓ Reform making it e	easier to do bi	usiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficu	It to do busines
VENEZUELA, RB	100	Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	11,920
Ease of doing business (rank)	180	Upper middle income	00	Population (m)	29.3
✗ Starting a business (rank) Procedures (number)	152 17	Registering property (rank) Procedures (number)	90 8	Trading across borders (rank) Documents to export (number)	166 8
Time (days)	144	Time (days)	38	Time to export (days)	49
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.7	Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,590
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	(,		Documents to import (number)	9
		Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	71
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	109	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	1	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	2,868
Procedures (number)	10	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4		
Time (days)	381	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	80
Cost (% of income per capita)	123.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	15.7	Procedures (number)	30
6.00 1.00 ()	460	But at the transfer (1)	404	Time (days)	510
Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number)	160 6	Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	181 3	Cost (% of claim)	43.7
Time (days)	158	Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	163
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,022.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	4.0
cost (70 of income per capital)	1,022.0	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	2.3	Cost (% of estate)	38
		g p ()		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	6.4
		✗ Paying taxes (rank)	185	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		Payments (number per year)	71		
		Time (hours per year)	792		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	62.7		
VIETNAM		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,260
Ease of doing business (rank)	99	Lower middle income		Population (m)	87.8
✓ Starting a business (rank)	108	Registering property (rank)	48	Trading across borders (rank)	74
Procedures (number)	10	Procedures (number)	4	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	34	Time (days)	57	Time to export (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.7	Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	610
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	8
		Getting credit (rank)	40	Time to import (days)	21
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	28	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	8	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	600
Procedures (number)	11	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	Fofourior contracts (seek)	44
Time (days)	110 67.3	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	37.8 0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank) Procedures (number)	44 34
Cost (% of income per capita)	07.3	Private bureau coverage (% of addits)	0.0	Time (days)	400
Getting electricity (rank)	155	Protecting investors (rank)	169	Cost (% of claim)	29.0
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6	cost (% or claim)	25.0
Time (days)	115	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	149
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,988.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	5.0
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	3.0	Cost (% of estate)	15
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	13.9
		Paying taxes (rank)	138		
		Payments (number per year)	32		
		Time (hours per year)	872		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.5		
WEST BANK AND GAZA		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,610
Ease of doing business (rank)	135	Lower middle income		Population (m)	4.0
Starting a business (rank)	179	* Registering property (rank)	78	Trading across borders (rank)	114
Procedures (number)	11	Procedures (number)	7	Documents to export (number)	6
Time (days)	48	Time (days)	30	Time to export (US\$ per container)	1 210
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	91.0 206.7	Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Cost to export (US\$ per container) Documents to import (number)	1,310
міннінині сарнат (70 от пісопіе рег саріта)	200.7	✓ Getting credit (rank)	159	Time to import (days)	6 38
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	130	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	1	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,295
Procedures (number)	17	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	4	se tops.t (55\$ per container)	.,233
Time (days)	119	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	8.1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	93
Cost (% of income per capita)	948.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	44
• • •		<u> </u>		Time (days)	540
Getting electricity (rank)	85	Protecting investors (rank)	49	Cost (% of claim)	21.2
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	63	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,549.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	6.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		Position desired (mode)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Paying taxes (rank)	55		
		Payments (number per year)	39 15 <i>4</i>		
		Time (hours per year) Total tax rate (% of profit)	154 16.8		
		rotai tax rate (% or profit)	0.01		

		✓ Reform making it e	asier to do l	ousiness 🗶 Reform making it more difficult	to do busi
YEMEN, REP. Ease of doing business (rank)	118	Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,070 24.5
Starting a business (rank)	110	Registering property (rank)	59	Trading across borders (rank)	12
Procedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	6	Documents to export (number)	
Time (days)	40	Time (days)	19	Time to export (days)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	71.9	Cost (% of property value)	3.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	99
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Documents to import (number)	
		Getting credit (rank)	167	Time to import (days)	2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	62	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	2	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	1,49
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2		
Time (days)	191	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.9	Enforcing contracts (rank)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.4	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Procedures (number)	3
				Time (days)	569
Getting electricity (rank)	112	Protecting investors (rank)	139	Cost (% of claim)	16.
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	6		
Time (days)	110	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	12
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,921.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	2	Time (years)	3.
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	4.0	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	24.
		Paying taxes (rank)	113	, ,	
		Payments (number per year)	44		
		Time (hours per year)	248		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.9		
748514		• •	52.5		
ZAMBIA Ease of doing business (rank)	94	Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,16 13.
Starting a business (rank)	74	Registering property (rank)	96	Trading across borders (rank)	15
rocedures (number)	6	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	17	Time (days)	40	Time to export (days)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	26.6	Cost (% of property value)	8.2	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	2,76
		Cost (% of property value)	0.2		2,70
finimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Catting and the (carly)	12	Documents to import (number)	
	454	Getting credit (rank)	12	Time to import (days)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	151	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	9	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	3,56
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	5		
Time (days)	196	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,679.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5.4	Procedures (number)	3
				Time (days)	47
Getting electricity (rank)	151	Protecting investors (rank)	82	Cost (% of claim)	38
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	3		
Time (days)	117	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,109.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	7	Time (years)	2
		Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.3	Cost (% of estate)	
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29
		Paying taxes (rank)	47	-	
		Payments (number per year)	37		
		Time (hours per year)	132		
		Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.2		
		•	13.2		
ZIMBABWE iase of doing business (rank)	172	Sub-Saharan Africa Low income		GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	64 12
tarting a business (rank)	143	Registering property (rank)	85	Trading across borders (rank)	1
rocedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)	5	Documents to export (number)	
ime (days)	90	Time (days)	31	Time to export (days)	
ost (% of income per capita)	107.0	Cost (% of property value)	7.8	Cost to export (US\$ per container)	3,2
linimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	cost (% or property value)	7.0	Documents to import (number)	3,2
illilliani capital (/// of income per capita)	0.0	Gotting gradit (rank)	129	Time to import (days)	
paling with construction normite (rank)		Getting credit (rank) Strangth of logal rights index (0.10)			
ealing with construction permits (rank)		Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	7	Cost to import (US\$ per container)	5,2
racaduras (numbor)	170	Donth of credit information index (0.6)	^		
, ,	12	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	4
ime (days)	12 614	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	
ime (days)	12			Procedures (number)	
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita)	12 614 4,423.4	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	4
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank)	12 614 4,423.4 157	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank)	0.0 0.0 128	Procedures (number)	4
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) setting electricity (rank) rocedures (number)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim)	4 113
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) ietting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	4 113 1
ime (days) ost (% of income per capita) retting electricity (rank) rocedures (number) ime (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1 4	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	4 113 113 3
ime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank)	4 113 16 3
ime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Setting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1 4	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years)	113 113 3
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1 4	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3 41 113 16 3
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1 4 4.3	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3 41 113 16 3
Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Getting electricity (rank) Procedures (number) Fime (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	12 614 4,423.4 157 6 106	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors (rank) Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) Paying taxes (rank)	0.0 0.0 128 8 1 4 4.3	Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of claim) Resolving insolvency (rank) Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	11 3 41 113 16 3 2

Employing workers data



Brunei Darussalam	Brazil	Botswana	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Bolivia ^g	Bhutan	Benin	Belize	Belgium	Belarus	Barbados	Bangladesh	Bahrain	Bahamas, The	Azerbaijan	Austria	Australia	Armenia	Argentina	Antigua and Barbuda	Angola	Algeria	Albania	Afghanistan			Employing workers data
n No	Yes	No	N _o	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	uda No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Fixed-term contracts prohibited		Kers udid
No limit	s 24	No limit	24	s 24	No limit	48	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	s No limit	No limit	No limit	60	No limit	No limit	s No limit	s 60	No limit	s 12	s No limit	s No limit	No limit	for permanent tasks? Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Difficult	
nit 0.0	349.4	nit 92.5	393.6	103.0	nit 0.0	65.2	iit 381.9	nit 1,757.4	nit 208.1	nit 0.0	nit 41.0	nit 0.0	nit 693.3	101.9	nit 723.0	nit 1,845.9	nit 84.1	508.1	nit 569.2	110.6	nit 219.1	nit 196.6	nit 0.0	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice	Difficulty of hiring in	
0.00	4 0.27	.5 0.09	6 0.70	0 0.36	0.00	2 0.54	9 0.77	0.30	0.31	0.00	0 0.41	0.00	3 0.25	9 0.17	0 0.12	9 0.23	0.21	0.40	2 0.36	6 0.17	0.40	6 0.40	0.00	(US\$/month) ^b Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	index	
Yes	Ϋ́es	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ύes	Ύes	Yes	Ϋ́es	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ύes	No	Yes	Yes	50-hour workweek allowed?c		
6	6	6	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	5.5	6	5.5	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	Maximum working days per week		
0	20	0	30	30	0	0	0	4	20	0	0	50	0	40	17	0	30	13	0	25	0	50	25	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidi	
50	100	100	20	100	0	0	50	100	100	0	0	50	0	150	100	0	100	50	0	100	0	25	50	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours	
No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Major restrictions on night work? ^d	index	
No	No	No	No	No	N _o	N _o	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	N _o	N _o	N _o	N _o	Yes	No	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
13.3	26.0	15.0	18.0	21.7	15.0	24.0	10.0	20.0	18.0	20.3	17.0	18.3	11.7	17.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	18.0	12.0	22.0	22.0	20.0	20.0	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
No	No	Yes	N _O	n.a.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	8	Yes	N _O	No	No	N _O	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
No	No	No	No	n.a.	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Difficu	
No	No	Yes	Yes	n.a.	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	N _O	Yes	N _o	N _o	No	N _o	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	ilty of redu	
No	No	No	No	n.a.	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
No	No	No	Yes	n.a.	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Retraining or reassignment?f	dex	
No	No	Yes	N _O	n.a.	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Priority rules for redundancies?		
No	No	Yes	Yes	n.a.	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	N _o	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes 1	Yes	Priority rules for reemployment?	æ	
3.0	6.6	4.9 1	2.0	n.a.	8.3	4.3	3.3	7.2	8.7	2.7 1	4.3	4.3	2.0 1	8.7	2.0	2.7	6.0	7.2 2	3.4	4.3	4.3	10.1	4.3	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
0.0	8.9	16.8	7.2	n.a.	0.0	7.3	5.0	0.0	13.0	13.3	26.7	0.0	10.7	13.0	0.0	8.7	5.0	23.1	12.8	26.7	13.0	10.7	17.3	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	y cost	

Dominica	Dominica	Djibouti	Denmark	Czech Republic	Cyprus	Croatia	Côte d'Ivoire	Costa Rica	Congo, Rep.	Congo, Dem. Rep	Comoros	Colombia	China	Chile	Chad	Central African Republic	Cape Verde	Canada	Cameroon	Cambodia	Burundi	Burkina Faso	Bulgaria			Employ
Dominican Republic	ш.			public			oire	ឧ	lep.	em. Rep.		m				African	de		ĭn	a		-aso				Employing workers data
Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?		data
No limit	No limit	24	No limit	108	30	36	24	12	24	48	36	No limit	No limit	24	48	48	60	No limit	48	24	No limit	No limit	36	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Difficulty o	
277.2	234.1	0.0	0.0	440.1	0.0	521.5	0.0	420.4	92.1	65.0	0.0	277.8	204.2	0.0	119.8	39.3	0.0	1,652.9	57.5	43.0	2.9	66.8	197.0	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	Difficulty of hiring index	
0.40	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.31	0.00	0.45	0.27	2.11	0.00	0.36	0.36	0.00	1.08	0.56	0.00	0.30	0.32	0.40	0.08	0.74	0.25	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	×	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	50-hour workweek allowed? ^c		
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	л	6	6	6	6	6	ъ	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	Maximum working days per week		
0	0	0	0	10	0	10	38	0	0	25	0	35	39	0	0	0	25	0	50	30	35	0	10	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidi	
100	100	0	0	10	0	35	0	100	50	0	0	75	100	0	100	50	100	0	0	100	100	0	0	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours index	
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Major restrictions on night work? ^d	index	
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
14.0	13.3	30.0	25.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	27.4	12.0	29.0	13.0	22.0	15.0	6.7	15.0	24.7	25.3	22.0	10.0	19.0	19.3	21.0	22.0	20.0	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Diffic	
No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	ulty of rec	
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	8	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Retraining or reassignment? ^f	ndex	
No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Priority rules for redundancies?		
No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Priority rules for reemployment?		
4.0	5.8	4.3	0.0	8.7	5.7	7.9	5.8	4.3	4.3	10.3	13.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	7.2	4.3	6.4	5.0	7.2	7.9	8.7	4.3	4.3	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
22.2	9.3	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	7.2	7.3	14.4	6.5	0.0	23.1	16.7	23.1	23.1	5.8	17.3	23.1	5.0	8.1	11.4	7.2	6.1	3.2	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	ncy cost	

Hong Kong SAR, China	Honduras	Haiti	Guyana	Guinea-Bissau	Guinea	Guatemala	Grenada	Greece	Ghana	Germany	Georgia	Gambia, The	Gabon	France	Finland	Fiji	Ethiopia	Estonia	Eritrea	Equatorial Guinea	El Salvador	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Ecuador			Employing workers data
																										kers dat
N _o	Yes	N _o	No	Yes	N _o	Yes	Yes	Yes	N _o	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N _o	N _o	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?		a
No limit	24	No limit	No limit	12	24	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	24	No limit	No limit	48	18	60	No limit	No limit	120	No limit	24	No limit	No limit	24	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	ifficulty of	
0.0	396.9	122.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	325.3	355.9	672.2	29.7	1,126.1	21.7	0.0	66.7	782.3	1,983.8	304.2	0.0	389.5	0.0	279.0	91.7	113.0	251.9	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	Difficulty of hiring index	
0.00	1.44	1.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.39	0.21	0.15	0.20	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.14	0.32	0.66	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.13	0.20	0.33	0.47	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Ŷ	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	50-hour workweek allowed?c		
6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	5	5	6	7	5	6	6	6	6	6	ъ	6	6	6	6	σ.	Maximum working days per week		
0	25	50	0	25	20	0	0	25	0	13	0	0	50	0	∞	ω	0	25	0	25	25	0	25	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidit	
0	100	50	100	50	45	50	0	75	0	100	0	0	100	0	100	100	0	0	0	50	100	0	100	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours index	
No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on night work? ^d	index	
N _O	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
10.3	16.7	13.0	12.0	21.0	30.0	15.0	13.3	22.3	15.0	24.0	24.0	21.0	24.0	30.0	30.0	10.0	18.3	24.0	19.0	22.0	11.0	24.0	12.0	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
8	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
8	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Diffic	
N _O	Yes	8	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N _O	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
No	Yes	N _O	No	Yes	Yes	8	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	ındancy in	
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Retraining or reassignment?f	idex	
N _o	Yes	N _o	No	Yes	N _o	No	No	Yes	N _o	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	N _o	Yes	No	Yes	No	Priority rules for redundancies?		
N _o	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	N _O	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Priority rules for reemployment?		
4.3	7.2	10.1	4.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	7.2	0.0	3.6	10.0	0.0	26.0	10.4	7.2	10.1	4.3	8.7	8.6	ω .1	4.3	0.0	10.1	0.0	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
1.5	23.1	0.0	12.3	26.0	5.8	27.0	5.3	15.9	46.2	11.6	4.3	0.0	4.3	4.6	0.0	5.3	10.5	4.3	12.3	34.3	22.9	26.7	31.8	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	cy cost	

Liberia	Lesotho	Lebanon	Latvia	Lao PDR	Kyrgyz Republic	Kuwait	Kosovo	Korea, Rep.	Kiribati	Kenya	Kazakhstan	Jordan	Japan	Jamaica	Italy	Israel	Ireland	Iraq	Iran, Isla	Indonesia	India	Iceland	Hungary			Employ
		_			Republic			lep.			tan								Iran, Islamic Rep.	a			'			Employing workers data
No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?		data
No limit	No limit	24	36	No limit	60	No limit	No limit	24	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	44	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	36	No limit	24	60	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Difficulty o	
52.0	105.1	432.6	384.5	72.0	15.0	217.5	172.4	648.3	0.0	111.1	0.1	199.6	1,726.9	210.5	1,787.3	1,087.8	1,659.3	79.7	344.6	151.0	29.7	1,527.3	447.1	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	Difficulty of hiring index	
1.40	0.61	0.39	0.25	0.48	0.13	0.04	0.16	0.27	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.32	0.29	0.32	0.40	0.28	0.34	0.20	0.47	0.42	0.16	0.35	0.29	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	×	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	50-hour workweek allowed?c		
6	6	6	5.5	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	5.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	Maximum working days per week		
0	0	0	50	15	50	0	30	50	0	0	50	0	25	0	15	14	0	0	23	0	0	80	40	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidit	
50	100	50	0	150	100	50	0	50	0	0	100	150	35	100	50	50	0	50	40	0	0	80	100	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours index	
No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	N _o	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Major restrictions on night work? ^d	index	
No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
16.0	12.0	15.0	20.0	15.0	20.0	30.0	21.0	17.0	0.0	21.0	18.0	18.7	15.3	11.7	20.3	18.0	20.0	22.0	24.0	12.0	15.0	24.0	21.3	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Diffic	
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	ulty of red	
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	8	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Retraining or reassignment?f	ndex	
Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Priority rules for redundancies?		
Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	N _o	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Priority rules for reemployment?		
4.3	4.3	8.7	1.0	6.4	4.3	13.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	7.2	4.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	10.1	6.2	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
21.3	10.7	0.0	8.7	40.7	13.0	15.1	7.2	23.1	0.0	11.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	23.1	2.8	0.0	23.1	57.8	11.4	0.0	7.2	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	ncy cost	

	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a No limit	Minimum wage for a 19-year-old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	(US\$/month) ^b (US\$/m	1.4 4.4 7.0 (US\$/month) ^b Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker 2.5 4.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 2.5 2.1 No. 50-hour workweek allowed? ^c Maximum working days	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Malta No 48 Marshall Islands No No limit Mauritania No 24 Mauritius No No limit Mexico Yes No limit Micronesia, Fed. Sts. No No limit Moldova Yes No limit Mongolia No No limit Morocco Yes 12 Mozambique Yes 72 Mamibia No No limit Nepal Yes No limit New Zealand No No limit	slands No a No N	slands No a No No No No No Yes No No Yes No	slands No a No No No No No Yes No	slands No a No yes A, Fed. Sts. No yes No yes No yes No yes Yes	slands No a No No No No No Yes No No Yes No	slands No a No N	slands No a No yes yes No No No No	Il Islands No Il silands No us No us Yes a Yes	Il Islands No Inia No	II Islands No nia No yes	No No No tania No tius No	all Islands	all Islands			Mali Yes	Maldives No	Malaysia No	Malawi Yes	Madagascar Yes	Macedonia, FYR No	Luxembourg Yes	Lithuania No	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?		Employing workers data
No Color	No G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	Maximum working days per week	5 6 6 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 per week	50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						100 No	100 No	0 No	No	No) Yes	No	5 Yes	No) Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No) Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on night work?d	ours index	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	No. 14 No. 15 No	Maximum working days per week Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d 100 0 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z Major restrictions	Yes	Yes		N _o	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
No	No	No.	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Rigidity of hourly pay, and an	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% o	No.	20.0	20.0		18.0	20.0	24.0	19.5	21.0	16.0	20.0	0.0	12.0	22.0	18.0	0.0	24.0	22.0	30.0	13.3	18.0	24.0	20.0	25.0	20.7	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	No	Maximum working days Premium for night work Premium for night work Premium for night work Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d No N	Rigidity of hours pay) ^d 70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No Ves No	Yes Yes	Yes	;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	No	Maximum working days Premium for night work Premium for night work Premium for night work Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No No No No No No No N	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No	Rigidity of hours pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No No No No No No No No	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	8	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	No	No	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours ind	Rigidity of hours pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No N	No	No Yes			Yes	8	8	8	N _o	No	No	8	Yes	N _O	No	No	8	No	No	No	No	No	No	8	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Difficu	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Ves. 14	No	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours ind	Rigidity of hours pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No press day (% of hou	No	No I	100	Υpς	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N _o	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	lty of redu	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Ves. Co.	No	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Rigidity of hours pay) ¹ Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ¹ 70 100 No No No No No No No No No	No	No res	res	<u> </u>	Yes	8	8	Yes	N _o	No	No	8	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	indancy in	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Ves.	No	Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No	No No No No No No No No	Yes Yes	Yes		No	8	8	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	8	8	N _O	No	No	8	No	No	No	No	No	No	8	Yes	Retraining or reassignment?f	dex	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Ves.	Maximum working days per week Rigidity of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d No	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours ind	No No No No No No No No	No N	No S	-	Υps	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Priority rules for redundancies?		
Rigidity of redundancy index No No No No No No No N	Ves. 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Maximum working days per week Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁸ S.5.5 Maximum working days per week Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Najor restrictions on night work? ¹⁸ Najor restrictions on night work? ¹⁸ Najor restrictions on weekly holiday work? ²⁸ Najor restrictions on high work? ²⁹ Paid annual leave (working days) ²⁹ Paid annual leave (working days) ²⁹ No N	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours pay) ⁶ Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Rigidity of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Premium for work on weekly of hourly pay) ⁶ Presi day (% of hourly pay) ⁶ Major restrictions on night work? ⁶ Paid annual leave (working days) ⁷ Paid annual leave (working days) ⁷ Presi day (% of hourly pay) ⁶ Presi	No No No No No No No No	Major restrictions on night work?d	No		N _o	Yes	N _o	N _o	Yes	N _o	No	No	N _o	Yes	N _o	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	N _o	N _o	Yes	No	Yes	N _o	Priority rules for reemployment?		
Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index	No	Maximum working days per week Rigidity of hours index	Rigidity of flours index Rigidity of flours	Major restrictions on night work? Major restrictions on night	No	0.0		8.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	7.2	4.3	4.3	8.7	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0	7.3	4.3	5.8	6.7	4.3	3.4	4.3	17.3	8.7	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundan	
Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours Rigi	No	Maximum working days Premium for night work Premium for night work Maximum working days Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d Premium for night work Maximum	Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours work on weekly holiday Premium for noish twork (% of hourly pay) ¹² Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ¹³ Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ¹³ Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hours index Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹³ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁴ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁴ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁵ Rigidity of hourly pay, ¹⁶ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Rigidity of hourly pay) ¹⁸ Rigidity of hourly pay, ¹⁸ Rigidity of hourly pay, ¹⁸ Rigidity of hourly pay, ¹⁸ Rigidity	## A Company of Hours index Company of Hours Priority Priori	No	0.0	>	0.0	22.9	5.3	33.2	13.5	6.9	4.3	13.9	0.0	22.0	6.3	6.1	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	17.2	12.3	8.9	8.7	4.3	15.9	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	cy cost	

Seychelles	Serbia	Senegal	Saudi Arabia	São Tomé and Príncipe	Samoa	Rwanda	Russian Federation	Romania	Qatar	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	Portugal	Poland	Philippines	Peru	Paraguay	Papua New Guinea	Panama	Palau	Pakistan	Oman	Norway	Nigeria	Niger			Employing workers data
Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	a No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?		cers data
No limit	12	24	No limit	36	No limit	No limit	60	36	No limit	No limit	54	No limit	No limit	60	No limit	No limit	12	No limit	9	No limit	48	No limit	24	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Difficulty o	
349.8	203.8	74.6	0.0	0.0	167.5	0.0	325.6	216.4	0.0	1,256.7	775.5	390.7	192.5	253.2	198.7	115.4	438.6	525.9	36.7	520.1	3,893.4	106.5	58.5	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	Difficulty of hiring index	
0.24	0.29	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.27	0.23	0.00	0.57	0.29	0.27	0.64	0.35	0.50	0.55	0.43	0.55	0.24	0.19	0.35	0.57	0.95	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	×	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	50-hour workweek allowed? ^c		
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	U	6	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	ъ	6	6	6	Maximum working days per week		
0	26	38	0	25	0	0	20	25	0	0	25	20	10	35	30	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	38	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidi	
100	26	0	50	100	100	0	100	100	0	100	100	100	30	100	100	0	50	0	100	100	0	0	0	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours index	
No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on night work?d	index	
No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
21.0	20.0	24.3	20.7	26.0	10.0	19.3	22.0	20.0	22.0	15.0	22.0	22.0	5.0	13.0	20.0	11.0	22.0	0.0	14.0	22.0	21.0	20.0	22.0	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Diffic	
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	lundancy i	
No	Yes	Yes	No	N _o	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Retraining or reassignment? ^f	ndex	
No	No	Yes	N _o	No	N _o	Yes	Yes	Yes	N _o	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Priority rules for redundancies?		
No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Priority rules for reemployment?		
4.3	0.0	3.2	4.3	4.3	5.8	4.3	8.7	4.0	7.2	0.0	7.9	10.1	4.3	0.0	7.5	3.3	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	8.7	4.0	4.3	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
9.1	7.7	10.5	15.2	26.0	0.0	8.7	8.7	0.0	16.0	0.0	26.0	8.7	23.1	11.4	18.6	9.2	18.1	0.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	12.2	5.8	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	ncy cost	

Tonga	Togo	Timor-Leste	Thailand	Tanzania	Tajikistan	Taiwan, China	Syrian Arab Republic	Switzerland	Sweden	Swaziland	Suriname	Sudan	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	St. Lucia	St. Kitts and Nevis	Sri Lanka	Spain	South Africa	Solomon Islands	Slovenia	Slovak Republic	Singapore	Sierra Leone			Employing workers data
															S											kers dat
No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	N _o	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	_	.ai
No limit	48	36	No limit	No limit	No limit	12	60	120	24	No limit	No limit	48	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	No limit	24	36	No limit	No limit	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Difficulty of hiring	
0.0	71.7	0.0	117.9	52.5	16.4	621.5	209.7	0.0	0.0	96.3	0.0	75.0	191.2	0.0	501.5	40.2	1,022.9	621.6	111.9	1,054.8	447.5	0.0	37.3	Minimum wage for a 19-year- old worker or an apprentice (US\$/month) ^b	hiring index	
0.00	0.88	0.00	0.23	0.61	0.14	0.26	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.26	0.25	0.00	0.31	0.12	0.27	0.70	0.69	0.37	0.24	0.00	0.73	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	×	
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	50-hour workweek allowed? ^c		
6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5.5	5.5	6	6	0	6	7	5.5	5.5	6	6	6	6	6	U	Maximum working days per week		
0	200	25	0	5	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	50	20	0	15	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidi	
0	65	100	0	100	100	100	100	0	0	0	100	0	0	150	0	50	0	100	0	50	0	100	100	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay) ^d	Rigidity of hours index	
No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Major restrictions on night work? ^d	index	
Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Major restrictions on weekly holiday work? ^d		
0.0	30.0	12.0	6.0	20.0	23.3	12.0	21.7	20.0	25.0	11.0	16.0	23.3	19.3	21.0	14.0	14.0	22.0	15.0	15.0	21.0	25.0	10.7	21.3	Paid annual leave (working days) ^e		
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?		
No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	N _O	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Third-party notification if 1 worker is dismissed?		
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 1 worker is dismissed?	Diffic	
No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Third-party notification if 9 workers are dismissed?	ulty of rec	
No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	N _O	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Third-party approval if 9 workers are dismissed?	Difficulty of redundancy index	
No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	S	S	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	S	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Retraining or reassignment?f	ndex	
No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Priority rules for redundancies?		
No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Priority rules for reemployment?		
0.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	8.7	3.8	8.7	10.1	14.4	5.9	0.0	4.3	4.0	3.7	8.7	4.3	2.1	4.0	4.3	5.7	11.6	3.0	8.7	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	Redundancy cost	
0.0	8.8	0.0	31.7	5.3	6.9	18.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.8	21.7	10.0	9.3	0.0	54.2	15.2	5.3	10.7	5.7	0.0	0.0	69.6	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^e	ncy cost	

Employing workers data	data																				
		Difficulty o	Difficulty of hiring index	×			Rigidi	Rigidity of hours index	s index					Diffic	ulty of red	Difficulty of redundancy index	ndex				Redundancy cost
	contracts prohibited ent tasks?	length of fixed-term months) ^a	wage for a 19-year- or an apprentice h) ^b	nimum wage to d per worker	orkweek allowed?c	working days	or night work ly pay) ^d	or work on weekly of hourly pay)d		ictions holiday work? ^d		lue to redundancy law?	notification if dismissed?	approval if dismissed?	notification if are dismissed?	approval if are dismissed?	or reassignment? ^f	es for redundancies?			od for redundancy weeks of salary) ^e
	Fixed-term cor for permanent	Maximum leng		Ratio of minim value added p	50-hour work	Maximum wor	Premium for n (% of hourly p	Premium for w rest day (% of	Major restricti on night work	Major restricti on weekly hol	Paid annual le (working days	Dismissal due allowed by lav	Third-party no 1 worker is dis	Third-party ap 1 worker is dis	Third-party no 9 workers are	Third-party ap 9 workers are	Retraining or I	Priority rules f	Priority rules for reemployment		
Trinidad and Tobago	No	No limit	0.0	0.00	Yes	6	0	100	No	No	10.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No		6.4
Tunisia	No	48	119.6	0.25	Yes	6	0	100	No	No	13.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		4.3
Turkey	Yes	No limit	529.7	0.41	Yes	6	0	100	Yes	No	18.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes		6.7
Uganda	No	No limit	2.7	0.03	Yes	6	0	0	No	No	21.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		8.7
Ukraine	Yes	No limit	119.1	0.32	No	5.5	20	100	No	No	18.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		8.7
United Arab Emirates	No	No limit	0.0	0.00	Yes	6	0	50	No	Yes	26.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	N _o	No		4.3
United Kingdom	No	No limit	1,338.2	0.28	Yes	6	0	0	No	No	28.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	úл	ω
United States	No	No limit	1,245.5	0.21	Yes	6	0	0	No	No	0.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		0.0
Uruguay	Yes	No limit	355.9	0.23	Yes	6	0	100	No	No	21.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No		0.0
Uzbekistan	Yes	60	34.4	0.18	Yes	6	50	100	Yes	No	15.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No		8.7
Vanuatu	No	No limit	264.8	0.65	Yes	6	75	50	No	No	15.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	9	.3
Venezuela, RB ⁹	Yes	24	301.1	0.20	Yes	6	30	50	Yes	No	19.3	No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	_	n.a.
Vietnam	No	72	64.5	0.43	Yes	6	30	100	No	No	13.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		0.0
West Bank and Gaza	No	24	0.0	0.00	Yes	6	0	150	Yes	Yes	18.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No		4.3
Yemen, Rep.	No	No limit	78.9	0.47	Yes	6	15	100	No	No	30.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes		4.3
Zambia	No	No limit	75.8	0.40	Yes	5.5	4	100	No	No	24.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	N _o		4.3
Zimbabwe	No	No limit	167.4	1.80	Yes	6	15	100	Yes	No	22.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No		3.0
a Including renewals																					

a. Including renewals.
b. Economies for which 0.0 is shown have no minimum wage.

c. For 2 months a year in case of a seasonal increase in production.

d. In case of continuous operations.

e. Average for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure. f. Whether compulsory before redundancy.

g. Some answers are not applicable ("n.a.") for economies where dismissal due to redundancy is disallowed. Source: Doing Business database.



Acknowledgments

Doing Business would not be possible without the expertise and generous input of a network of more than 9,600 local partners, including legal experts, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on the relevant legal and regulatory requirements in the 185 economies covered. Contact details for local partners are available on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

The online service of the *Doing Business* database is managed by Andres Baquero Franco, Varun Doiphode, Graeme Littler, Kunal Patel, Mohan Pathapati, Vinod Thottikkatu and Hashim Zia under the direction of Preeti Endlaw. The *Doing Business 2013* report media and marketing strategy is managed by Nadine Ghannam. The events and road-show strategy is managed by Sushmitha Malini Narsiah.

The team is grateful for valuable comments provided by colleagues across the World Bank Group and for the guidance of World Bank Group Executive Directors. It would especially like to acknowledge the comments and guidance of Aart C. Kraay. Comments were also received from Ali Abukumail, Hormoz Aghdaey, Pedro Alba, Alejandro Alvarez de la Campa, Inger Anderson, Nabila Assaf, Simon Bell, Najy Benhassine, Alexander Berg, Tony Bigio, Christopher Bleakley, Frank Fulgence K. Byamugisha, Otaviano Canuto, Kevin Carey, Guang Zhe Chen, Pamela Cox. Boris Diviak. Grahame Dixie. Delores Elliot, Fabrizio Fraboni, Jose Maria Garrido, Frederico Gil Sander, Indermit S. Gill, Eva M. Gutierrez, Mary Hallward-Driemeier, Marek Hanusch, Caroline

Heider, Vijay Iyer, Karina Izaguirre, Melissa Johns, Lisa Kaestner, Arvo Kuddo, Sumir Lal, Giuseppe Larossi, Anne-Marie Leroy, Oscar Madeddu, Marie Francoise Marie-Nelly, Andres Federico Martinez. Philippe De Meneval, Riz Mokal, Marcin Piatkowski, Mohammad Zia M. Qureshi, Fanja Sahondraniaina Ravoavy, Susan Razzaz, Maria Camila Roberts, Michal Rutkowski, Shalini Sankaranarayanan, Massimiliano Santini, Jordan Schwartz, Peter Sheerin, Victoria Stanley, Rodrigo de Jesus Suescun, Mark Sundberg, Stoyan Tenev, Axel van Trotsenburg, Mahesh Uttamchandani, Tunc Uyanic, Jan Walliser, Wendy Jo Werner and Grace M. Yabrudy.

The paying taxes project was conducted in collaboration with PwC, led by John Preston. The development of the getting electricity indicators was financed by the Norwegian Trust Fund. The APEC Secretariat commented on the APEC case study. Jonathan Bailey, Omowunmi Ladipo and César Chaparro Yedro commented on the Rwanda case study. Aart C. Kraay and Alejandro Ponce commented on the case study on the transparency of business regulation.

Alison Strong copyedited the manuscript. Corporate Visions, Inc. designed the report and the graphs.

Quotations in this report are from *Doing Business* local partners unless otherwise indicated. The names of those wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. The global and regional contributors listed are firms that have completed multiple surveys in their various offices around the world.

GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

ADVOCATES FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, SECTION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

BAKER & MCKENZIE

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

ERNST & YOUNG

IUS LABORIS, ALLIANCE OF LABOR, EMPLOYMENT, BENEFITS AND PENSIONS LAW FIRMS

KPMG

LAW SOCIETY OF ENGLAND AND WALES

LEX MUNDI, ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT LAW FIRMS

PANALPINA

PwC1

Raposo Bernardo & Associados

RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

SDV INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

SECURITY CARGO NETWORK

REGIONAL CONTRIBUTORS

A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK GROUP

ASSOCIATION OF CONSUMER CREDIT INFORMATION SUPPLIERS (ACCIS)

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

GIOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & LOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLP

GRATA LAW FIRM

JONES LANG LASALLE

SALANS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

TALAL ABU GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)

TransUnion International

AFGHANISTAN

Mirza Taqi Ud Din Ahmad A.F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants, a member firm of PwC network

Naseem Akbar

AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT

AGENCY

Mirwais Alami Da Afghanistan Breshna Sherkat

Zabiullah Amin

PSD CLUSTER

Ziaullah Astana

AFGHAN LAND CONSULTING

Organization (ALCO) Nadia Bazidwal

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

Abdullah Dowrani Financial Disputes Resolution Commission (FDRC)

Suleman Fatimie
AFGHANISTAN FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Amanda Galton

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

Abdul Hanan Afghan Land Consulting Organization (ALCO)

Rashid Ibrahim

A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PWC NETWORK

Omar Joya

AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT

C K I

AFGHANISTAN FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Mohammed Masood Khwaja Da Afghanistan Breshna Sherkat Ledia Beçi Нохна, Мемі & Нохна

Alban Bello KPMG Albania SHPK

Jona Bica Kalo & Associates Artan Bozo

BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Jonida Braja Melani

Alban Caushi Kalo & Associates Sajmir Dautaj Tonucci & Partners

Eniana Dupi AECO Consulting

Erinda Duraj Bozo & Associates Law Firm

Sokol Elmazaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Alba Fagu Bank of Albania

Lavdimir Fusha
ALB BB AUDITING SHPK CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD
INTERNATIONAL

Lisjana Fusha Alb BB Auditing SHPK -CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Aurela Gjokutaj AL-TAX STUDIO Eduart Gjokutaj AL-TAX STUDIO

Shirli Gorenca
Kalo & Associates

Luan Gosnishti
ALB BB AUDITING SHPK CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD
INTERNATIONAL

Mateo Gosnishti ALB BB AUDITING SHPK -CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

Emel Haxhillari Kalo & Associates

Blerina Hilaj A&B Business Consulting

Shpati Hoxha Нохна, Мемі & Нохна

Elona Hoxhaj

BOGA & ASSOCIATES TIRANA

Xhet Hushi Kalo & Associates

Evis Jani Drakopoulos I aw Firm

DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM

Evandro Janka Bozo & Associates Law Firm

Ilir Johollari Нохна, Мемі & Нохна

Sabina Lalaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Boga & Associates Tiran

Dorian Kashuri

Kalo & Associates

Erlind Kodhelaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Renata Leka Boga & Associates Tirana

Dorjana Maliqi A&B Business Consulting

Andi Memi Нохна, Мемі & Нохна Aigest Milo
KALO & ASSOCIATES
Blerta Nesho
WOLF THEISS
Loreta Peci

PwC Albania Florian Piperi

OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL
Artila Rama

Boga & Associates Tirana Loriana Robo

Anisa Rrumbullaku Kalo & Associates Ergis Sefa ERG Managerial

Enkelejd Seitllari Kalo & Associates

Ardjana Shehi Kalo & Associates

Elda Shuraja Нохна, Мемі & Нохна

Majlinda Sulstarova Tonucci & Partners

Besa Tauzi Boga & Associates Tirana

Paul Tobin PwC Bulgaria

Ketrin Topçiu Bozo & Associates Law Firm

Ened Topi

Boga & Associates Tirana Fioralba Trebicka

Нохна, Мемі & Нохна

Alketa Uruçi Boga & Associates Tirana

Gerhard Velaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Silva Velaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Aspasi Xhori CEZ Shperndarje sh.a Selena Ymeri Hoxha, Memi & Hoxha

Enida Zeneli

Bozo & Associates Law Firm

ALGERIA

Branka Achari-Djokic Banque d'Algérie

Salima Aloui Law Firm Goussanem & Aloui

Mohamed Atbi ETUDE NOTARIALE MOHAMED ATBI

Djamila Azzouz Cabinet d'Audit Azzouz correspondent of Russell Bedford International

Salim Azzouz

Cabinet d'Audit Azzouz
CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

INTERNATIONAL

Khodja Bachir SNC KHODJA & Co.

Samir Benslimane CABINET BENSLIMANE Adnane Bouchaib

Bouchaib Law Firm
Amin Bouhaddi

Entreprise Bouhaddi Selima Daadouche Mohamed Dhif Centre National du Registre du

COMMERCE Said Dib BANQUE D'ALGÉRIE

Nicolas Granier

LANDWELL & ASSOCIÉS

Ould Hocine

STUDIO A

Goussanem Khaled Law Firm Goussanem & Aloui

Arezki Khelout Ministère des Finances - Direction Générale du Domaine National

Maya Laichoubi GHELLAL & MEKERBA Mohamed Lanouar LEFÈVRE PELLETIER & ASSOCIÉS

Karine Lasne LANDWELL & Associés Vincent Lunel

Lefèvre Pelletier & Associés Bournissa Mehdi LANDWELL & Associés Sid-Ahmed Mekerba

GHELLAL & MEKERBA

Mohamed Mokrane

Ministère des Finances - Direction
Générale du Domaine National

Fares Ouzegdouh
TRANSPORT PORT LOGISTICS &

SOLUTIONS
Ahmed Rahou

Ministère des Finances - Direction Générale du Domaine National

Mourad Seghir

Mohamed Smati

Benabid Mohammed Tahar

CABINET MOHAMMED TAHAR BENABID

ANGOLA

Ernst & Young

LOURDES CAPOSSO FERNANDES & ASSOCIADOS

Alexandre Caldas Menezes
CALDAS MENEZES

Pedro Calixto
PwC Angola
Anacleta Cipriano

Patricia Dias

FBL ADVOGADOS

Miguel Conceição
RGT- ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Miguel de Avillez Pereira Abreu Advogados

AVM ADVOGADOS Beatriz Ferreira de Andrade dos Santos

Banco Nacional de Angola Adérito Figueira

MINISTÉRIO DA ENERGIA E ÁGUAS Berta Grilo

FBL Advogados Jacinto José EDEL-EP

Victor Leonel
ORDEM DOS ARQUITECTOS
Teresinha Lopes

Zinga Lourença Correia João

FBL Advogados Zinga Lourenç FDFI-FP

Gaurav Lekh Raj Kukreja Afghan Container Transport Company

Ghulam Rabani Mansoori
AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT

AGENCY
Tali Mohammed
AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT

AGENCY Shekeeb Nessar Da Afghanistan Breshna Sherkat

Ateequlah Nosher Da Afghanistan Bank

Gul Pacha Afghanistan Investment Support

AFGHANISTAN IN AGENCY

Tamsil Rashid Afghanistan International Bank

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

Khalil Sediq Afghanistan International Bank

Sharifullah Shirzad Da Afghanistan Bank

Abdul Rahim Saeedi

Najibullah Wardak

LARA Mohammadi Khan Yaqoobi Da Afghanistan Bank

ALBANIA
Dyrrahsped SHPK

Boga & Associates Tirana Artur Asllani Tonucci & Partners

Eduart Ahmeti

Sabina Baboci

Kalo & Associates
Redjan Basha
A&B Business Consulting

1. PwC refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.

LEFÈVRE PELLETIER & ASSOCIÉS

Arlete Maia
CFRA Advogados Associados
Guirec Malfait
SDV-AMI Angola Lda

Vitor Marques da Cruz MC&A, IN ASSOCIATION WITH MVA -MOTA VEIGA ADVOGADOS

Vanessa Mendes NTA - Noronha Tiny Advogados

Marcos Neto Banco Nacional de Angola

Luis Miguel Nunes AVM Advogados

Janota Nzogi EDEL-EP Sofia Oliveira

FBL Advogados

Eduardo Paiva PwC Angola José Paxe

IRSE - Instituto Regulador do Sector Eléctrico

Nair Pitra
CERA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Laurinda Prazeres Cardoso FBL Advogados

João Robles F. Castelo Branco & Associados

Tatiana Serrao FBL Advogados Cristina Teixeira PwC Angola

Kiluange Tiny NTA - Noronha Tiny Advogados

Ludmilo Tiny NTA - Noronha Tiny Advogados

N'Gunu Tiny CFRA Advogados Associados

Antônio Vicente Marques

AVM Anvoganos

ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA

Ricki Camacho Antigua & Barbuda Intellectual Property & Commerce (ABIPCO)

Eleanor R. Clark CLARKE & CLARKE

Neil Coates

Brian D'Ornellas
OBM INTERNATIONAL ANTIGUA LTD

Joy Dublin

James & Associates

Vernon Edwards Jr. FREIGHT FORWARDING & DECONSOLIDATING

Robert Giraldo

Sherelyn Hughes Thomas Antigua and Barbuda Investment Authority

Alfred McKelly James
James & Associates

Lenworth Johnson

Lisa M. John Weste Тномаs, John & Co.

Hugh C. Marshall Marshall & Co.

Gloria Martin FRANCIS TRADING AGENCY LIMITED

Girvan Pigott Antigua Public Utility Authority

Septimus A. Rhudd RHUDD & ASSOCIATES Stacy A. Richards-Anjo RICHARDS & Co.

Andrea Roberts ROBERTS & Co.

Cathrona Samuel
Antigua Public Utility Authority

Lestroy Samuel
ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA INVESTMENT

Patricia Simon-Forde
CHAMBERS PATRICIA SIMON-FORDE

Marsha Thomas

Hesketh Williams MINISTRY OF LABOR

ARGENTINA

Ignacio Acedo Gonzalez & Ferraro Mila

Fernando Aguinaga Zang, Bergel & Viñes Abogados

Lisandro A. Allende Brons & Salas Abogados

Ignacio E. Aramburu Estudio Moltedo Natalia Artmann

Alfaro Abogados

Ariadna Artopoulos M. & M. Bomchil

Alejo Baca Castex G. Breuer

Vanesa Balda Vitale, Manoff & Feilbogen

Gonzalo Carlos Ballester J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

Néstor J. Belgrano M. & M. Вомсніі Nicolás Belgrano

M. & M. BOMCHIL Sebastián Bittner JEBSEN & Co.

Ignacio Fernández Borzese Luna Requena & Fernández Borzese Tax Law Firm

Mariano Bourdieu SEVERGNINI ROBIOLA GRINBERG & LARRECHEA

Nicolás Bühler Hope, Duggan & Silva

Adriana Paola Caballero Wiener Soto Caparrós

Federico Carenzo LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF & VON DER FECHT

Mariano E. Carricart FORNIELES LAW FIRM

Luciano Cativa Luna Requena & Fernández Borzese Tax Law Firm

Pablo L. Cavallaro Estudio Cavallaro Abogados

Guadalupe Cores Quattrini, Laprida & Asociados

Roberto H. Crouzel Estudio Beccar Varela

María Amalia Cruz Zang, Bergel & Viñes Abogados

Valeria D'Alessandro Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nicolás de Ezcurra

Nicolás Debernardi Hope, Duggan & Silva

Carola Del Rio Severgnini Robiola Grinberg & Larrechea Oscar Alberto del Río Central Bank of Argentina

Julio C. Durand Cassagne Abogados

Andrés Edelstein PwC Argentina

Joaquín Eppens

Murray, Anguillesi, Guyot, Rossi & Sirito de Zavalía

Daniel Escolá Quattrini, Laprida & Asociados

Juan M. Espeso JEBSEN & Co.

Pablo Ferraro Mila Gonzalez & Ferraro Mila

Diego M. Fissore G. Breuer

Alejandro D. Fiuza K&L Gates LLP New York

Victoria Funes M. & M. Вомсніг

Martín Gastaldi Estudio Beccar Varela

Giselle Rita Geuna Alfaro Abogados

Juan Jose Glusman PwC Argentina

María Soledad Gonzalez Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Eugenia Goya Zang, Bergel & Viñes Abogados

Matías Grinberg Severgnini Robiola Grinberg & Larrechea

Sandra S. Guillan De Dios & Goyena Abogados Consultores

Daniel Intile

Daniel Intile & Asoc. - MEMBER OF

Russell Bedford International Martín Jebsen

Luciano José Nístico

IFRSEN & CO

Santiago Laclau Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Federico Hernán Laprida Quattrini, Laprida & Asociados

Sofía Leggiero SEVERGNINI ROBIOLA GRINBERG & LARRECHEA

Bastiana Locurscio RATTAGAN, MACCHIAVELLO AROCENA & PEÑA ROBIROSA ABOGADOS

Alvaro Luna Requena Luna Requena & Fernández Borzese Tax I aw Firm

Dolores Madueño

Juan Manuel Magadan PwC Argentina

Rodrigo Marchan MetA

María Lucila Marchini Estudio Beccar Varela

Gonzalo María Gros J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

Pedro Mazer

Diego Melfi Estudio Beccar Varela Maria Fernanda Mierez

ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA
JOSÉ OSCAR MIRA
CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA

Jorge Miranda CLIPPERS S.A.

Miguel P. Murray Murray, Anguillesi, Guyot, Rossi & Sirito de Zavalía

Pablo Murray FIORITO MURRAY & DIAZ CORDERO

Damián Mauricio Najenson Estudio Spota

Alfredo Miguel O'Farrell Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gonzalo Oliva Beltran LLERENA AMADEO, DONDO & OLIVA BELTRÁN

Javier M. Petrantonio M. & M. BOMCHIL
Aleiandro Poletto

Estudio Beccar Varela Luis Ponsati

J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

José Miguel Puccinelli

ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA
Federico José Reibestein

REIBESTEIN & ASOCIADOS
Miguel Remmer

ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA
Armando Ricci

ZANG, BERGEL & VIÑES ABOGADOS Flavia Ríos J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS

Sebastián Rodrigo

Andrés Sebastián Rojas ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA

Fernanda Sabbatini WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS Jorge Sanchez Diaz

Esteban Aguirre Saravia
Luna Requena & Fernández Borzese

Tax Law Firm Mariela Sas M. & M. Вомсніі

Pablo Staszewski Staszewski & Asoc.

Maria Alejandra Stefanich Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Javier Tarasido SEVERGNINI ROBIOLA GRINBERG & LARRECHEA

Adolfo Tombolini Daniel Intile & Asoc. - member of Russell Bedford International

Martín Torres Girotti M. & M. Вомсніі

María Paola Trigiani Alfaro Abogados

Susana Urresti Edesur Electricidad Distribuidora Sur S.A.

Hernan Verly
ALFARO ABOGADOS

Paz Villamil Rattagan, Macchiavello Arocena & Peña Robirosa Arogados

Saúl Zang Zang, Bergel & Viñes Abogados

Joaquín Emilio Zappa

Carlos Zima PwC Argentina ARMFNIA

Yelena Adamyan PHP Audit Armen Alaverdyan State Revenue Committee of the Government of the Republic of

ARMENIA
Ruzan Alaverdyan
MINISTRY OF LIBRAN DEVELOPMENT

Sevak Aleksanyan Investment Law Group LLC

Armen Arzumanyan FINSTAB LLC

Sedrak Asatryan Concern-Dialog Law Firm

Albert Babayan Ministry of Economy of Armenia

Karapet Badalyan PRUDENCE LEGAL

Sayad S. Badalyan Investment Law Group LLC

Haykaz Bakhshetsyan Elite Group

Vardan Bezhanyan Law Faculty, Yerevan State University

Abgar Budaghyan Public Services Regulatory Commission of Armenia

Artyom Chakhalyan Logicon Development LLC

Vahe Chibukhchyan MINISTRY OF ECONOMY OF ARMENIA Vahe Danielyan

MINISTRY OF ECONOMY OF ARMENIA Kristina Dudukchyan

KPMG Aikanush Edigaryan Trans-Alliance

Gagik Galstyan Horizon 95

Koryun Gevorgyan Ministry of Economy of Armenia

Vahe Ghavalyan Paradigma Armenia CJSC

Hayk Ghazazyan KPMG

Suren Gomtsyan
Concern-Dialog Law Firm
Armine Grigoryan

Armine Grigoryan The State Committee of the Real Property Cadastre of the Government of the Republic of

Narek Grigoryan The State Committee of the Real Property Cadastre of the Government of the Republic of

Tigran Grigoryan Ameria CJSC

ARMFNIA

Sargis H. Martirosyan TRANS-ALLIANCE Gevorg Hakobyan

Concern-Dialog Law Firm
Meri Hambardzumyan

Armenia Judical Department
Davit Harutyunyan

PwC Armenia Isabella Hovhannisyan EBRD Business Support Office

Davit Iskandarian HSBC BANK

Paruyr Jangulyan Ministry of Economy of Armenia

Vahram Jotyan Gosselin

Tigran Jrbashyan Ameriabank CJSC Artashes F. Kakoyan Investment Law Group LLC

Vahe G. Kakoyan Investment Law Group LLC

Arshak Karapetyan Investment Law Group LLC

Georgi Khachatryan Ameria CJSC

Aida Khachaturian

Karen Khachaturyan The State Committee of the Real Property Cadastre of the Government of the Republic of Armenia

Marine Khchoyan LOGICON DEVELOPMENT LLC

Tigran Kocharyan Compact Real Estate Agency LLC

Karen Martirosyan Ameria CJSC

Lilit Martirosyan Hovnanian International Ltd.

Lilit Matevosyan PwC Armenia Arsen Matikyan CMA CGM

Robin McCone

Armen Melkumyan PRUDENCE LEGAL

Gurgen Migranovich Minasyan
Union of Builders of Armenia

Armen Mkoyan

Gagik Mkrtchyan Technometre LLC

Vahe Movsisyan Investment Law Group LLC

Ashot Musayan THE STATE COMMITTEE OF THE REAL PROPERTY CADASTRE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA

Nerses Nersisyan PwC Armenia Artur Nikoyan

Trans-Alliance Anna Nubaryan Ameria CJSC

Aram Orbelyan Ministry of Justice

Anahit Petrosyan Paradigma Armenia CJSC

Karen Petrosyan Investment Law Group LLC

Naira Petrosyan PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC

Vahe Petrosyan LOGICON DEVELOPMENT LLC

Aram Poghosyan Grant Thornton LLP

Arman Porsughyan Ameria CJSC Gagik Sahakyan

AMERIABANK CJSC

David Sargsyan

AMERIA CJSC

Ruben Shahmuradyan Comfort R&V

Suzanna Shamakhyan Concern-Dialog Law Firm

Gayane Shimshiryan Hakob Tadevosyan GRANT THORNTON LLP

Artur Tunyan Judicial Reform Project Lilit Tunyan FINCA UNIVERSAL CREDIT ORGANIZATION CJSC

Araik Vardanyan Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the Republic of Armenia

Arman Yesayan ALFA SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES

Aram Zakaryan ACRA CREDIT BUREAU

AUSTRALIA

ALLEN & OVERY

FAYMAN INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.

VEDA ADVANTAGE

Zeallie Ainsworth
CLIFFORD CHANCE

Flizabeth Allen

Elizabeth Allen
PwC Australia
Lynda Brumm

PwC Australia
David Buda

RBHM Commercial Lawyers

Chris Camillin HOLMAN WEBB LAWYERS

Alicia Castillo Alicia Castillo Wealthing Group

Joe Catanzariti CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Greg Channell
DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

Gaibrielle Cleary Gould Ralph Pty Ltd. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Tim Cox
PwC Australia
Philip Crawford

Philip Crawford HENRY DAVIS YORK Mark Dalby

OFFICE OF STATE REVENUE, NSW Treasury

Anne Davis CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jenny Davis ENERGYAUSTRALIA Warren Davis GADENS LAWYERS

Chaz Dheer Marque Lawyers

Kelly Dickson M+K Lawyers

Robert Downing

Macpherson + Kelley Lawyers

Sam Eichenbaum M+K Lawyers Ian Farmer PwC Australia

PwC Australia

Nicole Flint

Arnold Bloch Leiblei

ARNOLD BLOCH LEIBLER
Grant Guenther
M+K LAWYERS

Owen Hayford CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jason Henniker
ENERGYAUSTRALIA
Erica Henshilwood
MARQUE LAWYERS
David Hopwood
M+K LAWYERS

Amelia Horvath CLIFFORD CHANCE

Ian Humphreys Ashurst LLP

CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Stephen Jauncey
HENRY DAVIS YORK
Melissa Kirby

HONEYWELL
Paul Kirton
M+K LAWYERS
Rachel Kong

Marque Lawyers
Przemek Kucharski
Allens Arthur Robinson

David Larish King & Wood Mallesons

Chi-Yung Lee M+K Lawyers John Lobban ASHURST LLP

Prue Long
M+K LAWYERS
Suzy Madar
KING & WOOD MALLESONS

John Martin THOMSON PLAYFORD Melody Martin ASHURST LLP

Mitchell Mathas Norton Rose Nathan Mattock

MARQUE LAWYERS
Nicholas Mavrakis
CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Des Mooney
DEPARTMENT OF LANDS
Louise Murphy
Marque Lawyers

Aparna Nanayakkara Allens Arthur Robinson William Nerlich

M+K I AWYERS

Claudia Newman-Martin King & Wood Mallesons

Meredith Paynter
King & Wood Mallesons

Mark Pistilli CLIFFORD CHANCE Michael Quinlan ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON

John Reid Office of State Revenue, NSW Treasury

Bob Ronai Amber Sharp Marque Lawyers

Marisha Steinberg King & Wood Mallesons

Damian Sturzaker Marque Lawyers

Allira Swick Marque Lawyers

Nick Thomas CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Rosie Thomas KING & WOOD MALLESONS

Robert Tracy
HENRY DAVIS YORK
Simon Truskett
CLAYTON UTZ. MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

David Twigg

FNERGY AUSTRALIA

AUSTRIA

Austrian Regulatory Authority Vienna Business Agency

WIEN KANAL

Clemens Baerenthaler DLA PIPER WEISS-TESSBACH RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Georg Bahn Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Constantin Benes SCHOENHERR

Georg Brandstetter Brandstetter Pritz & Partner

Doris Buxbaum HEGER & PARTNER Bruno Clemente Palma

PwC Austria
Martin Eckel

e|n|w|c Natlacen Walderdorff Cancola Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Agnes Eigner
Brandstetter Pritz & Partner
Tibor Fabian

Binder Grösswang Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Julian Feichtinger CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI

Ferdinand Graf
Graf & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE
GMRH

Andreas Hable BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Tina Hausensteiner

BPV HÜGEL RECHTSANWÄLTE OG

Friedrich Helml

SCWP SCHINDHELM AUSTRIA

Alexander Hofmann
RA Dr. Alexander Hofmann, I.I.M.

RA Dr. ALEXANDER HOFMANN, LL.N. Lothar Hofmann HLAW

Alexander Isola Graf & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE

Rudolf Kaindl Koehler, Kaindl, Duerr & Partner, Civil Law Notaries

Alexander Klauser Brauneis Klauser Prändl Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Rudolf Krickl PwC Austria

Barbara Luger Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Peter Madl Schoenherr

Wolfgang Messeritsch
OFSTERREICHISCHE NATIONALBANK

Gerald Mitteregger
International Logistic Gateway

Gerhard Muggenhuber
BEV - FEDERAL OFFICE OF METROLOGY
& SURVEYING

Elke Napokoj BPV Hügel RECHTSANWÄLTE OG

Felix Neuwirther Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Christian Pöchlinger PwC Austria

Barbara Pogacar BPV HÜGEL RECHTSANWÄLTE OG

Martina Raczova Graf & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH

Ulla Reisch Urbanek Lind Schmied Reisch Rechtsanwälte OG

Georg Schima Kunz Schima Wallentin Rechtsanwälte OG, member of Ius Laboris Stephan Schmalzl

GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE

GMBH

Ernst Schmidt Halpern & Prinz

Christian Schuppich CHSH Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld HLAWATI

Reinhard Schwarz International Logistic Gateway

Franz Schwarzinger REVISIONSTREUHAND - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Günther Sedlacek Oesterreichische Nationalbank

Wolfgang Tichy Schoenherr

Thomas Trettnak CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI

Christoph Twaroch TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY VIENNA

Wolfgang Vanas Graf & Pitkowitz Rechtsanwälte GmrH

Birgit Vogt-Majarek Kunz Schima Wallentin Rechtsanwälte OG, member of Ius Laporis

Gerhard Wagner KSV 1870

Lukas A Weber Brauneis Klauser Prändl Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Thomas Zottl Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer

Christian Zwick BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GARRH

AZERBAIJAN

OMNI Law Firm

Aliagha Akhundov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Elnur Aliyev BHM Baku Law Centre LLC

Rashid Aliyev
BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Sevinj Aliyeva

MGB LAW OFFICES

Jamil Alizada
BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Aykhan Asadov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Ismail Askerov MGB Law Offices Esmer Atakishiyeva

Azerbaijan Global Logistic
Anar Baghirov
BHM Baku Law Centre LLC

Natavan Baghirova BM Morrison Partners Law Firm

Samir Balayev

Johanna Cronin BHM Baku Law Centre LLC

Sevil Gasimova
BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Abbas Guliyev Baker & McKenzie - CIS, Limited

Arif Guliyev PWC AZERBAIJAN Gulnar Gurbanova BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC

Elchin Habibov Central Bank of Azerbaijan Samir Hadjiyev Michael Wilson & Partners Ltd.

Nigar Hajiyeva Baker & McKenzie - CIS, Limited

Gulshan Hasanova BHM Baku Law CENTRE LLC Elmar Huseynov

BLUE WATER SHIPPING LTD.

Zaur Huseynov

OJSC BAKIELEKTRIKSHEBEKE Jeyhun Huseynzada

Ruhiyya Isayeva

Delara Israfilova BM Morrison Partners Law Firm

Vagif Karimli BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Fuad Karimov KERMUR SPECIALIZED BUREAU OF ADVOCATES

Emin Karimov

Rena Khalilova Deloitte LLP

Ferid Madatli BM Morrison Partners Law Firm

Kamal Mamedzade

Javanshir Mammadov Grata Law Firm

Faiq S. Manafov

Daniel Matthews BAKER & McKenzie - CIS, LIMITED

Farhad Mirzayev BM Morrison Partners Law Firm

Ruslan Mukhtarov BM Morrison Partners Law Firm

Atakishi Nadirov PwC Azerbaijan

Mammad Nazaraliyev State Oil Company of Azerbaijan Republic

Naida Sadigova Salans

Ali Samedov Azerbaijan Global Logistic

Natig Shirinov MINISTRY OF TAXES

Elvira Sirajzada Deloitte LLP

Mehman Sultanov BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC

Sona Tagieva Salans

Kamil Valiyev State Oil Company of Azerbaijan Republic

Murad Yahyayev Unibank

Ulvia Zeynalova-Bockin SALANS

BAHAMAS, THE

McKinney, Bancroft & Hughes

David F. Allen
BAHAMAS LAW CHAMBERS

Kevin Basden
BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION

Natasha Bosfield LENNOX PATON

Rodney W. Braynen Design Häus

Tara Cooper Burnside HIGGS & JOHNSON Surinder Deal

Craig G. Delancy
The Commonwealth of the
Bahamas, Ministry of Works &
Transport

Amos J. Ferguson Jr. FERGUSON ASSOCIATES & PLANNERS

Wendy Forsythe
IMPORT EXPORT BROKERS LTD.

Vann P. Gaitor Higgs & Johnson

Kenneth L. Lightbourne Graham, Thompson & Co.

Portia Nicholson Higgs & Johnson

Andrew G.S O'Brien II GLINTON, SWEETING,O'BRIEN LAW FIRM

Sophie Rolle LENNOX PATON

Castino D. Sands LENNOX PATON

Rochelle Sealy PwC Bahamas

Kevin Seymour PwC Bahamas

Burlington Strachan
BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION

Jody Wells Lennox Paton

BAHRAIN

ELECTRICITY & WATER AUTHORITY

ERNST & YOUNG

Najma Abdul-Redha Hassan Ministry of Municipalities and Urban Planning

Amel Al Aseeri
ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES

Eman Al Haji Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Zeenat Al Mansoori ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES

Reem Al Rayes

ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES

Mohamed Al-Ahmadi Bahrain Investors Center

Haider Al-Noaimi Mohamed Salahuddin Consulting Engineering Bureau

Raju Alagarsamy Hassan Radhi & Associates

Shaji Alukkal Panalpina World Transport LLP

Rahiel Bhikhie

Michael Durgavich ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Ken Healy PwC Bahrain

Hessa Hussain The Benefit Company

Seema Isa Al-Thawadi Ministry of Municipalities and Urban Planning

Jawad Habib Jawad BDO Jawad Habib

Shereen Karimi Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Ebrahim Karolia PwC Bahrain

Ronald Langat Haya Rashed Al Khalifa Saifuddin Mahmood Hassan Radhi & Associates

Abdul-Haq Mohammed TROWERS & HAMLINS

Eman Omar Qays H. Zu'bi Attorneys & Legal

Hassan Ali Radhi Hassan Radhi & Associates

Najib Saade ASAR - AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Mohamed Salahuddin Mohamed Salahuddin Consulting Engineering Bureau

Thamer Salahuddin Mohamed Salahuddin Consulting Engineering Bureau

Cecile Scaros Qays H. Zu'bi Attorneys & Legal Consultants

Esmond Hugh Stokes
HATIM S. ZU'BI & PARTNERS

Baiju Thomas Agility Logistics

Hatim S. Zu'bi Натім S. Zu'ві & Partners

BANGLADESH

Zainul Abedin A. OASEM & Co.

Afrin Akhter A. OASEM & CO.

Sharmin Akter Amir & Amir Law Associates, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

K. M. Tanzib Alam Tanjib ul Alam and Associates

Md. Shafiul Alam The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Ltd.

Noorul Azhar Azhar & Associates

A.S.A. Bari A.S. & Associates

Anirban Bhowmik Bank of Bangladesh

Taslim Uddin Bhuiyan Amır & Amır Law Associates, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gouranga Chakraborty BANK OF BANGLADESH

Jamilur Reza Choudhury

Nasirud Doulah Doulah & Doulah Advocates

Shamsud Doulah
Doulah & Doulah Advocates

K. M. A. Halim Upright Textile Supports

Mirza Quamrul Hasan Adviser's Legal Alliance Firm

Farhana Hossain Zoha Zaman Kabir Rashid & Co., Chartered Accountants

Arif Imtiaz
FM Associates

M. Amir-Ul Islam Amir & Amir Law Associates, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ariful Islam Bhuiyan Islam & Zaidi

Md Aminul Islam City Apparel-Tex Co.

Seema Karim Amir & Amir Law Associates, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sohel Kasem A. Qaseм & Co. Asif Khan A. Qaseм & Co.

Sayeed Khan A.S. & Associates

Qazi Mahtab-uz-Zaman

Shahjahan Mia DHAKA ELECTRICITY SUPPLY COMPANY LTD. (DESCO)

Mohammad Moniruzzaman The Law Counsel

A.I.M. Monsoor

Sultana Nasrin Amir & Amir Law Associates, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Eva Quasem

AMIR & AMIR LAW ASSOCIATES,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ahmedur Rahim
REGISTRAR, JOINT STOCK COMPANIES
& FIRMS

Al Amin Rahman FM Associates

Ferdaus Rahman A.S. & Associates

Tarek Rashid Zoha Zaman Kabir Rashid & Co., Chartered Accountants

Abdus Samad A.S. & Associates

Imran Siddiq The Law Counse

Taposh ENERGYPAC ENGINEERING LTD.

Sabrina Zarin FM Associates

BARBADOS

Ramone Alleyne
CLARKE GITTENS FARMER

Ricardo Anderson SRM Architects Ltd.

Alicia Archer

Patricia Boyce

EVERSON R. ELCOCK & CO. LTD.

Andrew F. Brathwaite

Anthony Brooks
Tony Brooks Architects Ltd.

George Browne
Town and Country Development
Planning Office

Louis Christie TMR Sales & Service Ltd.

Berkeley Clark BJS Customs Service Inc.

Grady M. Clarke Caribbean Credit Bureau

Heather A. Clarke Corporate Affairs and Intellectual PROPERTY OFFICE

Joy-Ann Clarke
Land REGISTRY DEPARTMENT
Horace Cobham

RBC ROYAL BANK

Andrew Cox

MINISTRY OF LABOR AND SOCIAL
SECURITY

Madam Justice Maureen Crane-Scott SUPREME COURT OF BARRADOS

Sherica J. Mohammed Cumberbatch Carrington & Sealy

Adrian W. Cummins Carrington & Sealy Mark Cummins Town and Country Development PLANNING OFFICE

Marcel El-Daher
Daher & Associates

Adrian M. Elcock EVERSON R. ELCOCK & Co. LTD.

Antonio Elcock

EVERSON R. ELCOCK & Co. LTD.

Andrew C. Ferreira

CHANCERY CHAME Lorenzo Forde PwC Barbados

Basil A. Giles YEARWOOD AND BOYCE

Stanton Gittens

Adam Godson

Anice C.N. Granville Lex Caribbean

Yolande F. Howard
MINISTRY OF LABOR AND SOCIAL
SECURITY

Russ Jones PwC Barbados

Ruan C. Martinez BCF

Jenevieve Maynard
INN CHAMBERS
David McCollin

LAND REGISTRY DEPARTMENT Jennifer R. Murray THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA

Percy Murrell
P Customs Brokers Air Sea and
Land Transport Inc.

Ricardo Norville Ministry of Labor and Social

SECURITY

Noel M. Nurse
The Booth Steamship Co. (B'dos)

Laurel Odle PwC Barbados

Gina D. Patrick
Town and Country Development
Planning Office

Sheridan A. Reece Carrington & Sealy

P.E. Serrao Fitzwilliam, Stone & Alcazar

Joe Steinbok ROTHERLY CONSTRUCTION INC. Kave A Williams

MERIDIAN LAW
Stephen Worme
THE BARBADOS LIGHT AND POWER
COMPANY LTD.

BELARUS

Amir Al-Haidar

Tatiana Aleksnina CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HI AWATI

Alexey Anischenko SORAINEN

Aliaksandr Anisovich

Dmitry Arkhipenko

Kiryl Apanasevich
VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Alexander Artsiukhevich

Alexander Artsiukhevich Jurznak Law Firm LLC Dzmitry Barouka ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Irina A. Belskaya THE SUPREME ECONOMIC COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

Vladimir G. Biruk CAPITAL GROUP

Evgeniya Borisevich

Ekaterina V. Borovtsova THE SUPREME ECONOMIC COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

Alexander Botian BOROVTSOV & SALEI LAW OFFICES

Sergey Chistyakov STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS I TD

Alexey Daryin REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Sergey Dubovik NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF

Tatiana Emelianova VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

Andrej Ermolenko VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

Alena Gavdur Arzinger & Partners International LAW FIRM

Kirill Golovko REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Evgenia Goriounova BOROVTSOV & SALEI LAW OFFICES

Tatiana Guretskaya REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Oleg Gvozd

Elena Hmeleva RUSINESSCONSULT LAW FIRM

Antonina Ivanova LAW FIRM DICSA

Marina Kalinouskaya

JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC Alina Kalinovskaya

Uljana Karpekina REVERA CONSULTING GROUP Dmitry Khalimonchyk

JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC Maria Khomenko

PwC BELARUS Sergey Khostovich

Alexander Khrapoutski Sysouev, Bondar, Khrapoutski LAW FIRM

Alexander Kirilenko AGENCY OF TERNAROUND Technologies

Nina Knyazeva BUSINESSCONSULT LAW FIRM

Irina Koikova LAW FIRM DICSA

Alexander Korsak ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL

Dmitry Kovalchik STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS

Anna Kozlova BNT LEGAL & TAX

Sergey G. Krasovsky
THE SUPREME ECONOMIC COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

Olga Kuchinskaya VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

Alexandra Kuchminskava REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Anastasiya Kudryakova NATIONAL CADASTRAL AGENCY

ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Alexander Ließem BNT LEGAL & TAX Sergei Makarchuk

CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI Sergei Makarchuk

CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD Mikalai Markounik

Vlasova Mikhel & Partners

Sergey Mashonsky Arzinger & Partners International

Dmitry Matveyev LAW GROUP ARGUMENT

Tatiana I. Melnik THE SUPREME ECONOMIC COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

Konstantin Mikhel VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

Dmitry Montik INDIVIDUAL ENTREPRENEUR

Helen Mourashko REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Valiantsina Neizvestnaya AUDIT AND CONSULTING LTD., BELARUS

Anna Nikolaeva REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Sergei Odintsov PWC BELARUS

Yulia Ovseichyk REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Volha Parfenchyk CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFFI D

Ekaterina Pastukhovich NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF

BFLARUS Anastasiya Pavliuchenko REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Olga Pepenina GLIMSTEDT

Vladimir Aleksandrovich Polishuk PROFELEKTROPROEKT

Olga Prokopova NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF RELARUS

Alexandr Putyato REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Inesa Safronava PwC BELARUS

Maksim Salahub Vlasova Mikhel & Partners

Vassili I. Salei Borovtsov & Salei Law Offices

Volha Samasiuk RELARUS STATE LINIVERSITY

Yury Samkov Borovtsov & Salei Law Offices

Elena Sapego Stepanovski, Papakul and Partners

Kristina Shibeko

Yulia Shuba BOROVISOV & SALELLAW OFFICES

Dmitry Skorodulin BELARUS STATE UNIVERSITY Anna Skorodulina ILIRZNAK LAW FIRM LLC Sergey Strelchik VALEX CONSULT

Alla Sundukova MINISTRY OF TAXES AND DUTIES RELABLIS

Natalia Talai Vlasova Mikhel & Partners

Alesia Tsekhanava LAW FIRM DICSA Natalia Ulasevich

Eugenia Urodnich

GLIMSTEDT

Elena Usenia Arzinger & Partners International LAW FIRM

Sviatlana Valuveva STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS

Alexander Vasilevsky VALEX CONSULT

Oleg Veremeychik NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

Igor Verkhovodko BUSINESSCONSULT LAW FIRM

Dmitry Viltovsky ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Irina Voronchuk ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL

LAW FIRM Alexey Voronchuk
REVERA CONSULTING GROUP

Maria Yurieva

SORAINEN Ekaterina Zabello VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS

Olga Zdobnova

VLASOVA MIKHEL & PARTNERS Dmitri Zikratsky

REVERA CONSULTING GROUP Maxim 7nak

JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC Nadezhda Znak JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC

BELGIUM

CENTRE ADMINISTRATIF DE LA VILLE DE BRUXFILES

Wouters, Van Merode & Co. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

Hubert André-Dumont McGuire Woods LLP

Patrick Bissot NATIONAL BANK OF BEIGIUM

Géraldine Blairvacq SPF FINANCES - AGDP

DEMINOR INTERNATIONAL SCRI

Hakim Boularbah LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Yves Brosens DLA PIPER UK LLP Adriaan Dauwe

AITILIS

Astrid de Bandt DEMINOR INTERNATIONAL SCRI

Koenraad De Bie PWC REIGILIM

Esther De Raymaeker DIA PIPER UK II P Kris De Schutter LOYENS & LOFFE

Didier De Vliegher NautaDutiih

Frank Dierckx PwC Belgium

Camille Dümm NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM

Jürgen Egger

Aline Etienne NautaDutilh Alain François EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS

Conny Grenson Jean-Luc Hagon LOYENS & LOEFF

Thomas Hürner NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM

LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK MEMBER OF LEX MILINDI

Grégoire Jakhian LOYENS & LOFFE Olivia Ledoux

NALITADLITILH Erika Leenknecht

FUBELIUS ATTORNEYS Stephan Legein FEDERAL PLIBLIC SERVICE FINANCE

Luc Legon PwC Brigium Axel Maeterlinck

SIMONT BRALIN Philippe Massart

SIBFLGA Glenn Moolenschot

FURFUUS ATTORNEYS

Dominique Mougenot

COMMERCIAL COURT MONS Peter Neefs

NATIONAL BANK OF BEIGILIM Sabrina Otten PwC Brigium

Timothy Speelman McGuire Woods LLP

Damien Stas de Richelle DLA PIPER UK LLP

Nicolas Stoffels PwC Belgium

Jan Van Celst DLA PIPER UK LLP

Erwin van de Velde SPF FINANCES - AGDP Bart Van Rossum

B.T.V. Sibylle Vandenberghe PwC Belgium

Grégory Vandenbussche AREN ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS SPRI

Tom Vantroven AITILIS

Robert Vermetten TRANSPORT & PROJECT LOGISTICS

Ivan Verougstraete COUR DE CASSATION Katrien Vorlat STIBBE

Bram Vuvlsteke NOTARY BRAM VUYLSTEKE

Christian Willems LOYENS & LOEFF

BFL17F

Emil Arguelles ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

José A. Bautista PKF INTERNATIONAL

LTD.

Emory K. Bennett YOUNG'S ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY

Herbert Bradley HERBERT BRADLEY CUSTOM HOUSE BROKERS

Christopher Coye
COURTENAY COYE LLP

Julius Espat STRUKTURE ARCHITECTS Sherman Ferguson BELIZE ELECTRICITY LTD.

Velda Flowers BELIZE COMPANIES AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY

Gian C. Gandhi INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION

Celina Gill BELIZE ELECTRICITY LTD.

Glenn D. Godfrey S.C GLENN D. GODFREY & CO LLP

C. Victor Lewis PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Reynaldo F. Magana FRONTIER INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS SERVICES LIMITED

Tania Moody BARROW & WILLIAMS

Vanessa Retreage W.H. COURTENAY & CO.

Patricia Rodriguez Belize Companies and Corporate Affairs Registry

Oscar Sabido S.C. SABIDO & COMPANY

Saidi Vaccaro ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC

Rvan Wrobel Wrobel & Co., Attorneys-at-Law

Carlton Young

YOUNG'S ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY LTD.

BENIN

CABINET JOHN W. FEOOKS & CO.

Safia Abdoulaye CABINET D'HUISSIER DE JUSTICE

Ganiou Adechy ETUDE DE ME GANIOU ADECHY A. Abdou Kabir Adoumbou

Cabinet Maître Rafikou Alabi Saïdou Agbantou

CABINET D'AVOCATS Rodolphe Kadoukpe Akoto COMAN S.A.

FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE (FCA)

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo **RCFAO**

Rafikou Agnila Alabi CABINET MAÎTRE RAFIKOU ALABI Françoise Amoussou

Jacques Moïse Atchade CARINET DE MAÎTRE ATCHADE

NOLIVELLE VISION

Charles Badou CARINET D'AVOCAT CHARLES RADOLL

Is-Dine Bouraima GUICHET UNIQUE DE FORMALISATION DES ENTREPRISES

Alice Codiia-Sohouenou CABINET D'AVOCATS ALICE CODJIA Michel Djossouvi Office Notarial Olagnika Salam

Guy Médard Agbo Fayemi Cabinet d'architecture Arcade International

Jean Claude Gnamien PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Irène Grimaud Cabinet d'Avocats

Taïrou Mama Société Internationale de Transit Touré

Jérémie Missihoun Cabinet d'Huissier de Justice

Emmanuella Moulod PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Taoïdi Osseni Société Béninoise d'Energie Electrique

Olagnika Salam Office Notarial Olagnika Salam

Hermann Senou Entreprise Générale De Construction MACKHO

Didier Sterlingot BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Nelly Tagnon Gambor
FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE
(FCA)

Dominique Taty PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Jean-Bosco Todjinou EcoPlan Sarl

José Tonato IMPACT Consultants

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Francine Vittin Office Notarial Olagnika Salam

Zacharie Yalo Mairie de la Ville de Cotonou

Emmanuel Yehouessi

Brignon Zizindohoue

BHUTAN

Loknath Chapagai Ministry of Economic Affairs

Tashi Chenzom Ministry of Labour and Human Resources

Eden Dema Royal Monetary Authority of Bhiltan

Bhim L. Dhungel
Zorig Consultancy

Kencho Dorji Leko Packers

Lhundub Dorji
EAST - WEST CONSTRUCTION

Ugyen Dorji

DRUK INTEGRATED GREEN BUILDINGS

Chheku Dukpa Construction Association of Bhutan

N. B. Gurung Global Logistics

Sonam Gyeltshen
BHUTAN POWER CORPORATION LTD.

Deki Kesang

Shera Lhendup Sayang Law Chambers

Sonam Lhundrup
DRUK HOLDING AND INVESTMENTS

Tashi Pem

Dorji Phuntsho Royal Securities Exchange of Bhutan I to.

T. B. Rai Zorig Consultancy

Yeshey Selden Ministry of Economic Affairs

Govinda Sharma THIMPHU CITY CORPORATION

Dorji Tshering
BHUTAN POWER CORPORATION LTD.

Gem Tshering
BHUTAN POWER CORPORATION LTD.

Sonam Tshering Ministry of Finance

Wang Tshering PCT Consultancy & Construction

Deki Wangmo Bhutan National Bank

Karma Yeshey Ministry of Economic Affairs

BOLIVIA

Fernando Aguirre Bufete Aguirre Soc. Civ.

Ignacio Aguirre Bufete Aguirre Soc. Civ.

Carolina Aguirre Urioste BUFETE AGUIRRE Soc. CIV.

David Alcózer Criales, Urcullo & Antezana

Christian Amestegui Asesores Legales CP

Daniela Aragones Cortez Sanjinés & Asociados Soc. Civ. Abogados

Eduardo Aramayo PwC Bolivia

Miguel Angel Ardúz Ayllón ELECTROPAZ S.A.

Johnny Arteaga Chavez

Maria del Carmen Ballivián C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF LEX

Fernando Bedoya C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Cristian Bustos

Walter B. Calla Cardenas COLEGIO DEPARTAMENTAL DE ARQUITECTOS DE LA PAZ

Jose Callau FERRERE ATTORNEYS

Mauricio Costa du Rels Würth Kim Costa du Rels

Jose Luis Diaz Romero Servicios Generales en Electricidad y Construcción (SGEC)

Salomon Eid Ferrere Attorneys

Isabel Ferrufino
FERRERE ATTORNEYS

FERRERE ATTORNEYS

Kattia Galdo

FERRERE ATTORNEYS

Roberto Gomez-Justiniano Salazar, Salazar & Asociados, Soc. Civ.

Primitivo Gutiérrez Guevara & Gutiérrez S.C.

Ana Carola Guzman Gonzales Salazar, Salazar & Asociados, Soc. Civ.

Jaime M. Jiménez Alvarez Colegio de Ingenieros Electricistas y Electrónicos La Paz Rodrigo Jimenez-Cusicanqui Salazar, Salazar & Asociados, Soc. Civ.

Paola Justiniano Arias Sanjinés & Asociados Soc. Civ. Abogados

Julio César Landívar Castro GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ S.C.

César Lora Moretto PwC Bolivia

Alejandra Bernal Mercado C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF LEX

Ariel Morales Vasquez C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ana Carola Muñoz Würth Kim Costa du Rels

Jaime Muñoz-Reyes G. Corporative Law Bolivia Consultores Asociados

Pablo Ordonez

Ayoroa & Ordonez

Alejandro Peláez Kay INDACOCHEA & ASOCIADOS

Mariana Pereira Nava INDACOCHEA & ASOCIADOS

Orlando Pérez ELECTROPAZ S.A.

Carlos Pinto FERRERE ATTORNEYS

Oscar Antonio Plaza Ponte Sosa Entidad de Servicios De Información Enserbic S.A.

Patricio Rojas C.R. & F. Rojas, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Mariela Rojas de Hamel Entidad de Servicios De Información Enserbic S.A.

Sergio Salazar-Machicado Salazar, Salazar & Asociados, Soc. Civ.

Fernando Salazar-Paredes Salazar, Salazar & Asociados, Soc. Civ.

Sandra Salinas C.R. & F. ROJAS, MEMBER OF LEX

Mariela Sanchez Superintendencia De Bancos y Entidades Financieras

Rodolfo Raúl Sanjinés Elizagoyen Sanjinés & Asociados Soc. Civ. Abogados

Jorge Nelson Serrate Würth Kim Costa du Rels

Javier Urcullo Criales, Urcullo & Antezana

Ramiro Velasco Colegio de Ingenieros Electricistas y Electrónicos La Paz

Karla Würth Würth Kim Costa du Rels

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Samir Bajrović Law Office Femil Curt (part of DLA Piper Group)

Fedja Bicakcic KN Karanović & Nikolić

Dario Biščević DB Schenker

Petar Bosnić USAID Tax and Fiscal Project in BiH (TAF)

Mubera Brković PwC Bosnia and Herzegovina Stevan Dimitrijevic KN Karanović & Nikolić

Višnja Dizdarević Marić & Co Law Firm

Anel Droce Kebo & Guzin

Dina Duraković Morankić Law Office Durakovic

Stefan Dusanic KN Karanović & Nikolić

Entezam Dzubur Marić & Co Law Firm

Dzemila Gavrankapetanović BEKIR GAVRANKAPETANOVIĆ, KOLDŽO DAMIR AND KUKIĆ EMIR

Adis Gazibegović CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz d.o.o.

Azer Guzin Kebo & Guzin

Semir Guzin

Dulizara Hadzimustafic FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Senada Havić Hrenovica LRC Credit Bureau

Nusmir Huskić Huskic Law Office

Amra Isic Marić & Co Law Firm

Arela Jusufbasić-Goloman Lawyers Office Tkalcic-Dulic, Prebanic, Rizvic & Jusufbasic-Goloman

Lejla Kaknjo PKF International

Nedžada Kapidžić *Notary*

Miro Kebo Kebo & Guzin Damir Koldžo

BEKIR GAVRANKAPETANOVIĆ, KOLDŽO DAMIR AND KUKIĆ EMIR Anja Margetić CENTRAL BANK OF BOSNIA AND

HERZEGOVINA Branko Marić

MARIĆ & CO LAW FIRM Davorin Marinkovic KN KARANOVIĆ & NIKOLIĆ

Adnan Mataradžija MERFI, d.o.o. Društvo za reviziju Sarajevo

Sead Miljković Wolf Theiss D.O.O.

Monija Nogulic FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Mehmed Omeragić Coviek I prostor

Indir Osmić CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ D.O.O.

Đorđe Racković Central Bank of Bosnia and Herzegovina

Predrag Radovanović Marić & Co Law Firm

Alma Ramezić

PwC Bosnia and Herzegovina Nedžida Salihović-Whalen CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz d.o.o.

Hasib Salkić Jump Logistics D.O.O. Arjana Selimić JP Elektroprivreda BIH Podružnica Elektrodistribucija Sarajevo

Nihad Sijerčić KN Karanović & Nikolić

Maja Šimunac Wolf Theiss D.O.O.

Bojana Tkalčić-Djulić Lawyers Office Tkalcic-Dulic, Prebanic, Rizvic & Jusufbasic-Goloman

Vildana Uščuplić Wolf Theiss D.O.O.

Edin Zametica

DERK (STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY
COMMISSION)

BOTSWANA

COLLINS NEWMAN & CO.

Jeffrey Bookbinder Bookbinder Business Law

Ofentse Chifedi Ноуа Removals & Freight

Edward W. Fasholé-Luke II Luke & Associates

Snoeky Gobopaone Kebakile MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND HOME AFFAIRS

Godfrey Madanha Chocholoza Building Construction

Jonathan Maphepa

Finola McMahon Osei-Ofei Swabi & Co.

Tsametse Mmolai Botswana Stock Exchange

Neo Thelma Moatlhodi

Moilwa

ZISMO ENGINEERING (PTY) LTD.
Segametsi Mothibedi
GABORONE CITY COUNCIL

Mmatshipi Motsepe Manica Africa Pty. Ltd. Rajesh Narasimhan

GRANT THORNTON LLP
Buble Noube

LUKE & ASSOCIATES

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei
OSEI-OFEI SWABI & Co.

Chabo Peo
BOOKBINDER BUSINESS LAW

Anura Suren Perera PwC Botswana

Butler Phirie
PwC Botswana

Samuel Rathedi Ministry of Environment, Wildlife AND TOURISM

Claudio Rossi Sharps Electrical (Pty) Ltd. Daniel Swabi

OSEI-OFEI SWABI & Co.
Onkemetse Thomas
BOTSWANA STOCK EXCHANGE

Frederick Webb ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS

Sipho Ziga Armstrongs Attorneys

BRAZIL

ERNST & YOUNG

EXPERTNESS BRAZIL FREIGHT FORWARDING & CONSULTING LTDA.

Marina Agueda De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados Antônio Aires DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS Kleber Altale

MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE Advogados

Flávia Cristina Altério KLA-KOURY LOPES ADVOGADOS Lúcia Aragao

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Ubajara Arcas Dias

GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

Bruna Argento MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Bruno Balduccini PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS Priscyla Barbosa

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Júlio Henrique Batista GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Roberta Bessa MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE Advogados

Paula Bichuete RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Camila Biral DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Adriano Borges DE VIVO, WHITAKER, CASTRO E GONÇALVES ADVOGADOS

Carlos Braga Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch ADVOGADOS

Danilo Breve Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Anvoganos

Sérgio Bronstein VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Paulo Campana FELSBERG, PEDRETTI, MANNRICH E AIDAR ADVOGADOS E CONSULTORES

Renato Canizares DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Érika Carvalho Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Anvoganos

Ramon Castilho Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Anvoganos

Veridiana Celestino VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Chaves RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Clapis MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE

Ricardo E. Vieira Coelho PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Jarbas Contin PwC Brazil

Adriana Correa Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Anvoganos

Gilberto Deon Corrêa Junior VEIRANO ADVOGADOS PORTO ALEGRE

Bruno Costa Altenfelder Silva Mesquita GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA DE

LIMA ADVOGADOS

Bruno Henrique Coutinho de Aguiar RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Anderson Bispo da Silva GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Gabriela da Silva Brandão MINISTRY OF MINES AND ENERGY Gisela da Silva Freire

Adriana Daiuto DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

João Luis Ribeiro de Almeida DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Anderson Rivas de Almeida GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Rafael de Carvalho Passaro MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE Anvoganos

Rafael De Conti DE CONTI LAW OFFICE

Aldo de Cresci Neto GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

João Claudio De Luca De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados

Marcelo Viveiros de Moura PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Marília de Paula DE VIVO, WHITAKER, CASTRO E GONÇALVES ADVOGADOS

Andreza de Souza Ribeiro Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch ADVOGADOS

Nádia Demoliner Lacerda MESOLIITA BARROS ADVOGADOS MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Eduardo Depassier LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Ana Luisa Derenusson DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E Azevedo Advogados

José Ricardo dos Santos Luz lúnior Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e

TERRA ADVOGADO Brigida Melo e Cruz

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS João Paulo F.A. Fagundes RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Vanessa Felício VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Iara Ferfoglia Gomes Dias MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE Anvoganos

Raphael Fernandes da Silveira Polito

RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Alexsander Fernandes de Andrade

Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e TERRA ADVOGADOS

Oswaldo Fernandes Neto DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS

Isabelle Ferrarini Bueno VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Glaucia Ferreira DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

José Fidalgo DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS

Rafael Figueiredo Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Advogados

Guilherme Filardi De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados

MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Paulo Roberto Fogarolli Filho Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e TERRA ADVOGADOS

Clarissa Freitas MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Rafael Gagliardi DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Bruna Luiza Gambogi Bertozzi GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

Alessandra Ganz VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Thiago Giantomassi Medeiros DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Michelle Giraldi Lacerda PwC Brazil

Jorge Eduardo Gouvêa Vieira GOLIVÊA VIFIRA ADVOGADOS

Vanessa Grosso da Silveria Lardosa Gouvêa Vieira Advogados

Joao Mauricio Gumiero DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU

Eduardo Ferraz Guerra GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Enrique Hadad LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Ricardo Higashitani KLA-KOURY LOPES ADVOGADOS

Carlos Alberto Iacia PwC Brazil

Marcelo Inglez de Souza DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Fernando Koury Lopes KLA-Koury Lopes Advogados

Miguel Kreling PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Vilma Kutomi DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Sergio André Laclau

Flávio Lantelme Prefeitura da Cidade de Sao Paulo

Juliano Lazzarini Moretti LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

José Augusto Leal CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL, GOMES ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Leite Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch ADVOGADOS

Fernando Loeser LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Ricardo Loureiro SFRASA S.A.

Eduardo Luise Gonzalez Bronzatti PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Marina Maccabelli DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Tiago Machado Cortez KLA-KOURY LOPES ADVOGADOS

João Gabriel A. I. Clark Magon DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

Estêvão Mallet MALLET E ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Camila Mansur

LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS André Marques PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Laura Massetto Meyer PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Augusto Mattar PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Felipe Oliveira Mavignier GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

Marianne Mendes Webber Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch ADVOGADOS

Sarah Mila Barbassa Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Anvoganos

Renata Moreira Lima LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

Gustavo Morel VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Renata Morelli RAYES & FAGUINDES ADVOGADOS

Giorgia Nagalli Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Advogados

Cássio S. Namur Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

Marcelo Natale DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU

Diogo Nebias SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH Advogados

LEITE. TOSTO E BARROS

Walter Nimir DE VIVO, WHITAKER, CASTRO E GONCALVES ADVOGADOS

João Paulo Nogueira Barros Gouvêa Vieira Advogados

Flávio Pinto Nunes THYSSENKRUPP CSA SIDERURGICA DO ATI ANTICO

Daniel Oliveira Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

Evany Oliveira PwC Brazil

João Otávio Pinheiro Olivério CAMPOS MELLO ADVOGAD COOPERATION WITH DLA PIPER

Andréa Oricchio Kirsh CUNHA ORICCHIO RICCA LOPES Anvoganos

Gvedre Palma Carneiro de Oliveira Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

Eloisa Paulino Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Advogados

Rogerio Rabelo Peixoto BANCO CENTRAL DO BRASIL

Leila Pigozzi Alves De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS

Luanda Pinto Backheuser DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E Azevedo Advogados

Durval Portela LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Daniela Prieto VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Dario Rabay SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH

Eliane Ribeiro Gago Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e TERRA ADVOGADOS

Laura Ribeiro Vissotto 1º Cartório de Notas de São José DOS CAMPOS

Viviane Rodrigues Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Advogados

Cezar Roedel

Maristela Rossetti XAVIER BRAGANCA ADVOGADOS César Rossi Machado DEMAREST E ALMEIDA ADVOGADOS

RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Gustavo Rotta DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU

Luis Augusto Roux Azevedo DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS

José Samurai Saiani MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Sérgio Savi CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL, GOMES ADVOGADOS

Carolina Schreier KLA-KOURY LOPES ADVOGADOS

Sabine Schuttoff DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E Azevedo Advogados

Gabriel Seijo SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH Advogados

Donizetti A. Silva DAS CONSULTORIA

Beatriz Souza Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch ADVOGADOS

Walter Stuber WALTER STUBER CONSULTORIA JURÍDICA

Paula Surerus XAVIER BRAGANÇA ADVOGADOS

Rodrigo Takano MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE

Marcelo Tendolini Saciotto RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Milena Tesser RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Marcos Tiraboschi DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E Azevedo Advogados

Ivandro Trevelim Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

ADVOGADOS Priscila Trevisan RAYES & FAGLINDES ADVOGADOS

Luiz Fernando Valente De Paiva PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Ronaldo C. Veirano VFIRANO ADVOGADOS

Rafael Vitelli Depieri 1º CARTÓRIO DE NOTAS DE SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS

Karina Vlahos DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E Azevedo Advogados

José Carlos Wahle VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Eduardo Guimarães Wanderley

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS Thiago Wscieklica Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

Anvoganos Celso Xavier

Demarest e Almeida Advogados Karin Yamauti Hatanaka Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch

Carolina Zanolo MACHADO MEYER SENDACZ E OPICE Advogados

ADVOGADOS

Alessandra Zequi Salybe de Moura Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch **ADVOGADOS**

BRUNEI DARUSSALAM

HSE ENGINEERING SDN BHD

Ahmad Basuni Abbas

Hafizah Alkaff AKITEK SAA

Jonathan Cheok
CHEOK ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Robin Cheok
CHEOK ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Danny Chua

Brunei Transporting Company

Mohamad Daud Ismail
Daud Ismail and Company

Nur al-Ain Haji Abdullah Attorney General's Chambers

Saipol Hj Abd Razak Attorney General's Chambers

Zaleha Hj Mat Zain Attorney General's Chambers

Zuleana Kassim LEE CORPORATEHOUSE ASSOCIATES

Alice Khan Attorney General's Chambers

Cynthia Kong WIDDOWS KONG & ASSOCIATES

Kin Chee Lee

Lee Corporatehouse Associates
Lennon Lee

PwC SINGAPORE Christina Lim

CHEOK ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Kelvin Lim К. Lıм & Co.

Siew Yen Lim The Judicial Department

Colin Ong Dr. Colin Ong Legal Services

Pg Yusuf Pg Hj Mat Salleh ATTORNEY GENERAL'S CHAMBERS

Mariani Hj Sabtu Ministry of Industry and Primary Resources Building

Martin Sinnung Jr.
BRUNEI TRANSPORTING COMPANY

Shazali Sulaiman KPMG

Ting Tiu Pheng Arkitek Ting

Cecilia Wong
TRICOR (B) SDN BHD

BULGARIA

Svetlin Adrianov PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS

Ekaterina Aleksova PwC Bulgaria

Anton Andreev Schoenherr

Stefan Angelov V Consulting Bulgaria

Rusalena Angelova Djingov, Gouginski, Kyutchukov & Velichkov

Iva Baeva

Svetlana Balabanova

Ganka Belcheva
BELCHEVA & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE

Ilian Beslemeshki Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Plamen Borissov

Christopher Christov

Lyudmila Chulkova PENEV LLP

Maria Danailova
Danailova, Todorov and Partners
LAW FIRM

Kostadinka Deleva Gugushev & Partners

Alexandra Doytchinova

Silvia Dulevska Bulgarian National Bank

Daniela Dzabarova IKRP Rokas & Partners

Maria Endreva Dobrev, Kinkin & Lyutskanov

Slavcho Georgiev PENEV LLP

Atanas Georgiev Public Services OOD

Marieta Getcheva PwC Bulgaria

Matea Gospodinova

Diingov, Gouginski, Kyutchukov

& Velichkov

Ralitsa Gougleva

DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV

Stefan Gugushev Gugushev & Partners

Tatyana Hristova LEGALEX

Velyana Hristova PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS

Ginka Iskrova PwC Buigaria

Angel Kalaidjiev Kalaidjiev & Georgiev

Yavor Kambourov Kambourov & Partners

Irena Karpe Kambourov & Partners

Rositsa Kebedjieva PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS

Hristina Kirilova Kambourov & Partners

Diana Kiskinova

Nikolay Kolev Boyanov & Co.

Donko Kolev Raiffeisen Real Estate Ltd.

Ilya Komarevski Tsvetkova, Bebov and Partners

Hristiana Krivoshieva
ECONOMOU INTERNATIONAL SHIPPING
AGENCY LIMITED

Tsvetan Krumov

Stephan Kyutchukov

DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV

& VELICHKOV

Dessislava Lukarova Arsov Natchev Ganeva

Jordan Manahilov Burgarian National Bank

Dimitrinka Metodieva Gugushev & Partners

Slavi Mikinski

Blagomir Minov Tsvetkova, Bebov and Partners

Tzvetoslav Mitev Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Vladimir Natchev Arsov Natchev Ganeva Yordan Naydenov Boyanov & Co.

Neli Nedkova Wolf Theiss

Nedyalka Novakova Boyanov & Co.

Yulia Peev

REX CONSULTING LTD. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Lilia Pencheva Experian Bulgaria EAD

Sergey Penev PENEV LLP

Daniela Petkova Dobrev, Kinkin & Lyutskanov

Veselka Petrova Tsvetkova, Bebov and Partners

Gergana Popova Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Alexander Rangelov PwC Bulgaria Svetoslav Shterev

VIRTUS

Julian Spassov McGregor & Partners

Irina Stoeva

Stoeva, Kuyumdjieva & Vitliemov

Roman Stoyanov PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS

Margarita Stoyanova Kambourov & Partners

Vessela Tcherneva Yankova V Consulting Bulgaria

Yordan Terziev Arsov Natchev Ganeva

Aleksandrina Terziyska Gugushev & Partners

Kaloyan Todorov

Danailova, Todorov and Partners
I AW FIRM

Svilen Todorov Todorov & Doykova Law Firm

Lily Trifonova REX Consulting Ltd. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Georgi Tzvetkov Djingov, Gouginski, Kyutchukov

& VELICHKOV

Kamena Valcheva
Tsvetkova, Bebov and Partners

Miroslav Varnaliev Unimasters Logistics Plc.

Venzi Vassilev Rex Consulting Ltd. - member of Russell Bedford International

Marina Voynova Kalaidjiev & Georgiev

Katya Yurukova PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS

BURKINA FASO

Bolloré Africa Logistics

CABINET BENOÎT J. SAWADOGO

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

IFA AFRIQUE

Pierre Abadie Cabinet Pierre Abadie

Seydou Balama

SONABFI

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo BCEAO

ETUDE Maître Balama Seydou Joséphine Bassolet

Flora Josiane Bila SCPA YAGUIBOU & YANOGO Aimé Bonkoungou

Serge Damiba

Denis Dawende Office Notarial Me Jean Celestin Zoure

Moumouni Diarra

SONABEL Seydou Diarra

Jean Claude Gnamien PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Jean Bedel Gouba SONABEL

Karim Ilboudo CEFAC

Olé Alain KAM DEMBS ASSOCIATES SARL

Issaka Kargougou Maison de l'Entreprise du Burkina Faso

Barthélémy Kere Cabinet d'Avocats Barthélemy Kere

Gilbert Kibtonré

Clarisse Kienou Maison de l'Entreprise du Burkina Faso

Alain Gilbert Koala Ordre des Architectes du Burkina

Vincent Armand Kobiané

ARDI – ARCHITECTES CONSEILS

Moumouny Kopiho Cabinet d'Avocats Moumouny

Raphaël Kouraogo SONABEL

Ange Laure M'Pow

Adeline Messou PwC Côte d'Ivoire

KORIHO

Emmanuella Moulod
PwC Côte d'Ivoire

S. Al Nadia Cabinet d'Avocats Moumouny

Moussa Ouedraogo SCPA Yaguibou & Yanogo

Oumarou Ouedraogo Cabinet Ouedraogo

Roger Omer Ouédraogo Association Professionnelle des Transitaires & Commissionnaires en Douane Agrées

Alain Serge Paré Cabinet Yaguibou & Yanogo

Bénéwendé S. Sankara CABINET MAÎTRE SANKARA Hermann Lambert Sanon

GROUPE HAGE Adama Saouadogo ONFA

Dieudonné Sawadogo Cabinet d'Avocats Moumouny

Olga Tamini SCPA YAGUIBOU & YANOGO

Dieudonné Tapsoba Secrétariat Général du Ministère de L'Habitat et de L'Urbanisme

Dominique Taty
PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Moussa Traore Maison de l'Entreprise du Burkina Faso

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire Bouba Yaguibou SCPA YAGUIBOU & YANOGO

Emmanuel Yehouessi BCFAO

Francis Zagre SONABEL

K. Cyrille Zangre Cabinet d'Avocats Moumouny Kopiho

Bogore Zongo

CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES HUISSIERS DE JUSTICE DU BURKINA FASO

Sylvie Zongo Cabinet Pierre Abadie

BURUNDI

Joseph Bahizi Banque de la République du Burundi

Jean De Dieu Basabakwinshi

Mélance Bukera Burundi General Services

Ange Gakundwakazi
GPO Partners Burundi
correspondent firm of Deloitte

Gerard Handika GPO Partners Burundi CORRESPONDENT FIRM OF DELOITTE

Augustin Mabushi A & JN Mabushi Cabinet d'Avocats

René Claude Madebari Mkono & Co Advocates

Rodrigue Majambere Intercontact Services

Trust Manjengwah WINTERTONS LAW FIRM

Anatole Miburo
Cabinet Anatole Miburo

Ildephonse Nahimana
BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU

Patrick Ndayishimiye Albert Nderevimana

BURUNDI

Gregoire Nduwimana SDV Transami Burundi

Bonaventure Nicimpaye
INTERCONTACT SERVICES
Lambert Nigarura
MKONO & CO ADVOCATES

Charles Nihangaza Montfort Nininahazwe

SEACO
Gustave Niyonzima
MKONO & CO ADVOCATES

Prosper Niyoyankana Jean-Marie Niyubahwe

SÉNAT DU BURUNDI

Jocelyne Ntibangana Cabinet de Maître Ntibangana

Antoine Ntisigana SODETRA LTD. Happy Ntwari

MKONO & Co ADVOCATES

Patrick-Didier Nukuri

François Nyamoya

Avocat à La Cour

Gilbert L.P. Nyatanyi

MKONO & CO ADVOCATES

Déogratias Nzemba Avocat à la Cour

Willy Rubeya Rubeya & Co - Advocates Benjamin Rufagari GPÓ PARTNERS BURUNDI CORRESPONDENT FIRM OF DELOITTE

Thierry Rujerwaka LABORATOIRE NATIONAL DU BÂTIMENT ET DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS (LNBTP)

Isaac Rwankineza ENTREPRISE BTCE

Fabien Segatwa ETUDE ME SEGATWA

Gabriel Sinarinzi CABINET ME GABRIEL SINARINZI

Audace Sunzu REGIDESO

Fgide Uwimana TRIBUNAL DU TRAVAIL DE BUJUMBURA

CAMBODIA

CAMBODIA COMMERCIAL BANK

FRAST & YOUNG Chanmalise Bun

Kosal Chan

ACLEDA BANK PLC. Kearath Chan

LINEHAUL EXPRESS (CAMBODIA) Co., LTD.

Phanin Cheam MUNICIPALITY OF PHNOM PENH BUREAU OF URBAN AFFAIRS

Rithy Chey

Sokcheng Chou ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION

Susanna Coghlan AAA CAMBODIA I TD.

Antoine Fontaine RIIN & ASSOCIATES

Leanghor Hak LINEHAUL EXPRESS (CAMBODIA) Co., LTD.

Hour Narvth Hem BNG LEGAL

Sokpheaneath Huon CAMBODIAN FEDERATION OF EMPLOYERS AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS

CBD PARTNER & CONSULTANCY

Sophealeak Ing BUN & ASSOCIATES

ELECTRICITE DU CAMBODGE

Phoung Wattey Kemnay BNG LEGAL

Chhorpornpisey Keo ACLEDA BANK PLC

Sambath Kheang ACLEDA BANK PLC.

Vansok Khem ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION

Y Manou BNG LEGAL

Sokvirak Pheang

Thea Pheng BNG I FGAI

Sotheaphal Pho SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES

Matthew Rendall Sciaroni & Associates

Sovan Sa ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Muny Samreth PwC Cambodia

Chanthy Sin LINEHAUL EXPRESS (CAMBODIA) Co., LTD.

Chea Sinhel ELECTRICITE DU CAMBODGE

Vannarith Siv B.N.G. - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Billie Jean Slott SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES

ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION

Chamnan Som CAMBODIAN FEDERATION OF FMPLOYERS AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS

Ny Som SDV Logistics

Sinoun Sous

ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION Vannaroth Sovann

BNG I FGAL

Ousaphea Suos ACLEDA BANK PLC.

Rathvisal Thara BNG I FGAL

Sopymakara Thong Arbitration Council Foundation

Heng Thy PWC CAMBODIA Janvibol Tip

TIP & PARTNERS Sokhan Uch

ACLEDA BANK PLC. Bun Youdy

Bun & Associates

Potim Yun DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

CAMEROON

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

Armelle Silvana Abel (epse) Piskopanis LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Roland Abeng THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Rosine Pauline Amboa LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Armand Atono AFS SONEL

Caroline Barla CERCRÉA

Thomas Didier Remy Batoumbouck CADIRE

Pierre Bertin Simbafo BICEC

David Boyo Boyo & PATIMARK LLP

Olivier Buquet

BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS Joseph Dibabo BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Anne Marie Diboundje Njocke CABINET DIBOUNDJE NJOCKE & Associés

Paul Marie Djamen Mobile Telephone Networks Cameroon (MTN)

Tognia Djanko CABINET TOGNIA ET ASSOCIES

Aurélien Djengue Kotte CABINET EKOBO

Laurent Dongmo JING & PARTNERS

Régine Dooh Collins ETUDE ME RÉGINE DOOH COLLINS Hyacinthe Clément Fansi SCP NGASSAM NIIKE & ASSOCIES

Oréol Marcel Fetue

NIMBA CONSEIL SARL

Isabelle Fomukong Cabinet d'Avocats Fomukong

Georges Fopa GIFA

Philippe Fouda Fouda REAC CAMEROON

Nicaise Ibohn THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Samuel lyug lyug GROUPEMENT DES ENTREPRISES DE Frêt et Messagerie du Cameroun (GEFMCAM)

Paul T. Jing JING & PARTNERS

Eugène Romeo Kengne Sikadi NIMBA CONSEIL SARL

Michel Kangmeni CABINET AUDITEC-FOIRIER

Julienne Kengue Piam NIMBA CONSEIL SARL

Jean Aime Kounga Cabinet d'Avocats Abeng Roland

Merlin Arsene Kouogang CABINET D'AVOCATS FOMUKONG

Emmanuel Loga BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Thyerine Divine Masso Siche BOYO & PATIMARK LLP

Alain Serges Mbebi CADIRE

Augustin Yves Mbock Keked CADIRE

Martial Mbongue Mpallawoh Patrick Menyeng Manga

THE ABENG LAW FIRM Jules Minamo

Nitua Tahot Moliki

LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Marie Agathe Ndeme

Bernard Ngaibe THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Virgile Ngassam Njiké SCP NGASSAM NJIKE & ASSOCIES

Francine Ngninkeu Yonda ATANGA LAW OFFICE

Marie-Andrée Ngwe CABINET MAÎTRE MARIE ANDRÉE NGWE

Joel Penda THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Olivier Priso VILLE DE DOUALA COMMUNAUTÉ Urbaine de Douala

Sylvester Qui ROYO & PATIMARK IIP

Noupoue Ngaffa Richard LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Abane Stanley
THE ABENG LAW FIRM

Willy Ndie Tadmi LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Walson Emmanuel Tanwie ATANGA LAW OFFICE Magloire Tchande PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &

LEGAL SARI Pierre Morgant Tchuikwa CADIRE

Nadine Tinen PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL

Duga Titanji DUGA & Co. LAW FIRM

Tamfu Ngarka Tristel Richard LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM

Eliane Yomsi KARVAN FINANCE

Philippe Zouna PwC CAMEROUN

CANADA

FRASER EXPORT TORONTO HYDRO

TRANSLINION CANADA

Saad Ahmad BLAKES, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP, MEMBER OF LEX MUND

David Bish TORYS LLP

Ann Borooah TORONTO CITY HALL

Colin L. Campbell SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE OF ONTARIO

Sally Chieng THOMPSON, AHERN & Co. LTD.

Adrian Cochrane BLAKES, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP, MEMBER OF LEX MUND

John Craig HEENAN BLAIKIE LLP, MEMBER OF IUS

Rod Davidge OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

Isabelle Foley
Corporations Canada

Paul Gasparatto

McMillan LLP

ONTARIO ÉNERGY ROARD Marlow Gereluk

NORTON ROSE CANADA LLP Yoine Goldstein

Pamela S. Hughes BLAKES, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Armando Jannuzzi KESTENBERG RABINOWICZ PARTNERS LLP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

INTERNATIONAL Gloria Kim PWC CANADA

INTERNATIONAL

Harris Kligman KESTENBERG RABINOWICZ PARTNERS LLP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

Joshua Kochath COMAGE CONTAINER LINES

Christopher Kong PWC CANADA

Jay Lefton BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

FIRST CANADIAN TITLE Terry McCann MIG ENTERPRISES I TO

Susan Leslie

William McCarthy FIRST CANADIAN TITLE

Dave McKechnie McMILLAN LLP Patricia Meehan

PWC CANADA William Northcote SHIBLEY RIGHTON LI P

Alfred Page BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP Eric Paton PWC CANADA

Antonin Pribetic STEINBERG MORTON HOPE & ISRAEL IIP

Thomas Provost McMillan LLP

Bruce Reynolds BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

Damian Rigolo Tony Rodrigues SDV Logistics

Gaynor Roger SHIRLEY RIGHTON LLP

Nicholas Scheib McMILLAN LLE

Lincoln Schreiner PWC CANADA

Elliot Smith OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

Shane Todd

HEENAN BLAIKIE LLP, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Dmitry Uduman PWC CANADA

Randal S. Van de Mosselaer NORTON ROSE CANADA LLP

Sharon Vogel BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

George Waggot McMILLAN LLE Andrea White SHIBLEY RIGHTON LLP

CAPE VERDE

David Almada D. HOPFFER ALMADA & ASSOCIADOS

Bruno Andrade Alves PWC PORTLIGAL

Salete Alves SAMP - Sociedades de Advogados

Quilda Domingas Andrade Canto AUDITEC - Auditores & Consultores

Ioana Andrade Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Denise Barreto

Liver Canuto PwC Portugal Ana Catarina Carnaz

PwC Portugal Ana Raquel Costa PwC Portugal

Ilídio Cruz ILIDIO CRUZ & ASSOCIADOS-

SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS RL Manuel de Pina SAMP - SOCIEDADES DE ADVOGADOS

Victor Adolfo de Pinto Osório John Duggan

PwC Portugal Sofia Ferreira Enriquez RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Florentino Jorge Fonseca Jesus

João Gomes D. HOPFFER ALMADA & ASSOCIADOS

António Gonçalves

Francisco Guimarães Melo PWC PORTUGAL

Julio Martins Junior Raposo Bernardo & Associados

João Medina
NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT &
ASSOCIADOS

Francisco Guimarães Melo PwC Portugal

Ana Pinto Morais PwC Portugal

Catarina Nunes PwC Portugal

João Pereira

José Manuel Pinto Monteiro Advogados & Jurisconsultos

Nelson Raposo Bernardo Raposo Bernardo & Associados

Armando J.F. Rodrigues PwC CAPE VERDE

Aguinaldo Rosario

José Rui de Sena Agência de Despacho aduaneiro Ferreira e Sena Lda

Henrique Semedo Borges LAW FIRM SEMEDO BORGES

Luís Filipe Sousa PwC Portugal

José Spinola FPS

Frantz Tavares
INOVF - Consultores Empresariais

Mario Alberto Tavares Municipality of Praia

Tereza Teixeira B. Amado Amado & Medina Advogadas

Liza Helena Vaz PwC Portugal

Leendert Verschoor PwC Portugal

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

SDV Logistics

Jean Christophe Bakossa L'ORDRE CENTRAFICAIN DES ARCHITECTES

Paul Bangonalia Guichet Unique de Formalités des Entreprises (GUFE)

Jean-Noël Bangue Cour de Cassation de Bangui

Blaise Banguitoumba
ENERCA (ENERGIE CENTRAFRICAINE)

Maurice Dibert- Dollet Ministère de la Justice

Désiré Blaise Dinguita GUICHET UNIQUE DE FORMALITÉS DES ENTREPRISES (GUFE)

Christiane Doraz-Serefessenet
CABINET NOTAIRE DORAZ-SEREFESSENET

Emile Doraz-Serefessenet
CABINET NOTAIRE DORAZ-SEREFESSENET

Jean-Pierre Douzima Agence Centrafricaine pour la Formation Professionnelle et L'Emploi (ACFPE)

Marie-Edith Douzima-Lawson Cabinet Douzima et Ministère de la FONCTION PUBLIQUE

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON

Dolly Gotilogue Avocate à la Cour

Cyr Gregbanda BAMELEC Marious Guibaut Metongo Transimex Centrafrioue

Jean Paul Maradas Nado Ministère de l'Urbanisme

Mauricette Monthe-Psimhis CABINET D'AVOCATS & JURISTES ASSOCIÉS

Yves Namkomokoina Tribunal de Commerce de Bangui

Jacob Ngaya Ministère des Finances - Direction Générale des Impôts et des

GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS ET DES DOMAINES François Sabegala

GUICHET UNIQUE DE FORMALITÉS DES ENTREPRISES (GUFE) Ghislain Samba Mokamanede

BAMELEC
Nicolas Tiangaye

NICOLAS TIANGAYE LAW FIRM

Salifou Yende Transimex Centrafrique

Jonas Zonaita Guichet Unique de Formalités des Entreprises (GUFE)

CHAD

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

Dana Abdelkader Waya Cabinet Notarial Bongoro

Adoum Daoud Adoum Haroun SCGADA ET FILS

Abdelkerim Ahmat SDV Logistics

Théophile B. Bongoro Cabinet Notarial Bongoro

Oscar d'Estaing Deffosso PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL

Thomas Dingamgoto
CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO

Nadmian Dionmian Cabinet d'avocats Diaibe et associés

Ernest Djagba Balandi BEAC - CHAD

Mahamat Ousman Djidda Architectural

N'Doningar Djimasna FACULTÉ DE DROIT, UNIVERSITÉ DE N'DJAMENA

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON

Delphine K. Djiraibe Avocate à la Cour

Francis Kadjilembaye CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO

Gérard Leclaire Architectural

Béchir Madet Office Notarial

Hayatte N'Djiaye Profession Libérale

Issa Ngarmbassa

ETUDE ME ISSA NGAR MBASSA Tchouafiene Pandare CABINET NOTARIAL BONGORO

Gilles Schwarz

Ahmat Senoussi Architectural

Nadine Tinen PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL

Massiel Toudjoum Melyoel Office Notariai

Masrangue Trahogra CABINET D'AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS Issouf Traore IMPERIAL TOBACCO

Abdoulaye Yacouba

Sobdibé Zoua Cabinet Sobdibe Zoua

CHILE

Leticia Acosta Aguirre REDLINES GROUP

Alejandra Anguita Avaria Superintendencia de Quiebras

Josefina Montenegro Araneda Superintendencia de Quiebras

Luis Avello PwC CHILE

Angeles Barría PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER, ABOGADOS LTDA

Enrique Benitez Urrutia URRUTIA & CÍA

Jorge Benitez Urrutia Urrutia & Cía

Carolina Benito Kelly Núñez Muñoz & Cía LTDA. Abogados

Mario Bezanilla ALCAÍNO RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS

Manuel Brunet Bofill CÁMARA CHILENA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN

Rodrigo Cabrera Ortiz

Javier Carrasco Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda. Abogados

Héctor Carrasco Superintendencia de Bancos y Instituciones Financieras Chile

Paola Casorzo Philippi, Yrarrazaval, Pulido & Brunner, Abogados Ltda

Andrés Chirgwin

María Alejandra Corvalán Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle, Goldenberg, Lagos & Silva

Francisco della Maggiora URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR

Fernando Echeverria Cámara Chilena de la Construcción

Alejandro Eliash Cámara Chilena de la Construcción

Claudia Paz Escobar Chirgwin Recart

Cristián S. Eyzaguirre Eyzaguirre & Cía.

Maria Teresa Fernandez Ванамоndez, Alvarez & Zegers

Nicolás García Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda. Abogados

Cristian Garcia-Huidobro Boletin Comercial

Raúl Gómez Yáñez Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr

Eugenio Gonzalez Philippi, Yrarrazaval, Pulido & Brunner, Abogados Ltda

José Gutiérrez PwC CHILE

Sofía Haupt Alessandri & Compañía

Cristian Hermansen Rebolledo ACTIC Consultores Jorge Hirmas Albagli Zaliasnik Abogados

Javier Hurtado Cámara Chilena de la Construcción

Fernando Jamarne ALESSANDRI & COMPAÑÍA

Michel Laurie PwC CHILE

Jose Luis Letelier Cariola Diez Perez-Copatos & Cia

Macarena Letelier Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr

María Esther López Di Rubba FISCALÍA BANCO DE CHILE Gianfranco Lotito

CLARO & CÍA., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Carolina Masihy

Consuelo Maze Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda. Abogados

CARFY Y CÍA I TOA

Ignacio Mehech Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda. Arogados

Pablo Menchaca CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COPATOS & CIA

Enrique Munita PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER, ABOGADOS LTDA

Rodrigo Muñoz Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda. Arogados

Cristian Olavarria PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER, ABOGADOS LTDA

Alberto Oltra

DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Sergio Orrego Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr

Felipe Ossa CLARO & CÍA., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Gerardo Ovalle Mahns

Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle, Goldenberg, Lagos & Silva Luis Parada Hoyl

BAHAMONDEZ, ALVAREZ & ZEGERS
Pablo Paredes
ALBAGLI ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS

Gonzalo Paredes Núñez Muñoz & Cía Ltda.

Miguel Pavez B.

RUSSELL BEDFORD CHILE - MEMBER OF
RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Carmen Paz Cruz Lozano

Daniela Peña Fergadiott BARROS & ERRÁZURIZ

Alberto Pulido A.
PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO &
BRUNNER. ABOGADOS I TDA

Felipe Rencoret Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr

Gonzalo Rencoret Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr Ursula Retamal Marquez

Superintendencia de Quiebras Alfonso Reymond Larrain

CHADWICK & ALDUNATE ABOGADOS

Sebastián Riesco

FYZAGLIRRE & CÍA

Constanza Rodriguez PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER, ABOGADOS LTDA

Edmundo Rojas García Conservador de Bienes Raíces y Comercio de Santiago Nelson Contador Rosales Nelson Contador y Cia. Abogados

Alvaro Rosenblut Albagli Zaliasnik Abogados

Marco Salgado Alcaíno Rodríguez Abogados

Andrés Sanfuentes PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER, ABOGADOS LTDA

Francisco Selamé

Cristián Sepúlveda Barros & Errázuriz

Marcela Silva PHILIPPI, YRARRAZAVAL, PULIDO & BRUNNER. ABOGADOS I TDA

Luis Fernando Silva Ibañez Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle, Goldenberg, Lagos & Silva

Alan Smith Agencia de Aduana Smith y Cia.

LTDA.

Grethel Soler

Núñez Muñoz & Cía LTDA.

Abogados Victor Tavera

CHII FCTRA

Ricardo Tisi L.

CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COPATOS & CIA

Esteban Tomic Errázuriz Cruz & Cia. Abogados

Carlos Torres REDLINES GROUP

Salvador Valdes Carey y Cía LTDA. Sebastián Valdivieso

Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle, Goldenberg, Lagos & Silva Matias Varas Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle,

GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA Nicolás Velasco Jenschke

Superintendencia de Quiebras

Urenda, Rencoret, Orrego y Dörr Kenneth Werner Agencia de Aduana Jorge Vio y

Cia. LTDA Arturo Yrarrázaval Covarrubias Yrarrázaval, Ruiz-Tagle,

GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA
Jean Paul Zalaquett
CHILECTRA

Matías Zegers Bahamondez, Alvarez & Zegers

CHINA

Jorge Vial

Bjarne Bauer Sofia Group

Russell Brown LEHMANBROWN Daniel Chan

DLA PIPER HONG KONG Rico Chan

Baker & McKenzie

Donald Chen

Ningbo Sunsea Apparel

Elliott Youchun Chen Jun Ze Jun Law Offices

Grace Cheng
Capitallaw & Partners

Jie Chen Jun He Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Weili Ding Jun He Law Office, member of Lex Mundi Zhitong Ding Credit Reference Center of People's Bank of China

Yu Du MMLC Group

Wei Gao BEIJING V&T LAW FIRM Alexander Gong BAKER & MCKENZIE

Joanna Guo Zhong Lun Law Firm

William He Zhong Lun Law Firm

Vivian Ho BAKER & McKenzie

Jing Hu Noronha Advogados

Jinquan Hu King & Wood Mallesons Lawyers

Brenda Jiang Ningbo Sunsea Apparel

liao liao

Jun He Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Audry Li Zhong Lun Law Firm

Mark Li Zhong Lun Law Firm

Qing Li Jun He Law Office, member of Lex

Mundi Jane Liang

King & Wood Mallesons Lawyers
Grace Liu

Hua-Ander CPAs - member of Russell Bedford International

Zhiqiang Liu King & Wood Mallesons Lawyers

Lucy Lu King & Wood Mallesons Lawyers

Jun He Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Matthew Mui PwC CHINA

Matthew Murphy MMLC GROUP

Lei Niu Zhong Lun Law Firm

Andrea Ren Mayer Brown JSM

Jane Ren Zhong Lun Law Firm

Mark Rockwood NICOBAR GROUP Stephen Rynhart

JONES LANG LASALLE
Han Shen

Davis Polk & Wardwell

Jessie Tang GLOBAL STAR LOGISTICS (CHINA) Co., LTD.

Terence Tung Mayer Brown JSM

Fenghe Wang Dacheng Law Offices

Guoqi Wang Hua-Ander CPAs - member of Russell Bedford International

Jinghua Wang Jun He Law Office, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Thomas Wang JoinWay Lawfirm

Xiaolei Wang Credit Reference Center of People's BANK OF CHINA Max Wong
JONES LANG LASALLE
Anthea Wong

Kent Woo

Guangda Law Firm Bruce Wu

JIANGSU HONGTENG FOOD Co., LTD. Christina Wu

Capitallaw & Partners
Tony Wu

JoinWay Lawfirm Changrong Xu Baker Botts LLP

Meng Xu BEIJING V&T LAW FIRM

Hua Xuan MMLC Group

Flora Yang
BAKER & McKenzie
Ricky Yiu
BAKER & McKenzie

Hai Yong BAKER & McKENZIE

Natalie Yu Shu Jin Law Firm

Xia Yu MMLC Group

Jianan Yuan Jun He Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Sarah Zhang Hogan Lovells

Yi Zhang King & Wood Mallesons Lawyers

Johnny Zhao Shanghai Wealth Finance Consulting Ltd.

Alina Zhu Zhong Lun Law Firm

Judy Zhu Mayer Brown JSM

Roy Zhu Zhong Lun Law Firm

Viviane Zhu

Dacheng Law Offices

Roy Zou Hogan Lovells

COLOMBIA

EINCE LTDA.

Notaría 13 de Bogotá Notaría 41 de Bogotá

Enrique Alvarez Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Napoleon Alvarez Colegio de Registradores de Instrumentos Públicos de Colombia

Jaime Mauricio Angulo Sanchez Computec - DataCrédito

Alexandra Arbeláez Cardona RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

María Alejandra Arboleda Posse Herrera & Ruiz

Fabio Ardila Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados

Jorge Mauricio Arenas Sanchez CODENSA S.A. ESP

Juan Sebastián Arias Brigard & Urrutia, Member of Lex Mundi

Jose Luis Ariza Vargas SUPERINTENDENCE OF NOTARIES AND REGISTRIES Bernardo Avila Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Catherine Baena
CAVELIER ABOGADOS

Luis Alfredo Barragán Brigard & Urrutia, member of Lex Mundi

Aurora Barroso Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Martha Bonett

Gloria María Borrero Restrepo Corporación Excelencia en la Justicia

Leonardo Calderón Perdomo Colegio de Registradores de Instrumentos Públicos de Colombia

Carolina Camacho Posse Herrera & Ruiz

Claudia Marcela Camargo Arias PwC COLOMBIA

Pablo Cárdenas Brigard & Urrutia, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Erick Camilo Castellanos Reyes Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Felipe Cuberos PRIETO & CARRIZOSA S.A. Maria Cristina Cuestas

DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Andrés de la Rosa Cavelier Abogados

María Alejandra de los Ríos Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Lorena Diaz Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Juliana Duque Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Jairo Flechas GENELEC LTDA.

Carlos Fradique-Méndez Brigard & Urrutia, member of Lex Mundi

Luis Hernando Gallo Medina GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS

Natalia García Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Yamile Andrea Gómez Productos Stahl de Colombia S.A.

Francisco González Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S. Santiago Gutiérrez

Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.
Mónica Hernández

Prieto & Carrizosa S.A.

Jhovanna Jiménez Brigard & Urrutia, member of Lex Mundi

Carlos Mario Lafaurie Escorce PwC Colombia

Jorge Lara-Urbaneja

Alejandro Linares-Cantillo Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados S.A.

Eduardo Mantilla-Serrano
M&M TRADE AND LAW

María Fernanda Martínez CAVELIER ABOGADOS

David Mejía Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co. Luis Mendoza

Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co. Catalina Menjura Posse Herrera & Ruiz Ricardo Molano Posse Herrera & Ruiz

Luis Gabriel Morcillo-Méndez Brigard & Urrutia, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Juan Carlos Moreno Peralta RODRIGUEZ RETAMOSO & ASSOCIATES

Francisco Javier Morón López Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Enrique Jose Nates Guerra Superintendence of Notaries and REGISTRIES

María Neira Tobón Holguín, Neira & Pombo Abogados

Tonia Orozco Brigard & Urrutia, member of Lex Mundi

Adriana Carolina Ospina Jiménez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Alvaro Parra Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Silvia Patiño Cavelier Abogados

Mónica Pedroza Garcés Corporación Excelencia en la Justicia

Juan Sebastián Peredo Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Carolina Posada Posse Herrera & Ruiz

Raul Quevedo Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co.

Irma Isabel Rivera Brigard & Urrutia, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Bernardo Rodriguez Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

Maria Andrea Rodriguez

ABC CARGO LOGISTICS S.A.

Maria Isabel Rodriguez

Posse Herrera & Ruiz Henry Javier Rodriguez Jiménez

CAVELIER ABOGADOS
Liliana Maria Rodriguez
Retamoso

RODRIGUEZ RETAMOSO & ASSOCIATES

Daniel Rothstein

Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.
Paula Samper Salazar
Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados

Nadia Sánchez

Edna Sarmiento
CAVELIER ABOGADOS

SA

Pablo Sierra Posse Herrera & Ruiz

Carlos Silva Cavelier Abogados

Carlos Arturo Silva Burbano CAVELIER ABOGADOS

Paola Spada Corporación Excelencia en la Justicia

Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila Diana Talero

SUPERINTENDENCY OF CORPORATION

Jose Alejandro Torres

POSSE HERRERA & RUIZ

Angela Carolina Vaca PwC Colombia

Patricia Vergara Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados S.A. Daniela Vergel Cárdenas & Cárdenas

Adriana Zapata Cavelier Abogados

Alberto Zuleta Cárdenas & Cárdenas

Diana Zuleta Parra, Rodríguez & Cavelier S.A.S.

COMOROS

Chabani Abdallah Halifa GROUPE HASSANATI SOILIHI - GROUPE HASOII

Said Ahmed Aboudou

Hilmy Aboudsaid Comores Cargo International

Abdillahe Ahamed Ahamada Direction Générale des Impôts

Yassian Ahamed Direction de l'Energie

Bahassani Ahmed Cabinet d'Avocat Bahassani

Harimia Ahmed Ali Cabinet Me Harimia

Mbaraka Al Ibrahim Service de l' Urbanisme Comoros

Omar Said Allaoui E.C.D.I.

Mouzaoui Amroine Organisation Patronale des Comores

Moustoifa Assoumani Etude Maître Chouzour Loutfi

Zahara Assoumani Etude de Maîtres Binti Oumouri et Zahara Assoumani

Said Ali Said Athouman
UNION OF THE CHAMBER OF

Issilam Bambi

APPRO BUILD

Ali Mohamed Choibou

ETUDE MAÎTRE CHOIBOU

Remy Grondin
VITOGAZ COMORES

Ali Hadidi Hanima ETUDE MAÎTRE CHOIBOU

Elvachourtu Ali Hila

Adili Hassani ELECTRICITÉ ET EAU DES COMORES

CONSEIL EN FINANCE

Haroussi Idrissa

TRIBUNAL DE PREMIÈRE INSTANCE DE

Moroni Youssouf Ismael

DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPOTS Said Bacar Kaab

Préfecture Moroni Faouzi Mohamed Lakj Tribunal de Commerce Comoros

Chouzour Loutfi ETUDE Maître CHOUZOUR LOUTFI

Abdillah Maoulana Customs Comoros Mohamed Mbechezi

CODETRANS
Abdoulbastoi Moudjahidi

CLUB OHADA COMORES
Farahati Moussa
ORGANISATION PATRONALE DES
COMORES

Ibrahim A. Mzimba

Binti Oumouri Etude de Maitres Binti Oumouri et Zahara Assolimani Mohamed Youssouf
ETUDE Maître ABDOURAZAK

CONGO, DEM. REP.

CABINET D'ARCHITECTE MARC PERAZZONE

SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SNFL)

Michel Alenda KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS

Boniface Baluti
CABINET NTOTO

Romain Battajon CABINET BATTAJON Prince Bintene

CABINET MASAMBA Jean Adolphe Bitenu ANAPI

Guillaume Bononge Litobaka

Deo Bukayafwa MBM Conseil

Nicaise Chikuru Munyiogwarha Chikuru & Associés

Jean-Paul Dambana

Nanan Diasivi Cabinet Irénée Falanka

Andy Diata

Prosper Djuma Bilali

Irénée Falanka Cabinet Irénée Falanka

Patrick Gérenthon SDV Logistics

Ida Jiazet Klam & Partners Avocats

Emery Kalamba

Vincent Kangulumba Mbambi André & Vincent Avocats Associés

Benoit Kapila SDV Logistics

Robert Katambu Cabinet Robert Katambu & Associés

Arly Khuty Avocat

Dolores Sonia Kimpwene Cabinet Madudu Sulubika

Phistian Kubangusu Makiese Cabinet Masamba

Emmanuel Le Bras

Jean-Délphin Lokonde Mvulukunda Cabinet Masamba

Francis Lugunda Lubamba CABINET LUKOMBE & LES AVOCATS

Serge Mwankana Lulu

Vital Lwanga Bizanbila CABINET VITAL LWANGA

Aubin Mabanza
KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS

Béatrice Mabanza KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS

Roger Masamba Makela

CABINET MASAMBA

Cyril Emery Masiala

CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA

Tanayi Mbuy-Mbiye CABINET MBUY-MBIYE & ASSOCIÉS

Karine Milandu Mia Vamosi CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA Marie-Thérèse Moanda KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS

Didier Mopiti MBM Conseil

Gérard Mosolo MBM Conseil

Louman Mpoy Mpoy Louman & Associés

Emery Mukendi Wafwana Cabinet Emery Mukendi Wafwana & Associés

Freddy Mulamba Senene Cabinet Munkindii

Hilaire Mumvudi Mulangi Ministère de l'Urbanisme et de l'Habitat

Jacques Munday Cabinet Ntoto

Ilunga Israel Ndambi S.I.E.C. SPRL

Anthony Nkinzo PwC

Victorine Bibiche Nsimba Kilembe Barreau de Kinshasa/Matete

Jean Thomas Ntelu Cabinet Irénée Falanka

Marcel Ntoto

Leon Nzimbi
PwC Congo (Democratic Republic

Otton Oligo Mbelia Kanalia ANAPI

Abdoulaye G. Ouane KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS

Jean-Louis Paquet
ATELIER D' ARCHITECTURE

Destin Pelete DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Christie Madudu Sulubika CABINET MADUDU SULUBIKA

Sylvie Tshilanda Kabongo Cabinet Madudu Sulubika

Nadine Mundala Walo CABINET MADUDU SULUBIKA

CONGO, REP.

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

SNE (SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ELECTRICITÉ)

Patrice Bazolo PwC

PwC Prosper Bizitou

PwC Antoine Bokolo Joue

CAP ARCHITECTS

Morin Boris Transporter

Claude Coelho
CABINET D'AVOCATS CLAUDE COELHO

Mohammad Daoudou

Mathias Essereke CABINET D'AVOCATS MATHIAS

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON

Gaston Gapo Atelier d'Architecture et d'Urbanisme

François Grimaud

Moise Kokolo

Pascal Kouo Sogeco - ETDE Congo

Emmanuel Le Bras PwC

Salomon Louboula ETUDE NOTARIALE LOUBOULA

Jean Prosper Mabassi Ordre National des Avocats du Congo Barreau de Brazzaville

Zahour Mbemba

Jean Paul Moliso Samba SOCAB

Robert Ngabou CAP ARCHITECTS

Regina Nicole Okandza Yoka Direction Générale des Impôts

Armand Robert Okoko Cabinet Armand Robert Okoko

Alpha Zingamoko

COSTA RICA

Aisha Acuña Lexincore Costa Rica

Gloriana Alvarado Pacheco Coto

Arnoldo André Andre Tinoco Abogados

Alejandro Antillon

Carlos Araya

QUIROS ABOGADOS CENTRAL LAW

Carlos Arias Oller Abogados

Luis Diego Barahona PwC Costa Rica

Carlos Barrantes

Ignacio Beirute Quiros Abogados Central Law

Alejandro Bettoni Traube
Doninelli & Doninelli - Asesores
Jurípicos Asociados

Michael Bruce ACZALAW

Oswald Bruce ACZALAW

Eduardo Calderón-Odio BLP Abogados

Adriana Castro BLP ABOGADOS

Luis Manuel Castro BLP Abogados

Silvia Chacon
ALFREDO FOURNIER & ASOCIADOS

Roberto Esquivel

OLLER ABOGADOS Freddy Fachler

Pacheco Coto Elizabeth Fallas

Elizabeth Fallas Quiros Abogados Central Law

Irene Fernández LEX Counsel

Neftali Garro

Miguel Golcher Valverde Colegio de Ingenieros Electricistas, Mecánicos e Industriales

Andrea González BLP ABOGADOS David Gutierrez Jorge Guzmán LEX COUNSEL Roy Guzman Ramirez Compañía Nacional de Fuerza

Milena Hidalgo TELETEC S.A.

Randall Zamora Hidalgo Costa Rica ABC

Ernesto Hütt Crespo Facio & Cañas, member of Lex Mundi

Anneth Jimenez BLP Abogados

Vivian Jiménez Oller Abogados

Elvis Eduardo Jiménez Gutiérrez SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS

Margarita Libby Hernandez Margarita Libby y Asociados S.A.

Carlos Marin Castro Ministerio de Comercio Exterior

Ivannia Méndez Rodríguez OLLER ABOGADOS

Gabriela Miranda Oller Abogados

Jaime Molina PROYECTOS ICC S.A.

Jorge Montenegro SCGMT ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO

Eduardo Montoya Solano Superintendencia General de Entidades Financieras

Cecilia Naranjo LEX Counsel

Tomas Nassar Pacheco Coto Olman Núñez Teletec S A

Sergio Pérez Lexincorp Costa Rica

Mainor Quesada TELETEC S.A.

Mauricio Quiros Quiros Abogados Central Law

Rafael Quiros Quiros Abogados Central Law

Ana Quiros Vaglio TransUnion

Ricardo Rodriguez Quiros Abogados Central Law

Manrique Rojas LEXINCORP COSTA RICA

Miguel Ruiz Herrera LEX Counsel

Jose Luis Salinas SCGMT ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO Luis Sánchez

FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Fernando Sanchez Castillo

Russell Bedford Costa Rica / ABBQ Consultores, S.A. - member of Russell Bedford International

Luis Sibaja LEX COUNSEL Ronny Michel Valverde Mena EXTRUSIONES DE ALUMINIO S.A.

Alonso Vargas Lexincorp Costa Rica Daniela Vargas PWC Costa Rica Marianela Vargas

PwC Costa Rica Stanley Villegas Jafet Zúñiga Salas Superintendencia General de Entidades Financieras

CÔTE D'IVOIRE

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

Ordre des Géometres Expert de la Côte d'Ivoire

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo BCEAO

Claude Aman
BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Ika Raymond Any-Gbayere Any Ray & Partners

Landry Baguy

Lassiney Kathann Camara

Asman César

CABINET N'GOAN, ASMAN & ASSOCIÉS

Aminata Cone SCPA Dogué-Abbé Yao & Associés

Issa Diabaté Koffi & Diabaté Junior Doukoure

Any Ray & Partners

Dorothée K. Dreesen

Filipe Maître Dreesen

Stéphane Eholie

Bertrand Fleury
BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Liadé Vaudy Gbetibouo CLK Avocats

Koupo Gnoleba Ministère de la Construction

Claude-Andrée Groga
CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU

Barnabe Kabore NOVELEC SARL

Noel Koffi

CABINET NOËL Y. KOFFI
Fatoumata Konate Toure-B.
ETUDE DE ME KONATE TOURE-B.

FATOUMATA
Mahoua Kone

ETUDE DE MAÎTRE KONE MAHOUA N'Dri Marielle-Ange Kouakou

Arsène Dablé Kouassi SCPA Dogué-Abbé YAO & Associés

Tape Likane Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associés

Charlotte-Yolande Mangoua ETUDE DE MAÎTRE MANGOUA Adeline Messou

Georges N'Goan Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associés

Patricia N'guessan Cabinet Jean-François Chauveau

Jacques Otro Conseil National de l'Ordre des Architectes

Athanase Raux Cabinet Raux, Amien & Associés

Koffi Raymond SIMAT Simon Dognima Silué

BILE-AKA, BRIZOUA-BI & ASSOCIÉS Dominique Taty PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire Jean Christian Turkson

CIF

Kotokou Kouakou Urbain

Emmanuel Yehouessi BCFAO

Léon Désiré Zalo Ministère d'Etat, Ministère de l'Agriculture

Seydou Zerbo SCPA Dogué-Abbé YAO & Associés

CROATIA

Boris Andrejaš Babić & Partners

Andrea August Financial agency - Centre for HITRO.HR

Emir Bahtijarević Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Hrvoje Bardek CMS LEGAL Ivo Bijelić

PwC Croatia Zoran Bohaček

Croatian Banking Association

Marko Borsky Divjak, Торіс́ & Вантіјагеvić

Linda Brčić Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Diviak, Topić & Bahtijarević Lana Briek

PwC CROATIA
Belinda Čačić

Čačić & Partners Ivan Ćuk Vukmir & Asociates

Saša Divjak Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Anela Dizdarević

Ronald Given

Tonka Gjoić GLINSKA & MIŠKOVIĆ LTD.

Ivan Gjurgjan Gjurgjan & Šribar Radić Law Firm

GJURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIR Krešimir Golubić

Tom Hadzija Korper & Partneri Law Firm

Lidija Hanžek HROK D.O.O.

Branimir Iveković Iveković Law Office

Irina Jelčić Hanžeković & Partners Ltd., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ivica Jelovcic Daмco

Saša Jovičić Wolf Theiss

Sanja Jurković PwC Croatia

Branko Kirin Čačić & Partners Ozren Kobsa

Ozren Kodsa Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Anita Krizmanić Mačešić & Partners, Odvjetnicko drustvo

Krešimir Ljubić Odvjetničko društvo Leko i Partneri

Andrea Lončar GLINSKA & MIŠKOVIĆ LTD.

Marko Lovrić Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević Dina Lukac Leko i Partneri Attorneys at Law

Miroljub Mačešić Mačešić & Partners, Odvjetnicko drustvo

Josip Marohnić GLINSKA & MIŠKOVIĆ LTD.

Domagoj Matica Čačić & Partners

Andrej Matijevich Matijevich Law Office

Igor Mirosevic Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Tomislav Pedišić Vukmir & Asociates

Miroslav Plašćar Žurić i Partneri

Marko Praljak Praljak & Svić

Hrvoje Radić Gjurgjan & Šribar Radić Law Firm

Kristina Rihtar Law Office Vidan

Gordan Rotkvić PwC Croatia Davor Rukonić

DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ Boris Šarović

Šavorić & Partners
Ana Sihtar

SIHTAR ATTORNEYS AT LAW Andrej Skočić

MERVIS D.O.O. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Vladimir Skočić MERVIS d.o.o. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Manuela Špoljarić Odvjetničko društvo Leko i Partneri

Irena Šribar Radić Gjurgjan & Šribar Radić Law Firm

Lidija Subašić Odvjetničko društvo Leko i Partneri

Stjepan Šutija Babić & Partners

Marin Svić Praljak & Svić

Zoran Tasić CMS LEGAL

Tena Tomek Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Branka Tutek Jurić and Partners Attorneys at I aw

Ivana Urem Assono Ltd. Croatia

Hrvoje Vidan Law Office Vidan

Željko Vrban HEP Distribution System Operator

Zrinka Vrtarić

Mario Vukelić High Commercial Court of the Republic of Croatia

Marin Vuković Divjak, Topić & Bahtijarević

Gorana Vukušić Leko i Partneri Attorneys at Law

CYPRUS

ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS

Alexandros Alexandrou Tornaritis Law Firm Achilleas Amvrosiou ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.

Andreas Andreou
Cyprus Global Logistics

George Antoniades
Gantoni General Enterprises

Pavlos Aristodemou Aristodemou Loizides Yiolitis LLC

Anja Arsalides Cyprus Investment Promotion Agency

Antonis Christodoulides PwC Cyprus

Kypros Chrysostomides Dr. K. Chrysostomides & Co. LLC

Achilleas Demetriades LELLOS P DEMETRIADES LAW OFFICE LLC

Tatia Efstathiou P.G. Economides & Co Limited - member of Russell Bedford International

Lefteris S. Eleftheriou Cyprus Investment Promotion Agency

Marios Eliades M.Eliades & Partners LLC

Elena Frixou Artemis Bank Information Systems

Olga Gaponova Deloitte LLP

Elvira Georgiou Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Marios Hadjigavriel Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

lacovos Hadjivarnavas Famagusta Chamber of Commerce and Industry

Samantha G. Hellicar Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Marina lerokipiotou Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Christina Ioannidou Ioannides Demetriou LLC

George Karakannas Ch.P. Karakannas Electrical Ltd. Melina Karaolia

M.ELIADES & PARTNERS LLC Harris Kleanthous DELOITTE LLP

Kleanthis Kleanthous MINISTRY OF INTERIOR

Christina Koronis PwC CYPRUS

Christina Kotsapa Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Nicholas Ktenas Andreas Neocleous & Co. Legal Consultants

Olga Lambrou
Mouaimis & Mouaimis Advocates

Pieris M. Markou Deloitte LLP

Costas Mavrocordatos PwC Cyprus

Phivos Michaelides IOANNIDES DEMETRIOU LLC

Panayotis Mouaimis Mouaimis & Mouaimis Advocates

George Mouskides FOX SMART ESTATE AGENCY

Demetris Nicolaou Aristodemou Loizides Yiolitis LLC

Varnavas Nicolaou PwC Cyprus Themis Panayi
CYPRUS STOCK EXCHANGE

Georgios Papadopoulos M.ELIADES & PARTNERS LLC

Stella Papadopoulou Ministry of Interior

Chrysilios Pelekanos PwC Cyprus

Marios Pelekanos Mesaritis Pelekanos Architects - Engineers

Ioanna Petrou PwC Cyprus

Maria Petsa Cyprus Stock Exchange

Yiannos Pipis NICE DAY DEVELOPERS Kritonas Savvides NICE DAY DEVELOPERS

Lambros Soteriou MICHAEL KYPRIANOU & Co. LLC

Criton Tornaritis

Stelios Triantafyllides Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Irene Tziakouri

Christiana Vassiliou Antis Triantafyllides & Sons LLC

Xenios Xenopoulos

Savvas Yiordamlis
IOANNIDES DEMETRIOU LLC

CZECH REPUBLIC

Allen & Overy (Czech Republic) LLP, organizační složka

Vladimír Ambruz Амвruz & Darк

Michaela Baranyková

Euro-Trend, s.r.o. - member of
Russell Bedford International

Libor Basl BAKER & McKENZIE Stanislav Bednár

Tomáš Běhounek
BNT - Pravda & Partner, s.r.o.

Stanislav Beran
PETERKA & PARTNERS

Jan Beres Kocian Solc Balastik, Advokátní

Martin Bohuslav Ambruz & Dark Michal Buchta

KANCFLÁŘ. S.R.O.

Ambruz & Dark Jiří Černý Peterka & Partners

Ivan Chalupa Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, v.o.s. Advokátní Kancelář

Peter Chrenko PwC Czech Republic

Pavel Cirek
ENERGY REGULATOR OFFICE CZECH
REPLIBLIC

Jakub Cisar DLA PIPER PRAGUE LLP Martin Dančišin GLATZOVÁ & CO.

Matěj Daněk PRK Partners s.r.o. Advokátní Kancelář Dagmar Dubecka Kocian Solc Balastik, Advokátní Kancfi ář. s.r.o.

Tomáš Elbert White & Case

Robert Elefant PwC Czech Republic

Tereza Erényi PRK Partners s.r.o. Advokátní Kancelář

Michal Forýtek Kinstellar Jakub Hajek

Ambruz & Dark Michal Hanko Bubnik, Myslil & Partners

Jarmila Hanzalová PRK Partners s.r.o. Advokátní Kancej ář

Vít Horáček Glatzová & Co.

Radek Horký Notary Chamber, Czech Republic

Pavel Jakab PETERKA & PARTNERS

Kateřina Jarolímková Notářská komora České republiky

Ludvik Juřička Ambruz & Dark

Jitka Korejzova PRK Partners s.r.o. Advokátní

Adela Krbcová Peterka & Partners

Martin Krechler GLATZOVÁ & Co. Tomáš Kren

Wніте & Case Aleš Kubáč Амвгих & Dark

Petr Kucera
CCB - CZECH CREDIT BUREAU

Zdeněk Kučera BAKER & MCKENZIE

Petr Kuhn
WHITE & CASE
Lukas Lejcek
BDP-WAKESTONE S.R.O.

Zuzana Luklová Ambruz & Dark

Ondrej Machala Notary Chamber, Czech Republic Ondřej Mánek

Wolf Theiss Advokáti s.r.o. liří Markvart

Ambruz & Dark
Peter Maysenhölder
BNT - Pravda & Partner, s.r.o.

Petr Měšťánek Kinstellar

Kancelář

Veronika Mistova PRK Partners s.r.o. Advokátní

Pavlína Mišutová *Wніте* & Case Vojtech Mlynar

White & Case
Miroslava Mojžišová

Ambruz & Dark

Lenka Mrazova PwC Czech Republic

David Musil PwC Czech Republic Jarmila Musilova Czech National Bank Lenka Navrátilová Амвruz & Darк

Lenka Nemcova Ambruz & Dark

Marketa Penazova Ambruz & Dark

Igor Pies Baker & McKenzie Jan Procházka Ambruz & Dark

Markéta Protivankova VEJMELKA & WÜNSCH, S.R.O.

Zdenek Rosicky Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, v.o.s. Advokátní Kancelář

Kamila Rychtarova White & Case

Petra Schneiderova Ambruz & Dark

Václav Semrád Wolf Theiss Advokáti s.r.o.

Paul Sestak Wolf Theiss Advokáti s.r.o.

Dana Sládečková Czech National Bank

Ladislav Smejkal *White* & Case

Erik Steger Wolf Theiss Advokáti s.r.o.

Martin Štěpaník

Paul Stewart
PwC Czech Republic

Marek Švehlík Švehlí & Mikuláš Advokáti s.r.o.

Stanislav Travnicek ENERGY REGULATOR OFFICE CZECH REPUBLIC

Růžena Trojánková Kinstellar

Klara Valentova Ambruz & Dark

Daniel Vitouš Ambruz & Dark

Ludek Vrána Vrána & Pelikán

Vaclav Zaloudek White & Case

DENMARK

CENTER FOR CONSTRUCTION

Elsebeth Aaes-Jørgensen Norrbom Vinding, member of lus Laboris

Niels Bang Gorrissen Federspiel

Peter Bang PLESNER

Thomas Bang LETT LAW FIRM

Ole Borch Bech-Bruun Law Firm

Frants Dalgaard-Knudsen PLESNER

Pia Dalziel Miller Rosenfalck LLP

Mogens Ebeling Bruun & Hjejle

Anne Birgitte Gammeljord GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

Ata Ghilassi Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Anne Louise Haack Andersen LETT LAW FIRM Merry Hansen PLESNER

Annette Hastrup

Magnusson

Heidi Hoelgaard Experian Northern Europe Mette Højberg

BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM Peter Honoré

Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Jens Steen Jensen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Hans-Peter Jørgensen Gorrissen Federspiel

ADVOKATGRUPPEN Lars Kjaer

Fva Kava

BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM Mette Klingsten BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM

Alexander Troeltzsch Larsen

Mikkel Stig Larsen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Susanne Schjølin Larsen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex

Jesper Avnborg Lentz GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

Morten Bang Mikkelsen PwC Denmark

Lita Misozi Hansen PwC DENMARK

Andreas Nielsen Bruun & Hjejle

Susanne Norgaard PwC DENMARK

Anders Ørskov Melballe Accura Advokataktieselskab

Carsten Pedersen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM

Lars Lindencrone Petersen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM

Jannick Prehn Brøndum Bruun & Hjejle

Sisse Riis-Hansen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Steen Rosenfalck MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Michael Schebye Larsen GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

Louise Krarup Simonsen Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Martin Sørensen 2M EL-INSTALLATION A/S

Kim Trenskow Kromann Reumert, member of Lex Mundi

Søren Vasegaard Andreasen Advokatgruppen

Anders Worsøe

DJIBOUTI

Electricité de Djibouti

Ouloufa Ismail Abdo Office Diiboutien de la Propriété Industrielle et Commerciale (ODPIC)

Nima Ali Warsama Banque pour le Commerce et L'Industrie - Mer Rouge (BCI MR) Mourad Farah

Malik Garad Banque Centrale de Djibouti

Mohamed Ali Houssein DIRECTION DE L'HABITAT ET DE L'URBANISME

Ismael Mahamoud Universite de Diibouti

Fatouma Mahamoud Hassan

Alain Martinet
CABINET D'AVOCATS MARTINET &
MARTINET

Marie-Paule Martinet CABINET D'AVOCATS MARTINET & MARTINET

Oubah Mohamed Omar Société Maritime L. Savon & Ries

Abdallah Mohammed Kamil Etude Maître Mohammed Kamil

Ahmed Osman
BANQUE CENTRALE DE DJIBOUTI

Lantosoa Hurfin Ralaiarinosy Cosmezz Sarl Diibouti

DOMINICA

ANTHONY ASTAPHAN CHAMBERS

Wilmot Alexander
Dev Trading Ltd.

Rene Akobi Butcher ISIDORE & ASSOCIATES LLP

Jo-Anne Commodore
Supreme Court Registry

Marvlyn Estrado KPB Chartered Accountants

Joelle A.V. Harris Harris & Harris

Sandra Julien Companies and Intellectual Property Office

Alick C. Lawrence Lawrence Alick C. Chambers

Charlene Mae Magnaye PwC St. Lucia

Severin McKenzie McKenzie Architectural & Construction Services Inc.

Richard Peterkin PwC St. Lucia Joan K.R. Prevost

PREVOST & ROBERTS

Vernanda Raymond House of Assembly

Eugene G. Royer Eugene G. Royer Chartered Architect

Jason Timothy DOMLEC

Anya Trim PwC St. Lucia

Ossie Walsh Supreme Court Registry

Dawn Yearwood YEARWOOD CHAMBERS

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Rhadys Abreu de Polanco Union Internacional del Notariado Latino

Maria Teresa Acta HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ

Jennifer Beauchamps Jiménez Cruz Peña

Luis Eduardo Bernard González & Coiscou Laura Bobea MEDINA & RIZEK, ABOGADOS Joanna M. Bonnelly Ginebra Squire, Sanders & Dempsey LLP

Ana Isabel Caceres Troncoso y Caceres

Giselle Castillo Superintendencia de Bancos

Ramon Ceballos Ceballos & Sánchez, Ingeniería y Energía, C. por A.

Milvio Coiscou González & Coiscou Leandro Corral

ESTRELLA & TUPETE

José Cruz Campillo

JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA

Marcos de León Superintendencia de Bancos

Sarah de León Perelló HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ

Raúl De Moya Arouitectura & Planificación

Juan Carlos De Moya González & Coiscou

Rosa Díaz Jiménez Cruz Peña

Alejandro Fernández de Castro PWC Dominican Republic

Mary Fernández Rodríguez HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ

Milagros Figuereo Job, Báez, Soto & Associates - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Jose Ernesto Garcia A.

Melissa Gilbert

Pablo Gonzalez Tapia González & Coiscou

Luis Heredia Bonetti Russin & Vecchi, LLC

Luis J. Jiménez Jiménez Cruz Peña

José Ramón Logroño Morales Logroño Aquino Durán & Logroño

Fernando Marranzini HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &

Carlos Marte Agencia de Comercio Exterior CM

Jesús Geraldo Martínez Superintendencia de Bancos

Fabiola Medina Medina & Rizek, Abogados

Jiménez Cruz Peña Doris Miranda

GONZÁLEZ & COISCOU Ramon Ortega PWC EL SALVADOR

Laura Medina

Edward Piña Fernandez

Julio Pinedo PwC Dominican Republic

Maria Portes Castillo y Castillo

Alejandro Miguel Ramirez Suzaña RAMIREZ SUZAÑA & ASOC.

Katherine Rosa Jiménez Cruz Peña

Wendy Sánchez
TransUnion Dominican Republic

Carolina Silié HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ

Juan Tejeda PwC Dominican Republic

Ana Gisselle Valerio Troncoso y Caceres

Jeannerette Vergez Job, Báez, Soto & Associates - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Vilma Verras Terrero JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA

Chery Zacarías Medina & Rizek, Abogados

FCLIADOR

MZ Sistemas Electricos y Electronicos

Pablo Aguirre

Natalia Almeida-Oleas PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Diego Cabezas-Klaere Cabezas & Cabezas-Klafre

Sandra Cevallos

Pérez, Bustamante y Ponce, member of Lex Mundi

Pablo Chiriboga Dechiara
PUENTE REYES & GALARZA ATTORNEYS
AT LAW CIA. LTDA.

Fernando Coral Panalpina World Transport LLP

Renato Coronel Pinto & Garcés Asoc. Cía Ltda - member of Russell Bedford International

Fernando Del Pozo Contreras GALLEGOS, VALAREZO & NEIRA

Martín Galarza Lanas PUENTE REYES & GALARZA ATTORNEYS AT LAW CIA, LTDA.

Leopoldo González R.
Paz Horowitz Robalino Garcés

Jaime Gordillo

PwC Ecuador Veronica Jaramillo Panalpina World Transport LLP

Rubby Lucero
Cabezas & Cabezas-Klaere

Carlos Alberto Maldonado Terneus EMPRESA ELÉCTRICA QUITO SA

Juan Manuel Marchán PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Luis Marin-Tobar PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sansone Massimiliano

Eduardo Montero

Francisco Javier Naranjo Grijalva Paz Horowitz Robalino Garcés Abogados

Esteban Ortiz Pérez, Bustamante y Ponce, member of Lex Mundi

Jorge Paz Durini Paz Horowitz Robalino Garcés Abogados

PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Bruno Pineda-Cordero

Daniel Pino Arroba Coronel y Pérez Ramiro Pinto PINTO & GARCÉS ASOC. CÍA LTDA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAI

Patricia Ponce Arteta
Bustamante & Bustamante

Juan Carlos Proaño Panalpina World Transport LLP

Angel Alfonso Puente Reyes PUENTE REYES & GALARZA ATTORNEYS AT LAW CIA. LTDA.

Juan José Puente Reyes PUENTE REYES & GALARZA ATTORNEYS AT LAW CIA. LTDA.

Sandra Reed PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Amparo Romero Romero Arteta Ponce Diego Romero

Romero Arteta Ponce Gustavo Romero

Gustavo Romero Romero Arteta Ponce

José Romero Hugo Arias Salgado

Montserrat Sánchez Coronel y Pérez

Carlos Serrano Paulina Viteri

EGYPT, ARAB REP.

Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Abdel Aal Aly Afifi World Transport Alexandria

Naguib Abadir Nacita Corporation

Mostafa Abd El Rahim AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE

Ghada Abdel Aziz Ibrachy & Dermarkar Law Firm

Ibrahim Mustafa Ibrahim Abdel Khalek

GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI

Ahmed Abdel Warith AAW Consulting Engineers

Ahmed Abou Ali Hassouna & Abou Ali

Gamal Abou Ali Hassouna & Abou Ali

Hazem Ahmed Fathi Hassouna & Abou Ali

Abd El Wahab Aly Ibrahim ABD EL WAHAB SONS

Sarah Ammar Al Kamel Law Office

Sayed Ammar AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE Lilihane Atlam

Lilihane Atlam Al Kamel Law Office

Khaled Balbaa *KPMG*

Louis Bishara BISHARA TEXTILE & GARMENT MANUFACTURING CO.

Karim Dabbous Sherif Dabbous - Member of Russell Bedford International

Sherif Dabbous
SHERIF DABBOUS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL
REDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Sameh Dahroug Ibrachy & Dermarkar Law Firm

Amal Afifi Dawood SNR DENTON WILDE SAPTE & CO Amany El Bagoury
AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE
Hanan El Dib

AL-AHL FIRM

Ahmed El Gammal Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Mohamed Refaat El Houshi The Egyptian Credit Bureau I-Score

Hassan El Maraashly AAW Consulting Engineers

Amr El Monayer PwC Egypt

Amina El Oteify Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Khaled El Shalakany Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Sally El Shalakany Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Passant El Tabei PwC Egypt

Abd-Allah El-Shazly Egyptian Public Prosecution

Soheir Elbanna IBRACHY LAW FIRM Karim Elhelaly

Ashraf Elibrachy IBRACHY LAW FIRM

Rana Elnahal Ibrachy Law Firm

Mostafa Elshafei IBRACHY LAW FIRM Karim Emam

PwC Egypt Hassan Fahmy Ministry of Investment

Mariam Fahmy
SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF

Tarek Gadallah

Samir Ghareeb
Office of the Minister of State for
Local Development

Zeinab Saieed Gohar CENTRAL BANK OF EGYPT

Ahmed Hantera
Egyptian Public Prosecution

Mohamed Hashish TELELAWS

Maha Hassan Afifi World Transport Alexandria

Tarek Hassib AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE

Omneia Helmy
EGYPTIAN CENTER FOR ECONOMIC
STUDIES

Mohamed Hisham Hassan Ministry of Investment

Ahmed Hossam

Stephan Jäger Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Mohamed Kamal Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Salma Kamal Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Mohanad Khaled BDO, KHALED & Co

Shahira Khaled At Kamet Law Office Taha Khaled BDO, Khaled & Co

Ussama Khattab Bridges To Business

Adel Kheir Adel Kheir Law Office

Lobna Magdy Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Mustafa Makram BDO, Khaled & Co

Amr Mohamed Ministry of Investment

Marwa Omara TELELAWS

Ingy Rasekh Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Menha Samy IBRACHY & DERMARKAR LAW FIRM

Mohamed Serry Serry Law Office

Abdallah Shalash Abdallah Shalash & Co.

Ramy Shalash Abdallah Shalash & Co.

Abdelrahman Sherif Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Omar Sherif Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Sharit Shihata Shalakany Law Office, member of Lex Mundi

Shaimaa Solaiman

Frédéric Soliman TELELAWS

Amira Thabet Sherif Dabbous - member of Russell Bedford International

Randa Tharwat Nacita Corporation

Ehab Yehia Egyptian Public Prosecution

Tarek Zahran AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE Mohsen 7iko

AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE

Mona Zobaa

MINISTRY OF INVESTMENT

EL SALVADOR

AES EL SALVADOR ALSICORP GROUP

Miguel Angel Ale Cargo S.A. de C.V.

Aida Arguello de Morera Ministry of Labor and Social Welfare

Francisco Armando Arias Rivera Arias & Muñoz

Francisco José Barrientos Francisco Jose Barrientos, S.A. DE C.V.

Hazel Alexandra Cabezas Aguilar Castillo Love

Carlos Roberto Alfaro Castillo Aguilar Castillo Love Walter Chávez

GOLD SERVICE

David Claros

GARCÍA & BODÁN

Luis Alfredo Cornejo Cornejo & Umaña, Ltda. de C.V. - member of Russell Bedford International

Porfirio Diaz Fuentes DLM, Abogados, Notarios & Consultores

Lorena Dueñas Superintendencia del Sistema Financiero

Ericka Elias
PwC EL SALVADOR
Camila Escobar

LEXINCORP Enrique Escobar

Roberta Gallardo de Cromeyer Arias & Muñoz

Yudy Guerrero GOLD SERVICE

Carlos Henriquez GOLD SERVICE

America Hernandez ALE CARGO S.A. DE C.V.

Benjamín Valdez Iraheta BENJAMÍN VALDEZ & ASOCIADOS

Luis Lievano
Associacion de Ingenieros y
Arouitectos

Thelma Dinora Lizama de Osorio SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO

Hegel Lopez PwC El Salvador

Mario Lozano Arias & Muñoz

Astrud María Meléndez Asociación Protectora de Créditos de El Salvador (PROCREDITO)

Antonio R. Mendez Llort Romero Pineda & Asociados, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Miriam Eleana Mixco Reyna

Jocelyn Mónico
Francisco Jose Barrientos, S.A

Fernando Montano Arias & Muñoz Mario Moran

M. REPRESENTACIONES

Jose Navas

ALL WORLD CARGO, S.A. DE C.V.

Ramon Ortega PwC EL SALVADOR

Iris Palma OFA

Carlos Pastrana Colegio de Arquitectos de El SALVADOR

Jose Polanco

Ana Patricia Portillo Reyes Guandique Segovia Quintanilla

Carlos Roberto Rodriguez Consortium Centro América Abogados

Flor de Maria Rodriguez Arias & Muñoz

Otto Rodríguez BENJAMÍN VALDEZ & ASOCIADOS Roxana Romero ROMERO PINEDA & ASOCIADOS,

MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jaime Salinas

GARCÍA & BODÁN

Oscar Samour Consortium Centro América Abogados

Alonso V. Saravia Asociación Salvadoreña de Ingenieros y Arouitectos (ASIA)

Benjamín M. Valdez Tamayo BENJAMÍN VALDEZ & ASOCIADOS

Manuel Telles Suvillaga LEXINCORP

Luis Tevez Benjamín Valdez & Asociados

Oscar Torres García & Bodán

Mauricio Antonio Urrutia SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO

Julio Vargas García & Bodán

Rene Velasquez Arias & Muñoz

Osmin Vizcarra
DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS &
CONSULTORES

EQUATORIAL GUINEA

CIGESA

ERNST & YOUNG
Gabriel Amugu

N.J. Ayuk Centurion LLP

Philippe Beziat SDV Logistics

Ana Margarita Bibang Nnegue BEAC - EQUATORIAL GUINEA

Francisco Campos Braz

Angel-Francisco Ela Ngomo Nchama Solege

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON

Eddy Garrigo PwC Equatorial Guinea

Marcel Juetsop
Sébastien Lechêne
PWC EQUATORIAL GUINEA
Angel Mba Abeso

CENTURION LLP

Tomás Engono Mba López
SEGESA (SOCIEDAD DE ELECTRICIDAD DE
GUINFA FCUATORIAL)

Ezequiel Robbe Mbila

Paulino Mbo Obama Oficina de Estudieos - ATEG

Maria Luz Ndjondjo Andrada CENTURION LLP

Gustavo Ndong Edu Afri Logistics

Antonio-Pascual Oko Ebobo Attorney-at-Law

Jacinto Ona CENTURION LLP

Juan Carlos Ondo
EQUATORIAL GUINEA CONSTITUTIONAL

Elena Pedrero Polàn PwC Côte d'Ivoire Dominique Taty PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Vincent Tekam

Deeana Rochelle Wilson Edjang CENTURION LLP

FRITREA

Ali Reza Abdolhussein Elmi Olindo & Co. PLC - General Contractor

Senai Andemariam University of Asmara

Tadesse Beraki

Biniam Fessehazion Ghebremichael FRITREAN AIRLINES

Tesfai Ghebrehiwet DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Berhane Gila-Michael
BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM

Kebreab Habte Michael
KEBREAB HABTE MICHAEL LEGAL
CONSULTING

Mebrahtom Habtemariam

Mulgheta Hailu Teferi Berhane & Mulgheta Hailu Law Firm

Tekeste Mesghenna MTD Enterprises PLC

Akberom Tedla CHAMBER OF COMMER

Isac Tesfazion

ESTONIA

ESTONIAN LOGISTICS AND FREIGHT FORWARDING ASSOCIATION

Ott Aava Attorneys at Law BORENIUS

Juulika Aavik BNT KLAUBERG KRAUKLIS ADVOKAADIBÜROO

Angela Agur MAQS Law Firm Estonia Tallinn

Risto Agur Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

Katrin Altmets

ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS

Airi Asperk Konkurentsiamet Estonian

Competition Authority

Aet Bergmann

BNT KLAUBERG KRAUKLIS ADVOKAADIBÜROO

Jane Eespõld Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

Diana Freivald MINISTRY OF JUSTIC

Helen Ginter Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

Külli Haab Konkurentsiamet Estonian

Competition Authority Kristjan Hänni

Annika Jaanson

ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS
Ulla Jürimäe

ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS

Andres Juss

ESTONIAN LAND BOARD

Marko Kairjak Varul

Erica Kaldre Hough, Hutt & Partners OU

Helerin Kaldvee RAIDLA LEIINS & NORCOUS Kadri Kallas

ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS

Jevgeni Kazutkin Hough, Hutt & Partners OU

Igor Kostjuk

HOUGH, HUTT & PARTNERS OU

Andreas Kotsjuba ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS

Villu Kõve Estonian Supreme Court

Tanja Kriisa PwC Estonia Piia Kulm

Piia Kulm Lextal Law Office Kaido Künnapas

MAQS Law FIRM ESTONIA TALLINN

Peeter Kutman ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS

Marika Kütt RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Gerda Liik RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Karin Madisson

Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

Konkurentsiamet Estonian
Competition Authority

Veiko Meos Krediidiinfo A.S.

Jaanus Mody Attorneys at Law BORENIUS

Margus Mugu Attorneys at Law Borenius

Jaana Nõgisto Law Office Nordeus

Irina Nossova

Arne Ots

RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS Karl J. Paadam

ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS

Loori Paadik Ministry of Justice

Karina Paatsi Attorneys at Law Borenius

Priit Pahapill Attorneys at Law BORENIUS

Sven Papp RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Evelin Pärn-Lee MAQS Law Firm Estonia Tallinn

Kirsti Pent Law Office Nordeus

Tarmo Peterson

Maria Pihlak

ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS Sigrid Polli

Ants Ratas CF&S AS

Piret Saartee Ministry of Justice

MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Katrin Sarap MAQS Law Firm Estonia Tallinn

Villi Tõntson PwC Estonia

Veikko Toomere MAQS Law Firm Estonia Tallinn

Neve Uudelt Raidla Lejins & Norcous

Erle Uus KPMG

Ingmar Vali

REGISTRITE JA INFOSUSTEEMIDE KESKUS

Triin Väljaots

Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

Hannes Vallikivi Law Office Tark Grunte Sutkiene

Ivo Vanasaun Paul Varul

VARIII

Peeter Viirsalu Varul

Ago Vilu PwC Estonia

Urmas Volens Advokaadibüroo SORAINEN AS

ETHIOPIA

FRNST & YOUNG

Siraj Ahmed
Packford International

Abdella Ali Abdella Ali Law Office Wubetu Assefa

Bunna International Bank Teklu Assefa Damte

TEKLU ASSEFA

Adamseled Belay

ZEMEN BANK

Teshome Gabre-Mariam Bokan Teshome Gabre-Mariam Bokan Law Firm

Semere Wolde Bonge National Bank of Ethiopia

Kumlachew Dagne

Samuel Demke Delnessahou Tadesse - Counselor AND ATTORNEY AT LAW

Berhane Ghebray
BERHANE GHEBRAY & ASSOCIATES

Solomon Gizaw HST Consulting

Asheber Hailesilassie Trans Ethiopia PLC - TEPLCO

Getu Jemaneh HST Consulting

Belay Kebede Alemu Ethiopian Insurance Corporation

Zekarias Keneaa Addis Ababa University

Yitbarek Medhin Building Permits and Inspection Authority of Addis Ababa

Tewodros Meheret Addis Ababa University

Misrak Mengehsa Packford International

Molla Mengistu Addis Ababa University

Alem Mengsteab
ETHIOPIAN GENERAL INSTALLATION
SUPPLY

Meiklit Seifu Delnessahou Tadesse - Counselor

AND ATTORNEY AT LAW
Mathewos Shamo
INDEPENDENT CONSULTANT

Ameha Sime Амена Siме B.C.

Delnessahou Tadesse Delnessahou Tadesse - Counselor AND ATTORNEY AT LAW

Mesfin Tafese Mesfin Tafese Law Office

Eyasu Tequame Jehoiachin Techno Pvt. Ltd. Co.

Dagnachew Tesfaye
Dagnachew Tesfaye Law Office

Amanuel Teshome Aman & Partners

Amsalah Tsehaye Amsale Tsehaye & Associates Law

Solomon Areda Waktolla First Instance Federal Court Tameru Wondmagegnehu

Teferi Zewdu Trans Ethiopia PLC - TEPLCO

FIJI

David Aidney
WILLIAMS & GOSLING LTD.

Eddielin Almonte PwC Fiji

Jon Apted Munro Leys

Nehla Basawaiya Munro Leys Mahendra Chand

Munro Leys William Wylie Clarke

Howards Lawyers

Delores Elliott

Data Bureau Limited

Dilip Jamnadas

JAMNADAS AND ASSOCIATES

Jerome Kado PwC Fiji

Besant Kumar Fui Electricity Authority

Roneel Lal
WILLIAMS & GOSLING LTD.
Brenda Nanjus

Siwatibau & Sloan Jon Orton

ORTON ARCHITECTS
Pradeep Patel
PKF INTERNATIONAL

Ramesh Prakash Mishra Prakash & Associates

Nilesh Prasad Mitchell, Keil & Associates

Ramesh Prasad Lal Carpenters Shipping Abhi Ram Companies Registrar

Ronlyn Sahib Siwatibau & Sloan Varun Shandil

Munro Leys
Om Dutt Sharma
Full Flectricity Authority

Shelvin Singh
Parshotam & Co.

Atunaisa Siwatibau Siwatibau & Sloan James Sloan

SIWATIBAU & SLOAN Narotam Solanki PWC FIJI

Shayne Sorby
Munro Leys
Seini Tinaikoro

CROMPTONS SOLICITORS

Vulisere Tukama
SUVA CITY COUNCIL

Chirk Yam

Glenis Yee Munro Leys

Eddie Yuen Williams & Gosling Ltd.

FINLAND

PWC FINLAND

Ville Ahtola Castrén & Snellman Attorneys Ltd.

Manne Airaksinen Roschier Attorneys Ltd. Tuomo Åvall Wabuco Oy - member of Russell Bedeord International

Claudio Busi Castrén & Snellman Attorneys

Esa Halmari HEDMAN PARTNERS

I TD

Pekka Halme National Land Survey of Finland

Johanna Haltia-Tapio Hannes Snellman LLC Joni Hatanmaa

HEDMAN PARTNERS

Seppo Havia

Dittmar & Indrenius

Leenamaija Heinonen Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

Mia Hukkinen Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

Nina Isokorpi Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

Lauri Jääskeläinen Building Control Department of THE CITY OF HELSINKI

Pekka Jaatinen Castrén & Snellman Attorneys

Juuso Jokela Suomen Asiakastieto Oy Tanja Jussila

WASELIUS & WIST

Mika Karpinnen
HANNES SNELLMAN LLC

Aki Kauppinen Roschier Attorneys Ltd.

Sakari Kauppinen National Board of Patents & REGISTRATION Suvi Knaapila

DITTMAR & INDRENIUS
Tiina Komppa
HANNES SNELLMAN LLC

Mikko Korhonen HELEN SÄHKÖVERKKO OY Jouni Lehtinen

HELEN SÄHKÖVERKKO OY Petteri Leinonen

GEODIS WILSON FINLAND OY Jan Lilius Hannes Snellman II C

Patrik Lindfors
LINDFORS & CO, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

LTD.
Patrick Lindgren
LAW OFFICE ADVOCARE

Tuomas Lukkarinen National Land Survey of Finland Anna Lumiiärvi

Krogerus Attorneys Ltd. Kimmo Mettälä

Krogerus Attorneys Ltd. Ville Mykkänen Wabuco Oy - member of Russell

Bedford International
Juha-Pekka Nuutinen

Linda Nyman Waselius & Wist

Elina Pesonen Castrén & Snellman Attorneys

Ilkka Pesonen Wabuco Oy - MEMBER OF RUSSELL

Aino Saarilahti Attorneys-At-Law Juridia Ltd

BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Helena Viita ROSCHIER ATTORNEYS LTD.

Anna Vuori HEDMAN PARTNERS

Marko Vuori KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.

Gunnar Westerlund ROSCHIER ATTORNEYS LTD.

Claire Adenis-Lamarre MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Jean-Marc Alhiol HOGAN LOVELLS

Nicolas Barberis ASHURST LLP

Andrew Booth ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT

Franck Buffaud DEISOL AVOCATS

Stéphanie Chatelon Taj, member of Deloitte Touche TOHMATSU LIMITED

Michel Combe LANDWELL & ASSOCIÉS

Patricia de Suzzoni COMMISSION DE RÉGULATION DE L'ENERGIE

Nicolas Deshayes

Jean-Marc Dufour France eCommerce International

Olivier Everaere AGENCE EPURE SARL

Benoit Fauvelet BANQUE DE FRANCE

Ingrid Fauvelière GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Cécile Gilliet VATIER & ASSOCIÉS

Thierry Gomot BANQUE DE FRANCE

Kevin Grossmann CABINET KEVIN GROSSMANN

Philippe Guibert FIFFC

Carol Khoury JONES DAY

Daniel Arthur Laprès AVOCAT À LA COLIR D'APPEL DE PARIS

Vanessa Li HOGAN LOVELLS

Julien Maire du Poset SMITH VIOLET

Jean-Louis Martin JONES DAY

Nathalie Morel MAYER BROWN

Laurence Mounier VATIER & ASSOCIÉS

Sabine Paul MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP

Arnaud Pédron Taj, member of Deloitte Touche TOHMATSU LIMITED

Arnaud Pelpel PELPEL AVOCATS

Caroline Poncelet Mayer Brown

Camille Potier MAYER BROWN

Emmanuelle Ries MILLER ROSENEALCK LLP

Hugues Roux BANQUE DE FRANCE Pierre Roux ASHURST LLP

Carole Sabbah

Jennifer Sachetat ASHURST LLP

Diane Sénéchal ASHURST LLP

Isabelle Smith Monnerville

Marlène-Johanne Suberville

Salli Anne Swartz ARTUS WISE

Jean Luc Vallens COUR D'APPEL DE COLMAR

Philippe Xavier-Bender GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Claire Zuliani Transparence - member of Russell BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

GABON

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

ETUDE MAÎTRE GEY BEKALE

MUNICIPALITÉ DE LIBREVILLE

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT

Marcellin Massila Akendengue SOCIÉTÉ D'ENERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)

Itchola Mano Alade CABINET D'AVOCATS ITCHOLA & ABGANRIN

Marie Carmel Ketty Avimambenwe

Madeleine Berre DELOITTE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL

Daniel Chevallon MATELEC

Augustin Fang

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON

Michael Jeannot MATELEC

Athanase Ndove Loury SYNDIC JUDICIAIRE

Pélagie Massamba Mouckocko PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA

Jean Mhagou

Abel Mouloungui ETUDE MAÎTRE ABEL MOULOUNGUI

lean Hilaire Moussavou FUMU TECHNOLOGIE

Célestin Ndelia ETUDE MAÎTRE NDELIA CÉLESTIN

Ruben Mindonga Ndongo

Thierry Ngomo ARCHI PRO INTERNATIONAL

François Nguema Ebane CARINET ATELIER 5A

Lubin Ntoutoume CABINET SCP NTOUTOUME ET MEZHER

Insette Cadie Olendo

César Apollinaire Ondo Mve METTRE COUR DE CASSATION DU GARON

Marie-Jose Ongo Mendou BUSINESS CONSULTING

Laurent Pommera PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA

Christophe A. Relongoué PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA

GAMBIA, THE

PWC GHANA

Lamin A.K Touray ATTORNEY GENERAL CHAMBERS OF GAMRIA

Alpha Amadou Barry DT ASSOCIATES, INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED

Abdul Aziz Bensouda AMIE BENSOUDA & CO.

Amie N.D. Bensouda Amie Bensouda & Co.

Roy Chalkley

Ida Denise Drameh IDA D. DRAMEH & ASSOCIATES

Fatoulili Drammeh TRUST BANK LTD.

Frederick Forster JUDICIARY OF THE GAMBIA

Jon Goldy AMIE BENSOUDA & CO.

Cherno Alieu Jallow DT Associates, Independent CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED

Edrissa Jariue NATIONAL WATER AND ELECTRICITY COMPANY I TO

Lamin S. Jatta DT Associates, Independent Correspondence Firm of Deloitte TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED

Sulayman M. Joof S.M. JOOF AGENCY

Nani Juwara NATIONAL WATER AND ELECTRICITY COMPANY LTD.

Momodou F. K. Kolley
DEVELOPMENT CONTROL UNIT -DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL PLANNING

Pa M. M. N'jie TRUST BANK LTD.

Adama Samba VALUE ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION

Mary Abdoulie Samba-Christensen LEGAL PRACTITIONER

Hawa Sisay-Sabally LAWYER

Salieu Taal TEMPLE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

GEORGIA

7viad Akhvlediani AKVLEDIANI BUSINESS CONSULTING LLC - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Irakli Apkhadze CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA

Irakli Asapini ITM GLORAL LOGISTICS

Nino Bakakuri Nodia, Urumashvili & Partners

Niko Bakashvili BAKASHVILI AND COMPANY Nino Begalishvili

COLIBRI LAW FIRM Lily Begiashvili GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE

Revaz Beridze ERISTAVI LAW GROUP

Koba Bobokhidze Mgaloblishvili, Kipiani, Dzidziguri (MKD) I AW FIRM

Bondo Bolkvadze DELOITTE OVERSEAS CONSULTING PROJECTS

Temur Bolotashvili USAID Economic Prosperity INITIATIVE

Michael Cowgill AMERICAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Kakha Damenia GUTIDZE DAMENIA CHANTLADZE

Maia Darsalia TBILISI CITY COURT, CHAMBER OF CIVIL CASES

Teymur Gamrekelashvili

Rusudan Gergauli IPA IIC LAW FIRM

Nata Ghibradze LPA LLC LAW FIRM

Ilia Giorgadze ARCI ARCHITECTURE & DEVELOPMENT

Erekle Glurjidze DLA PIPER GEORGIA LP Lasha Gogiberidze

RGI I FGAI Gega Gogichashvili CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT

Gocha Gogishvili Amirashvili, Gogishvili & Shengelia AGS

Mamuka Gordeziani ITM GLOBAL LOGISTICS

OF GEORGIA

Kakhaber Grubelashvili AKVLEDIANI BUSINESS CONSULTING LLC - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Giorgi Gulua BAKASHVILI AND COMPANY

Nana Gurgenidze IPA IIC LAW FIRM Izabela Gutidze

GUTIDZE DAMENIA CHANTI ADZE SOLUTIONS

NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY Rusudan Gvazava

Gia Jandieri New Economic School

Revaz Javelidze COLIBRI LAW FIRM

Batu Gvasalia

Aleksandre Kacharava CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA

David Kakahadze

Grigol Kakauridze MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Irakli Kandashvili Givi Karchava LASARE LTD.

Mari Khardziani NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY

Anastasia Kipiani PwC. Georgia

Nika Kirkitadze NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT

Sergi Kobakhidze PwC Georgia Tamar Kobakhidze

CARGO LOGISTICS GROUP

Amirashvili, Gogishvili & Shengelia AGS

Aieti Kukava ALLIANCE GROUP HOLDING

Tamar Kvintradze GETSADZE & PATEISHVILI LLC Tamara Lakerbaya

ERISTAVI LAW GROUP Sergo Lasareishvili

Archil Lezhava

PWC GEORGIA

Eteri Kritskhali

Vakhtang Lejava CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA

IPA IIC LAW FIRM

Mirab-Dmitry Lomadze Robin McCone

Manana Meshishvili ERISTAVI LAW GROUP

Ekaterina Meskhidze NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY

Roin Migriauli LAW OFFICE MIGRIAULI & PARTNERS

Nino Mirtskhulava POTI SEA PORT CORPORATION

Kakhaher Nariashvili

Merab Narmania CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA

Zaza Nemsadze CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA

Levan Nikoladze LPA LLC LAW FIRM

Lasha Nodia Nodia, Urumashvili & Partners

Giorgi Otaridze

Vakhtang Paresishvili DLA PIPER GEORGIA LP

Levan Pavlenishvili PwC Georgia Joseph Salukvadze

TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY Natia Samushia CHANCELLERY OF THE GOVERNMENT

OF GEORGIA Irakli Sarjveladze POTI SEA PORT CORPORATION

Manzoor Shah GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP

Manana Shurghulaia AGENCY FOR FREE TRADE AND COMPETITION

Eka Siradze COLIBRI LAW FIRM

Zaza Simaev

Irakli Siradze GUTIDZE DAMENIA CHANTI ADZE SOLUTIONS

GUTIDZE DAMENIA CHANTI ADZE

Rusudan Sreseli SOLUTIONS David Sukiasov

ELECO LTD. Avto Svanidze DLA PIPER GEORGIA LP

Giorgi Tavartkiladze

Levan Tektumanidze Andreas Sofocleaous & Co. Tamara Tevdoradze

BGI LEGAL

Sergo Tsikarishvili National Agency of Public Registry Zviad Voshakidze

TELASI

Georgi Zedginidze Eristavi Law Group

GERMANY

Gabriele Apfelbacher
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
II P

Stephan Bank
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
II P

Henning Berger White & Case

Jennifer Bierly
GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN

Arnd Böken Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Simeon-Tobias Bolz HEUSSEN RECHTSANWAITSGESEUSCHAET MBH

Elena Bratanova CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON II P

Claus-Dieter Braun SCHUFA HOLDING AG

Michael Brems CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Thomas Büssow PwC Germany

Lorenz Czajka Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Andreas Eckhardt PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Aktiengesellschaft Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Dieter Endres

Sigrun Erber-Faller Notare Erber-Faller und Voran

Shahzadi Firdous Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Mathias Fischer LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Alexander Freiherr von Aretin Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Michael Frühmorgen
HEUSSEN
RECHTSANIMALTSGESELLSCHAET MAR

RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH Biörn Gaul

CMS HASCHE SIGLE

Markus J. Goetzmann

C:B:H RECHTSANWÄITE

Andrea Gruss Merget + Partner

Klaus Günther

OPPENHOFF & PARTNER

Robert Gutte CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Marc Alexander Häger Oppenhoff & Partner

Rüdiger Harms
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
II P

Götz-Sebastian Hök Dr. Hök Stieglmeier & Partner

Markus Jakoby Jakoby Rechtsanwälte

Helmuth Jordan JordanRechtsanwalts GmbH Henrik Kirchhoff GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN

Britta Klatte SCHUFA HOLDING AG

Johann Klein BEEH & Happich GMBH - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Steffen Koch Wienberg Wilhelm

Dirk Kohlenberg PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Aktiengesellschaft Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Jörg Kraffel White & Case

Markus Krüger Latham & Watkins LLP Holger Kühl

Graf von Westphalen RECHTSANWÄLTE PARTNERSCHAFT

Carsten Liersch Graf von Westphalen RECHTSANWÄLTE PARTNERSCHAFT

Peter Limmer Notare Dr. Limmer & Dr. Friederich

Burkhard Lindenlaub MBS Logistics

Frank Lohrmann
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
II P

Roland Maaß Latham & Watkins LLP

Thomas Stefan Malik HEUSSEN RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH

Robert Manger
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT
RECHTSANWAITSGESEIJSCHAFT

Jan Geert Meents DLA PIPER UK LLP

Werner Meier Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

Daniel Meier-Greve PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Aktiengesellschaft Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Thomas Miller HEUSSEN

RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH

Eike Najork C·B·H Rechtsanwälte

Dirk Otto Norton Rose LLP

Daniel Panajotow
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Laura Pfirrmann
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

John Piotrowski Jakoby Rechtsanwälte

Marlena Polic PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT

Peter Polke
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton
LLP

Thomas Poss Latham & Watkins LLP

Sebastian Prügel
White & Case

Christina Reifelsberger HEUSSEN RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT MBH

Angela Reimer DIAZ REUS & TARG LLP Wilhelm Reinhardt Laтнам & Waткins LLP

Carl Renner DLA PIPER UK LLP

Alexander Reus DIAZ REUS & TARG LLP

Philipp Ruehland
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT
RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT

Christoph Schauenburg
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
LLP

Johannes Schmidt Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

Sönke Schröder SALGER RECHTSANWÄLTE

Ulrich Schroeder Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Volker Schwarz HEUSSEN RECHTSANWAITSGESEUSCHAET MRH

Kirstin Schwedt LINKLATERS LLP

Ingrid Seitz
DEUTSCHE BUNDESBANK
Hyeon-Won Song

PwC Germany

Kai Sebastian Staak

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Legal Aktiengesellschaft

Rechtsanwaitsgeselischaft

Volker Staehr EF-ES-WE ELEKTROANLAGEN GMBH

Susanne Stellbrink
PwC GERMANY
Dieter Straub

CMS HASCHE SIGLE Tobias Taetzner PwC GERMANY

Nora Thies Graf von Westphalen Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft

Arne Vogel
PricewaterhouseCoopers
Legal Aktiengesellschaft
Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Heiko Vogt Panalpina Welttransport GmbH

Katharina von Rosenstiel Orrick Hölters & Elsing

Peter Voss Interglobal Shipping GmbH

Raimund E. Walch Wendler Tremml Rechtsanwälte

Annekatren Werthmann-Feldhues PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT

Hartmut Wicke
Notare Rudolf Spoerer & Dr.
Hartmut Wicke, I.I., M.

Stefan Wirsch Lатнам & Waткins LLP

Gerlind Wisskirchen CMS HASCHE SIGLE

Boris Witt Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton 11 P

Uwe Witt PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal Aktiengesellschaft Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft

Christian Zeissler C·B·H RECHTSANWÄLTE **GHANA**

Gillian Ablorh-Quarcoo
BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH,
MEMBER OF LEX MUNDL

George K. Acquah
EXPRESSO TELECOM GHANA

Stephen N. Adu Public Utilities Regulatory Commission of Ghana

Rene Adu Junior LAWFIELDS CONSULTING

George Ahiafor XDSDATA GHANA LTD.

Kweku Ainuson

Nana Akonu G. P. Amartey Andah and Andah Chartered Accountants

Nene Amegatcher Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Kennedy Paschal Anaba LAWFIELDS CONSULTING

Kweku Brebu Andah Andah and Andah Chartered Accountants

Wilfred Kwabena Anim-Odame
LAND COMMISSION

Adwoa S. Asamoah-Addo Nana Akuoku Sarpong & Partners

Fred Asiamah-Koranteng BANK OF GHANA

Selma Awumbila
BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH,
MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gideon Ayi-Owoo

Ellen Bannerman

BRUCE-LYLE BANNERMAN &

ASSOCIATES

Ras Afful Davis
CLIMATE SHIPPING & TRADING

Clifford Gershon Fiadjoe Andah and Andah Chartered Accountants

Emmanuel Fiati Public Utilities Regulatory Commission of Ghana

Frank Fugar
College of Architecture and

Roland Horsoo Crown Agents Ltd.

Matilda Idun-Donkor

Adam Imoru Ayarna

Sophie Mutebi Kayemba

Emmanuel Kissi-Boateng PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY COMMISSION OF GHANA

Rosa Kudoadzi BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

George Kwatia PwC Ghana

Margaret Laryea Laryea, Laryea & Co. P.C.

Frank N. Akowuah Bentsi-Enchill, Letsa & Ankomah, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Wordsworth Odame Larbi Lands Commission

Rexford Oppong Knust

Jacob Saah Saah & Co. Frank Sarpong
FAME SHIPPING AGENCY

Doris Tettey Town and Country Planning Department

Darcy White PwC GHANA

Dorothy Sena Woanya Laryea, Laryea & Co. P.C.

GREECE

Sophia Ampoulidou Drakopoulos Law Firm

Rita Anthouli

George Apostolakos Apostolakos Architects

Amalia Balla PotamitisVekris

Marilena Bellou

Constantin Calavros

Stefanos Charaktiniotis ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ira Charisiadou Charisiadou Law Office

Margarita Christodoulatou

Theodora Christodoulou KLC Law FIRM

Alkistis - Marina Christofilou IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

Evangelia Christopoulou - Stamelou

Vasiliki Christou KLC LAW FIRM

Vassilis Chryssomalis

Sotiris Constantinou GRANT THORNTON LLP

Theodora D. Karagiorgou Koutalidis Law Firm

Nikos Daskalakis Hellenic Confederation of Professionals, Craftsmen and Merchants

MERCHANTS

Eleni Dikonimaki
TEIRESIAS S.A. INTERBANKING

Information Systems

Anastasia Dritsa
Kyriakides Georgopoulos &
Daniolos Issaias Law Firm

Alkiviadis Feresidis MINISTRY OF JUSTICE Efstathia Fetsi

K | P Law Firm

Margarita Flerianou

ECONOMOU INTERNATIONAL SHIPPING

Agencies
Dionysia I. Gamvrakis
Sarantitis Law Firm

John Gavanozis

Dionysios Gavounelis K | P Law Firm

Dimitra Georgaraki TaxExperts

Chris Geroulanos MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT Dimitris V. Hatzihristidis

ELECTRICAL ENGINEER
Peter Kapasouris
TEIRESIAS S.A. INTERBANKING

INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Evangelos Karaindros Evangelos Karaindros Law Firm Artemis Karathanassi PwC Greece

Catherine M. Karatzas Karatzas & Partners

Constantine Karydis PwC GREECE Rita Katsoula

POTAMITIS VEKRIS

Constantinos Klissouras K | P Law Firm

Ioanna Kombou Elias Paraskevas Attorneys 1933

Zacharoula Konstantinidi PwC Greece Nikolaos Konstantinidis

BDO Panos Koromantzos

BAHAS, GRAMATIDIS & PARTNERS

Olga Koromilia PwC Greece

Dimitris Kyparissis TT HELENIC POSTBANK

Tom Kyriakopoulos KELEMENIS & Co.

Thomas Lamnidis KLC Law Firm

Vassiliki G. Lazarakou ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Konstantinos Logaras ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Christos Makris

Panagiotis Manalopoulos MILITZER & MÜNCH

Antonis Mantonanakis Panmonotiki Prostasia

Smaro V. Markou Alpha Bank

Evangelia Martinovits IKRP Rokas & Partners

Emmanuel Mastromanolis ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

John Mazarakos Elias Paraskevas Attorneys 1933

Alexandros N. Metaxas Sarantitis Law Firm

Mike Michopoulos TECH KM S.A.

Theodora G. Monochartzi Sarantitis Law Firm

Athena Moraiti Athena Moraiti Law Office

Efi Moucha MILITZER & MÜNCH

Konstantinos Nanopoulos TAXEXPERTS

Anthony Narlis Calberson SA

Kyriakos Oikonomou Ministry of Justice

Panayis Panagiotopoulos Kremmydas-Doris & Associates Law Firm

Elena Papachristou ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Konstantinos Papadiamantis POTAMITIS VEKRIS

Alexios Papastavrou PotamitisVekris

Dimitris E. Paraskevas ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933 Michalis Pattakos ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Spiros Pilios Phoenix

Katerina Politi Kyriakides Georgopoulos & Daniolos Issaias Law Firm

Stathis Potamitis

Ioanna Poulakou Zepos & Yannopoulos Law Firm, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Constantine D. Poulios MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT

Vicky Psaltaki Sarantitis Law Firm

Vicky Psaltis PotamitisVekris

Mary Psylla PwC Greece Terina Raptis

Sarantitis Law Firm Vasiliki Salaka Karatzas & Partners

Despina D. Samara CALAVROS & PARTNERS

Harry Stamelos
HARRY STAMELOS LAW OFFICE AND
PARTNERS

Anastasia Stamou ATHENS EXCHANGE SA

Ioanna Stamou K | P Law Firm

Alexia Stratou Kremalis Law Firm, member of Ius Laboris

Fotini Trigazi Notary

Antonios Tsavdaridis IKRP Rokas & Partners

Elena Tsertsiganni Chryssi Tsirogianni

Panagiota D. Tsitsa

Ioannis Vekris PotamitisVekris

Kalliopi Vlachopoulou Kelemenis & Co.

Ioannis Xenopoulos BDO

Vicky Xourafa Kyriakides Georgopoulos & Daniolos Issaias Law Firm

GRENADA

LEWIS & RENWICK

W.R. Agostini Agostini W.R. Fcca

James Bristol HENRY, HENRY & BRISTOL

Ruggles Ferguson CIBONEY CHAMBERS

Cyrus Griffith
LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Annette Henry Ministry of Legal Affairs

Keith Hosten Hosten's (Electrical Services) Ltd.

Winston Hosten Hosten's (Electrical Services) Ltd.

Christopher Husbands National Water and Sewage Authority

Henry Joseph
PKF International

Psyche Julien St. Louis Service

Dickon Mitchell Grant Josepth & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Niel Noel HENRY HUDSON - PHILLIPS & CO.

Valentino Sawney
Tradship International

David R. Sinclair Sinclair Enterprises Limited

Lisa Telesford Supreme Court Registry

Shireen Wilkinson WILKINSON, WILKINSON & WILKINSON

Daniella Williams Mitchell Danny Williams & Co.

Selwyn Woodroffe Consulting Engineers Partnership Ltd.

GUATEMALA

A.D. Sosa & Soto

Rodolfo Alegría Toruno

Rafael Alvarado-Riedel Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Pedro Aragón Aragón & Aragón

Mario R. Archila Cruz Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Oscar Arriaga Comisión Nacional de Energía Eléctrica

Elías Arriaza Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Ruby María Asturias Castillo ACZALAW

María de los Angeles Barillas Buchhalter Saravia & Muñoz

Amaury Barrera City Hall of Guatemala City

Jorge Rolando Barrios Bonilla, Montano, Toriello & Barrios

Alejandra Bermúdez Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Maria del Pilar Bonilla Bonilla, Montano, Toriello & Barrios

Guillermo Bonillo Bonilla, Montano, Toriello & Barrios

Jean Paul Brichaux
ASOCIACIÓN DE EXPORTADORES DE CAFÉ (ADFC)

Mario Adolfo Búcaro Flores Díaz-Durán & Asociados Central Law

Rodrigo Callejas Aquino Carrillo & Asociados

José Alfredo Cándido Durón Superintendencia de Bancos

Juan Pablo Carrasco de Grote Díaz-Durán & Asociados Central Law

Ana Gisela Castillo Aparicio Saravia & Muñoz

Juan Carlos Castillo Chacón Aguilar Castillo Love Luis Pedro Cazali Leal PALACIOS & ASOCIADOS

Fanny de Estrada Asociación Guatemalteca de Exportadores

Gerardo Alberto de León FEDECOCAGUA

Anabella de León Ruiz REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPRIEDAD DE GUATEMALA

Karla de Mata CPS Logistics

Juan Manuel Díaz Duran Mendez Díaz-Durán & Asociados Central

Edwin Leonel Diéguez Alvarado REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPRIEDAD DE GUATEMALA

Ana Sofia Escriba Barnoya Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Hugo Daniel Figueroa Estrada Superintendencia de Bancos

Héctor Flores City Hall of Guatemala City

Lorena Isabel Flores Estrada Díaz-Durán & Asociados Central

Rodolfo Fuentes Protectora de Crèdito Comercial

Paola Galich Mayora & Mayora S.C. Rafael Garavito

Bufete Garavito

Wendy Janeth Garcia Miranda
Russell Bedford Guatemala
/ García Sierra Y Asociados,
S.C. - member of Russell Bedford

lleana Liset González Bolaños Saravia & Muñoz

Erick Gordillo City Hall of Guatemala City

Miguel Angel Gualim City Hall of Guatemala City

Andrés Hernández Carrillo & Asociados

Carlos Guillermo Herrera REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPRIEDAD DE GUATEMALA

Raúl Stuardo Juárez Leal Superintendencia de Bancos

Christian Lanuza Díaz-Durán & Asociados Central Law

Nils Leporowski Asociación Nacional del Café

María Isabel Luján Zilbermann Quiñones, IBARGÜEN, LUJÁN & MATA S.C.

Víctor Manuel Mancilla Castro SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS Marco Antonio Martinez

Estuardo Mata Palmieri Quiñones, Ibargüen, Luján & Mata S.C.

Eduardo Mayora Alvarado Mayora & Mayora S.C.

Edgar Mendoza PwC Guatemala

CPS Logistics

Gonzalo Menendez Gonzalez LEXINCORP

Christian Michelangeli CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS Guillermo Montano Transactel (Barbados) Inc.

Edvin Montoya LEXINCORP

Anajoyce Oliva City Hall of Guatemala City Marco Palacios

BARDELI & PALACIOS

Marco Antonio Palacios

PALACIOS & ASOCIADOS

Maria Jose Pepio Pensabene Cámara Guatemalteca de la Construcción

Rita Pérez Aragón & Aragón

Francisco Pilona City Hall of Guatemala City

Melida Pineda

Evelyn Rebuli Quiñones, Ibargüen, Luján & Mata S.C.

Marco Tulio Reyna
Cámara Guatemalteca de la
Construcción

Alfredo Rodríguez Mahuad Consortium-Rodríguez, Archila, Castellanos, Solares & Aguilar, S.C.

Rodrigo Salguero PwC Guatemala

Salvador A. Saravia Castillo Saravia & Muñoz

Salvador Augusto Saravia Mendoza Saravia & Muñoz

Klamcy Solorzano City Hall of Guatemala City

José Augusto Toledo Cruz Arias & Muñoz

Arelis Torres de Alfaro
SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS

Elmer Vargas ACZALAW

Maria del Rosario Yaquian Quiñones, Ibargüen, Luján & Mata S.C.

GUINEA

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

Camara Aly Badara

Aminatou Bah Transco SA & Aqua Marine SA

Thierno Amadou Tidiane Bah Aminata Bah Tall Transco SA & Aqua Marine SA

Mouhamed Lamine Bayo

Lousseny Cisse Transco SA & Aqua Marine SA

John Delahaye SOCOPAO - SDV Ahmadou Diallo

CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES

Dienabou Diallo

TRANSCO SA & AQUA MARINE SA Mohamed Kadialiou Diallo ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE

El Hajj Barry Djoudja AICHFEET

Adama Skel Fofana

Soukeina Fofana BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG) Joachim Gbilimou

Yannick Gui Any Ray Partners

Abdel Aziz Kaba Transco SA & Aqua Marine SA

Lansana Kaba

Mbalou Keita Tribunal de Première Instance de Касоим

Mariama Ciré Keita Diallo Transco SA & Aqua Marine SA

Nounké Kourouma Administration et Contrôle des GRANDS PROJETS

Avit Kpoghomou NIMBA CONSEIL SARL

Fofana Naby Moussa RANOLIE CENTRALE DE GLUNÉE (BCRG)

Guy Piam Transco SA & Aoua Marine SA

Raffi Raja CABINET KOÛMY

Amadou Salif Kébé CABINET AVOCAT SALIF KÉBÉ

Lansana Salif Soumah

Abdourahamane Tounkara GUINÉE CONSULTING

Aboubacar Salimatou Toure NTM AREERA GLIINEE S.A.

Fatoumata Yari Soumah Yansane OFFICE NOTARIAL

GUINEA-BISSAU

ELECTRICIDADE E AGUAS DA GUINE-BISSAU

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo RCFAO.

José Alves Té MINISTÉRIO DA JUSTICA

Humiliano Alves Cardoso

GABINETE ADVOCACIA Adelaida Mesa D'Almeida

JURISCONTA SRI Djamila Mary Pereira Gomes

AROUITECTONICA LDA Emilfreda M. de Oliveira

Miguel Mango AUDI - CONTA LDA

FCOBANK

Vitor Marques da Cruz MC&A - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS,

Francisco Mendes MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Teresa Pala Schwalbach MC&A - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS,

Eduardo Pimentel CENTRO DE FORMALIZAÇÃO DE EMPRESAS

Sydney Pinto

Fernando Tavares TRANSMAR SERVICES

Djunco Suleiman Ture MUNICIPALITY OF BISSAU

Carlos Vamain GOMES & VAMAIN ASSOCIADOS

Emmanuel Yehouessi RCFAO.

GUYANA

Marcel Bobb Fraser, Housty & Yearwood ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Ashton Chase LAW OFFICE OF ASHTON CHASE ASSOCIATES

Desmond Correia CORREIA & CORREIA LTD.

Lucia Desir-John D & J SHIPPING SERVICES

Marlon Gonsalves RODRIGUES ARCHITECTS I TD.

Orin Hinds ORIN HINDS & ASSOCIATES ARCH. LTD.

Teni Housty Fraser, Housty & Yearwood ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Rexford Jackson SINGH, DOODNAUTH LAW FIRM

Rakesh Latchana RAM & McRae Chartered

Alexis Monize GUYANA OFFICE FOR INVESTMENT

Enrique Monize LAND REGISTRY

Manzoor Nadir

R.N. Poonai Poonai & Poonai

Christopher Ram RAM & MCRAE CHARTERED

Vishwamint Ramnarine PFK BARCELLOS, NARINE & CO.

Albert Rodrigues RODDIGUES APCHITECTS I TO

Shantel Scott Fraser, Housty & Yearwood ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Shaundell Stephenson OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER

Gidel Thomside

NATIONAL SHIPPING CORPORATION LTD.

Josephine Whitehead CAMERON & SHEPHERD

Troy Williams RAM & McRAE CHARTERED

Roger Yearwood Britton, Hamilton & Adams

Mérové-Pierre - Cabinet D'EXPERTS-COMPTABLES

Jean Bantiste Brown BROWN I FGAL GROUP

Martin Camille Cangé ELECTRICITÉ D'HAÏTI

Monique César Guillaume PAGS - CABINET D'EXPERTS COMPATBLES

Djacaman Charles CABINET GASSANT

Robinson Charles BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI

Inelor Dorval

Lucien Fresnel CABINET GASSANT

Enerlio Gassant CABINET GASSANT

Giordani Gilbert Emile ETUDE BRISSON CASSAGNOL Marc Hebert Ignace BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI

Raphaël Izmery GBS GENERAL BUILDING SYSTEMS

Luciner Joseph MAIRIE DE PETIONVILLE

Robert Laforest CABINET LAFOREST

Camille Leblanc CABINET LEBLANC & ASSOCIÉS

Ludwig Leblanc CABINET LEBLANC & ASSOCIÉS

Wilhelm E. Lemke Jr. ENMARCOLDA (D'ADESKY)

Roberson Louis CABINET GASSANT Kathia Magloire

CABINET GASSANT Joseph Paillant BUCOFISC

Micosky Pompilus CABINET D'AVOCATS CHALMERS

Leon Saint -Louis AVOCAT

Margarette Sanon BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI

Michel Succar SUCCAR AND ASSOCIATES

Salim Succar CABINET LISSADE

Antoine Turnier FIRME TURNIER - COMPTABLE Professionnels Agréés Conseils de DIRECTION

HONDURAS

CNBS - COMISION NACIONAL DE BANCOS Y SEGUROS

EMPRESA NACIONAL DE ENERGÍA FLÉCTRICA

José Antonio Abate ABAS CONSULTORES

Juan José Alcerro Milla Aguilar Castillo Love

Jose Miguel Alvarez CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA AROGADOS

José Simón Azcona Inmobiliaria Alianza SA

Adrián Burgos CONSORTILIM CENTRO AMÉRICA Abogados

César Augusto Cabrera Zapata TransUnion

Fredy Castillo GARCÍA & BODÁN

Jaime Alberto Colindres Rosales

Graciela Cruz GARCÍA & BODÁN

Víctor Manuel Cuadra Burlero CONSTRUCTORA URBE

Gilda Espinal Veliz ASJ - ASOCIACION PARA UNA Sociedad mas Justa

Oscar Armando Girón Asociación Hondureña de Compañías y Representantes Navieros (AHCORENA)

lessica Handal ARIAS & MIJÑOZ

Juan Diego Lacayo González Aguilar Castillo Love

Evangelina Lardizábal ARIAS & MIJÑOZ

Guadalupe Martinez Casas CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL & Asocianos

Claribel Medina CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL &

Jesús Humberto Medina-Alva

CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL & Asociados

Juan Carlos Meiía Cotto INSTITUTO DE LA PROPIEDAD

Iván Alfredo Vigíl Molina AROGADO

Ricardo Montes Belot Arias & Muñoz Ramón E. Morales

PWC HONDURAS Vanessa Oquelí

GARCÍA & BODÁN Danna Paredes PWC HONDURAS

José Ramón Paz CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA

Marco Ponce CENTRAL LAW MEDINA, ROSENTHAL &

Asociados Milton Rivera PWC HONDURAS

José Rafael Rivera Ferrari CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA AROGADOS

Enrique Rodriguez Burchard Aguilar Castillo Love

Fanny Rodríguez del Cid ARIAS & MUÑO

René Serrano Arias & Muñoz Gricelda Urquía

TRANSUNION

Armando Urtecho López COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA

EMPRESA PRIVADA) Roberto Manuel Zacarías Urrutia

Zacarías & Asociados Mario Rubén Zelaya

ENERGÍA INTEGRAL S. DE R.L. DE C.V. Carlos Zuniga IRÍAS & ASOCIADOS - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

HONG KONG SAR, CHINA

ALLEN & OVERY

GUANGDONG AND HONG KONG FEEDER ASSOCIATION I TD.

Duncan Abate MAYER BROWN JSM

Albert P.C. Chan THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC

Kenneth Chan HONG KONG ECONOMIC & TRADE

OFFICE Nicholas Chan SOUIRE SANDERS

Rico Chan BAKER & MCKENZIE

Wendy O. Chan FAIRBAIRN CATLEY LOW & KONG

Vashi Chandiramani EXCELLENCE INTERNATIONAL

Beverly Cheung MAYER BROWN JSM

Winnie Cheung THE LAND REGISTRY OF HONG KONG Michael Chiang MCAA I TO

Stephanie Chiu MAYER BROWN JSM

Robert Chu

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS AND BUSINESS FACILITATION UNIT

Tony Chu VICTON REGISTRATIONS LTD.

Jimmy Chung James Ngai & Partners CPA Limited - member of Russell Bedford INTERNATIONAL

Vicky Chung GILT CHAMBERS

Joshua Cole MALLESONS STEPHEN JACQUES

Keith Man Kei Ho WILKINSON & GRIST

Vivian Ho BAKER & MCKENZIE Basil Hwang

DECHERT Salina Ko APL

Peter Kwon ASHURST LLP

Billy Lam MAYER BROWN JSM

Christie Lam HONG KONG FINANCIAL SECRETARY

Cindy Lam THE LAND REGISTRY OF HONG KONG

Lauren Lau KLC KENNIC LUI & CO

Candas Lee EDMUND W. H. CHOW & CO

Juliana Lee MAYER BROWN JSM

Alice Leung

CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT Dennis Li

SIMON REID-KAY & ASSOCIATES Tommy Li EDMUND W. H. CHOW & CO

Kennic L H Lui KLC KENNIC LUI & CO

Psyche S.F. Luk FAIRBAIRN CATLEY LOW & KONG

Louise Ng

SOUIRE SANDERS Kok Leong Ngan CLP POWER HONG KONG LIMITED

Kenneth Poon THE LAND REGISTRY OF HONG KONG

Martinal Quan METOPRO ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Yash A. Rana GOODWIN PROCTER LLP Kim Rooney

GILT CHAMBERS Matthias Schemuth

Eric Tang

ASIA BUSINESS SERVICE LIMITED Hong Tran MAYER BROWN JSM

Anita Tsang PwC Hong Kong

Derek Tsang MAYER BROWN JSM

Laurence Tsong TransUnion Hong Kong Paul Tsui
Hong Kong Association of Freight
Forwarding & Logistics Ltd.
(HAFFA)

Leung Wan Inland Revenue Department, HKSAR

STEPHENSON HARWOOD
Christopher Whitelev

Yeeling Wan

Ashurst LLP
Agnes Wong

COMPANIES REGISTRY, HKSAR

Bill Wong Hong Kong Economic & Trade Office

Chester Wong Mayer Brown JSM

Fergus Wong PwC Hong Kong

Patrick Wong Mayer Brown JSM

Winston Yau ORIENTAL BUSINESS SERVICES LIMITED

Ricky Yiu Baker & McKenzie

Hai Yong Baker & McKenzie

Peter Yu PwC Hong Kong

Frank Yuen KLC KENNIC LUI & CO

HUNGARY

CARGO-PARTNER

JONES LANG LASALLE

Mark Balastyai Futureal Group

Diana Balazs PwC Hungary

Péter Bárdos Law Firm Dr. Péter and Rita Bárdos

Marianna Bártfai BDO Hungary

Sándor Békési Partos & Noblet Hogan Lovells

Péter Berethalmi Nagy és Trócsányi Law Office, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Hedi Bozsonyik Szecskay Attorneys at Law

Beata Buinoczki

RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM
ZSUZSANNA CSERI

CSERI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM
Gábor Dohány

PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS

Gabriella Erdos PwC Hungary

Tamás Esze BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Agnes Fábry PRK Partners / Fábry Law Office

György Fehér PRK Partners / Fábry Law Office

Hajnalka Fekó BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Éva Fülöp BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT I AW

Gyula Gábriel Bogsch & Partners

Ernő Garamvölgyi Budapest IX District Municipality Éva Gargya Nagy és Trócsányi Law Office, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Anna Gáspár Build-Econ Ltd.

Csaba Attila Hajdu BNT SZABÓ TOM BURMEISTER ÜGYVÉDI

Tamas Halmos
PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS

Dóra Horváth

RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM

István IIIés Reti, Antall and Partners Law Firm

Norbert Izer
PwC Hungary
Andrea Jádi Németh

BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Dorottya Kovacsics Partos & Noblet Hogan Lovells

Petra Lencs CSERI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

László Mohai Монаі Law Оғғісе

András Multas Partos & Noblet Hogan Lovells

Robert Nagy BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION (PLC)

Sándor Németh Szecskay Attorneys at Law

Christopher Noblet
PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS

István Sándor Kelemen, Meszaros, Sandor & Partners

Tamas Sotet
International Logistic Gateway

Krisztina Stachó BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Gergely Szabó Reti, Antall and Partners Law Firm

Tibor Szabó
Reti, Antall and Partners Law Firm

Ágnes Szent-Ivány Sándor Szegedi Szent-Ivány

SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-ÍVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS

Viktória Szilágyi Nagy és Trócsányi Law Office, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Angéla Szőke BDO Hungary

Adrienn Tar Szecskay Attorneys at Law

Ágnes Tigelmann BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ádám Tóth Dr. Tóth Ádám Közjegyzői Iroda

Gábor Varga BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION (PIC)

Réka Vizi-Magyarosi вът Ѕzаво́ Том Вигмеїsтег Ügyvédi

Blanka Zombori PwC Hungary

Antónia Zsigmond

BPV | JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS

ICELAND

PwC Iceland

REYKJAVIK MUNICIPAL BUILDING CONTROL OFFICE Karen Bragadóttir Tollstjóri - Directorate of Customs

Eymundur Einarsson Endurskoðun og ráðgjöf ehf - member of Russell Bedford International

Ólafur Eiríksson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Skuli Th. Fjeldsted
FJELDSTED, BLÖNDAL & FJELDSTED

Benedikt Geirsson

Erlendur Gíslason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Guðrún Guðmundsdóttir Jónar Transport

Reynir Haraldsson Jónar Transport

Hörður Davíð Harðarson Tollstjóri - Directorate of Customs

Margrét Hauksdóttir REGISTERS ICELAND

Stefan Ingimarsson
FULLTINGI LEGAL SERVICES

Sigrun Helga Johannsdottir FULLTINGI LEGAL SERVICES

Erlingur E. Jónasson ISTAK

Hróbjartur Jónatansson Jónatansson & Co. Legal Services

Jóhanna Áskels Jónsdóttir PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL EHF

Thora Jónsdóttir Juris Law office

Jóhann Magnús Jóhannsson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Benedetto Nardini BBA LEGAL

Dagbjört Oddsdóttir BBA LEGAL Kristján Pálsson

Jónar Transport Ásgeir Á. Ragnarsson

BBA LEGAL
Eyvindur Sólnes

CATO LÖGMENN Jóhannes Stephensen

CREDITINFO ICELAND
Gunnar Sturluson

LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Rúnar Svavar Svavarsson

Orkuveita Reykjavíkur, Distribution-Electrical System Stefán A. Svensson

JURIS LAW OFFICE

Steinþór Þorsteinsson Tollstjóri - Directorate of Customs

INDIA

Jolly Abraham Desai & Diwanji

Mahima Ahluwalia Trilegal

Lzafeer Ahmad Trilegal

Fraser Alexander Juris Corp

P. V. Balasubramaniam BFS LEGAL

Ashish Banga Juris Corp

Sumant Batra Kesar Dass B & Associates

Neeraj Bhagat Neeraj Bhagat & Co. M.L Bhakta Kanga & Co.

Pradeep Bhandari

PROTEAM CONSULTING PRIVATE LIMITED
Sushil Bhasin
BHASIN INTERNATIONAL

Saurav Bhattacharya PwC India

Rewati Bobde Juris Corp Nidhi Bothra

VINOD KOTHARI & CO., COMPANY
SECRETARIES

Leena Chacko
AMARCHAND & MANGALDAS &
SURESH A. SHROFF & Co.
Raiarshi Chakrabarti

Harshala Chandorkar

KOCHHAR & CO.

Prashant Chauhan

Daizy Chawla SINGH & ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES AND

Manjula Chawla PHOENIX LEGAL

Arzineh Chinoy DESAI & DIWANJI Harish Chugh

PARAM OVERSEA

Sachin Chugh Singhi Chugh & Kumar, Chartered Accountants

Ketan Dalal PwC India

Vishwang Desai Desai & Diwanii Devendra Deshmukh

KHAITAN & Co. Monika Deshmukh DESAI & DIWANJI

Prashant Dharia ANANT INDUSTRIES Farida Dholkawala

DESAI & DIWANJI Ashwin Didwania NEW GLOBE LOGISTIK PVT. LTD.

Thambi Durai

Ferdinand Duraimanickam

Ritika Ganju PHOENIX LEGAL Rahul Garg PWC INDIA

Tavishi Garg Majmudar & Co. Sameer Guha

Trupti Guha
KOCHHAR & CO.
Atul Gupta
TRILEGAL
Ruchira Gupta

THE JURIS SOCIIS

Akil Hirani

MAJMUDAR & CO.

Ashok Jain

RUNTAL INDUSTRY CO. 1 TD.

Yogesh Jare Suhasini Impex H. Jayesh

Juris Corp

Dharmendra Johari
Stonex Inc.

Rajat Joneja KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Sumeet Kachwaha Kestenberg Rabinowicz Partners LLP – member of Russell Bedford

Rupen Kanawala Juris Corp

Megha Kapoor Singh & Associates, Advocates and Solicitors

Jayesh Karandikar Косннаг & Со. Rajas Kasbekar Little & Co.

Kripi Kathuria PHOENIX LEGAL Charandeep Kaur

TRILEGAL
Paramjeet Kaur
Param Overseas

Mitalee Kaushal Shahriar Khan Crown Agents Ltd.

Ravinder Komaragiri
THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED

Anuraag Kothari
TRILEGAL
Vinod Kothari
VINOD KOTHARI & CO., COMPANY

Harsh Kumar Singhi Chugh & Kumar, Chartered

Accountants

Mukesh Kumar

KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Vikram Kumar Supply Source India

Dilip Kumar Niranjan Singh & Associates, Advocates and Solicitors

Manoj Kumar Singh Singh & Associates, Advocates and Solicitors

Vijay Kumar Singh Singh & Associates, Advocates and Solicitors

Shreedhar Kunte Sharp and Tannan - member of Russell Bedford International

C.K.N. Kuppuraajha Shri Abinaya Mercantiles Private Limited

Harjeet Lall
AXON PARTNERS LLP
Nitesh Latwal
CORPORATE PROFESSIONALS

TRILEGAL

Rajiv K. Luthra

LUTHRA & LUTHRA

Sarika Malhotra

Chandni Lochan

PwC India Aditi Manchanda Juris Corp

Som Mandal Fox Mandal & Co. Vipender Mann

KNM & Partners, Law Offices
Vaishali Manubarwala
Desai & Diwanii

Avadesh Marthur HARSH IMPEX Atul Mehta MEHTA & MEHTA

Dara Mehta

Dipti Mehta
MEHTA & MEHTA
Jitesh Mehta
SOURCE INDIA
Preeti G. Mehta
KANGA & CO.
Vishal Mehta
MEHTA & MEHTA
Dhiraj Mhetre
DESAI & DIWANJI

Sharad Mishra NEO MULTIMEDIAN Saurabh Misra Saurabh Misra & Associates,

Advocates
Ananya Mitra
Juris Chambers

Moiz Motiwala Sharp and Tannan - member of Russell Bedford International

Mustafa Motiwala Juris Corp

Shyamal Mukherjee PwC India

Sudip Mullick KHAITAN & Co. Vaidehi Naik PHOENIX LEGAL Puja Nalam BMR LEGAL

Gunita Pahwa Singh & Associates, Advocates and

Solicitors

Dharmesh Panchal

PwC India Madhav Pande Janak Pandya Nishith Desai Associates

Tejas R. Parekh Nishith Desai Associates

Vijayta Parmar SINGH & ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES AND

Solicitors
Barasha Pathak
Juris Corp

Sanjay Patil
BDH INDUSTRIES LIMITED
Dhruv Paul

TRILEGAL

Bhadrinath Madhusudan Pogul KALKI INTERNATIONAL

Madhavi Pogul Kalki International

Madhusudan Venkatesh Pogul Kalki International

Nitin Potdar J. Sagar Associates, Advocates &

M. Prabhakaran Consulta Juris

Ajay Raghavan

Ravishankar Raghavan Majmudar & Co.

Palaniandavan Ramasamy BES LEGAL

Ashok Ramgir Harsh Impex

Harsh Ramgir Накsн Імрех

Dipak Rao SINGHANIA & PARTNERS LLP SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES

Abhishek A. Rastogi PwC India Richie Sancheti Nishith Desai Associates

Ramani Seshadri Brinda Shah MEHTA & MEHTA Manay Shah

Sonali Sharma Juris Corp S.D. Sharma PARAM OVERSEAS

KOCHHAR & CO.

Varnika Sharma Juris Corp K.M. Aasim Shehzad

Shivanand Shenoy SIDDHI FOAMS Vikram Shroff

NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES
Ravinder Pal Singh
International Surgical Indis

Mukesh Singhal KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Ankit Singhi
Corporate Professionals
Vinay Sirohia

Axon Partners LLP

Veena Sivaramakrishnan

Harshita Srivastava Nishith Desai Associates

P.N. Swaroop Modern Cargo Services Pvt. Ltd.

Anil Tanwar Param Overseas

Rajesh Tayal KNM & Partners, Law Offices

Mahesh Thaker MJ Co., LTD. Chetan Thakkar KANGA & Co. Vishnu Thakkar

PwC India
Piyush Thareja
NEERAJ BHAGAT & CO.

Kanisshka Tyagi Kesar Dass B & Associates

Rahul Tyagi Fox Mandal & Co.

Navratan Uppal Ajay Verma Juris Chambers

Hemant Vijay Pandya Мента & Мента

Rajat Vohra

Saral Kumar Yadav Saadhaar Marketing Pvt.Ltd.

Pooja Yedukumar Juris Corp

INDONESIA

Jakarta Province's Building Supervision & Administration Office

Adi Ariantara Jakarta Investment and Promotion

Hamud M. Balfas Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Fabian Buddy Pascoal Hanafiah Ponggawa & Partners

Ita Budhi PwC Indonesia Prianto Budi PT Pratama Indomitra Konsultan - MEMBER OF RUSSEU BEDFORD

- member of Russell Bedford International

Tony Budidjaja Budidjaja & Associates Law Offices

Harri Budiman Frans Winarta & Partners

Jimmy Charles PT Hyper Mega Shipping

Juni Dani Budidjaja & Associates Law Offices

Utari Dyah Kusuma Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Partners

Sani Eka Duta Bank Indonesia Donny Fadilah Bahar & Partners

Renita Girsang YAN APUL & REKAN

Widigdya Sukma Gitaya WSG Tax Advisor

Ayik Gunadi ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

lqbal Hadromi Надгомі & Partners

Dedet Hardiansyah BUDIMAN AND PARTNERS

Jonathan Hariandja Frans Winarta & Partner

Muhaimin Ibnu Hasan Makarim & Taira S.

Michael Hasian Giovanni Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Partners

Erwandi Hendarta Hadiputranto, Hadinoto & Partners

Firman Setia Herwanto Indonesian Institute of Architects

Mohammad Kamal Hidayat

Alexander Augustinus Hutauruk Hadiputranto, Hadinoto & Partners

Brigitta Imam Rahayoe Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Partners

Robert Buana Jaya Budidjaja & Associates Law Offices

Mirza Karim KarımSyaн Law Fırm

Galinar R. Kartakusuma Makarim & Taira S.

Herry N. Kurniawan Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Rudy Kusmanto Makarim & Taira S. Winita F. Kusnandar

Kusnandar & Co. Arno F. Rizaldi Kwok

Arno F. Rizaldi Kwok Kusnandar & Co.

Edward N. Lontoh
LONTOH & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE

Rudhy A. Lontoh Lontoh & Partners Law Office

Noorfina Luthfiany
BANK INDONESIA

Ferry P. Madian ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ella Melany Hanafiah Ponggawa & Partners

Karen Mills KarımSyaн Law Firm Nico Mooduto Soewito Suhardiman Eddymurthy

Norma Mutalib Makarım & Taira S.

Alexander Nainggolan Надкомі & Partners

Suria Nataadmadja Suria Nataadmadja & Associates

Mia Noni Yuniar Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Partners

Meiske Panggabean
BAHAR & PARTNERS

Ay Tjhing Phan
PwC INDONESIA

Denny Rahmansyah Soewito Suhardiman Eddymurthy Kardono

Sophia Rengganis PwC Indonesia Adrio Rivadi Kusnandar & Co.

Isyana W. Sadjarwo Notaris & Рејавот Ремвиот Акіо

Gatot Sanyoto Kusnandar & Co.

Nur Asyura Anggini Sari Bank Indonesia

Marinza Savanthy Widyawan & Partners

Natasha A. Sebayang Soewito Suhardiman Eddymurthy Kardono

Arie Setiawan PT Sahabat Utama Indonesia

Kevin Omar Sidharta ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ricardo Simanjuntak RICARDO SIMANJUNTAK & PARTNERS

Terman Siregar

JAKARTA INVESTMENT AND PROMOTION

BOARD

Yukiko Lyla Usman Tambunan BANK INDONESIA

S.H. Anggra Syah Reza Tengku ALI BUDIARDJO NUGROHO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI REKSODIPUTRO

Christian Teo Christian Teo & Associates

Yuliana Tjhai Bahar & Partners

Hanum Ariana Tobing
BUDIDJAJA & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICES

Gatot Triprasetio Widyawan & Partner

Runi Tusita PwC Indonesia

Pudji Wahjuni Purbo Makarim & Taira S.

Ilham Wahyu Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro, member of Lex Mundi

Sony Panji Wicaksono Bank Indonesia

Fransiska Ade Kurnia Widodo Budidjaja & Associates Law Offices

Aditya Kesha Wijayanto WIDYAWAN & PARTNERS

Frans Winarta & Partners

IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.

Hamid Reza Adabi State Organization for REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Nazem Ahmadian Nasrabadi State Organization for REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Hamede Akhavan Securities and Exchange Organization of Iran

Gholam Ali Asghari GREAT TEHRAN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)

Hamid Berenjkar Office of Hamid Berenjkar Morteza Dezfoulian

Mahmoud Ebadi Tabrizi
Law Offices M. Ebadi Tabrizi &

Associates Attorneys at Law Maryam Ebrahimi Tehran Stock Exchange (TSE)

Mona Ebrahimi

Mahmoud Eskandari Iran Trade Promotion Organization

Shirzad Eslami OJE Law office

Hossein Fahimi Securities and Exchange Organization of Iran

Zahra Farzaliyan State Organization for REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Hengameh Fazeli Daie Zangi State Organization for REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Nassim Jahanbani Great Tehran Electricity Distribution Company (GTEDC)

Mohammad Jalili IRAN CREDIT SCORING

Farid Kani Atieh Associates

Behnam Khatami ATIEH ASSOCIATES Amir Kheirollahy

HT Co, LTD.

Gholam Reza Malekshoar
CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC
REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Seyed Ali Mirshafiei
TEHRAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,
INDUSTRY AND MINES

Fatemeh Sadat Mirsharifi MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

Seyedeh Fatemeh Moghimi SADID BAR INT TRANSPORT

Mozaffar Mohammadian TEEMA BAR INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT Babak Namazi

Atieh Associates
Rasoul Nowrouzi
Iran Trade Promotion
Organization

Ahmad Parkhideh

IRAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Mohammad Reza Pasban ALLAME TABATABAEI UN.- IRANIAN CENTRAL BAR ASSOCIATION

Farmand Pourkarim TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY Mariam Sahrabin

Ahmad Shabanifard Bastan Honar Nama Cyrus Shafizadeh

ATIEH ASSOCIATES
Farzan Shirvanbeigi

TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY
Rajat Ratan Sinha

RCS PVT. LTD. BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP

Mohammad Soltani Securities and Exchange Organization of Iran

Abbas Taghipour Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran

Ebrahim Tavakoli Tavakoli & Shahabi

Meghdad Torabi Tavakoli & Shahabi

Vrej Torossian

Torossian, Avanessian & Associate Abdolamir Yaghouti Great Tehran Electricity

DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)

ERNST & YOUNG

GEZAIRI TRANSPORT IRAQI COMPANY

Iraqi Association of Securities

Faik Abdul Rasool IRAQI INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC REFORM

Emad Abdullatif

IRAQI INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC

REFORM

Marie Antoinette Airut AIRUT LAW OFFICES

Omar Al Nemer Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Ahmed Al-Jannabi Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Jafar Albadran Iraqi Institute for Economic Reform

Mazin Albadran Iraqi Institute for Economic Reform

Mustafa Alshawi IRAQI INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC REFORM

Florian Amereller Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Amereller Rechtsanwälte Munther B. Hamoudi

AL ATTAR REAL ESTATE OFFICE Duraid Basil

Iraqi Institute for Economic Reform

PANALPINA GULF

Thomas David

Husam Addin Hatim

Stephan Jäger Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Imad Makki AL Qarya Group Co.

Mudher Mohammed Salih IRAQ CENTRAL BANK

Omar Moneer Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Auday Najim Ali Ashur International Bank Ahmed Salih Al-Janabi Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Claus Schmidt PANALPINA GULF

Abdelrahman Sherif Mena Associates, member of Amereller Rechtsanwälte

Khaled Yaseen

Ilza Zwein Airut Law Offices

IRELAND

ESB NETWORKS

Margaret Austin
EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Michael Bergin
PwC IRELAND
Finola Boyle

EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Alan Browning LK Shields Solicitors, member of Ius Laboris

John Comerford Cooney Carey - Member of Russell Bedford International

Eoin Cunneen LK Shields Solicitors, member of Ius I aboris

Richard Curran LK Shields Solicitors, Member of Ius Laboris

Kiara Daly Daniel Murphy Solicitors

Laura Daly
LK Shields Solicitors, member of
Jus Laboris

Gavin Doherty
EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Eoghan Doyle

Ray Duffy
THE PROPERTY REGISTRATION
AUTHORITY

Frank Flanagan Mason Hayes+Curran

Mason Hayes+Curra Sarah Gallagher

DILLON EUSTACE
Aileen Gittens

ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Paul Gough
EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Sinéad Greene LK Shields Solicitors, MEMBER OF JUS LABORIS

William Johnston Arthur Cox, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jonathan Kelly PHILIP LEE

Maeve Larkin ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

MaryLiz Mahony
ARTHUR COX MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gavin McGuire

Kevin Meehan Compass Maritime Ltd.

Shane Neville LK Shields Solicitors, member of Ius Laboris

Michael O'Connor Matheson Ormsby Prentice

Deirdre O'Mahony Arthur Cox, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Maurice Phelan Mason Hayes+Curran Sinead Power Irish Credit Bureau

Jilian Pringle OLM Consultancy

Brendan Sharkey REDDY CHARLTON

Gavin Simons

Daniel Murphy Solicitors

Lorcan Tiernan DILLON EUSTACE

Mark Traynor A&L GOODBODY

Joe Tynan PwC IRELAND

Colm Walsh
IRISH INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT
ASSOCIATION

Maeve Walsh REDDY CHARLTON

Emma Weld-Moore
Daniel Murphy Solicitors

ISRAEL

A. Moskovits & Sons Ltd.

Ofer Bar-On Shavit Bar-On Gal-On Tzin Yagur, Law Offices

Jacob Ben-Chitrit YIGAL ARNON & Co.

Moshe Ben-Yair Public Utility Authority-Electricity

Jeremy Benjamin GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.

Marina Benvenisti Ruth Cargo Yitzchak Chikorel

DELOITTE LLP

Doron Cohon

RAVEH, RAVID & CO CPAs - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL Danny Dilbary

GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & Co. Tuvia Geffen

Nasdchitz, Brandes & Co. Ido Gonen

GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & Co.
Amos Hacmun

HESKIA-HACMUN LAW FIRM Liron HaCohen YIGAI ARNON & CO

Yossi Katsav Ruth Cargo

Zeev Katz PwC Israel

Vered Kirshner PwC Israel

Adam Klein GOLDFARB SFLIGMAN & CO.

GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.
Gideon Koren

GIDEON KOREN & Co. LAW OFFICES

Orna Kornreich-Cohen
SHAVIT BAR-ON GAL-ON TZIN

Meira Kowalsky

YAGUR, LAW OFFICES

EFRAT-KOWALSKY ARCHITECTS
Michael Lagon
THE ISRAEL ELECTRIC CORPORATION

LTD.- DAN DISTRICT
Benjamin Leventhal
GIDEON FISHER & Co.

Michelle Liberman S. Horowitz & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Danielle Loewenstein S. Horowitz & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Rotem Muntner RUTH CARGO

Meir Nussbaum Deloitte LLP

Mirit Reif HACOHEN WOLF LAW OFFICES

Liat Rothschild GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.

Gerry Seligman PwC Israel

Edward Shtaif
The Israel Electric Corporation
I TD. - Dan district

Daniel Singerman
Business Data Israel + Personal
Check

Maya Tiomkin Efrat-Kowalsky Architects

Daphna Tsarfaty GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.

Eylam Weiss WEISS-PORAT & Co. Zeev Weiss

WEISS-PORAT & CO.

Dave Wolf HACOHEN WOLF LAW OFFICES

ITALY

Marianna Abbaticchio RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI

Marco Sebastiano Accorrà
TLS - Associazione Professionale di
Avvocati e Commercialisti

Fabrizio Acerbis PwC ITALY

Mario Altavilla Unioncamere

Federico Antich
STUDIO DELL'AVVOCATO ANTICH

Stefano Aprile
PENAL COURT OF ROME

Roberto Argeri Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Gaetano Arnò TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Romina Ballanca TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Paola Barazzetta TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Lamberto Barbieri CRIF S. P. A.

Giuseppe Battaglia Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Vlad Beffa

Susanna Beltramo Studio Legale Beltramo

Stefano Biagioli TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Giampaolo Botta Spediporto - Associazione Spedizionieri Corrieri e Trasportatori di Genova

Giuseppe Broccoli BDA Studio Legale

Sergio Calderara Almaviva S.P.A. - Direzione Affari Legali

Gianluca Cambareri Tonucci & Partners, in alliance with Mayer Brown LLP Stefano Cancarini TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Alessandro Cardia Grieco e Associati

Cecilia Carrara LEGANCE Paolo Carta ACEA S.P.A

Fausto Caruso NCTM - Studio Legale Associato

Gennaro Cassiani GC Architecture Buro

Lucia Ceccarelli Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Giorgio Cherubini PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI

Domenico Colella Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Fabrizio Colonna LCA - LEGA COLUCCI E ASSOCIATI

Mattia Colonnelli de Gasperis COLONNELLI DE GASPERIS STUDIO LEGALE

Dorella Concadoro
Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Barbara Corsetti
PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE

CHIOMENTI STUDIO LEGALE Barbara Cortesi STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI

Filippo Corsini

Domenica Cotroneo TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Massimo Cremona PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI

Salvatore Cuzzocrea TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Antonio De Martinis

Spasaro De Martinis Law Firm Raffaella De Martinis

Spasaro De Martinis Law Firm Francesca De Paolis International Centre for Dispute

Claudio Di Falco Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

Antonella Di Maria M&M Associati

RESOLUTION

Massimiliano Di Tommaso Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

Emanuele Ferrari STUDIO NOTARILE FERRARI Maddalena Ferrari

STUDIO NOTARILE FERRARI Guiseppe Ferrelli STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA

Paola Flora Studio Legale associato ad Ashurst IIP

Alberto Forte Notaio Forte

Pier Andrea Fré Torelli Massini Carabba & Partners

Linda Nicoletta Frigo GRUPPO PAM S.P.A.

Paolo Gallarati NCTM - STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO

Andrea Gangemi Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Enrica Maria Ghia Ghia Law Firm Lucio Ghia Ghia I aw Firm

Vincenzo Fabrizio Giglio GIGLIO & SCOFFERI STUDIO LEGALE DEL LAVORO

Andrea Grappelli Tonucci & Partners, in alliance with Mayer Brown LLP

Antonio Grieco Grieco e Associati

Tommaso Gualco Bre-engineering SRL

Valentino Guarini TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Federico Guasti Studio Legale Guasti

Giovanni Izzo Abbatescianni Studio Legale e Trirutario

Ignazio la Candia PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI

Enrico Lodi CRIF S. P. A.

Cesare Lombrassa Studio Legale Lombrassa

Artemisia Lorusso Tonucci & Partners, in alliance with Mayer Brown LLP

Francesco Losappio
TLS - Associazione Professionale di
Avvocati e Commercialisti

Paolo Lucarini PwC ITALY

Stefano Macchi di Cellere Jones Day

Matteo Magistrelli Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Fabrizio Mariotti

Laura Marretta Romolotti Marretta

Donatella Martinelli Studio Legale Associato Tommasini e Martinelli

Pietro Masi Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Gennaro Mazzuoccolo

Laura Mellone

Regina Meo Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Andrea Messuti LCA - LEGA COLUCCI E ASSOCIATI

Mario Miccoli

Luca Milan Studio Associato Giannessi Milan

Nunzia Moliterni Jones Lang LaSalle

Marco Monaco Sorge Tonucci & Partners, in Alliance with Mayer Brown LLP

Micael Montinari
Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Eliana Morandi Studio Notarile Eliana Morandi

Daniela Morante MILAN CHAMBER OF ARBITRATION

Valeria Morosini Toffoletto e Soci Law Firm, member of I is Laboris

Gianmatteo Nunziante
Nunziante Magrone

Francesco Nuzzolo

Ferdinando Offredi VENOSTA R.E. S.RL

Aldo Olivo Architect

Fabiana Padroni Ristuccia & Tufarelli

Luciano Panzani Torino Court of First Instance

Giovanni Patti Abbatescianni Studio Legale e Tributario

Yan Pecoraro Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Federica Peres Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Davide Petris Portolano Cavallo Studio Legale

Martina Pivetti TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Laura Prosperetti CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

Giuseppe Ramondelli RAMONDELLI E ASSOCIATI STUDIO LEGALE NOTARILE

Marianna Ristuccia RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI

Tommaso Edoardo Romolotti Romolotti Marretta

Silvia Sandrin Studio Legale associato ad Ashurst

Filippo Savoia Studio Savoia

Azzurra Scasso Spediporto - Associazione Spedizionieri Corrieri e Trasportatori di Genova

Mario Scofferi Giglio & Scofferi Studio Legale

Susanna Servi Carabba & Partners

Massimiliano Silvetti Nunziante Magrone

Carlo Sinatra Studio Legale Sinatra

Pierluigi Sodini

Piervincenzo Spasaro Spasaro De Martinis Law Firm

STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA

Maria Antonietta Tanico

Maria Antonietta Tanico STUDIO LEGALE TANICO Andrea Tedioli

TEDIOLI LAW FIRM Francesca Tironi

TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Giacinto Tommasini Studio Legale Associato Tommasini e Martinelli

Stefano Tresca T and Partners

Luca Tufarelli Ristuccia & Tufarelli

Laura Tumolo
NCTM - STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO

Rachele Vacca de Dominicis GRIECO E ASSOCIATI

Mario Valentini PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI Elisabetta Ventrella BDA Studio Legale

Antonio Virgallita TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

Angelo Zambelli Dewey & LeBoeue

Bruno Benvenuto Zerbini STUDIO LEGALE BELTRAMO

Filippo Zucchinelli TLS - Associazione Professionale di Avvocati e Commercialisti

JAMAICA

Attorney General's Office, Jamaica

ERNST & YOUNG

Cheronne Allen
JAMAICA PROMOTIONS CORPORATION
(JAMPRO)

Judith Allen KPMG Jamaica

Malene Alleyne Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, Member of Lex Mundi

Francine Blair National Environment & Planning

Mitzie W. Gordon Burke-Green

Raymond Campbell KPMG JAMAICA

Colleen Coleman-Wright

Eric Alexander Crawford

Lincoln A.C. Eatmon
Dunn Cox Attorneys-At-Law

Vitus Evans Jamaica Exporters Association

Natalie Farrell-Ross Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, member of Lex Mundi

Nicole Foga Foga Daley

Yvonne Godfrey Deloitte & Touche Chartered Accountants

Gavin Goffe Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, member

OF LEX MUNDI Lisa-Ann S. Grant MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL

Lissa L. Grant

SECURITY

Carla-Anne Harris Roper MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL SECURITY

Kerry-Ann Heavens Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, Member of Lex Mundi

Corrine N. Henry Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, member

of Lex Mundi Roger Hinds The Shipping Association of

Jamaica Wilbert Hoo Jamaica Mechanical & Electrical

ENGINEERING

Alicia P. Hussey
Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, member

of Lex Mundi

Donovan Jackson

Nunes, Scholefield DeLeon & Co Peter Knight National Environment & Planning Viralee Latibeaudiere Jamaica's Tax Administration at THE Ministry OF Finance & Public Service

Joan Lawla Manager, Academician

Jerome I. Lee Dunn Cox Attorneys-At-Law

Grace Lindo
Nunes, Scholefield DeLeon & Co

Melinda Lloyd Jamaica Public Service Company

Karen McHugh PwC Jamaica

Marlene McIntosh

Andrine McLaren
KINGSTON AND ST. ANDREW
CORPORATION

Alton E. Morgan Legis-Alton E.Morgan & Co. Attorneys-at-Law

Janet E. Morrison
Dunn Cox Attorneys-At-Law

Viveen Morrison

Dana Morrison Dixon
Jamaica Promotions Corporation
(JAMPRO)

Gary Parker
JAMAICA PROMOTIONS CORPORATION
(JAMPRO)

Gina Phillipps Black
Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, Member
OF LEX MUNDI

Norman Rainford KPMG Jamaica

Judith Ramlogan Companies Office of Jamaica

Stephan Rampair West Indies Home Contractors

Hilary Reid Myers, Fletcher & Gordon, Member of Lex Mundi

Venice Ricketts Jamaica Inland Revenue Department

Corah Ann Robertson-Sylvester SEABOARD FREIGHT AND SHIPPING

Milton J. Samuda Samuda & Johnson Attorneys-at-law

Norman Shand
KINGSTON AND ST. ANDREW
CORPORATION

Bernard Shepherd LEX CARIBBEAN

Stephanie Sterling
MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER
OF LEX MUNDI

Douglas Stiebel STIEBEL & COMPANY LIMITED

Marjorie Straw
JAMAICA PROMOTIONS CORPORATION
(IAMPRO)

Paul Tai Nunes, Scholefield DeLeon & Co

Lorraine Thomas LTN Logistics International Co. I TD.

Denzil Thorpe
Ministry of Labour and Social

Junior Waugh Jamaica Society of Customs Brokers Patricka Wiggan Chambers Jamaica Customs Department

Donovan Wignal
MAIRTRANS INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS

Sophia Williams National Land Agency Maia Wilson

Angelean Young-Daley
JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
LIMITED

JAPAN

ERNST & YOUNG

LEX CARIBBEAN

PwC Japan

TOKYO ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY INC.

Miho Arimura

HATASAWA & WAKAI I AW FIRM

Marie Eguchi Атѕимі & Sакаі

Toyoki Emoto *Aтѕимі & Sакаі* Tatsuya Fukui

ATSUMI & SAKAI Shinnosuke Fukuoka

Nishimura & Asahi Beppu Fumiya

Nishimura & Asahi Mika Haga Davis & Takahashi

Tamotsu Hatasawa Hatasawa & Wakai Law Firm

Akiko Hiraoka Atsumi & Sakai

Takashi Hirose Oh-Ebashi LPC & Partners

Hiroyasu Horimoto City-Yuwa Partners

Katsuo Hosoyama Azabu Aiwa & Co. Yuko Ishida

Nishimura & Asahi Michiya Iwasaki Atsumi & Sakai

Tomomi Kagawa

Yosuke Kanegae Oh-EBASHI LPC & PARTNERS Chie Kasahara ATSIJMI & SAKAI

Takahiro Kato Nishimura & Asahi Kohei Kawamura

NISHIMURA & ASAHI Yasuyuki Kuribayashi CITY-YUWA PARTNERS

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
Yoii Maeda

Yukie Kurosawa

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Nobuaki Matsuoka
OSAKA INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICES

Toshio Miyatake Adachi, Henderson, Miyatake &

Michihiro Mori Nishimura & Asahi

FUIITA

Masahiro Murashima KITAHAMA PARTNERS

Hirosato Nabika City-Yuwa Partners

Masahiro Nakatsukasa Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.

Hiroyuki Ota

Chuo Sogo Law Office, P.C.

Takashi Saito City-Yuwa Partners

Yuka Sakai City-Yuwa Partners

Sara Sandford GARVEY SCHUBERT BARER LAW FIRM

Rieko Sasaki Атѕимі & Saкаі

Takefumi Sato Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

Tetsuro Sato BAKER & McKENZIE

Yoshihito Shibata BINGHAM McCUTCHEN MURASE, SAKAI & MIMURA FOREIGN LAW JOINT ENTERPRISE

Tomoko Shimomukai Nishimura & Asahi Hiroaki Shinomiya

Davis & Takahashi

Hisako Shiotani Атѕимі & Ѕакаі

Kentaro Shoji O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

Sachiko Sugawara Atsumi & Sakai Yuri Suzuki

Aтsuмі & Sakai Hiroaki Takahashi

Davis & Takahashi Mikio Tasaka

NITTSU RESEARCH INSTITUTE AND CONSULTING, INC.

Atsushi Tempaku Nippon Express Co., Ltd.

Junichi Tobimatsu Могі Намада & Матѕимото

Yoshito Tsuji Obayashi Corporation Yoshiki Tsurumaki

Aтsumi & Sakai Kenji Utsumi

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

Jun Yamada Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

Michi Yamagami Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

Akio Yamamoto Kajima Corporation

Yusuke Yukawa Nishimura & Asahi

JORDAN

Ernst & Young

Social Security Corporation

Hassan Abdullah The Jordanian Electric Power Co. Ltd. (JEPCO)

Mazen Abu Alghanam

Hayja'a Abu AlHayja'a *Hayja'a*

Nayef Abu Alim Premier Law Firm LLP

Deema Abu Zulaikha Hayja'a

Ibrahim Abunameh Авиламен & Partners Law Firm

Maha Al Abdallat CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN

Omar Al Sawadha
Hammouri & Partners

Mohammad Al Smadi International Business Legal Associates Eman M. Al-Dabbas International Business Legal Associates

Razan Al-Hosban Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

Sabri S. Al-Khassib Amman Chamber of Commerce

Ala Al-Louzi Hammouri & Partners

Mohammad Al-Said Nathan Associates

Omar Aljazy Aljazy & Co. Advocates & Legal Consultants

Khaled Asfour Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

Michael T. Dabit MICHAEL T. DABIT & ASSOCIATES

Richard Davidsen
AQABA CONTAINER TERMINAL CO.
(ACT)

Anwar Elliyan
The Jordanian Electric Power Co.
I TD. (JEPCO)

Tariq Hammouri Hammouri & Partners

Lubna Hawamdeh ALI Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

George Hazboun
Hazboun & Co. For International

Hazboun & Co. for International Legal Business Consultations

Reem Hazboun Hazboun & Co. FOR INTERNATIONAL LEGAL BUSINESS CONSULTATIONS

Tayseer Ismail East Echo Co.

Emad Karkar PwC Jordan Basel Kawar

Kawar Transport & Transit Kargo

Hussein Kofahy CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN

Rasha Laswi Zalloum & Laswi Law Firm

Firas Malhas International Business Legal Associates

Daniah Murad Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

Nizar Musleh
Hazboun & Co. for International

LEGAL BUSINESS CONSULTATIONS
Omar B. Naim

NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Sami Naimat
KHALIFEH & PARTNERS LAWYERS

Laith Nasrawin Aljazy & Co. Advocates & Legal Consultants

Khaldoun Nazer
Khalleeh & Partners Lawyers

Main Nsair Nsair & Partners - Lawyers

Mutasem Nsair Nsair & Partners - Lawyers

Osama Y. Sabbagh THE JORDANIAN ELECTRIC POWER CO. LTD. (JEPCO)

Fouad Shaban Ali Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

Stephan Stephan PwC Jordan Mohammed Tarawneh

Mahmoud Wafa

Azzam Zalloum Zalloum & Laswi Law Firm

Salma Zibdeh Ali Sharif Zuʻbi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

Malek Zreiqat Alı Sharif Zu'bi, Advocates & Legal Consultants, member of Lex Mundi

KAZAKHSTAN

Yerkin Abdrakhmanov PwC Kazakhstan

Askar Abubakirov AEQUITAS LAW FIRM Bulat Ahmetov

Arhico arhstudio Zulfiya Akchurina

Grata Law Firm
Aktan Akhmetov

First Credit Bureau Botagoz Aliakbarova

OLYMPEX ADVISERS
Zhabelov Alim
PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP

Uzakbay Aytzhanov Atameken Holding

Nailya Azizova Panalpina World Transport LLP

Rahat Baisuanov SIGNUM LAW FIRM Amir Begdesenov SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS

Jypar Beishenalieva Michael Wilson & Partners Ltd.

Joel Benjamin SNR Denton Kazakhstan Limited

Arman Berdalin Sayat Zholshy & Partners Aidvn Bikebavev

SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS
Richard Bregonie

PwC Kazakhstan Yelena Bychkova AEQuitas Law Firm

Irina Chen M&M Logistics

Shaimerden Chikanayev Grata Law Firm

Richard Chudzynski MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.

Oksana Danilova RBS

Aida Daulenova BMF Group LLP

Dina Daumova Grata Law Firm

Minyailova Dinara

Ardak Dyussembayeva AEQUITAS LAW FIRM

AEQUITAS LAW FIRM Indira Eleusizova

SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS Vladimir P. Furman BMF GROUP LLP

Sevil Gassanova

Shestakov Gennady Kazakhstan Logistics Service

Alexandr Giros Aristan Project Management Group (APMG)

Evgeniy Gonov I.P. Petrova Ali Imanalin Grata Law Firm

Semion Issyk AEQUITAS LAW FIRM

Kamil Jambakiyev Norton Rose

Thomas Johnson SNR DENTON KAZAKHSTAN LIMITED

Mariyash Kabikenova REHABILITATION MANAGER

Elena Kaeva PwC KAZAKHSTAN Marina Kahiani GRATA LAW FIRM

Alibek Kaliyev Aristan Project Management Group (APMG)

Andrey Kim
KUEHNE & NAGEL KAZAKHSTAN

Marina Kolesnikova Grata Law Firm Yerbol Konarhayey

Yerbol Konarbayev SNR Denton Kazakhstan Limited

Askar Konysbayev Grata Law Firm

Anna Kravchenko Grata Law Firm

Natalya Kulagina M&M Logistics Alina Larina M&M Logistics

Irina Latipova Marka Audit ACF LLP

Madina Lavrenova SIGNUM LAW FIRM Aigerim Malikova

Aigerim Malikova PwC Kazakhstan Vsevolod Markov

BMF GROUP LLP Yessen Massalin OLYMPEX ADVISERS

Bolat Miyatov Grata Law Firm Saida Moldasheva Cruz Logistics

Toregali Muhamedzhanov REHABILITATION MANAGER

Abdul-Gaziz Mukashev

Assel Mukhambekova Grata Law Firm

Elena Murzabekova Kuehne & Nagel Kazakhstan

Daniyar Mussakhan Norton Rose

Assel Mussina SNR Denton Kazakhstan Limited

Abylkhair Nakipov Sıgnum Law Fırm Nazira Nurbayeya

PwC Kazakhstan

Berik Nurgaziyev

ARISTAN PROJECT MANAGEMENT GROUP (APMG) Saktagana Nurmahanov

REHABILITATION MANAGER
Zhanar Ordabayeva

BMF GROUP LLP Yuliya V. Petrenko BMF GROUP LLP

Nikolay Popov BDO

Elvis Roberts

Olga Salimova ORIS Law FIRM Talgat Sariev

Kuanysh Sarsenbayev Olympex Advisers

Ruslan Serkebulanov Rehabilitation Manager Alzhan Stamkulov Synergy Partners Law Firm

Nurzhan Stamkulov Synergy Partners Law Firm Timur Suleymanov

Grata Law Firm Bolatkhan Turtbajev Alatau Zharyk

Amir Tussupkhanov ORIS Law Firm

Alida Tuyebekova Michael Wilson & Partners Ltd.

Shakhrukh Usmanov Grata Law Firm

Zhaniya Ussen Assistance, LLC Law Firm

Yekaterina V. Kim MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.

Marla Valdez SNR Denton Kazakhstan Limited

Vitaliy Vodolazkin Sayat Zholshy & Partners Arlan Yerzhanov

Grata Law Firm
Yerzhan Yessimkhanov
Grata Law Firm

Marina Yudina
PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT I I P

Kogarshin Zhamikanova REHABILITATION MANAGER

Adeliya Zhunussova SNR Denton Kazakhstan Limited

Sofiya Zhylkaidarova Signum Law Firm

Anton Zinoviev Booz Allen Hamilton

KENYA

METROPOL CORPORATION LTD.

Oliver Fowler
KAPLAN & STRATTON
Peter Gachuhi

Kaplan & Stratton Edmond Gichuru

Post Bank
William Ikutha Maema
Iseme, Kamau & Maema Advocates

Shellomith Irungu Anjarwalla & Khanna Advocates

Milly Jalega ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES

Benson Kamau PwC Kenya

Hamish Keith
Daly & Figgis Advocates

Peter Kiara

Jinaro Kibet Ochieng, Onyango, Kibet & Ohaga

Timothy Kiman Siginon Freight Ltd. Morris Kimuli

B.M. Musau & Co. Advocates

Meshack T. Kipturgo Siginon Freight Ltd. Owen Koimburi KOKA Koimburi & Co., MEMBER OF MAZARS

David Lekerai ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES

Crowe Horwath EA, MEMBER Crowe Horwath International

Bakari Mangale National Environment Management Authority

James Mburu Kamau ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES

Mansoor A. Mohamed RUMAN SHIP CONTRACTORS LIMITED

Bernard Muange Anjarwalla & Khanna Advocates

John Muoria Waruhiu K'owade & Ng'ang'a Advocates

Murigu Murithi ARCS AFRICA

Benjamin Musau B.M. Musau & Co. Advocates

Wachira Ndege Credit Reference Bureau Africa Ltd.

Mbage Ng'ang'a Waruhiu K'owade & Ng'ang'a Advocates

Joseph Ng'ang'ira
Daly & Figgis Advocates

Killian Ngala MEDITERRANEAN SHIPPING COMPANY (MSC), OCEANFREIGHT (E.A.) LTD.

James Ngomeli The Kenya Power and Lighting Company Ltd.

Kenneth Njuguna

Conrad Nyukuri Chunga Associates

Denis Augustine Onyango FRONTIER DESIGNS

Cephas Osoro Crowe Horwath EA, MEMBER

Crowe Horwath International Prakash

Master Power Systems Ltd.

Don Priestman The Kenya Power and Lighting Company Ltd.

Sonal Sejpal Anjarwalla & Khanna Advocates

Rajesh Shah
PwC Kenya
Deepen Shah

Deepen Shah Walker Kontos Advocates

David Tanki Lan-x Africa Ltd.

Joseph Taracha CENTRAL BANK OF KENYA

Harpreet Ubhi
Daly & Figgis Advocates

Peter Wahome PwC KENYA

Nicholas Wambua B.M. Musau & Co. Advocates

Angela Waweru Kaplan & Stratton

KIRIBATI

Kibae Akaaka Ministry of Finance

Neiran Areta Ministry of Commerce, Industry and Cooperatives Kenneth Barden Attorney-at-Law

Rengaua Bauro Ministry of Finance

Taake Cama Ministry of Finance

Kiata Tebau Kabure KK & Sons

Seri Kautuntamoa REGISTRY OF COMPANIES

Paul McLaughlin Ca'Bella Betio Construction

Moaniti Teuea Joyce Shipping Line Eliza Tokataake BETIO TOWN COUNCIL

Isikeli Tuituku ANZ Bank (Kırıbatı) Ltd.

KOREA, REP.

Won-Mo Ahn Ahn & Chang

Jong-Hyun Baek

Sang Mi Baek Shin & Kim

Jennifer Min-Sook Chae Korea Credit Bureau

Kyoung Soo Chang Sнім & Кім

Han-Jun Chon Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Eui Jong Chung BAE, KIM & LEE LLC

Seok Jong Chung
SUPREME COURT OF KOREA

Jong Ki Hong HWANG MOK PARK P.C.

C.W. Hyun KIM & CHANG James I.S. Jeon

SOJONG PARTNERS
Goo-Chun Jeong

Korea Customs Service Jeong Hwa Jeong

Jeong Hwa Jeong Shin & Kim

Hwan-Chul Jeung Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Bo Moon Jung
KIM & CHANG

Sang Wook Kang Korean Electrical Contractors Association

Young-Ju Kang SOJONG PARTNERS

Byung-Tae Kim Sніл & Кім

Eun-Kyung Kim Korea Credit Bure

Korea Credit Bureau Hyo-Sang Kim Kim & Chang

Ji Yeoun Kim Hwang Mok Park P.C.

Jinsoon Kim AGL Co., LTD.

Jung-In Kim Korea Credit Bureau

Kwang Soo Kim Woosun Electric Company Ltd.

Stephan Kim Sojong Partners

Sung Won (David) Kim HANARO TNS

Wan-Seok Kim Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers Wonhyung Kim Yoon & Yang LLC

Yoon Young Kim HWANG MOK PARK P.C.

Joong Hoon Kwak Lee & Ko

Ji-Ha Kwon Korea Credit Bureau Hee-Ryoung Lee

SOJONG PARTNERS
Hongyou Lee
PANALPINA IAF LTD.

Hye Jeong Lee Ahnse Law Offices

Jin-Young Lee Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jong Ho Lee Sojong Partners Kwon H. Lee

Kwon H. Lee Hanjin Shipping Co. Ltd.

Kyu Wha Lee Lee & Ko Sang-don Lee

SHIN & KIM Sang-Woon Lee

Korea Customs Service Seung Yoon Lee

Sung Whan Lee Ahnse Law Offices

Jul-Ki Lim Korea Credit Bureau Chul-Gue Maeng Korea Customs Service

Soogeun Oh
FWHA WOMANS LINIVERSITY

Yon-Kyun Oh
KIM & CHANG
Joo Seok Paik
SOJONG PARTNERS

Sang II Park Hwang Mok Park P.C.

Soo-Hwan Park Samil PricewaterhouseCoopers

Yong Seok Park SHIN & KIM Jeong Seo KIM & CHANG Mi-Jin Shin KIM & CHANG Philippe Shin

SHIN & KIM
Bong Woo Song
HANJIN SHIPPING CO. LTD.

HANJIN SHIPPING CO. LTD.

Jiwon Suh

MINISTRY OF STRATEGY AND FINANCE
Kiwon Suh

CHEON JI ACCOUNTING CORPORATION
- MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD
INTERNATIONAL

Huh Uoung-uhk KEPCO Economy Management Research Institute (KEMRI)

Ju-Hyun Yoo Shin & Kim

KOSOVO

Kosovo Energy Corporation J.S.C.

TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO

USAID SYSTEMS FOR ENFORCING AGREEMENTS AND DECISIONS (SEAD) PROGRAM

Serton Ajeti IPAK Albert Avdiu Kosovo Judicial Council Secretariat

Agon Baruti Komtel Project Engineering

Arsim Behrami Albert Islami & Partners

Ardiana Bunjaku Society of Certified Accountants and Auditors of Kosovo (SCAAK)

Shyqiri Bytyqi VALA Consulting Muzafer Çaka Kosovo Cadastral AGENCY

BDO Kosova LLC Fadil Dalipi VNV Studio Inc. Faton Demaj

Burim Cena

SHEGA PRO Amir Dërmala BDO Kosova LLC

Agron S. Dida
Komtei Project Engineering

Atdhe Dika
KALO & ASSOCIATES
Muhamed Disha

IPAK Sokol Elmazaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Mirjeta Emini Boga & Associates Rezak Fetai

Lorena Gega PWC ALBANIA Fazli Gjonbalaj LIGJI LAW FIRM

Maliq Gjyshinca

Valon Hasani INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC Ahmet Hasolli

KALO & ASSOCIATES
Rrahim Hoxha
ISARS

Naim Huruglica Kosovo Customs

Virtyt Ibrahimaga Law Firm Ibrahimaga Osamni Tigani

Albert Islami ALBERT ISLAMI & PARTNERS

Besarta Kllokoqi Boga & Associates

Vegim Kraja KALO & ASSOCIATES Naim Krasniqi LIGII LAW FIRM

Sabina Lalaj Boga & Associates Tirana

Valdrin Lluka IPAK

Florim Maxharraj Central Bank of the Republic of Kosovo

Murat Meha Kosovo Cadastral Agency

Fitore Mekaj Boga & Associates Bajram Morina

Fitim Mucaj

4M GROUP LTD.

Ilir Murseli Murseli Architects & Partners Lekë Musa BU & Partners

Arben Mustafa Intereuropa Kosova LLC

Bernard Nikaj Ministry of Trade and Industry

Gazmend Nushi Kalo & Associates

Valdet Osmani Architect Association of Kosovo

Dastid Pallaska Pallaska & Associates Gazmend Pallaska Pallaska & Associates

Loreta Peci PwC Albania

Denis Pitarka Kosovo Cadastral Agency

Bujar Prestreshi USAID Kosovo Private Enterprise Program (KPFP)

llaz Ramajli Ramajli & Partners Co.

Vigan Rogova ETHEM ROGOVA LAW FIRM Ariana Rozhaja

VALA CONSULTING Valentina Salihu VALA CONSULTING

Suzana Sejdiu Commercial Court Kosovo

Shiqeri Spahiu Municipality of Prishtina

Dardan Sylaj Kosova Chamber of Commerce Elez Sylaj

Kosova Chamber of Commerce Flakron Sylejmani

Law Firm İbrahimaga Osamni Tigani Bardha Tahiri

ALBERT ISLAMI & PARTNERS

Kreshnik Thaqi

Anita Tigani Law Firm Ibrahimaga Osamni

Paul Tobin PwC Bulgaria Valon Ukaj Cimarosti

Gëzim Xharavina Architectural, Design and

Petrit Zeka BU & PARTNERS Ruzhdi Zenelaj PwC

Shaha Zylfiu CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO

KUWAIT

Labeed Abdal The Law Firm of Labeed Abdal

Hossam Abduel Fetouh

Lina A.K. Adlouni KIPCO Asset Management Company K.S.C

Hussein Mohammed Hassan Ahmed ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Abdullah Musfir Al Hayyan Kuwait University

Homoud Al Mutawa

Faten Al Nageeb ALI & PARTNERS Faisal Al Sarraf SMP LAW FIRM

Abdullah Al-Ayoub ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Omar Hamad Yousuf Al-Essa THE LAW OFFICE OF AL-ESSA & PARTNERS

Nada F. A. Al-Fahad GEC DAR

Mishari M. Al-Ghazali THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AL-GHAZALI

Aiman Alarai KEO INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANTS

Reema Ali ALI & PARTNERS

Akusa Batwala ASAR - AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Abdullah Bin Ali PACKAGING AND PLASTIC INDUSTRIES Co. (KSC)

Nada Bourahmah THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AI-GHAZAII

Fouad Douglas PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI & Co.

Mohammed Eissa ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Charbel Fadel ASAR - AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Sam Habbas ASAR - AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Mazen A. Khoursheed PACKAGING AND PLASTIC INDUSTRIES Co. (KSC)

Chirine Krayem Moujaes THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AL-GHAZALI

Dany Labaky THE LAW OFFICE OF AL-ESSA & PARTNERS

Mohammed Maamoun PACKAGING AND PLASTIC INDUSTRIES Co (KSC)

Medhat Mubarak THE LAW OFFICES OF MISHARI AI-GHAZAII

Sasidhara Panicker SMP LAW FIRM

Hanaa Razzougi CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK

Abdul Oavvum Saeed

Ibrahim Sattout ASAR - AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS

Sherif Shawki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI

Prateek Shete

ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

KYRGYZ REPUBLIC

Alexander Ahn KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Shuhrat Akhmatakhunov KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Gulnara Akhmatova INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS COUNCIL

Niyazbek Aldashev LORENZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Ruslan Alybayev

Rahman Asylbekov OAO Kyrgyzgiprostroy

Kerim Begaliev

Bakytbek Djusupbekov DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON Immovable Property

Samara Dumanaeva LORENZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Akjoltoi Elebesova CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU ISHENIM

Levla Gulieva LORENZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Grihkova Irina TRANSSYSTEM I TD.

Nurbek Ismankulov M&M Transport Logistic Services

Amanhek Kehekov DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY

Aleksandr Klishevich ARHSTROYPROEKT

Svetlana Lebedeva LORENZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Asel Momoshova KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Taalay Choroevich Murzakulov

Aigul Myrzabekova LORENZ INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Almas Nakipov PwC Kazakhstan

Dmitry No PARTNER LAW FIRM

Karlygash Ospankulova KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Kubanychbek Sagaliev PwC Kazakhstan

Kanat Seidaliev COLIBRI LAW FIRM

Tatyana Shapovalova

Elvira Sharshekeeva

Saken Shayakhmetov PWC KAZAKHSTAN

Anna Shirshova CUSTOMS CARGO SERVICE LTD.

Mirgul Smanalieva PARTNER LAW FIRM

Ruslan Sulaimanov KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Ulan Tilenbaev KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Daniyar Ubyshev PARTNER LAW FIRM

Gulnara Uskenbaeva AUDIT PIUS

Azim Usmanov

Ali Ramazanovich Vodyanov

LAO PDR

Gnoykham Aphayalath

John Biddle LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)

Xaynari Chanthala LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO) Sithong Chanthasouk

Lasonexay Chanthavong DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Chatchai Chanyuttasart Hung Huang (Lao) Logistics Co., LTD.

Brennan Coleman DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Aristotle David DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

William D. Greenlee Jr. DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Duangkamol Ingkapattanakul DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Litsamy Latsayong

Varavudh Meesaiyati PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS (LAO) LTD.

Phanthasak Mingnakhone

Somlack Nhoybouakong LAO FREIGHT FORWARDER CO. LTD.

Vongphacnanh Onepaseuth DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Somphone Phasavath LAO FREIGHT FORWARDER CO. LTD.

Thavorn Rujivanarom PWC THAILAND

Sivath Sengdouangchanh R&T KHOUN MUANG LAO CO.,LTD.

Senesakoune Sihanouvong DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Valloph Sisopha

Phonexay Southiphong DESIGN GROUP CO LTD.

LATVIA

COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL

Ilze Abika SKUDRA & UDRIS LAW OFFICES

Martins Aljens RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Svetlana Beitane

Eva Berlaus SORAINEN

Jevgenija Brike STATE SOCIAL INSURANCE AGENCY

Kristine Bumbure PwC Latvia

Andis Burkevics SORAINEN

Andis Čonka LATVIJAS BANKA

Ingrida Dimina

Valters Diure IAWIN

Zane Džule ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS

Baiba Felsberga STATE SOCIAL INSURANCE AGENCY

Valters Gencs GENCS VALTERS LAW FIRM

Andris Ignatenko ESTMA LTD

Janis Irbe LATVENERGO AS, SADALES TIKLS

Zinta Jansons IAWIN

Andris Jekabsons I FXTAI

Sandis Jermuts PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION LATVIA

VARUL

Aris Kakstans Eversheds Bitāns

Inese Kalvane STATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCY

Irina Kostina LAWIN

Gunda Leite GENCS VALTERS LAW FIRM

Dainis Leons SADALES TĪKLS AS Alisa Leškoviča SORAINEN

Alla Lichkovska LATVIAN INSOLVENCY ADMINISTRATION

Indrikis Liepa ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS

Irina Olevska ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS

Baiba Orbidane I AWIN

Zane Paeglite SORAINEN

Kristine Parsonse ECB SIA - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Galina Pitulina FCR SIA - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDEORD INTERNATIONAL

Baiba Plaude LAW OFFICES BLUEGER & PLAUDE

Katrine Plavina VARUI

Lāsma Rugāte SORAINEN Jevgenijs Salims RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Anita Sondore GENCS VALTERS LAW FIRM

Mihails Šnika

Sarmis Spilbergs

MARKVARTE LEXCHANGE LAW OFFICE Girts Strazdins VARUI

Ruta Teresko AZ SERVICE LTD.

Edgars Timpa STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE

Zane Štālberga - Markvarte

Maija Tipaine RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Ziedonis Udris SKUDRA & UDRIS LAW OFFICES

Maris Vainovskis EVERSHEDS BITĀNS Agate Ziverte PwC. LATVIA

Daiga Zivtina **LEBANON**

FLECTRICITÉ DU LIBAN KORDAHI ESTABLISHMENT COMPANY

Hanan Abboud PwC LEBANON

Nadim Abboud LAW OFFICE OF A. ABBOUD & ASSOCIATES

Suzane AbiKhalil PwC. I Franch

PWC I FRANON

Wassim Abou Nader MENA CITY LAWYERS Wadih Abou Nasr

Samir Ali Ahmad AA ARCHITECTS Karen Baroud PwC. I FRANON

Jean Baroudi BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES

Tarek Baz HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM Katia Bou Assi

MOGHAIZEL LAW OFFICE Nayla Chemaly

Najib Choucair CENTRAL BANK OF LEBANON

Alice Choueiri MENA CITY LAWYERS Sanaa Daakour

MENA CITY LAWYERS Aline Dantziguian Chamber of Commerce, Industry &

AGRICULTURE OF BEIRUT Michel Doueihy BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW

FIRM. MEMBER OF INTERLEGES Hanadi El Hajj MENA CITY LAWYERS

Sarah Fakhry BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Hadi Fathallah ESCO FATHALLAH & CO.

Izzat Fathallah ESCO FATHALLAH & CO. Dania George

Abdallah Hayek

Alexa Hechaime Wajih Hechaime

HECHAIME LAW FIRM Walid Honein BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Moghaizei Law Office Fady Jamaleddine MENA CITY LAWYERS

Elie Kachouh ELC Transport Services SAL

Georges Kadige KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM Michel Kadige

KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM Lea Kai MENA CITY LAWYERS

Naiib Khattar KHATTAR ASSOCIATES

Nabil Mallat

Georges Mallat HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM

HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM Rachad Medawar OBEID & MEDAWAR LAW FIRM

Fadi Moghaizel MOGHAIZEL LAW OFFICE Mirvat Mostafa

MENA CITY LAWYERS Myriam Moughabghab BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM. MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Andre Nader NADER LAW OFFICE

Rana Nader NADER LAW OFFICE Toufic Nehme Law Offices of Albert Laham

Mireille Richa Tyan & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM

Jihan Rizk Khattar Khattar Associates

Jihad Rizkallah Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm. member of Interleges

Samir Safa BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES

Joseph Safar Hayek Group

Rached Sarkis Consultant

Antoine Sfeir Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Mona Sfeir Hyam G. Mallat Law Firm

Rami Smayra Smayra Law Office

George Tannous
BEIRUT INTERNATIONAL MOVERS

Bassel Tohme MENA CITY LAWYERS

Nady Tyan Tyan & Zgheib Law Firm

Rania Yazbeck
Tyan & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM

LESOTHO

ARCHIPLAN STUDIO

HARLEY & MORRIS REGISTRAR-GENERAL

Mark Badenhorst PwC South Africa

Thakane Chimombe NALEDI CHAMBERS INC.

Paul De Chalain PwC South Africa

Fumane Khabo

Gerhard Gouws NedBank Lesotho Ltd.

M. Hoohlo High Court

Lebereko Lethobane Labour Court Lesotho

Realeboha Makamane High Court

Tseliso Daniel Makhaphela Land Administration Authority

Thakane Makume LESOTHO ELECTRICITY COMPANY (PTY) LTD.

Moeketsi Marumo PowerConsult (Pty) Ltd.

Leoma Matamne Molepe Quantity Surveyors

Kolisang Mochesane Lepholisa Du Preez Liebetrau & Co.

Denis Molyneaux Webber Newdigate

Tseliso Monaphathi High Court

Phillip Mophethe
PHILLIPS CLEARING & FORWARDING
AGENT (PTY) LTD.

Molemo Motseki Bulane None Ultimate Solution

Poulo Nono The Legal Voice Theodore Ntlatlapa DNT Architects

Pulane Ramonene

Duduzile Seamatha SHEERAN & ASSOCIATES

Tiisetso Sello-Mafatle Sello-Mafatle Attorneys

Lindiwe Sephomolo
L. Sephomolo Chambers

Mooresi Tau Thabane Mofolo, Tau - Thabane and Co.

Phoka Thene Sehaba Thibeli TRIANGLE FREIGHT

George Thokoa Maseru Electro Services Pty Ltd.

Refiloe Thulo
K. R. Consultants

LIBERIA

Jafain Consortium

LIBERIA LAW SERVICES

Andrew Anderson
Gentle Clearing & Forwarding Ltd.

Gideon Ayi-Owoo PwC Ghana

Khalil Azar BEEVER COMPANY

Golda A. Bonah SHERMAN & SHERMAN

F. Augustus Caesar Jr.
CAESAR ARCHITECTS, INC.
Henry Reed Cooper

Cooper & Togbah Law Office

Frank Musah Dean DEAN & ASSOCIATES

Fonsia Donzo
CENTRAL BANK OF LIBERIA

Christine Sonpon Freeman COOPER & TOGBAH LAW OFFICE

Paul Greene Ministry of Finance

Anthony Henry
CUTTINGTON UNIVERSITY GRADUATE
SCHOOL

Cyril Jones Jones & Jones

Mohamedu F. Jones LIBERIAN LEGAL INTERNATIONAL INC.

Abu Kamara MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Monkpeh Karr FRONTIER LOGISTICS

Samuel T. K. Kortimai COOPER & TOGBAH LAW OFFICE

Samuel F. Kpakio Ministry of Public Works

George Kwatia PwC Ghana

Marie Norman City Corporation of Monrovia

Christiana Osei-Mensah PwC Ghana

Sylvester Rennie Cooper & Togbah Law Office

Kwame L. Richardson

Lasana Sasay Ministry of Public Works

Yancy Seeboe National Custom Brokers Association of Liberia Amos Siebo Ministry of State for Presidential Affairs

Pierre Valentin Tchol Kaldjob PwC Ghana

Benjamin M. Togbah Cooper & Togbah Law Office

G. Lahaison Waritay Ministry of Public Works

Darcy White PwC GHANA

Melvin Yates Compass Inc., Clearing and Forwarding

LITHUANIA

BANK OF LITHUANIA

Tomas Ambrasas Tark Grunte Sutkiene

Loreta Andziulyte Proventuslaw LT

Dovile Aukstuolyte Ecovis Miskinis, Kvainauskas ir partneriai advokatu kontora

Pavel Balbatunov

Petras Baltusevičius DSV Transport UAB

Donatas Baranauskas VILNIAUS MIESTO 14 - ASIS NOTARU BIURAS

Šarūnas Basijokas GLIMSTEDT

Vilius Bernatonis TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE

Renata Beržanskienė SORAINEN

Andrius Bogdanovičius JSC Creditinfo Lietuva

Dovile Cepulyte Law FIRM LAWIN Giedre Cerniauske

Law Firm LAWIN

Giedre Dailidenaite Varul

Giedre Domkute AAA BALTIC SERVICE COMPANY -I AW FIRM

Dalia Foigt-Norvaišienė Attorneys at Law BORENIUS

Rimante Gentvilaite VARUL

Yvonne Goldammer BNT HEEMANN KLAUBERG KRAUKLIS

Simas Gudynas Law Firm LAWIN

Arturas Gutauskas Ecovis Miskinis, Kvainauskas ir Partneriai advokatu kontora

Frank Heemann BNT HEEMANN KLAUBERG KRAUKLIS APR

Eglė Jankauskaitė GLIMSTEDT

Indrė Jonaitytė Law Firm LAWIN

leva Kairytė PwC Lithuania Povilas Karlonas SORAINEN

Inga Karulaityte-Kvainauskiene

Romualdas Kasperavičius State Enterprise Centre of Registers

Jonas Kiauleikis Attorneys at Law BORENIUS Jurgita Kiškiūnaitė Law Firm Zabiela, Zabielaite &

Kristina Kriščiūnaitė PwC Lithuania

Ronaldas Kubilius PwC Lithuania Egidijus Kundelis

PwC Lithuania Žilvinas Kvietkus

RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Gytis Malinauskas SORAINEN

Linas Margevicius LEGAL BUREAU OF LINAS MARGEVICIUS

Rūta Matonienė VILNIUS CITY MUNICIPALITY

Vaidotas Melynavicius AAA BALTIC SERVICE COMPANY -I AW FIRM

Tomas Mieliauskas Law Firm Foresta

Ana Mikuliene Proventustaw LT

Bronislovas Mikūta State Enterprise Centre of Registers

Jurate Misionyte
TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE
Asta Misiukiene

MINISTRY OF ECONOMY

Žygimantas Pacevičius ATTORNEYS AT LAW BORENIUS Rytis Paukste

Law Firm LAWIN Algirdas Pekšys SORAINEN

Angelija Petrauskienė VILNIUS CITY MUNICIPALITY

Aidas Petrosius State Enterprise Centre of Registers

Lina Radavičienė Law Firm LAWIN

Justina Rakauskaitė GLIMSTEDT Lina Ramanauskaite

Marius Rindinas LAW FIRM ZABIELA, ZABIELAITE &

Andrius Šidlauskas Attorneys at Law BORENIUS

Rimantas Simaitis RAIDLA LEJINS & NORCOUS

Diana Skripetiene State Enterprise Centre of Registers

Alius Stamkauskas

Jonas Stamkauskas UAB Егмонта

Marius Stračkaitis LITHUANIAN NOTARY CHAMBER

leva Tarailiene State Enterprise Centre of Registers Vilija Vaitkutė Pavan

Law Firm LAWIN

Darius Zabiela

Law Firm Zabiela, Zabielaite &

Audrius Žvybas Glimstedt

PARTNERS

LUXEMBOURG

Allen & Overy Luxembourg

PwC Luxembourg

SERVICE DE L'URBANISME POLICE DES BÂTISSES Sebastien Bos OPF PARTNERS

Eleonora Broman

Guy Castegnaro Ius Laboris Luxembourg, CASTEGNARO

Gérard Eischen Chamber of Commerce of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg

Annie Elfassi Loyens & Loeff Ambroise Foerster Loyens & Loeff

Peggy Goossens PIERRE THIFTEN AVOCATS

Alain Grosjean
BONN & SCHMITT

Vincent Hieff Chamber of Commerce of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg

Véronique Hoffeld Loyens & Loeff

Paul Hoffmann Creos Luxembourg S.A.

Christian Jungers KLEYR GRASSO ASSOCIES

Tom Loesch
ETUDE LOESCH
Nathalie Mangen

Marc Meyer Creos Luxembourg S.A

Marco Peters

CREOS LUXEMBOURG S.A.

Judith Raijmakers Loyens & Loeff

Sandra Rapp KLEYR GRASSO ASSOCIES

Jean-Luc Schaus Pierre Thielen Avocats

Roger Schintgen Paul Wurth S.A. Société anonyme

Alex Schmitt

Danielle Schmit CREOS LUXEMBOURG S.A. Alessandro Sorcinelli

MACEDONIA, FYR

Natasa Andreeva National Bank of the Republic of Macedonia

Zlatko Antevski Lawyers Antevski

Aleksandra Arsoska IKRP Rokas & Partners Rubin Atanasoski

TIMELPROJECT ENGINEERING Benita Beleshkova IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

Dragan Blažev Timelproject Engineering Dejan Bogdanovski

REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA,
MUNICIPALITY OF ILINDEN
Slavica Bogoeva

MACEDONIAN CREDIT BUREAU AD SKOPJE Viktor Bogorojceski

STOJKOSKA ATTORNEY AT LAW Ema Cubrinovska ENERGO DIZAJN

Ljupco Cubrinovski Energo Dizajn Andrej Dameski PwC Macedonia

Julijana Dimitrievska Republic of Macedonia, Municipality of Ilinden

Mile Doldurov Stojkoska Attorney at law

Ljupco Georgievski Agency for Real Estate Cadastre

Marijana Gjoreska Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia

Sonja Gjurevska Cakmakova Advocates

Zoranco Griovski REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA, MUNICIPALITY OF ILINDEN

Werner Hengst EVN MACEDONIA Biliana Ickovska

LAW OFFICE NIKOLOVSKI & ASSOCIATES

Aleksandar Ickovski Tax & Legal Consultant

Maja Jakimovska Сакмакоva Адvocates

Dragana Jashevic Law Office Nikolovski & Associates

Aneta Jovanoska Trajanovska Lawyers Antevski

Lenche Karpuzovska EVN Macedonia

Dejan Knezović Law Office Knezović & Associates

Vancho Kostadinovski Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia

Antonio Kostanov Enforcement Agent Republic of Macedonia

Aleksandra Kostovska Stojkoska Attorney at Law

Ivana Lekic PwC Macedonia

Miroslav Marchev PwC Macedonia

Mirjana Markovska Stojkoska Attorney at Law

Oliver Mirchevski EVN MACEDONIA

Irena Mitkovska Lawyers Antevski

Martin Monevski Monevski Law Firm

Valerjan Monevski Monevski Law Firm

Elena Mucheva National Bank of the Republic of Macedonia

Svetlana Neceva Law Office Pepeljugoski

Marina Nikoloska Cakmakova Advocates

Marija Nikolova Law Office Knezovic & Associates

Vesna Nikolovska Law Office Nikolovski & Associates

Goran Nikolovski Law Office Nikolovski & Associates

Zlatko Nikolovski Notary Chamber of R. of

Martin Odzaklieski Ministry of Transport and Communications

Vasil Pavloski Ministry of Economy

MACEDONIA

Valentin Pepeljugoski Law Office Pepeljugoski

Sonja Peshevska Law Office Pepeliugoski

Zorica Pulejkova REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA NOTARY PUBLIC

Viktor Ristovski Cakmakova Advocates

Ljubica Ruben Mens Legis Law Firm

Biljana Saraginova Monevski Law Firm

Shosholceva-Giannitsakis

IKRP Rokas & Partners

Milica Shutova
CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES

Alexander Sipek EVN Macedonia

Ivica Smilevski Chamber of Bankruptcy Managers of the Republic of Macedonia

Ljupka Stojanovska Law Office Nikolovski & Associates

Zika Stojanovski REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA, MUNICIPALITY OF ILINDEN

Suzana Stojkoska Stojkoska Attorney at Law

Margareta Taseva Cakmakova Advocates

Dragica Tasevska NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

Stefan Trost EVN MACEDONIA

Vladimir Vasilevski BETASPED D.O.O.

Tome Velkovski Ministry of Economy

Zlatko Veterovski Customs Administration

Milica Zafirova Stojkoska Attorney at Law

MADAGASCAR

BUREAU DE LIAISON SGS

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

CABINET SIGMA CONSULTING

Eric Robson Andriamihaja Economic Development Board of Madagascar

Tsiry Andriamisamanana Madagascar Conseil International

Andriamanalina Andrianjaka

OFFICE NOTARIAL DE TAMATAVE

Wasoudeo Balloo

KPMG MADAGASCAR Yves Duchateau

Yves Duchateau SDV Logistics

Raphaël Jakoba Madagascar Conseil International

Hanna Keyserlingk Cabinet HK Jurifisc

Jean Claude Liong KPMG Madagascar

Pascaline R. Rasamoeliarisoa DELTA AUDIT DELOITTE

Julie R. Ratsimisetra GROUPEMENT DES ENTREPRISES FRANCHES ET PARTENAIRES (GEFP)

Sahondra Rabenarivo Madagascar Law Offices

Pierrette Rajaonarisoa SDV Logistics Serge Lucien Rajoelina JIRO SY RANO MALAGASY (JIRAMA)

Tojo Rakotomamonjy ETUDE RAZANADRAKOTO RIJA

Danielle Rakotomanana Cabinet Rakotomanana

Heritiana Rakotosalama LEGISLINK CONSULTING

Mamisoa Rakotosalama LEGISLINK CONSULTING Lanto Tiana Ralison

PwC Madagascar

Martial Ralison JIRO SY RANO MALAGASY (JIRAMA)

Gérard Ramarijaona Prime Lex

Roland Ramarijaona Delta Audit Deloitte

Laingo Ramarimbahoaka Madagascar Conseil International

Heritiana Rambeloson Jiro Sy Rano Malagasy (JIRAMA)

Zakazo Ranaivoson Cabinet de Conseils d'Entreprises

William Randrianarivelo PwC Madagascar

Sahondra Rasoarisoa Delta Audit Deloitte

Michael Ratrimo Madagascar International Container Terminal Services Ltd.

Mahery Ratsimandresy PRIME LEX Théodore Raveloarison

JARY - Bureau d'Etudes Architecture Ingenierie

Andry Nirina Ravelojaona Banque Centrale de Madagascar

Andriamisa Ravelomanana PWC MADAGASCAR Jean Marcel Razafimahenina DELTA AUDIT DELOITTE

Rija Nirina Razanadrakoto Etude Razanadrakoto Rija

Rivolala Razanatsimba Jiro Sy Rano Malagasy (JIRAMA)

Ida Soamiliarimana Madagascar Conseil International

MALAW

Malawi Revenue Authority

Manica Africa Pty. Ltd. Dino Amritlal Raval

WILSON & MORGAN
Kevin M. Carpenter

PwC Malawi

Richard Chakana 2PS Cargo Co.

Joseph Chavula First Merchant Bank Ltd.

Marshal Chilenga TF & Partners

Gautoni D. Kainja Kainja & Dzonzi

Chimwemwe Kalua GOLDEN & LAW

Dannie J. Kamwaza Kamwaza Design Partnership

Frank Edgar Kapanda High Court of Malawi

Andrews Katuya Dowell & Jones, Attorneys-at-Law

Shabir Latif Sacranie, Gow & Co. Alfred Majamanda MBENDERA & NKHONO ASSOCIATES

James Masumbu Темвени, Маѕимви & Со.

Raphael Mhone RACANE ASSOCIATES

Vyamala Moyo PwC Malawi

Charles Mvula
DUMA ELECTRICS - CONTROL
SYSTEMS AND ENERGY MANAGEMENT

Davis Njobvu Savjani & Co.

Dinker A. Raval Wilson & Morgan

MALAYSIA

BANK NEGARA MALAYSIA

INTEGRATED LOGISTICS SOLUTIONS

PORT KLANG AUTHORITIES

Halimi Abd Manaf MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Nor Azimah Abdul Aziz Companies Commission of Malaysia

Abdul Karim Abdul Jalil Malaysia Department of Insolvency

Mohammad Rohaimy Abdul Rahim MINISTRY OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND INDUSTRY

Sonia Abraham Azman, Davidson & Co.

Wilfred Abraham
ZUL RAFIQUE & PARTNERS, ADVOCATE
& SOLICITORS

Alwizah Al-Yafii Ahmad Kamal Zaid Ibrahim & Co (ZICO)

Dato' Abdul Halim Ain
DEPARTMENT OF DIRECTOR GENERAL OF
LAND & MINES

Dato' Sh. Yahya bin Sh. Mohamed Almurisi MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE

Adrian Azlan Westports Malaysia Son Bho

Mohd Azlan B. Mohd Radzi Land & Mines Office

Anita Balakrishnan Shearn Delamore & Co.

Abdul Murad Bin Che Chik

KC Chan Freight Transport Network Sdn.

Hong Yun Chang

Ar Teoh Chee Wui Ruban Chelliah

STANCO AND RUCHE CONSULTING

Andrew Ean Vooi Chiew

LEE HISHAMMUDDIN ALLEN & GELDHILL

Tze Keong Chung CTOS Data Systems Son Bhd

Walter Culas Air Freight Forwarders Association of Malaysia (AFAM)

Nadesh Ganabaskaran Zul Rafique & Partners, Advocate & Solicitors

Tiew Hai San Ministry of Federal Territories and Urban Wellbeing

Dato' Hashim Hamzah FEDERAL COURT OF MALAYSIA

Betty Hasan Ministry of Human Resource Ramli Hazra Izadi LKMD Architecture

Ang Seng Hing
USHAMAS FORWARDING (M) SDN.
BHD

Hj. Hasim Hj. Ismail LAND & MINES OFFICE

Hung Hoong Shearn Delamore & Co.

Rohani Ismail Session Court Kuala Lumpur

Norhaiza Jemon Companies Commission of Malaysia

Kumar Kanagasabai Skrine, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Kumar Kanagasingam Lee Hishammuddin Allen & Geldhill

Kesavan Karuppiah Ministry of Human Resource

Azemi Kasim Department of Director General of Land & Mines

Geeta Kaur SDV Transport

Teh Wai Keong EQUATORIAL LOGISTICS SDN BHD.

Chuan Keat Khoo PwC Malaysia

Loh Kok Leong Russell Bedford LC & Company - member of Russell Bedford International

Christopher Lee Christopher Lee & Co.

Bernard Lim PHK MANAGEMENT SERVICES SDN BHD

Koon Huan Lim Skrine, member of Lex Mundi

Seok Hua Lim

North Port (Malaysia) Bhd Len Toong Low

North Port (Malaysia) Bhd Ir. Bashir Ahamed Maideen

Nadi Consult Era Son Bhd Alias Marjoh

Kuala Lumpur City Hall
Chuah Meng Sim
RUSSELL BEDFORD LC & COMPANY
- MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

International
Rokiah Mhd Noor
Companies Commission of Malaysia

Adura Mizan

Companies Commission of Malaysia

Azmı & Associates Saran Nair

Zuhaidi Mohd Shahari

CIMB GROUP Marina Nathan

COMPANIES COMMISSION OF MALAYSIA

Oy Moon Ng

CTOS Data Systems Sdn Bhd

Swee Kee Ng
Shearn Delamore & Co.

Shahri Omar North Port (Malaysia) BhD Allison Ong

AZMAN, DAVIDSON & Co. Hock An Ong KPMG

Aminah BT Abd. Rahman Ministry of Housing and Local Government

Sakaya Johns Rani PwC Malaysia Sugumar Saminathan
MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION

Andy Seo

Fiona Sequerah Christopher Lee & Co.

Chan Kum Siew
Malaysia Productivity Corporation

Hadiman Bin Simin Ministry of Housing and Local Government

Rishwant Singh Zul Rafique & Partners, Advocate & Solicitors

Sukhbir Singh
M & N MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

Kenneth Tiong
THE ASSOCIATED CHINESE CHAMBERS
OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY OF
MALAYSIA (ACCCIM)

Sugumaran Vairavappillai Tenaga Nasional Berhad

Heng Choon Wan PwC Malaysia

Chee Lin Wong SKRINE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Keat Ching Wong
ZUL RAFIQUE & PARTNERS, ADVOCATE
& SOLICITORS

Clifford Eng Hong Yap PwC Malaysia

MALDIVES

Mohamed Abdul Azeez
AIMA CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
PVT LTD.

Mohamed Ahsan ArchEng Studio Pvt Ltd.

Yamuna Amaraperuma KPMG

Arafath GLOBAL CARGO CARE Jatindra Bhattray PWC MALDIVES

Asma Chan-Rahim Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers & Attorneys

Mohamed Fahad GLOBAL CARGO CARE

Mohamed Fizan Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers &

Charith Gunathilaka KPMG

ATTORNEYS

Mohamed Hameed

Shamila Jayasekera

KPMG

Laila Manik Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers & Attorneys

Prasanta Misra PwC MALDIVES

Abdul Samad Mohamed SMD Audit & Consultancy

Ahmed Murad
Mazlan & Murad Law Associates

Jagath Perera

Mazlan Rasheed
Mazlan & Murad Law Associates

Sam GLOBAL CARGO CARE Ishan Sampath KPMG

Shuaib M. Shah Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers & Upul Shantha KPMG

Mizna Shareef Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers & Attorneys

Abdul Mallik Thoufeeg STELCO

MALI

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

Dамсо

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo BCFAO

Oumar Bane Jurifis Consult

Amadou Camara SCP Camara Traoré

Céline Camara Sib ETUDE ME CELINE CAMARA SIB

Boubacar Coulibaly
Matrans

Elvis Danon PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Fatoumata D. Diarra African Legal & Tax Mali (ALT-Mali)

Aboubacar S. Diarrah Ministère de la Justice, Garde des Scenix

Fatimata Dicko Zouboye

Djibril Guindo

Maiga Seydou Ibrahim Cabinet d'Avocats Seydou Ibrahim

Awa Kane

Mamadou Ismaïla Konate

Amadou Maiga Mairie du Mali

Maiga Mamadou AGENCE NATIONALE D'ASSISTANCE MEDICALE

Adeline Messou

Bérenger Y. Meuke

Keita Zeïnabou Sacko

API Mali Alassane T. Sangaré

Notary

Djibril Semega

CABINET SEAG CONSEIL
Désiré Sidibé

ETUDE ME CELINE CAMARA SIB

Toumani Sidibe Ministère des Affaires Etrangères et de la Coopération Internationale

Perignama Sylla ARCHITECT DE/AU

Dominique Taty PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Imirane A. Touré Direction Nationale de l'Urbanisme et de l'Habitat

Alassane Traoré ICON SARL

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire Mahamadou Traore

Emmanuel Yehouessi BCEAO

MALTA

Shawn Agius Inland Revenue Department

Alexia Albani
BANK OF VALLETTA

Randolph Aquilina Inland Revenue Department

Matthew Attard GANADO & ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES

John Bonello Scerri & Bonello Advocates

Leonard Bonello
GANADO & ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES

Paul Bonello

MINISTRY OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS

Caroline Borg
Malta Freeport Terminals Limited

Kris Borg
Dr Kris Borg & Associates
- Advocates

Maria Clara Borg

Mario Raymond Borg

Katrina Borg Cardona Malta Enterprise

Joseph P. Brincat Ministry of Finance Department of Customs

Ann M. Bugeja

George Bugeja Ganado & Associates - Advocates

Adrian Cachia Malta Freeport Terminals Limited

André Camilleri Malta Financial Services Authority (MESA)

Kenneth Camilleri
CHETCUTI CAUCHI ADVOCATES

Paul Camilleri Paul Camilleri & Associates

Simon Camilleri CREDITINFO

Joseph Caruana Malta Financial Services Authority (MFSA)

David Cassar Malta Environment & Planning

Malta Environment & Plannin Authority (MEPA) Jean-Philippe Chetcuti

CHETCUTI CAUCHI ADVOCATES

Maria Chetcuti Cauchi CHETCUTI CAUCHI ADVOCATES

Jeanette Ciantar FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES

Edward Dalmas Malta Financial Services Authority (MFSA)

Edward DeBono FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES

Sergio Ebejer Inland Revenue Department

Italo Ellul EMD Advocates

Hilda Ellul-Mercer
Dr Kris Borg & Associates
- Advocates

Alfred Farrugia
WORLD EXPRESS LOGISTICS

David Felice Architecture Project

Ramon Fiott Malta Enterprise George Francalanza Malta Enterprise

Antoine S Galea

Matthew Galea Debono CSB ADVOCATES

Neville Gat

Christabelle Gauci CSB Advocates

AUTHORITY (OHSA)

Mark Gauci Occupational Health and Safety

Keith German

Joseph Ghio FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES

Steve Gingell

Joseph Grech Malta Enterprise

Marisa Grech Land Registry

Sandro Grech
SG Malta Limited - Correspondent
of Russell Bedford International

Karl Grech Orr GANADO & ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES

Peter Grima
ENEMALTA CORPORATION

Stefan Grima BANK OF VALLETTA

Josef Laferla SCERRI & BONELLO ADVOCATES

Kevin Loughborough

Adrian Mallia Malta Freeport Terminals Limited

Chris Mallia Ganado & Associates - Advocates

ENEMALTA CORPORATION
Priscilla Mifsud Parker

CHETCUTI CAUCHI ADVOCATES
Henri Mizzi
CAMILLERI PREZIOSI

Allan Micallef

Robert Mizzi

GANADO & ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES

John Paris

Reynold Portelli

Malta Freeport Terminals Limited
Julienne Portelli Demajo
Ganado & Associates - Advocates

Dion Buhagiar Said SMS Logistics

Claude Sapiano

Albert Scerri Ministry of Finance Department of Customs

Joseph Scicluna

Simon Scicluna Scicluna & Associates

Andrei Vella Camilleri Preziosi

Noel Vella Department of Industrial and Employment Relations

Simone Vella Lenicker Architecture Project

Austin Walker
Malta Environment & Planning
Authority (MEPA)

Mark Wirth

Angelo Xuereb

Quentin Zahra Eurofreight

Silvana Zammit CHETCUTI CAUCHI ADVOCATES

Andrew J. Zammit CSB Advocates

John Zarb

MARSHALL ISLANDS

BANK OF MARSHALL ISLANDS

Marshalls Energy Company

Helkena Anni Marshall Islands Registry

Kenneth Barden

Raquel De Leon
Marshall Islands Social Security
Administration

Jerry Kramer
Pacific International, Inc.
James McCaffrey

THE McCaffrey FIRM, LTD.

Dennis Reeder

RMI RECEIVERSHIPS Liz Rodick

EZ PRICE MART
Scott H. Stege
LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT STEGE

Anthony Tomlinson
BECA INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANTS

LTD.

Bori Ysawa

ROBERT REIMERS ENTERPRISES, INC.

MAURITANIA
Mohamed Salem Abdy
CABINET D'AVOCATS SALEM ABDY

Moussa Aw BSD & Associés

Tidiane Bal

Mohamed El Hassen Boukhreiss DIRECTION DES DOMAINES, DE L'ENREGISTREMENT ET DU TIMBRE

Hamoud Ismail

Cheikany Jules
CHEIKHANY JULES LAW OFFICE

Abdou M'Bodj Communauté Urbaine de Nouakchott

Wedou Mohamed
MAURIHANDLING
Bekaye Ould Abdelkader
MINISTÈRE DE LA FONCTION PUBLIQUE,

DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA MODERNISATION DE L'ADMINISTRATION Mine Ould Abdoullah

Cabinet d'Avocat Ould Abdoullah Ishagh Ould Ahmed Miské

CABINET ISHAGH MISKE

Mohamed Ould Bouddida

ETUDE MAÎTRE MOHAMED OULD

BOUDDIDA

Abdellahi Ould Charrouck

Brahim Ould Daddah CABINET DADDAH CONSEILS

Brahim Ould Ebety

Mohamed Mahmoud Ould Mohamedou GENISERVICES

Ahmed Ould Radhi BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE

Abdel Fettah Ould Sidi Mohamed Société Mauritanienne d'Electricité (SOMFLEC)

Aliou Sall Assurim Consulting

Aissetou Sy BSD & Associés

Dominique Taty PwC Côte d'Ivoire

MAURITIUS

Ryan Allas PwC Mauritius

Anishah Aujayeb Juristconsult Chambers

Mohamed Iqbal Belath BANK OF MAURITIUS

Vanesha Babooa Bissonauth De Comarmond & Koenig

Jean-François Boisvenu BLC CHAMBERS

Urmila Boolell Banymandhub Boolell Chambers

James Boucher HSBC

Nicolas Carcasse Dagon Ingenieur Conseil Ltée

Jagwantsing Chetlall

Jaimie Chiniah
BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS

D.P. Chinien
REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND
BUSINESSES, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR
OF COMPANIES

Vincent Chong Leung UTILIS CORPORATE SERVICE

Sandy Chuong GEROUDISGLOVER GHURBURRUN

Chandansingh Chutoori Dagon Ingenieur Conseil Ltée

Martine de Fleuriot de la Colinière De Comarmond & Koenig

Catherine de Rosnay LEGIS & PARTNERS

Shalinee Dreepaul-Halkhoree Juristconsult Chambers

Sapna Dwarka

BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS

Robert Ferrat LEGIS & PARTNERS

Yannick Fok GEROUDISGLOVER GHURBURRUN

Poonam Geemul
BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS

Gavin Glover GEROUDISGLOVER GHURBURRUN

J. Gilbert Gnany THE MAURITIUS COMMERCIAL BANK LIMITED

Arvin Halkhoree
NS Management I TD.

Mikash Hassamal GeroudisGlover Ghurburrun

Marc Hein Juristconsult Chambers

Reshma Hurday Kross Border Trust Services Ltd. - Member of Russell Bedford Nitish Hurnaum GEROUDISGLOVER GHURBURRUN

Thierry Koenig
DE COMARMOND & KOENIG

Anthony Leung Shing PWC MAURITIUS

Jayram Luximon

Malcolm Moller Appleby Global

Ramdas Mootanah Architecture & Design Ltd.

R. Mungly-Gulbul Supreme Court

Loganayagan Munian Artisco International

Mushtaq Namdarkhan BLC CHAMBERS

Marie Cristelle Joanna Parsooramen BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS

Priscilla Pattoo-Mungur Juristconsult Chambers

Siv Potayya Wortels Lexus

Nicolas Pougnet
BUILDING AND CIVIL ENGINEERING
CO LTD.

Iqbal Rajahbalee

Vivekanand Ramburun
MAURITIUS REVENUE AUTHORITY

André Robert Attorney-at-Law

Wenda Sawmynaden Cabinet de Notaire Sawmynaden

Gilbert Seeyave DCDM FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD.

Sentokee

CITY COUNCIL OF PORT LOUIS

Gaetan Siew LAMPOTANG & SIEW ARCHITECTS LTD.

Deviantee Sobarun
MINISTRY OF FINANCE & ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT

Chitra Soobagrah GEROUDISGLOVER GHURBURRUN

Oudesh Suddul Kross Border Trust Services Ltd. - member of Russell Bedford International

Vikash Takoor Bank of Mauritius

Parikshat Teeluck

Damco Logistics (Mauritius)

Shamina Toofanee PwC Mauritius

Natasha Towokul-Jiagoo Juristconsult Chambers

MEXICO

NDA

Gustavo I. Alarcón Caballero BAKER & MCKENZIE

Areli Archundia Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED

Rafael Barragan Mendoza COMAD, S.C.

Guillermo Barragan Toledo Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Ana Rosa Bobadilla Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C. Gilberto Calderon Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED

Carlos Cano PwC Mexico

Oscar O. Cano ADEATH LOGISTICS S.A. DE C.V.

Santiago Carrillo RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

María Casas López Baker & McKenzie

Hermilo Ceja Comisión Federal de Electricidad

Carlos Chávez Galicia y Robles, S.C.

Ernesto Chávez Intercontinental Network Services

Rodrigo Conesa RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

Eduardo Corzo Ramos HOLLAND & KNIGHT-GALLÁSTEGUI Y LOZANO, S.C.

Jose Covarrubias-Azuela Solorzano, Carvajal, Gonzalez y Perez-Correa, S.C.

Elvira Creel Secretaría de Energía

Cecilia Curiel Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, S.C.

Miguel de la Fuente Nader, Hayaux & Goebel

Oscar de La Vega LITTLER DE LA VEGA Y CONDE, S.C.

Jorge de Presno Basham, Ringe y Correa, member of Ius I aboris

Nicolas del Olmo NDA

Luis Enrique Díaz Mirón S. BUFETE DIAZ MIRÓN

Carlos Ramon Diaz Sordo Lopez Velarde, Heftye y Soria, S.C.

Carlos Diez Garcia Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Felipe Dominguez P.
Moore Stephens Orozco Medina,

Mariana Eguiarte Morett Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, S.C.

Lourdes Elizondo RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

Dolores Enriquez PwC Mexico

Miguel Espitia

Roberto Fagoaga SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Lucía Fernández Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Pedro Flores Carillo Moore Stephens Orozco Medina,

Julio Flores Luna Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados

Manuel Galicia Galicia y Robles, S.C.

Mauricio Gamboa TransUnion de Mexico SA SIC

Emilio García SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Jose Martin Garcia Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATU I IMITED

Mauricio Garza Bulnes J.A. Treviño Abogados S.A. de C.V. Hans Goebel Nader, Hayaux & Goebel

Patricia Gonzalez

Marisol González Echevarría SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Eugenia González Rivas GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS Luis Enrique Graham

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

Mario Alberto Gutiérrez

PwC Mexico

Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly Nader, Hayaux & Goebel

Roberto Hernandez Garcia COMAD, S.C. Angélica Huacuja

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
Agustin Humann

SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Mauricio Hurtado PwC Mexico

Jose Ricardo Ibarra Cordova Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, S.C.

Maria Isoard RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

Jorge Jimenez Lopez Velarde, Heftye y Soria, S.C.

Jorge Jiménez Russell Bedford México - member of Russell Bedford International

Diana Juárez Martínez BAKER & MCKENZIE

Alfredo Kupfer-Dominguez SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Ricardo León-Santacruz SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Alfonso Lopez Lajud GONZALEZ CALVILLO, S.C.

Julio Luna Castillo Colegio de Ingenieros Mecánicos y Electricistas (CIME)

Daniel Maldonado SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Lucia Manzo Galicia y Robles, S.C.

Esteban Maqueo Barnetche
Maoueo Abogados, S.C.

José Antonio Marquez González Notary Public #2

Bernardo Martínez Negrete GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.

Carla E. Mendoza Pérez BAKER & MCKENZIE

Carlos E. Montemayor PwC MEXICO

Erika Mora SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Guillermo Moran Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu I imited

Oscar Moreno Silva Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Enrique Muñoz Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C. Eloy F. Muñoz M.

IMEYEL SOLUCIONES INTEGRALES.

S.A. DE C.V.

Manuel Najera

NDA

Jorge Narváez Hasfura Baker & McKenzie

Marco Nava PwC Mexico Isabel Nuñez Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Diego Ortiz PwC Mexico

Juan Manuel Ortiz PwC Mexico Arturo Pedromo

GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C. Arturo Perdomo

GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.
Eduardo Perez Armienta
Moore Stephens Orozco Medina,

Gerardo Perez Monter Colegio de Ingenieros Mecánicos y Electricistas (CIME)

Fernando Perez-Correa Solorzano, Carvajal, Gonzalez y Perez-Correa, S.C.

Pablo Perezalonso Eguía RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

Guillermo Piecarchic PMC & Asociados

Jose Piecarchic Cohen PMC & Asociados

Gizeh Polo Ballinas Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y

ENRIQUEZ, S.C.
Jose Antonio Postigo-Uribe
SANCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Daniel Puente

J.A. TREVIÑO ABOGADOS S.A. DE C.V. David Puente-Tostado SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Alvaro Quintana ALVARO QUINTANA S.C.

Brindisi Reyes
RITCH MUELLER, S.C.

Eduardo Reyes Díaz-Leal Bufete Internacional

Héctor Reyes Freaner BAKER & MCKENZIE

Claudia Ríos

Jose Ignacio Rivero
Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

Cecilia Rojas GALICIA Y ROBLES, S.C.

Ivonne M. Rojas Rangel PMC & Asociados

Luis M. Sada-Beltrán SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Raúl Sahagun

Ana Cristina Sanchez Secretaría de Energía

Lucero Sánchez de la Concha BAKER & MCKENZIE Cristina Sanchez Vebber

SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.
Cristina Sánchez-Urtiz
MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO, S.C.

Francisco Santoyo Comisión Federal de Electricidad

Monica Schiaffino Pérez LITTLER DE LA VEGA Y CONDE, S.C.

Ernesto Silvas Sánchez DeVanny Eseverri, S.C. Pietro Straulino-Rodriguez

SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C. Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo

Barrera, Siqueiros y Torres Landa, S.C. Jaime A. Treviño

J.A. TREVIÑO ABOGADOS

Maribel Trigo Aja Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados

Rafael Vallejo GONZALEZ CALVILLO, S.C.

Layla Vargas Muga Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados

Jose Villa Ramirez COMAD, S.C.

Guillermo Villaseñor SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI, S.C.

Claudio Villavicencio Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

Humberto Zapien Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C., member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited

MICRONESIA, FED. STS.

BANK OF FSM

FSM DEVELOPMENT BANK

POHNPEI TRANSFER & STORAGE, INC.

Sweeter Aaron Foreign Investment Board, Pohnpei State Government

Kenneth Barden Attorney-at-Law

Marstella Jack Lawyer

Simon Lihpai Division of Forestry & Marine Conservation

Quirino Loyola Foreign Investment Board, Pohnpei State Government

Sisananto Loyola
POHNPEI STATE ENVIRONMENTAL

PROTECTION AGENCY
Silberio S. Mathias

Douglas Nelber POHNPEI STATE DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Kevin Palep
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF

Ronald Pangelinan
A&P Enterprises, Inc.

Kevin Pelep Foreign Investment Board, Pohnpei State Government

Bendura Rodriquez Foreign Investment Board, Pohnpei State Government

Salomon Saimon Micronesian Legal Services Corporation

MOLDOVA

ICS RED UNION FNOSA S.A.

Brian Arnold PwC Moldova

Victor Burac Victor Burac Law Firm

Andrei Caciurenco ACI Partners Law Office

Octavian Cazac Turcan Cazac

Svetlana Ceban PwC Moldova

Vitalie Ciofu GLADEI & PARTNERS

Vera Coslet Victor Burac Law Firm

Sergiu Dumitrasco PwC Moldova Serghei Filatov ACI Partners Law Office

Iulia Furtuna Turcan Cazac

Ana Galus Turcan Cazac Roger Gladei

GLADEI & PARTNERS Silvia Grosu PwC Moldova

Andrian Guzun Schoenherr

Vladimir Iurkovski Schoenherr

Roman Ivanov Vernon David & Associates

Valentin Kiba Elia Sa.

Boyan Kolev SRL Constructproject Cristina Martin ACI Partners Law Office

Georgeta Mincu

Alexandru Munteanu Intreprinderea cu Capital Strain PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal SRL

Oxana Novicov National Union of Judicial Officers

Igor Odobescu ACI Partners Law Office

Aelita Orhei GLADEI & PARTNERS

Vladimir Palamarciuc Turcan Cazac

Ilona Panurco Intreprinderea cu Capital Strain PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal SRL

Carolina Parcalab ACI Partners Law Office

Vladimir Plehov Maritimtrans

Igor Popa Popa & Asociatii Lawyers

Dimitru Popescu Intreprinderea cu Capital Strain PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal SRL

Victor Rusu GLADEI & PARTNERS

Olga Saveliev Turcan Cazac

Alexandru Savva Turcan Cazac

Foca Silviu
BIROUL DE CREDIT - MOLDOVA

Viorel Sirghi

BSMB LEGAL COUNSELLORS

Mariana Stratan Turcan Cazac

Ruslan Surugiu National Energy Regulatory Agency of the Republic of Moldova

Elena Talmazan SC Contabil Principal SRL

Alexander Tuceac Turcan Cazac

Alexander Turcan
Turcan Cazac

Tatiana Vasiliu Vernon David & ASSOCIATES

MONGOLIA

PwC Mongolia
Munkhiargal Ras

Munkhjargal Baashuu GTs Advocates LLC Telenged Baast Monlogistics Worldwide LLC

Bolortsogoo Baldandorj Ulaanbaatar Electricity Distribution Network Company

Buyantogos Baljinnyam Anderson and Anderson LLP

Batdelger FEA ENERGY MONGOLIA

Badarch Bayarmaa Mahoney Liotta

Ebone. M Bishop Anderson and Anderson LLP Batzaya Bodikhuu

Anand & Batzaya Advocates Law Firm

David C. Buxbaum Anderson and Anderson LLP Khatanbat Dashdarjaa

ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES
Zoljargal Dashnyam
GTs Advocates LLC

Uyanga del Sol

Enkhgerel Deleg Anderson and Anderson LLP

Ganbaatar FEA ENERGY MONGOLIA

Uuganbayar Ganbaatar Transgate Co., Ltd.

Batbayar Jigmedsuren UB Trans LLC

Undram Lhagvasuren Anand & Batzaya Advocates

Zolbayar Luvsansharav Tsets

Daniel Mahoney Mahoney Liotta

Bayarmanla Manljav GTs Advocates LLC

Odonhuu Muuzee Tsets

Batragchaa Ragchaa A&A Global Law Firm

Norovtseren Sanjmyatav Arlex Consulting Services

Tsolmon Shar
Tsolmon Partners

Baatarsuren Sukhbaatar The Bank of Mongolia

Arslaa Urjin Ulaanbaatar Electricity Distribution Network Company

Paul Weifnnbach
Anand & Batzaya Advocates
I aw Firm

Misheel Zorig
ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES

MONTENEGRO

Aleksandar Adamovic Pacorini Montenegro

Bojana Andrić ČELEBIĆ

Veselin Anđušić Čelenić

Marija Bojović Bojović Dašić Kojović

Bojana Bošković Ministry of Finance

Dragan Ćorac Law Office Vujačić

Marija Crnogorac KN Karanović & Nikolić Savo Djurović Adriatic Marinas d.o.o.

Dragan Draca PwC Serbia

Vuk Drašković Bojović Dašić Kojović

Božidar Gogić Montecco INC D.O.O. Milorad Janjević Law Office Vujačić

Maja Jokanović Ministry of Economy

Srđan Kalezić

Nada Jovanović Central Bank of Montenegro

Tax Authority Montenegro Radoš-Lolo Kastratović

Radoš-Lolo Kastratović Advokatska Kancelarija

Ana Krsmanović Ministry of Financi Sefko Kurpejović

Ministry of Finance Krzysztof Lipka

PWC SERRIA

Nebojša Nikitović PROINSPECT++

Ivan Nikolic Bojović Dašić Kojović

Goran Nikolić Ministry of Economy Predrag Pavličić

Montecco INC p.o.o.
Nenad Pavličić

Pavličić Law Office Nikola Perović Plantaže

Uros Popovic Bojović Dašić Kojović

Ana Radivojević PwC Serbia Radmila Radoičić

Law Office Vujačić Miladin Radošević Law Firm Radošević

Slobodan Radovic BAST p.o.o

Ivan Radulović
Ministry of Finance
Vesna Radunović
R&P Auriting

Dragan Rakočević Commercial Court of Podgorica

Milena Roncević KN Karanović & Nikolić

Itana Scekic Harrisons Solicitors

Velimir Strugar EPCG AD NIKŠIĆ Jelena Vojinović

MINISTRY OF FINANCE
Saša Vujačić
Law Office Vujačić

Jelena Vujisić Law Office Vujačić

Lana Vukmirovic-Misic HARRISONS SOLICITORS

Sandra Zdravkovic
Montecco INC D.O.O.

MOROCCO

Sidimohamed Abouchikhi Experian

Lamya Alami Cabinet de notaire Alami Fassi-Fihri Bassamat Bassamat & Associée Hamid Ben Elfadil

CENTRE RÉGIONAL D'INVESTISSEMENT

Said Benjelloun Abouakil, Benjelloun & Mahfoud

Azel-arab Benjelloun Agence d'Architecture d'Urbanisme

ET DE DECORATION

Karim Benkirane

FSPACE TRANSIT

Mohamed Benkirane

Myriam Emmanuelle Bennani Amin Hajji & Associés Association D'AVOCATS

Saad Beygrine Cabinet de Notaire Alami

CABINET DE NOTAIRE ALAN Khalid Boumichi TECNOMAR

Johan Bruneau CMS Bureau Francis Lefebvre

Richard Cantin
JURISTRUCTURES - PROJECT
MANAGEMENT & LEGAL ADVISORY
SERVICES | | P

Mahat Chraibi
PwC Advisory Maroc

Driss Debbagh
KETTANI ASSOCIÉS
Merieme Diouri

ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE Sarah El Couhen ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE

Driss Ettaki Administration des Douanes et

IMPOTS INDIRECTS
Youssef Fassi Fihri
FYBA LAWYERS
Nasser Filali

ZIMAG Fatima Zahrae Gouttaya ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE

Zohra Hasnaoui Hasnaoui Law Firm Ahmad Hussein

TALAL ABU GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL) Bahya Ibn Khaldoun Université M.V. Souissi Rabat,

MAROC Ali Kettani KETTANI ASSOCIÉS

Mehdi Kettani KETTANI ASSOCIÉS Nadia Kettani KETTANI LAW FIRM

Rita Kettani Kettani Associés

Nabyl Lakhdar
Administration des Douanes et

Béatrice Larrègle EXPERIAN Amine Mahfoud

Amine Mahfoud Notaire

Anis Mahfoud

Abouakil, Benjelloun & Mahfoud

Avocats
Noureddine Marzouk
PwC Apvisory Maroc

Abdelkhalek Merzouki Administration des Douanes et Impots Indirects

Kamal Nasrollah August & Debouzy Avocats Nesrine Roudane Nero Boutique Law Firm

Laetitia Saulais August & Debouzy Avocats

Ghalia Sebti Ait Manos

Houcine Sefrioui ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE

Nadia Tajouidi
ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE

Marc Veuillot CMS Bureau Francis Lefebvre

Khalil Yassir Yassir Khalil Studio

MOZAMBIQUE

Electricidade de Moçambique E.P.

Eunice Ali CGA & Associados, Advogados

Carolina Balate PwC Mozambique

José Manuel Caldeira Sal & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Eduardo Calú SAL & CALDEIRA ADVOGADOS, LDA.

Alexandra Carvalho Monjardino ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Sandra Clifton AVM Advogados Mozambique

Avelar Da Silva Intertek International Ltd.

Thera Dai CGA & Associados, Advogados

Alberto de Deus Macurru Law, Sociedade Unipessoal, Lda

Elisio De Sousa FERNANDA LOPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS

Carlos de Sousa e Brito &

Tiago Dias José MGRA & Associados

Fulgêncio Dimande Manica Freight Services S.A.R.L

Rita Donato Couto Graça e Associados

Telmo Ferreira Couto Graca e Associados

Couto Graça e Associado Pinto Fulane Banco de Mocambioue

Xiluva Gonçalves Nogueira da Costa

Costa Sal & Caldeira Advogados, Lda.

Jorge Graça CGA - Couto, Graça e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados

Victoria Gundanhane SDV Моçамвіque SA

Agnaldo Laice *Daмco*

Rute Langa Couto Graça e Associados

Daniel Lobo Antunes CARLOS DE SOUSA E BRITO & ASSOCIADOS

Rui Lotorte CGA - Couto, Graça e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados

Fernanda Lopes
FERNANDA LOPES & ASSOCIADOS
ADVOGADOS

Gimina Luís Mahumana

Marla Mandlate
SAL & CALDEIRA ADVOGADOS, LDA.

Vítor Marques da Cruz FCB&A in association with Law & Mark, Advogados e Consultores Law & Mark, Lda

João Martins PwC Mozambique

Gonçalo Meneses Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

Monica Moti Guerra
CGA & Associados, Advogados

Álvaro Pinto Basto CGA - Couto, Graça e Associados, Sociedade de Advogados

Malaika Ribeiro PwC Mozambique

Bilal Ismail Seedat B'ILM CONSULTING

Paula Castro Silveira RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Ricardo Veloso VMP - VELOSO, MENDES, PATO E ASSOCIADOS

NAMIBIA

Namibia Real Estate

Woker Freight Services

Joos Agenbach Koep & Partners

Mark Badenhorst PwC South Africa

Tiaan Bazuin Namibian Stock Exchange

Ronnie Beukes CITY OF WINDHOEK ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT

Clifford Bezuidenhout Engling, Stritter & Partners

Benita Blume H.D. Bossau & Co.

Hanno D. Bossau H.D. Bossau & Co.

Lorna Celliers BDO SPENCER STEWARD (NAMIBIA)

Esi Chase Advocate

Helené Cronje H.D. Bossau & Co.

Jana-Marie De Bruyn BDO Spencer Steward (Namibia)

Paul De Chalain PwC South Africa

Ferdinand Diener
CITY OF WINDHOEK ELECTRICITY
DEPARTMENT

Marcha Erni

Hans-Bruno Gerdes Engling, Stritter & Partners

Amanda Gous PwC Namibia

Ismeralda Hangue

DEEDS OFFICE
Stefan Hugo

PwC Namibia

Jaco Jacobs Ellis Shilengudwa

Mignon Klein G.F. Köpplinger Legal Practitioners

Frank Köpplinger G.F. Köpplinger Legal Practitioners

Norbert Liebich Transworld Cargo (PTY) Ltd.

John D. Mandy Namibian Stock Exchange Brigitte Nependa H.D. Bossau & Co.

Riana Oosthuizen BDO Spencer Steward (Namibia)

Axel Stritter
Engling, Stritter & Partners

Andreas Vaatz Andreas Vaatz & Partners

Hugo Van den Berg Koep & Partners

Ockhuizen Welbert Namibia Water Corporation (NamWater)

Renate Williamson

NFPAL

Anil Chandra Adhikari CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU LTD. NEDAL

Sulakshan Adhikari Shangri-La Freight Pvt. Ltd.

Lalit Aryal LA & Associates Chartered Accountants

Tulasi Bhatta Unity Law Firm & Consultancy

Tankahari Dahal Niraula Law Chamber & Co.

Devendra Dongol Kathmandu Metropolitan City

Ajay Ghimire Apex Law Chamber

Sunil Gupta Lawyer

Navin Kejriwal

Ananda Raj Khanal Nepal Telecommunications Authority

Gourish K. Kharel KTO Inc. Parsuram Koirala

Koirala & Associates

Tek Narayan Kunwar Makanwpur District Court

Arpana Lama CSC & Co.

Bharat Lamsal Kathmandu District Court

Amir Maharjan SAFE Consulting Architects & Engineers Pvt. Ltd.

Lumb Mahat CSC & Co.

Ashok Man Kapali Shangri-La Freight Pvt. Ltd.

Purna Man Napit NIC BANK

Matrika Niraula Niraula Law Chamber & Co.

Rajan Niraula Niraula Law Chamber & Co.

Purnachitra Pradhan Karja Suchana Kendra Ltd. (CIB)

Deepak K. Shrestha NEPAL INVESTMENT BANK

P. L. Shrestha
EVERGREEN CARGO SERVICES PVT. LTD.

Rajeshwor Shrestha Sinha - Verma Law Concern Suman Lal Shrestha

H.R. LOGISTIC PVT LTD.

Baburam Subedi
NEPAL FLECTRICITY AUTHORITY

Ram Chandra Subedi Apex Law Chamber Mahesh Kumar Thapa Sinha - Verma Law Concern

NETHERLANDS

MUNICIPALITY - SOUTH DISTRICT OFFICE

Joost Achterberg Kennedy Van der Laan

Jan Biemans De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Karin W.M. Bodewes
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Sytso Boonstra

PwC Netherlands
Roland Brandsma
PwC Netherlands

Martin Brink
VAN BENTHEM & KEULEN NV

Margriet de Boer De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Taco de Lange

Robert de Vries Bosselaar & Strengers Advocaten

Rolef de Weijs Houthoff Buruma

Hans de Wilde Kab Accountants & Belastingadviseurs - member of Russell Bedford International

Wilfrank Driesprong STICHTING BUREAU KREDIET REGISTRATIE

Arnold Fajel Merzario BV

Ingrid Greveling
NautaDutilh Attorneys

Ruud Hermans De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Mark Huijzen SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP Niels Huurdeman

Houthoff Buruma
Bas Jongtien

BOSSELAAR & STRENGERS ADVOCATEN

Marcel Kettenis

PWC NETHERLANDS

Edwin Kleefstra Kab Accountants & Belastingadviseurs - member of Russell Bedford International

Christian Koedam PwC NETHERLANDS Filip Krsteski

VAN DOORNE N.V. Andrej Kwitowski AKADIS R V

Stefan Leening
PwC Netherlands

Allard Meine Jansen ALLARD ARCHITECTURE

Matthias Noorlander Office of Energy Regulation

Peter Plug Office of Energy Regulation

Johan Polet SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP Peter Radema

Mark G. Rebergen De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Hugo Reumkens Van Doorne N.V.

MERZARIO BV

Maayke Rooijendijk De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek Rutger Schimmelpenninck Houthoff Buruma

Stéphanie Spoelder Baker & McKenzie

Michiel Stoove Bosselaar & Strengers Advocaten

Natusia Szeliga BAKER & MCKENZIE Fedor Tanke BAKER & MCKENZIE

Maarten Tinnemans De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Jaap Jan Trommel NautaDutilh Attorneys

Annet van Balen Bosselaar & Strengers Advocaten

Helene van Bommel PwC Netherlands

Leonard Van den Ende BAKER & McKENZIE

Berdieke van den Hoek Bosselaar & Strengers Advocaten

Jos van der Schans De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Florentine van der Schrieck De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek

Gert-Jan van Gijs VAT LOGISTICS (OCEAN EREIGHT) BV

Sjaak van Leeuwen Stichting Bureau Krediet Registratie

Christian Van Megchelen

Jan van Oorschot Liander

Petra van Raad PwC NETHERLANDS Rodolfo Van Vlooten

KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN Frédéric Verhoeven

HOUTHOFF BURUMA
Janine Verweij
OFFICE OF ENERGY REGULATION

Reinout Vriesendorp

DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK

Frank Werger PwC Netherlands

Stephan Westera

Berto Winters

Marcel Willems Kennedy Van der Laan

DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK
Marleen Zandbergen

NAUTADUTILH ATTORNEYS Christiaan Zijderveld SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP

NEW ZEALAND

Inland Revenue Department

New Zealand Customs Service

Connor Archbold
BELL GULLY
Geoff Beyan

Geoff Bevan Chapman Tripp

Justin Cameron LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Shelley Cave
SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX
MUNDI

Philip Coombe PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP

Ross Crotty Lowndes Associates - Corporate and Commercial Law Specialists John Cuthbertson PwC New Zealand

Daniel De Vries

Kerr Dewe LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Joanne Dickson SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Igor Drinkovic
MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

Vince Duffin VECTOR ELECTRICITY

Catherine Fonseca PWC. NEW ZEALAND

Koustabh Gadgil INVESTMENT NEW ZEALAND (A DIVISION OF NEW ZEALAND TRADE AND FNTERPRISE)

Lowry Gladwell

Matt Kersey RUSSELL MCVEAGH

Greg King JACKSON RUSSELL Mahesh Lala JACKSON RUSSELL

Kate Lane MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

Leroy Langeveld

SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

John Lawrence AUCKLAND CITY COUNCIL

Mark Lowndes LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Mandy McDonald MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Andrew Minturn OUALTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Robert Muir LAND INFORMATION NEW ZEALAND

Michael O'Brien HESKETH HENRY LAWYERS

Catherine Otten NEW ZEALAND COMPANIES OFFICE

Mihai Pascariu MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

John Powell RUSSELL McVEAGH

David Quigg OUIGG PARTNERS lim Roberts

HESKETH HENRY LAWYERS

Silvana Schenone MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

Howard Thomas LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Ben Thomson SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Amy Tiong PWĆ NEW ZEALAND

Ben Upton SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Mike Whale LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Jessica Wilsher LOWNDES ASSOCIATES - CORPORATE AND COMMERCIAL LAW SPECIALISTS

Richard Wilson Jackson Russell

NICARAGUA

EXPORTADORA ATLANTIC S.A Bertha Argüello de Rizo

Arias & Muñoz Rosa Baca GARCÍA & BODÁN

Marco Baldizón DISNORTE-DISSUR (UNION FENOSA)

Minerva Adriana Bellorín Rodríguez ACZALAW

Marco Benavente GARCÍA & BODÁN

Flavio Andrés Berríos Zepeda MULTICONSULT & CIA LTDA.

Carlos Alberto Bonilla López SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS

Orlando Cardoza BUFETE JURIDICO OBREGON Y Asociados

Thelma Carrion Aguilar Castillo Love

Ramón Castro Arias & Muñoz

Yuri Fernando Cerrato Espinoza ALVARADO Y ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Dorisabel Conrado CONSORTIUM TABOADA Y ASOCIADOS

Sergio David Corrales Montenegro GARCÍA & BODÁN

Juan Carlos Cortes PwC Nicaragua

Eugenia Cruz CETREX

Brenda Darce CFTRFX

Gloria Maria de Alvarado ALVARADO Y ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Terencio Garcia Montenegro GARCÍA & BODÁN

Engelsberth Gómez PRO NICARAGIJA

Denis González Torres G.F. FLECTROMECÁNICA & CIA I TDA.

Claudia Guevara AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE

Federico Gurdian GARCÍA & BODÁN

Marianela Gutierrez AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE

Gerardo Hernandez CONSORTIUM TABOADA Y ASOCIADOS

María Fernanda Jarquín ARIAS & MUÑOZ

María José Peña DISNORTE-DISSUR (UNION FENOSA)

Eduardo Lacayo TRANSUNION

José Mejía GARCÍA & BODÁN

Jorge Molina Lacayo CETREX

Roberto Montes Arias & Muñoz

Sorava Montova Herrera MOLINA & ASOCIADOS CENTRAL LAW

leanethe Morales Núñez SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS

Amilcar Navarro Amador GARCÍA & BODÁN

Francisco Ortega FRANCISCO ORTEGA & ASOCIADOS Ramon Ortega PWC EL SALVADOR

Róger Pérez Grillo Arias & Muñoz

Alonso Porras ACZALAW

Mazziel Rivera ACZALAW

Ana Teresa Rizo Briseño Arias & Muñoz

Erwin Rodriguez PwC Nicaragua

Patricia Rodríguez MULTICONSULT & CIA LTDA.

Alfonso José Sandino Granera CONSORTIUM TABOADA Y ASOCIADOS

Rodrigo Taboada CONSORTIUM TABOADA Y ASOCIADOS

Carlos Téllez GARCÍA & BODÁN

Diogenes Velasquez ACŽALAW

NIGFR

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO.

MAFRSK S.A. Kassoum Abari

VILLE DE NIAMEY

Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo **BCFAO**

Takoubakoye Aminata MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT

Mamoudou Aoula MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME, DE I'HABITAT ET DU CADASTRE

Sidi Sanoussi Baba Sidi CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY

Joël Broux BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

Moussa Coulibaly CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY

Moussa Dantia CENTRE DES FORMALITES DES ENTREPRISES

Abdou Djando

Jean Claude Gnamien PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Souley Hammi Illiassou

Moussa Gros Ibrahim MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT

Sevbou Issifi VILLE DE NIAMEY

Bernar-Oliver Kouaovi CARINET KOUAOVI

Diallo Rayanatou Loutou CABINET LOUTOU - ARCHITECTES

Boubacar Nouhou Maiga ENGE

Saadou Maiguizo BUREAU D'ETUDES TECHNIQUES D'ASSISTANCE ET DE SURVEILLANCE EN CONSTRUCTION CIVILE

Ari Malla MILLENNIUM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT

Marie-Virginie Mamoudou CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER

Issaka Manzo

André Abboh Joseph Monso PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Amadou Moussa MILLENNILIM CHALLENGE ACCOUNT

Moukaïla Nouhou Hamani COUR SUPRÊME

Sahabi Oumarou THEMIS INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANTS

Daouda Samna S.C.P.A. MANDELA

Abdou Moussa Sanoussi ENGE

Dominique Taty PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Idrissa Tchernaka ETUDE D'AVOCATS MARC LE BIHAN & COLLABORATELIES

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Hamado Yahaya SOCIETE CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS YANKORI ET ASSOCIÉS

Emmanuel Yehouessi BCEAO

NIGERIA

STERLING PARTNERSHIP

ljeoma Abalogu GBENGA BIOBAKU & CO

Mohammed K. Abdulsalam GITRAS I TO.

Innocent Abidoye NNENNA EJEKAM ÁSSOCIATES

Lemea Abina STERLING PARTNERSHIP

Oluseyi Abiodun Akinwunmi Akinwunmi & Busari Legal **PRACTITIONERS**

Olaleye Adebiyi WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES

Kunle Adegbite CANAAN SOLICITORS

Steve Adehi STEVE ADEHI AND CO

Olufunke Adekoya AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS & ARRITRATORS

Korode Adeola WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES

Tolulope Aderemi PERCHSTONE & GRAFYS

Ademola Adesalu CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED

Adekunle Adewale Jackson, Etti & Edu

Yetunde Adewale AKINWUNMI & BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

Daniel Agbor UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

Kunle Ajagbe PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS Olaoluwa Ajala

GBENGA BIOBAKU & CO Koyin Ajayi OLANIWUN AJAYI LP

Barbara Ufuoma Akpotaire DREAM YARD PROJECT INC.

Overaye Brodrick Akpotaire LIDUÓ NIGERIA LTD.

Folake Alabi OLANIWUN AJAYI LP

Jonathan Aluju OLANIWUN AJAYI LP

Segun Aluko ALUKO & OYFBODE Godwin Amadi ALLIANCE LAW FIRM

Owolahi Animashaun SCOTECH UNIVERSAL RESOURCES Ifeoma Anwuta PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS

Sola Arifayan

Temitayo Arikenbi CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED

Ige Asemudara PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS Esther Atoyebi

OKONJO, ODIAWA & EBIE Ayodeji Balogun TONY ELUMELU FOUNDATION

Ngozi Chianakwalam LEGAL STANDARD CONSULTING

Stanley Chikwendu AELEX, LEGAL PRACTITIONERS & APRITRATORS

Chinwe Chiwete PLINLIKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS

Peter Crabb NNENNA FIEKAM ASSOCIATES

Rebecca Dokun ALLIKO & OYERODE

Judith Egbeadumah PERCHSTONE & GRAFYS

Colin Egemonye COLIN EGEMONYE & ASSOCIATES

Emmanuel Egwuagu OBLA & CO.

Oyindamola Ehiwere UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

Nnenna Eiekam NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES

Mary Fkemezie Uno Unoma & Reio-Osagie

Nelson Ekere 1ST ATTORNEYS Samuel Ftuk 1ST ATTORNEYS

Marcellina Eya Abang NIGERIAN ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (NERC)

Chris Fze NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES

Adanma Ezegbulam WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES

Anse Agu Ezetah CHIEF LAW AGU EZETAH & CO.

Babatunde Fagbohunlu ALUKO & OYEBODE

Kenechi Ezezika

IKEYI & ARIFAYAN

Omowumi Fajemiroye OLANIWUN AJAYI LP Olawale Fapohunda

Olubunmi Fayokun ALUKO & OYEBODE

Bimbola Fowler-Ekar Jackson, Etti & Edu Justice Idehen-Nathaniel

PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS Chimezie Iheakweazu

Chidinma Ihemedu ALLIANCE LAW FIRM Agent Benjamin Ihua-Maduenyi

IHUA & IHUA Nduka Ikeyi

Okorie Kalu Punuka Attorneys & Solicitors

Jelilat Kareem CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED Adetola Lawal Okonjo, Odiawa & Ebie

Ishaya Livinus Etsu Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC)

Nnenna Nwaokobia Nnenna Ejekam Associates

Kenechi Nwizu Ikeyi & Arifayan

Tochi Nwogu Punuka Attorneys & Solicitors

Wole Obayomi

V. Uche Obi Alliance Law Firm

Godwin Obla OBLA & Co.

Oluwakemi Oduntan JADE & STONE SOLICITORS

Tari Ofongo Nelson Ogbuanya Nocs Consults

Godson Ogheneochuko Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Ozofu Ogiemudia Udo Udoma & Belo-Osagie

Yvonne Ogunoiki Ikeyi & Arifayan

Ayodeji Ojo Jackson, Etti & Edu

Titilayo Oke PwC Nigeria Ifedayo Oke-Lawal

PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS

Oghenetekevwe Okobiah

Jackson, Etti & Edu Christine Okokon

UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE Patrick Okonjo

OKONJO, ODIAWA & EBIE

Dozie Okwuosah Central Bank of Nigeria

Ololade Oladipupo Alliance Law Firm

Adefunke Oladosu Akinwunmi & Busari Legal Practitioners

Titilola Olateju Околло, Одлама & Евле

Adebayo Ologe Perchstone & Graeys

Ayotunde Ologe SYNERGY LEGAL PRACTITIONERS AND

Afolasade Olowe JACKSON, ETTI & EDU

Oladipo Olukuewu Oladipo Olukuewu & Company

Patrick Omeke
Columbia University School
of Law

Jennifer Omozuwa Perchstone & Graeys

Ekundayo Onajobi UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

Fred Onuobia
G. ELIAS & Co. SOLICITORS AND
ADVOCATES

Nnamdi Oragwu
PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS

Punuka Attorneys & Solicitor Donald Orji Jackson, Etti & Edu

Tunde Osasona Whitestone Worldwide Ltd.

Yewande Oshile Aluko & Oyebode Olufemi Ososanya HLB Z.O. Ososanya & Co.

Abraham Oyakhilome First & First International Agencies

Taiwo Oyedele PwC Nigeria

Tade Oyewunmi ALLIANCE LAW FIRM Bukola Oyinlola

PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS
Tunde Popoola
CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED

Titilola Rotifa Околло, Одлаwа & Евле

Taofeek Shittu Ikeyi & Arifayan

Adeola Sunmola
UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

Olufemi Sunmonu FEMI SUNMONU & ASSOCIATES, SOLICITORS

Olubukola Thomas Perchstone & Graeys

Chima Polly Ubechu CENOUXS LOGISTICS LTD.

Aniekan Ukpanah Upo Upoma & Belo-Osagie

Adamu M. Usman

Edward Vera-Cruz

NORWAY

ADVOKATFIRMAET HJORT DA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

AGENCY FOR PLANNING AND BUILDING

Eli Aasheim Wiersholm Law Office AS

Sverre Ardø Experian

Jan L. Backer Wikborg, Rein & Co.

Guro Bakke Haga PwC Norway

Stig Berge Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS

Jacob S. Bjønnes-Jacobsen Grette Law Firm DA

Margrethe Buskerud Christoffersen ADVOKATFIRMAET THOMMESSEN AS

Tron Dalheim Arntzen de Besche Advokatfirma AS

Magnar Danielsen
MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT

Lars Davidsen

Åsne Dingsør Haukvik Advokatfirmaet Schjødt AS

Knut Ekern PwC Norway

Lars Eliassen The Bronnoysund Register Center

Turid Ellingsen Statens Kartverk

Simen Aasen Engebretsen DELOITTE LLP

Jan Erik Bauge SIMONSEN ADVOKATFIRMA DA

Inger Eline Eriksen Grette Law Firm DA

Claus R. Flinder SIMONSEN ADVOKATFIRMA DA Marius Moursund Gisvold WIKBORG, REIN & Co.

Hede Glimsdall Homble Olsby advokatfirma AS

Maria Therese Haga Grette Law Firm DA

Ruth Haile Tesfazion GRETTE LAW FIRM DA Odd Hylland

PwC Norway
Thomas Urdal Johnsen

WIKBORG, REIN & Co.
Bjørn H. Kise

ADVOKATFIRMA VOGT & WIIG AS Charlotte Kristensen

PwC Norway Knut Martinsen

Advokatfirmaet Thommessen AS

Karl Erik Nedregotten PwC Norway

Halfdan Nitter Nitter AS – correspondent of Russell Bedford International

Ole Kristian Olsby Homble Olsby advokatfirma AS

Lars S. Haugstvedt Wiersholm Law Office AS

Camilla Schøyen Breibøl Wiersholm Law Office AS

Ståle Skutle Arneson Advokatfirma Vogt & Wiig AS

Oyvind Vagan The Bronnoysund Register Center

Ida Winters Hombie Olsky advokaterma AS

OMAN

Hamad Al Abri Muscat Electricity Distribution Company

Zahir Abdulla Al Abri Muscat Electricity Distribution Company

Zubaida Fakir Mohamed Al Balushi CENTRAL BANK OF OMAN

Salman Ali Al Hattali Muscat Electricity Distribution

Hanaan Al Marhuby PwC Оман

Amer Al Rawas Omantel

Said bin Saad Al Shahry SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS

Majid Al Toky Trowers & Hamlins

Khalid Khamis Al-Hashmi Muscat Municipality

Zaid Al-Khattab Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-l egal)

Ahmed al-Mukhaini SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS

Ibrahim Albri Muscat Municipality

Hilal Almayahi

Muscat Municipality

Mohamed Alrashdi

Muscat Municipality

Mohammed Alshahri Монаммед Alshahri & Associates

Russell Aycock PwC Oman David Augustus Ball SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS

Mahmoud Bilal SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS

Yacoob Bin Salim Abdullah Al-Oufy Meyer-Reumann & Partners

Sadaf Buchanan SNR DENTON & Co.

Akanksha Choubey SNR DENTON & Co.

Francis D'Souza BDO JAWAD HABIB Nasser A. Elhaidib

TROWERS & HAMLINS
Jamie Gibson

Trowers & Hamlins
Hussein

Muscat Electricity Distribution Company

Catherine Jaskiewicz Meyer-Reumann & Partners

Robert Kenedy
CURTIS MALLET - PREVOST, COLT &
MOSLE LLP

Philip Keun SNR DENTON & Co.

Kenneth Macfarlane PwC OMAN Jose Madukakuzhy

KHIMJI RAMDAS Siham Mahgoub SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY &

Partners
Pushpa Malani
PwC Oman

Krishnadas Mathilakath

Yashpal Mehta BDO Jawad Habib

Haleem Mohammed SNR DENTON & Co.
Subha Mohan

Curtis Mallet - Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP
Ahmed Naveed Farooqui

OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)
Bruce Palmer

CURTIS MALLET - PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP
Raghavendra Pangala

Semac & Partners LLC George Sandars

SNR DENTON & Co.
Rajshekhar Singh

BANK MUSCAT

Ganesan Sridhar

BANK MUSCAT

Roy Thomas Oman Cables Industry (SAOG)

PAKISTAN

Elsa Abbasi Abraham & Sarwana

Sh. Farooq Abdullah Abraham & Sarwana Ali lafar Abidi

STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN
Masooma Afzal
HASEEB LAW ASSOCIATES

Mirza Taqi Ud Din Ahmad A.F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered Accountants, a member firm of PWC network Nadeem Ahmad Orr, Dignam & Co., Advocates

Waheed Ahmad Maxim International Law Firm

Anwaar Ahmed Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan Jawad Ahmed

MUHAMMAD FAROOQ & Co. CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS Nasir Mehmood Ahmed

BUNKER LOGISTICS
Syed Akhter Ahmed
PYRAMID PAKISTAN

Syed Asif Ali Pyramid Pakistan

Syed Nasir Ali Gilani ZAFAR & ASSOCIATES LLP

Uzma Anwar Zafar & Associates I I P

Sarah Arshad Surridge & Beecheno

Armughan Ashfaq Surridge & Beecheno

Hasnain Ashraf AQLAAL ADVOCATES Khwaja Shaheryar Aziz

A.F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered A.C. Accountants, a member firm of PWC network

Major Javed Bashir GREENFIELDS INTERNATIONAL

Waheed Chaudhary
LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE
CONSULTANTS

Fouad Rashid Dar
TARGET LOGISTICS INTL. (PVT) LTD.

Faisal Daudpota Khalid Daudpota & Co.

Harish Dhamania

Zaki Ejaz ZAKI & ZAKI ADVOCATES AND SOLICITORS

Salman Faisal Haseeb Law Associates

Ikram Favaz

QAMAR ABBAS & Co.

Kausar Fecto

KAUSAR FECTO & CO. CHARTERED

Accountants
Tahseen Ghani
Hussain Home Textile

Irfan Haider Pyramid Pakistan Asim Hameed Khan

Ivon Trading Company Pvt. Ltd. Asma Hameed Khan

Surridge & Beecheno

Rashid Ibrahim

A.F. Ferguson & Co., Chartered

Accountants, a member firm of

PwC NETWORK

Samson Iqbal
My Cargo Pvt. Ltd.

Hasan Irfan Khan

Fiza Islam LEGIS INN Attorneys & Corporate Consultants

Muzaffar Islam LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE

Masooma Jaffer Abraham & Sarwana

Mujtaba Jamal Mujtaba Jamal Law Associates Tariq Nasim Jan DATACHECK PVT. LTD.

Rubina Javed TEXPERTS INTERNATIONAL

M Javed Hassan TEXPERTS INTERNATIONAL

Aftab Ahmed Khan SURRIDGE & BEECHENO

Arif Khan QAMAR ABBAS & CO.

Sara lHayat MUJTABA JAMAL LAW ASSOCIATES

Farah Malik HASEEB LAW ASSOCIATES

Muhammad Aslam Memon

Moazzam Mughal BOXING WINNER

Uzma Munir Hassan Kaunain Nafees

Faiza Muzaffar LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE

Jamal Panhwar Travel and Culture Services

Abdul Rahman OAMAR ARRAS & CO

Zaki Rahman FRRAHIM HOSAIN. ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL

Ameeruddin Rana ABRAHAM & SARWANA

Tario Saeed Rana SURRIDGE & BEECHENO Abdur Razzan

QAMAR ABBAS & Co.

Jawad A. Sarwana Авканам & Sarwana Huma Shah

M/s Sheikh Shah Rana & Ijaz

Muhammad Siddique SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Safdar Syed ABRAHAM & SARWANA

Muhammad Ashraf Tiwana AOLAAL ADVOCATES

Mian Haseeb ul Hassan HASEEB LAW ASSOCIATES

Chaudhary Usman EBRAHIM HOSAIN, ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL

Saleem uz Zaman SALEEM UZ ZAMAN & CO.

Javed Ahmed Vohra FAIR BROTHERS INTERNATIONAL

Fareed Yaldram Mujtaba Jamal Law Associates

Muhammad Yousuf HAIDER SHAMSI & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS

Ilvas Zafar ZAFAR & ASSOCIATES LLP

Akhtar 7aidi ZAIN CONSULTING

Vaqar Zakaria HAGLER BAILLEY PAKISTAN (PVT) LTD

Amer Zia

PALAU

BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS PALAU PUBLIC UTILITY CORPORATION

Maggy Antonio KOROR PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE Kenneth Barden

Cristina Castro WESTERN CAROLINE TRADING CO.

Yukiwo P. Dengokl DENGOKL, DIMITRUK & NAKAMURA

Rachel Dimitruk Dengokl, Dimitruk & Nakamura

Suzanne Finney PALAU HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

Sterlina Gabriel BUREAU OF LAND AND SURVEYS

William Keldermans PALAU SHIPPING COMPANY, INC.

Kevin N. Kirk THE LAW OFFICE OF KIRK AND SHADEL

Kuniwo Nakamura BELAU TRANSFER & TERMINAL CO. GROUP

Ramsey Ngiraibai KOROR PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE

Lily Rdechor PALAU ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PROTECTION BOARD

Techur Rengulbai BUREAU OF PUBLIC WORKS

William I Ridnath WILLIAM L. RIDPATH, ATTORNEY

David Shadel THE LAW OFFICE OF KIRK AND SHADEL

Peter C. Tsao WESTERN CAROLINE TRADING CO.

PANAMA

Aristides Anguizola Morgan & Morgan

Mercedes Araúz de Grimaldo MORGAN & MORGAN

Gilberto Arosemena Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Amanda Barraza de Wong PWC PANAMA

Jovani Bermudez FIRE DEPARTMENT OF PANAMA CITY

Gustavo Adolfo Bernal SOCIEDAD PANAMEÑA DE INGENIEROS Y AROUITECTOS

Javier Bouche Union Fenosa - EDEMET - EDECHI

Jose A. Bozzo GARRIDO & GARRIDO

Luis Carlos Bustamante PANAMÁ SOLUCIONES LOGÍSTICAS INT. - PSII

Hernando Carrasquilla REGISTRO PÚBLICO DE PANAMÁ

MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND FINANCES

Luis Chalhoub

ICAZA, GONZALEZ-RUIZ & ALEMAN Maria Lourdes Chanis CAPAC (CÁMARA PANAMEÑA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN)

Aurelia Chen MOSSACK FONSECA & CO.

Julio Cesar Contreras III Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Rigoberto Coronado MOSSACK FONSECA & CO.

Eduardo De Alba ARIAS FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA

Ana Belen de Zeimetz FSKILDSEN & FSKILDSEN

M Ducasa AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS Manuel Ducasa Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Ricardo Eskildsen Morales

Mailyn Espinosa PWC PANAMA

Michael Fernandez CAPAC (CÁMARA PANAMEÑA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN)

Nicole Fernandez PwC PANAMA

Jorge García ANAM

Jorge Garrido GARRIDO & GARRIDO William Gonzales

PINC PANAMA Yamileth Herrera MORGAN & MORGAN

Anny Jordan CAPAC (Cámara Panameña de la CONSTRUCCIÓN)

Andres Kosmas KPMG

Ricardo Lachman Morgan & Morgan

Ivette Flisa Martínez Saenz PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT

Jair Montufar KPMG

Erick Rogelio Muñoz SUCRE. ARIAS & REYES

Boris Nuñez REGISTRO PÚBLICO DE PANAMÁ

Ramon Ortega PWC EL SALVADOR

Maximiliano Quintero Domínguez PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT

Ricardo Rocha **KPMG**

Mario Rognoni Arosemena Noriega & Contreras

Luz María Salamina Asociación Panameña de Crédito

Carla Salvatierra DIRECCIÓN DE OBRAS Y CONSTRUCCIONES MUNICIPIO DE

Verónica Sinisterra AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS

Edwin Solis PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP

Raul Soto AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS

Ricardo Tribaldos Hernández MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND FINANCES

Marlaine Tuñón MINISTERIO DE COMERCIO E INDUSTRIA

Camilo Valdes Ramón Varela Morgan & Morgan

Juan Manuel Vasquez DIRECCIÓN DE OBRAS Y CONSTRUCCIONES MUNICIPIO DE

Carlos Villalobos Icaza, Gonzalez-Ruiz & Aleman

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

PT Sea Horse Pacific - PNG

Marjorie Andrew CONSULTATIVE IMPLEMENTATION & MONITORING COUNCIL

Whitman Atasoa PNG POWER LTD.

Paul Barker Consultative Implementation & MONITORING COUNCIL

Simon Bendo DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND PHYSICAL PLANNING

Moses Billy BILLY ARCHITECTS

David Caradus PwC Papua New Guinea

Richard Flynn Ashurst LLP Vanessa Geita

PwC Papua New Guinea Ihoko Haraka

FITECH ENGINEERING SERVICES LTD. Kevin Hebou

Morea Customs Agencies Stevens Kami GADENS LAWYERS

Timothy Koris PNG Power LTD.

Sarah Kuman ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON

John Leahy LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN LAWYERS

Bruce Mackinlay CREDIT & DATA BUREAU LIMITED

Nigel Merrick WARNER SHAND LAWYERS LAE

Vaughan Mills ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON

Antonia Nohou PwC Papua New Guinea

Lou Pipi NCDC MUNICIPALITY

Jason Reclamado ELTECH ENGINEERING SERVICES LTD.

Ian Shepherd ASHURST LLP

Thomas Taberia LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN

Tyson Yapao Allens Arthur Robinson

PARAGUAY

Administración Nacional de ELECTRICIDAD

Magalí Rodríguez Alcalá BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS &

Perla Alderete Vouga & Olmedo Abogados

Florinda Benitez

Enrique Benítez BDO RUBINSZTEIN & GUILLÉN

Luis Alberto Breuer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSFLORS

Esteban Burt PERONI, SOSA, TELLECHEA, BURT & NARVAJA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Laura Cabrera VOLIGA & OLMEDO AROGADOS

Lorena Dolsa BERKEMEYER. ATTORNEYS & COUNSFLORS

Estefanía Elicetche

PERONI, SOSA, TELLECHEA, BURT & NARVAJA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Natalia Enciso Benitez

Bruno Fiorio Carrizosa FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO Juan Bautista Fiorio Gimenez FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO

Veronica Franco FERRERE ABOGADOS

Néstor Gamarra SERVIMEX SACI

Jorge Guillermo Gomez PwC Paraguay

Nadia Gorostiaga PwC Paraguay

Carl Thomas Gwynn GWYNN & GWYNN - LEGAL COUNSELLING AND TRANSLATIONS

GWYNN & GWYNN - LEGAI COUNSELLING AND TRANSLATIONS

Jorge Jimenez Rey
BANCO CENTRAL DEL PARAGUAY

Pablo Livieres Guggiari ESTUDIO JURÍDICO LIVIERES GUGGIARI Nestor Loizaga

Augusto César Mengual Mazacotte FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO

FERRERE ABOGADOS

María Esmeralda Moreno Moreno Ruffinelli & Asociados

Natalia Oddone BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COLINSFLORS

Rocío Penayo Moreno Ruffinelli & Asociados

Yolanda Pereira BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSFLORS

María Antonia Ramírez de Gwynn GWYNN & GWYNN - LEGAL Counselling and Translations

Natalio Rubinsztein BDO RUBINSZTEIN & GUILLÉN

Jorge Saba FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO Mauricio Salgueiro

Vouga & Olmedo Abogados Guillermo Sarubbi Vouga & Olmedo Abogados

Federico Silva Ruben Taboada

PwC Paraguay Ernesto Velázquez-Argaña FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO

Lia Zanotti PERONI, SOSA, TELLECHEA, BURT & NARVAJA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

PLFA & CIA ABOGADOS, ASESORES Y CONSULTORES

SOCIEDAD AGRICOLA DROKASA

Jose Aguado PAYET REY CALLYL AROGADOS Walter Aguirre

AGUIRRE ABOGADOS & ASESORES Marco Antonio Alarcón Piana ESTUDIO LUIS ECHECOPAR GARCÍA

Alfonso Alvarez Calderón Estudio Alvarez Calderon Guilhermo Auler

JORGE AVENDAÑO & FORSYTH Adriana Aurazo

Russell Bedford Perú / Barzola & ASOCIADOS S.C. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDEORD INTERNATIONAL

Raul Barrios Barrios & Fuentes Abogados

Maritza Barzola Russell Bedford Perú / Barzola & Asociados S.C. - Member of Russell Bedford International

Stephany Giovanna Bravo de Rueda Arce RANSA

Jorge Calle Rubio Leguía Normand

Liliana Callirgos Barrios & Fuentes Abogados

Renzo Camaiora Gallo Barrios Pickmann

Katherine Carranza PwC Peru

José Castillo Russell Bedford Perú / Barzola & Asociados S.C. - Member of Russell Redford International

Fernando Castro Muñiz, Ramírez, Peréz-Taiman & Olaya Arogados

Cecilia Catacora Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Alessandra Cocchella Rubio Leguía Normand

Joanna Dawson Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Ricardo de la Piedra Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Alfonso De Los Heros Pérez Albela Estudio Luis Echecopar García S.R.L.

Ginnette Deneumostier Carbonell CONUDFI

Paula Devescovi Barrios & Fuentes Abogados

Juan Carlos Durand Grahammer Durand Abogados

Hugo Espinoza Rivera SUNARP

Guillermo Ferrero Estudio Ferrero Abogados

Mariana Franco Estudio Ferrero Abogados

Luis Fuentes
BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Jorge Fuentes
Rubio Leguía Normand

Carlos Gallardo Torres GENERAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC INCOME POLICY

Javier Garcia Oficina de la Secretaria Tecnica de Calidad

DE CALIDAD Juan García Montúfar

Rubio Leguía Normand Pamela Goyzueta

Equifax Peru S.A. Cecilia Guzmán-Barrón

Gallo Barrios Pickmann Giuliana Higuchi

BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Jose Antonio Honda Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

César Ballón Izquierdo Ransa

Paul Jasaui Agencia San Remo Juan Carlos Leon

Gianfranco Linares Muñiz, Ramírez, Peréz-Taiman & Olaya Abogados

German Lora PAYET, REY, CAUVI ABOGADOS

Juan Maranon PwC Peru

Milagros Maravi Sumar Rubio Leguía Normand

Carlos Martínez Rubio Leguía Normand

Ricardo Martinez Alvarez ACREDITA S.A.C.

Carlos Martínez Ebell Rubio Leguía Normand

Jesús Matos Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Jorge Mogrovejo Superintendency of Banking, Insurance and Private Pension Fund Administrator

Ronaldo Moreno-Aramburú BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Javier Mori Cockburn Equifax Peru S.A.

Miguel Mur PwC Peru

Jorge Olcese Superintendency of Banking, Insurance and Private Pension Fund Administrator

Lilian Oliver SUNARP

Ariel Orrego-Villacorta
BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Jorge Ortiz SUNARP

Marco Palacios Bardeli & Palacios

Max Panay Cuya SUNARP

Mario Pereda Jorge Avendaño & Forsyth Abogados

Adolfo Pinillos Miranda & Amado Abogados

Lucianna Polar Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex

Maribel Príncipe Rubio Leguía Normand María José Puertas

GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN

Bruno Marchese Quintana

Bruno Marchese Quintana Rubio Leguía Normand

Carlos Javier Rabanal Sobrino Durand Abogados

Amilcar Ramos Equifax Peru S.A.

Fernando M. Ramos BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Jorge Reategui Estudio Ferrero Abogados

Sonia L. Rengifo
BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Alonso Rey Bustamante PAYET, REY, CAUVI ABOGADOS

Jose M. Reyes Barrios & Fuentes Abogados

Yulissa Rivero Jorge Avendaño & Forsyth Abogados

Guillermo Acuña Roeder Rubio Leguía Normand Jose Rosas Lima Chamber of Commerce

OCR ADUANAS Emil Ruppert

Lucy Ruiz

RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND Carolina Sáenz Llanos RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND

Mateo Salinas ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Adolfo Sanabria Muñiz, Ramírez, Peréz-Taiman & Olaya Abogados

Arturo Ruiz Sanchez Rubio Leguía Normand

Paola Joselyn Sánchez Alfaro RANSA

Victor Scarsi Luz del Sur

Martin Serkovic
ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX
MUNDI

Hugo Silva

Rodrigo, Elías, Medrano Abogados

Liliana Tsuboyama Shiohama Estudio Luis Echecopar García S.R.L.

Daniel Ulloa REBAZA, ALCAZAR & DE LAS CASAS ABOGADOS FINANCIEROS

Carlos Urbina Ćarcamo Ransa Jack Vainstein

VAINSTEIN & INGENIEROS S.A. Erick Valderrama Villalobos

José Antonio Valdez Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Omar Valle
BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS

Edwin Vilca PwC Peru

PwC. PFRU

Manuel Villa-García Estudio Olaechea, member of Lex Mundi

Agustín Yrigoyen García Sayán Abogados

Gustavo Zanabria General Agency of Foreign Economic Matters, Competition AND PRIVATE INVESTMENT

Hector Zegarra PAYET, REY, CAUVI ABOGADOS

Heidy Zuzunaga Aguirre Abogados & Asesores

PHILIPPINES

ERNST & YOUNG

Jazmin Banal Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Manuel Batallones BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.

Antonio T. Bote
International Consolidator
Philippines Inc

PHILIPPINES, INC.
Alexander Cabrera
ISI A LIPANA & CO.

Ciriaco S. Calalang Calalang Law Offices

Ernesto Caluya Jr. Jimenez Gonzales Bello Valdez Caluya & Fernandez

Mylene Capangcol Department of Energy Cecile Margaret Caro SyCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN

Bryant Casiw
BAKER & McKenzie

Domingo Castillo SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan

Jon Edmarc Castillo SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan Sandhva Marie Castro

ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Kenneth Chua

QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL Barbra Jill Clara

SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN

Juan Paolo Colet Castillo Laman Tan Pantaleon & San Jose

Emerico O. de Guzman Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices (ACCRALAW)

Dino de los Angeles Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Anthony Dee SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan

Rafael del Rosario Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Juana M. Dela Cruz International Consolidator PHILIPPINES, INC.

Redel Domingo MERALCO

Rachel Follosco Follosco Morallos & Herce

Catherine Franco Quisumbing Torres, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL

Gilberto Gallos Angara Abello Concepcion REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRAI AW)

Geraldine S. Garcia
FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCE

Andres Gatmaitan
SyCip Salazar Hernandez &
Gatmaitan

Nicole Dawn Gavine ISLA LIPANA & Co.

Victor Genuino

Vicente Gerochi SyCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN

Gwen Grecia-de Vera PUYAT, JACINTO & SANTOS LAW OFFICE

Jessica Hilado Puyat, Jacinto & Santos Law Office

Tadeo F. Hilado Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices (ACCRALAW)

Thea Marie Jimenez Quasha Ancheta Pena & Nolasco

Carina Laforteza SyCıp Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan Hiyasmin Lapitan SyCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN

Benjamin Lerma ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW) Erich H. Lingad

Esther Claudine F. Lim

International Consolidator Philippines, Inc. Ronald Mark Lleno SyCip Salazar Hernandez &

GATMAITAN

Roberto Locsin
International Container Terminal

Services, Inc.
Eleanor Lucas Roque
Punongbayan & Araullo

Bhong Paulo Macasaet SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan

Yolanda Mendoza-Eleazar Castillo Laman Tan Pantaleon & San Jose

Maria Teresa Mercado-Ferrer SyCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN

Marianne Miguel SyCip Salazar Hernandez &

Jose Salvador Mirasol Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mindu

Jesusito G. Morallos Follosco Morallos & Herce

Freddie Naagas SCM CREATIVE CONCEPTS INC.

Jomini C. Nazareno Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Ángeles, member of Lex Mindu

Amanda Nograles Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Leonid C. Nolasco
Castillo Laman Tan Pantaleon &
San Jose

Carla Ortiz Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of

GATMAITAN

Maria Christina Ortua SyCip Salazar Hernandez &

Ma. Minerva Paez-Collantes JIMENEZ GONZALES BELLO VALDEZ CALUYA & FERNANDEZ

Benedicto Panigbatan SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan

Emmanuel C. Paras SyCip Salazar Hernandez &

PUNONGBAYAN & ARAULLO
Elaine Patricia S. Reyes
ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION
REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES

Senen Ouizon

(ACCRALAW)

Ricardo J. Romulo

ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA,
SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF

Lea L. Roque Punongbayan & Araullo Neptali Salvanera Angara Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Offices (ACCRALAW)

Froilan Savet MERALCO

Abigail D. Sese Castillo Laman Tan Pantaleon & San Jose

Felix Sy BAKER & McKENZIE

Sheryl Tanquilut Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Carlos Martin Tayag Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Herman Tinoyan Isla Lipana & Co.

Anna Bianca Torres PUYAT, JACINTO & SANTOS LAW OFFICE

Patrick Tovey
International Container Terminal
Services, Inc.

Glenn T. Tuazon Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles, member of Lex Mundi

Shirley Velasquez
Puyat, Jacinto & Santos Law
Office

Peter Young
International Container Terminal
Services Inc.

Maria Winda Ysibido ISLA LIPANA & CO.

Redentor C. Zapata Quasha Ancheta Pena & Nolasco

Gil Roberto Zerrudo Quisumbing Torres, member firm of Baker & McKenzie International

POLAND

Agencia Transportowa Makro Service

ALLEN & OVERY, A. PEDZICH SP.K.
BIRD & BIRD MACIEI GAWROŃSKI SP.K.

BUSINESS & LAW BLOG

JOLANTA BAREJ NOTARY

Małgorzata Morelowska-Mamińska Notary

PWC POLAND

Rejestr Dłużników ERIF Biuro Informacji Gospodarczej S.A.

Michał Anastasiu Kancelaria Prawa Gospodarczego I Ekologicznego dr Bartosz Draniewicz

Grzegorz Banasiuk GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW

Michal Barłowski Wardynski & Partners

Ewelina Bartnik

Michal Białobrzeski Hogan Lovells (Warszawa) LLP

Anna Bochnia DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.

Aleksander Borowicz
Biuro Informacji Kredytowej S.A.

Sławomir Boruc BAKER & McKENZIE

Urszula Brzezińska Blackstones Piotr Brzeziński Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw

Tomasz Chentosz BAKER & McKenzie

Pawel Cupriak
Paweł Cupriak Notary

Agnieszka Czarnecka KPT Tax Advisors

Tomasz Czech RAIFFEISEN BANK POLSKA S.A.

Michał Dąbrowski Ministry of Justice

Andrzej Dmowski Russell Bedford DZO Sp. z.o.o. - member of Russell Bedford International

Bartosz Draniewicz Kancelaria Prawa Gospodarczego I Ekologicznego dr Bartosz Draniewicz

Mateusz Dróżdż Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw

Edyta Dubikowska Squire Sanders Święcicki Krześniak SP.K.

Rafal Dziedzic GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW

Piotr Falarz DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.

Agnieszka Fedor WKB Wiercinski, Kwiecinski, Baehr

Krzysztof Feluch Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd.

Marek Firlej Ministry of Finance

Joanna Gasowski Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd.

Lech Giliciński Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd.

Michał Gliński Wardyński & Partners

Rafał Godlewski Wardyński & Partners

Paweł Grześkowiak Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw

Łukasz Hejmej White & Case W. Daniłowicz, W. Jurcewicz i Wspólnicy - Kancelaria Pranna sp.k

Mariusz Hildebrand BIG InfoMonitor SA

Magdalena Inglot White & Case W. Daniłowicz, W. Jurcewicz i Wspólnicy - Kancelaria Prawna sp.k.

Witold Jarzyński Magnusson

Joanna Jasiewicz GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND

Jakub Jędrzejak WKB Wiercinski, Kwiecinski, Baehr

Adam Jerzykowski Wardynski & Partners

Magdalena Kalińska WKB Wiercinski, Kwiecinski, Baehr

Rafał Kamiński White & Case W. Daniłowicz, W. Jurcewicz i Wspólnicy - Kancelaria Prawna sp.k.

Tomasz Kański Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak Katarzyna Kapuścińska Ministry of Lustice

Iwona Karasek-Wojciechowicz Jagiellonian University

Mariusz Każuch Ministry of Finance

Karol Kołowski Law Firm Domański Zakrzewski Palinka

Katarzyna Konstanty Nikiel & Partners Law Office

Olga Koszewska Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Agnieszka Kowalska Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw

Kinga Kowalska

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND Warsaw

Ewa Łachowska - Brol Wierzbowski Eversheds, member of Eversheds International Ltd.

Konrad Piotr Lewandowski

Marta Liberda - Stembalska Krajowy Rejestr Długów Bjuro Informacji Gospodarczej S.A.

Agnieszka Lisiecka Wardyński & Partners

Wojciech Łuczka Hogan Lovells (Warszawa) LLP

Anna Krystyna Machulak Baker & McKenzie

Paweł Mazurkiewicz MDDP MICHALIK DŁUSKA DZIEDZIC I PARTNERZY

Sebastian Michalik Cargo-Partner spedycja sp. z.o.o.

Tomasz Michalik MDDP Michalik Dłuska Dziedzic i Partnerzy

Anna Misiak MDDP Michalik Dłuska Dziedzic i Partnerzy

Magdalena Moczulska Wardynski & Partners

Michal Niemirowicz-Szczytt BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY SP. I.

Michał Nowacki Wardynski & Partners

Justyna Nowak Baker & McKenzie

Dariusz Okolski Okolski Law Office

Krystyna Olczak Russell Bedford DZO Sp. z.o.o. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Anita Ołdakowska

Krzysztof Pawlak Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Weronika Pelc Wardynski & Partners

Alexandra Pereira dos Reis RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Łukasz Piebiak REGIONAL COLIRT IN WARSAW

Tomasz Połeć KPT Tax Advisors

Adrian Praczuk Ministry of Finance

Bartłomiej Raczkowski Bartłomiej Raczkowski Kancelaria Prawa Pracy

Piotr Sadownik Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw Katarzyna Sarek Bartłomiej Raczkowski Kancelaria Prawa Pracy

Alicja Sarna MDDP MICHALIK DŁUSKA DZIEDZIC I PARTNERZY

Piotr Siciński Piotr Siciński Notary

Karol Skibniewski Sołtysiński Kawecki & Szlęzak

Zbigniew Skórczyński CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP Michał Steinhagen

WARDYNSKI & PARTNERS
Ewelina Stobiecka
TAYLOR WESSING, EINIVIC

Peter Święcicki Squire Sanders Święcicki Krześniak sp. k.

Aleksandra Sypek KPT Tax Advisors

Izabela Szczygielska WKB Wiercinski, Kwiecinski, Baehr

Łukasz Szegda Wardynski & Partners

Paweł Szmurło Nikiel & Partners Law Office

Maciej Szwedowski Squire Sanders Święcicki Krześniak

Anna Tarasiuk-Flodrowska Hogan Lovells (Warszawa) LLP

Dariusz Tokarczuk Gide Loyrette Nouel Poland Warsaw

Katarzyna Trzaska BAKER & McKenzie

Sylwia Tylenda
RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Dominika Wagrodzka BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY

Dariusz Wasylkowski Wardynski & Partners

Joanna Wierzejska Law Firm Domański Zakrzewski

Anna Wietrzyńska DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.

Robert Windmill WINDMILL GASIEWSKI & ROMAN LAW OFFICE

Steven Wood
BLACKSTONES

Tomasz Zabost

Małgorzata Zamorska BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY

Katarzyna Zarębska White & Case W. Daniłowicz, W. Jurcewicz i Wspólnicy - Kancelaria Prawna sp.k.

Grażyna Zaremba Russell Bedford DZO Sp. z o.o. - member of Russell Bedford International

Tomasz Zasacki Wardynski & Partners

Magdalena Zwolińska Bartłomiej Raczkowski Kancelaria Prawa Pracy

Sylwester Zydowicz Taylor Wessing, elnlylc

PORTUGAL

Joana Abreu Abreu Advogados Paula Alegria Martins Mouteira Guerreiro, Rosa Amaral & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados R.I.

Bruno Andrade Alves

Nuno Alves Mansilha Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RI

Rogério Alves Vieira Associação dos Transitários de Portugal - APAT

Joana Andrade Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Filipa Arantes Pedroso Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Miguel Azevedo J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Diana Bandeira
PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

João Banza PwC Portugal

João Nuno Barrocas Barrocas Advogados

Manuel P. Barrocas
BARROCAS ADVOGADOS

Irina Bartman Ferreira PwC Portugal

Marco Bicó da Costa CREDINFORMAÇÕES/ EQUIFAX

Zita Brito Limpo PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Vicente Caldeira Pires PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS Fernando Cardoso da Cunha

GALI MACEDO & ASSOCIADOS
Fernando Carmo

CÂMARA DESPACHANTES OFICIAIS
Tiago Castanheira Marques

ABREU ADVOGADOS
Susana Cebola
INSTITUTO DOS REGISTOS E DO

Notariado Paula Coelho

Marcelo Correia Alves
BARROCAS ADVOGADOS

Joaquim Correia Teixeira EDP DISTRIBUIÇÃO - ENERGIA, SA

Andreia Damásio PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Miguel de Avillez Pereira ABREU ADVOGADOS João Cadete de Matos

Banco de Portugal Carlos de Sousa e Brito Carlos de Sousa e Brito &

Associados Cristina Dein Dein Advogados

João Duarte de Sousa J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Jaime Esteves

Bruno Ferreira J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Sofia Ferreira Enriquez
RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Ana Filipa Ribeiro PwC Portugal Nélson Freitas PwC Portugal

Nuno Pimentel Gomes ABREU ADVOGADOS Patricia Gomes Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Tania Gomes
Neville de Rougemont &
ASSOCIADOS

Paulo Henriques P. Henriques - Consultoria, Lda.

Inga Kilikeviciene KPL LEGAL

Tiago Lemos PLEN - Sociedade de Advogados, RL

Diogo Léonidas Rocha J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P Daniel Lobo Antunes

Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

Jorge Pedro Lopes Polytechnic Institute of Bragança

Helga Lopes Ribeiro Mouteira Guerreiro, Rosa Amaral & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados R.L.

Tiago Gali Macedo Gali Macedo & Associados

Ana Margarida Maia Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

Francisco José Maia Coelho AICCOPN-ASSOCIAÇÃO DOS INDUSTRIAIS DA CONSTRUÇÃO CIVIL E OBRAS PÚBLICAS

Pedro Manuel Niza PwC Portugal

Miguel Marques dos Santos J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Isabel Martínez de Salas J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Francisco Guimarães Melo PwC Portugal

Susana Melo Grant Thornton LLP

Anabela Mendes PwC Portugal

Joaquim Luis Mendes Grant Thornton LLP

Gonçalo Meneses Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

Ana Pinto Morais PwC Portugal

João Moucheira Instituto dos Registos e do Notariado

António Mouteira Guerreiro Mouteira Guerreiro, Rosa Amaral & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados R.L.

Vânia Nicolau PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Rita Nogueira Neto J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Catarina Nunes PwC Portugal

Vitorino Oliveira Instituto dos Registos e do Notariado

António Luís Pereira Figueiredo Instituto dos Registos e do Notariado

Acácio Pita Negrão PLEN - Sociedade de Advogados, RI

Rita Pitacas PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS Margarida Ramalho Associação de Empresas de Construção, Obras Públicas e Serviços

Nelson Raposo Bernardo Raposo Bernardo & Associados

Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira Filomena Rosa Instituto dos Registos e do

Maria João Ricou

NOTARIADO
Francisco Salgueiro
NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT &

Miguel Santana Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

Pedro Santos Grant Thornton LLP

Raquel Santos Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados, member of Lex Mundi

Filipe Santos Barata Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados, S.L.P. Sucursal em Portugal

Susana Santos Valente PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Cristina Serrazina PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Ana Sofia Silva Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Cláudia Silva Nunes PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

Luís Filipe Sousa PwC Portugal

Carmo Sousa Machado Abreu Advogados

Rui Souto
PEDRO RAPOSO & ASSOCIADOS

João Paulo Teixeira de Matos J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Ricardo Veloso VMP - Veloso, Mendes, Pato e Associados

Antônio Vicente Marques AVM Advogados

PUERTO RICO (U.S.)

Viviana Aguilu PwC Puerto Rico

Alfredo Alvarez-Ibañez O'Neill & Borges

Salvador Antonetti O'Neill & Borges

Vicente Antonetti GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CÓRDOVA PSC

Juan Aquino
O'Neill & Borges

Antonio A. Arias-Larcada McConnell Valdés LLC

Luis Ariza ABF Freight Systems, Inc.

James A. Arroyo TransUnion De Puerto Rico

Vanessa Badillo O'Neill & Borges

Pedro Barcelo

Hermann Bauer O'Neill & Borges

Nikos Buxeda Ferrer Adsuar Muñiz Goyco Seda & Pérez-Ochoa, PSC

Edward Calvesbert
DEPARTAMENTO DE DESARROLLO
ECONOMICO PUERTO RICO

Adriana Capacete O'Neill & Borges Jorge Capó Matos

O'NEILL & BORGES

Vanessa Carballido
O'NEILL & BORGES

Solymar Castillo-Morales GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CÓRDOVA

Samuel Céspedes Jr. McConnell Valdés LLC

Odemaris Chacon William Estrella | Attorneys & Counselors

Walter F. Chow O'Neill & Borges

Miguel A. Cordero PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY

Myrtelena Diaz-Pedrosa Adsuar Muñiz Goyco Seda & Pérez-Ochoa, PSC

Veronica Duran Banco Popular de Puerto Rico

Antonio Escudero McConnell Valdés LLC

Alfonso Fernandez Ivyport Logistical Services Inc.

David Freedman O'Neill & Borges

Julio Galindez FPV & Galíndez, PSC - member of Russell Bedford International

Virginia Gomez Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority

William Gutierrez BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO

CMA ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS LLP
Gabriel Maldonado

Quiñones & Sánchez, PSC Oswald Maldonado

Pedro Janer

Laparkan Rubén M. Medina-Lugo

Rubén M. Medina-Lugo Cancio, Nadal, Rivera & Díaz

Oscar O. Meléndez - Sauri Malley Tamargo & Meléndez-Sauri, LLC

Juan Carlos Méndez McConnell Valdés LLC

Jose Morales Sun Air Expedite Service

Julio Pereira PLATINUM CARGO LOGISTICS

Thelma Rivera GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CÓRDOVA

Victor Rodriguez Multitransport & Marine Co.

Victor Rodriguez PwC Puerto Rico

PSC

Ana Margarita Rodríguez O'Neill & Borges

Victor R. Rodríguez O'Neill & Borges

Loudres Rodriguez-Morera

Edgardo Rosa-Ortiz FPV & Galíndez, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Jorge M. Ruiz Montilla McConnell Valdés LLC

Patricia Salichs McConnell Valdés LLC

Alejandro Sigueroa Quiñones & Sánchez, PSC Eduardo Tamargo Malley Tamargo & Meléndez-Sauri, LLC

Paola Ubiñas O'Neill & Borges

Carlos Valldejuly O'Neill & Borges

Tania Vazquez Maldonado Banco Popular de Puerto Rico

Raúl Vidal y Sepúlveda DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND COMMERCE

Travis Wheatley O'Neill & Borges

QATAR

Abdelmoniem Abutiffa Qatar International Law Firm

Hani Al Nadda†
AL Tamimi & Company Advocates
& Legal Consultants

Khalifa Al-Moselmani Doha Court of First Instance

Rashed Albuflasa Panalpina Qatar WLL

Clarine Assaf BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Monita Barghachieh
PATTON BOGGS LLP

Sleiman Dagher BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Fouad El Haddad CLYDE & Co.

Chadia El Meouchi Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Ömer Elmas AGA-MEP Contracting & Engineering Co. LLC

Sami Fakhoury
AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Sarah Fakhry Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Mohamed Fouad
SULTAN AL-ABDULLA & PARTNERS

Robert Hager PATTON BOGGS LLP

Walid Honein BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Ahmed Jaafir
AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Marie-Anne Jabbour
BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW
FIRM MEMBER OF INTERIEGES

Marc Jreidini BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES

Maryline Kalaydjian Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Upuli Kasturiarachchi PwC Qatar

Sajid Khan PwC Qatar

Frank Lucente
AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Mustafa Mahmoud Supreme Judiciary Council, Qatar

Seem Maleh
AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES
& LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Elias Matni Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Declan Mordaunt PwC OATAR

Rita Moukarzel Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm, member of Interleges

Ahmed Tawfik Nassim Ahmed Tawfik & Co. Certified Public Accountant

Charbel Neaman CLYDE & Co.

Sujani Nisansala PwC Qatar

Mike Palmer Patton Boggs LLP

Lyka Rom Ahmed Tawfik & Co. Certified Public Accountant

David Salt

Mohammad Sami AL SULAITI, ATTORNEYS, LEGAL CONSULTANTS & ARBITRATORS, MENA CITY LAWYERS

Zain Al Abdin Sharar Qatar Financial Markets Authority (QFMA)

Abdul Aziz Mohammed Sorour MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Terence G.C. Witzmann

ROMANIA

ANRE

Nicolaie Adam Tuca ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII

Andrei Albulescu Ţuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Adelina Anghel GEBRUEDER WEISS ROMANIA SRL

Cosmin Anghel
CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA

Gabriela Anton Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Andrei Badiu 3B Expert Audit - member of Russell Bedford International

Irina Elena Bănică POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS- AT- LAW Alexandra Barac POP PEPA SCA

ATTORNEYS- AT- LAW
Paula Boteanu
DLA PIPER DINU SCA

Vlad Cercel Ţuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Alin Chitu Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Victor Ciocîltan

Oancea Ciocîttan & Asociatii Raluca Coman

CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA
Oana Cornescu
TUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII

Dorin Coza Sulica Protopopescu Vonica

Sergius Crețu Tuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Alex Cristea Ţuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Radu Damaschin Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen Rebeca Dan POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Crina Danila Миşат & Asociații Peter De Ruiter PwC Romania

Adrian Deaconu Taxhouse SRL Georgiana Descultu PWC Romania

Luminita Dima

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN

Rodica Dobre PwC Romania

Ion Dragulin National Bank of Romania

Laura Adina Duca Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

Serban Epure BIROUL DE CREDIT

Georgiana Evi CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA

Adriana Gaspar Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

Monica Georgiadis DLA PIPER DINU SCA

Sergiu Gidei D&B David și Baias Law Firm

Laura Gradinescu
DLA PIPER DINU SCA

Daniela Gramaticescu Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

Mihai Guia Lina & Guia SCA

Horia Hispas Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Ana-Maria Hrituc Sulica Protopopescu Vonica

Cristina lacobescu POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LA Iulian Iosif

Diana Emanuela Ispas
NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON

Crenguta Leaua Leaua & Asociatii

MUSAT & ASOCIATII

Cristian Lina LINA & GUIA SCA Edita Lovin

RETIRED JUDGE OF ROMANIAN SUPREME

lleana Lucian Миşат & AsocıaŢıı

Andreea-Maria Lupulet POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Smaranda Mandrescu POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Dumitru Viorel Manescu National Union of Civil Law Notables of Romania

Notaries of Romania Gelu Maravela Musat & Asociatii

Carmen Medar D&B David şi Baias Law Firm

Raluca Mihaila PwC Romania

Cătălina Mihăilescu Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Ana Mirea CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA Tiberius Mitu-Dumitrescu
OANCEA CIOCÎLTAN & ASOCIATII

Amalia Musat DLA PIPER DINU SCA Mona Musat

Muṣat & Asociații Razvan Nanescu Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston

PETERSEN
Adriana Neagoe

NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA

Manuela Marina Nestor
NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON

Theodor Catalin Nicolescu

Nicolescu & Perianu Law Firm

Tudor Oancea

Oancea Ciocíltan & Asociatii

Marius Pătrășcanu Mușat & Asociații

Steven Pepa POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Laurențiu Petre Săvescu Voinescu și Asociații

Monica Pirvulescu POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Ana Maria Placintescu Muşat & Asociații Carolina Pletniuc Lina & Guia SCA

Claudiu Pop POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Eugen Pop
Alina Elena Popescu
Musat & Asociatii

Mariana Popescu National Bank of Romania

Tiberiu Potyesz BITRANS LTD.

Monica Preotescu Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

Sebastian Radocea Tuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Cristian Radu Ţuca Zbârcea & Asociații

Laura Radu STOICA & Asociații - Societate

CIVILĂ DE AVOCAȚI
Alexandra Rimbu
Mușat & Asociații

Angela Rosca Taxhouse SRL

Adrian Rotaru CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA

Andrei Săvescu Săvescu Voinescu și Asociații

Valentin Serban SALANS MOORE & ASOCIATII SCA

Catalina Sodolescu Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KI PETERSEN

Alexandru Stanciu LEAUA & ASOCIATII

Anca Stanciulescu Law Offices Cornel Tabarta

Lorena Stanciulescu Law Offices Cornel Tabarta

Sorin Corneliu Stratula Stratula Mocanu & Asociatii

Mariana Sturza Țuca Zbârcea & Asociații Miruna Suciu

MUSAT & ASOCIATII

Roxana Talasman Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

Florin Tineghe DLA PIPER DINU SCA

Andra Trantea DLA PIPER DINU SCA

Oana Tudorache PwC Romania Anca Maria Ulea

Muşat & Asociații Ionut Ursache PwC Romania

Cristina Vedel POP PEPA SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Cristina Virtopeanu Nestor Nestor Diculescu Kingston Petersen

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Andrei Afanasiev BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED

Marat Agabalyan HERBERT SMITH CIS LLP

Mike Allen Russian Consulting LLC Julia Andreeva

CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES LLC
Anatoly E. Andriash

NORTON ROSE (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP

Mikhail Anosov Capital Legal Services LLC Irina Anyukhina

ALRUD LAW FIRM Igor Arakelov

ALRUD LAW FIRM
Stefan Bah

PUBLISHING HOUSE CUSTOMS
TERMINALS

Konstantin Baranov CMS LEGAL

Elena Barikhnovskaya

Derek Bloom Capital Legal Services LLC

Egor Bogdanov Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Julia Borozdna PEPELIAEV GROUP Sergev Budylin

Sergey Budylin ROCHE & DUFFAY

Maria Bykovskaya Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

David Cranfield CMS LEGAL

George Darasselia Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP

Irina Davidovskaya Chamber of Tax Advisers of Russia

Andrey Demusenko Russian Consulting LLC

Irina Dmitrieva
White & Case LLC

Oleg Ganeles
Roman Golovatsky

DLA PIPER RUS LIMITED

Maria Gorban

Maria Gordan Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Inna Havanova Chamber of Tax Advisers of Russia

Maria Ivakina ALRUD Law Firm Ivan Ivanov

Anton Kalanov Interexpertiza LLC, MEMBER OF AGN

INTEREXPERTIZA LLC, MEMBER OF AGI INTERNATIONAL Pavel Karpunin

CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES LLC
Ekaterina Karunets

BAKER & McKenzie - CIS, LIMITED
Alexander Khretinin

HERBERT SMITH CIS LLP Ruslan Kiss

Russian Logistics Provider
Olga Konkova
ABU Accounting Services

Anastasia Konovalova Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP

Oksana Kostenko CMS LEGAL

Alyona Kozyreva Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP

Alyona Kucher

Artem Kukin Yust

Victoria Kushner PEPELIAEV GROUP Olga Laletina

David Lasfargue
GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF

Stepan Lubavsky FINEC Dmitry Lyakhov

Russin & Vecchi, LLC
Igor N. Makarov

BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED
Anna Maximenko
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

Mikhail Morozov Igor Nevsky Mikhailov & Partners - member of Russell Bedford International

Elena Novikova ALRUD Law Firm Elena Ogawa LEVINE Bridge

Aleksandr Panarin Logistic Service

Sergey Pankov ABU Accounting Services

Andrey Panov Monastyrsky, Zyuba, Stepanov &

Roman Peikrishvili

Andrey Pestov ZAO 2B2 Sergey Petrachkov

ALRUD LAW FIRM Oleg Petrov CMS LEGAL

Olga Pimanova ALRUD Law Firm

Sergey Pozdnyakov ZAO Znak

Evgeny Saklakov White & Case LLC André Scholz Rödl & Partner

Vladimir Shikin National Bureau of Credit

Ksenia Sidorova Yust

HISTORIES

Maria Sinyavskaya

Victoria Sivachenko ALRUD Law FIRM

Alexey Soldatov
ABU Accounting Services

Julia Solomkina LEVINE BRIDGE Maria Solovykh ALRUD LAW FIRM

Ekaterina Starostina National Bureau of Credit Histories

Tatiana Stepanenko Russian Consulting LLC

Valentina Subbotina Interexpertiza LLC, member of AGN International

Victoria Subocheva Russin & Vecchi, LLC

Vitaliy Survillo
Public NationWide Organization

BUSINESS RUSSIA

Ivetta Tchistiakova-Berd

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF

Ivan Tertychny Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP

Pavel Timofeev
Hannes Snellman LLC
Daria Trozanova

LEX MUNDI

LEVINE Bridge Alexander Tsakoev

Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP Olga Yudina CMS Legal

Vladislav Zabrodin
CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES LLC

Julia Zasukhina

CLOSED STOCK COMPANY STS ENERGY

Norton Rose (Central Europe) LLP Andrey Zavalishin

CMS LEGAL

Marina Zaykova

Andrey Zelenin LIDINGS LAW FIRM Evgeny Zhilin

RWANDA

Bralirwa Ltd.

NATIONAL BANK OF RWANDA

Alberto Basomingera Cabinet d'Avocats Mhayimana Pierre Célestin Bumbakare

RWANDA REVENUE AUTHORITY
Eric Cyaga
K-Solutions and Partners

Claudine Gasarabwe
GASARABWE CLAUDINE & ASSOCIES

Patrick Gashagaza GPO Partners Rwanda Limited, an Independent Correspondent Firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmassu

Felix Gatanazi

Jean Havugimana ECODESEP LTD.

Suzanne Iyakaremye SDV Transami

Francois Xavier Kalinda Université Nationale du Rwanda

Désiré Kamanzi Kamanzi, Ntaganira & Associates Marcellin Kamanzi Bureau d'Études d'Architecture et de Réalisation (BEAR)

Julien Kavaruganda K-Solutions and Partners

Rodolphe Kembukuswa SDV Transami

Bernice Kimacia PwC

Isaïe Mhayimana Cabinet d'Avocats Mhayimana

Joseph Mpunga Rwanda Development Board

Donatien Mucyo Mucyo & Associés

Paul Frobisher Mugambwa PwC UGANDA

Alexandre Mugenzangabo Mucyo & Associés

Richard Mugisha
TRUST LAW CHAMBERS

Léopold Munderere
CABINET D'AVOCATS-CONSEILS

Pothin Muvara

Ernest Mwiza Town Nice View

Jean Kizito Niyonshuti Kamanzi, Ntaganira & Associates

Martin Nkurunziza GPO Partners Rwanda Limited, an Independent Correspondent Firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

Marie Ange Nsengimana Kamanzi, Ntaganira & Associates

Jean Claude Nsengiyumva TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NYARUGENGE

Paul Pavlidis CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA

Lucien Ruterana EWSA

Etienne Ruzibiza

Sandrali Sebakara Bureau d'Etudes CAEDEC

Florence Umurungi FREIGHT LOGISTIC SERVICES LTD.

Ravi Vadgama Credit Reference Bureau Africa Ltd.

SAMOA

ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION

Leavai Law

Mike Betham Transam Ltd.

Ferila Brown
PLANNING AND URBAN MANAGEMENT
AGENCY

Lawrie Burich
QUANTUM CONTRAX LTD

Murray Drake Drake & Co.

Ruby Drake

DRAKE & Co. Fiona Ev

CLARKE EY LAWYERS

Heather Ikenasio-Heather
Ministry of Natural Resources &
Environment

Siíliíli Aumua Isaia Lameko Ministry of Commerce, Industry AND LABOUR

Sala Isitolo Leota Public Accountant Arthur R. Penn LESA MA PENN

Faletasi Sao Samoe Realty Estate

Wilber Stewart STEWART ARCHITECTURE

Grace Stowers STEVENSONS LAWYERS

Shan Shiraz Ali Usman Tradepac Marketing Ltd.

Sieni Voorwinden Manager Legal

SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE

GLUCHÉ LÍNICO PARA EMPRESAS

Eudes Aguiar Aguiar & Pedronho Studio

André Aureliano Aragão Jurisconsulta & Advogado

Helder Batista DESPACHANTE HELDER BATISTA

Sukayna Braganca
Banco Internacional de São Tomé
e Príncipe

Celiza Deus Lima JPALMS Advogados

Saul Fonseca Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

Amadeu Goncalves Manuel Roque LTDA.

Pedro Guiomar Supermaritime São Tomé

Fernando Lima da Trindade Ministry of Publics Works, GEOGRAPHICAL-CADASTRE, NATURAL RESOURCES, AND ENVIRONMENT

Vítor Marques da Cruz FCB&A IN ASSOCIATION WITH POSSER DA COSTA & ASSOCIADOS

Idalina Martinho DESPACHANTE HELDER BATISTA

Raul Mota Cerveira Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

João Branco Pedro National Laboratory of Civil Engineering

Ana Rijo Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

Hugo Rita

Ana Roque Manuel Roque Ltda.

José Manuel Roque Manuel Roque LTDA.

Cláudia Santos Malaquias Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados - Sociedade de Advogados RL

Nayda Silveira D'Almeida AVM Advogados Portugal

Rui Veríssimo Soares Da Costa

Teresa Veríssimo Soares Da Costa

Antônio Vicente Marques

SAUDI ARABIA

ELECTRICITY & CO-GENERATION REGULATORY AUTHORITY

TALAL BIN NAIF AL-HARBI LAW FIRM

Abdulaziz Abdullatif AL-SOAIB LAW FIRM

Asad Abedi

THE ALLIANCE OF ABBAS F. GHAZZAWI & CO. AND HAMMAD, AL-MEHDAR & CO.

Fayyaz Ahmad Jones Lang LaSalle

Naeem Akhtar
Capital Logistics & Transport

Amer Abdulaziz Al Amr DLA PIPER

Majed Al Hedayan Chamber of Commerce & Industry

Omar Al Saab Law Office of Mohanned Bin Saud AL-Rasheed in association with Baker Botts LLP

Fouad Mohammed Al-Abdulqader SAUDI ELECTRICITY COMPANY

Gihad Al-Amri Dr. Mohamed Al-Amri & Co.

Naïm Al-Chami Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Sulaiman R. Al-Fraih Al-Fraih I aw Office

Mohammed Al-Ghamdi
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP

Abdullah Al-Hashim AL-Jadaan & Partners Law Firm

Tala Al-Hejailan DLA PIPER

Mohammed Al-Jadaan AL-Jadaan & Partners Law Firm

Yousef A. Al-Joufi AL-JOUFI LAW FIRM

Fahad I. Al-Khudairy
FADHA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS

Nabil Abdullah Al-Mubarak Saudi Credit Bureau - SIMAH

Lamia Abdulaziz Al-Ogailee FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP

Ayedh Al-Otaibi Saudi Arabian General Investment Authority

Ahmed A. Al-Sabti Saudi Arabian General Investment Authority

Abdullatif Bin Abdullah Al-Shelash Dar Al-Arkan

Mohammed Al-Soaib

Turki M. AlBallaa The Law Office of Bander Alnogaithan

Fayez Aldebs PwC Saudi Arabia

Omar AlHoshan ALHOSHAN CPAS & CONSULTANTS -CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD

Bander A. Alnogaithan THE LAW OFFICE OF BANDER ALNOGAITHAN

Wicki Andersen BAKER BOTTS LLP

Abdul Moeen Arnous Law Office of Hassan Mahassni

Arwa Aulaqi Bafakih & Nassief

Karim Aziz Wael Fadl Bafakih Bafakih & Nassief John Balouziyeh SNR Denton

Kamal El-Batnigi

Majdi El-Shami Omrania & Associates

Imad El-Dine Ghazi Law Office of Hassan Mahassni

Rahul Goswami Law Office of Hassan Mahassni

Shadi Haroon
Law Office of Mohanned Bin Saud
AL-Rasheed in Association with
Baker Botts LLP

John Harris Jones Lang LaSalle

Kenny Hawsey PwC Saudi Arabia

Chadi F. Hourani Hourani & Associates

Amgad Husein SNR DENTON

Samer Jamhour Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Mohammad Kamran Sial

Zaid Mahayni Law Office of Hassan Mahassni

Waheed M. Mallisho
AL RASHID TRADING & CONTRACTING
COMPANY

Rukn Eldeen Mohammed Omrania & Associates

Nadine Murshid BAFAKIH & NASSIEF Eyad R. Reda

DLA PIPER Mustafa Saleh

EMDAD Arriyadh Abdul Shakoor Globe Marine Services Co.

Archana Sinha RCS PVT. LTD BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP

Peter Stansfield
AL-JADAAN & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

Neil Sturgeon Dr. Mohamed Al-Amri & Co.

Sameh M. Toban
TOBAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW & LEGAL
ADVISORS

Mohammed Yaghmour PwC Saudi Arabia

Natasha Zahid Baker Botts LLP

Abdul Aziz Zaibag ALZAIBAG CONSULTANTS Soudki Zawaydeh

PWC SAUDI ARABIA

SENEGAL

BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co. Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo

BCEAO Marie Ba

Magatte Dabo Transfret Dakar

Ibrahima Diagne

Amadou Diouldé Diallo Ministère de L'Urbanisme et de L'Assainissement Fidèle Dieme

Adoul Aziz Dieng Centre de Gestion Agréé de Dakar

Issa Dione Senelec

GAINDE 2000

(ICA)

Fodé Diop Art Ingegierie Afrique Amadou Diop

Khadijatou Fary Diop Thiombane Cabinet Jurafrik Conseil en Affaires

Amadou Drame Cabinet d'Avocat Cheikh Fall

Cheikh Fall

CABINET D'AVOCAT CHEIKH FALL
Aïssatou Fall
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &

LEGAL SA Bakary Faye

Seynabou Faye
CABINET D'AVOCAT CHEIKH FALL

Moustapha Faye Société Civile Professionnelle D'Avocats François Sarr & Associés

Elehadji Madiop Feme COSELEC

Antoine Gomis SCP SENGHOR & SARR, NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS

Sylvie Gomis SCP SENGHOR & SARR, NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS

Matthias Hubert
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX &

Abdou Dialy Kane
Cabinet Maître Abdou Dialy Kane

Mahi Kane PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA

Mouhamed Kebe

GENI & KEBE
Ousseynou Lagnane

RDS

Moussa Mbacke Etude Notariale Moussa Mbacke

Mamadou Mbaye SCP Mame Adama Gueye & Associés

Dame Mbaye Transfret Dakar

Ibrahima Mbodj Avocat à la Cour

Aly Mar Ndiaye Commission de Régulation du Secteur de l'Electricité

Ndéné Ndiaye

Moustapha Ndoye

Joséphine Ngom PricewaterhouseCoopers Tax & Legal SA

Babacar Sall

Mbacké Sene SENELEC

Fatma Sene Société Civile Professionnelle D'Avocats François Sarr & Associés

Daniel-Sédar Senghor SCP Senghor & Sarr, Notaires Associés Codou Sow-Seck GENI & KEBE

Ibra Thiombane
CABINET JURAFRIK CONSEIL EN AFFAIRES
(JCA)

Sokna Thiombane Cabinet Jurafrik Conseil en Affaires (JCA)

Baba Traore Transfret Dakar

Emmanuel Yehouessi BCEAO

SERBIA

TRIMO INZENJERING D.O.O.

Milos Andjelkovic Wolf Theiss

Aleksandar Andrejic Prica & Partners Law Office

Marija Bojović Bojović Dašić Kojović

Bojana Bregovic Wolf Theiss

Milan Brkovic

Association of Serbian Banks

Marina Bulatovic

Ana Čalić PRICA & PARTNERS I AW OFFICE

Ivan Cavdarevic Prica & Partners Law Office

Jovan Cirkovic Harrison Solicitors

Vladimir Dabić The International Center for Financial Market Development

Dejan Davidovic

Vera Davidović Maric, Mališić & Dostanić o.a.d. Correspondent law firm of Gide Loyrette Nouel

Simon Dayes CMS CAMERON MCKENNA

Nikola Djordjevic Law Offices Janković, Popović & Mitić

Uroš Djordjević ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE

Nemanja Djukic Živković & Samardžić Law office

Jelena Kuveljic Dmitric Law Offices Zecevic & Lukic

Veljko Dostanic Marić, Mališić & Dostanić o.a.d. Correspondent Law firm of Gide Loyrette Nolifi

Dragan Draca PwC Serbia

Vuk Drašković Bojović Dašić Kojović

Jelena Gazidova Law Offices Janković, Popović & Mitić

Danica Gligorijevic
PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE

Milanka Jaric Prica & Partners Law Office

Dejan Jeremić REPUBLIC GEODETIC AUTHORITY

Aleksandra Jović CMS CAMERON McKENNA

Nemanja Kačavenda A.D. InterEuropa, Belgrade

Tatjana Kaplanovic
JETSET REAL ESTATE AGENCY

Dimitrios Katsaros IKRP Rokas & Partners

Nikola Kliska
Marić, Mališić & Dostanić o.a.d.
correspondent law firm of Gide
Loyrette Nouel

Vidak Kovacevic Wolf Theiss

Ivan Krsikapa Ninković Law Office

Dejan Krstic Free Lance Legal Consultant

Zach Kuvizić Kuvizic & Tadic Law Office

Krzysztof Lipka PwC Serbia

Rastko Malisic Marić, Mališić & Dostanić O.a.d. Correspondent law firm of Gide Loyrette Nouel

Aleksandar Mančev Prica & Partners Law Office

Ines Matijević-Papulin Harrison Solicitors

Dimitrije Nikolić Cargo T. Weiss d.o.o.

Djurdje Ninković Ninković Law Office Bojana Noskov

Wolf Theiss

Jelena Obradović ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE

Darija Ognjenović Prica & Partners Law Office

Igor Oljačić Advokatska Kancelarija Oljačić

Vladimir Perić Prica & Partners Law Office

Mihajlo Prica PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE

Ana Radivojević PwC Serbia

Oliver Radosavljevic Marić, Mališić & Dostanić O.A.D. CORRESPONDENT LAW FIRM OF GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

Stojan Semiz CMS Cameron McKenna

Dragana Stanojević USAID Business Enabling Project (BY CARDNO EMERGING MARKETS

Milica Steljić Advokatska Kancelarija Oljačić

Petar Stojanović

JOKSOVIC, STOJANOVIC AND PARTNERS

Zoran Teodosijević Law Offices Janković, Popović & Mitić

Ana Tomic Joksovic, Stojanovic and Partners

Snežana Tošić Serbian Business Registers Agency

Sanja Vesic A.D. InterEuropa, Belgrade

Andreja Vrazalic
Moravčević, Vojnović &
Zdravković u saradnji sa
Schonherr

Milenko Vucaj PD Elektrodistribucija Beograd

Srećko Vujaković Moravčević, Vojnović & Zdravković u saradnji sa Schonherr

Tanja Vukotić Marinković Serbian Business Registers Agency Miloš Vulić Prica & Partners Law Office

Miloš Živković Živković & Samardžić Law office

SEYCHELLES

ERNST & YOUNG

INTERNATIONAL LAW & CORPORATE SERVICES LTD.

Public Utilities Corporation

Gerry Adam Mahe Shipping Co. Ltd.

Clifford Andre P&A Asia

Ministry of Labour and Human Resources Development

Lucienne Charlette Seychelles Registrar General

Andre D. Ciseau Seychelles Ports Authority

Alex Ellenberger Locus Architecture Pty. Ltd.

Gerard Esparon Ministry of National Development

Malcolm Moller Appleby Global

Margaret Nourice STAMP DUTY COMMISSION

Brian Orr MEJ ELECTRICAL

Divino Sabino Pardiwalla Twomey Lablache

Kieran B. Shah BARRISTER & ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

SIERRA LEONE

Gideon Ayi-Owoo

Abdul Akim Bangura Association of Clearing and Forwarding Agencies Sierra Leone

Mohamed Sahid Bangura Macauley, Bangura & Co.

Philip Bangura Bank of Sierra Leone

Desmond Dalton Beckley
DALTTECH / DESMI ENTERPRISES

Cheryl Blake B&J PARTNERS

Sonia Browne

Emile Carr

LEONE CONSULTANTS

Delphine Caulker

Delphine Caulker Ministry of Works Housing and Infrastructure (MWH&I)

Beatrice Chaytor CLAS LEGAL

Kpana M. Conteh
National Revenue Authority

Michaela Kadijatu Conteh

Sahid Conteh National Revenue Authority

Abu Bakr Dexter E.E.C. SHEARS-MOSES & CO.

Mariama Dumbuya RENNER THOMAS & CO., ADELE CHAMBERS

Joseph Fofanah Office of the Administrator and REGISTRAR GENERAL (OARG)

Manilius Garber Jarrett-Yaskey, Garber & Associates: Architects (JYGA) Eke Ahmed Halloway Halloway & Partners

Donald Jones Ministry of Lands, Country PLANNING AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Francis Kaifala Wright & Co.

Mariama Seray Kallay Government of Sierra Leone

Raymond Fleance Kamara National Revenue Authority

Georgiana Karim CLAS LEGAL

Shiaka Kawa EDRA Consultancy

Adekunle Milton King PETROLEUM RESOURCES UNIT

Baimba Koroma Ministry of Works Housing and Infrastructure (MWH&I)

Francis Kpukumu Ministry of Works Housing and Infrastructure (MWH&I)

Millicent Lewis-Ojumu

Corneleius Max-Williams
DESTINY SHIPPING AGENCIES AND
CLEARING AND FORWARDING AGENCIES

Mohamed Pa Momoh Fofanah

Rev. Dan Oalmer National Power Authority

Christopher J. Peacock SERPICO TRADING ENTERPRISES

Kargbo Santigie A+S Business Centre

Augustine Santos Kamara National Revenue Authority

Julia Sarkodie-Mensah

Horatio Sawyer Ministry of Works Housing and Infrastructure (MWH&I)

Nana Adjoa Anaisewa Sey

Fatmata Sorie Wright & Co.

Valisius Thomas Advent Chambers

Alhaji Timbo National Power Authority

Darcy White

Franklyn Williams SIERRA LEONE BUSINESS FORUM LTD.

Yada Williams YADA WILLIAMS AND ASSOCIATE

Claudius Williams-Tucker

Rowland Wright

SINGAPORE

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

DP INFORMATION NETWORK PTF. I TD.

Wong Tan & Molly Lim LLC

Lim Ah Kuan SP PowerGrid Ltd. Matthew Bubb

ASHURST LLP
Ronald Cai
MINISTRY OF MANPOWER

Shi-Chien Chia
MINISTRY OF TRADE & INDUSTRY

Hooi Yen Chin
POLARIS LAW CORPORATION

Koon Fun Chin Urban Redevelopment Authority

Ng Chin Lock SP PowerGrid Ltd.

Paerin Choa TSMP Law Corporation

Douglas Chow Ministry of Trade & Industry

Beng Chye Chua Rajah & Tann LLP

Kit Min Chye Tan Peng Chin LLC

Joseph Foo The National Environment Agency

Sandy Foo Drew Napier

Chi Duan Gooi Donaldson & Burkinshaw

Tan Guan Wah
MULTI-LINES ENGINEERING PTE LTD.

Yvonne Hill Yeo-Leong & Peh LLC

BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION
AUTHORITY

Moana Jagasia SINGAPORE CUSTOMS

Wong Kum Hoong
ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY

Ashok Kumar

K. Latha Accounting & Corporate REGULATORY AUTHORITY, ACRA

Yvonne Lay
Ministry of Finance

Eng Beng Lee RAJAH & TANN LLP

SINGAPORE CUSTOMS
James Leong

Grace Lee

Subordinate Courts
Yik Wee Liew

Wong Partnership LLP

Eugene Lim

Donaldson & Burkinshaw

Kexin Lim PwC Singapore

William Lim Credit Bureau Singapore Pte Ltd.

Olivine Lin
Donaldson & Burkinshaw
Madan Mohan

YEO-LEONG & PEH LLC
Eddee Ng
TAN KOK QUAN PARTNERSHIP

Max Ng Polaris Law Corporation

Shawn Poon Tan Kok Quan Partnership

See Tiat Quek

PwC SINGAPORE
Teck Beng Quek
LAND TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Shari Rasanayagam Kinetica Pte. Ltd., in Association with Kelvin Chia Partnership

Alan Ross PwC Singapore

Kaveeta Sandhu Drew Napier David Sandison

PwC SINGAPORE
Wei Hurng Sio
Public Utilities Board

Angeline Soh Accounting & Corporate REGULATORY AUTHORITY, ACRA

Douglas Tan STEVEN TAN PAC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Hak Khoon Tan ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY

Pei Luan Tan Donaldson & Burkinshaw

Sharon Tay Donaldson & Burkinshaw

Shara Tay
MINISTRY OF MANPOWER

Siu Ing Teng SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY

Magdalene Teo-Yong Donaldson & Burkinshaw

Keith Tnee
Tan Kok Quan Partnership

Siew Kwong Wong
ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY

Jennifer Yeo YEO-LEONG & PEH LLC

Isaac Yong
Fire Safety & Shelter Department

Stefanie Yuen Thio

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Martina Behuliaková GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTRE AUTHORITY OF THE SLOVAK REPLIBLIC

Peter Bollardt ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI AND WSG

Ján Budinský Slovak Credit Bureau, s.r.o.

Peter Cavojsky

CLS ČAVOJSKÝ & PARTNERS, S.R.O. Katarína Čechová ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF LEX

Cechová & Partners, member of Le Mundi and WSG Kristina Čermáková

Rristina Cermakova
PETERKA & PARTNERS
Flena Červenová

White & Case s.r.o.

Matus Chmelo
PETERKA & PARTNERS

Ema Cveckova Dedák & Partners

Jana Fabianová Čechová & Partners, member of Lex

Mundi And WSG

Matej Firicky

WHITE & CASE S.R.O.
Juraj Fuska
WHITE & CASE S.R.O.

Petronela Galambosova PANALPINA SLOVAKIA, S.R.O. Simona Haláková

Simona Haláková ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI AND WSG

Peter Hodál White & Case s.r.o.

Vladimir Ivanco
White & Case s.r.o.

Miroslav Jalec Zapadoslovenska energetika, a.s.

Tomáš Kamenec Dedák & Partners

Veronika Keszeliova ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI AND WSG Roman Konrad Profinam, s.r.o.

Miroslav Kopac National Bank of Slovakia

Katarina Leitmannová Geodesy, Cartography and Cadastre Authority of the Slovak Republic

Maria Malovcova PwC Slovakia

Jozef Malý DETVAI LUDIK MALÝ UDVAROS

Přemysl Marek PETERKA & PARTNERS

Tomáš Maretta Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi and WSG

Nadezda Niksova Geodesy, Cartography and Cadastre Authority of the Slovak Republic

Jaroslav Niznansky
JNC LEGAL S.R.O.
Katarína Nováková

Monarex Audit Consulting Katarína Novotná

PETERKA & PARTNERS
Veronika Pázmányová
White & Case s.r.o.

Ladislav Pompura
Monarex Audit Consulting

Simona Rapavá
White & Case s.r.o.
Lubomír Šatka
White & Case s.r.o.

Christiana Serugova PwC Slovakia

Michal Simunic Čechová & Partners, member of Lex Mundi and WSG

Lucia Skubáková Monarex Audit Consulting

Jaroslav Škubal PRK Partners s.r.o.

Michaela Špetková Geodesy, Cartography and Cadastre Authority of the Slovak Republic

Andrea Štefančíková PETERKA & PARTNERS

Lubica Suhajova PwC Slovakia Andrea Šupáková

DETVAI LUDIK MALÝ UDVAROS Zdenka Švingalová

Monarex Audit Consulting Stanislava Valientová White & Case S.R.O.

Otakar Weis PwC Slovakia Ladislav Záhumenský

White & Case s.r.o.

Dagmar Zukalová

Zukalová - Advokátska kancelária s.r.o.

SLOVENIA

ENERGY AGENCY OF THE REPUBLIC OF

Marjan Babič Agency of the Republic of Slovenia for Public Legal Records and Related Services

Erika Braniselj Notary office Braniselj

Akos Burjan

Biljana Čamber Pavli AVBREHT, ZAJC & PARTNERS

Franc Cmok Fabiani, Petrovič, Jeraj, o.p. D.O.O. In COOPERATION WITH CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

Nada Drobnic KPMG

Marina Ferfolja Howland Ferfolja, Ljubic in Partnerii

Ana Filipov Fabiani, Petrovič, Jeraj, o.p. D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

Alenka Gorenčič Deloitte LLP

Mira Goršič PwC Slovenia

Eva Gostisa Law Office Jadek & Pensa d.n.o. - o.p., with the support of Ernst & Young

Hermina Govekar Vičič Kreditni biro SISBON, d.o.o.

Masa Grgurevic Alcin Supreme Court of the Republic of Slovenia

Barbara Guzina Deloitte LLP

Rajko Hribar ELEKTRO LJUBLJANA D.D.

Damjana Iglič
BANK OF SLOVENIA
Dunja Jandl

CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

Andrej Jarkovič Law Firm Janežič & Jarkovič Ltd.

Jernej Jeraj Fabiani, Petrovič, Jeraj, o.p. D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH CMS REIGH-ROHRWIG HAINZ

Roman Jesenko
ELEKTRO LJUBLJANA D.D.

Vita Korinšek City Studio

Marko Kranjc

Miro Košak Notary Office Košak

Brigita Kraljič CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz

CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz Tjaša Lahovnik

Odvetniki Šelih & Partnerji Vatovec Lea

CMS REICH-ROHRWIG HAINZ Aleš Lunder

CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz Jera Majzelj

Odvetniki Šelih & Partnerji Darja Malogorski

KPMG

Matjaž Miklavčič

SODO b.o.o. Eva Mozina

Miro Senica in Odvetniki Mojca Muha

MIRO SENICA IN ODVETNIKI Jure Nikolič CARGO-PARTNER

Ela Omersa CMS Reich-Rohrwig Hainz

Irena Ostojic City Studio Pavle Pensa

LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA D.N.O.
- O.P., WITH THE SUPPORT OF ERNST

Nataša Pipan Nahtigal Odvetniki Šelih & Partnerji

Petra Plevnik Miro Senica in Odvetniki

Igor Podbelsek Elektro Ljubljana d.d. Bojan Podgoršek

Notariat Jan Poniž

Data p.o.o Magda Posavec KPMG

Marija Remic

AGENCY OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA FOR PUBLIC LEGAL RECORDS AND RFI ATED SERVICES

Kostanca Rettinger KREDITNI BIRO SISBON, D.O.O.

Marijana Ristevski

Patricija Rot Law Office Jadek & Pensa d.n.o. - o.p., with the support of Ernst

Savic Sanja Deloitte LLP

Andreja Škofič-Klanjšček Deloitte LLP

Petra Smolnikar

& YOUNG

Branka Španič Law Office Jadek & Pensa d.n.o. - o.p., with the support of Ernst

Maja Stojko Miro Senica in Odvetniki

Jožef Strmšek
BANK OF SLOVENIA

Gregor Strojin
Supreme Court of the Republic of

Melita Trop Miro Senica in Odvetniki

Urša Volk Agency of the Republic of Slovenia for Public Legal Records and

Katja Wostner BDO SVETOVANJE D.O.O. Anka Zagar

Cargo-Partner

RELATED SERVICES

SOLOMON ISLANDS Davson Boso

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF MAGISTRATE

Don Boykin

PACIFIC ARCHITECTS LTD.
Chris Farakii

GLOBAL LAWYERS, BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

Michael Ipo

WHITLAM K TOGAMAE LAWYERS
Thomas Kama

John Keniapisia Lawyer

SOL - LAW

Judah Kulabule Solomon Islands Ports Authority

Veronica Manedika Ministry of Commerce, Industry, Labor and Immigration

Dennis McGuire Sot - Law

Ruth Moore Ministry of Finance and Treasury

Richard Muaki High Court of Solomon Islands Norman Nicholls
SOLOMON ISLANDS ELECTRICITY
AUTHORITY

Maurice Nonipitu Kramer Ausenco

Andrew Norrie Bridge Lawyers Nele Paia

Office of the Chief Magistrate
Haelo Pelu

Ministry of Justice and Legal Affairs

Wilson Henry Rano Rano & Company, Barristers & Solicitors

Peter Rockson Commodity Export Marketing Authority

Roselle R. Rosales PACIFIC ARCHITECTS LTD.

Livingston Saepio Honiara City Council

Leonard Saii Spark Electrical Services

Martin B. Sam SOLOMON ISLANDS ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY

Gregory Joseph Sojnocki Morris & Sojnocki Chartered Accountants, with the support of

Gerald Stenzel
TRADCO SHIPPIN

Selwyn Takana Ministry of Finance and Treasury

Whitlam K. Togamae Whitlam K Togamae Lawyers

Jackson Vaikota Ministry of Justice and Legal Affairs

Penny Vaughn
PwC United States

Pamela Wilde MINISTRY FOR JUSTICE AND LEGAL AFFAIRS

Yolande Yates Goн & Partners

SOUTH AFRICA ADAMS & ADAMS

O & N WEST EXPORT TRADING HOUSE

Nicolaos Akritidis

PARADIGM ARCHITECTS
Ross Alcock

EDWARD NATHAN SONNENBERGS INC.
ROVINA ASRAY
BOWMAN GILFILLAN INC.

Kavisha Baboolal GARLICKE & BOUSFIELD INC.

Claire Barclay
CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.
Natascha Belford

Boitumelo Bogatsu GARLICKE & BOUSFIELD INC.

WHITE & CASE LLE

Johan Botes CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.

Edward Brooks Activate Architecture (Pty) Ltd.

Bless Cedric Umar's Electrical

Haydn Davies

Beric Croome Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs Inc.

WEBBER WENTZEL

Gretchen de Smit

Gretchen de Smit Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs Inc. Desiree Forwarding African Transport Services (PTy) Ltd.

Tim Desmond GARLICKE & BOUSFIELD INC.

Steve Donninger
RAWLINS WALES & PARTNERS

Claire Fawbert TransUnion

Elise Gibson Grosskopff Lombart Huyberechts &

Associates Architects

Tim Gordon-Grant Bowman Gilfillan Inc.

Kim Goss Bowman GILFILLAN Inc. Jenna Hamilton

WHITE & CASE LLP
Caron Harris

FORWARDING AFRICAN TRANSPORT SERVICES (PTY) LTD.

Julian Jones CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.

Gillian Lumb

Kyle Mandy PwC South Africa

Khaya Mantengu CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.

Joey Mathekga CIPRO (Companies & IPR REGISTRATION OFFICE)

Duncan McMeekin
Bowman Gilfillan Inc.

Gabriel Meyer Norton Rose

Glory Moumakwe CIPRO (Companies & IPR REGISTRATION OFFICE)

Twaambo Muleza Bowman Gilfillan Inc.

Sanelisiwe Nyasulu GARLICKE & BOUSFIELD INC.

Nancy Prohl Edward Nathan Sonnenbergs Inc.

Eamonn David Quinn ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Hansuya Reddy DENEYS REITZ INC. / AFRICA LEGAL

Mark Ross PwC South Africa

Mytha Sajiwan TransUnion

Andres Sepp Office of the Chief Registrar of Defos

Richard Shein Bowman Gilfillan Inc.

Mathew Shepherd

Themba Sikhosana CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.

Archana Sinha RCS PVT. LTD BUSINESS ADVISORS

Rajat Ratan Sinha RCS PVT. LTD BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP

Jane Strydom Transl Inion

Roxanna Valayathum Mervyn Taback Incorporated

Muhammed Vally EDWARD NATHAN SONNENBERGS INC. Dawid Van der Berg BDO Spencer Steward Southern African Co-Ordination (Pty) Limited

Naomi Van der Merwe BDO Spencer Steward Southern African Co-Ordination (Pty) Limited

Nicky van der Weshuizen EDWARD NATHAN SONNENBERGS INC.

Stefan Vosloo

Allen West

DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT

AND LAND REFORM

St Elmo Wilken MERVYN TABACK INCORPORATED

Andrew Wood Grosskopff Lombart Huyberechts & Associates Architects

Ralph Zulman Supreme Court of Appeal of South Africa

SPAIN

Allen & Overy

Basilio Aguirre REGISTRO DE LA PROPIEDAD DE ESPAÑA

Angel Alonso Hernández Uría & Menéndez, member of Lex Mundo

Elena Álvarez Fernández

José Luis Amérigo Sánchez Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados

Joana Andrade Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Nuria Armas Banco de España

Ana Armijo Ashurst LLP

Cristina Ayo Ferrándiz Uría Menéndez

Denise Bejarano Pérez - Llorca

Alfonso Benavides CLIFFORD CHANCE

Andrés Berral

Vicente Bootello J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Agustín Bou

Héctor Bouzo Cortejosa Solcaisur S.L.

Laura Camarero
BAKER & McKENZIE

Julio Cano Guillamón Asociacion/Colegio Nacional de Ingenieros del ICAI

Ignacio Castrillón Jorge IBERDROLA S.A.

Francisco Conde Viñuelas Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Jaume Cornudella i Marques PwC Spain

Juan Jose Corral Moreno Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Miguel Cruz Amorós

Rossanna D'Onza BAKER & McKENZIE

Almudena del Río Galán Colegio de Registradores de la Propiedad y Mercantiles de España

Agustín Del Río Galeote Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados Iván Delgado González Pérez - Liorca

Antonio Fernández J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Idoya Fernandez Elorza Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Sofia Ferreira Enriquez RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS

Guillermo Frühbeck Dr. Frühbeck Abogados S.L.P

Ignacio García Errandonea J & A Garrigues, S.L.P

Valentín García González Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Borja García-Alamán J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Luis Giménez Godosar GIMÉNEZ TORRES & YÚFERA AROGADOS

Juan Ignacio Gomeza Villa Notario de Bilbao

Carlos Gonzalez Gutierrez-Barquin Asociación Española de la Industria Fléctrica

Esther González Pérez URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Marta Hernáez BAKER & McKENZIE

Carlos Hernández Metropolitana de Aduanas y Transportes & Icontainers.com

Joaquín Rodriguez Hernández Colegio de Registradores

Jorge Hernandez Eouifax Iberica

Alejandro Huertas León J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Jaime Llopis Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Marina Lorente J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Álvaro Lucini Mateo Notaría Perales-Farrés

Joaquin Macias Ashurst LLP

Alberto Manzanares Ashurst LLP

Juan Carlos Marhuenda Gómez TI ACORP

Susana Marimón Charola Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados

Daniel Marín Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados

J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Jorge Martín - Fernández

CHERORD CHANCE

Ana Martín

Aida Martin Andres GIMÉNEZ TORRES & YÚFERA AROGADOS

Gabriel Martínez Russell Bedford España Auditores y Consultores, S.L. - member of Russell Bedford International

Antonio Méndez AlTius S.A. Madrid

Alberto Monreal Lasheras PwC Spain

Eva Mur Mestre PwC Spain

Nicolás Nogueroles Peiró Colegio de Registradores de la Propiedad y Mercantiles de España

Ana Novoa Baker & McKenzie Carla Palau Segura Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados

Carlos Pardo Giménez Torres & Yúfera Abogados

Daniel Parejo J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Óscar Parra GIMÉNEZ TORRES & YÚFERA ABOGADOS

Pedro Pérez-Llorca Zamora Pérez - Llorca

Nelson Raposo Bernardo Raposo Bernardo & Associados Maria Redondo

BAKER & MCKENZIE
Guillermo Rodrigo García

CLIFFORD CHANCE
Déborah Rodríguez

CLIFFORD CHANCE

Noemi Rodriguez Alonso
SAGARDOY ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF

Eduardo Rodríguez-Rovira Uría & Menéndez, member of Lex Mundi

Javier Romeu TIBA Internacional, S.A.

Javier Ruz Cerezo Montealto

lus Laboris

Álvaro Ryan Murua IBERDROLA S.A.

Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón Sagardoy Abogados, member of Ius Laboris

Ignacio Sánchez-Vizcaino Valdés GIMÉNEZ TORRES & YÚFERA AROGADOS

Eduardo Santamaría Moral J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Ramón Santillán Banco de España

Pablo Santos Góмеz-Асево & Ромво Аводадоѕ

Cristina Soler
Gómez-Acebo & Pombo Abogados
Raimon Tagliavini

Francisco Téllez de Gregorio J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Adrián Thery
J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

Ivan Tintore Subirana
METROPOLITANA DE ADUANAS Y
TRANSPORTES & ICONTAINERS.COM

Roberto Tojo Thomas de Carranza CUEFORD CHANCE

Alejandro Valls
BAKER & McKenzie
Juan Verdugo

J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P Fernando Vives J & A GARRIGUES, S.L.P

SRLLANKA

Freight Links International (Pte) Ltd.

REGISTRAR GENERAL

Ayomi Aluwihare-Gunawardene F.J. & G. De SARAM, MEMBER OF

Nihal Sri Ameresekere Consultants 21 Ltd.

Gerard David
SJMS Associates

Savantha De Saram D.L. & F. DE SARAM

Chamari de Silva F.J. & G. De Saram, member of Lex Mundi

Kolitha Dissanayake F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sadhini Edirisinghe F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nilmini Ediriweera Julius & Creasy

Chamindi Ekanayake Nithya Partners

Amila Fernando Julius & Creasy

Anjali Fernando F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jivan Goonetilleke D.L. & F. De Saram

Naomal Goonewardena Nithya Partners

P. Mervyn Gunasekera LAN Management Development Service

Priyanthi Guneratne F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Thilanka Namalie Haputhanthrie

Dharshika Herath Gunarathna SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

Sonali Jayasuriya D.L. & F. De Saram

Tudor Jayasuriya
F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF
LEX MUNDI

Shamalie Jayatunge Tiruchelvam Associates

Sanjaya Jayawardene Progressive Design Associates

Mahes Jeyadevan PwC Sri Lanka

Yudhishtran Kanagasabai PwC Sri Lanka

Neelakandan Kandiah Murugesu & Neelakandan

Janaka Lakmal Credit Information Bureau Ltd.

Ishara Madarasinghe F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Sasikala Mayadunne

SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES Kaushalya Meedeniya SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

Fathima Amra Mohamed SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

MIT Cargo (Pvt) Ltd. Jagath Perera MIT Cargo (Pvt) Ltd.

Asiri Perera

Sudath Perera Sudath Perera Associates

Lilangi Randeni F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Hiranthi Ratnayake

Paul Ratnayeke
Paul Ratnayeke Associates

Neluka Seneviratne Julius & Creasy

Shane Silva Julius & Creasy Bharatha Subasinghe D. P. R. Consultants (PVT) LIMITED

J.M. Swaminathan

Bandula S. Tilakasena CEYLON ELECTRICITY BOARD

Shehara Varia F.J. & G. De Saram, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Charmalie Weerasekera SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

John Wilson John Wilson Partners

Tilak Wimalagunaratne Julius & Creasy

ST. KITTS AND NEVIS

St. Kitts Electricity Department

Michella Adrien
THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHELLA
ADRIEN

Launlia Archibald Customs and Excise Department

Rublin Audain
Audain & Associates

Nicholas Brisbane Brisbane O'Garro Alvaranga

Neil Coates PwC Antigua

Tamara Daniel HENDERSON LEGAL CHAMBERS

Jan Dash LIBURD AND DASH

Kennedy de Silva Customs and Excise Department

K. Gregory Hardtman HARDTMAN & ASSOCIATES

Rodney Harris Customs and Excise Department

Marsha T. Henderson HENDERSON LEGAL CHAMBERS

Dahlia Joseph
Daniel Brantley & Associates

Mahailia Pencheon
PwC ANTIGUA

Sandrine Powell-Huggins HENDERSON LEGAL CHAMBERS

Nervin Rawlins Inland Revenue Authority

Larkland M. Richards
Larkland M. Richards & Associates

Reginald Richards R & R Electrical Engineering Air Conditioning & Refrigeration Services Ltd.

Arlene Ross-Daisley LEX CARIBBEAN

Tavo Sargeant Customs and Excise Department

Warren Thompson Construction Management and Consulting Agency Inc (CMCAI)

Charles Walwyn PwC Antigua

Leonora Walwynlaw *WalwynLaw*

Collin Williams ROYAL LOGISTICS

ST. LUCIA

LUCELEC

Clive Antoine Ministry of Communications Works Transport and Public Utilities

Thaddeus M. Antoine FRANCIS & ANTOINE

Gerard Bergasse TROPICAL SHIPPING

Shannon Chitolie GORDON & GORDON CO.

Swithin Donelly
Ministry of Economic Affairs,
Economic Planning & National
Development

Peter I. Foster
PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES

Peterson D. Francis PETERSON D. FRANCIS WORLDWIDE SHIPPING & CUSTOMS SERVICES LTD.

Carol J. Gedeon
CHANCERY CHAMBERS

Ulric George Tropical Shipping

Michael B.G. Gordon GORDON & GORDON CO.

Claire Greene-Malaykhan PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES

Anderson Lake
Bank of Saint Lucia Limited
Charlene Mae Magnaye

Bradley Paul Bradley Paul Associates

Richard Peterkin PwC St. Lucia

Eldris Pierre-Mauricette
Tropical Shipping

Candace Polius
Nicholas John & Co.

Catherine Sealys
PROCUREMENT SERVICES INTERNATIONAL

Michael Sewordor Ministry of Communications Works Transport and Public Utilities

Anya Trim PwC St. Lucia

Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil CHAMBERS OF JENNIFER REMY & ASSOCIATES

Andie A. Wilkie Gordon & Gordon Co.

Brenda M. Williams BDO St. Lucia

ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES

Kay R.A. Bacchus-Browne
Kay Bacchus-Browne Chambers

Aurin Bennett Aurin Bennett Architects

Allan P. Burke PERRY'S CUSTOMS AND SHIPPING AGENCY, LTD.

Bernadine Dublin LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Tamara Gibson-Marks HIGH COURT REGISTRAR

Errol E. Layne
Errol E. Layne Chambers

Isaac Legair

Charlene Mae Magnaye PwC St. Lucia

Moulton Mayers Moulton Mayers Architects

Richard Peterkin PwC St. Lucia

INTACTION SINGLES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (CIPO)

Andrea Young-Lewis
Commerce & Intellectual Property
Office (CIPO)

SUDAN

TRISTAR

Omer Abdel Ati Omer Abdel Ati Solicitors

Abdalla Abuzeid

ABDALLA A. ABUZEID & ASSOCIATES

Mohamed Ibrahim Adam Dr. Adam & Associates

Ahmed Ahmed Elmohtar Adbdelhammed Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates

Al Fadel Ahmed Al Mahdi Al Mahdi Law Office

Abdalla Bashir Ibrahim Alataya Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates

Nour Eldin A. Idris Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates

Ahmed Mahdi Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates

Nafisa Omer Omer Abdel Ati Solicitors

Rayan Omer Omer Abdel Ati Solicitors

Amel M. Sharif Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer & Associates Advocates

SURINAME

HANDELS-, KREDIET- EN INDUSTRIE BANK (HAKRINBANK) N.V.

G. Clide Cambridge
PARAMARIBO CUSTOM BROKER &
PACKER

Anoeschka Debipersad A.E. Debipersad & Associates

Marcel K. Eyndhoven N.V. Energiebedrijven Suriname

Johan Kastelein Kastelein Design

B.M. Oemraw N.V. Global Expedition

Joanne Pancham CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY

Adiel Sakoer N.V. Global Expedition

Inder Sardjoe N.V. Easy Electric

Dennis Singh
DELOITTE SURINAME

Prija Soechitram Chamber of Commerce & Industry

Albert D. Soedamah Lawfirm Soedamah & Associates

Radjen A. Soerdjbalie Notariaat R.A. Soerdjbalie

Carol-Ann Tjon-Pian-Gi Lawyer & Sworn Translator

Jennifer van Dijk-Silos Law Firm Van Dijk-Silos

Carel van Hest Carel van Hest Architecten N.V.

Dayenne Wielingen - Verwey Vereniging Surinaams Bedrijfsleven, Suriname Trade & Industry Association

Andy Wong N.V. Energiebedrijven Suriname

SWAZILAND

Office of the Registrar Swaziland

TransUnion ITC

Eddie Chiringah DHL

Susanne DeBeer MNS GROUP

Veli Dlamini Interfreight Pty. Ltd.

Phumlile Tina Khoza STANDARD BANK

Mbuso Kingsley
LANG MITCHELL ASSOCIATES

Paul Lewis
PwC Swaziland

Andrew Linsey PwC Swaziland

Zodwa Mabuza FEDERATION OF SWAZILAND EMPLOYERS AND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Nhlanhla Maphanga Lang Mitchell Associates

Sabelo Masuku Maphanga Howe Masuku Nsirande

Mduduzi Mtsetfwa Swaziland Electricity Company

Bongani Mtshali FEDERATION OF SWAZILAND EMPLOYERS AND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

George Mzungu M&E Consulting Engineers

Zakes Nkosi FEDERATION OF SWAZILAND EMPLOYERS AND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Knox Nxumalo Robinson Bertram

Emmanuel Ofori Kobla Quashie and Associates

KOBLA QUASHIE AND ASSOCIATES

John Resting

BICON CONSULTING ENGINEERS
José Rodrigues
RODRIGUES & ASSOCIATES

Kobla Ouashie

Bongani Simelane Municipal Council of Mbabane

Muzi Simelane Waring Simelane

Manene Thwala THWALA ATTORNEYS

Bradford Mark Walker Brad Walker Architects

SWEDEN

VATTENFALL ELDISTRIBUTION AB

Stig Åkerman Boverket- Swedish National Board of Housing, Building and Planning

Nicklas Anth

Martin Bergander
Gärde Wessiau Advokatbyrå

Simon Bergström Hammarskiöld & Co.

Mats Berter MAQS Law FIRM Karl Björlin

Advokatfirman Lindahl Helena Brännvall Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member

Alexander Broch Öresunds Redovisning AB

Sandra Carlén MAQS Law Firm Advokatbyrå AB

Ake Dahlqvist

OF LEX MUNDI

Jenny Dangré Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member of Lex Mundi

Martin Ekdahl PwC Sweden

Anna Eklund Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions

Peder Hammarskiöld Hammarskiöld & Co.

Lars Hartzell Elmzell Advokatbyrå AB, member of Ius Laboris

Emil Hedberg ROSCHIER SWEDEN

Erik Hygrell Wistrand Advokatbyrå

Anders Isgren

BAKER & MCKENZIE

Magnus Johnsson

PwC Sweden Almira Kashani

MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP Niklas Körling SETTERWALLS ADVOKATBYRÅ

Caroline Lagergréen
ELMZELL ADVOKATBYRA AB, MEMBER
OF JUS L'ABORIS

Jasmine Lawson

Rikard Lindahl Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member of Lex Mundi

Inger Lindhe

Jens Malmqvist

Andréa Nicolin Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member of Lex Mundi

Eric Ödling Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member

OF LEX MUNDI
Ola Lo Olsson
Elmzell Advokatbyrā AB, member

of Ius Laboris
Karl-Arne Olsson

GÄRDE WESSLAU ADVOKATBYRÅ

Mattias Örnulf

HÖKERBERG & SÖDERQVIST

ADVOKATBYRÅ KB

Sara Ribbeklint MAOS Law Firm Advokatbyrå AB

Jesper Schönbeck

Advokatfirman Vinge KB, member
of Lex Mundi

Bo Thomaeus Gärde Wesslau Advokatbyrå

Lennart Svantesson

PwC Sweden

Astrid Trolle Adams
MILLER ROSENFALCK LLP
Albert Wållgren
ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER

OF LEX MUNDI

Baudirektion Kanton Zürich

ERNST & YOUNG Rashid Bahar Bär & Karrer AG

Beat M. Barthold

Marc Bernheim STAIGER, SCHWALD & PARTNER LTD.

Frédéric Bétrisey BAKER & MCKENZIE Sébastien Bettschart ABELS AVOCATS

Myriam Büchi-Bänteli

Lucas Bühlmann PwC Switzerland Andrea Cesare Canonica

Swiss Customs

Sonia de la Fuente ABELS AVOCATS

Fiona Deucher ALTENBURGER LTD. LEGAL AND TAX

Stefan Eberhard ABELS AVOCATS Suzanne Eckert WENGER PLATTNER

Andrea Elvedi VISCHER ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Jana Essebier Benjamin Fehr PWĆ SWITZERLAND

Peter Flückiger ECONOMIESUISSE

Robert Furter PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Gaudenz Geiger STAIGER, SCHWALD & PARTNER LTD.

Debora Ghilardotti MOLINO ADAMI GALANTE

Erwin Griesshammer VISCHER ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Olivier Hari Schellenberg Wittmer

Nicolas Herzog HERZOG & GOZZI

Mark W. Hippenmeyer ALTENBURGER LTD. LEGAL AND TAX

Jakob Hoehn PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Patrick Hünerwadel LENZ & STAEHELIN

David Jenny VISCHER AG

Michael Kramer PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Andrea Molino MOLINO ADAMI GAI ANTE

Georg Naegeli HOMBURGER

Roland Niklaus NCMB NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS Gema Olivar Pascual

PWC SWITZERI AND

Daniela Reinhardt PWC. SWITZERLAND

Joseph Riedweg
Audiconsult SA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Patricia Roberty VISCHER AG

Guy-Philippe Rubeli PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Marc Schenk PwC Switzerland

Daniel Schmitz PwC Switzerland

Roland Stadler MIGROS-GENOSSENSCHAFTS-BUND

Meinrad Vetter **ECONOMIESUISSE**

Patrick Weber EKZ ELEKTRIZITÄTSWERKE DES KANTONS ZÜRICH

Marc Widmer FRORIEP RENGGLI

SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC

FRAST & YOUNG

Maysa Abu Baker CENTRAL BANK OF SYRIA

Sulafah Akili MINISTRY OF ECONOMY & TRADE

Boulos Al Ashhab AUDITING CONSULTING ACCOUNTING CENTER

Mouazza Al Ashhah AUDITING CONSULTING ACCOUNTING

Bisher Al-Houssami AL-ISRAA INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT FORWARDER

Tarek AlHamwi KARAWANI LAW OFFICE

Serene Almaleh

Ghada Armali SARKIS & ASSOCIATES

Mohammad Khaled Darwicheh Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-LEGAL)

Nuhad Dimashkiyyah

MEETHAK - LAWYERS & CONSULTANTS

Fbraheem Ziad Habeeb Abdul Raouf Hamwi

CIVIL ENGINEERING OFFICE Osama Karawani

KARAWANI LAW OFFICE Raed Karawani

KARAWANI LAW OFFICE Mazen N. Khaddour International Legal Bureau

Loubna Khoury AUDITING CONSULTING ACCOUNTING

CENTER

Moussa Mitry University of Damascus / Louka & MITRY I AW OFFICE

Gabriel Oussi OUSSI LAW FIRM

Yasser Quwaider TALAL ABU GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)

Housam Safadi SAFADI BUREAU

Fadi Sarkis SARKIS & ASSOCIATES

TAIWAN, CHINA

YANG & ASSOCIATES CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Olivier Beydon YANGMING PARTNERS

Mark Brown WINKLER PARTNERS

Jersey Chang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL

Victor Chang LCS & PARTNERS

Edgar Y. Chen TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Hui-ling Chen WINKLER PARTNERS

Nicholas V. Chen PAMIR LAW GROUP

Yo-Yi Chen FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL Chun-Yih Cheng

Chia Yi Chiang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL

Ying-Che Chiu TAIPEI CITY GOVERNMENT

Yu-Chung Chiu MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR

Cindy Chou CHEN, SHYUU & PUN

Dennis Chou

Peter Dernbach WINKLER PARTNERS Rosamund Fan

PwC Taiwan Philip T. C. Fei FEI & CHENG ASSOCIATES

Steven Go

Mark Harty LCS & PARTNERS

James Hong CHEN, SHYUU & PUN

Sophia Hsieh Tsar & Tsai Law Firm, member of LEX MUNDI

Barbara Hsu SDV Logistics

Robert Hsu SDV Logistics

Tony Hsu PAMIR LAW GROUP Margaret Huang

ICS & PARTNERS T.C. Huang

HUANG & PARTNERS Ya-Ting Huang FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL

Charlotte I Lin ICS & PARTNERS

Joan Jing PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL

Nathan Kaiser EIGER LAW Chih-Shan Lee Winkler Partners

Michael D. Lee PAMIR LAW GROUP

Vivian Lee Huang & Partners

Yu Lee

TAIPEI CITY GOVERNMENT

Che-Wei Liang JUDICIAI YUAN Justin Liang

BAKER & McKenzie Frank Lin

REXMED INDUSTRIES Co., LTD.

Lilian Lin FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION, BANKING BURFALI

Ming-Yen Lin DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Nelson J Lin HUANG & PARTNERS

Nicole M. Lin Tai E International Patent & Law OFFICE

Rich Lin LCS & PARTNERS Yishian Lin

PwC Taiwan Mark Ohlson YANGMING PARTNERS Lawrence S. Ong PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS LEGAL

Patrick Pai-ChiangChu

J. F. Pun

CHEN, SHYUU & PUN Tanya Y. Teng HUANG & PARTNERS

Bee Leay Teo BAKER & MCKENZIE

DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Eric Tsai PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL

Joe Tseng LCS & PARTNERS Sean Tung

LCS & PARTNERS Chao-Yu Wang YANGMING PARTNERS

Richard Watanabe PwC. TAIWAN

COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

Pei-Yu Wu BAKER & MCKENZIE

Quiao-ling Wu DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

Alex Yeh ICS & PARTNERS

Shih-Ming You MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR

TAJIKISTAN

NATIONAL BANK OF TALIKISTAN

Tajikhydroelektromontaj

Bakhtiyor Abdulloev ABM Trans Service LLC 7arrina Adham

HUMO AND PARTNERS Zulfiva Akchurina

GRATA LAW FIRM Shavkat Akhmedov AKHMEDOV, AZIZOV & ABDULHAMIDOV ATTORNEYS

Farhad Azizov AKHMEDOV, AZIZOV & ABDULHAMIDOV ATTORNEYS

Denis Bagrov COLIBRI LAW FIRM

Abdulbori Baybayev LAW FIRM LEX

Jienshoh Bukhoriev USAID BEI BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (BY PRAGMA (ORPORATION)

Yunus Ernazarov AKHMEDOV, AZIZOV & ABDULHAMIDOV ATTORNEYS

Sobir Abduvaliyevich Haitov AGROINVESTBANK

Amirhonov Ilhom ABM TRANS SERVICE LLC

Elena Kaeva PwC. KAZAKHSTAN

Assel Khamzina PwC Kazakhstan

Parviz Kuliev MASHVARAT LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

Farhod Mirfozilov BARKI TOJIK

Rahmon Muratov KN IBRAKOM FZCO.

Jamshed Rahmonberdiev SOMON CAPITAL JSC

Ravshan Rashidov

Zimfera Rizvanova REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE OF LABOR UNION OF TAJIKISTAN

Emin Sanginov MINISTRY OF LABOR & SOCIAL PROTECTION

Marina Shamilova LEGAL CONSULTING GROUP Kamila Tursunkulova PwC Kazakhstan

Azim Usmanov COLIBRI LAW FIRM

Aliva Utegaliyeya PwC Kazakhstan

Arlan Yerzhanov GRATA LAW FIRM

Abdurakhmon Yuldoshev MINISTRY OF LABOR & SOCIAL PROTECTION

TANZANIA

FRAST & YOUNG

Ishengoma, Karume, Masha & MAGAI ADVOCATES

Abdul Abdallah CRR AFRICA LEGAL

UmmiKulthum Abdallah AKO LAW IN ASSOCIATION WITH CLYDE & Co

Zukra Ally PWC TANZANIA

Said Athuman TANZANIA REVENUE AUTHORITY

Aloys Bahebe

I A I AW ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES Tadjidine Ben Mohamed

AVOCAT À LA COUR Ibrahim Bendera M & B I AW CHAMBERS

Albina Burra MINISTRY OF LANDS & HUMAN SETTLEMENTS DEVELOPMENT

Viiendra I Cholera PKF Accountants & Business ADVISOR TANZANIA

Magori Cosmas TRADE FACILITATION UNIT, CUSTOMS

Moses Dancan GAPCS

Theresia Dominic

University of Dar es Salaam Esteriano Emmanuel Mahingila

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & TRADE Bosco R. Gadi MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY & TRADE

Santosh Gajjar SUMAR VARMA ASSOCIATES

Christopher Giattas REX ATTORNEYS

Sved Hasan RAIS SHIPPING SERVICES (TANZANIA) LTD.

Beatus Idana PKF Accountants & Business ADVISOR TANZANIA

Lincoln P. Irungu DI SHIPPING COMPANY I TO

Protase R. G. Ishengoma ISHENGOMA, KARUME, MASHA & Magai Advocates

Edward John Urio Tanzania Freight Forwarders Association

John R. Kahyoza High Court of Tanzania Commercial Division

Kamanga K. Kapinga CRB Africa Legal

Wilbert B. Kapinga Mkono & Co Advocates

Edward Kateka CRB Africa Legal David Kibebe

EPITOME ARCHITECTS
Shani Kinswaga
PwC TANZANIA

Barney Laseko
PRIVATE SECTOR DEVELOPMENT
AND INVESTMENT DIVISION, PRIME
MINISTERS OFFICE

Simon Lazaro Ministry of Lands & Human Settlements Development

Amalia Lui

Christine M.S. Shekidele Tanzania Revenue Authority

Victoria Makani VELMA Law CHAMBERS

Robert Makaramba High Court of Tanzania Commercial Division

Hyacintha Benedict Makileo National Construction Council

G.O.L. Masangwa Mollel Electrical Contractors Ltd.

Mollel Electrical Contractors Li Lydia Massawe

BLUELINE ATTORNEYS

Peter S. Matinde
PSM ARCHITECTS CO. LTD.

Sophia Mgonja Tanesco Ltd.

Nyaga Mawalla Mawalla & Associates Advocates

Ayoub Mftaya Nexlaw Advocates

Lucia Minde Ako Law in association with Clyde & Co.

Steven Mlote Engineers Registration Board

Angela Mndolwa Ako Law in association with Clyde

Chris Mnyanga Ministry of Lands & Human Settlements Development

George Mpeli Kilindu REX ATTORNEYS

Khalfan Msumi M & B Law Chambers

Octavian Mushukuma CRB Africa Legal

Bumi Mwaisaka Ministry of Lands & Human Settlements Development

Gerald Mwakipesile Ministry of Lands & Human Settlements Development

Lugano J.S. Mwandambo

Shabani Mwatawala PSM Architects Co. Ltd.

Gerald Nangi FB ATTORNEYS

Maningo Nassoro National Construction Council

Stephen Ngatunga Tanzania Freight Forwarders Association Alex Thomas Nguluma REX ATTORNEYS

Sweetbert Nkuba LEXGLOBE LLP TANZANIA

Neema Nyiti CRB AFRICA LEGAL Cyril Pesha CRB AFRICA LEGAL

Katarina T. Revocati HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Frederick Ringo Adept Chambers

Charles R.B. Rwechungura CRB Africa Legal

Emmy Salewi NORPLAN Tanzania Limited

ADEPT CHAMBERS
Rishit Shah
PWC TANZANIA
Thadeus J. Shio

Amish Shah

CQS Services Limited

Geoffrey Sikira
CRB ATTORNEYS
Aliko Simon

AKO LAW IN ASSOCIATION WITH CLYDE & CO.

Eve Hawa Sinare REX ATTORNEYS

Richard Sisa

Joseph T. Tango CQS Services Limited

David Tarimo PwC Tanzania

Reginald Tarimo BANK OF TANZANIA

Mustafa Tharoo

Joseph Thomas Klerruu Ministry of Lands & Human SETTLEMENTS DEVELOPMENT

Sarah Thomas Massamu Adept Chambers

Irene Mutalemwa Woerle Mkono & Co Advocates

Sinare Zaharan REX ATTORNEYS

THAILAND

Janist Aphornratana PwC Thailand

Roi Bak Dej-Udoм & Associates

Chanakarn Boonyasith SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Chalee Chantanayingyong SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Chinnavat Chinsangaram WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PEANGPANOR LTD.

Wachakorn Chiramongkolkul PwC Thailand

Kanphassorn Chotwathana PwC Thailand

Ramin Chuayriang
METROPOLITAN ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY

David Duncan TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Alexandre Dupont Law Solutions Ltd.

Jennifer Erickson Tilleke & Gibbins Frederic Favre Vovan & Associes

Seetha Gopalakrishnan PwC Thailand

Amélie Guardiola Vovan & Associes

Yothin Intaraprasong CHANDLER & THONG-EK

Muncharee Ittipalin

Tanach Kanjanasiri DLA THAILIAND LLP

Chaiwat Keratisuthisathorn TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Suwat Kerdphon
DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

Natchar Leedae ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON / SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE

LIMITED

William Lehane ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON / SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE

Sakchai Limsiripothong Weerawong, Chinnavat & Peangpanor Ltd.

Steven Miller Mayer Brown ISM

Surapol Opasatien National Credit Bureau Co. Ltd.

Nipa Pakdeechanuan
DEJ-UDOM & ASSOCIATES

Tanadee Pantumkomol Chandler & Thong-ek

Thidarat Patjaisomboon API

Santhapat Periera

Thawatchai Pittayasophon Securities and Exchange Commission

Thunsamorn Pochjanapanichakul VICKERY & WORACHAI LTD.

Ratana Poonsombudlert CHANDLER & THONG-EK

Cynthia M. Pornavalai TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Supan Poshyananda Securities and Exchange

Somboonpoonpol Pratumporn SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Chitchai Punsan

Sahachthorn Putthong
RATCHABURI ELECTRICITY GENERATING
HOLDING PCL

Anake Rattanajitbanjong TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Panuwat Rattanawechasit

Suraphon Rittipongchusit DLA THAILIAND LLP

Thavorn Rujivanarom

Arnon Rungthanakarn SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Maythawee Sarathai Mayer Brown JSM

Maprang Sombatthai DLA THAILIAND LLP Kowit Somwaiya

Pattanapong Srinam

LAWPILIS LTD

Pornchai Srisawang TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Rachamarn Suchitchon SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Picharn Sukparangsee SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Luxsiri Supakijjanusorn Siam City Law Offices Ltd.

Naddaporn Suwanvajukkasikij LawPLus LTD.

Hunt Talmage CHANDLER & THONG-EK

Jinjutha Techakumphu SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Kobkit Thienpreecha TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Paisan Tulapornpipat BLUE OCEAN LOGISTICS Co., LTD.

Sutharm Valaisathien
International Legal Counsellors

Pattara Vasinwatanapong Vickery & Worachai Ltd.

Harold K. Vickery Jr.
VICKERY & WORACHAI LTD.

Patcharaporn Vinitnuntarat SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LTD.

Pimvimol Vipamaneerut TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Auradee Wongsaroj Chandler & Thong-ek

Ahmet Yesilkaya TILLEKE & GIBBINS

Somchai Yungkarn

TIMOR-LESTE

BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE

FDTI

MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE

MINISTRY OF ILISTICE

Ministry of Tourism, Trade and Industry (MTCI)

PORT ALITHORITY

Fernando Afonso da Silva Kai Watu Kmanek Consultant Lda

Lidia Ardita Regina Azevedo Pinto

CRA TIMOR Luis Carvalho

ENGINEER
Sofia Neves Cruz
CRA TIMOR

Joana Custoias Miranda Correia Amendoeira &

Associados Brigida da Silva Customs, Timor-Leste Ministry

OF FINANCE
Sahe da Silva

Tiago Dias CRA TIMOR

Paulo Duarte Tribunal de Dili - Timor

Alejandro Garcia Customs, Timor-Leste Ministry of Finance

Renato Guerra de Almeida MIRANDA CORREIA AMENDOEIRA & ASSOCIADOS

Ashish Gupta
National Insurance Timor-Leste
S.A. (NITL)

Tommy Hariyanto

Jackson Lay
PALM SPRING ESTATE

João Leite Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados

Naomi Leong Deloitte LLP

Shirley Ng Victorian Employer's Chamber of Commerce and Industry (VECCI)

Tony O'Connor
MINISTRY OF FINANCE
Cornelio Pacheco

JVK INTERNATIONAL MOVERS

Mick C. Payze
SHIPPING & FREIGHT ENTERPRISES
PTY LTD.

Alexandre Pita Soares

Tjia Soh Siang
Tjia & Tchai Associates

Melisa Silva Caldas CRA TIMOR

Petrus Supriyatno Kim Tchia

Kim Ichia Startec Enterprises

Fernando Torrao CAIXA GERAL DE DEPOSITOS (CGD)

Ronel Valente

Collin Yap
National Insurance Timor-Leste
S.A. (NITL)

TOGO

AGENCE EPAUC NOUVELLE

CABINET JOHN W. FFOOKS & Co.

Jean-Marie Adenka

Edzodzi Délato Adonsou Direction de L'Habitat et du Patrimoine Immobilier

Koudzo Mawuéna Agbemaple Autorité de Réglementation du Secteur de l'Electricité

Kokou Gadémon Agbessi Cabinet Lucreatif

Fo-Koffi Wolassé Agboli Aquereburu and Partners Cabinet D'Avocats

Prosper Gato Amegnido

Martial Akakpo SCP Martial Akakpo & Associés Ahmadou Al Aminou Lo

BCEAO
Coffi Alexis Aquereburu
Aquereburu and Partners Cabinet

D'AVOCATS

Cécile Assogbavi

ETUDE NOTARIALE ASSOGBAVI Sylvanus Dodzi Awutey CABINET LUCREATIF

Koli-Yidaou Bako Compagnie Energie Electrique du Togo (CFFT)

Tiem Bolidja Compagnie Energie Electrique du Togo (CFFT)

Customer Service Department

Sockna Diaby PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Koffi Joseph Dogbevi Cabinet Lucreatif Simon Dogbo Damco Togo

Akouvi Thérèse Donu SCP MARTIAL AKAKPO & ASSOCIÉS

Messan Raphael Ekoue Hagbonon CENTRE D'ETUDES D'ARCHITECTURE ET D'URBANISME

Komlan Cyrille Houssin SCP Martial Akakpo & Associés

Kodjo John Kokou CABINET D'AVOCATS JOHN KOKOU

Atchroe Leonard Johnson SCP AOUEREBURU & PARTNERS

Komivi Kassegne Compagnie Energie Electrique du Togo (CEET)

Bleounou Komlan AVOCAT À LA COUR

Hokaméto Knenou AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ELECTRICITÉ

Alain Kofi Kumodzi CPF & BELBIN

Adeline Messou PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Kissao Napo COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU Togo (CEET)

Yawovi Negbegble AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ELECTRICITÉ

Adoko Pascal TRIANGLE CONSTRUCTEUR

Olivier Pedanou CABINET LUCREATIE

Nourou Sama Compagnie Energie Electrique du Togo (CFFT)

Galolo Soediede CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEDIEDE

Hoédjéto Tonton Soedjede CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEDJEDE

Dominique Taty PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE

Mouhamed Tchassona Traore ETUDE ME MOUHAMED TCHASSONA

Inès Mazalo Tekpa CABINET LUCREATIF

Fousséni Traoré PwC Côte d'Ivoire

Komi Tsakadi CABINET DE ME TSAKADI

Emmanuel Yehouessi

Edem Amétéfé Zotchi SCP MARTIAL AKAKPO & ASSOCIÉS

TONGA

Inoke Afu PACIFIC FINANCE & INVESTMENT LTD.

Rosamond Bling MINISTRY OF LANDS, SURVEY, NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT

Lord Dalgety ELECTRICITY COMMISSION

Paula Feaomoeata SUPREME COURT

Taniela Fonna KRAMER AUSENCO TONGA

Kolotia Fotu MINISTRY OF LABOUR, COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES

Nailasikau Halatuituia MINISTRY OF LANDS, SURVEY, NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT Aminiasi Kefu CROWN LAW

Peni Lavakeiaho Makoni MINISTRY OF WORKS

Fisilau Leone KRAMER AUSENCO TONGA

Rod Lowe TONGA POWER LTD.

Temaleti Manakovi Patiulu SUPREME COURT

Salesi Mataele OCEANTRANZ TONGA LTD.

Sione Tomasi Naite Fakahua FAKAHUA-FA'OTUSIA & ASSOCIATES

Laki M. Niu LAKI NIU OFFICES

Michael O'Shannassy Inland Revenue Tonga

Sipiloni Raass JAIMI ASSOCIATES - ARCHITECTS Jemma San Jose

ELECTRICITY COMMISSION

Alani Schaumkel DATELINE TRANS- AM SHIPPING Dana Stephenson

STEPHENSON ASSOCIATES Ralph Stephenson

STEPHENSON ASSOCIATES

Hiva Tatila Tonga Development Bank

Alisi Numia Taumoepeau TMP I AW

Fine Tohi DATELINE TRANS- AM SHIPPING

Lesina Tonga LESINA TONGA LAW FIRM

Distguaine P. Tu'ihalamaka MINISTRY OF LABOUR, COMMERCE AND INDI ISTRIES

Jennifer Tupou **IKCA**

Kisione Tupou **IKCA**

Petunia Tupou FUNGATEIKI LAW OFFICE

John Fanua Uele MINISTRY OF LANDS, SURVEY, NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT

Christine Uta'atu Uta'atu & Associates

Lepaola B. Vaea INLAND REVENUE TONGA Jone Vuli

WESTPAC BANK OF TONGA Dianna Warner

SKIP'S CUSTOM JOINERY LTD. Paul Wilkinson WESTPAC BANK OF TONGA

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO

ERNST & YOUNG

TRINIDAD & TOBAGO ELECTRICITY COMMISSION

Michael Andrew INTERNATIONAL CARGO SERVICES

Harjinder S. Atwal REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMMISSION

Steve Beckles DELOITTE LLP Ashrini Beharry J.D. SELLIER & CO.

Cecil Camacho JOHNSON, CAMACHO & SINGH Tiffanny Castillo M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Stacy Lee Daniell M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Hadyn-John Gadsby I.D. SELLIER & CO.

Nadia Henriques
M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Melissa Inglefield M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF

Nadia Sharon Kangaloo FITZWILLIAM STONE FURNESS-SMITH & MORGAN

Glenn A. Khan REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMMISSION

Keomi Lourenco M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ann-Marie Mahabir M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Nigel Marcham NITEC ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, LTD.

Imtiaz Mohammed DELTA ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, LTD.

Nalini Mohansingh CARGO CONSOLIDATORS AGENCY LTD.

David Montgomery D. Montgomery & Co. -CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL

Dean Nieves TRANSLINION Marjorie Nunez

Steven M. Paul J.D. SELLIER & CO.

Fanta Punch M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Ramdath Dave Rampersad DELOITTE LLP

Kelvin Ramsook TRINIDAD & TORAGO FLECTRICITY

Danzel Reid TRINIDAD & TOBAGO ELECTRICITY COMMISSION

Myrna Robinson-Walters M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Walter Rochester PWC

Colin Sabga

M. Hamel-Smith & Co., member of LEX MUNDI

Alice Salandy GSAL DESIGNS LTD. Gregory Salandy

GSAL DESIGNS LTD

Stephen A. Singh JOHNSON, CAMACHO & SINGH Karen Vanaik

Ionathan Walker M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Allyson West PwC

LEX CARIBBEAN

Grantley Wilshire M. HAMEL-SMITH & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jude Xavier CARGO CONSOLIDATORS AGENCY LTD. Phillip Xavier CARGO CONSOLIDATORS AGENCY LTD.

TUNISIA

Samir Abdelly ARDELLY & ASSOCIES

Ilhem Abderrahim Société Tunisienne de l'Elecricité et DU GAZ (STEG)

Mohamed Ammar SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ELECRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)

Mohamed Moncef Barouni

Adly Bellagha ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES

Hend Ben Achour ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES

Ismail Ben Farhat ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES

Wassim Ben Mahmoud

Leila Ben Mbarek Abdelfetah Benahji

FERCHIOU & ASSOCIÉS

Manel Bondi PwC. Tunisia

Salaheddine Caid Essebsi CAID ESSEBSI AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM

Salma Chaari ABDELLY & ASSOCIES

Elyes Chafter Chafter Raouadi Law Firm

Zine el Abidine Chafter CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM

Afef Challouf Société Tunisienne de l'Elecricité et DU GAZ (STEG)

Abdelmalek Dahmani DAHMANI TRANSIT INTERNATIONAL

Mohamed Derbel RDO

Mohamed Lotfi El Aieri EL AJERI LAWYERS, PARTENAIRE DE DS **AVOCATS**

Yassine Fl Hafi ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES

Myriam Escheikh

Ahderrahmen Fendri PwC Tunisia Noureddine Ferchiou

Ferchiou & Associés Slim Gargouri

CPA Imene Hanafi LEGALYS

Anis Jahnoun GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUND

Atf Jebali Nasri

Badis Jedidi GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Najla Jezi ACR

Sami Kallel Kallel & Associates

Faycal Karoui SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ELECRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STFG)

Larbi Khedira CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM

Mahrouk Maalaoui PWC. TUNISIA

Dina Magroun EL AJERI LAWYERS, PARTENAIRE DE DS

Sarah Mebazaa COMETE ENGINEERING

Radhi Meddeb COMETE ENGINEERING

Rahma Meddeb GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Faouzi Mili MILLAND ASSOCIATES

Imen Nouira

Slah Minaoui BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE

Conservation Foncière Tunisia Olfa Othmane

BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE Habiba Raouadi CHAFTER RAOUADI LAW FIRM

Hédi Rezgui SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ELECRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)

Koubaa Rym

Nizar Sdiri NIZAR SDIRI LAW FIRM

Saher Souid Chafter Raouadi Law Firm

TURKEY

Emre Akarkarasu PWC. TURKEY

Basak Akin

AYDAŞ LIMAN KURMAN ATTORNEYS AT I AW Deniz Akman BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS

Sezin Akoğlu

Müjdem Aksoy

CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM Seza Ceren Aktas

PRICEWATERCOOPERS Simge Akyüz DEVRES LAW OFFICE

Inci Alaloglu TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN Kenan Alpdündar

CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF THRKEY Ekin Altıntas PwC Turkey

Selin Barlin Aral PAKSOY LAW FIRM Ilkay Arslantaslı

Cinar Aslan SEDAS

KPMG

Melis Atasagun PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM

Melis Avunduk PRICEWATER COOPERS

Aybike Aygun Sariibrahimoğlu Law Office

Basak Aygun DÜLGER LAW FIRM Elvan Aziz

Paksoy Law Firm Derya Baksı TARIAN - BAKSI LAW FIRM

Z. İlayda Balkan ADMD LAW FIRM

Naz Bandik CAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU Serra Başoğlu Gürkaynak Mehmet Gün & Partners

Ayça Bayburan ADMD Law Firm

Pelin Baysal Mehmet Gün & Partners

Nergis Beşiroğlu Cerrahoğlu Law Firm

Ayşe Eda Biçer Çakmak Avukatlık Bürosu

Taner Gokmen Bolayir SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES Nehize Boran Demir

PRICEWATERCOOPERS
Gulnur Camci

Somay Hukuk Bürosu Esin Çamlıbel Turunç Law Office

Uraz Canbolat Сегганоğlu Law Firм

Maria Lianides Çelebi BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Ipek Merve Çelik PEKIN & PEKIN

M. Fadlullah Cerrahoğlu Cerrahoğlu Law Firm

Emel Çetin Paksoy Law Firm

Orçun Çetinkaya Менмет Gün & Partners

Alisya Bengi Danisman Mehmet Gün & Partners

Okan Demirkan Kolcuoglu Kolcuoglu Attorneys at Law

Orkun Deniz Kredit Kayıt Bureau

Pinar Denktaş
PEKIN & PEKIN
Kazım Derman

KREDIT KAYIT BUREAU Emine Devres

Devres Law Office Ahmet Ílker Doğan

ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU Didem Doğar

Murat Volkan Dülger

Dilara Duman Duman Law Office

Safa Mustafa Durakoğlu ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU

Pelin Ecevit Serap Zuvin Law Offices

Burcak Er BATI SHIPPING AND TRADING S.A.

Gökben Erdem Dirican
PEKIN & PEKIN
Onur Ergun

TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN
Gokce Erkaya
JONES LANG LASALLE

Mehmet Esendal Çam Kavlak Law Firm

Umurcan Gago PwC Turkey

Nigar Gökmen Çakmak Avukatlık Bürosu

Sabiha Nur Göllü Bener Law Office, member of lus Laboris

Osman Nuri Gönenç Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey Gunhan Gonul ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU

Zeki Gunduz PwC Turkey Remzi Orkun Guner

ADMD Law Firm Ömer Gürbüz

MEHMET GÜN & PARTNERS Ayşegül Gürsoy

CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM Gülşah Güven Dülger Law Firm

Tugce Hepsusler PRICEWATER COOPERS

Ece Ilter PwC Turkey Gül Incesulu

Çakmak Avukatlık Bürosu Baris Kalayci

Менмет Gun & Partners Ibrahim Kara

Kredit Kayit Bureau Firat Baris Kavlak Kavlak Law Firm

Aslan Kaya DMF System International, member of Russell Bedford International

Betül Kencebay YASED - International Investors Association

Burak Kepkep Kepkep International Legal Counseling

Asena Aytug Keser Mehmet Gün & Partners

İklim Kiliç Sariibrahimoğlu Law Office

Özlem Kızıl Voyvoda ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU Çiğdem Koğar

CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY

Burcak Kurt Soмay Никик Вürosu Ümit Kurt

Jones Lang LaSalle Mert Kutlar

ADMD Law Firm

AYDAŞ LIMAN KURMAN ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Orhan Yavuz Mavioğlu ADMD Law Firm

Dilek Mentes CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM

Şila Muratoğlu Bayırlı & Muratoğlu Law Firm

Melis Oget Koc Serap Zuvin Law Offices Gülçin Özlem Oğuzlar Turunc Law Office

Ozgecan Oksuz Özel & Özel ATTORNEYS AT LAW

M. Bigen Onder Cerrahoğlu Law Firm

Neșe Onder MEHMET GÜN & PARTNERS

Mert Oner KPMG

Selin Özdamar Salans

Caner Özen Özel & Özel Attorneys At Law

Okşan Özkan PricewaterCoopers Gokhan Ozmen Birsel Law Offices

Özlem Özyiğit YASED - International Investors Association

Serkan Pamukkale Birsel Law Offices Ahmed Pekin

PEKIN & PEKIN Ferhat Pekin PEKIN & BAYAR I AW FIRM

Çağatay Pekyorur PEKIN & PEKIN

Batuhan Şahmay BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Bilge Saltan Dülger Law Firm

Selim Sarıibrahimoğlu Sarııbrahimoğlu Law Office

Selim S. Seçkin Aydınlıoğlu Lawfirn

Ömer Kayhan Seyhun Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey

Sezil Simsek
PRICEWATERCOOPERS

M. Ufuk Söğütlüoğlu Deloitte LLP

Sera Somay Somay Никик Bürosu

Selda Soyoz Presidency of Revenue Administration

Çağıl Sünbül PricewaterCoopers Esin Taboğlu Taboglu & Demirhan

Aylin Tarlan Tüzemen Tarlan – Baksı Law Firm

Özben Tekdal PwC Turkey

Elif Tezcan Bayırlı Bayırlı & Muratoğlu Law Firm

Filiz Toprak Mehmet Gün & Partners

Noyan Turunç Turunç Law Office

Ibrahim Tutar PENETRA CONSULTING AND AUDITING

Ürün Ülkü ADMD Law Firm

Ayse Unal Turunç Law Office

Furkan Ünal PGLOBAL GLOBAL ADVISORY AND TRAINING SERVICES LTD.

Hazal Ungan PEKIN & PEKIN Barış Yalçın PWC TURKEY

Selcen Yalçın Mehmet Gün & Partners

Ayşegül Yalçınmani Merler Cerrahoğlu Law Firm

Beril Yayla Mehmet Gün & Partners A.Cağrı Yıldız

ADMD Law Firm

Cagatay Yilmaz

Yii MAZ Law Offices

Rana Yılmaz Yılmaz Law Offices

PGLOBAL GLOBAL ADVISORY AND TRAINING SERVICES LTD. Izzet Zakuto Somay Hukuk Bürosu

Serap Zuvin Serap Zuvin Law Offices

UGANDA

Ernst & Young

Claire Amanya Kampala Associated Advocates

Leria Arinaitwe
SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES AND LEGAL
CONSULTANTS

Doreen Atuhurra PwC UGANDA Justine Bagyenda

BANK OF UGANDA

Bernard Baingana
PWC UGANDA

Matovu Emmy Marma Technical Services

Sarfaraz Jiwani Seyani Brothers & Co. (U) Ltd.

Lwanga John Bosco Marma Technical Services

Charles Kalu Kalumiya Kampala Associated Advocates

Francis Kamulegeya PwC Uganda

Phillip Karugaba MMAKS Advocates

Edwin Karugire Kiwanuka & Karugire Advocates

Baati Katende KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA & CO.

David Katende EnviroKAD

Sim K. Katende Katende, Ssempebwa & Co. Advocates

Peter Kauma Kiwanuka & Karugire Advocates

Kiryowa Kiwanuka Kiwanuka & Karugire Advocates

Brigitte Kusiima Byarugaba Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Advocates

Ida Kussima Katende, Ssempebwa & Co. Advocates

Hakim Lugemwa Uganda Entrepreneurs Business Foundation

Michael Malan Compuscan CRB LTD.

Paul Mbuga SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES AND LEGAL

John Mpambala Kampala City Council

Andrew Munanura Kamuteera SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES AND LEGAL CONSUITANTS

Peters Musoke SHONUBI, MUSOKE & Co. ADVOCATES

Rachel Mwanje Musoke MMAKS Advocates

ELECTRICITY REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Jimmy M. Muyanja

Noah Mwesigwa Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Apvocates

Plaxeda Namirimu PwC UGANDA Sophia Nampiiia

Benon Mutambi

MUYANJA & ASSOCIATES

KATENDE, SSEMPEBWA & CO. ADVOCATES Kassim Ngude

Diana Ninsiima MMAKS Advocates

James Kagiri Njoroge Price & King Certified Public Accountants

Eddie Nsamba-Gayiiya Consultant Surveyors and PLANNERS

William Okello

Silver Adowa Owaraga Magezi, Ibale & Co. Advocates

Enoch Sabiiti Stema Associates

Moses Segawa Sebalu & Lule Advocates and Legal Consultants

Lawrence Sengendo Kampala City Council

Alan Shonubi Shonubi, Musoke & Co. Advocates

Manish Siyani Seyani Brothers & Co. (U) Ltd.

Charles Lwanga Ssemanda

Obed Tindyebwa
GRAND & NOBLE, CERTIFIED PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANTS

Ambrose Turyahabwe DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING (U) LTD.

Isaac Walukagga MMAKS Anvocates

UKRAINE

Yaroslav Abramov ILF Integrites

Oleg Y. Alyoshin Vasil Kisil & Partners

Andrey Astapov
Astapov Lawyers International
Law Group

Viktoriya Baliuk Vasıl Kısıl & Partners

Ron J. Barden

Olena Basanska

Irina Batmanova

Yevgen Blok ILF Integrites

Timur Bondaryev Arzinger & Partners

DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC Alexander Buryak

Lilia Boulakh

PWC UKRAINE

Serhiy Chorny Baker & McKenzie

Vladimir Didenko Egorov Puginsky Afanasiev & Partners

Dmytro Donets DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC Igor Dykunskyy BNT & PARTNER

Julia Goptarenko Chalas and Partners Law Firm

Oleksandra Gorak DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC

Volodymyr Grabchak Arzinger & Partners Sergiy Gryshko CMS Cameron McKenna

Valeriia Gudiy Ilyashev & Partners Maryna Ilchuk Arzinger & Partners

Olga Ivaniv Vasil Kisil & Partners

Jon Johannesson IBCH

Tetiana Kanashchuk GLEEDS UKRAINE LLC Victoria Kaplan

CMS CAMERON McKenna Oleksii Kharitonov

INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM Vitalii Khilko

CMS CAMERON McKenna Ivanna Khonina

KUZMINSKY & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLC

Natalya Kim CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

Andriy Kirmach Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Olena Kochergina Konnov & Sozanovsky Sergei Konnov

Konnov & Sozanovsky Maksym Kopeychykov Ilyashev & Partners

Denys Kulgavyi Salans

Oleksandr Kurdydyk DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC

Tatyana Kuzmenko Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Oles Kvyat Asters

Mariana Legotska ASTERS

Borys Lobovyk Konnov & Sozanovsky

Mykola Lomachynskyy GLEEDS UKRAINE LLC

Olga Lubiv KPMG

Anastasiya Lytvynenko KIBENKO, ONIKA & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

Angela Mahinova Sayenko Kharenko

Oleksandr Maydanyk
EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV &

Oleg Mazur Chadbourne & Parke LLP

Arsenyy Milyutin EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS

Vadim Mizyakov Asters

Anna Moliboga KPMG

Nataliya Mykolska Sayenko Kharenko

Lurii Nekliaiev Egorov Puginsky Afanasiev & Partners

Sergiy Onishchenko CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

Ruslan Ostapenko CMS Cameron McKenna

Oleksandr Padalka Asters

Magdalena Patrzyk PwC Ukraine

Mikhail Pergamenshik Konnov & Sozanovsky Alexey Pokotylo Konnov & Sozanovsky

Andriy Pozhidayev

Dmytro Pshenychnyuk DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC

Mariana Pyskun PwC Ukraine Yuliana Revyuk

KPMG Dmytro Rylovnikov

DMytro Rylovníkov DLA Piper Ukraine LLC Vadym Samoilenko

ASTERS

Marina Savchenko Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Vladimir Sayenko Sayenko Kharenko

Olga Serbul Law Firm IP & C. Consult, LLC

Alla Shevchenko BNT & PARTNER

Dmytro Shevchenko

Arzinger & Partners

Oleg Shevchuk

PROXEN & PARTNERS

Hanna Shtena

BAKER & McKenzie

Anzhelika Shtukaturova

SALANS Dmitry Sichkar

Dmitry Sichkar Konnov & Sozanovsky Markian B. Silecky

Salans Anna Sisetska

Vasil Kisil & Partners
Evgen Solovyov
Ilyashev & Partners

Eugene Starikov INYURPOLIS Law FIRM

Andriy Stetsenko CMS CAMERON MCKENNA

Iryna Stratiuk KPMG

Yaroslav Teklyuk Vasil Kisil & Partners

Svitlana Teush Arzinger & Partners Anna Tkachenko

SALANS Dmytro Tkachenko

Dmytro Tkachenko DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC

Zakhar Tropin Proxen & Partners

Olena Tsybukh Chalas and Partners Law Firm

Olena Verba Arzinger & Partners

Oleksandr Vygovskyy Asters

Zeeshan Wani GLOBALINK TRANSPORTATION & LOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLP

Artur Yalovyy ILF Integrites

Olexiy Yanov Law Firm IP & C. Consult, LLC

Anna Yarenko Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Yulia Yashenkova Astapov Lawyers International Law Group

Galyna Zagorodniuk DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC Galina Zagorodnyuk DLA PIPER UKRAINE LLC Tatiana Zamorska

KPMG

Anton Zinchuk INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

GRIFFINIS

Qurashi Elsheikh Abdulghani Qurashi *Dubai Municipality* Khalid Abdulla

AL Mashaweer Transport Farid Ahmadi

NATIONAL TRADING AND DEVELOPMENT EST.

Yakud Ahmed Orchid Gulf

Abdul Amir Ahmed abdulla Kodarzi JALAL AHMED GROUP

Vinayak Ahuja Apparel Group

Kara Ajani Trowers & Hamlins LLP

Mariam S.A. Al Afridi Dubai World

Najeeb Mohammed Al Ali EMIRATES COMPETITIVENESS COUNCIL

Mahmood Al Bastaki Dubai Trade

Obaid Saif Atiq Al Falasi Dubai Electricity and Water Authority

Muzafar Al Haj General Pension & Social Security Authority

Alya Hussain Al Hammadi Dubai Trade

Abdulla Saif Al Kaabi DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – DUBAI

Basil T. Al Kilani Dubai World

Malik Al Madani EMIRATES COMPETITIVENESS COUNCIL

Ahmed Al Mazrouei Emcredit

Marwan Abdulla Al Mohammad Dubai Municipality

Alya Al Mulla Emirates Competitiveness Council

Habib M. Al Mulla Habib Al Mulla & Co.

Salah El Dien Al Nahas Hadel Al Dhahiri & Associates

Saif Al Shamsi THE LEGAL GROUP

Mohammed Abdulrahman Al Sharhan GENERAL PENSION & SOCIAL SECURITY AUTHORITY

Yousuf Al Sharif Yousuf Al Sharif Associates, Advocates & Legal Consultants

Essam Al Tamimi Al Tamimi & Company Advocates & Legal Consultants

Saeed Al-Hamiz CENTRAL BANK OF THE UAE

Yousef Al-Suwaidi Dubai Courts Ibrahim Alhossani Dubai Courts

Deepak Amin Inchcape Shipping Services Adnan Amiri BAKER BOTTS LLP

Wicki Andersen Baker Botts LLP

Sunil Anto Modern Freight Company LLC

Marcos Arocha
Emirates Competitiveness Council

Manavalan Arumugam Eros Group

Mohammed Ather FARZANA TRADING T. Suresh Babu

LANDMARK GROUP
Srinivas Balla
GREEN PORT SHIPPING AGENCY

Elmugtaba Bannaga BIN SUWAIDAN ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Prakash Bhanushali ALSAHM AL SAREE TRANSPORT & CLEARING

Hiten Bhatia SILVER LINE TRANSPORTATION

Jennifer Bibbings Trowers & Hamlins LLP

Hammad Mohd. Bin Hammad General Pension & Social Security Authority

Rashid Bin Humaidan Dubai Electricity and Water Authority

Maryam Bin Lahej Dubai Courts Mazen Boustany

Mazen Boustany
HABIB AL MULLA & Co.
Lori-Ann Campbell
HADEE & PARTNERS

R. Chandran SEA BRIDGE SHIPPING CO. LLC

Sudesh Chaturvedi GULF AGENCY COMPANY LLC Ravindranath Chowdhary

ARTY TRANSPORT CO LLC
Noreen Crasto

Bipin Daniel
Modern Freight Company LLC

Shirish Deshpande Arabian Automobiles

Abdullah Ebedin Yousuf Al Sharif Associates, Advocates & Legal Consultants

Rony Eid Habib Al Mulla & Co.

Mohammed El Ghul HABIB AL MULLA & Co. Ashfat Farhan AIR SOLUTIONS FZF

Jim Fernandes
ALLIED TRANSPORT ESTABLISHMENT

Senil George National Trading and Development

Samer Hamzeh Trowers & Hamlins LLP

VASCO GLOBAL MARITIME

David Harris

Dubai Foreign Investment Office

Jayaram Hariharan

Mohamed Hassan Ali Al Sherif
FARZANA TRADING

Barton Hoggard

HADEF & PARTNERS

Ashiq Hussain

DUBAI TRADE

Ali Ibrahim
EMCREDIT
Viji John
FREIGHT SYSTEMS
Iman Kaiss

TROWERS & HAMLINS LLP Aarthi Kannan ARTY TRANSPORT CO LLC

Mohamed Khalifa GENERAL PENSION & SOCIAL SECURITY AUTHORITY

Naeem Khan Mohammed Eshaq Trading Company Salim Ahmed Khan

Dubai Trade Shahid M. Khan Busit Al Roken & Associates

Jai Kishan Khushaldasani

IMD CLEARING & FORWARDING

Khaled Kilani ARAMEX EMIRATES LLC

Rola Kobeissi Emcredit Vipul Kothari

Vipul Kothari Kothari Auditors & Accountants

Solafa Kouta Sharaf Shipping Agency B.S. Krishna Moorthy LANDMARK GROUP

Rajiv Krishnan Farzana Trading

Anil Kumar Modern Freight Company LLC

Rajeev Kumar AL Yousuf Electronics

Ravi Kumar Dubai Trade Senthil Kumar GLG Shipping

John Kunjappan MAERSK KANOO LLC Asherf Kunjimoidu AL YOUSUF ELECTRONICS

Charles S. Laubach Afridi & Angell, Member of Lex

P.S. Liaquath Sharaf Shipping Agency Sohail Maklai MOHAMMED ESHAQ TRADING

Gagan Malhotra Dubai Trade Srikrishnan Mannapara

COMPANY

SONY GULF
Premanand Maroly
VASCO GLOBAL MARITIME

Harish Matabonu
ARTY TRANSPORT CO LLC
Chandru Mirchandani

Jumbo Electronics Co. LLC Sharnooz Mohammed

DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING
Faysal (Fakhr-Eddine) Mokadem
EMIRATES COMPETITIVENESS COUNCIL

Ottavia Molinari Molinari Legal Consultancy

Roland Monteath
AGILITY GLOBAL LOGISTICS
Abdulqader Mossa
DURAL COURTS

Badih Moukarzel Huqooq Legal Practice

Pretish P.N Modern Freight Company LLC Ravi Parambott
IAL LOGISTICS EMIRATES LLC

Jagdish Parulekar AL HABTOOR MOTORS

Vijendra Vikram Singh Paul Talal Abu Ghazaleh Legal (TAG-Legal)

Elise PaulHus Habib Al Mulla & Co.

Biju Pillai DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Jaya Prakash Al Futtaim Logistics

V. Prakash AL TAJIR GLASS INDUSTRIES

Lal Premarathne DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Praveen Pudhuvail Dubai Express LLC (Freightworks Branch)

Hera Qazi THE LEGAL GROUP Samer Oudah

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Yusuf Rafiudeen Dubai Electricity and Water Authority

Sujaya Rao DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING

Dean Rolfe

PwC United Arab Emirates
Shoeb Saher

HABIB AL MULLA & Co.
Kamarudeen Sahib

AL HABTOOR MOTORS

Nisrine Salam

Dubai Foreign Investment Office Mohammed Ahmed Saleh

Dubai Municipality

Khalid Mohamed Saleh Al Mulla Dubai Municipality

Mohammed Ahmed Sager GENERAL PENSION & SOCIAL SECURITY AUTHORITY

Claus Schmidt Panalpina Gulf Herbert Schröder

EMCREDIT

Derrick Sequeira

KUEHNE + NAGEL LLC
Dilip Shadayani

AL HABTOOR MOTORS

M. Vivekanand Shetty Eros Group Shailen Shukla

JUMBO ELECTRONICS CO. LLC

N.K. Sidharthan

NATIONAL TRADING AND DEVELOPMENT EST. Sreekumar Sivasankaran

GLOBELINK WEST STAR SHIPPING LLC
Douglas Smith

HABIB AL MULLA & Co.
Wayne Smith

AL FUTTAIM LOGISTICS
Johnson Soans

EXTRON ELECTRONICS M.E.

X-ARCHITECTS
Pervez Tatary

GREEN PORT SHIPPING AGENCY
Taha Tawawala

AL SUWAIDI & COMPANY

Mohammed Sultan Thani

DUBAI LAND DEPARTMENT

Hamad Thani Mutar Dubai Courts

Nitin Tirath Dubai Trade Suresh Vallu

DIAMOND SHIPPING SERVICES
P.M Valsalan

Mubarak & Sons Transport

Justin Varghese
AL FUTTAIM LOGISTICS

Gary Watts

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Rania Yousseph Habib At Mulla & Co.

Natasha Zahid Baker Botts LLP

Nawal Zemni Yousuf Al Sharif Associates, Advocates & Legal Consultants

UNITED KINGDOM

OFGEM

WHITE & BLACK LEGAL LLP

Zainul Ahmad PwC

Simon Allison
Mayer Brown International LLP

Imran Badat Veritas Solicitors LLP

Tilly Baderin
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL LLP

Hannah Belton QualitySolicitors Redkite

Sally Booth SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP

David Bridge Simmons & Simmons LLP

Oliver Browne

Connor Cahalane Mayer Brown International LLP

Sebastian Cameron
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
LLP

Michael Canvin CROWN AGENTS LTD.

Jonathan Dawe GRANT DAWE LLP

Kathryn Donovan LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Lindsay Edkins Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Nick Francis PwC United Kingdom

Alice Fraser CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

LLP
Kelvin Goh

SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP
Simon Graham
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Tony Grant Grant Dawe LLP

Donald Gray DARWIN GRAY LLP Helen Hall DLA PIPER UK LLP

Jillian Hastings DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Neville Howlett
PWC UNITED KINGDOM
Stephen Hubner
SHEPHERD & WEDDERBLIRN

Simon Jay Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Jolita Kajtazi Grant Dawe LLP

Chandini Kanwar Laтнам & Waткins LLP

Susan Kennedy LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Gillian Key-Vice

EXPERIAN LTD.

Christoph Klenner ACCIS - Association of Consumer Credit Information Suppliers

Rebecca Knight PwC United Kingdom

Shinoj Koshy Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton II P

Kristin Kufel Baker & McKenzie

Pascal Lalande Her Majesty's Land Registry

Mushtak Macci Lubbock Fine - member of Russell

Bedford International Neil Maclean

SHEPHERD & WEDDERBURN
Neil Magrath

UK Power Networks

Christopher Mallon
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &

FLOM LLP
Emily Marshall

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

Kate Matthews
STEVENS & BOLTON LLP
Charles Mayo

SIMMONS & SIMMONS LLP
Adam McCarron
BAKER & McKenzie

Martin Michalski Baker & McKenzie

Neil Munroe ACCIS - Association of Consumer Credit Information Suppliers

Idris Natha VERITAS SOLICITORS LLP Frances Okosi

BAKER & McKenzie

Chris Perkins

PricewaterhouseCoopers Legal LLP

Stewart Perry CLYDE & Co.

Steve Pocock Crown Agents Ltd.

Alex Rogan Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & FLOM LLP

Renuka Sharma CLYDE & Co.

Andrew Shutter
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
IIP

Sandra Simoni
DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND
LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Lisa Slevin DLA PIPER UK LLP

Richard Smith Mayer Brown International LLP

Stacey-Jo Smith COMPANIES HOUSE Spencer Stevenson

British International Freight Association Caroline Taylor Laтнам & Waткins LLP

Lance Terry
GLANVILLES SOLICITORS
Mehboob Vadiya

VERITAS SOLICITORS LLP
Stephanie Walker
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL LLP

Stephanie Warren Latham & Watkins LLP

Geoffrey Wilkinson
WILKINSON CONSTRUCTION
CONSULTANTS

Sally Willcock Well, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Andrew Wilson Andrew Wilson & Co

UNITED STATES ALLEN & OVERY LLP

BUSINESS & LAW BLOG

Stephen Anderson PwC United States

Phillip Anzalone
ATELIER ARCHITECTURE 64, PLLC

Pamy J. S. Arora CORNELL GROUP, INC

Luke A. Barefoot CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

Richard Baumann Morrison Cohen LLP

G. Carlo Corporate Solvency Stress Testing Advisors L3C

Victor Chiu CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Richard Conza
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Brendan Cyr Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

James Denn
New York State Public Service

Vilas Dhar DHAR LAW, LLP Joshua L. Ditelberg

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Lindsay Dunn
Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton

Irma Foley
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Daphney François CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON II P

Patrick Fuller CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Anita Gambhir Cornell Group, Inc Robert Goethe

CORNELL GROUP, INC Daniel Gottfried ROGIN NASSAULLIC

Boris Grosman L & B ELECTRICAL INTERNATIONAL Thomas Halket

LAW OFFICE OF NANCY D. ISRAEL

HALKET WEITZ LLP Adam Heintz Morrison and Foerster

Nancy Israel

Neil Jacobs NI Jacobs & Associates

Christopher Andrew Jarvinen

Charles L. Kerr Morrison and Foerster

Joshua Kochath Comage Container Lines Arthur Kohn

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP Walter Krauklis JARVIS INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT, INC

Michael Lazerwitz
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

Bradford L. Livingston SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

Dave Lucia
SECURITY CARGO NETWORK, INC.

A Edward Major
A Edward Major,
Counsellors-at-Law
Kerry Mohan

Seyfarth Shaw LLP
Kelly J. Murray

PwĆ United States

David Newberg

Collier, Halpern, Newberg,
Nolletti, & Bock

Samuel Nolen RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Sean O'Neal CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

Jeffrey Penn CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

William Peters Corporate Solvency Stress Testing Advisors L3C

Igor Putilov Link Lines Logistics Inc

Stephen Raslavich
United States Bankruptcy Court

Damian Ridealgh Ashurst LLP

Sandra Rocks
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
II P

Jack Rose Ashurst LLP

Manuel Santiago

Kenneth Rosen University of Alabama School of Law

MILROSE CONSULTANTS, INC.
Helen Skinner
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

David Smith
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON

David Snyder Snyder & Snyder, LLP

F.W. Turner Turner & Turner

Edna Udobong LIBERTY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW

Penny Vaughn PwC United States

Frank Wolf Corporate Solvency Stress Testing Advisors L3C

URUGUAY

Isabel Abarno OLIVERA ABOGADOS

Juan Achugar Banco Central del Uruguay

Marta Alvarez Administración Nacional de Usinas y Transmisión Eléctrica (UTE)

Bernardo Amorín

Sebastián Arcia Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados

Cecilia Arias Guyer & Regules, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Alicia Badanian Estudio Bergstein

Fernando Bado Estudio Dr. Mezzera

Pablo Balao Gay Panalpina World Transport

Martín Balmaceda Estudio Bergstein

Leticia Barrios Bentancourt ESTUDIO BERGSTEIN

Ady Beitler Estudio Bergstein

Juan Bonet
GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX
MUNDO

Sofia Borba

Virginia Brause Jiménez de Aréchaga, Viana & Brause

Ricardo Marcelo Bregani ESTUDIO BLANCO & ETCHEVERRY

Luis Burastero Servetto
Luis Burastero & Asoc.

Martín Colombo FERRERE ABOGADOS

Leonardo Couto Jose Maria Facal & Co.

Jorge De Vita Jorge de Vita Studio

María Durán Hugнes & Hugнes

Maria Jose Echinope JIMÉNEZ DE ARÉCHAGA, VIANA &

Noelia Eiras Hughes & Hughes

Gabriel Ejgenberg Estudio Bergstein

Pilar Etcheverry
Guyer & Regules, MEMBER OF LEX

Agustín Etcheverry Reyes Estudio Blanco & Etcheverry

Analía Fernández Estudio Bergstein

Javier Fernández Zerbino BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI

Hector Ferreira Hughes & Hughes

Juan Federico Fischer FISCHER & SCHICKENDANTZ

Federico Florin Guyer & Regules, member of Lex Mundi

Sergio Franco PwC Uruguay

Andres Fuentes
Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina
Arogados

Diego Galante GALANTE & MARTINS

Pablo Galmarini Galmarini

Enrique Garcia Pini Administración Nacional de Usinas y Transmisión Eléctrica (UTE)

Nelson Alfredo Gonzalez SDV Uruguay

Andrés Hessdörfer Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Arogados

Marcela Hughes Hughes & Hughes

Maria Ibarra
Stavros Moyal y Asociados
- MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD
INTERNATIONAL

Ignacio Imas Ferrere Abogados

Ariel Imken Superintendencia de Servicios Financieros - Banco Central del Uriguay

Alfredo Inciarte Blanco ESTUDIO PÉREZ DEL CASTILLO, INCIARTE, GARI ABOGADOS

Richard Iturria
BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI

Elías Mantero Olivera Abogados

Enrique Martínez Schickendantz Asociación de Despachantes de Aduana del Uruguay

Leonardo Melos Estudio Bergstein Ricardo Mezzera

ESTUDIO DR. MEZZERA

Matilde Milicevic Santana

Pamela Moreira FERRERE ABOGADOS

Matias Morgare SDV Uruguay

Pablo Mosto Administración Nacional de Usinas y Transmisión Eléctrica (UTE)

Pablo Moyal Stavros Moyal y Asociados - member of Russell Bedford International

Agustin Muzio PwC Uruguay

Juan Martín Olivera Olivera Abogados

María Concepción Olivera OLIVERA ABOGADOS

Ricardo Olivera García OLIVERA ABOGADOS Federico Otegui

PwC Uruguay

Domingo Pereira
ESTUDIO BERGSTEIN

Hugo Pereira Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados

Alli Rebollo

Alejandro Santi Estefan OLIVERA ABOGADOS

Carolina Sarroca Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Arogados

Eliana Sartori PwC Uruguay

Beatriz Spiess Guyer & Regules, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI Dolores Storace Arcia Storace Fuentes Medina Abogados

Alejandro Taranto Estudio Taranto

Maria Jose Tegiacchi JIMÉNEZ DE ARÉCHAGA, VIANA & BRAUSE

Ana Inés Terra Estudio Bergstein

Evangelina Torres Jiménez de Aréchaga, Viana & Rralise

Augusto Tricotti Softron

Juan Ignacio Troccoli FISCHER & SCHICKENDANTZ

Diego Viana Jiménez de Aréchaga, Viana & Brause

Gerardo Viñoles Viñoles Arquitect Studio

UZBEKISTAN

GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP

PWC MONGOLIA
PWC UZBEKISTAN
UZBEKENERGO

Askar K. Abdusagatov OOO Progress-Development

Mels Akhmedov BAS Law Firm

Natalya Apukhtina SNR Denton Wilde Sapte & Co

Renata Gafarova M & M

Irina Gosteva SNR DENTON WILDE SAPTE & CO

Nodir B. Hakimov European Elite Construction

Nail Hassanov Leges Advokat Law Firm

Mouborak Kambarova SNR Denton Wilde Sapte & Co

Babur Karimov Grata Law Firm

Khurshid Kasimdzhanov M & M

Alisher T. Kasimov European Elite Construction

Nurali Eshibaevich Khalmuratov National Institute of Credit Information of Central Bank of THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

Davron Khasanov Mukhamedjanov & Partners Law firm

Oscar Khusnullin Holos

Tatyana Lee LEGALMAX LAW FIRM

Ibrahim Mukhamedjanov Mukhamedjanov & Partners I aw firm

Behruz Nizamutdinov M & M

Valeriya Ok LEGALMAX LAW FIRM

Mirzaaziz Ruziev Grata Law Firm Azamat Salaev

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

Alexander Samborsky
NATIONAL CENTRE OF GEODESY &
CARTOGRAPHY

Nizomiddin Shakhabutdinov LEGES ADVOKAT LAW FIRM

Petros Tsakanyan Azizov & Partners Aziz Turdibaev

M & M Nodir Yuldashev

GRATA LAW FIRM

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION & CONSERVATION (DEPC)

Entreprise Dinh Van Tu

FR8 LOGISTICS LTD.

VANUATU FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION

Barry Amoss South Sea Shipping Ltd.

Loïc Bernier Caillard & Kaddour

Andy Cottam National Bank of Vanuatu

Frederic Derousseau
Vate Flectrics

David Hudson Hupson & Sugpen

Jonathan Law

Colin B. Leo Colin Bright Leo Lawyers

Philippe Mehrenberger UNELCO

Mark Pardoe South Sea Shipping Ltd.

Harold Qualao Qualao Consulting Ltd. QCL

Katua Rezel DEPARTMENT OF LANDS, SURVEYS & RECORDS

Martin Saint Hilaire
CABINET AJC, AN INDEPENDENT
CORRESPONDENT MEMBER OF DFK
INTERNATIONAL

Mark Stafford BARRETT & PARTNERS

Mandes Tangaras Municipality of Port Vila

VENEZUELA, RB

Jorge Acedo-Prato Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Tamara Adrian Adrian & Adrian

Juan Enrique Aigster Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Servio T. Altuve Jr.
Servio T. Altuve R. & Asociados

Carlos Bachrich Nagy De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados

Arturo De Sola Lander
De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados
- Consultores

Juan Domingo Cordero Baker & McKenzie

Carlos Domínguez Hernández HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE

Carlos Flores Computec - DataCrédito Venezuela Selma Flores Ferreira

Jose Garcia PwC Venezuela

Jose Alfredo Giral Baker & McKenzie Lynne H. Glass Despacho de Abogados miembros de Norton Rose, S.C.

Ybeth Gonzalez Baker & McKenzie

Andres Gonzalez Crespo
Casas Rincon Gonzalez Rubio &
Asociados

Diego Gonzalez Crespo Casas Rincon Gonzalez Rubio & Asociados Enrique Gonzalez Rubio

Casas Rincon Gonzalez Rubio & Asociados

Andres Felipe Guevara Baker & McKenzie

Alfredo Hurtado Hurtado Esteban & Asociados - member of Russell Bedford International

Maigualida Ifill PwC Venezuela

Enrique Itriago RODRIGUEZ & MENDOZA

Gabriela Longo
PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS

Ana Lugo Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Maritza Meszaros Baker & McKenzie

Patricia Milano Hernández
DE SOLA PATE & BROWN, ABOGADOS
- CONSULTORES

Lorena Mingarelli Lozzi
De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados

- Consultores

John R. Pate

De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados

- Consultores
Thomas J. Pate Páez
De Sola Pate & Brown, Abogados

- CONSULTORES Fernando Pelaez-Pier

HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE Bernardo Pisani RODRIGUEZ & MENDOZA

Juan Carlos Pró-Rísquez

DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE

NORTON ROSE, S.C.

José Alberto Ramirez Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Francisco Seijas Americas Interactiva

Laura Silva Aparicio HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE Raúl Stolk Nevett

HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE
Oscar Ignacio Torres

Travieso Evans Arria Rengel & Paz Sara Trimboli Baker & McKenzie

John Tucker Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Carlos Velandia Sanchez Asociación Venezolana de Derecho Registral "AVEDER"

José Vivas

VIETNAM

Panalpina World Transport LLP

Katrina Alday VILAF - Hong Duc Law Firm

Hong Ngan Anh

Nguyen Anh Thu UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS, VNU Ken Atkinson Grant Thornton LLP

Pham Nghiem Xuan Bac VISION & ASSOCIATES

Pham Quoc Bao Ho Chi Minh City Power Corporation (EVN HCMC)

Frederick Burke
BAKER & McKenzie

Samantha Campbell GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Giles Thomas Cooper

Thi Thu Quyen Dang

Trong Hieu Dang VISION & ASSOCIATES Nguyen Dang Viet

BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM

Van Dinh Thi Quynh

Van Dinh Thi Quynt PwC Vietnam

KTC Assurance & Business Advisors - member of Russell Bedford International

Linh Doan LVN & Associates Ngoan Doan

GRANT THORNTON LLP
Dang The Duc
INDOCHINE COUNSEL

Minh Duong Allens Arthur Robinson

Thanh Long Duong ALIAT LEGAL Tieng Thu Duong VISION & ASSOCIATES

Huong Duong Thi Mai LuatViet - Advocates & Solicitors

Ngoc Hai Ha BAKER & McKENZIE

Thu Ha

Quang Ha Dang Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Giang Ha Thi Phuong PwC VIETNAM

Kevin B. Hawkins Mayer Brown JSM

Hoa Hoang LVN & Associates

KTC Assurance & Business Advisors - member of Russell Bedford International

Nguyen Hoang Kim Oanh BAKER & MCKENZIE

Bui Ngoc Hong Indochine Counsel

Le Hong Phong BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM

Nguyen Thi Hong Van YKVN

Tran Quang Huy VILAF - Hong Duc Law Firm

Kim Ngoan Huynh Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Tuong Long Huynh GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI

Jean Claude Junin SDV Logistics Hai Long Khuat INDOCHINE COUNSEL Tho Khuc Duong
LuatViet - Advocates & Solicitors

Tran Trung Kien S&B Law

Matt King Asian Tigers Transpo International

Milton Lawson
FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER

Anh Tuan Le Credit Information Centre - State Bank of Vietnam

Phuc Le Hong
LUATVIET - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Thuy Le Nguyen Huy Indochine Counsel

Phuoc Le Van Ho Chi Minh City Power Corporation (EVN HCMC)

Le Thi Loc YKVN

Nguyen Phan Manh Long Hung & Partners

Tien Ngoc Luu Vision & Associates

Le Thi Luyen Ho Chi Minh City Power Corporation (EVN HCMC)

Tran Dinh Muoi SEAREFICO Duy Minh Ngo

Thuy Ngo Quang NT Trade Law LLC

Bui Thi Thanh Ngoc DS Avocats

Dao Nguyen Mayer Brown JSM

Duy Ninh Nguyen Nam Tien Architecture & Consultants

Hong Hai Nguyen Duane Morris LLC

Huong Nguyen Mayer Brown JSM

Khai Nguyen Grant Thornton I I P

Linh D. Nguyen VILAF - Hong Duc Law Firm

Minh Tuan Nguyen Viet Premier Law Ltd.

Oanh Nguyen Baker & McKenzie

Quoc Phong Nguyen ALIAT LEGAL

Thanh Hai Nguyen Baker & McKenzie

Tien Hoa Nguyen S&B Law

Trang Nguyen Credit Information Centre - State Bank of Vietnam

Tram Nguyen Huyen Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Tam Nguyen Tinh
GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF
LEX MUNDI

Long Nguyen Vinh LuatViet - Advocates & Solicitors

Eddie O'Shea Mayer Brown JSM

Hung Duy Pham KTC Assurance & Business Advisors - member of Russell Bedford International Viet D. Phan LuaтPVD

Vu Anh Phan Indochine Counsel

Hyunh Truong Que Phuong PRIME CONSTRUCTION & TRADING CO, LTD.

Cristian Predan

Truong Nhat Quang

Nguyen Que Tam Chen Shan & Partners

Yee Chung Seck Baker & McKenzie

Chau Ta DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Tran Thi Than Niem DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP

Le Thi Nhat Linh Ban Mai Co. Ltd.

Nhung Thieu Hong PwC Vietnam

Tan Heng Thye CHEN SHAN & PARTNERS

Antoine Toussaint
GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF
LEX MUNDI

Binh Minh Tran VIETIN BANK

Chi Anh Tran Baker & McKenzie

Quang Tuong Tran Indochine Counsel

Bac Tran Phuong LUATVIET - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Nguyen Thu Trang S&B Law

Nam Hoai Truong Indochine Counsel

Vo Huu Tu

INDOCHINE COUNSEL

Nguyen Anh Tuan
DP Consulting Ltd.

Ngo Thanh Tung VILAF - Hong Duc Law Firm

Chi Vo Ngoc Phuong Gide Loyrette Nouel, member of Lex Mundi

Anh Thu Vu Mayer Brown LLP

Dzung Vu LVN & Associates

Thang Vu
BAKER & McKENZIE
Le Vu Anh

Son Ha Vuong
Vision & Associates

Matthew Williams Mayer Brown JSM

WEST BANK AND GAZA

Kareem Abdel Hadi JEDICO

Hani Abdel Jaldeh Salha Aboushi

Murad Abu Mwis Ministry of National Economy

Ata Al Biary

PwC.

Haytham L. Al-Zubi AL-Zubi Law Office, Advocates & Legal Consultants Mohammad Amarneh

Moayad Amouri

Nada Atrash Architecture & Design

Hanna Atrash

Nizam Ayoob Ministry of National Economy

Ali Faroun
PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY

George Handal BETHLEHEM FREIGHT Samir Hulileh

PADICO HOLDINGS
Hiba I. Husseini
Husseini & Husseini

Mohamed Khader
Lausanne Trading Consultants

Zahi Khouri National Beverages Company

Munib Masri PADICO HOLDINGS

Nabil A. Mushahwar Law Offices of Nabil A. Mushahwar

Absal Nusseibeh Husseini & Husseini

Michael F. Orfaly PwC

Wael Sa'adi PwC

Samir Sahhar Office of Samir Sahhar

Nadeem Shehadeh A.F. & R. Shehadeh Law Office

Maysa Sirhan Palestinian Monetary Authority

YEMEN, REP.

Khalid Abdullah Sheikh Mohammed Abdullah Sons (EST. 1927)

Tariq Abdullah Law Offices of Sheikh Tariq Abdullah

Shafiq Adat Law Offices of Sheikh Tariq Abdullah

Khaled Al Buraihi
KHALED AL BURAIHI FOR ADVOCACY &
LEGAL SERVICES

Yaser Al-Adimi ABDUL GABAR A. AL-ADIMI FOR CONSTRUCTION & TRADE

Hamzah Al-Anesi Dr. Hamzah Shaher Law Firm

Mohamed Taha Hamood Al-Hashimi Монамер Тана Намоор & Со.

Abdulkader Al-Hebshi Advocacy and Legal Consultations Office (ALCO)

Ali Al-Hebshi Advocacy and Legal Consultations Office (ALCO)

Rashad Khalid Al-Howiadi

Mohamed Ali Mas Freight International

Abdulla Farouk Luqman Luqman Legal Advocates & Legal Consultants

Esam Nadeesh Advocacy and Legal Consultations Office (ALCO)

Sami Abdullah Sabeha SAS FOR CARGO SERVICES Yousra Salem Luqman Legal Advocates & Legal Consultants

Khaled Mohammed Salem Ali LUQMAN LEGAL ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Muhammad Saqib Mohamed Taha Hamood & Co.

Khaled Hassan Zaid YEMEN CHAMBER OF SHIPPING

ZAMBIA

Chewe K. Bwalya D.H. KEMP & Co.

Mwelwa Chibesakunda Chibesakunda & Company, member of DLA Piper Group

Sydney Chisenga Corpus Legal Practitioners

Emmanuel Chisenga Chulu PwC Zambia

Eddie Musonda Chunga MINISTRY OF LAND

Harjinder Dogra

Arshad A. Dudhia
Musa Dudhia & Company

Robin Durairajah CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER GROUP

Charles Haanyika UTILINK LIMITED Diane Harrington

SDV Logistics

Mubanga Kangwa

CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER

OF DLA PIPER GROUP

Mutale Kasonde

CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER

of DLA PIPER GROUP Mumba Makumba

PACRA Sylvester Mashamba

National Council for Construction

Clyde Mbazima

Chibesakunda & Company, member

of DLA PIPER GROUP Bonaventure Mbewe

BARCLAYS BANK

Jyoti Mistry

PWC ZAMBIA

Gerald Mkandawire

Mwape Mondoloka

Michael Mwape Moono Mutule Museba

CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

Eustace Ng'oma
CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER
OF DLA PIPER GROUP

Kanti Patel
CHRISTOPHER, RUSSELL COOK & CO.

Solly Patel Christopher, Russell Cook & Co.

Aleksandar Perunicic

SDV LOGISTICS

Rodwyn Peterson
CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER

of DLA PIPER GROUP

Miriam Sabi

ZRA-CUSTOMER SERVICE CENTER

John Serlemitsos PLATINUM GOLD EQUITY

Valerie Sesia Customized Clearing and Forwarding Ltd. Juliana Shoko Chilombo

Mildred Stephenson Credit Reference Bureau Africa Ltd.

Johannas Steyn Apollo Enterprises Ltd.

Liu Yang SDV Logistics Enos Zulu PACRA

ZIMBABWE

BCHOD AND PARTNERS
Richard Beattie
THE STONE/BEATTIE STUDIO

Tim Boulton Manica Africa Pty. Ltd. Peter Cawood PwC ZIMBABWE

Innocent Chagonda ATHERSTONE & COOK

Benjamin Chikowero Guтu & Снікоwero

Grant Davies Manica Africa Pty. Ltd.

Beloved Dhlakama Dhlakama B. Attorneys

Paul Fraser Lofty & Fraser

Obert Chaurura Gutu Gutu & Chikowero

R.T. Katsande ZIMBABWE ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION & DISTRIBUTION COMPANY

Peter Lloyd GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS Manuel Lopes PwC ZIMBABWE

Memory Mafo SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS

Mordecai Pilate Mahlangu Gill, Godlonton & Gerrans

Vimbai Makora Guтu & Снікоwего

Gertrude Maredza Guтu & Снікоwего

David Masaya PwC ZIMBABWE

Gloria Mawarire Mawere & Sibanda Legal Practitioners

Jim McComish PEARCE McCOMISH ARCHITECTS Lloyd Mhishi

Dube, Manikai and Hwacha Legal Practitioners - DMH Commercial Law Chambers

Honour P. Mkushi Sawyer & Mkushi

Evans Talent Moyo SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS

Sternford Moyo SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS

Alec Muchadehama MBIDZO MUCHADEHAMA & MAKONI

Benjamin Mukandi FREIGHT WORLD (PVT) LTD.

T. Muringani Speartec

Ostern Mutero Sawyer & Mkushi Alec Tafadzwa Muza Mawere & Sibanda Legal Practitioners

Namatirai Muzarakuza Gutu & Chikowero

Phathisile Paula Ncube Mawere & Sibanda Legal Practitioners

Maxwell Ngorima BDO Tax & Advisory Services (Pvt) Ltd.

Vanani Nyangulu V.S. Nyangulu & Associates

Archford Rutanhira SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS

Unity Sakhe Kantor & Immerman





WWW.DOINGBUSINESS.ORG





ISBN 978-0-8213-9615-5



SKU 19615