

38407

Making Money Transfers Work for Microfinance Institutions

A Technical Guide to Developing and
Delivering Money Transfers

Draft for Public Review
December 2006

Jennifer Isern

William Donges

Jeremy Smith



Consultative Group to Assist the Poor

Making Money Transfers Work for Microfinance Institutions: A Technical Guide to Developing and Delivering Money Transfers is available for download from the CGAP Web site (<http://www.cgap.org/>) publications page.

© 2006 Consultative Group to Assist the Poor/The World Bank

All rights reserved.

Consultative Group to Assist the Poor
1818 H Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20433

Internet: www.cgap.org
Email: cgap@worldbank.org
Telephone: +1.202.473.9594

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	ix
Foreword	x
Introduction	1
This Guide	5
Chapter One: Business Models for Retail Money Transfers	7
Direct Approach	10
Partnerships	14
Single Partnerships	15
Multiple Partnerships	16
Potential Partners	17
Money Transfer Companies	17
Bank Partners	20
Consortium Approach	21
Chapter Two: How Money Transfers Work: The Value Chain	23
Marketing and Selling Transfers Products	23
Originating and Funding	26
Selecting the Transfer Mechanism	26
Funding the Money Transfer	26
Originating the Transfer	27
Sending, Clearing, and Settlement of Transfer Orders	27
Receiving a Money Transfer Order	29
Paying a Money Transfer Order	30
Customer Service	31
Chapter Three: Deciding on a Money Transfer Business	33
Taking Stock	33
Environment	34
Internal Assessment	37

Risks and Challenges	39
Money Management Challenges	39
Information Management Challenges	41
Client Service Challenges	41
Payment Medium Challenges	42
Compliance Challenges	44
Partnership Challenges	45
Chapter Four: Developing a Strategy for Money Transfer Services	48
Goal Setting	48
Determining Competitive Advantage	48
The Right Product and the Right Market	50
Chapter Five: Developing an Implementation Plan for a Money Transfer Business	54
Developing and Marketing Transfer Products	54
Management the Product Portfolio	54
Product Development	55
Designing the Product: Client Preferences	56
Designing the Product: Institutional Capacity	58
Designing the Product: Pricing	58
Promoting Products	59
Creating the Institutional Framework	61
Developing a Money Transfer Policy	61
Establishing Delivery Channels	65
Chapter Six: Negotiating Partnerships	67
The Two Sides of the Table	67
The Money Transfer Company's Perspective	67
What the MTC Looks for in a Partner	68
The MFI's Perspective	68
What the MFI Should Know About a Partner	69
Stage One: Gather Information and Rank Potential MTC Partners	70

Stage Two: Contact Potential Partners and Perform Due Diligence	72
Requesting Additional Information from the MTC	72
Provide Information	72
Stage Three: Negotiate Contract	73
Discuss Strengths	74
Negotiate Commission Terms	74
Negotiate Other Terms	75
Conclusion	77
Annex 1: The Building Blocks of Retail Money Transfers:	
Payment Systems and Instruments	78
Transferring Funds	78
Fund Transfer Types	80
Retail Payment Systems	81
Payment Instruments	82
Cash-based Payments and Transfers	83
Paper-based Payments and Transfers	84
Card-based Payments and Transfers	87
Electronic Payments and Transfers	93
Annex 2: Formality of Financial Channels	100
Formal Financial Channels	100
Semiformal Financial Channels	100
Informal Channels	101
Annex 3: General Principles for International Remittance Service	105
The General Principles	106
References	109
Index	113

List of Tables

Table 1: Client preferences in money transfer services	57
Table A-1: Uses of fund transfers	79
Table A-2: Payment media and their corresponding money type	82
Table A-3: Credit, debit, and prepaid cards: A comparison	92
Table A-4: Summary: Advantages and disadvantages of money transfer mechanisms for customers and financial service partners	98

List of Boxes

Box 1: Pursuing several models: Guatemalas's Banrural	7
Box 2: Postal networks offer a variety of money transfer options	9
Box 3: Direct payment system in the Philippines and El Salvador	11
Box 4: National Microfinance Bank of Tanzania: The dominant player in the domestic transfer market	12
Box 5: Fonkoze expands remittance options	13
Box 6: IRnet for credit unions	17
Box 7: Cambodia's ACLEDA Bank and its correspondent banking activities	20
Box 8: Bansefi: A network for MFIs	22
Box 9: Send-side marketing by FONKOZE in Haiti	24
Box 10: Managing risks	45
Box 11: ARB/Ghana	52
Box 12: An established MFI's experience with AML/CFT compliance	63
Box 13: Sharded branching: Expanding points of service	66
Box 14: Protecting the MFI's interest by analyzing the full costs	74
Box A-1: Enabling affordable, card-based international remittances	88
Box A-2: G-cash in the Philippines	97
Box B-1: Hawalas: A sophisticated, informal channel	102

List of Figures

Figure 1: Remittances are the second largest source of finance for developing countries	2
---	---

Figure 2: Large countries receive more remittances in raw volume, but small countries receive the most in remittances with respect to their GDP	3
Figure 3: Rich countries are the largest sources of remittance in dollar terms	3
Figure 4: Estimated market share of international person-to-person transfer providers, 2003	18
Figure 5: Value chain for the money transfer business	23
Figure 6: Clearing and settlement of money transfers	28
Figure 7: SWOT Matrix	34
Figure 8: Porter's generic strategies	49
Figure 9: Product-market matrix	50
Figure 10: Systematic product development process	56

Acknowledgments

Jennifer Isern (CGAP) led the development of this technical guide on money transfers. The authors are Jennifer Isern, William Donges, and Jeremy Smith. Jasmina Glisovic-Mezieres (CGAP) provided useful research assistance. The technical guide was edited by Chris Jarzombek, and initial editing was done by Paul Holtz.

Launched in October 2005, the advisory group for this initiative advised on the initial concept and reviewed annotated outlines of the manual. Advisory Group members included Vanessa Vizcarra Bianchi (MFIC), Massimo Cirasino (World Bank), Anna Cora Evans (WOCCU), José Antonio Garcia Luna (World Bank), Dave Grace (WOCCU), Gautam Ivatury (CGAP), Tom Jahnes (Western Union), Tim Lyman (CGAP), Manuel Orozco (Inter-American Dialogue), Doug Pearce (DFID), Valsa Shah (DFID), and Gregory Watson (Inter-American Development Bank).

Jennifer Isern, Dave Grace, and Jasmina Glisovic-Mezieres designed the CGAP e-conference on money transfers in March 2006. Dave Grace facilitated the five-day conference that addressed operational issues for MFIs offering money transfer services. The e-conference was co-sponsored by the World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU), the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), the Inter-American Development Bank (IADB)/Multilateral Investment Fund (MIF).

Maria Jaramillo (ACCION), Dave Grace (WOCCU), Anne Hastings (Fonkoze/Haiti), José Antonio Garcia Luna (World Bank), Massimo Cirasino (World Bank), and Vanessa Vizcarra Bianchi (MFIC) provided detailed comments on an earlier draft of the guide. In addition, the authors are grateful for helpful comments from Tom Jahnes (Western Union) and Martin Alsop (DFID).

Foreword

The Consultative Group to Assist the Poor (CGAP) produced this Technical Guide to Developing and Delivering Money Transfers to help financial service providers determine whether offering money transfer services is in their interests. And if so, to provide them with assistance in determining what strategy, products, and institutional structure are needed to support a successful money transfer operation.

This draft guide is a work in progress, and CGAP plans to field test this version through April 2007. Suggestions for improvements are most welcome. Please send comments or suggestions by email to Jennifer Isern (jisern@worldbank.org) or contact us at the CGAP Operational Team offices (mailing address: 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax 202.522.3744).

Elizabeth Littlefield
Chief Executive Officer
Consultative Group to Assist the Poor

Financial service providers that cater to the poor have been drawn to the money transfer market because it offers them the opportunity to fulfill their financial goals as well as their social objectives. As a fee-based product, money transfers can generate revenues and bolster the bottom line. And, because microfinance institutions (MFIs) often serve low-income clients and clients in underserved geographic areas, money transfers also help MFIs meet their social goals by delivering an additional service demanded by low-income customers—often at a cost lower than that of mainstream providers.¹

Banking access rates are still quite low globally. In a recent survey of Latin America, only 1/3 of money transfer recipients use a bank account.² Given such low levels of bank usage among money transfers clients and MFI penetration into unbanked markets, they are uniquely situated to capitalize on the market for money transfers.

The Money Transfer Market

The money transfer industry comprises a vast array of players for both international and domestic payments. In 2001, worldwide cross-border payments exceeded US\$330 trillion, and this is projected to grow to \$604 trillion by 2011.³ As of 2001, domestic payments worldwide were estimated at \$1,447 trillion, and they are expected to increase to \$2,417 trillion by 2011.⁴ While not all money transfers can be captured in official statistics, the available data indicate a very large market. In 2005, formal international remittances exceeded \$232 billion.⁵ They constitute the second largest source of external funding for developing countries, ahead of both capital market flows and official development assistance.⁶ Given

The money transfer market offers the opportunity to fulfill financial goals as well as social objectives.

¹Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. “Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers.”

²Orozco. “International Flows of Remittances: Cost, competition and financial access in Latin America and the Caribbean—toward an industry scorecard.”

³Boston Consulting Group. *Preparing for the Endgame*.

⁴Ibid.

⁵Ratha, *Global Economic Prospects 2006: Economic Implications of Remittances and Migration*.


⁶Ibid.

such staggering global volumes, remittances and other types of money transfers are attracting increasing attention from the private sector, governments, and development agencies.

Money transfers are attracting increasing attention from the private sector, governments, and development agencies.

The money transfers market includes all types of customers, including individuals, businesses, and governments. For both international and domestic money transfers, clients from all levels of society use a variety of services including formal, highly regulated channels as well as informal, unregulated ones.⁷ And it is used by people for a multitude of purposes, everything from everyday bill payment, to one-time-only money needs, to delivering money from people in more-developed countries to families back home (**remittances**).

Figure 1: Remittances are the second largest source of finance for developing countries⁸




Among money transfer products, international remittances have received the most attention. The top three recipients of international remittances in 2004 were India, China, and Mexico. But smaller countries, such as Tonga, Moldova, Lesotho, and Haiti, top the list when comparing remittances to national GDP. On average, the share of remittances in GDP is twice as large in low-income countries as in middle-income countries.

⁷ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. “Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers.”

⁸ Source: *Global Economic Prospects 2006: Economic Implications of Remittances and Migration*.


Figure 2: Large countries receive more remittances in raw volume, but small countries receive the most in remittances with respect to their GDP.⁹



Wealthy countries are the main source of remittances, as seen in Figure 3 below. The United States is by far the largest source, with \$39 billion in outward flows. Saudi Arabia (classified as a high-income country in 2005) is the second largest, followed by Switzerland and Germany. It is conventionally believed that migration flows are from south to north and that remittance flows are from north to south. However, south-south migration is actually estimated to be *at least* as large as south-north migration, and south-south remittances account for 30 to 45 percent of the remittances received in the south.¹⁰

South-south remittances account for 30 to 45 percent of the remittances received in the South.

Figure 3: Rich countries are the largest sources of remittance in dollar terms¹¹



⁹ *Ibid.*

¹⁰ *Ibid.*

¹¹ *Ibid.*

International remittances are qualitatively different from other sources of development finance. They are both relatively stable and counter-cyclical in nature, since migrants tend to remit more during periods of economic downturn in their home countries. Because remittances represent private money sent person to person, they benefit low-income people directly—and on demand.¹² International money transfer companies (MTCs) have long dominated the global market, but smaller regional and national providers are beginning to explore the market potential.¹³

Remittances benefit low-income people directly—and on demand.

While international remittances and other transfers represent a sizeable market, the potential for money transfers is even broader when domestic money transfers are considered. In many countries, domestic money transfers are growing rapidly as more countries develop national electronic payment infrastructure. For most MFIs, offering domestic money transfers represents a significant opportunity that may have fewer hurdles than international transfers.¹⁴

The money transfers industry is changing rapidly. Four of the most important changes over the past five years include:

- **Increased competition** among formally licensed money transfer companies as new firms have entered the international, regional, and national markets (as seen in Figure 4);
- **Better use of existing payment instruments**, such as card, phone, and Internet-based payments;
- **Lower fees** for money transfers as a result of increased competition. For example, in Latin America, the cost of sending US\$200 internationally dropped from over 15 percent to less than 5.6 percent from 2001 to 2005.¹⁵ Other international and national markets are also beginning to see price reductions, and this has implications for MFIs that seek to enter the market;
- **Tighter regulations** from national and international authorities on anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism. In many countries, MFIs are excluded from making currency operations and cannot access the payment system. However, some countries are starting to open their payment systems to larger, regulated MFIs.

¹² Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. “Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers.”

¹³ *Ibid.*

¹⁴ *Ibid.*

¹⁵ Orozco. “International Flows of Remittances: Cost, competition and financial access in Latin America and the Caribbean—toward an industry scorecard.”

Although the money transfers market offers tantalizing opportunities for financial service providers, the risks can be high. The purpose of this *Technical Guide to Developing and Delivering Money Transfers* is to help financial service providers determine whether offering money transfer services is in their interests. And if so, to help them determine what strategy, products, and institutional structure are needed to support a successful money transfer operation.

This guide is primarily written for use by financial service providers such as MFIs and other institutions that serve low-income clients. MFIs are defined as financial cooperatives, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), specialized financial institutions, nonbank financial institutions, savings and postal banks, and others. The guide seeks to help senior managers and directors launch new money transfer services or improve existing ones. However, policymakers, regulators, funders, and others involved in the money transfers market may also benefit from this guide.

This guide is organized into 6 chapters, with the first half of the guide being informative (*what is the money transfer business?*) and the second half being directive (*starting a money transfer business*).

MFIs can choose from a variety of business models. **Chapter 1: Business Models for Institutions Offering Money Transfers** describes the basic business models for MFIs involved in money transfers and examines their advantages and disadvantages.

Formal money transfers involve a complex process from sender to receiver. **Chapter 2: How Money Transfers Work: The Value Chain** explains the process in detail.

For MFIs without experience in payment systems, the introduction of money transfers may represent a considerable challenge. **Chapter 3: Deciding on a Money Transfer Business** provides MFIs managers with a set of questions for evaluating whether the MFI is prepared to enter the money transfer market.

Beginning a successful money transfer business involves rethinking current strategies or creating new ones. **Chapter 4: Developing a Strategy for Money Transfer Services** provides a guideline for setting strategic goals.

The purpose of this Technical Guide is to help financial service providers determine what strategy, products, and institutional structure are needed to support a successful money transfer operation.

Managing a successful money transfer business involves creating the right products for the MFI's market and developing the right infrastructure to support those products. **Chapter 5: Developing an Implementation Plan for a Money Transfer Business** provides a guideline for product development and institutional support development.

Alliances that allow MFIs to offer money transfer services may be the best approach for new market entrants. The customer base, location, and existing distribution infrastructure of MFIs can make them attractive partners for international money transfer operators. The international operator's network, foreign exchange access, and risk management expertise can, in turn, reduce both the cost and risk of an MFI's market entry. **Chapter 6: Negotiating Partnerships** provides explicit instructions on crafting favorable alliances.

The field of money transfers is broad and evolving rapidly.

These chapters are supplemented with material on the concepts discussed in this guide. **Annex 1: The Building Blocks of Retail Money Transfers: Payment Services and Instruments** serves as a primer on money transfers. Readers who are unfamiliar with money transfers are strongly encouraged to review Annex 1 before reading the main chapters, because it provides definitions and background on terminology used in this guide. **Annex 2: Formality of Financial Channels** offers a comparison, along with examples, of formal, semi-formal, and informal financial channels. **Annex 3: Summary: General Principles for International Remittance** provides guidelines for creating better markets for financial remittances developed by the World Bank and the Bank for International Settlements Committee on Payments and Settlement Systems.

While this guide strives for completeness, the field of money transfers is broad and evolving rapidly. Each MFI should compare the information presented herein with the realities of its own markets.

This chapter describes the basic business models for MFIs involved in money transfers, along with their advantages and disadvantages.

Readers who are new to the business of money transfers are encouraged to review **Annex 1: The Building Blocks of Retail Money Transfers: Payment Services and Instruments** for more background on the concepts discussed in this chapter.

This chapter examines models from several regions in order to capture the diverse ways MFIs offer transfer services. However, the models described here are not exhaustive; many noteworthy experiences are not covered, and as more financial institutions—large and small—enter the industry, the field will continue to evolve.

It is likewise important to note that an institution is not limited to one business model. Many financial institutions use a mix of business models. For example, a commercial bank might offer its own direct service while also acting as an agent for Western Union, MoneyGram, or other MTCs.

An institution is not limited to one business model. Many financial institutions use a mix of business models.

Box 1: Pursuing several models: Guatemala's Banrural

While commercial banks are important players in the Guatemalan transfers market, Banrural is the only bank that does not operate as an exclusive agent of an MTC. Banrural transfers funds through branches in the United States as well as through agreements with more than 80 money transfer operators, some of which manage a very small volume of transfers. Banrural distributes more than 150,000 transfers a month—evidence that it has developed a unique, successful model.¹⁶

An MFI's choice of business models is usually limited by **country regulations** and market realities. In many countries, an MFI without a banking license can act only as an agent or subagent of an MTC or establish a cor-

¹⁶ Orozco and Hamilton. "Development and Remittances to Guatemala: Issues, Challenges and Opportunities for U.S. Cooperation." Report for USAID by Chemonics International, Inc. February 2005.

respondent relationship with a commercial bank or other licensed financial institution.

Options will also vary depending on the market niche the MFI pursues (whether domestic transfers, international transfers, or both). For example, if the MFI will mainly be on the “receive” side of international transfers and will be making payments to clients who collect their money transfers, the MFI may want to establish an alliance with a specialized company with extensive operations on the “send” side. Alternatively, if the MFI plans to offer money transfers within the country, it may be able to operate independently.

Market structure will also affect an MFI’s choice of business model. In some countries one firm—typically a large money transfer company—dominates the remittance market. In Latin America for example, Money Gram and Western Union are the dominant money-transfer senders with more than 35 percent of the market, but new entrants include more than 100 credit unions and several large Latin American banks that see the large U.S. immigrant population as a growth market.¹⁷ In other countries such as Turkey, India, and Mali, one or more commercial banks are the market leaders. As the flow of remittances and other money transfers continues to expand rapidly, many markets are becoming more competitive, creating more opportunities for MFIs.

Deciding on a business model can be challenging for MFIs.

Deciding on a business model can be challenging for MFIs. Many lack sufficient resources to ascertain their competitive position in their local markets, so they do not have a clear sense of whether there are niches that might be filled or what added value they could offer to potential partners. Similarly, relatively few MFIs have the capacity to conduct proper due diligence on potential partners and thus might not clearly understand the costs and benefits associated with a given business model. Moreover, few have experience working with partners or as agents. Even large MFIs are at a disadvantage when negotiating with huge money transfer companies.

MFIs can choose from a variety of business models. Some MFIs offer transfer services directly, using systems developed in house that range from basic systems built around their core accounting package to more sophisticated systems based on specific electronic funds transfer systems. Other MFIs partner with one or more money transfer companies, such as Western Union

¹⁷ Williams, “Competition Cuts Cost of Wiring Money.”

or MoneyGram. Such partnerships are far more common, and they take many forms. Many MFIs act as payment agents for MTCs, while others gain access to proprietary networks (such as SWIFT) by working with banks or other financial institutions; some do both.

These options are not mutually exclusive. An MFI could offer paper-based money orders for use in occasional domestic money transfers or bill payment; operate as an agency of one of the MTCs for simple, low-value domestic or international transfers; and establish a correspondent relationship with a bank for higher value, higher reliability international transfers. MFIs can also form alliances with similar institutions to enhance their negotiating leverage with specialized money transfer companies (see Box 2). Examples of alliances or consortiums include networks of state banks and savings or postal banks, credit union federations, and other national or international microfinance networks.¹⁸

Many MFIs act as payment agents for MTCs

Box 2: Postal networks offer a variety of money transfer options

Around the globe, post offices have historically been one of the most common ways to transfer funds. They have by far the largest network of outlets, with more than 660,000 worldwide, and a dense presence in rural areas. There are two kinds of postal service providers: postal operators and postal banks. Operators offer traditional postal services; banks are licensed financial institutions regulated by central banks or other government agencies. Both provide money transfer services, some direct and other indirect.

Direct services are offered by postal operators, which can be used to send cash or checks by mail or to purchase postal money orders (also sent by mail) or telegraphic money orders. Domestic transfers through postal operators vary by country. In the United States, unbanked people often use postal money orders for bill payments and other transfers. For international transfers, however, postal networks account for less than 1 percent of the global market. In some regions, especially Latin America, this decline

¹⁸ For more information on microfinance networks, including consortia of state development banks and savings and postal institutions, see: Isern and Cook, "What Is a Network: The Diversity of Networks in Microfinance Today," CGAP, July 2004. http://www.cgap.org/portal/binary/com.epicentric.contentmanagement.servlet.ContentDeliveryServlet/Documents/FocusNote_26.pdf

in use is due to lack of client trust in postal operators. Moreover, postal networks are competing against new technologies that have eroded their traditional business. Postal operators also operate as agents of MTCs, and more than half the world's postal networks have agreements with Western Union or MoneyGram.

Postal banks offer a full range of financial services. But, like postal operators, they have not established a significant presence in the global money transfer market—despite similar advantages in terms of access to huge branch networks and a strong historical position in the industry.

Recognizing the weaknesses of current services, some postal banks are developing new payment products. Several have introduced debit cards linked to accounts. In South Africa, for example, Postbank introduced a Flexi Card that can be used at post office counters and bank automated teller machines (ATMs). Others, such as Brazil's Caixa Economica Federal, offer cards linked to government payment services and a broad range of ATMs. For remittances, both domestic and international, many postal banks are looking to improve products and services by working with payment networks such as Eurogiro.

The Universal Postal Union (UPU), together with Eurogiro, has also developed a new product: the TeleMoneyOrder, a two-day money transfer that can be easily traced. UPU also has introduced another electronic product, called the International Financial Network. These products have yet to make a significant dent in the market. According to one observer, that is because postal networks have not developed a truly strategic approach to money transfers—one that takes advantage of their competitive strengths.¹⁹

Direct Approach

The market is much larger for domestic transfers, and competition is typically less intense.

MFIs may offer money transfers directly, without a business alliance. Since MFIs may face fewer regulatory and licensing hurdles for domestic transfers, a direct approach is probably more feasible. In addition, the market is much larger for domestic transfers, and competition is typically less intense.²⁰

¹⁹ Interviews with Isabelle Segni, World Bank, January 2006, and Hans Boon, ING, January 2006. See also World Savings Bank Institute 2004 and Boon 2005

²⁰ Competition for domestic transfers may be less intense from formal licensed firms, but informal money transfers may be thriving, and the MFI should analyze all potential competitors. In East and Southern Africa, for example, courier services, taxis, and bus companies successfully offer money transfer services. The bus companies and taxis travel routes that have booking offices at specific stops where money is received or paid out to clients.

Box 3: Direct payment system in the Philippines and El Salvador

Banks have direct access to payment systems and can make transfers directly within their international branch offices and through international networks such as SWIFT. The first universal bank in the Philippines to offer international remittances, Philippine National Bank, began offering transfers in the 1970s through its network of foreign branch offices. Several other major banks followed suit in the 1980s, including the Bank of the Philippine Islands and the Allied Banking Corporation. More recently, four Salvadoran banks—Bancomercio, Banagricola, Banco Salvadoreño, and Banco Cuscatlan—introduced direct payment systems by opening offices in U.S. cities with large Salvadoran immigrant populations, such as Los Angeles, Houston, and Washington, D.C. These banks operate in the United States as MTCs, not as fully licensed banks. Such models are relatively sophisticated, offering rapid and reliable services designed to compete with established MTCs.

MFIs may set up direct money transfer operations using a number of different options.

- **Transfer funds between branch offices:** The MFI moves funds between its own locations. In Pakistan, First Micro Finance Bank Limited Pakistan, a former NGO that has obtained a banking license, offers an electronic inter-branch transfer service to its clients throughout Pakistan.²¹
- **Transfer funds using the MFI's bank:** The MFI routes funds through its bank account, but it does not formally set up an alliance with its bank. In Mexico the Asociacion Mexicana de Uniones de Credito del Sector Social (AMUCSS) is a network of savings-led MFIs, known locally as *microbanco*s, that offers transfers using basic technology. One microbanco, Xuu Ñuu Ndavi (XNN), opened dual bank accounts in California and Mexico and offered transfer services to clients who deposited funds directly into the MFI's account. The MFI transferred funds between its two bank accounts and delivered the payment to the recipient client.²²

²¹ USAID and DFID 2005, *Migrant Remittances*.

²² Fertziger, "Making the Link: Channeling Mexico's Remittance Receivers to Financial Services."

Box 4: National Microfinance Bank of Tanzania:

The dominant player in the domestic transfer market

The National Microfinance Bank of Tanzania, a recently privatized commercial bank, is the country's main provider of domestic money transfers. The bank is large, with more than 130,000 clients, a tremendous savings base (over \$370 million), and a network with 108 points of service as of September 2003. The bank offers transfers by Internet, phone, fax, and mail, with varying speeds of delivery. To transfer funds, the sender or the recipient must have an account with the bank. Instead of remittances, a major portion of the bank's domestic business involves managing payments for the government (salaries and pensions), as well as for large agribusinesses and mining companies. The bank has earned a reputation for timely, safe delivery of funds—a key element of its success.²³

If done on a small scale, the direct approach may be relatively quick and inexpensive to launch as a pilot.

There are several **advantages** to offering a direct transfer service.

- The MFI **captures the entire fee**, as opposed to sharing it with one or more partners.
- The MFI may be well suited for certain **niche markets**—especially rural markets—where there may be less competition.
- If an MFI already provides financial services in rural areas, it may have **well-established relationships** and client trust that would be a useful base upon which to build money transfers services. In the case of AMUCSS, for example, some of its clients from Santa Cruz de Mixtepec (in the Mexican state of Oaxaca) migrated for work to Santa Maria, California, and AMUCSS maintained its strong client links.
- If done on a small scale, the direct approach may be relatively **quick and inexpensive** to launch as a pilot.

There are also **disadvantages** of taking a direct approach.

- Domestic and international money transfers are fundamentally businesses of scale, and there is generally a correlation between more points

²³ Sources: Sander, Mukwana, and Millinga 2001; see also the bank's Web site (www.nmbtz.com), Development Alternatives Inc. (www.dai.com), and Tanzania Presidential Parastatal Sector Reform Commission (www.psrctz.com).

of service for clients and greater volume of money transfers. Absent a large infrastructure of well-placed points of service, these systems typically generate **low transaction volumes**. (This is not always the case with domestic transfers, as the National Microfinance Bank of Tanzania demonstrates).

- While the costs of launching a direct approach may be low, it typically has relatively **high maintenance costs** (on a per transaction basis). If a service does not generate high volumes of transfers, costs associated with development and expansion may become prohibitive.
- By going it alone, the MFI is fully responsible for its **marketing campaign**. Marketing is especially complex for international remittances, where successful operations usually involve a large marketing effort in the sending country.
- The MFI has the sole responsibility of informing itself of, and complying with, relevant **regulations**, including anti-money laundering laws.

Despite these risks, taking the direct approach can be simply a first step to entering the money transfers business. Several MFIs that developed their own transfer systems, like Fonkoze, have since expanded their product lines through partnerships with MTCs (see Box 5).

A direct approach typically has relatively high maintenance costs.

Box 5: Fonkoze expands remittance options

Remittances contribute significantly to the Haitian economy with more than more than US\$1 billion received in 2003, equal to about one-quarter of GDP. Fonkoze, the country's largest MFI, recognized that its clients would benefit from a less expensive option for sending remittances. With more than 40,000 active borrowers and the most extensive network in rural Haiti with 30 branches, Fonkoze had important advantages over other MFIs and banks.

Fonkoze began offering remittances through a system it developed with the City National Bank of New Jersey. Customers could transfer funds directly to a Fonkoze account, use a money order, or mail a check. Fonkoze's remittance service cost \$10 a transfer for transfers under \$1,000, with a sliding scale up to \$50 for transfers over \$5,000—much lower than the global average of 10 percent to 15 percent fee for other remittance providers. The service also

provided clients with more convenient payout options, better exchange rates, and more financial services than traditional MTCs. But the product, while very competitive in terms of costs, was relatively slow and required customers to have a fairly high level of financial literacy.

Because Fonkoze knew that its product would not reach massive volume and wanted to provide its clients with more than one product, it began seeking new ways to offer remittances. At the same time, many MTCs in Haiti were looking for ways to reach rural clients. After extensive due diligence, in December 2005 Fonkoze teamed up with Rapid Transfer, a firm that shared a similar philosophy about client services. Rapid Transfer is a licensed money transfer agent in Haiti that works on behalf of large MTCs, including UNO Money Transfer and MoneyGram. Rapid Transfer now manages all remittance services for Fonkoze, including Fonkoze's oldest remittance service. Based on this successful partnership, other MTCs have approached Fonkoze with partnership proposals.²⁴

Partnerships

Working with an established partner is the fastest way to gain market presence.

Many MFIs choose to offer money transfers through partnerships with MTCs or commercial banks.²⁵ Working with an established partner is the fastest way to gain a presence in the market. Well-established MTCs offer reliable products with the potential to generate a large volume of transactions. And working as an agent usually requires less management attention and fewer internal systems than creating an independent in-house money transfer service. Furthermore, MTC relationships may even become a necessity for maintaining clients as the MFI's competitors begin offering convenient transfer services.²⁶ A growing number of MFIs have established alliances to become an agent or sub-agent with MTCs such as Western Union or MoneyGram, or have linked with payment providers such as Eurogiro.

²⁴ Author interview with Fonkoze Director Anne Hastings, 1 March 2006; Fonkoze press release, December 2005; and USAID and DFID 2005, Migrant Remittances.

²⁵ Strictly speaking, the partnerships referred to here are contractual supplier or commercial agency relationships.

²⁶ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

Part of the attraction of a partnership is simplicity. Many of companies offer **turnkey packages** to their agents, providing a pre-set package of well-tested products, a technology platform, limited training, and marketing materials for the MFI to begin operations. Agents benefit from an established agent network and existing marketing campaigns in other countries, both of which help to generate a larger volume of transfers. Some MTCs provide training, technical assistance, or call center support to guide the MFI, especially when initially launching operations.

In reality, however, few packages are truly turnkey, and the MFI usually needs to adapt to its partner's systems and operations. Often the MFI must decide the best approach for distributing a payment through its network, integrating payment data from the MTC into its existing accounting systems, providing settlement among its branches, and marketing the product locally.

Some MTCs provide training, technical assistance, or call center support to the MFI.

From an MFI's perspective, there are three general types of partnerships: single partnerships, multiple partnerships, and affiliations with a consortium or clearinghouse. None of these is mutually exclusive, and variations exist within each type.

Single Partnerships

Most MFIs begin with a single partner, such as a bank for domestic payments or an MTC for international payments.

A single partnership has several **advantages**.

- **Start slow:** Starting with one alliance makes sense from many angles, because each relationship may take time to research, negotiate, and implement.
- **Test the relationship:** A single partner may provide enough transfer business for the MFI to test the service before investing further. For example, large MTCs typically offer a significant, if not dominant, presence in the market—providing their agents with significant transaction volume and revenue.

A single partnership also has **disadvantages**.

- **Exclusivity:** Many prominent MTCs require exclusivity arrangements with their agents, so MFIs are legally prevented from seeking other partners. Exclusivity agreements can constrain an MFI's ability to expand

market share.²⁷ Many MFIs try to negotiate away the exclusivity requirement (though this may not be possible), while others look for partners that do not require exclusive arrangements.

- **Competition between agents:** As one of potentially many agents in its market, the MFI is competing with other agents based on the terms defined by its agreement with the MTC.
- **Relies on partner's strength:** The MFI is limited by the MTC's strength in certain markets, which may not be a good fit for the MFI's branch network. For example, an MFI whose clients receive remittances from Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates may work with an MTC that has offices in only one of those countries.

Multiple Partnerships

As they deepen their money transfer operations, some MFIs decide to pursue additional partners.

Multiple partners offer the potential for greater volume of transactions and clients, and thus profits. While most MFIs start with a single partner, as they deepen their money transfers operations, some decide to pursue additional partners. Some MFIs work with large global MTCs, medium-size regionally specific companies, small niche firms, commercial banks, and/or others.

Using multiple partners has several **advantages**.

- The MFI can gain **strong positions** in countries or regions that best fit its clients' needs.
- The MFI can **diversify its operations and commission income** among several MTCs in case one partner does not perform as expected, cancels the partnership, and/or de-emphasizes them by creating intense price competition among their agents.
- The MFI has **better access** to the market in sending countries, rather than being limited by the market share of one partner.
- If the MFI has a strong negotiating position (large branch network, for example), it may benefit from an **increased share of fees and commissions**. For example, BancoSol in Bolivia initially offered remittances as a subagent of Western Union. It ended this service in 2003 to work directly with small and medium-sized MTCs and with savings banks in Spain,

²⁷Orozco and Hamilton. *Development and Remittances to Guatemala*. On the other hand, MTCs that require exclusivity suggest that the MFI may receive advantages from the exclusive arrangement such as greater access to training, advertising support, and other agreement terms.

such as La Caixa and La CECA, which have a strong presence in key markets with Bolivian migrants.

Multiple partnerships also have **disadvantages**.

- Multiple partnerships require **more management attention** from the MFI.
- Multiple systems, multiple transfer products, and multiple procedures **require good training** for the MFI staff to avoid confusing the transfer services and to provide good client service.
- The MFI may need to **develop its own system or develop an IT platform** to manage the flow of payments and to adapt to multiple partner systems.

Negotiating with multiple partners is typically most effective when the MFI already has experience in money transfers and has a strong negotiating position, such as a large branch network.

Negotiating with multiple partners is typically most effective when the MFI already has experience in money transfers.

Potential Partners

Money Transfer Companies

Privately owned **proprietary networks** are used by nonbank MTCs to provide low-value money transfers domestically and internationally for people who lack access to or do not wish to use bank transfers. Transfers are carried out through the companies' proprietary electronic funds transfer networks, though some small operators rely on telephone, email, or fax. Each major MTC operates in a similar manner, with a central database linking all agents. After the sender pays the transfer amount and fee, the funds are immediately transferred. The sender then informs the recipient, usually by phone or text message.

Box 6: IRnet for credit unions

Many credit unions that offer remittances do so through an electronic platform developed by the World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU) called the International Remittances Network (IRnet).²⁸ IRnet provides credit unions access to the means by which they can offer remittance services. On the sending side, WOCCU has contracted with two MTOs to serve as the


²⁸ World Council of Credit Unions, WOCCU, http://www.woccu.org/prod_serv/irnet/faq.php.

transmitter of account-to-account, account-to-cash, or cash-to-cash remittances for IRnet participant credit unions. A credit union that joins IRnet can choose which money transmission services it would like to offer to its members. A choice is provided to each credit union in an effort to offer remittance senders and receivers more options for transferring and receiving funds. Remittance senders using an IRnet participating credit union can send money from the United States to countries in Latin America, Asia, Africa, Europe, and Australia. IRnet also connects over 900 credit union points of service in Ecuador, Bolivia, Guatemala, Mexico, Honduras, Nicaragua, El Salvador, Jamaica, and Kenya with three vendors. Since its establishment in 1999 over US\$1.3 billion has been transferred through the network.

Western Union represents roughly 25 percent of market share.

Western Union, the largest MTC in the market, reported that it processed approximately 81 million transfers in 2003,²⁹ which Bezard estimates to represent roughly 25 percent of the total market.³⁰

Figure 4: Estimated market share of international person-to-person transfer providers, 2003³¹



²⁹ First Data Corporation. *SEC Form 10-K*.

³⁰ Bezard, *Global Money Transfers*.

³¹ Isern, Jennifer, Rani Deshpande, and Judith van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers." p. 3.

Market shares of the other major international transfer providers, shown in Figure 4, are estimated, using the average figure of US\$300 per international transfer cited by MoneyGram.³² These percentages are, however, indicative at best, since average transfer amounts vary widely by region. MTCs provide their services through their branch offices and through extensive networks of partner banks, postal agencies, microfinance institutions, travel agents, check cashers, change bureaus, grocery stores, convenience stores, etc. The companies may offer several options for sending and receiving transfers:

- **Cash-to-cash:** Where the sender pays in cash at the originating outlet and the receiver is paid in cash at the receiving outlet. This is the most common form of money transmission.
- **Cash-to-account:** Where the company has partnerships with banks, postal agencies, microfinance institutions, and other types of agencies that allow the sender to pay in cash and have the funds deposited in the recipient's bank account.
- **Internet combinations:** Some companies have Web sites where customers can initiate a remittance, pay for the transaction with a credit card, and have the payout be received in cash at a receiving outlet.

SMALL MONEY TRANSFER COMPANIES

Some MFIs choose to work with **small MTCs** focused on key countries or regions. For example, Microfinance International (MFIC) is a small company that specializes in offering money transfers through a network of MFI partners. MFIC began in 2004 as an MTC working exclusively with migrants from El Salvador through a pilot program Maryland, Virginia, Delaware, and Washington, D.C., in the United States.

Small companies may be eager to sign new partners to expand their network rapidly, and they may offer lower prices to clients and better terms for their agents. It may be easier to negotiate with small MTCs that do not have the massive resources or dominant positions of their larger competitors. As a result, MFIs might consider working with small transfer companies as a market entry strategy, to build volume and gain experience.

Working with small MTCs requires carefully weighing costs and benefits. The main tradeoff is between price and volume. While a smaller company may provide a higher commission to their agents, it may not generate sufficient volume of money transfers to cover the MFI's investment. Further, a smaller com-

It may be easier to negotiate with small MTCs.

³² MoneyGram Corporation. *SEC Form 10*.

pany may not be as financially stable and expose the MFI to greater credit and settlement risk. Location is also critical: An MFI must be certain that a an MTC has locations in the sending country or region with high concentrations of migrants from regions where the MFI has a strong presence. More guidance is provided in Chapter 7 on negotiating alliances.

Bank Partners

MFIs that partner with banks benefit from access to the payment system without having to bear the full burden of licensing, investment, fees, and other requirements of joining the payment network or card system.

MFIs that partner with banks or other financial institutions may pursue several options. The MFI could be a **subagent** to the bank, who is the primary agent with an MTC.

The MFI could gain **access to payment networks (or card systems)**, such as SWIFT or Eurogiro, and card systems, such as Visa and Mastercard. Access is usually limited to licensed financial institutions and may have membership requirements beyond the means and capacity of most MFIs. The MFI benefits from access to the payment system without having to bear the full burden of licensing, investment, fees, and other requirements of joining the payment network or card system. As one example, the postal network Posta Moldova has established such a partnership with ING and Deutsche Bank to launch money transfers and develop other financial products.

Correspondent banking involves one bank providing services to another to move funds, exchange currencies, or conduct other financial transactions (see Box 7). Only MFIs with banking licenses can establish correspondent relationships. At present, correspondent banking relationships are typically more important for payment services other than international remittances. As the money transfer industry becomes increasingly competitive, however, banks and other financial institutions are using correspondent relationships to develop more competitive products.

Box 7: Cambodia's ACLEDA Bank and its correspondent banking activities³³

Over the past five years Cambodia's ACLEDA Bank has steadily expanded its payment services. In early 2001 the bank, operating under a special banking license designed for transformed NGOs, began offering domestic money transfer services. Later that year it began offering international fund transfers as an

³³ Sai, "Channeling Funds to Rural Areas Through Microfinance"; USAID and DFID 2005, Migrant Remittances.

agent of Western Union. Two years later ACLEDA started offering domestic payment services through local correspondent banks. The final stage of its expansion occurred in 2004, when ACLEDA began offering international funds transfer through SWIFT, after receiving a full banking license in December 2003.

ACLEDA took a different approach from many MFIs. Rather than focusing on remittances, it pursued a broader strategy that provides cash management services as one of four core business areas. Cash management services include payroll services, collections, and money transfers. Clients for these services are different from those for its retail services to the general public, and they include local businesses and banks, multinational firms, government, and development agencies. One reason ACLEDA has been able to pursue this strategy is its large network, with 136 offices in 19 of Cambodia's 21 provinces.

The number and volume of transactions for all types of transfers have increased consistently since 2001. With US\$140 million in volume, domestic transfers are far more important for ACLEDA than international transfers of \$38 million in 2004. And although the bank's agreement with SWIFT was signed more recently than its contract with Western Union, the volume of SWIFT transfers in 2004 was more than four times (\$31 million vs. \$7 million) that of Western Union.

Consortium Approach

Smaller institutions often do not have the leverage to negotiate favorable terms with larger partners. To alleviate this discrepancy, smaller financial institutions sometimes band together to form a **consortium** that becomes the primary agent of an MTC. Institutions with limited geographic coverage or information technology systems find this approach especially beneficial, although consortium members typically need a minimum level of systems integration and/or a common IT platform to work together effectively.

The consortium approach has been used by a number of financial institution federations, including the Jamaica Cooperative Credit Union League (JCCUL), which has partnered with a local MTC to bundle four foreign MTCs into a money transfer service under its own proprietary brand. Other examples of bundling for both domestic and international transfers include the Apex Bank, a network of more than 100 rural banks in Ghana, the Rural Bankers Association in the Philippines, and la Red de la Gente in Mexico (see Box 8).

Smaller financial institutions sometimes band together to form a consortium.

Box 8: Bansefi: A network for MFIs³⁴

Bansefi, the Mexican government's national savings bank, was created in 2001 to provide financial services to the country's vast unbanked population. In January 2003 Bansefi formed La Red de la Gente (the People's Network), a network that includes Bansefi and MFIs that provide remittances from the United States and other financial services.

MFIs that wish to join La Red pay \$1,000 to Bansefi for a membership. In return, La Red provides its members with several advantages. Members benefit from existing agreements with multiple MTCs and government institutions. MFIs are able to provide their clients access to remittances from a group of nine domestic and international MTCs.

The consortium usually takes a percentage of the transfer revenue.

Networks or consortium approaches do have their **disadvantages**.

- The MFI is **dependent on the consortium's ability to negotiate** terms and conditions with MTCs. Negotiations may be difficult, because the member institutions must first agree amongst themselves.
- Some members of the consortium may receive more transfer payment volume than others. **Uneven benefits** to members may cause tension within the consortium, and terms and conditions may need to be renegotiated.
- Additionally, the **consortium usually takes a percentage** of the transfer revenue.

³⁴ Fertziger 2004; see also www.bansefi.gob.mx and www.lareddelagente.com.mx.


Chapter Two: How Money Transfers Work

The Value Chain

This chapter provides a summary of the money transfer process. Each step in a money transfer transaction comes together in a value chain, as shown in Figure 5. By ensuring that each step of the value chain is efficient and effective, the MFI can generate superior value for its client and overall success with its money transfer product. While the MFI may not have full control over each step in the value chain—especially if it develops a business alliance with an MTC—it is nevertheless important for the MFI to understand the full process involved in money transfers.

By ensuring each step of the value chain is efficient and effective, the MFI can generate superior value for the client and overall success with its money transfer product.

Figure 5: Value chain for the money transfer business



Marketing and Selling Transfers Products

Marketing is especially challenging for money transfers since both the sending and receiving client need to be considered.³⁵ One of the most promising strategies available to such institutions is marketing targeted at specific client

³⁵ For more background on marketing, the Microsave initiative is an excellent resource (<http://www.microsave.org>). Additional guidance on marketing can be found on the Microfinance Gateway (<http://www.microfinancegateway.org>).

Marketing is instrumental for introducing the new service to clients.

segments (e.g., ethnic communities for remittances, salaried workers for regular monthly payments, parents with children for school fees, etc.).

In markets where there are many transfer options available, marketing information can be confusing for clients, and the information provided is often superficial. Successful institutions identify their product advantage (speed, convenience, price, etc.) and highlight these features in their marketing. In environments with few transfer services, marketing is instrumental for introducing the new service to clients. In all cases, continuous targeted marketing is the key to attracting clients.

Many recipient institutions overlook the crucial role that send-side marketing plays in generating transfers. One of the chief ways that leading MTCs maintain their dominant market share is through well-funded media campaigns. MFIs that partner with such companies will benefit from their marketing efforts. MFIs that choose other options, however, must compensate for the lack of an established marketing machine.³⁶

Box 9: Send-side marketing by FONKOZE in Haiti

Send-side marketing is crucial to the success of money transfer services in recipient countries, but it can be easily overlooked. The Haitian MFI FONKOZE learned this lesson when it launched its own, low-cost money transfer service in cooperation with a commercial bank in the United States. Although it negotiated attractive terms with the bank and generated a break-even transaction volume, the new transfer product did not produce sufficient profits to invest in improving the service.

Consequently, FONKOZE formulated a send-side marketing campaign targeted at the Haitian community living in the United States. At first, FONKOZE planned to produce public service announcements, purchase targeted radio and print advertisements, and conduct radio interviews in U.S. cities with large Haitian populations. However, the MFI quickly realized that this type of expensive marketing was better at producing market awareness than at changing client behavior. Since FONKOZE's original money transfer service worked quite differently

³⁶ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-Poor Financial Service Providers."

than a typical MTC (a customer mails a check to the U.S. bank partner of FONKOZE, which then sends the funds to the Haitian MFI), it needed a marketing campaign that could convince potential clients to do things differently, rather than simply change service providers. The MFI also needed to overcome the image of unreliability that small institutions offering low-cost services often suffer from among many Haitians abroad. The result was an innovative campaign of “family days” at FONKOZE branches in Haiti, during which the institution rented out cyber-café’s and gave customers a free five-minute phone call to the United States. FONKOZE also gave nonclients free phone calls, provided they took the money they would have spent on a call and opened an account with the microfinance institution. Using this technique, the first event generated 100 new accounts in a single day. The MFI controlled costs by not paying for individual calls, but by purchasing them in bulk at a deep discount by paying the cyber-café’s daily rate. During the calls, grateful clients almost invariably mentioned FONKOZE to their relatives, producing a referral from a trusted source—the best kind of publicity the institution could generate.

The calls also produced a targeted list of clients who already send money to FONKOZE clients on a regular basis, representing an ideal market for its money transfer service. The MFI concluded that this focused strategy yielded better customer conversion rates than the expensive, untargeted media placements used in the past.³⁷

To support growth, an MFI must build a broad, active customer base—and to do that, it must offer customers a valued product. At the retail level, money transfers are usually a lower value transaction, especially for the typical customer base of an MFI. As a result, the institution must attract a high volume of money transfers to break even and eventually generate profits. For example, Anelik RU Co. Ltd. in Russia experienced rapid growth in its money transfer business from 400,000 transactions and \$155 million in payment volume in 2001 to over 1.8 million transactions and \$830 million in payment volume in 2005.³⁸

The institution must attract a high volume of money transfers to break even and eventually generate profits.

³⁷ *Ibid.*

³⁸ Presentation by Voronina Galina, Anelik RU Co. Ltd. Russia, given at the MFC/EMN conference in Budapest on 8 June 2006.

If an MFI is allied with a money transfers firm, especially as a payout agent, it may not have much control over the volume of money transfers. However, if the MFI is able to attract clients who send transfers, either domestically or internationally, the MFI must have a good process in place to originate and initiate transfers.

Originating and Funding

Selecting the Transfer Mechanism

The originating institution decides on the best transfer mechanism, depending on the client's preferences and the institution's available options and its contract with the client. Typically the following criteria affect the choice of transfer mechanism:

- Is it a cash-to-cash transfer, account-to-account transfer, or a mix of cash and account?
- Is it one time or recurring (monthly, quarterly)?
- Is it urgent (requiring same-day availability of funds to the recipient)?
- Is it high value or low value?
- Is it local or long distance?
- Is it domestic or international?
- How accessible is the transfer channel to the sender and recipient?
- How much will it cost the two parties?
- What risks are involved?

Funding the Money Transfer

Money transfer transactions must be funded before their execution. Clients typically use cash, check, card, or transfer of funds from an account in their name. In addition to the value of the transfer, the client must pay for the cost of making the transfer. Typically, the sending client pays the full cost of the transfer, although there may be other fees (formal or not) that the receiving client pays. Often, there is little transparency in pricing across money transfer channels, making it difficult for the sender to compare options before making a decision. For example, some services convert the transaction in U.S. dollars, regardless of where they operate, and thereby generate foreign exchange revenue for receiving as well as paying out—causing a double foreign exchange cost for clients. The currency may be determined by a national

There is little transparency in pricing across money transfer channels, and MFIs should aim for greater transparency with their clients.

currency exchange control. If so, the MFI should be aware of relevant regulations and currency restrictions.

Cost factors for clients include direct costs and indirect fees. **Direct costs** encompass

- minimum fees,
- sender or recipient fees,
- authorization fees,
- commissions, and
- currency exchange fees.

Indirect costs include

- getting to and from the point of service,
- a phone call to tell the recipient of the transaction,
- low or unfavorable foreign exchange rates often used by banks and MTCs, and
- maintaining a minimum balance or account.

Originating the Transfer

Once the channel has been chosen and the transfer is funded, the next step is the origination of the payment or transfer order. Currently, origination may be done in the office of the sending institution; this is the most common method for retail money transfers. The transaction is originated with a form, either manual or digital, completed by the client, usually with the assistance of a sales agent. The agent then initiates the transfer using the institution's established procedures, which vary by institution size and complexity. If an MFI is working with another institution, such as a bank or MTC, the MFI may have little flexibility in procedures to originate the transfer.

The transaction is originated with the sending client.

While this is currently the most common method for origination, technology is changing rapidly, and as new forms of money transfers emerge, origination will also likely change.

Sending, Clearing, and Settlement of Transfer Orders

The way that the transfer moves between the sender and recipient is determined by the payment instrument and the transfer mechanism.


Clearing is a critical part of the money transfer value chain. In countries with centralized banking systems dominated by a few institutions, many transfer senders

and recipients hold accounts with the same institution, making inter-bank operations unnecessary. But it is far more common for senders and recipients to deal with different institutions, which requires inter-bank clearing and settlement.

Clearing is the process of transmitting, reconciling, and in some cases, confirming transfer transactions before their final settlement.

Clearing is the process of transmitting, reconciling, and in some cases, confirming transfer transactions prior to their final settlement (see Figure 6). Two main events occur during clearing: The sending and receiving financial institutions exchange information about the payment, and the amount of funds to be settled by those institutions is calculated. The outcome is a fully processed payment transaction between sender and recipient as well as a valid financial claim by the receiving institution to receive funds from the sending institution.

Figure 6: Clearing and settlement of money transfers



Settlement is the process of discharging the claims of the institutions involved in a transfer. This involves a payment from the sending institution to the receiving institution. The steps include collecting and checking the integrity of the claims to be settled, ensuring the availability of funds for settlement, settling the claims between the two institutions, recording the settlement, and communicating the final settlement.

Many of the activities in the clearing process are not transparent to users, because they happen behind the scenes. Nevertheless, the importance of understanding the clearing and settlement process cannot be overstated—regardless of an institution’s direct involvement in the process. Problems arising at the transaction originators, receivers, or within the clearing process can result in significant operating losses. A routine ACH transaction in the United States costs less than \$0.01 to process, but isolating and correcting even a minor error in a transaction can cost more than \$30.00.³⁹ A small

³⁹ Bill Donges, based on various sources. Pheonix Hecht, the US bank price research firm, reports the average price of an ACH origination is US\$.12 and includes originator and originating institution transaction costs, plus the originators margin. Also, various Federal Reserve Bank studies have shown that ACH processing costs are between \$.01 and \$.02. Costs in other countries will vary considerably depending on context.

error during origination of many small transactions, for example, can create a larger discrepancy and place all stakeholders at risk. Thus it is vital that the MFI understands the clearing process even if it is not a direct participant.

Four types of institutional arrangements are used to clear payment instructions:

- **On-us clearing arrangements:** where the accounts to be debited or credited are held by the same financial institution. Accordingly, the exchange of information and calculation of balances in the clearing process are performed by the same institution.
- **Bilateral clearing arrangements:** where two financial institutions maintain agreements about the exchange of information and transfer of funds between accounts.
- **Third-party clearing arrangements:** when financial institutions employ a common third party (a separate financial institution known as a **correspondent**) for clearing, with one or more institutions forwarding payment instructions to the correspondent for sorting and processing.
- **Multilateral clearing arrangements:** when financial institutions exchange information and, in some cases, funds with other financial institutions through organizations, such as clearinghouses, that operate central clearing facilities and may also act as a central counterparty in the settlement of payment obligations under a multilateral netting arrangement.

Multilateral arrangements offer more efficient coordination of the exchange of payment instructions among multiple institutions as well as greater economies of scale in operating communication networks and providing processing services. The number of clearing transactions and financial institutions involved are the main factors determining the effectiveness of the various types of clearing arrangements.

Getting money into the hands of recipients is one of the most important phases in money transfers.

Receiving a Money Transfer Order

Getting money into the hands of recipients is one of the most important phases in money transfers. While senders may have a variety of choices among money transfer systems, many domestic and international transfers flow into less developed areas with limited options for receiving funds.

For international remittances, an MFI's main role, at least initially, will most likely be on the receiving end. For domestic transfers, the MFI may be involved on both the sending and receiving side.

An institution should be able to inform customers when the transfer arrives, as a standard or additional service.

The receiving channel for a money transfer depends on the type of transfer instrument and clearing system involved. These channels can be broadly categorized as physical or electronic. **Physical channels** used to transport payment instructions include personal transportation and mail. Money transfer instruments that can be transmitted by physical channels include currency, checks, money orders, traveler's checks, debit cards, credit cards, and prepaid cards. **Electronic channels** involve the receipt of electronic files of transfer transactions from clearing facilities, by phone, and by Internet. The receiving institution may be a commercial bank, an MFI, a savings bank, postal bank, or other financial service provider. In most cases, these files contain only the funds transfer instructions; the actual money flows through separate settlement channels. The timing of these two flows may differ by several days to a week or more. During this interval, the receiving institution has a settlement risk, as it may pay out a transfer order before receiving credit for the funds. Given this risk, the institution must carefully reconcile incoming transactions and the settlement of those transactions.

Ideally, an institution that executes money transfers should be able to inform customers when the transfer arrives, whether as a standard or additional service. Indeed, it is a good practice to contact transfer recipients, because the cost of such a message is usually less than the cost of interruptions caused when recipients contact the MFI several times to find out if a transfer was received. Such messages can be delivered by phone or by using automated calling systems, email, and text messages. When working as a payer for some MTCs, however, the institution may not receive information on the recipient client. In these cases, the institution may not know the customer until he/she walks in the door with the code to receive a money transfer.

Paying a Money Transfer Order

Disbursement of funds against an authenticated money transfer can occur using local currency, foreign currency (if regulations permit), check, or credit to an account at the MFI. The funds can be disbursed at a branch of the receiving institution, by special delivery to the client's home, at a retail agent, though an ATM, or by credit to an account. The options available for paying out a money transfer depend on the MFI's branch network, systems, and business alliances. Currently, most transfers are paid through cash, foreign currency, or deposit to the account of the receiving client. An MFI must give careful attention to paying

money transfer orders. The costs of establishing and maintaining the paying capability are significant and include factors such as office locations, cash management, hours of operation, staffing, ATMs, accounting, and internal controls.⁴⁰

Customer Service

Customer service is the final stage in the value chain of money transfer activities. Activities include answering client questions, providing information on transfer services and other available financial services, authorizing exceptional events, maintaining accounts, and resolving problems. Effective customer service—for both senders and recipients—is crucial to the success of a money transfer program because it generates customer confidence, trust, loyalty, and repeat business.⁴¹

Effective customer service generates customer confidence, trust, loyalty, and repeat business.

For example, Fonkoze in Haiti discovered just how difficult customer service can be. Fonkoze’s management worked hard to ensure that staff would confirm payouts and problems on a regular basis to its partner money transfer company.⁴² Keeping their partner firm and clients satisfied with their service was a constant and ongoing challenge.

Consumer satisfaction levels reflect positive attitudes toward the industry on the part of remittance senders. A survey of 2,800 remitters from Latin America and the Caribbean showed that over 80 percent of consumers feel satisfied or very satisfied with their transfer company.⁴³

MFIs already have a relationship with their clients, and this is a strong asset in launching new products. When offering money transfers, the MFI will need to adjust its client approach. Money transfer services are a different kind of relationship: for money transfers—as with savings—the MFI must win the trust of a client, whereas for lending, the client must win the trust of the MFI.

Perceptions of service quality are affected by many factors, including the following:

- attitude of staff when delivering services
- hours of operation
- convenience of location of offices and their appearance

⁴⁰ See Establishing Delivery Channels for additional considerations.

⁴¹ For more background on customer service, the Microsave initiative is an excellent resource (<http://www.microsave.org>). Additional guidance on customer service can be found on the Microfinance Gateway (<http://www.microfinancegateway.org>).

⁴² Hastings, “Entry of MFIs Into The Remittance Market: Opportunities and Challenges?”

⁴³ Orozco, “International Flows of Remittances: Cost, competition and financial access in Latin America and the Caribbean—toward an industry scorecard.”

Customer service is effective when people are dealt with promptly, honestly, and knowledgeably.

- average time required to deliver a transfer, which includes
 - receiving information from the sending agent about the money transfer, and
 - waiting time for a client to pick up a payment (or have a payment delivered to his/her location, if that service is available).
- form and manner of communication with customers
- how problems are addressed and the speed of resolution
- whether the MFI consistently has sufficient liquidity to make payments on demand.

Customer service is effective when people are dealt with promptly, honestly, and knowledgeably.

Sending money transfers across a city, country, or the world demands careful attention to ensure that transfers arrive properly and can be quickly paid to the intended beneficiaries. For MFIs without experience in payment systems, the introduction of money transfers can represent a considerable challenge.

Many MFIs have struggled to be profitable with money transfers. One MFI that started on its own using a direct approach offered money transfers for seven years before the service became profitable. Others have been profitable in as little as two to three months when working as an agent for an MTC in a region with a high volume of transfers. While many MFIs report significant and rapid growth in the number and volume of transfers they process, this increase does not necessarily mean greater profits for the MFI. Clearly, careful consideration should be taken before embarking on a money transfer business.


This chapter catalogues many of the challenges and risks that are the initial and ongoing concerns for MFIs in the money transfer business. It provides a survey of the kinds of questions an MFI should ask *before* embarking on a money transfer business. Consideration of these issues is the first—and crucial—step of entering the money transfers business.

Taking Stock

The MFI must determine if offering money transfers is in its best interests by taking stock of the environment (the market for money transfers) and its own internal capacity. Some refer to this process as a **SWOT analysis: strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats**. Taking stock analyzes both internal capacity and external realities to help the MFI systematically decide whether and how to launch money transfers. Such analysis encourages management to think ahead, forces the institution to sharpen its goals and policies, and creates a fit between the institution's objectives, abilities, and market environment.

SWOT analysis encourages management to think ahead, forces the institution to sharpen its goals and policies, and creates a fit between the institution's objectives, abilities, and market environment.

Figure 7: SWOT Matrix



Environment

Analyze both the sending country/region and the receiving country/region.

The MFI should understand the business environment of its market. Such an assessment surveys the broad forces—including financial markets, economic trends, legal and regulatory issues, social and demographic patterns, and technological advances—that will determine the current and future shape of the market for transfer services and the MFI’s role in it.

When analyzing the environment, possible questions could include the following:

- What is the socio-economic profile of the country (or region or countries)?
 - Analyze both the sending country/region and the receiving country/region.
 - What is the level of migration? Are migrants dispersed broadly, or do they tend to concentrate in a few countries (or regions within a country)?
 - Economic factors affect a client’s demand for services, and they include GDP, inflation rates, employment levels, average household income, savings rates, etc.
- What is the structure of the payment system? Who has access to the payments system?
- What laws and regulations are relevant for money transfers—international and/or domestic?

- Does the MFI need to be registered or request a license to make money transfers? If so, what are the qualifications, and does the MFI meet those criteria? What is the cost of registering or applying for a license?
- Will there be additional reporting costs associated with transfers?
- If serving only as a payout agent, what are the responsibilities of the MFI in complying with national regulations and laws (customer contracts, reporting, consumer protection, anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT) measures, etc.)? What are the potential compliance costs?
- Some money transfer operators require their partners to sign exclusive agreements. Is this legal in the MFI's country? Do antitrust rules apply?
- Are there regulations associated with the use of trademarks, logos, or other brand requirements?

Expansion into a new market demands careful analysis of an MFI's existing and potential customers (both recipients and senders), markets, marketing, service delivery channels, and competition. The MFI should ask the following questions:

- Who are the MFI's current clients?
 - What is their socio-economic profile: income, assets, literacy, occupation, age, gender, etc.?
 - What financial services do current clients use? What is the frequency, average loan (and/or savings) balance, etc.?
 - Are they already using money transfer services—internationally or domestically?
 - How many send transfers, and what is the average frequency and amount per month?
 - How many receive transfers, and what is the average frequency and amount per month?
- Do people (nonclients) within the MFI's geographic area already have access to financial services?
 - What is their socio-economic profile: income, assets, literacy, occupation, age, gender, etc.?
 - Do they send or receive money transfers—both international and domestic?

Expansion into a new market demands careful analysis of an MFI's existing and potential customers.

- How many send transfers, and what is the average frequency and amount per month?
- How many receive transfers, and what is the average frequency and amount per month?
- Are these potential clients already customers of another institution? If so, what services do they use? If not, are they using informal financial services?
- What are the trends on domestic and international money transfers?
 - Consider the following types of money transfers: international remittances, national urban to rural remittances, bill payments, salary payments, pension or social payments
 - Over the past 3–5 years, what is the overall value and number of money transfers? What is the average cost per transaction?
- Who are competitors?
 - Which institutions are currently involved in money transfers—international and/or domestic? Consider both formal money transfers and informal money transfers.
 - What are their services?
 - Price, speed, and method of delivery?
 - International or national alliances?
 - Linked services such as savings, loans, or other financial services, etc.?

The MFI should map the geographic patterns of the transfer flows.

The MFI should map the geographic patterns of the transfer flows of interest to them and ask the following questions:

- Where do these flows originate, and where are they delivered?
- Do sending clients work or live in concentrated areas, or do they participate in hometown or community associations?

Concentrated clients make targeted marketing and clustered points of service more feasible. If sending clients are dispersed, marketing will be more challenging, and the number of transactions per branch office may be lower, reducing economies of scale. If receiving clients are dispersed, the MFI must tackle the challenges of infrastructure, client outreach, cash management, and security. These factors may vary between urban and rural areas.⁴⁴

⁴⁴ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. “Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers.”

It is vital to understand the size and characteristics of money transfers from both international and domestic sources. MFI's should ask the following questions.

- How often do clients typically send or receive transfers?
- How large are these transfers?

It is important to note the difference between average and modal transfer amounts, because averages can be skewed upward by a few large transfers, while the most frequent transfer amounts may be much smaller. This information is vital for pricing and revenue projections because fees usually depend on the amount transferred.

Patterns of seasonality in remittances can also influence marketing efforts, financial projections, and the design of complementary financial products. The MFI should ask:

- What is the likelihood that migration patterns might be disrupted or changed by political or natural events?
- How have transfer patterns evolved over time? What are the effects of long-term changes in the volume or frequency of transactions?

In the case of remittances, research indicates that migrants take some time after immigration to establish regular money transfer patterns and that remittances may taper off after immigrants have spent significant time in the host country. The effect of broad labor movements on money transfers may thus not be felt for several years.⁴⁵ Alternatively, there are cases where people migrate specifically to work and send money home, and money transfers may begin much more quickly.

Internal Assessment

The MFI should make an objective self-assessment of its capabilities and constraints for money transfers. Internal analysis includes examining past performance, human resources, financial resources, and technological and organizational capabilities. In addition to thinking about the new money transfers service, the MFI should consider other services and operations that may be strained or affected when introducing any new services.

In addition to thinking about the new money transfers service, the MFI should also consider other services and operations that may be strained or affected.

⁴⁵ Frumkin, "Remittances: A Gateway to Banking for Unbanked Clients."

What human resources are available and knowledgeable about money transfers? How strong are the MFI's systems?

- How is the MFI's overall financial and operational performance? Is the institution stable or shrinking operations? Is it in steady, managed growth? Is it growing rapidly and straining its systems?
 - Are the MFI's accounting practices adequate? Can the institution accurately report financial statements at regular, reliable periods?
 - Will the MFI be perceived as creditworthy by potential partners?
- What human resources are available and knowledgeable about money transfers?
 - Who could lead the money transfers operations? Who should be involved in designing the money transfers services—which departments and geographic areas?
 - What training or additional experience is needed?
- Does the MFI have the capacity to manage increased cash flow from money transfers?
 - Could liquidity be a problem for the institution?
 - If considering international transfers, does the MFI have access to foreign exchange?
 - As an agent, will the MFI be involved in the settlement process?
- How well established are the MFI's systems?
 - Is the accounting, portfolio management, and client information managed with a manual system? Automated? Can the system be easily changed to incorporate money transfers? Software?
 - Are communication and management information systems adequate to manage money transfers—international or domestic?
 - Will serving transfers clients require changing the institution's branches, cashier stations, or other infrastructure (e.g., access to ATMs, Internet, phone, mobile offices, etc.)? If so, what will it cost?
- What is the MFI's capacity to manage transfers effectively (number of transactions, volume of clients to serve, value of payments to distribute)? Can the scale of the service be expanded?
- How will money transfers affect the MFI's revenue?
 - Does the MFI have the ability to project potential revenue from money transfer services?
 - What impact would transfers have on the institution as a whole?
 - Will the MFI need to cross-sell other products to transfer clients to achieve its goals? If so, what is reasonable demand for other services? How would this affect existing operations?

- What are the business risks and key risk mitigation measures associated with money transfers?
 - How do these differ from those for existing services (loans, deposits, other)?
 - Does the MFI have sufficient risk management controls in place to undertake a new business model?
- How strong is the MFI's marketing?
 - Are current services well known in the target market? Is the MFI trusted and credible in the target market?
 - How skilled is the MFI in developing new marketing campaigns?
 - If it will offer international transfers, is the MFI prepared to market to clients in sending countries?
 - What unique aspect does the MFI offer that will allow it to capture volume from existing players and/or serve a niche that is not currently served?

Ultimately, where an MFI positions itself in the transfer market partly depends on its current stage of development and its future goals. Smaller or younger MFIs may enter the market with limited participation in remittance services, and later—if growth is its goal—they offer additional money transfers such as domestic payments, salary transfers, etc. Larger or more well-established MFIs may decide to launch several transfer services.

Risks and Challenges

With any new endeavor, the MFI will experience risks and challenges. These need not deter the MFI from entering the money transfer business, but they should be given careful consideration and, where appropriate, a plan of response. Risk management includes identifying, measuring, monitoring, and mitigating risks. MFIs already manage risks associated with their existing products, but they may not be familiar with the specific risks associated with money transfers.⁴⁶

MFIs already manage risks but they may not be familiar with the specific risks associated with money transfers.

Money Management Challenges

An MFI delivering only credit or a small volume of deposit services may operate its cash functions somewhat informally, obtaining cash as needed to meet

⁴⁶This section is a summary of the main risks specifically related to money transfers. Additional materials on risk management can be found on the Microfinance Gateway (<http://www.microfinancegateway.org>).

loan demands and recycling cash received to meet its liquidity needs. Estimating cash requirements is fairly straightforward in a credit-only MFI, given the greater predictability of customer demand and loan disbursements. But money transfers add a new dimension to management of cash transactions, because cash must be available on a consistent basis, and transfers may be seasonal or correspond to patterns that differ from cash needs for loan and deposit services. For example, a WOCCU member in Central America routinely pays over \$1 million per day in remittances and hits peaks that double and triple this amount on Monday mornings, near holidays, and following bank holiday weekends.

Maintain an inventory of currency sufficient to meet the daily demands of customers.

Handling cash increases operational risk for an MFI. Even though the average transaction value may be small, processes must be formalized and carefully executed. There are many risks for employee error or fraud that can generate serious operating losses for the MFI. In addition, the MFI increases the risk of theft and burglary, especially once people know the institution maintains cash on hand. The Jamaica Co-operative Credit Union League (JCCUL) understood the need for managing funds closely and issued a *Model Risk Analysis Checklist, Internal Control Policies for Remittances*, and *Model Cash Handling Procedures for Remittances* for the eighteen credit unions that receive remittances in Jamaica.⁴⁷

Every institution paying and receiving cash should maintain an inventory of currency sufficient to meet the daily demands of customers at cashier windows, ATMs, or other points of service. Inadequate cash availability can lead to customer dissatisfaction and loss of goodwill. Maintaining a cash inventory sufficient for all possible demands is costly in terms of handling and opportunity costs. To address these issues, the MFI should maintain complete records of its daily cash movements, so that it can analyze its cash flows over a period of time. Using that analysis, it can develop a cash management plan. Cash management software is also available.

Some MFIs may be able to offer payments in foreign currency, although this adds complexity to branch and headquarter operations. Many countries have laws regulating the import and export of the national currency, and the MFI must understand applicable currency laws and regulations. Institutions dealing in foreign currencies should maintain records and an account for each

⁴⁷ Evans and Klaehn, "A Technical Guide To Remittances: The Credit Union Experience."

currency for which there is likely to be reasonable customer demand. To do so, MFIs often maintain foreign currency bank accounts at major domestic banks active in foreign exchange markets, in addition to foreign currency held as part of their inventory of cash. An institution may also have exposure to foreign currency through international loans, deposits in foreign currency, or other reasons. An MFI should set limits for the amount of foreign currency in its accounts, as well as limits for customer transactions, and both types of limits should be reassessed periodically. An MFI can then buy or sell foreign currency as needed. Exchange rates are determined by the foreign exchange market and can be obtained from the central bank or the institution's correspondent bank. These rates, along with fees and rules for foreign currency transactions, should be prominently displayed for customers.

Information Management Challenges

The MFI's information systems must be capable of managing the volume of transfer orders and ensuring transaction security.⁴⁸ The IT system must also be able to link with business partners, such as international MTCs and correspondent banks, and to generate reports required by national authorities. For example, when BancoSol in Bolivia decided to end its role as a subagent to Western Union, it developed business alliances with small and medium-sized remittance companies. BancoSol needed to develop a database to receive and integrate transaction information from its new MTCs.⁴⁹ Many international MTCs have their own software or database that helps their partner firms manage transactions. However, these systems are not foolproof. For example, Financiera El Comercio in Paraguay, which operates as an agent of Western Union, has not yet been able to download transaction information and integrate the information within its own IT system.⁵⁰ Finally, data should be secure with appropriate limits on who can access and change data.

Data should be secure with appropriate limits on who can access and change data.

Client Service Challenges

There are inherent client challenges in money transfers, especially person-to-person retail transfers. The MFI must earn the trust of its clients as a secure

⁴⁸ For more background, see the CGAP Information Systems Resource Center: http://www.microfinancegateway.org/resource_centers/technology

⁴⁹ Hastings, "Entry of MFIs Into The Remittance Market: Opportunities and Challenges?"

⁵⁰ *Ibid.*

and convenient provider of transfer services. For domestic transfers, the MFI may need to manage both sides of the transaction—the sender and receiver. Marketing, customer service, and maintaining client databases are more complex when clients are in different towns, regions, or countries.

For MFIs working with international partners, the focus is typically only on receiving clients. However, the MFI must coordinate any client service problems with its partner MTC and ensure proper resolution of the issue.

The MFI must also manage clients who attempt fraudulent or illegal activities. For example, clients may alter payment information, people may impersonate others to obtain their payments, or clients may try to launder funds. The MFI must comply with measures on anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism, as discussed below in compliance challenges.

Depending on the country context, MFIs may need to provide assistance for clients who are unfamiliar with money transfers, especially when new transfer products or means of payment are introduced.

The MFI should also establish a mechanism for informing recipients when their transfer has arrived. Many MFIs use a Call Center or assign this responsibility to platform officers in branches. Clients are very concerned about their money, and in a competitive market, the successful MFI is the one that actively keeps its customers informed. Likewise, a call to a customer typically prevents numerous calls from the client.

Waiting time while in an MFI's office is also a consideration. If transfers are sent with any pattern or seasonality (e.g., beginning or end of the month, holidays, beginning of school season, etc.), the MFI should consider the additional volume of operations when planning staffing, liquidity needs, and security. In addition, an MFI may find it useful to make a separate line for transfer clients to avoid frustrations, especially if many other clients are making account deposits/withdrawals or loan repayments.

In some countries, delivering the payment to a client's location is essential to remain competitive. However, delivery adds costs and risk for the MFI to manage.

Payment Medium Challenges

The MFI seeking to offer its customers payment cards or wishing to leverage local merchants as delivery points faces several obstacles. Without membership in a bank card association or the possibility of gaining membership,

Clients are very concerned about their money, and in a competitive market, the successful MFI is the one that actively keeps its customers informed.

the only short-term alternative is to seek sponsorship by an existing member. The first step is to build a business case for issuing cards, then to find an association member bank or card processor willing to extend sponsorship. In the medium or long term, the MFI can lobby lawmakers and regulators to eliminate these anti-competitive practices.

MFIs that are allowed to collect deposits could consider debit cards as part of their deposit strategy and their money transfers strategy. However, large national banks may be reluctant to sponsor debit card programs because of the potential competition for their customers. Because the principal member assumes financial responsibility for the sponsored member, the MFI needs a strong balance sheet to be considered.

Credit cards may be a promising option for MFIs pursuing growth and new types of services. However, before issuing any cards, the MFI should have adequate information available to predict a client's ability and willingness to repay the obligations incurred. A credit card is essentially a revolving credit line, and such a product can be more profitable over the life of the MFI's client relationship than a microloan that ends when repaid. Critical issues include establishing risk-return models that ensure losses remain at predicted levels; establishing pricing that covers risks and earns profits; and creating control mechanisms that track and provide timely feedback on the performance of credit card portfolios.

Issuing prepaid cards may be the simplest route for MFIs, if cards are viable in the MFI's market. With prepaid cards, there is no requirement to establish deposit accounts for cardholders, and the cards can be issued through third-party card processors that deal with issues related to card association sponsorship. In addition, in some countries MFIs can band together and seek direct participation in card associations. While there are many types of prepaid cards, the one with the most potential for an MFI is the open, universal prepaid card, which can be used at any credit or debit card-accepting location (including over remote channels⁵¹). Such cards display the acceptance marks of one of the major card schemes, such as Visa or MasterCard, and often one of the major electronic funds transfer networks. The issuing rules of card schemes vary, but in general the authority to issue a prepaid card is limited to members of the card associations (i.e., usually banks that are

Among prepaid cards, the open, universal prepaid card has most potential for an MFI.

⁵¹ Currently, PIN-based prepaid cards cannot be used over remote channels.

members of the Visa or MasterCard associations), and these members can issue cards only in the country where they have association membership.

As with credit cards, third parties must obtain a license or sponsorship from a member bank in the country of issue that will be responsible for regulatory compliance and financial settlement. Since a prepaid card program involves much less counterparty credit risk than does a credit card program, it may be easier for the MFI to secure such sponsorship. Nonetheless, the MFI must still manage the operational risk involved with a prepaid card and money transfer operations.

Compliance Challenges

Compliance includes seeking licenses in each country of operation and reporting to authorities supervising money transfers.

Any institution offering money transfers should ensure compliance with existing laws and regulations. Compliance includes seeking licenses in each country of operation and reporting to authorities supervising money transfers. For institutions involved in multi-currency operations, additional licensing and reporting are usually required.

A growing number of countries are adopting more stringent requirements for anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT). One of the most challenging aspects of standard AML/CFT compliance is identifying clients according to international standards. In developing and middle-income economies, for example, it is difficult for many clients to comply with certain “customer due diligence” identification requirements, such as national identity numbers or third-party verification of physical home address. As a case in point, while these requirements are already part of customer due diligence regulations in South Africa, financial institutions there are experiencing problems adhering to them because at least one-third of South African households do not have formal addresses.⁵²

Given the growing importance of money transfers and the rising profile of international remittances, the Committee for Payments and Settlement Systems (CPSS) of the Bank for International Settlements and the World Bank developed General Principles for International Remittance Services. These principles aim to achieve safe and efficient services in mar-

⁵² Isern, Porteous, Hernandez-Coss, and Egwuaga, “AML/CFT Regulation: What Are the Implications for Financial Service Providers That Serve Poor People?”

kets that are contestable, transparent, accessible, and sound. As countries adopt these general principles, MFIs and other institutions will be required to comply.⁵³

Partnership Challenges

MTC partnerships also entail a number of risks that need to be managed. The larger the MTC and the more dominant it is in that country's market, the more likely that it will attempt to impose exclusive relationships on its agents. An institution should ensure that all contracts with partners are legal and comply with existing laws and regulations. In addition, the contracts should be reviewed by a lawyer to ensure they adequately protect the MFI's interests.

Even large MTCs cannot always generate adequate transaction volumes for institutions in receiving countries, particularly if they have not sufficiently penetrated the relevant immigrant communities in sending countries. For example, one of the first foreign MTCs that JCCUL partnered with was hardly used by Jamaicans in the United States—even though it is widely used by Latin American immigrants. Because it had refused to become an exclusive agent, JCCUL was able to bolster disappointing transfer volumes by adding other MTC partners.⁵⁴ Box 10 describes other risks of MTC relationships and how institutions have dealt with them.

Even large MTCs cannot always generate adequate transaction volumes.

Box 10: Managing risks⁵⁵

One way to manage the risk of launching money transfer services is to phase the introduction of such services. Phasing can be done geographically, for example, by initially rolling out MTC services only in certain branches.⁵⁶ This approach was taken by XAC Bank in Mongolia, which, for the first few months, limited its international MTC service to the head office. As volume builds, branches must be able to process transfer clients quickly and smoothly, a lesson XAC Bank had already learned

⁵³The draft General Principles for International Remittance Services is summarized in Annex 3 based on a consultation document circulated in March 2006 that can be found at <http://www.bis.org/publ/cps73.pdf#search=%22General%20Principles%20for%20International%20Remittance%20Services%22>

⁵⁴ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

⁵⁵ Interviews with Jim Anderson and G. Tuul, XAC Bank, June 7, 2004.

⁵⁶ Some MFIs prefer to launch transfers in all locations to ensure full coverage within their network. In these cases, MFIs have noted that client demand often builds slowly as the product becomes more well known.

from its domestic transfer products. Phasing the introduction of international MTC transfers in its branches allowed the bank to learn how to minimize operational costs before opening up the network to larger volumes of transfers.

Phasing the intensity of the relationship with an MTC is another way to manage risk. XAC Bank chose to become a subagent, that is, its MTC transfers are routed through another commercial bank that acts as the MTC's primary agent in Mongolia. Although this arrangement requires XAC to share over half of the revenue from each transfer with the primary agent, it avoids paying the cash security deposit that full agency status requires. If transfer volumes generate sufficient revenue to justify becoming a full agent, XAC has the option to upgrade its relationship with the MTC.

MFI managers advise focusing on fostering a good reputation for a limited type of money transfers service rather than quickly developing a full suite of services.

Because partnerships are challenging, MFI managers advise focusing on fostering a good reputation for a limited type of money transfers service rather than quickly developing a full suite of services. One way to begin building client trust and a good reputation is to link with established MTCs that can provide a turn-key solution for international transfers. For small- to medium-size MFIs interested in domestic transfers, partnering with a bank that has transfer experience and good national coverage may be a good strategy to enter the money transfers market.

Partner negotiations can also take a long time, especially for MFIs that are inexperienced with money transfers. In addition, a partnership may limit an MFI's ability to offer flexible products and systems, because in many cases they are essentially purchasing them off the shelf from the MTC. As a result, the MFI may have trouble integrating the service with its other operations and so may not be able to take full advantage of cross-selling other financial services. To protect the MFI, it may be advisable to negotiate an initial contract for a pilot period, such as one year, and ensure that any contract revisions must have the consent of the MFI.⁵⁷ Additional guidance on negotiating alliances is provided in Chapter 7.

MFIs should bear in mind the costs involved in partnerships. Money transfers entail real costs for both an MFI and its clients. Any money trans-

⁵⁷ Jaramillo, "Selection Criteria and Guidelines for Negotiating with Remittance Companies."

fer service will require sufficient liquidity (and thus opportunity costs for cash) as well as security and possible investment in additional systems, staff, and infrastructure. More sophisticated systems or more complex business models will likely require further investment. For MFI clients, many of the products offered by international MTCs are relatively expensive and may be difficult to sell.

Costs must be measured against potential profitability. When contemplating a partnership, an MFI should determine the amount, method of calculation, and timing for payment of all fees and commissions associated with money transfers. An important consideration is whether the MFI will be an agent or a subagent of an MTC. If a subagent, the MFI will receive less revenue for each transaction, and this is an important determinant of potential profits. In some countries, only commercial banks or postal networks are allowed to be direct agents of an MTC. Further, most MTCs have fixed commission rates for agents, and typically, a subagent receives the smallest amount of commission on each money transfer. As a result, there may be little room to negotiate a fee-sharing agreement.⁵⁸ When projecting profitability, the MFI should also consider potential foreign exchange gain if working in multiple currencies. In addition, the MFI should estimate potential revenue from cross-selling other services, such as savings, loans, etc. Over time, the MFI should track fees and commissions plus these other sources of revenue to make an informed decision on the overall profitability of money transfer operations.

Costs must be measured against potential profitability.

⁵⁸ Costing analysis is addressed further in Chapter 6. For more extensive information on costing, see CGAP Technical Tool No. 6, *Product Costing Tool*, Helms & Grace, Washington, 2004.

Chapter Four: Developing a Strategy for Money Transfer Services

The MFI should revisit and reassess its goal regularly as it learns of and addresses new challenges.

Once the MFI has a solid understanding of the environment and its own strengths and weaknesses and has determined that entering the money transfer business is in its best interests, the next step is to develop the strategy for launching money transfers.

Strategic planning should be aligned with the scale of the MFI. A comprehensive planning initiative can be a major undertaking, requiring significant managerial and financial resources. Many of the activities involved demand strong analytical skills that may not exist in every MFI and are expensive to contract from external consultants. A small MFI can engage in informal strategic planning using internal resources. A larger MFI may wish to conduct strategic and market planning more formally, perhaps with outside help.

Goal Setting


In general, MFIs aspire either to healthy financial returns from the services they deliver or to strong benefits for the low-income clients they serve, or to both. For MFIs launching money transfer services, the typical goals include generating revenue from the service and/or using money transfers to increase their customer base. For the MFI just entering the money transfer business, it may be difficult to set realistic goals. Indeed, goals set early on may mostly be a wish list until the more detailed assessments are complete. For that reason, the MFI should revisit and reassess its goals regularly—as often as quarterly—as it learns of and addresses new challenges. The MFI's goals for the money transfer business should build on those assessments and should be specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and set with a timeframe in mind.

Determining Competitive Advantage

The MFI's strategy should aim to achieve a competitive advantage. In this regard, classic business strategies developed by Michael Porter may be useful to consider. Porter suggested three broad categories of strategy: deliver-

ing cheaper services, offering different services than competitors, or focusing on particular customers or products.⁵⁹

Figure 8: Porter’s generic strategies⁶⁰



A **differentiation strategy** aims to provide a unique service not yet available in the market. Uniqueness in the money transfers industry may be difficult for an MFI to achieve against commercial banks—particularly with providing payment products, many of which require participation in clearing systems and associations open only to banks. Still, there are substitutes that an MFI could offer more conveniently, reliably, and respectfully. An MFI can also provide more personalized service, as well as financial education geared toward the needs of low-income clients and micro-enterprises.

An MFI can also provide more personalized service, as well as financial education geared toward the needs of low-income clients and microenterprises.

A **cost leadership strategy** tries to leverage ways of obtaining a cost advantage. Most of the money transfer products an MFI can offer are subject to economies of scale where larger volumes of transactions will be increasingly more efficient for the MFI. Such a strategy may not be best for smaller MFIs who typically have fewer customers and smaller volumes of lower value transactions; unit costs may be higher for smaller MFIs than for their competitors, such as banks and postal systems. The strategy may work

⁵⁹ Adopted from Porter, *Competitive Advantage of Nations*.

⁶⁰ Adopted from Porter, *Competitive Advantage of Nations*.

better for large to medium MFIs who may be able to attract the requisite volume of transfers and clients. The competitive leadership strategy can also work when competitors are large institutions that are highly inefficient with bureaucratic procedures, inconvenient hours, and repeat visits required for simple transactions. MFIs may not be able to control the cost of a transfer payment, especially if acting as an agent for an MTC. However, an MFI may be able to achieve a cost advantage by minimizing waiting time and other expenses for customers by providing efficient procedures, extended hours, and convenient locations.


An MFI may be able to achieve a cost advantage by minimizing waiting time and other expenses for customers by providing efficient procedures, extended hours, and convenient locations.

A **focus strategy** targets a specific market segment of clients. For example, the MFI may decide to launch services with a narrowly defined target group, such as a particular geographic area or socio-economic group, perhaps focusing on underserved clients.

The Right Product and the Right Market

Next, the MFI must determine its strategic direction for achieving growth-oriented goals. The direction an institution takes in its strategy depends on whether it markets new or existing products in new or existing markets.

Figure 9: Product-market matrix⁶¹



⁶¹ Adopted from Ansoff, *Strategies for Diversification*.

A **market penetration strategy** is a business-as-usual strategy where the MFI focuses on achieving growth by selling existing products in existing markets. This can be done through more competitive pricing strategies, increased promotional activities, and more liberal terms and conditions. For example, the MFI may develop strategic alliances to begin selling an existing money transfer product in its market. The MFI can achieve growth by cross-selling more services to existing customers, creating deeper relationships, increasing retention, and attracting customers from competitors.

A **market development strategy** aims to sell existing products in new client markets. This generally involves expanding the MFI's geographic operations or approaching new customer segments. It could also encompass adding new delivery channels, such as new branches or direct channels (telephone, ATMs, or mobile banking). Like the market penetration strategy, this approach may rely on alliances, correspondent, and agency relationships to broaden product offerings in new markets. Typically in a strategic alliance, revenue is shared between the MFI and its business partner.

A **product development strategy** seeks to maximize profitability by producing and distributing a variety of money transfer products in existing markets, under the MFI's own brand. This strategy may require developing new competencies and making significant changes in organizational structure, systems, and personnel.

A **diversification strategy** simultaneously markets new products in new markets. An unlicensed MFI that was becoming a licensed institution and offering a full range of deposit accounts and account-based payment instruments could be said to be embarking on a diversification strategy. Customers who will use these new products may be from the same socioeconomic group as the MFI's existing clients, but in new geographic areas. Or, the MFI may focus on a new socio-economic client group. Combining this with a new product offering is complex and inherently risky. Under a diversification strategy, the institution is moving into markets and products where it has little or no experience, and the execution will likely require considerable management effort, human resources, financial resources, and changes to systems and institutional structure.

An MFI's motives to enter the money transfer market will determine its operational strategy. Is it increased profits, advancing its core mission, providing a one-stop shop service to retain clients, or attracting new clients for

The MFI can achieve growth by cross-selling more services to existing customers, creating deeper relationships, increasing retention, and attracting customers from competitors.

other financial services? Several MFIs have entered into money transfers with the expectation that it would be a major source of fee income only to learn that fees and commissions often just cover the cost of the service. In many cases, the real net benefit to the MFI is in the acquisition of additional clients and in deepening relationships with existing clients. Motivation affects whether an MFI offers domestic or international transfers, choice of an international partner, clients to target, and products.

Several MFIs have entered into money transfers with the expectation that it would be a major source of fee income only to learn that fees and commissions often just cover the cost of the service.

Overall, the MFI must have a clear idea about what it expects to gain from money transfers and undertake an honest assessment of the risks. Once the strategy is complete and approved by the MFI's board, it is time to draft the implementation plan.

Box 11: ARB/Ghana

The Association of Rural Banks (ARB) launched ARB Apex Bank, a central treasury for the rural banks of Ghana, a network of more than 122 banks representing over 560 agencies or points of service, some in villages as small as 500 people. Market studies in rural areas served by these banks revealed that ARB clients have difficulty accessing transfers from urban areas in Ghana. Crime made it especially difficult for traders, who carried large sums of cash on their person for business. At the same time, the rural banks were looking for new revenue sources and ways to attract more customers. In response to this dual need, Apex Bank developed the ApexLink domestic money transfer. The service uses proprietary software to manage money transfers between rural banks using coded messages sent by phone, fax, or express mail. Turnaround time is between 15 minutes and 24 hours, and transfers can be made from an account or in cash, making the service accessible to customers and noncustomers alike. As a result, ApexLink has drastically reduced the practice of sending funds through commercial drivers, which often resulted in the loss of funds.

Transfer fees are paid by the sender on a sliding scale, depending on the amount transferred (usually 0.5 percent of the transfer amount for customers and 0.75 percent for noncustomers). If a recipient lacks the government-issued identification card or passport normally required for identification purposes, he may come to the bank accompanied by a "locally known person" who acts as a witness to the transfer.

ApexLink is often used as the “last mile” in an international funds transfer. Apex Bank has partnered with four local commercial banks and two nonbank financial institutions that are licensed to handle foreign exchange. The local banks and the financial institutions deposit funds from abroad into Apex Bank’s central account in local currency. Apex Bank then transfers the funds to the rural bank for final payment to the receiving client using the ApexLink platform.

Apex Bank has also entered into direct agreement with foreign companies for direct remittances from the United States and the Netherlands to beneficiaries in rural areas. From the launch in June 2003 to September 2006, the system has made 167,190 transfers totaling over US\$68 million.⁶²

⁶² Initial interview with Emmanuel Yaw Sarpong, Apex Link manager, Apex Bank, June 21, 2004. Information updated by ARB Apex Bank on 6 October, 2006.

Chapter Five: Developing an Implementation Plan for a Money Transfer Business

Create loyal, satisfied customers, through superior understanding of their needs.

Given the complexity of launching money transfers, developing an implementation plan is critical to an MFI's success. An implementation plan includes detailed actions for each market segment, product, and delivery channel and other MFI stakeholders and a system for monitoring implementation.

Developing and Marketing Transfer Products

Customers play the central role in driving the MFI's product development and marketing activities. An institution should acquire an in-depth understanding of customer needs and markets and their potential profitability. Based on this analysis, the MFI can design products and services for targeted customer groups. This vision involves maximizing customer profitability—financial and social—by creating loyal, satisfied customers, through superior understanding of their needs. Marketing also involves designing, developing, and enhancing products, as well as setting prices, communicating product features, and getting products into use by customers.

Managing the Product Portfolio

During the strategic planning process, various decisions are made about customer groups the MFI will serve and products it will offer. The **product manager** should ensure the product is designed to satisfy customers and contribute to the MFI's financial and social goals. The MFI should have a product manager for each product in its product portfolio. In small institutions, product managers may spend only part of their time on such functions.

An MFI with a limited product portfolio, such as short-term loans, can probably manage its products more readily, and changes may be easier to implement. However, that is not possible with money transfer and deposit products, where every aspect of production and delivery impacts operations across the MFI.

Product Development

Product development is usually driven by the MFI's overall strategic plan, although ideas for new products may come from anywhere in the institution or even from clients themselves. The **product manager** should screen concepts to ensure they are consistent with the institution's image, abilities, and marketing strategy; they appeal to particular segments; and they have clear cost and profitability implications. Of course, the product must be within the MFI's capacity and performance ability.

Product development involves marketing, operational, and technological activities. When launching an existing product, such as becoming a payout agent for an established international MTC, the product development process can be carried out more quickly. Nonetheless, the MFI should take a systematic approach and proceed carefully.

When introducing a completely new product involving major infrastructure and technological changes, a comprehensive development effort is needed.

As seen in Figure 10, product development usually consists of five major steps:

Step 1: Evaluation and Preparation: Decide what product to develop and what infrastructure needs to be in place to support it.

Step 2. Market Research: Perform research to determine if the market is appropriate for the product being considered.

Step 3. Concept/Prototype Design: Determine how the product works and how it will be marketed to customers.


Step 4. Pilot Testing: Test the product in a small sample (e.g., at one branch), to determine viability, actual costs, and customer interest.

Step 5. Product Launch and Roll Out: Formally introduce product to customers along with promotional campaign.

The MFI should take a systematic approach and proceed carefully.

Thus far, few MFIs have adopted this systematic, market-driven approach to product development. Typically, if the product development manager decides that a particular idea merits development, s/he will design the product and launch it to the public (following steps 1, 3, and 5). Market research and organized pilot testing (steps 2 and 4) are rarely included in the development process. Unfortunately, skipping these vital steps can lead to disaster.

Figure 10: Systematic product development process⁶³



DESIGNING THE PRODUCT: CLIENT PREFERENCES

The MFI should study both the sending and receiving clients.

The MFI should study both the sending and receiving clients, because the characteristics of these clients have consequences for product design. These factors include the socio-economic profile of target client groups, geographic patterns and seasonality of the transfer flows, and the size and characteristics of money transfers from both international and domestic sources.

By fully understanding its target clients, the MFI greatly increases its chances of designing a successful product. Table 1 shows generally observed customer preferences vis-à-vis money transfer services. The attributes considered in the table form the core of money transfer product design and can guide the MFI in product design.

⁶³ Wright, Brand, Northrip, Cohen, McCord and Helms, *Looking Before You Leap: Key Questions That Should Precede Starting New Product Development*.

Table 1: Client preferences in money transfer services⁶⁴

Attributes Sought	Key Issues
Accessibility	Many migrants, especially undocumented workers, prefer few or no identity requirements, but most formal money transfer operators must comply with some type of identity stipulation. Financial institutions can also set other requirements (e.g., opening a bank account or maintaining a minimum balance) that impede the access of poor people to transfer services.
Confidentiality	Some clients prefer to keep their receipt of money transfers confidential (either to reduce claims within the family or to minimize the risk of theft) and may favor using providers like specialized MTCs that may have less stringent identity documentation requirements than multi-service financial institutions.
Cost and transparency	Most people seek transfer services that offer —low fees, —attractive exchange rates, and —transparency on fees and exchange rates at both the sending and receiving ends.
Ease of use	People prefer limited paperwork to send or collect funds, especially if they are not literate. Some people prefer interacting with a sales agent for reasons of ease and personal service. Others prefer the convenience and anonymity of ATMs or POS devices.
Safety	Transfer operators must earn the trust of migrants and their families. —Clients may be reluctant to seek services from banks or formal financial institutions because of mistrust or past experiences in their home or adopted country. —Many people prefer to send money transfers through institutions that have a track record in handling transfers and other financial services, and/or belong to a larger, well-known international network.
Speed	Many people prefer “real-time” transfers, regardless of the cost or urgency of the transfer.
Transaction convenience and cost	Both senders and recipients want to transfer funds at nearby locations and reduce other transaction costs, such as travel time, travel expenses, and bribes paid for better service.

⁶⁴Isern, Deshpande, and Van Doorn, 2005. Based on ACCIÓN, *Leveraging the Impact of Remittances*; Barro and Sander, “Étude sur le transfer d’argent”; Cross, “Migrant Workers’ Remittances”; ILO, “Making the Best of Globalisation”; Marx, “Remittances and Microfinance”; Siddiqui and Abrar, “Migrant Worker Remittances”; Thieme, “Savings and Credit Associations.”

When designing a new money transfer product, the MFI should carefully consider each of these client preferences as well as competition from both formal and informal money transfer services; the client-friendly features of informal systems could serve as a model when designing offerings.⁶⁵

DESIGNING THE PRODUCT: INSTITUTIONAL CAPACITY

Once the MFI understands client preferences, the next step is to develop a product that can be delivered successfully by the institution. The MFI should take a hard look at its own institutional capacity to deliver the product. If current capacity is not adequate, then the MFI will need to either strengthen itself where needed or decide on another money transfer product.⁶⁶

DESIGNING THE PRODUCT: PRICING

The MFI should take a hard look at its own institutional capacity to deliver the product.

Typically, the MFI should set pricing to cover costs and a profit margin. However, pricing can also be used to exploit market opportunities, such as offering a low-cost money transfer option to attract clients for other services. Pricing also contributes to a product's image, where higher priced products may convey more security and safety than the cheapest product available. For example, Banco Solidario in Bolivia offers free remittances from Spain when the recipient opens a bank account with them. Their goal is to sell other financial services to the receiving client.

Unfortunately, the MFI may have little room to maneuver prices. Pricing for money transfers is becoming much more competitive. Further, an MFI serving as the payout agent may be obligated to set prices and receive commissions based on rates established by the MTC. Typically the institution originating the transfer sets the price, and the receiving institution is obligated to accept it. If these terms are not acceptable, the MFI could try to renegotiate or seek another partner.

If the MFI does have flexibility on pricing, it should factor in the following:

- Costs of producing and delivering a product
- Cost of capital
- Risk associated with the product
- Desired profit margin
- Customer willingness to pay
- Prices offered by competitors

⁶⁵ See Annex 2: Formality of Financial Channels of this document for more information on informal channels.

⁶⁶ This idea is addressed more fully in Chapter 6 on the institutional framework for money transfers.

If the MFI already has some money transfers experience, it would be useful to analyze the costs of the existing services to design and price new products. This type of analysis can be simple or sophisticated, depending on the MFI's systems and available information. Once a product's costs are determined, the MFI can determine the impact of costs, how to make the product more efficient, and how the product contributes (or not) to the overall profitability of the MFI.⁶⁷

The MFI may also want to estimate potential revenue at various prices to determine its break-even point for offering the new product. Developing these scenarios helps the institution understand how demand for a product, costs, and potential revenues depend on many factors. Of course, projecting demand is not an exact science. It involves analyzing and forecasting all the aspects of a product that influence the demand for it, including economic data, market research, and data from central banks, national banker associations, and competitors in the money transfer business. Demand can be affected by a range of issues, including political and economic conditions, natural disasters, government policy changes, and changes in the number, marketing, or reputation of an MFI's competitors. In addition, the MFI's marketing efforts may lead to stronger (or weaker) demand than anticipated.

The role of promotion encompasses all aspects of an institution's image.

Promoting Products

Promotion is how the MFI communicates with its current and potential customers, employees, and other interest groups, primarily through advertising (including branding and merchandising) and public relations. Traditionally, promotional efforts have focused on advertising the benefits of specific products to raise awareness, stimulate interest, and encourage purchases. The role of promotion is much broader today, encompassing all aspects of an institution's image and the way it is presented to various interest groups.

Although there is some differentiation, many institutions generally offer the same basic money transfer services. The remaining competition is based on price, speed, convenience, reputation, and perceptions of security. These competitive factors must be communicated to the client for the MFI to succeed.

⁶⁷ For more information on costing, please see CGAP Technical Tool No. 6, *Product Costing Tool*, Helms and Grace, Washington, 2004.

Money transfers pose a special marketing challenge: How can the institution target the right clients? A promotional program launched by an MFI primarily positioned as a receiver of transfers may have little effect when the main decision makers are the senders in an urban area or another country. Accordingly, most of the advertising budgets of MTCs are spent in countries and/or areas on the sending side. Of course, recipients may have some influence on senders' decisions about how to send money. That factor—in addition to the educational value of a money transfer promotional program—argues in favor of balancing promotional efforts between sending and receiving markets.

When promoting financial products to low-income clients, the MFI must be mindful of their financial literacy.

Communicating effectively requires a thorough, systematic approach. When promoting financial products to low-income clients, the MFI must be mindful of these clients' financial literacy. Likewise, the MFI should be aware of how low-income clients use informal financial services that compete with the MFI's services. The MFI should ensure its promotional messages clearly communicate why its products are important and how they meet customers' needs. Likewise, customers must understand how to use financial products, the charges they will pay, and their rights to privacy, redress, and protection from unfair practices.

Linking services to transfers can attract clients, keep them loyal, and generate revenue. Access to other financial services may also deepen the developmental impact of transfers. Initially, recipients may not trust an MFI to hold their money, preferring instead to receive cash immediately. Over time, however, a client may consider banking some of the transferred funds in a linked savings or checking account, if such options are available.⁶⁸

The same possibility exists on the sending end. Migrants may gradually begin to use other financial services if the MFI offers them. Banco Solidario in Ecuador, for example, has developed products in conjunction with banks in Spain that allow Ecuadoran migrants working in Spain to access and repay short-term credit, save for their return home, buy real estate in Ecuador, or create savings accounts in Ecuador to which they can control access by family members.⁶⁹

As MFIs deepen their experience with money transfers, more are having success **cross-selling** other financial services. ACLEDA Bank in Cambodia

⁶⁸ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

⁶⁹ *Ibid.*

reports that most remittance receivers hold an account in the bank and use their other services. Kasabank in Kosovo offers free incoming transfer services because receiving clients generate so much other business. Nuevo Siglo credit union in El Salvador reports that some clients open 60-day, fixed-term deposits with portions of their remittances.⁷⁰ Fonkoze in Haiti earns significant income from remittance clients who decide to change their U.S. dollar transfers into gourdes.⁷¹ Several MFIs have reported that it takes as many as three years to establish credibility with clients before they would consider using other financial services, such as deposits or loans. Other MFIs have been more successful, and clients have opened a deposit account after three or four transactions.

An effective sales process, based in branch offices and including field agents, is crucial to acquiring new customers and deepening relationships. Advertising and promotion can build awareness and reinforce images, but a salesperson is usually required to answer questions, overcome concerns, and discuss different ways of meeting customer needs.

Creating the Institutional Framework

Developing a Money Transfer Policy

To be successful, the MFI should develop a policy on money transfers and, once approved by the Board, communicate it to all personnel. Having a clear road map will help ensure transfers are executed properly, whether the MFI is sending or receiving them. Clear, well-defined policies and procedures are essential for effective governance and control of the MFI and its transfer activities.

For international transfers, the MFI is likely to have influence over just one end of the transaction. Accordingly, MFIs should try to ensure their partners' policies are consistent with their own or that the MFI's policy can be easily adapted to conform. The MFI may have more control over domestic transfers, although it must consider the policies of any correspondent bank or other business partner.

The depth and coverage of policies will vary depending on institution size and complexity. Small, noncomplex institutions may create one central

Clear, well-defined policies and procedures are essential for effective governance and control of the MFI and its transfer activities.

⁷⁰ Evans and Klaehn, "A Technical Guide to Remittances: The Credit Union Experience."

⁷¹ Hastings, "Entry of MFIs into the Remittance Market: Opportunities and Challenges?"

guiding document, while larger, more complex, institutions may need to develop specific policies for each money transfer product. Policies that are clear and specific increase the probability of compliance, efficiency of operations, and overall success with money transfers. In addition, policies and procedures

- Establish accountability,
- Provide controls for risk management,
- Define expectations, and
- Serve as training tools.

Procedures should be reviewed and updated regularly, and especially whenever the MFI makes any major changes to its money transfer operations.

An effective money transfer policy

- Defines acceptable types of transfers,
- Specifies the steps required for each transfer,
- Provides measures to safeguard each transaction,
- Explains pricing policies,
- Sets approval authority, and
- Sets staff responsibilities.

In addition, the policy should address data security, money laundering, client privacy, and client disclosure.

By establishing secure data procedures and ensuring compliance, the MFI will have an audit trail that helps identify any errors or potential fraud in their operations.

Data security is often over-looked, but it is an area of considerable risk for money transfers. The MFI must protect its data and the security of payment instructions, because this can attract both internal and external fraud. Given the volume and value of money transfers, data should be secure, encrypted if possible, and levels of security should be established so that a limited number of people have access to the information and can make changes to any payment instruction. Further, any change to payment instructions should be documented to note the person making the change, the date, and the reason. By establishing secure data procedures and ensuring compliance, the MFI will have an audit trail that helps identify any errors or potential fraud in its operations.

Increasingly, countries are adopting more stringent requirements for **anti-money laundering and combating the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT)**, and this will have broad-reaching implications for MFIs, other financial insti-

tutions, and many others.⁷² Money transfers are considered one of the “riskier” financial services, and national and international authorities focusing on AML/CFT are giving special attention to institutions that offer money transfers. The MFI should inform itself of national and international AML/CFT requirements. Typically, these requirements include

- establishing a compliance officer,
- creating internal controls,
- maintaining accurate record keeping,
- monitoring for suspicious transactions, and
- reporting to national authorities.⁷³

The MFI may work across two or more countries or be allied to an MTC that works regionally or globally. In these cases, the MFI should clarify which standard of AML/CFT compliance applies to its operations.

Money transfers are considered one of the “riskier” financial services, and national and international authorities focusing on AML/CFT are giving special attention to institutions that offer money transfers.

Box 12: An established MFI’s experience with AML/CFT compliance⁷⁴

Financiera Compartamos, a specialized MFI, began operations in Mexico as a nongovernmental organization in 1990 and transformed to a regulated financial institution in 2000. Financiera Compartamos is legally registered as a *sociedad financieras de objeto limitado*, a non-bank regulated financial institution, and it served over 300,000 clients as of 2005. When it implemented the new AML/CFT regime for Mexican nonbanks in 2004, Compartamos benefited from already being a regulated institution. This meant that compliance systems, staff, and procedures were already in place. Furthermore, part of the Compartamos loan methodology included weekly visits to clients by loan officers, who already knew their clients well. To comply with the new AML/CFT requirements, Compartamos instituted the following procedures:

⁷² Isern, Porteous, Hernandez-Coss, and Eguwagu, “AML/CFT Regulation: Implications for Financial Service Providers That Serve Low-Income People.”

⁷³ For additional information on AML/CFT issues, refer to CGAP Focus Note 29, “AML/CFT Regulation: Implications for Financial Service Providers That Serve Low-Income People,” by Isern, Porteous, Hernandez-Coss, and Eguwagu, Washington, July 2005.

⁷⁴ CGAP-World Bank survey questionnaire with Compartamos General Manager Carlos LaBarthe Costas and Risk Manager Lizette Escamilla Miranda in January 2005. Taken from Isern, Porteous, Hernandez-Coss, and Eguwagu, “AML/CFT Regulation: Implications for Financial Service Providers That Serve Low-Income People.”

- It maintains transaction records for 10 years.
- It monitors all transactions using customized software that identifies any unusual, complex, or large transactions by clients. It must also report any client transaction larger than US\$10,000 to the Mexican banking authority.
- It appointed a formal AML/CFT compliance officer, the risk manager. In compliance with regulation, a special AML/CFT committee was appointed consisting of the general manager, the risk manager, the internal auditor, and legal officer.

Privacy measures should clarify whether and how the information is disclosed to third parties.

The transfers policy and, more broadly, the MFI's other financial services should include a **privacy policy** that describes for clients what information the MFI collects and how it is used. Privacy measures include what client information is collected and stored and how the information is kept secure. The MFI should also specify how clients can have access to that information, correct any mistakes, and set limits on how the information is used. The privacy measures should clarify whether and how the information is disclosed to third parties.

Finally, it is vital that MFIs commit to full **disclosure** of all costs, terms, and conditions before transactions are initiated, so that customers can make informed decisions. Similarly, money transfer agreements should spell out information about

- transfer types and fees,
- policies on refunds,
- resolution of errors,
- liability for unauthorized transactions, loss and theft, and
- any other issues of customer concern.

The extent to which laws and regulations cover payment systems and money transfers varies widely by country. In some countries, laws and regulations are highly specific; in others the rights and responsibilities of parties to payment transactions are governed by contracts between service providers and users. Interpretation of these contracts is up to the judicial system. Regardless of the extent of laws, the MFI should make every possible effort to ensure its contracts protect both itself and its customers.

Establishing Delivery Channels

To send or receive money, customers must have access to a delivery channel of a money transfer provider. In developing delivery channels, the MFI must balance customer needs for convenience with its own need to serve the market at a reasonable cost. Because decisions about delivery channels can determine an institution's market share, they have a major impact on its profitability and long-term success.

Offering transfers without adequate delivery capacity is a quick way to suffer financial losses and reputation damage. Establishing a relationship with an MTC can substantially lower the infrastructure investment, but the MFI will have less control over operations and lower revenues from shared commissions. These considerations should be factored into decision making about service delivery.

Although financial services can be delivered in a variety of ways—including by ATM, telephone, and online—most MFIs will probably launch face-to-face services from branch offices, at least in the short term. Other delivery channels may be feasible, depending on the size and complexity of the MFI, type of money transfer services, and pace of development of the country's financial infrastructure.

Face-to-face services may be offered from the MFI's branch offices or by agents who deliver money transfers to recipients. Customers all over the world cite convenience as one of the most important reasons for choosing a financial institution. Such convenience is determined by an institution's location, hours, and services. Establishing location-based delivery networks requires addressing each of these factors cost effectively.

Customers all over the world cite convenience as one of the most important reasons for choosing a financial institution.

Money transfer volumes rise and fall, typically with cyclical peaks. The MFI should plan staffing, liquidity, and security, among other things, to prepare for high-volume periods for money transfers around peak times of the day when clients are more likely to make transactions. The same planning is needed for peak days, such as common paydays (e.g., end of month or every two weeks), holidays, Monday mornings (or first day of business week in the country), and other seasonal periods depending on the country context.

The MFI must evaluate its delivery network and determine what, if any, reengineering is needed. For example, if the institution offers group lending through a network of field officers and does not have an accessible branch network, it may need to establish one. This may be especially challenging if

some (or all) of the MFI's clients live in rural areas with dispersed populations and limited access to roads, telecommunications, or other infrastructure required for efficient delivery of financial services. An institution with a convenient branch network will need to evaluate its capacity to implement the very different workflows, internal controls, and liquidity requirements involved in processing money transfers. If the MFI will serve as a payout agent for a larger company, the volume of transfers may not generate sufficient revenue to pay any extensive reengineering of the delivery network or infrastructure.

An institution with a convenient branch network will need to evaluate its capacity to implement the very different workflows, internal controls, and liquidity requirements involved in processing money transfers.

Establishing and operating a location-based delivery network is expensive, but it usually increases revenue. The MFI should keep in mind that money transfers can also be delivered through indirect channels, using third-party intermediaries, such as merchants, mobile phone companies, and other partnerships. However, the MFI has less control over these channels, and service quality depends on the party operating the delivery network.

Box 13: Shared branching: Expanding points of service

Independent financial institutions can link their systems in such a way that they allow clients from other financial institutions to make loan payments, withdrawals, bill payments, and domestic money transfers among the participating institutions instead of just at the client's "home" institution. This "shared branching" leverages the combined physical network of multiple institutions to provide clients with greater access and convenience. For example, a credit union movement in Central America links 22 credit unions and their combined 128 points of service to offer a national network. The network processes 8,000 – 9,000 transactions per month among the 22 credit unions.⁷⁵

⁷⁵ Dave Grace, WOCCU. For additional information on shared branching, see: http://www.woccu.org/pubs/cu_world/cuw_index.php?mag_id=43

Chapter Six: Negotiating Partnerships

Partnerships are an excellent option for MFIs seeking to enter the money transfer business. With the right partnership, the MFI can grow its business and better serve its clients. But entering into a partnership requires careful consideration and planning. This chapter presents information that an MFI should know when entering a partnership and tips to negotiating the best partnership agreement.

The extent of the MFI's branch network is often the most valuable bargaining chip in negotiations.

The Two Sides of the Table

Typically, the courtship between the MFI and an MTC is mutual: the MFI wants to develop a new line of business, and the MTC wants to expand its network of payment agents. However, each side of the table has unique concerns. This chapter focuses on business alliances with MTCs; however, the guidance can also be applied to other types of partnerships, such as with commercial banks, shared branch networks, or other institutions offering money transfers.

The Money Transfer Company's Perspective

The MTC wants sound agents and subagents, and its choices are often limited because many countries regulate which institutions can partner with an MTC (they are often limited to commercial banks, postal networks, and foreign exchange bureaus). The MTC wants to expand its reach (so the extent of the MFI's branch network is often the most valuable bargaining chip in negotiations). The MTC wants an agent who understands the environment, and MFIs have established client relationships and are usually culturally and geographically close to their clients. Large MFIs with extensive branch networks (especially rural branches) are especially attractive to MTCs.

WHAT THE MTC LOOKS FOR IN A PARTNER

In short, the MTC values the following criteria when considering partners:

- Multiple locations in the country, especially in areas not already covered by other payment agents: branch offices in urban and rural areas, ATMs, and fixed and mobile points of service;
- Well-established branch infrastructure and good communications with the institution's headquarters;
- Use of a Call Center;
- Good reputation in the country or region, especially known for good customer service;
- Local knowledge from existing large client base;
- Demonstrated financial performance with audited financial statements;
- Experienced, friendly, and knowledgeable staff;
- Secure, fully licensed operations (including cash management) compliant with national laws and regulations; and
- Experience with money transfers and the ability to add money transfers clients, especially to increase the volume of transactions.

An MTC will also consider other criteria, such as the MFI's operating hours, financial soundness, and liquidity availability to advance customer payments before reimbursement by the MTC. Because verifying these criteria for large numbers of agents can be cumbersome, MTCs usually contract with a few primary agents in each country, often banks. Depending on local regulations, the banks may then sign subagent relationships with MFIs and other institutions. In this case, the MTC's relationship is really with the primary agent (i.e., the bank), and the primary agent is responsible for due diligence on its subagents and negotiating the subagent relationship.⁷⁶

The MFI's Perspective

When delivering a transfer payment to a client, the MFI assumes credit risk.

The MFI wants a relationship with trust and transparency. The MFI must select partners carefully, especially as more operators enter the money transfer market. When delivering a transfer payment to a client, the MFI assumes credit risk, because it often has not yet received the actual funds from its international partner. It needs to know that the funds will arrive soon.

⁷⁶ *Ibid.*

Likewise, the sending partner relies on the receiving partner to make sure transfers are delivered to recipients. Information on both the sending and receiving side can be difficult to obtain. Receiving institutions may not be able to easily compare different money transfer partners. Likewise, send-side institutions often don't know which partners are reliable and offer good client service in a specific country.

Thorough due diligence on potential partners, including reference checks, verification of legal status, and analysis of their financial viability, is crucial.⁷⁷

Thorough due diligence on potential partners is crucial.

WHAT THE MFI SHOULD KNOW ABOUT A PARTNER

The MFI should ask the following questions when considering a partner:

- What is the MTC's network of offices in the sending country or region?
 - How does this relate to the MFI's target market?
 - Does the MTC offer other services (call center, travel agency, employment services, retail products, etc.)?
- How many partners does the MTC already have in the MFI's country or region?
 - How many branches and other points of service are already linked to the MTC?
 - What is the volume of transactions and value of money sent by the MTC to the MFI's country or region?
 - Does the MTC already work with bank partners in the MFI's country?
- What is the cost of sending a transfer with the MTC (as a percentage of the amount sent)? Include any foreign exchange transaction and all fees on both the sending and receiving side of the transaction.
- What will the MTC pay to the MFI in commissions, fees, etc.? What are other revenues, such as float on money in transit, cross-selling other products, etc.?
- For each transfer, how long will it take for the MTC to settle the transaction and send the MFI the funds and revenue earned? How frequently is this paid (monthly, weekly, etc.)?
- Does it fit with our mission as an MFI or do its practices/pricing take advantage of the poor—the very same group we say we help?

⁷⁷ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

- Does the MTC provide any training? Does it provide assistance with information technology?
- Does the MTC provide complimentary software and hardware for processing remittance transactions?
- Is the MTC properly licensed and regulated?
- Who is responsible for marketing on both the sending and receiving side of the transaction? How extensive are the MTC's marketing efforts?
- Who is responsible for AML/CFT compliance and other regulatory and legal compliance? Who will report to the Central Bank or other regulatory agency?
- Who will manage the payment settlement, including any foreign exchange transaction? What is the MTC's relationship with banks in both the sending and receiving location?
- What is the financial condition of the MTC? This information is vital to know because the MFI will extend credit to the MTC until the MTC makes settlement. Analyst reports may be available on the Internet or from rating agencies.
- Does the MTC have any significant customer complaints reported to public centers (e.g., business bureaus), pending court cases, or past or pending compliance violations?

What is the financial condition of the MTC? This information is vital to know because the MFI will extend credit to the MTC.

Stage One: Gather Information and Rank Potential MTC Partners⁷⁸

The MFI should first gather information on potential MTCs to identify and prioritize those that are the more ideal partners. Contacts and negotiations can then be focused on those companies with more potential for the MFI. In some cases, this information may not be publicly available. The MFI may need to seek information by posing as a client seeking information or by making direct contact with the MTC.

The MFI should ensure it has the following information from the MTC and use it to prioritize potential partners.

- **Business model:** Identify whether the MTC works with its own network of offices in the sending country or with subagent contracts. A propri-

⁷⁸ Adapted from Jaramillo, "Selection Criteria and Guidelines for Negotiating with Remittance Companies," Accion, 2006.

etary network of offices presents more opportunities for cross-selling complementary financial services the MFI can offer to senders and recipients.

- **Current alliances:** Does the MTC already work with banks in the MFI's country? Identify MTCs that have not already established a large network of banks as paying agents or ones that work mostly with retail companies. An MFI will be more strongly positioned if it can help expand an MTC's network of financial institutions as paying agents.
- **Direct deposit service:** Does the MTC already offer direct deposits into bank accounts? If the MFI offers this service, or could rapidly develop this capacity, it can strengthen the negotiation position.
- **Coverage in the sending country:** Does the MTC have a strong coverage in the areas where there is a high concentration of immigrants from the MFI's country? If not, does it plan to expand to these areas in the near future?
- **Coverage in recipient country:** Identify the coverage the MTC has in the recipient country. Determine if the MFI's branch network complements the existing network of the MTC.
- **Cost of service:** How does the cost of the service the MTC offers compare with that of other players in the market? The MFI should determine if its customer base would be likely to use this MTC given its price structure.
- **Relationship with banks:** Has the MTC had problems with banks in the sending country closing their bank accounts?
- **Legal compliance:** The MTC should be able to show evidence of compliance with money laundering rules and other legal restrictions.
- **Presence in other countries:** Is the MTC expanding its coverage in the MFI's region?
- **Current software and networks in use:** Does the MTC require partners to purchase or acquire a specific software to operate with them? The costs of new software acquisition, staff training, and software maintenance must be part of the assessment of working with an MTC.

Identify coverage the MTC has in the recipient country. Determine if the MFI's branch network complements the MTC's existing network.

Once the MFI has gathered this information, it should rank the MTCs that might make good business partners and begin contacting them to determine their interest in partnering.

Stage Two: Contact Potential Partners and Perform Due Diligence⁷⁹

The MFI should establish initial contact with those MTCs prioritized to determine if there is potential for a partnership. Once an interest is established between potential partners, begin a process of due diligence. Performing **due diligence** is the act of compiling all the appropriate and necessary information about the potential partner to ensure the partner has a sound business operation and a relationship is in each party's best interests. At this stage, the MFI should consider contacting a lawyer to help in negotiating the eventual contract.

Often, before sharing such information, the MTC may request the MFI to sign a legally binding agreement called a **Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA)** that restricts the MFI from sharing the disclosed information with other parties. Typically, such agreements apply to both parties, but if not, the MFI may request that the MTC also sign an NDA.

Requesting Additional Information from the MTC

The MFI should request from the MTC all of the information outlined above that was not possible to gather from public sources. Additionally, the MFI should ask if the MTC requires the signing of exclusivity agreements because this is an important point the MFI needs to evaluate before continuing discussion with this company.

The MFI should also ask the MTC if it is interested in linking remittances to other financial services or products. For some products, such as direct deposit of transfers, the support of the MTC will be needed.

Provide Information

The MTC will also want information on the MFI. The MFI should be prepared to supply the following information to strengthen its negotiation with the MTC.

- Information on money transfers experience, current products, alliances, and the volume of transfers paid out per month
- Financial performance of the institution
- Estimated percentage of clients that receive remittances as potential market to the MTC (if available)

The MFI should ask if the MTC requires the MFI to sign exclusivity agreements because this is an important point the MFI needs to evaluate before continuing discussions with this MTC.

⁷⁹ Adapted from Jaramillo, "Selection Criteria and Guidelines for Negotiating with Remittance Companies," Accion, 2006.

- Coverage of MFI network: Present how the MFI's network of branches complements the existing branch network of other MTC partners in the region; highlight presence in urban peripheral neighborhoods and in rural areas where traditional bank networks are not present.
- Payment instruments: Present the services and products the MFI can use to pay out money transfers, such as payments in cash, direct deposit into a bank account, use of debit cards or prepaid cards to recipients.
- Customer service: Present the services the MFI can offer to support good customer service, such as use of a call center to notify recipients of transfers or use of dedicated tellers for remittances during high-peak transfer periods.
- Cash management: Provide liquidity and cash management policies; explain how the MFI will ensure its branch network will be able to handle the service and cash demands during peak transfer periods.
- Range of financial products the MFI can offer to recipients: Market these products as bringing added value to senders and recipients and therefore helping to create loyalty for the MTC.
- Licensing to do money transfers (if required).
- Evidence of compliance with money-laundering rules.
- Technology platform, including current software and payment networks.

This stage should establish interest in working together and (potentially) an agreement to move forward.

Stage Three: Negotiate Contract⁸⁰

Negotiations can take time, especially for MFIs just entering the money transfer business. The MFI should be prepared for delays, requests for more information, and multiple meetings.

The following sections describe areas of negotiation. Stronger MFIs will likely be able to push for more favorable terms; but even small MFIs should be mindful of these terms, because if they are not favorable, it may signal that the partnership is not in the MFI's best interests. In addition, the MFI should work closely with informed legal counsel that is experienced in contract issues. Legal counsel can help to ensure the contract protects the interests of the MFI and advise throughout the negotiation process.

Negotiations with an MTC can take time.

⁸⁰ Adapted from Jaramillo, "Selection Criteria and Guidelines for Negotiating with Remittance Companies," Accion, 2006; and negotiation guidelines recommended by Dave Grace, WOCCU, in October 2006.

Before entering negotiations, the MFI should have in mind the minimum requirements it can afford to accept, specifically in regard to commissions, settlement terms, and exclusivity agreements. Negotiations should benefit both partners, and if the MFI will not benefit from the relationship, it should be willing to walk away from the table. Similarly, the MFI need not renew unfavorable partnerships.

Box 14: Protecting the MFI's interests by analyzing the full costs⁸¹

Partnering with an MTC can offer an MFI a complete package of services and infrastructure necessary to process money transfers. However, entering into an agency agreement with an MTC will not fit the needs of all institutions. One MFI with rural branches in the Philippines found that the domestic long-distance charges for dialing into the servers of its MTC partner rendered the entire relationship unprofitable, even though initial training and software had been free. In addition to dial-up charges, transfers incur other costs, such as cashier services, management attention, and office space. MFIs must therefore be particularly careful in assessing the full cost of an agent or sub-agent arrangement.

Discuss Strengths

It is important to remind the potential partner of the MFI's strengths. Although the MTC will have performed its own due diligence, accentuating the MFI's strength can add leverage to negotiations.

Negotiate Commission Terms

Determine how the MFI will make money. Consider the following terms.

- **Status Type:** The MFI needs to determine if it will be an agent or subagent, correspondent bank or member (in the case of an alliance). If acting as an agent, the MFI should define the terms for marketing and expansion of its network of subagents. If acting as a subagent, the MFI must negotiate with

Negotiations should benefit both partners. It is important to remind the potential partner of the MFI's strengths.

⁸¹ Interview with Chairman, Philippine MFI, (name withheld), June 23, 2004.

the primary agent to decide how commissions and operations will be divided among the MTC, the agent, and the MFI (subagent).

- **Commissions:** Commissions vary according to the MTC, the volume it manages into the region, and the number of partners it already works with in the region. Some MTCs offer a fixed commission for each remittance paid, others establish a percentage of the amount of the transfer sent as a commission; it is also common to establish commissions based on the volume the MFI will pay out. It is often an effective strategy to hear the MTC's offer first. Likewise, the MFI can use commission agreements it has been able to establish with other MTCs to strengthen its position.
- **Settlement:** The MTC should provide next-business-day settlement for all money transfers and commissions due to the MFI. Inability to accommodate this policy may be indicative of the MTC's own financial problems. If the MTC does not settle quickly, it may also be generating additional income on float at the MFI's expense. The longer the duration between payment and settlement, the greater the credit risk for the MFI. The MFI should make sure it can fund the payments for whatever time-frame is negotiated. To compensate for time between payment and settlement, the MTC should be willing to prefund between 10 percent and 25 percent of the highest daily payout by placing a security deposit with the MFI or the MFI's financial institution.

The MTC should provide next-business-day settlement for all money transfers and commissions due to the MFI.

Negotiate Other Terms

In addition to money matters, there are multiple benefits the MFI can look for in a partnership.

- **Access to Networks:** Many MTCs have access to payment networks (such as SWIFT or their own proprietary networks) that require an annual license fee. The MFI should determine what access it will be granted and what, if any, fees are required.
- **Access to Data:** The MFI will want access to the MTC's customer data to understand the profile of recipients and to determine strategies for cross-selling financial products.
- **Access to Technology:** To smooth communications or transfer information, it may be necessary to share software or communications equip-

ment. The MFI should ensure cost outlays on infrastructure are fair. It may be that the MFI can share software licenses or hardware. The MFI should also make sure meetings between the technology teams of both institutions have taken place so that the feasibility of all the technological implications is evaluated.

The MFI should seek nonexclusive relationships so that it has greater flexibility in partnering with others.

- **Exclusivity:** The MFI should seek nonexclusive relationships so that it has greater flexibility in partnering with others.
- **Competition restrictions:** The MFI should seek to limit its competition with other agents of the MTC. Sometimes this means expanding regional territories.
- **Duration of partnership:** Typically, partnerships are established for one year, with the option to renew annually (pending agreement by both parties). Such a duration not only limits the MFI's liability if the relationship turns out badly, but it also allows the MFI to negotiate better terms if its position in the market has become stronger. In addition, the MFI should ensure either party can cancel the contract immediately with written notice for non-compliance or with 180 days advance written notice for any reason.
- **Marketing:** The MFI should establish the expected action and financial contributions from each side to promote the product. The MTC should agree to pay for most of the sales materials or at least share costs. It is important to negotiate with the MTC a campaign to help launch the service with the MFI, including support to make the name and brand of the MFI recognized as a paying agent for the MTC.
- **Logo use:** Logos represent institutions and are powerful marketing tools. The MFI should negotiate the use of the MTC's logo and ensure its own logo is also fairly represented on marketing materials.
- **Foreign exchange:** Foreign exchange revenue is a significant component of the overall revenue in international money transfers. In addition to the fee per transaction, the MFI is entitled to a percentage of the foreign exchange revenue. Some MTCs may resist sharing foreign exchange revenues or suggest that it is too complicated to calculate given the floating rates. MFIs should know their rights and learn the practices of other institutions in the same country or region.
- **Jurisdictional court:** Most contracts clarify the jurisdiction where disputes and legal issues will be settled. The MFI should aim to settle disputes in their home jurisdiction, where possible. Further, it may be more effective to use arbitration courts as the first option to resolve a legal dispute.

Conclusion

The money transfer market offers tantalizing opportunities for the MFI, but the risks can be high. The MFI should proceed with caution in evaluating the potential for such services, and it should learn from the experience of institutions that have already launched them.

Forming an alliance with a proven MTC may be the best approach for the MFI just entering the market. An MFI's customer base, location, and existing distribution infrastructure can make them attractive partners for MTCs. In return, the international payment networks, foreign exchange access, and risk management expertise of MTCs can reduce both the cost and risk of the MFI's entry into the market.

The considerations discussed in this guide, while often cautionary, are meant to aid the serious MFI in a thoughtful and well-planned undertaking. Beginning a money transfer business can be difficult and daunting, but the rewards of a successful operation could be significant. As migration expands within developing countries and globally and as financial sectors develop, the demand for money transfer products will continue to increase. Satisfying this demand will aid the MFI's bottom line and help serve low-income clients by creating the essential services they need.

Beginning a money transfer business can be difficult and daunting, but the rewards of a successful operation could be significant.

Annex 1: The Building Blocks of Retail Money Transfers

Payment Systems and Instruments

Transferring Funds

People of all economic backgrounds engage in money transfers with other individuals, households, and businesses. They transfer money to meet everyday financial obligations like bill payments. And they also transfer money for less common reasons like providing money to children away at school, sending money to family members living elsewhere, or transferring partial earnings to other parties.

These **fund transfers** take many forms. Some people use formal services provided by banks or other financial institutions. People without bank accounts or without access to formal services typically use less formal channels, and they often face greater risks and higher costs as a result.⁸²

Payment systems are the institutions, networks, people, rules, and technologies that make the exchange of payments possible. The **Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS)** of the Bank International Settlements defines a payment system as consisting of a set of instruments, banking procedures, and, typically, interbank funds transfer systems that ensure the circulation of money.⁸³ This infrastructure provides a crucial economic function by facilitating movements of money from payers to payees domestically and internationally.⁸⁴

Payment instruments are the mechanisms people, businesses, and governments use to transfer money in the settlement of exchange transactions. These instruments take many forms and have many uses (see Table A-1): a businesswoman may use cash to settle an exchange of goods in a local market; a merchant may use a credit card to pay for services; some businesses pay their wages by check or through direct deposit to their employees' bank accounts; and many people use electronic fund transfers (EFTs) to pay utilities, taxes, and other bills.

⁸² See Annex 2 for a more detailed description of formal, semi-formal and informal money transfer systems.

⁸³ CPSS Glossary of terms used in payments and settlement systems. <http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss00b.htm>

⁸⁴ See Chapter 1 for additional background on core concepts of payment systems and instruments.

Table A-1: Uses of fund transfers

	To:	Consumer	Business	Financial Service Provider	Government
From:					
Consumer		-Gifts -Reimbursements -Purchases -Loans -Rents	-Purchases -Bill payments	-Service fees & charges -Securities purchase -Insurance premiums -Loan repayment	-Taxes -License fees
Business		-Salaries -Expenses -Dividends	-Purchases -Bill payments -Rents	-Service fees & charges -Securities purchase -Insurance premium -Investment -Loan repayment	-Taxes -Withholdings -License fees
Financial Service Provider		-Dividends -Redemptions -Insurance claims -Interest	-Purchases -Bill payments	-Securities transfer -Purchase of services	-Taxes
Government		-Benefit transfers -Tax refunds -Salaries	-Purchases -Vendor payments -Tax refunds	-Tax refunds -Purchase of services -Loan repayment	-National to local government and inter-departmental transfers

Payment instruments have evolved over time from barter to commodity-based schemes, to coins and currency, to card-based methods, and more recently, to other electronic instruments. Each of these developments has reduced the costs and risks of commerce and trade. This process continues today as technological innovations, such as the Internet and mobile telephones; new institutions; and regulatory changes stimulate new payment methods that allow money to move more easily and quickly, and at ever lower transaction costs.

Except for cash and some stored-value devices, every payment instrument is based on the transfer of money from one institution to another or from one bank account to another. Accordingly, bank accounts are an integral part of the payment infrastructure. Thus, people who do not have bank accounts—whether by choice or because of exclusion—have limited choices of payment systems and instruments. By offering money transfer services, MFIs may be able to increase options for unbanked clients.

Fund Transfer Types

Money transfers fall into three broad categories: occasional transfers, regular transfers, and remittances.

Occasional transfers occur when people need to transfer money quickly in response to a particular situation, such as someone facing an emergency, or to place a deposit on the purchase of an asset. Such transfers are typically one-time events and of moderate to high value.

Regular transfers include ongoing, regular payments between people, businesses, and/or government agencies. Examples include salary payments to employees, savings, monthly rent payments, pension payments from government or business to individuals, and monthly or quarterly school or utility fees.

Remittances are transfers from workers living elsewhere (nationally or internationally) to family, friends, and communities back home. Worker remittances generally involve small amounts—typically US\$100 to \$1,000, with an average of \$200⁸⁵—transferred to lower income individuals or households. **Domestic remittances** are fund transfers within a region, typically from urban to rural areas. **International remittances** are cross-border

⁸⁵ Sander, *Migrant Remittances to Developing Countries*.

person-to-person payments of relatively low value. In practice the transfers are typically recurrent payments by migrant workers.

A large share of remittances is originated and disbursed in cash through the retail outlets of MTCs. Payment cards and electronic networks are increasingly being used as mechanisms for remittances.

To be used effectively, each of these money transfer types requires an adequate infrastructure be in place. Money transfer networks must have convenient locations for sending and receiving transfers, but around the world, rural areas typically have fewer numbers of financial service providers, and the formal financial infrastructure is often weak or nonexistent. Even when they are accessible, financial service providers may be unwilling or unable to cash national checks or foreign money orders or receive international transfers.

Whatever the reasons, limited access can have severe effects on families whose sustenance depends on timely receipt of transfers. To be effective, money transfer systems must ensure access for clients on both sides of the transaction, senders and recipients. Because the MFI typically has a good understanding of its country environment and clients, it can play an important role in its country's money transfer market.

Retail Payment Systems

Payment systems are often classified as retail or wholesale.⁸⁶ The **retail sector** (sometimes called the consumer sector) is made up of institutions and service providers that focus on serving individuals, households, and small businesses. Within the retail sector, payment systems can be broadly classified by the money type used in the settlement of payment (cash or account-based) and/or by the medium used for payment (currency, paper, card, or electronic). **Wholesale** payments are provided for the most part to financial institutions.⁸⁷

⁸⁶ Retail payments differ from other types in several ways. First, retail payments are typically made in large numbers of transactions and usually involve purchases of goods and services in both the consumer and business sectors—rather than, for example, wholesale settlements of transactions between financial institutions. Second, retail payments are made using a much wider range of payment instruments than larger-value wholesale payments and in more varied contexts, including payments made in person at a point of sale as well as remote consumer and commercial transactions. Third, retail payment markets make extensive use of private systems for the transaction process and for clearing.

⁸⁷ In some countries consumers also have access to large-value payment systems (e.g., Turkey, Brazil, Mexico, etc.).

Cash payments always involve an immediate transfer of value directly from payer to payee, such as a consumer purchasing food at a store with cash.

Account-based payments usually involve exchange instructions that order a transfer of value between accounts held at financial institutions. They require that one or both of the counterparties have a banking relationship at their respective institutions. People with no access to bank accounts are excluded from account-based payments.

Payment Instruments

In all developed economies and increasingly in emerging ones, there is more variety of available payment systems and instruments. A payment system can be as simple as handing cash to a merchant in exchange for some goods or as complex as an international, multi-bank transfer of electronic funds.

An MFI creates money transfer **products** by packaging a specific payment instrument with the institution’s terms and conditions of service. While institutions will often promote their products in interesting ways and include various enticing features, every product can be simplified to a particular type of payment instrument.

Table A-2: Payment media and their corresponding money type

Payment media	Money type	
	Cash	Account-based
Currency	-Banknotes and coins -Hawala	
Paper	-Checks and drafts -Promissory notes -Money orders -Travelers checks -Bills of exchange	-Checks -Credit transfers (paper giro)

Card	-Prepaid cards -Stored value cards	-Debit cards -Credit cards -Charge cards -Card to card
Electronic	-Digital cash	-Account transfers (intrabank and interbank) -Money transmission operations -Automated Clearing House (ACH) debits and credits -Domestic wire (bank and private electronic fund transfers (EFT)) -International wire (SWIFT, Telex) -Other Internet and mobile forms -Electronic bill payments -Person-to-person payments

The following sections discuss the use of various instruments in the money transfers industry, especially in developing countries.

Cash-based Payments and Transfers

Cash is the simplest type of retail payment instrument. A cash payment is the exchange of currency and coins with a face value established by the government and where final settlement occurs simultaneously with the cash handover. In developed countries, cash is the payment medium for 50 percent to 80 percent of retail payment transactions in terms of volume but less than 5 percent in terms of value.⁸⁸ In many developing countries, cash is still used extensively for paying wages, conducting most retail transactions, and settling many business transactions.

For low-income households, especially in developing countries, nearly all transactions are in cash. Despite efforts to move money into bank accounts, where

⁸⁸ Donges, *Cash Usage Study for Visa International*.

it can be multiplied into loans to fuel investment, use of cash remains a significant part of many economies. Wage payments in cash are common, particularly among micro-enterprises, agricultural businesses, and companies in rural areas with limited access to banking facilities. Even when wages are required to be paid by check or directly into bank accounts, most lower-income workers immediately convert the checks into cash or withdraw their pay from the accounts.

Many small and micro-enterprises operate solely on a cash basis, receiving cash from customers and paying it to employees and suppliers. Cash can be more expensive than other forms of payment for retailers—particularly in the small values typical of cash transactions at the point of sale—because it requires additional processing that can be time consuming and costly, including tendering the cash register, balancing cash received to sales, preparing cash deposits, and managing store cash. In small stores and markets, cash received for goods and services seldom goes to banks. Some merchants prefer to deal in cash to avoid registering sales and collecting and reporting taxes based on them.

Hand-carried cash remittances and those transmitted through informal channels are difficult to estimate, and they differ greatly by country, reflecting the severity of foreign exchange control systems, effectiveness of taxation systems, mobility of migrants, the state of the payment systems infrastructure, and the structure of incentives for remitting funds. Nevertheless, the use of cash will likely remain the biggest competitor to other types of payment instruments.

Transfers can be made on a cash-to-cash basis through the purchase of paper, card, or electronic funds transfer instruments. The sender pays in cash, and the funds move to the recipient for payout in cash (at banks as well as postal branches, check cashers, currency exchanges, retail stores, and non-bank check cashing firms).

The cashless society predicted over the past three decades is a long way off. In developed countries, card payments have reduced the use of cash, especially for purchases. But cash and checks remain prevalent, and in developing countries, cash will remain the dominant payment instrument until countries adapt and develop the needed financial infrastructure. As a result, the use of cash will likely remain the biggest competitor to other types of payment instruments.

Paper-based Payments and Transfers

Paper documents have been used to transmit money since the 14th century. Paper-based payments contain only the instructions to move the money from

the payer to the payee; the actual flow of money occurs in the transfer of monetary balances between accounts in intermediary financial institutions. Paper-based payments include bank checks, drafts, money orders, traveler's checks, international payment orders, and some giro transfers.

A **check** is a demand draft drawn on a bank, payable to a designated payee, and transferable to another person by endorsement. Checks are widely used by individuals and businesses in several developed countries (e.g., Australia, Canada, France, United Kingdom, United States) to settle financial obligations. In many other countries, including most developing ones, checks are not actively used by retail customers and are only in limited use for commercial and inter-bank purposes. Use of checks as retail payment instruments is declining rapidly everywhere as cards and electronic payments gain favor.

The writing of a check by the payer and the acceptance a check by the payee does not constitute final payment. The check must first be deposited in the payee's bank, then sent to the bank on which it is drawn for posting to the payer's account. The funds are transferred to the payee's account only after sufficient time has passed for the paying bank to dishonor the check. This process is called **check clearing** and, even in a well-developed check clearing network, it may take from overnight to several days; in a country with low clearing automation, settlement may take several weeks.

In countries where they are common, checks are widely used by businesses to pay salaries, pay dividends to shareholders, make trade payments (to suppliers of goods and services), and conduct various other payments. In active check countries, check cashing outlets allow people to cash payroll, government, and personal checks for a fee, usually a percentage of the check amount. In addition, many large retailers, such as supermarkets, are willing to cash payroll checks from well-known companies as a service for customers. These businesses also usually provide other services, including money orders, money transmission services, and bill payment. Sometimes they offer payday loans as well. In active check countries, checks are also used as a payment instrument at stores and service establishments and for remote bill payments—though the risk of fraudulent presentation and the possible return of unpaid checks sometimes limit the willingness of businesses to accept checks. To limit the number of returned checks, steps have been taken by banks (by imposing heavy penalty fees on accounts until checks are paid) and businesses (by using check verification services).

Checks are a convenient, low-cost instrument for transferring funds—except for recipients without bank accounts or for cross-border transfers. If the recipient is in the same country and the check is drawn on a national bank with local branch offices, cashing the check is usually not a major problem. But if there are no nearby branches and the check must be cashed at another bank, the collecting bank may charge a fee, and clearing can take up to six weeks. In some countries, foreign exchange restrictions may apply. Issuing checks and bank drafts is generally limited by law to regulated financial institutions, such as banks and most credit unions. Check transfers also depend on postal reliability, which is often lacking in developing countries, so clients risk losing checks and drafts in the mail. Even in the best cases, the recipient must wait for a check to arrive and then for the funds to clear the banking system.⁸⁹

Official checks and foreign drafts are instruments banks issue to send money to payees domestically or abroad. Both are drawn on a bank and signed by a bank officer. An official check is in the national currency, and it is typically used to make a domestic payment. A foreign draft is in national or foreign currency and payable through a partner bank in the destination country. Official checks are mainly used to pay taxes, make high-value purchases, or make payments through the mail. Foreign currency drafts are often used to send money in the recipient's currency or to pay for purchases overseas. A business may attach a foreign draft to an invoice to pay a foreign bill, making it easier for the recipient to apply the funds to the correct account. Businesses may also use them when other forms of payment are not feasible. Banks offer such checks to both client and nonclients, usually for a fee. Because the transaction relies on paper from end to end, it is by far the slowest means of payment and carries relatively high risks of loss and delay. Many banks are increasing their fees for official checks and drafts to steer clients toward more automated and efficient electronic products.

A **money order** is a limited amount payment instrument that provides an order from the issuer to the remitter to pay a sum of money to the person (payee or beneficiary) named in the money order. Money orders are purchased for their face value plus a service charge of US\$1 to \$10 (or a percentage of the total amount) from banks, post offices, and other nonbank out-

⁸⁹ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

lets. Money orders give people without bank accounts a paper-based means of transferring funds. The issuer serves as a depository for the funds from the time of a money order's sale to its encashment. The float on these funds is the main source of revenue for money order issuers.

Commercial issuers like First Data Corporation (which issues money orders under the American Express and the Western Union brand names) and Viad Corporation (which issues them under Travelers Express) account for most money order revenues and outlets. Some banks issue money orders under their own names or through firms such as Western Union. Post offices operate through their own network of offices and agents both nationally and internationally. Third-party money order issuers usually operate through their own branches as well as through agents, such as check-cashing outlets, convenience stores, grocery stores, supermarkets, and other retailers.

Money orders have also traditionally been paper-based instruments, but unlike checks, they can be issued by and redeemed at a variety of places. Major issuers of money orders include postal financial institutions and money transfer companies, such as Western Union and MoneyGram. Money orders do not require a bank account; a recipient receives cash upon presenting the money order to an authorized paying agent (a bank branch, a post office, money transfer agent, etc.). This process also reduces the time a recipient must wait to access the transferred funds, compared to checks or bank drafts. However, given the need for money orders to be physically delivered to a recipient, they are subject to some of the same risks of delay and theft. Postal money orders are now estimated to provide only 1 percent of formal international money transfers. In contrast, postal networks play a very important role in domestic transfer markets in many countries. China Post, for example, manages 90 percent of cash-based transfers within the country. In Bulgaria, the post office processes three times more cash payments than do all commercial banks together. While the volume of these transactions is large, their value is estimated at only 2 percent of the value of cash payments processed by banks—a trend visible in the majority of countries of Eastern Europe and Central Asia.⁹⁰

Card-based Payments and Transfers

Using cards to make money transfers has become routine for many people around the world. In most developed countries, large portions of the popu-

⁹⁰Boon and Greathouse, "Role of Postal Networks."

lation have one or more debit or credit cards, and almost everywhere these people shop (in retail outlets, by telephone, on the Internet), their cards are readily accepted as payment.⁹¹ However, many developing countries have fewer cardholders and acceptance places. Since their introduction in the late 1950s, payment cards have been provided by two main suppliers: commercial banks that make up bank card associations (Visa and MasterCard) and third party issuers (American Express, Diners Club, Discover, JCB). Visa and MasterCard are one of the factors driving the extraordinary growth of the payment card market in developed countries.

The use of payment cards for money transfers is fairly new, and there are some constraints since credit card clearing and settlement systems are designed primarily to facilitate merchandise purchases. However, credit card issuers are finding ways to extend their usefulness and to expand the number of places where cards can be used. These initiatives have produced innovative ways to send money (see Box A-1). There is little doubt that payment cards will continue to displace cash and checks and to capture a growing share of consumer spending.

Box A-1: Enabling affordable, card-based international remittances

Card-to-card-based fund transfers are a recent development in international remittances. The service was introduced to provide European banks with a low-cost, cross-border money transfer scheme. The service is limited to cards issued in Europe, but it is likely to expand elsewhere in the future. Visa offers the service under the name Visa Direct and MasterCard under the name MoneySend. The two services work in similar ways. To use MoneySend, both cardholders must be registered with their financial institutions. On the Internet, the sender enters a user name, password, and the email address of the recipient. By telephone, the sender uses an interactive voice response system, entering the mobile phone number of the recipient. The recipient then takes his or her card to an ATM to withdraw the money. Since both the sender and receiver must be registered, use of the service is limited

⁹¹ For more background on technology for financial services, see Ivatury, G., "Using Technology to Build Inclusive Financial Systems," CGAP Focus Note 32, January 2006.

to people with credit card accounts or deposit accounts accessible with debit cards. In addition, Visa has established an alliance with Eurogiro so that transfers can be sent to any institution affiliated with the Eurogiro scheme.

Credit cards are unique among payment products in that they are both payment instruments and credit instruments. Consumers use credit cards as a substitute for cash and checks when buying goods and services at retail outlets or by mail, telephone, or the Internet. Credit cards are also increasingly used to make periodic preauthorized payments and to transfer funds. The credit card business, although concentrated in some markets, is rapidly expanding globally, highly competitive, and innovative. One of the main benefits of credit cards is the convenience they offer in allowing cardholders to arrange their spending independent of the availability of cash in their wallets or bank accounts. However, credit cards require an account with the issuing institution, and many low-income clients currently do not have access to credit cards, especially in developing countries.

Debit cards play a vital role in providing access to bank products and accounts. A bank card is considered a debit card when its main role is to access an account classified as a liability on the books of the card issuer. To use a debit card, a customer must hold an account with a depository institution. Debits may be cash withdrawals at **ATMs** or branch offices, payments to merchants for goods and services, and/or Internet transactions. MFIs that are allowed to collect deposits could consider debit cards as one element for their money transfers strategy.

Debit cards can be online debit cards or deferred debit cards. **Online cards** are linked to bank deposit accounts and can be used only at merchants with point-of-sale terminals or at other automated banking terminals. Purchase transactions are transmitted to the issuer through private electronic funds transfer networks or card authorization networks; the amounts are then debited from the available balance in the account linked to the card. Because the account is checked each time it is used, the cardholder cannot inadvertently become overdrawn. Thus there is no credit risk associated with the issuance of such cards, and any legal party to a deposit account can be issued a card. Such cards are well suited to the banking systems of developing countries.

Deferred (offline) debit cards are linked to bank deposits and can be used on a voucher or electronic basis. The cards—usually standard embossed cards that bear the acceptance marks of Visa or MasterCard—are sometimes called check cards because their deferred clearing cycle simulates that of a check float. All transactions initiated on deferred debit cards are first sent to the acquirer, then through the credit card clearing system, to the issuer for posting to the linked deposit account within a day or two of the purchase. Although most transactions are authorized online and captured electronically, they can also be originated by manual merchants.

In many countries, banks use debit cards as part of their card-based commercial payroll services for corporate clients. On payday, the employer deposits employees' pay with the bank, the bank distributes the wages to employee accounts, and the employees go to ATMs to retrieve their money. Such services are often loss-making or subsidized by the corporate client, because most employees immediately withdraw their pay.

Debit cards are also useful for international (or national) remittances. The remittance sender opens an account at a bank in the guest country and receives one or two debit cards. The remittance sender provides one of the debit cards and security code (e.g., PIN) to his or her family in the home country. The family member can withdraw cash from an ATM or use the debit card at any authorized point of sale. The sender controls how much he or she deposits into the account, and funds can then be withdrawn, usually with limited charges such as ATM fees.

ATMs accessible with debit cards have begun to play a major role in money transfers, particularly in substituting electronic transfers for money orders. ATMs have long been used for account-to-account transfers, but only recently has that capability been extended to third-party and international transfers. Although the density of ATMs is growing around the world, they are still not ubiquitous in every part of every country.

Visa Giro is a cost-effective alternative to banks and businesses. Visa Giro is a Visa debit card that is accepted in most places that accept Visa in Latin America. Remitters add value to the card at an MTC in the United States. An allied bank in Latin America distributes a card, which contains the money credited, to the recipient. Because the transfer is electronic, sending costs are reduced substantially. Visa Giro is currently working in alliances between Latin American banks and money transfer businesses. Examples

include Banco Uno and Gigante Express and Banco Cuscatlan and its MTC, Corfinge, in Central America. In Mexico, HSBC (formerly Banco Bital) has an alliance with Quisqueyana, and in the Dominican Republic, Quisqueyana has an alliance with Banco Mercantil, using a product known as Cashpin.⁹²

Since its inception in the 1990s, the **prepaid card** has become the fastest growing payment product in the financial services industry, with an estimated \$160 billion in volume in 2004.⁹³ Most of this activity has taken place in the United States where the cards first found widespread application as gift and payroll cards, but their use is rapidly extending into Europe and Asia. Prepaid cards offer a great deal of flexibility for financial institutions to develop card products and target cash-based consumers who cannot or do not access more formal financial channels. The cards look and work like debit cards, but no transaction account is involved, and many types of institutions and vendors can sell prepaid cards. Clients can buy prepaid cards with cash or other money instruments in standard amounts predetermined by the issuer or in tailored amounts determined by the client. A prefunded value is stored on a remote database accessed using the same magnetic-stripe card and card acceptance device infrastructure used in debit and credit cards. After the value loaded to a card account has been spent, the cardholder can add value by depositing cash or other money instruments at a branch office of the issuer, an ATM operated by the issuer, or another authorized location.

A number of money transfer schemes have been developed based on open, universal prepaid cards. This builds on the growing customer acceptance of prepaid cards used with cellular telephones in many countries. One prevalent use of prepaid cards is to facilitate the transfer of funds by migrant workers to their home countries. The bank or nonbank service provider issues one card to the sender and one to the recipient, who can use the card to withdraw cash from an ATM or to make purchases wherever the card is accepted. Access to a broad network of affiliated ATMs and merchants is an essential part of this service—and it is a challenge in many developing countries. An example of such a program is Citibank's Money Card, limited to transfers between the United States and Mexico. Money Card charges US\$7.95 per wire transfer, plus a \$5 monthly maintenance fee.⁹⁴

⁹²Orozco, *Worker Remittances: Issues and Best Practices*.

⁹³Donges, *The Prepaid Card Phenomenon*, RBR.

⁹⁴Orozco, Testimony presented before the Congressional Hispanic Caucus.

Table A-3: Credit, debit, and prepaid cards: A comparison

	Description	Benefits	Challenges
Credit cards	Payment and credit instruments	Convenience: cardholders can arrange their spending independent of the availability of cash in their wallets or bank accounts.	Potential cardholders need to have reasonably stable incomes. Not accessible for many low-income clients. The MFI offering this service must have membership in a bank card association or create an alliance with a bank.
Debit cards	Main purpose is access to an account classified as a liability on the books of the card issuer.	Vital role in providing access to banking services. Useful for international (or national) remittances.	Low density of ATMs in developing countries. The MFI offering this service must have membership in a bank card association or create an alliance with a bank.
Prepaid cards	Look and work like debit cards, but no transaction account is involved. A pre-funded value stored on a remote database.	Convenience: Universal prepaid card can be used at any credit or debit card-accepting location; a prepaid card program involves much less counterparty credit risk than a credit card program.	Low density of ATMs in developing countries. The MFI typically must ally with a card issuer to launch and maintain this service.

Electronic Payments and Transfers

Electronic fund transfers (EFTs) use computers and telecommunications to transfer money between accounts in the same or different institutions. Most EFT schemes directly or indirectly involve a financial institution in initiation, clearing, or settlement of transfers. Such transfers are mainly used for low-to medium-value payments. These transfers provide a reasonably timely, reliable method of ensuring money is received by beneficiaries. The range of EFT products and services is extensive and constantly growing as participants find new ways to apply technology in the process. However, because EFTs typically require both sender and receiver to have a bank account, such transfers remain beyond the reach of many lower income clients. Types of EFTs are summarized below.

Intrabank account money transfers occur between accounts held at the same institution. Such transfers allow people to move money from one account to another to make the best use of available funds, for example to make loan payments, pay on a credit card balance, shift funds from term deposits to checking accounts, etc. Another example is a cross-account transfer that moves funds between two different clients' accounts. Some banks allow for intrabank transfers to designated noncustomers or named beneficiaries, and they hold the funds until the beneficiaries claim them in person at the bank. If the bank has international branches, it may provide for international fund transfers on an account-to-account basis.

Account transfers are initiated by the account holder or set up on a recurring basis. The origination may be in person or by ATM, telephone, or the Internet. Some large banks offer account-based money transfers to recipients with accounts at overseas branches or partner banks. Financial institutions charge little or nothing for account-to-account transfers. For example, Bank of America now offers free intra-bank account transfers between the United States and Mexico.

Direct debits are a type of electronic funds transfer in which the payer authorizes the payee to debit the payer's account for a specific amount. This instrument is mainly used for consumer bills—both for frequent, recurring payments of fixed amounts, such as life insurance premiums, and for variable amounts, such as telephone, utility, credit card, and other bills. Direct debits are widely used for bill payments in Europe, and much less so in the

United States and many developing countries. The costs of direct debits are usually borne by the payee. Direct debiting requires a bank account from which automatic debits are preauthorized by the account holder.

Wire transfers are the fastest, safest way to send money domestically or internationally through the banking system. Banks and credit unions offer a variety of EFT systems for use in retail interbank transfers, ranging from corporate systems for high-value transfers to specialized offerings for worker remittances.

Wire transfers usually require both the sender and receiver to have bank accounts. Even though a wire transfer may be received within minutes of its transmission, it may take two to three days (or longer, especially among small banks in developing countries) for the beneficiary to receive the funds. Many of the costs in wire transfers are fixed costs independent of the value of the transfer; thus, small-value transfers may appear expensive. The costs of wire transfers are borne by the beneficiary, the remitter, or both and vary by bank and whether the transfer is sent domestically or internationally.

Automated clearinghouse (ACH) and **large-value funds transfer system (LVTS)** allow member financial institutions to exchange payment instructions and settle obligations electronically. ACH is a batch-process settlement system, where transactions are typically settled overnight, which incurs lower costs than a real-time gross settlement system. LVTS are settlement systems typically used by large corporations, currency dealers, and others for immediate and real-time transfer of funds. Examples of LVTS include the US FEDWIRE, UK CHAPS, Turkey EFT, and the Eurozone TARGET. In many but not all cases, the transfer involves an immediate debit and credit to the sending and receiving banks' clearing accounts at the central bank. Other transfers settle on a queuing basis or with a net settlement. ACHs play a critical role in payments and money transfers in both developed and developing countries. ACHs can move payments from an originating institution to a receiving institution reliably and at low cost. They serve as the primary means of distributing wages, paying bills, funding prepaid cards, and conducting person-to-person payments. ACHs also play a role in the settlement of payments between participants in EFT networks as well as between agents and MTCs. An ACH may be owned and operated by the central bank, bank associations, commercial interests, or a combination of these.

All developed and many developing countries have at least one ACH. Some ACHs operate only on an interbank basis, with direct participation lim-

ited to licensed deposit-taking institutions, while others allow for broader participation by all types of financial institutions. An MFI may not have direct access to the ACH and may be required to pass through another financial institution to process transactions.

At the international level, the most commonly used system for facilitating electronic fund transfers is operated by the **Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication (SWIFT)**, an industry-owned cooperative that provides real-time payment messaging services to member institutions. Messages routed over SWIFT are simply instructions to transfer funds; the actual exchange or settlement of the funds takes place subsequently through a payment system or correspondent banking relationships. SWIFT is often the cheapest option for high-value commercial transactions between financial institutions, but it can be expensive for small transfers. For this reason, most payments processed by SWIFT are not individual person-to-person transfers, but larger payments between businesses or between businesses and consumers, such as university tuition. Banks may also bundle and send a batch of person-to-person transfers via SWIFT.⁹⁵

SWIFT is not really a money transfer system as popularly thought but an interbank messaging system that carries payment orders from an originating SWIFT member bank through the SWIFT network to a receiving SWIFT member bank. The actual transfer of funds follows a different path through the correspondent bank accounts that the various banks involved in the transfer hold with each other.

Like most bank technology, wire transfers are undergoing rapid changes as more institutions take advantage of the increasing security available to protect financial transactions over the Internet and as traditional high-value networks (such as SWIFT) broaden their services to include domestic retail payments and lower value transactions.

MFIs and other nonbank institutions may not have access to wire transfer networks. Although some credit unions have direct access to such systems or through a national federation, most nonbank institutions are restricted by law from becoming part of a domestic payment system. The institution's technical capacity can represent another hurdle to accessing payment networks. The cost, information technology, and staff capacity required to connect with EFT sys-

⁹⁵ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

tems can be significant. Although financial service providers can often link to EFT systems through alliances with banks, the resulting transaction entails a certain loss of competitive privacy, as the intermediary bank necessarily obtains information about the institution's money transfer business. The cost of joining SWIFT is also a major obstacle for smaller institutions. In addition to buying shares, SWIFT members pay a one-time membership fee of several thousand euros, plus a yearly fee of over 1,000 euros per routing code. The number of codes an institution buys usually depends on the number of its branches or divisions linked to SWIFT.

Giro is the term used for the electronic cross-border payments offered by post offices in more than 40 countries. This system enables holders of a postal bank account to send money—domestically or overseas—to another postal account, a bank account, or to a post office for cash payment. It generally takes two to four days to receive a giro transfer. The international service is often used by small entrepreneurs for import and export payments. Although sending a giro requires a postal bank account, these banks tend to have more widespread locations than commercial banks. Postal giros also tend to be cheaper than bank transfers for small amounts. Barriers to access for poor clients, therefore, tend to be lower than for checks or commercial bank transfers. To cite a regional example, postal networks in North Africa provide account-based giro services that are highly popular with students and low- and middle-income groups who find it difficult to open checking accounts at commercial banks.⁹⁶

Internet funds transfers are growing rapidly with the increasing popularity of **e-commerce** (electronic commerce) and considerable innovations in retail payments. Internet funds transfer systems can be used to enter instructions into a Web site to initiate the movement of funds from account to account. In most of the schemes to date, the Internet serves primarily as a channel of communication between the payer and payee, with the remainder of the payment process carried out using traditional debit and credit cards or EFT mechanisms. Many of these methods employ security mechanisms that address the issues of privacy and risk inherent in the use of public networks, such as encryption, digital signatures, public key infrastructure, etc. Internet-based transfers require two prerequisites that may block lower income peo-

⁹⁶ Boon and Greathouse, "Role of Postal Networks."

ple from using the service: (a) access to the Internet and (b) access to an account to send or receive funds.

Mobile payments involve the use of a mobile communication device, such as a mobile phone, personal digital assistant, wireless tablet, or mobile computer. Introduced in 1983, wireless telephony now has more than 1.5 billion users worldwide—a number expected to grow to 2 billion by 2007.⁹⁷ In many developing countries wireless networks have become the primary means of voice and data communication. The use of mobile telephones in applications involving the transmission of money between parties is growing rapidly (see Box A-2).

Box A-2: G-cash in the Philippines

Globe Telecom, the largest cell phone company in the Philippines, recently launched a phone-based remittance service called G-Cash that allows Filipinos working abroad to send money home more quickly and at a lower cost than through MTCs. The potential market is huge: 8 million Filipinos working abroad sent \$7.6 billion home in 2005, and about 30 percent of the country's 84 million people use cell phones.

Mobile phone subscribers register for G-Cash by keying in personal information, including their mother's maiden name for ID purposes. Within the Philippines, cash can be credited to the phone account by visiting an authorized outlet, filling in a form, and presenting ID. The fee for this is 1 percent, or a minimum of 10 pesos (US\$0.20). The money can be transferred to another phone by keying in the sender's PIN, a simple code, and the recipient's phone number. The cost is the same as sending a text message, 1 peso (US\$0.02). The recipient receives a text message confirming the transfer and then withdraws the cash by visiting any authorized G-Cash agent. Outlets include Globe Telecom centers; selected retailers, such as 7-Eleven; and pawnshops.

Globe Telecom is creating a network of overseas outlets where migrants can deposit cash. At present, coverage is provided in Hong Kong, Italy, Singapore, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom. The worker abroad goes to any of the phone company's remittance partners in 17 countries and pays to preload the phone. He then sends a text message, advising the recipient

⁹⁷ *Internet World Statistics* for March 2005.

in the Philippines of the transfer. The money is instantly credited to the recipient's account with the phone company and to a "smart" debit card that enables him to withdrawal the money at ATMs around the country, using a PIN to guard against fraud. Sending a payment from the United Kingdom costs £7 (US\$13). The service offers an innovative low-cost means of making cash-to-cash transfers. Neither party is required to have a bank account.⁹⁸

Table A-4: Summary: Advantages and disadvantages of money transfer mechanisms for customers and financial service partners⁹⁹

	Customers	Financial Service Providers (FSPs)	Restrictions to Access by FSPs
Paper-based payments and transfers	Slow; subject to loss/theft; must be physically delivered; require bank accounts to send (not necessarily to receive)	Incur relatively high processing costs	Depends on local regulation; access often limited to regulated financial institutions only
Card-based payments and transfers	Fast, easy to use; debit cards require bank account; client must apply for card in advance; developing countries have fewer cardholders and acceptance places such as ATMs and retail points.	Prepaid cards have fewer restrictions and may be logical first step for clients without bank accounts or institutions that cannot issue debit or credit cards.	Debit and credit cards usually require membership in a bank card association and/or access to the payments system

⁹⁸ G-Cash presentation, CGAP annual meeting, November 2005. http://www.microfinancegateway.org/files/30839_file_Gcash_presentation.pdf

⁹⁹ Adapted from Isem, Deshpande, and van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers."

Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT)	Faster than paper-based instruments; requires bank accounts to send and receive; cheaper than MTC transfers	Lower labor costs than checks, but requires link to network and infrastructure; fees lower than for MTC transfers	Can be accessed by many FSPs through financial institutions with which they conduct business
Giro	Requires a postal account for sending, but generally cheaper and more accessible than bank-based EFTs	Requires a postal account for sending, but generally cheaper and more accessible than bank-based EFTs	Requires a postal account for sending, but generally cheaper and more accessible than bank-based EFTs

Annex 2: Formality of Financial Channels

A **financial channel** is any means by which money is transferred. Channels range from formal to semiformal to informal, and customers typically select their financial service provider based on perceptions of trust, convenience, service quality, and price. However, people without access to bank accounts typically cannot use formal channels.

Formal Financial Channels

A country's formal financial channels are comprised of institutions that participate in some type of financial intermediation under the supervision of designated financial authorities such as a central bank. Formal financial channels include commercial banks, saving banks, credit unions, development banks, agricultural banks, and regulated MFIs. The main driver behind the use of formal financial channels is their ability to meet the range of financial service needs of households and small enterprises: to save, borrow, make timely payments, and insure against the risk of loss. However, formal channels have their limitations. Many formal transfer channels serve limited regions within a country, such as only urban areas and larger towns. A sender may prefer the security of a particular formal provider, but the destination country may not have adequate coverage of locations for the recipient to conveniently collect the money.

Semiformal Financial Channels

Semiformal or nonbank financial institutions provide many financial services, such as loans and money transfers, but they are not licensed to gather deposits. Most of these institutions are not supervised by the same authorities, and they may operate under different laws and regulations than banks and other formal institutions. A semiformal provider may have a large global agent network with an outlet near the recipient but charge a higher fee for the service, especially in countries where there is little competition.

This sector includes finance companies, some post offices, MTCs, unlicensed MFIs, retailers, and telecommunications companies. Many credit-only MFIs qualify as a semiformal financial channel.

The range of organizations and the scope of services offered by semiformal financial service firms are extensive and growing rapidly. Some specialize in specific services, lending to particular groups of borrowers, or offer specialized financial arrangements, such as leasing, securitized lending, and financial derivative operations. Some operate at the wholesale level, providing payment services to other financial services and merchants. Others are involved in the production of financial services, such as money transfers, that are offered to consumers directly or indirectly through alliances. Much of the growth in semiformal institutions has been driven by new technologies and deregulation of financial services in many countries.

Nonbank financial service firms are increasingly leveraging electronic distribution channels, information technology, and innovative marketing to offer payment services that function in a manner similar to those offered by licensed deposit-taking banks. In some cases, they employ shadow or suspense accounts to temporarily hold money until it is needed to effect a transfer. In many countries, the money held in these accounts is coming under scrutiny as to whether they should be considered as e-money or even bank accounts. Through these accounts, clients without conventional bank accounts can still receive money, pay bills, and transfer money through nonbank institutions. Consumers expect the same value, safety, soundness, and protection from nonbanks as from more established financial institutions. Whether they are covered under the same consumer protection measures that apply to bank deposits is still a question in many countries.

While MTCs are dominant players in the industry, the volume of domestic and international payments through other types of nonbank institutions is small but growing.

Informal Channels

Where financial instruments or institutions are not widely available, people find other ways to fulfill their financial service needs. Informal funds transfer systems vary tremendously in structure and complexity.¹⁰⁰

Carrying cash by hand, usually by migrants themselves or by family and friends, is the most basic system, and it is especially common in situations of seasonal or circular migration, where migrants frequently return to their place of origin.¹⁰¹ In some countries, the physical transfer of cash is also done by couriers (internationally) or by bus companies and taxi drivers (nationally or sometimes regionally). Other systems involve only the virtual movement of funds.

Use of informal channels may offer intangible “benefits” that a more formal system cannot. For example, senders who are undocumented workers may fear the use of formal systems, and so the anonymity provided by the use of some informal channels is a compelling feature.

Sophisticated informal systems exist under different names around the world, including hundi (South Asia), fei-chen (China), hui kwan (Hong Kong), padala (Philippines), phei kwan (Thailand), and hawala (Middle East).¹⁰² Many of these systems, such as those common in African mineral-exporting countries like Angola, evolved as mechanisms for trade financing and net funds transfers against the movement of goods.¹⁰³

Box B-1: Hawalas: A sophisticated, informal channel

The *hawala* system used in the greater Middle East is representative of how informal channels work. Typically, a migrant makes a payment to an agent (*hawaladar*) in the country where he works and lives, and the hawaladar gives him a code to authenticate the transaction. The hawaladar requests his counterpart at the receiving end to make the payment to a beneficiary upon submission of the code. After the transfer, hawaladars settle accounts through payment in cash or in goods and services. They are remunerated by senders through a fee or an exchange rate spread. Hawaladars often use fluctuations in demand for different currencies, which enables them to offer customers better rates than those

¹⁰⁰ For descriptions of particular systems, see Kabbucho, Sander, and Mukwana, “Passing the Buck”; Jaramillo, *Leveraging the Impact of Remittances*; Mellyn, “Worker Remittances as a Development Tool”; and Genesis Analytics, “African Families.”

¹⁰¹ Fagen and Bump, “Remittances between Developing Neighbors.”

¹⁰² For more information on the hawala system, see El Qorchi, “Hawala.”

¹⁰³ Barro and Sander, “Étude sur le transfert d’argent.”

offered by banks (most of which will conduct transactions only at authorized rates of exchange). Since many hawaladars are also involved in businesses where money transfers are necessary, such as commodity trading, remittance services fit well into their existing activities. Remittances and business transfers are processed through the same bank accounts and few, if any, additional operational costs are incurred.¹⁰⁴

Afghanistan does not have a functional financial sector. More than 20 years of conflict has completely disrupted the domestic and international payments systems. In this vacuum, a large and vibrant informal market has developed. Hawaladars offer a well-organized, convenient, and cost-effective way to make domestic and international payments. This hawala system is estimated to have channeled at least US\$200 million in emergency, relief, and development funding. Large transactions of more than \$500,000 are common, and international aid institutions and NGOs have made individual transactions equaling twice that amount.¹⁰⁵

Experts estimate the total value of money transfers made through informal channels is somewhere between 40 and 100 percent of the volume of global formal transfers.¹⁰⁶ Recent studies estimate, for example, that over half of the money transfers from France to Mali and Senegal are made via informal channels, as are 85 percent of total transfers made to Sudan. Bezard estimates that informal money-transfer systems in Asia and the Middle East may manage two-and-a-half times the value of transfers processed by formal systems in these regions.¹⁰⁷

Such evidence indicates that informal systems are competing successfully with even the largest players in the formal money transfers market. In large part, their popularity is due to certain client-friendly features. Regardless of the actual mechanism employed, informal transfer systems are usually fast, discreet, and involve a minimum of paperwork. They are also generally less expensive than formal transfer mechanisms, which are subject to regulation and taxation, and they are often available in areas where no formal sector

¹⁰⁴ See Jost and Sandhu, “Hawala Alternative Remittance System.”

¹⁰⁵ Maimbo, “The Money Exchange Dealers of Kabul—A study of the Hawala System in Afghanistan”.

¹⁰⁶ Ratha and Bezard both estimate the size of the informal market to be approximately 40 percent of the formal market, but some private industry actors interviewed by the authors estimate it to be as large as the formal market.

¹⁰⁷ Bezard, “Global Money Transfers.”

providers exist. From a client perspective, informal systems may seem more familiar and trusted than formal services, despite the risk of possible theft. For clients who lack identity or residence documentation, informal systems may be easier to use in the short term. Given the benefits of formal systems and government concerns about informal systems, many are working to help clients move from informal to formal service providers. Nonetheless, the client-friendly features could serve as a model for financial service providers when designing appropriate money transfer products.¹⁰⁸

¹⁰⁸ Isern, Deshpande, and van Doorn. “Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers.”

Annex 3: General Principles for International Remittance Service

Consultative Report, March 2006, by the World Bank and Bank for International Settlements

This report¹⁰⁹ provides an analysis of payment system issues related to remittances, and it sets out general principles designed to assist countries that want to improve their market for remittance services. The report was prepared for the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems (CPSS) and the World Bank by a task force consisting of representatives from international financial institutions involved in remittances as well as representatives from central banks in both remittance-sending and remittance-receiving countries.

The task force defined the following public policy objectives for the provision of international remittance services:¹¹⁰ International remittance services should be safe and efficient. To this end, the markets for the services should be contestable, transparent, accessible, and sound. In order to achieve the public policy objectives, the task force has identified principles covering five key areas: (1) transparency and consumer protection; (2) payment system infrastructure; (3) the legal and regulatory environment; (4) market structure and competition; and (5) governance and risk management. The five principles correspond to the five areas of possible market weaknesses. Their purpose is to help remove those weaknesses in order to create a safe and efficient market. They do not aim to set specific service-level standards for remittance transfers since, beyond a certain basic level of service and in normal circumstances, low price may be more important than a high level of service for most end users. The general principles are aimed at all remittance services except those based on purely physical transfers of cash.

¹⁰⁹ The report presents a consultation document circulated in March 2006 that can be found at <http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss73.pdf#search=%22General%20Principles%20for%20International%20Remittance%20Services%22> A final version of the report will be published after September 2006.

¹¹⁰ The report considers only international remittance transfers and international remittance services, not domestic ones, but for simplicity it usually refers to these as “remittance transfers” and “remittance services”—i.e., it is assumed they are international. For the purposes of the report, remittance transfers are defined as *cross-border person-to-person payments of relatively low value*.

The General Principles

General Principle 1. The market for remittance services should be transparent and have adequate consumer protection.

In any market, full information is important, because it enables individuals to make informed decisions about which services to use, and it helps to make the market as a whole more efficient. Transparency in the market for remittances is particularly important because the price to the consumer depends on two elements: the exchange rate used and any fees charged; and combining these to calculate which service is cheapest is difficult for most consumers. Transparency and adequate consumer protection are important because, as low-income migrants in a foreign country, many senders may have difficulties understanding the local language or providing adequate identification to open a bank account, or they may lack the time and financial literacy to search out and compare different remittance services.

Remittance service providers should be encouraged to provide relevant information about their own services in easily accessible and understandable forms. Authorities or other organizations may want to provide comparative price information. They may also wish to undertake educational campaigns to give senders and receivers sufficient background knowledge to be able to understand the information being provided.

General Principle 2. Improvements to payment system infrastructure that have the potential to increase the efficiency of remittance services should be encouraged.

The infrastructure needed to support remittance services is sometimes inadequate. Many services require remittance service providers to cooperate in order to create a network of access points. It may not always be easy for potential remittance service providers to identify suitable partners, particularly in other countries. Moreover, under-development of the domestic financial infrastructure, particularly in receiving countries, may mean that transferring funds to the access points is slow and unreliable; in some cases, non-cash payment services may only be available in urban locations. Another important aspect of the infrastructure is correspondent banking, which is widely used for cross-border transfers of funds but which can be expensive for small-value payments such as remittances. The safety and efficiency of

remittance services can be affected by payment systems in the relevant markets and the way that these systems are accessed and used by remittance service providers or by banks acting on their behalf. Remittance services may be improved by initiatives aimed at facilitating greater interoperability of systems and straight-through processing.

General Principle 3. Remittance services should be supported by a sound, predictable, non-discriminatory, and proportionate legal and regulatory framework in relevant jurisdictions.

The remittance industry is likely to flourish best under appropriate laws and regulations. Remittances may be regulated for various reasons including the prevention of their misuse for purposes such as money laundering. However, as with all laws and regulations, there is the possibility that those for remittances are badly designed with unintended side effects, that they are disproportionate to the problem they are designed to tackle, or that they continue to be applied even when no longer useful. Moreover, regulating remittances solely by type of entity, as is sometimes the case (e.g., when the regulations are applied only to the services provided by licensed institutions such as banks), may make regulation less effective (by creating loopholes that can be exploited for illegal activities) and distort markets (by enabling some remittance service providers to inappropriately avoid the costs of regulation and thus offer artificially cheaper services). National regulations should aim to create a level playing field between equivalent remittance services.

General Principle 4. Competitive market conditions, including appropriate access to domestic payments infrastructures, should be fostered in the remittance industry.

The efficiency of remittance services depends on there being a competitive business environment. Competition can be assisted by various steps such as discouraging exclusivity conditions, where a remittance service provider allows its agents or others to offer its remittance service only on condition that they do not offer any other competing service. It is important that remittance service providers without direct access to the domestic payments infrastructure should be able to use, on an equitable basis, the payment services provided by institutions that do have direct access.

General Principle 5. Remittance services should be supported by appropriate governance and risk management practices.

The relatively small values involved in remittance transfers mean that it is unlikely that there will be systemic risk involved. However, remittance service providers face financial, legal, operational, fraud, and reputation risks. Governance and risk-management practices can improve the safety and soundness of international remittance services and help protect consumers. These practices should be appropriate to the size and type of a remittance service provider's business and the level of risks.

* * *

Although these principles are designed to be generally applicable, some countries may decide that the size of their particular remittance market does not justify significant action. In addition, the principles are likely to be applied at one end of the transaction irrespective of application at the other end. Nevertheless, authorities may want to prioritize their efforts on the most important bilateral corridors.

References

- Ansoff, Igor. "Strategies for Diversification, Harvard Business Review" (September-October 1957).
- Asian Development Bank. *Workers' Remittance Flows in Southeast Asia*. 2006.
- Bank of International Settlements, Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems. *A Glossary of Terms Used in Payments and Settlements Systems*. Basel: Bank of International Settlements, 2003. www.bis.org/publ/cpss00b.htm.
- Barro, Issa, and Cerstin Sander. "Étude sur le transfert d'argent des émigrés au Sénégal et les services de transfer en microfinance." Document de travail, no. 40, Social Finance Programme. Geneva : ILO, n.d.
- Bezard, Gwenn. *Global Money Transfers: Exploring the Remittances Gold Mine*. Boston: Celent Communications, 2003.
- Boon, Hans. "Remittances as a Trigger for Postal Financial Services Development: Overview, Issues and Scenarios." Presentation at Strategic Postal Forum, The Hague. April, 2005.
- Boon, Hans, and Greta Greathouse. "The Role of Postal Networks in Expanding Access to Financial Services." Draft paper. Global Communications and Information Technology Policy Department. Washington, D.C.: World Bank; and Amsterdam: ING, forthcoming.
- Boston Consulting Group. *Preparing for the Endgame: Global Payments 2004*. London: Boston Consulting Group, 2003.
- Chakravorti, Sujit, and Victor Lubasi, "Payment instrument choice: The case of prepaid cards." Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, 2006.
- Donges, William. "Cash Usage." Study for Visa International, 2003.
- El-Qorchi, Mohammed. "Hawala: How Does This Informal Funds Transfer System Work, and Should It Be Regulated?" *Finance and Development* 39, no. 4 (December 2002).
- Evans, Anna Cora, and Janette Klaehn. *A Technical Guide to Remittances: The Credit Union Experience* World Council of Credit Unions, Inc. Technical Guide #4, March 2004, p. 5–6.
- Fagen, Patricia Weiss, and Micah Bump. "Remittances between Developing Neighbors in Latin America." Chapter 9 in *Beyond Small Change: Making Migrants' Remittances Count*. Washington, D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank, forthcoming.
- Fertziger, Ivana L. "Making the Link: Channeling Mexico's Remittance Receivers to Financial Services." Report prepared for the U.S. Agency for International Development, Mexico. August, 2004.
- First Data Corporation. *SEC Form 10-K*. Denver, Colo.: First Data Corporation, 2003.
- Food Marketing Institute (FMI), *Retail Payments Survey*. Washington D.C.: FMI, 2003.
- Frumkin, Samuel. "Remittances: A Gateway to Banking for Unbanked Clients." *Community Development Insights*, September 2004. Washington, D.C.: Community Affairs Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. www.occ.treas.gov/cdd/fall04index.html.
- G-Cash presentation, CGAP annual meeting, November 2005. http://www.microfinancegateway.org/files/30839_file_Gcash_presentation.pdf.
- Genesis Analytics. "African Families, African Money: Bridging the Money Transfer Divide." Study prepared for UK Department for International Development (DFID) and Finmark Trust, 2003, www.livelihoods.org/hot_topics/docs/FinMarkRemit.pdf.

- Genesis Analytics. Supporting remittances in Southern Africa: Estimating market potential and assessing regulatory obstacles. CGAP and Finmark, June 2005.
- Great Hill Partners. "Great Hill Partners Forms GMT Group, Inc., and Acquires Vigo Remittance Corporation." Press release, March 31, 2003, Great Hill Partners, Boston, Mass. www.greathillpartners.com/news/press_release_template.cfm?release_date=03_31_2003.
- Hastings, Anne H. "Entry of MFIs into the Remittance Market: Opportunities and Challenges?" MicroCredit Summit Technical Paper, forthcoming.
- Helms, Brigit. *Access for All*. Washington D.C.: CGAP, 2006.
- Helms, Brigit, and Lorna Grace. CGAP Technical Tool No. 6, *Product Costing Tool* Washington, D.C., 2004.
- Internet World Statistics for March 2005; www.internetworldstats.com.
- Isern, Jennifer, and Tamara Cook. "What Is a Network: The Diversity of Networks in Microfinance Today," CGAP, July 2004.
- Isern, Jennifer, Rani Deshpande, and Judith van Doorn. "Crafting a Money Transfers Strategy: Guidance for Pro-poor Financial Service Providers." CGAP Occasional Paper, no. 10. Washington, DC.: CGAP, March 2005.
- Isern, Jennifer, David Porteous, Raul Hernandez-Coss, and Chinyere Egwuagu. "AML/CFT Regulation: What Are the Implications for Financial Service Providers That Serve Poor People?" CGAP Focus Note. Washington, D.C.: CGAP, July 2005.
- Ivatury, Gautam. "Using Technology to Build Interactive Financial Services." CGAP Focus Note 32. Washington, D.C.: CGAP, January 2006.
- Jaramillo, Maria. "Leveraging the Impact of Remittances through Microfinance Products: Perspectives from Market Research," *InSight* 10 (2004). www.accion.org/insight.
- Jaramillo, Maria. "Selection Criteria and Guidelines for Negotiating with Remittance Companies." Boston: Accion International, 2006.
- Jost, Patrick, and Harjit Singh Sandhu. "The Hawala Alternative Remittance System and Its Role in Money Laundering." Paper prepared for the Interpol General Secretariat, Lyon, France, 2000. www.interpol.int/Public/FinancialCrime/MoneyLaundering/hawala/default.asp.
- Kabbucho, Kamau, Cerstin Sander, and Peter Mukwana. "Passing the Buck: The Practice and Potential for Products in Kenya." Nairobi, Kenya: *MicroSave-Africa*, 2003.
- Maimbo, Samuel Munzele. *The Money Exchange Dealers of Kabul—A study of the Hawala System in Afghanistan*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank, 2003.
- Mellyn, Kevin. "Worker Remittances as a Development Tool: Opportunity for the Philippines." Report prepared for the Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines, 2003. www.adb.org/Documents/Reports/Consultant/Worker_Remittances_PHI.pdf.
- MoneyGram Corporation. SEC Form 10. June 17, 2004.
- Orozco, Manuel. *International Flows of Remittances: Cost, competition and financial access in Latin America and the Caribbean—toward an industry scorecard*, Washington, D.C.: Inter American Development Bank, May 2006.
- Orozco, Manuel. *Testimony presented before the Congressional Hispanic Caucus*. Inter-American Dialogue, Washington, D.C., March 26, 2003.
- Orozco, Manuel, and Eve Hamilton. Development and Remittances to Guatemala: Issues, challenges and opportunities for U.S. Cooperation, submitted by Chemonics International Inc. for the United States Agency for International Development, February 2005.
- Orozco, M., L. Lowell, M. Bump, and R. Fedewa, Transnational Engagement, Remittances, and Their Impact on Development in Latin America and the Caribbean. Institute for the Study of International Migration, Georgetown University, July 2005.

- Orozco, Manuel. *Worker Remittances: Issues and Best Practices*, Inter-American Dialogue. Washington, D.C.: October 2003.
- Porter, Michael. *Competitive Advantage of Nations*. New York: Free Press, 1989.
- Ratha, Dilip. "Global Economic Prospects 2006: Economic Implications of Remittances and Migration." *Finance and Development*, IMF, December 2005.
- Ratha, Dilip. "The Prepaid Card Phenomenon." RBR, 2006.
- Sai, Son. "Channeling Funds to Rural Areas Through Microfinance." Presentation by Manager, ACLEDA Bank, at the Asian Development Bank's Joint Conference on Remittances, September 2005.
- Sander, Cerstin. *Migrant Remittances to Developing Countries*. Prepared for the UK Department of International Development (DFID). Bannock Consulting, June 2003.
- Sander, Mukwana, and Millinga. 2001. See also the bank's Web site (www.nmbtz.com), Development Alternatives Inc. (www.dai.com), and Tanzania Presidential Parastatal Sector Reform Commission (www.psrctz.com).
- U.S. Agency for International Development and the Department for International Development. *Migrant Remittances*. Volume 2, Number 2. August 2005.
- Williams, Krissah. "Competition Cuts Cost of Wiring Money." *Washington Post*. May 2006.
- World Savings Bank Institute. "The Provision of Microfinance Services by Savings Banks: Selected Experiences from Africa, Asia and Latin America." Perspectives, No. 47. World Savings Bank Institute. October 2004.
- Voronina, Galina, Anelik RU Co. Ltd. Russia, presentation given at the MFC/EMN conference in Budapest on 8 June 2006.

Web sites for more information on money transfers

Bansefi

www.bansefi.gob.mx

DFID

Sending Money Home

<http://www.sendmoneyhome.org/>

Fonkoze

www.fonkoze.org

IAD

<http://www.thedialogue.org/programs/policy/trade/remittances/default.asp>

IADB

Multilateral Investment Fund program on remittances

<http://www.iadb.org/mif/v2/remittances.html>

IRNet of WOCCU

http://www.woccu.org/prod_serv/irnet/index.php

La Red de la Gente

www.lareddelagente.com.mx

Livelihoods Connect

http://www.livelihoods.org/hot_topics/migration.html#3

Microfinance Gateway

<http://www.microfinancegateway.org/>

USAID

Newsletter on remittances:

<http://www.sendmoneyhome.org/Press/Migrant%20Remittances—August%202006.pdf#search=%22remittances%20DAI%22>

World Bank

Finance Research on Remittances

<http://econ.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/EXTDEC/EXTRESEARCH/EXTPROGRAMS/EXTFINRES/0,,contentMDK:20696117~menuPK:1819205~pagePK:64168182~piPK:64168060~theSitePK:478060,00.html>

World Bank

Payment System and Remittances

<http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/TOPICS/EXTFINANCIALSECTOR/EXTPAYMENTREMITTANCE/0,,contentMDK:20948545~pagePK:210058~piPK:210062~theSitePK:1943138,00.html>

World Bank

Research on International Migration and Development

<http://econ.worldbank.org/external/default/main?menuPK=1572917&pagePK=64168176&piPK=64168140&theSitePK=1572893>

Index

A

ACLEDA Bank, 20, 60
agent, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 19, 27, 30, 33,
61, 65, 67
agent network, 15
competition between, 16
payout agent, 26
sub-agent, 14, 16, 20, 41, 47, 68, 70, 74
alliances, 9, 14, 30, 36, 41, 46, 51, 67, 71,
72, 90, 96, 101
duration of, 76
Allied Banking Corporation, 11
American Express, 87, 88
Anelik RU Co. Ltd, 25
Anti-Money Laundering (AML), 35, 44, 62,
63, 64, 70
compliance challenges, 44
Apex Bank, 21, 52, 53
ApexLink, 52, 53
Asia, 18, 87, 91, 102, 103
Asociacion Mexicana de Uniones de
Credito del Sector Social (AMUCSS), 11
ATM, 10, 30, 31, 38, 40, 51, 57, 65, 68,
88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 98
Australia, 18, 85
automated clearinghouse (ACH), 28, 94

B

Banagricola, 11
Banco Cuscatlan, 11, 91
Banco Salvadoreño, 11
Banco Solidario, 58, 60
Banco Uno, 91
Bancomercio, 11
BancoSol, 16, 41
Bank for International Settlements, 44, 78, 105
Bank of the Philippine Islands, 11
Banrural, 7
Bansefi, 22
Bezard, 18, 103
Bolivia, 16, 18, 41, 58

Bulgaria, 87

C

Cambodia, 20, 21, 60
cards, 87
credit cards, 43, 83, 89, 92
debit cards, 10, 30, 43, 73, 83, 89, 90,
91, 92, 98
Flexi Card, 10
online cards, 89
prepaid cards, 30, 43, 44, 91, 92, 94
Caribbean, 31
cash, 19, 82, 83, 84
cashless society, 84
cash management, 21, 40, 73
float, 69, 75, 87, 90
liquidity, 32, 38, 40, 42, 47, 65, 66, 68, 73
channel, 100
delivery channel, 65
direct channels, 51
electronic channels, 30
formal channels, 100
informal channels, 84, 101
physical channels, 30
semiformal channels, 100
checks, 82, 85, 86
check clearing, 85
check verification services, 85
foreign drafts, 86
China, 2, 87, 102
City National Bank of New Jersey, 13
clearing, 27, 28
client
attracting clients, 24, 26
behavior, 24
challenges, 41
client preferences, 56
illegal activities, 42
receiving client, 23, 30, 42
satisfaction, 31
segments, 24

Combating the Financing of Terrorism
(CFT), 35, 44, 62, 63, 64, 70
commissions, 16, 19, 57, 65, 68, 74, 75
Committee on Payment and Settlement
Systems (CPSS), 78, 105
consortium, 15, 21, 22
correspondent bank, 20, 29, 41, 61, 74, 95
customer service, 17, 31, 32, 73

D

Deutsche Bank, 20
Diners Club, 88
direct debits, 93
disclosure, 62, 64
Dominican Republic, 91
due diligence, 8, 14, 44, 68, 69, 72, 74

E

Ecuador, 18, 60
El Salvador, 11, 18, 19, 61
electronic fund transfer (EFT), 83, 93, 95, 99
Eurogiro, 10, 14, 20, 89
Europe, 18, 87, 88, 91, 93
Eurozone TARGET, 94
exchange rates, 31
exclusivity, 15, 76

F

fees, 4, 16, 20, 24, 26, 27, 37, 41, 47, 52,
57, 64, 69, 75, 79, 80, 86, 90, 99, 106
authorization fees, 27
currency exchange fees, 27
penalty fees, 85
Financiera Compartamos, 63
Financiera El Comercio, 41
First Data Corporation, 87
First Micro Finance Bank Limited Pakistan, 11
Fonkoze, 13, 14, 24, 25, 31, 61
foreign currency, 40
foreign exchange, 26, 27, 38, 41, 47, 53,
67, 69, 70, 76, 84, 86
France, 85, 103

G

G-Cash, 97
Germany, 3
Ghana, 21, 52
Gigante Express, 91

giro, 96, 99
Globe Telecom, 97
goal setting, 48
Guatemala, 7, 18

H

Haiti, 2, 13, 14, 24, 25, 31, 61
hawala, 82, 102, 103
Honduras, 18
Hong Kong, 97, 102
HSBC, 91

I

India, 2, 8
ING, 20
institutional capacity, 58
IRnet, 17
IT
access to, 75
call center, 42, 68
data security, 62
databases, 42
information systems, 38, 41
Internet, 19, 83, 88, 96
IT system, 41
software, 38, 40, 71
maintenance, 71
technological innovations, 80
text message, 17, 97
Italy, 97

J

Jamaica, 18
Jamaica Cooperative Credit Union League
(JCCUL), 21, 40

K

Kasabank, 61
Kenya, 18
Kosovo, 61

L

La Caixa, 17
La CECA, 17
large-value funds transfer system (LVTS), 94
legal
arbitration court, 76
country regulations, 91

framework, 107
jurisdictional court, 76
regulations, 13
Lesotho, 2

M

Mali, 8, 103
market
 competition, 16, 76, 107
 market conditions, 107
 market share, 16, 18
marketing, 13, 15, 23, 24, 35, 36, 37, 39,
 54, 55, 59, 60, 70, 74, 76, 101
logos, 76
MasterCard, 20, 43, 88, 90
Mexico, 2, 11, 18, 21, 63, 91, 93
Microfinance International Corporation
 (MFIC), 19
migrant workers, 81, 91
mobile banking, 51
mobile payments, 97
Moldova, 2, 20
money order, 13, 86, 87
 postal money order, 87
MoneyGram, 7, 9, 10, 14, 18, 87
Mongolia, 45, 46

N

National Microfinance Bank of Tanzania, 12, 13
networks
 access to, 75
 coverage, 73
 proprietary networks, 9, 17, 71, 75
Nicaragua, 18
Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA), 72
Nuevo Siglo, 61

P

Pakistan, 11
Paraguay, 41
payday loans, 85
payment instruments, 73, 78, 80, 82
personal identification number (PIN), 90, 97, 98
Philippine National Bank, 11
Philippines, 11, 21, 74, 97, 98, 102
policy
 government, 59
 money transfer, 61

 privacy policy, 64
Porter, Michael, 48
Postbank, 10
product, 82
 cross-selling, 60, 71
 linking services, 60
 pricing, 58
 product development, 55
 product manager, 54, 55
 product portfolio, 54
 promotion, 59

Q

Quisqueyana, 91

R

Rapid Transfer, 14
Red de la Gente, 21, 22
remittances, 2, 3, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16,
 17, 21, 22, 24, 37, 40, 44, 53, 58, 61,
 72, 73, 80, 81, 84, 88, 90, 92, 94, 105,
 106, 107
domestic remittances, 80
international remittances, 1, 2, 4, 13, 20,
 29, 36, 80, 90
retail sector, 81
risk management, 39, 62, 105, 108
Rural Bankers Association, 21
Russia, 25

S

Santa Cruz de Mixtepec, 12
Saudi Arabia, 3, 16
Senegal, 103
settlement, 15, 20, 28, 29, 30, 38, 44, 70,
 74, 75, 78, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 94, 95
Singapore, 97
South Africa, 10, 44
Spain, 16, 58, 60
strategy
 cost leadership strategy, 49
 differentiation strategy, 49
 diversification strategy, 51
 focus strategy, 50
 market penetration strategy, 51
 product development strategy, 51
Sudan, 103
SWIFT, 9, 11, 20, 21, 75, 83, 95, 96

Switzerland, 3
SWOT analysis, 33

T

Taiwan, 97
TeleMoneyOrder, 10
Tonga, 2
transparency, 106
Turkey, 8
Turkey EFT, 94
turnkey packages, 15

U

UK CHAPS, 94
United Kingdom, 94, 97
United States, 3, 7, 11, 22, 24, 28, 45, 85,
90, 91, 93, 94
Universal Postal Union (UPU), 10
UNO Money Transfer, 14
US FEDWIRE, 94

V

Visa, 20, 43, 88, 90
Visa Giro, 90

W

Western Union, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 21, 41, 87
wire transfer, 94
World Bank, 44, 105
World Council of Credit Unions (WOCCU),
17, 40

X

XAC Bank, 45, 46
Xuu Nuu Ndavi (XNN), 11