10692

Breadwinners and Caregivers

Examining the Global Relationship between Gender Norms and Economic Behavior

Markus Goldstein Paula Gonzalez Talip Kilic Sreelakshmi Papineni Philip Wollburg



Development Data Group February 2024



Reproducible Research Repository

A verified reproducibility package for this paper is available at http://reproducibility.worldbank.org, click **here** for direct access.

Abstract

Gender norms are often emphasized to help explain gender gaps in the labor market. This paper examines global patterns of gender attitudes and norms toward the stereotypical gender roles of the *male breadwinner* and *female caregiver*, and broad support for *gender equality in opportunities*, and studies their relationship with economic behavior. Using data collected via Facebook from 150,000 individuals across 111 countries the paper explores how gender beliefs and norms are related to labor supply, household production, and intrahousehold decision-making power within a country. The paper provides descriptive evidence that the more gender equitable or counter-stereotypical are beliefs and norms, the more likely women are to work, the more time men spend on household chores, and the higher the likelihood of joint decision-making among couples. The findings suggest an underestimation of the support for gender equality globally and the extent of underestimation varies by gender and region. The paper concludes with a discussion of potential entry points for policy to help address gender norms.

This paper is a product of the Gender Innovation Lab, Africa Region and the Development Data Group, Development Economics. I. It is part of a larger effort by the World Bank to provide open access to its research and make a contribution to development policy discussions around the world. Policy Research Working Papers are also posted on the Web at http://www.worldbank.org/prwp. The authors may be contacted at spapineni@worldbank.org and tkilic@worldbank.org. A verified reproducibility package for this paper is available at http://reproducibility.worldbank.org, click **here** for direct access.



The Policy Research Working Paper Series disseminates the findings of work in progress to encourage the exchange of ideas about development issues. An objective of the series is to get the findings out quickly, even if the presentations are less than fully polished. The papers carry the names of the authors and should be cited accordingly. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/World Bank and its affiliated organizations, or those of the Executive Directors of the World Bank or the governments they represent.

Breadwinners and Caregivers: Examining the Global Relationship between Gender Norms and Economic Behavior^{*}

Markus Goldstein[†] Paula Gonzalez[‡] Talip Kilic[§] Sreelakshmi Papineni[¶] Philip Wollburg[∥]

Gender, Attitudes, Norms, Labor, Global [JEL] J16, J20, O12

^{*}We thank Rachael Pierotti, S Anukriti, Ha Nguyen and Lili Mottaghi for their helpful comments and guidance. This paper uses data from the Gender Equality at Home surveys - a collaborative effort between Facebook Data For Good, the World Bank and other development partners. We thank Samhir Vasdev, Safaa Amer, Bronwen Raff and Anna Lerner at Facebook Data For Good. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the World Bank Group's Umbrella Facility for Gender Equality (UFGE) and the Office of the Chief Economist for the Middle East and North Africa (MNACE) under the regional Labor and Gender Research Programs. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors. They do not necessarily represent the views of the World Bank and its affiliated organizations, or those of the Executive Directors of the World Bank or the governments they represent.

[†]World Bank (on leave) mgoldstein@worldbank.org

[‡]World Bank and The Graduate Institute, Geneva pgonzalezmartine@worldbank.org

[§]World Bank Development Economics tkilic@worldbank.org

 $^{^{\}P}$ World Bank Africa Gender Innovation Lab spapineni@worldbank.org (Corresponding Author)

World Bank Development Economics pwollburg@worldbank.org

1 Introduction

Gender norms are often emphasized as key to help explain remaining gender gaps in the labor market (Bertrand, 2020; Goldin, 2021).¹ For example, the stereotypical gender roles that men should be the main income earners or "breadwinners" and women should primarily be responsible for the care of children and household chores or "caregivers" in the household are widely acknowledged normative constraints to women's economic empowerment (Jayachandran, 2021). Despite the recognition of the importance of social norms for gender equality among economists and policy makers, very little development-funding is currently devoted to programs that directly influence norms-related change.² In this paper we collect and analyze data from 111 countries to characterize how gender beliefs and norms relate to economic behavior across the world. We use the findings to help highlight some potential entry points for policy to address gender norms.

Women's economic decisions may be constrained by a range of social norms that influence the types of roles and responsibilities that are acceptable for men and women and uphold widely shared conceptions of masculinity and femininity (Bicchieri, 2016; Marcus and Harper, 2014). Akerlof and Kranton (2000, 2002, 2010) translated theories of social identity into an economics framework and propose that social identity influences economic outcomes because deviating from the prescribed behavior is inherently costly. Norms (often subconsciously) encourage behaviors that are socially valued and discourage behaviors that elicit social sanctions and stigma (Bernhardt et al., 2018). Gender norms are likely to significantly constrain women's choices about whether and which types of work to pursue, and equally may prevent men from engaging in care and domestic chore activities.

Our interest in this paper is to contribute to a growing literature that examines societal norms as a barrier to female labor market outcomes (e.g. Bernhardt et al. (2018); Bursztyn and Yang (2022); Giuliano (2021); Jayachandran (2021)). While there is general acceptance that gender norms play a role in explaining gender differences in labor market outcomes, there is currently limited empirical evidence on the relationship between norms and economic outcomes (Field et al., 2021). Existing research has been concentrated in specific regions and countries, and persistent gaps in obtaining gender-disaggregated data have prevented research at scale. Studies also have relied on relatively small sample sizes and the majority of research has focused on how aggregate, country-level attitudes relate to rates of female labor supply. More recently studies have emphasized the importance of complement-

¹Gender norms, a subset of social norms, are defined as shared expectations about how women and men should behave in a particular social group or culture.

 $^{^{2}}$ For example, at the World Bank social norms are not an operational focus and are often simply considered the "enabling environment" under which capital- or skills-related programs operate.

ing attitudes with measures of perceived norms (i.e. what individuals think others might approve or disapprove of) since perceptions are likely to matter for decision-making (see for example, Bernhardt et al., 2018; Bicchieri, 2016; Bursztyn and Yang, 2022).³ An influential study by Bursztyn, Gonzalez and Yanagizawa-Drott (2020) documented that perceptions of peers' opinions toward female employment outside the home influenced behavior beyond an individual's own opinions.

In this paper, we fill data and knowledge gaps by leveraging a unique dataset that includes data both on personal attitudes and perceived norms and link them to individual-level employment outcomes on a global scale. We use data from over 150,000 individuals across 111 countries from all regions of the world collected through a survey implemented online via the Facebook platform, namely the Survey on Gender Equality at Home.⁴ The survey has a greater coverage of low- and middle-income countries than alternative global databases that measure gender attitudes.⁵ We designed questions for the survey to capture an individual's personal belief and perception about others' beliefs around traditional gender roles to study how gender norms relate to specific behaviors for women and men. We complement two levels of beliefs - what individuals think and what they think their community thinks - and correlate them with labor market, time use and decision-making behaviors. Specifically, this paper asks how do personal attitudes and perceived community norms towards a broad norm on rights to equal opportunities, and the stereotypical gender roles of the male breadwinner and *female careqiver* correlate with labor force participation, intrahousehold decision-making power, and time spent on paid work, care and domestic activities for both men and women globally?

The stereotypical roles of the male breadwinner and female caregiver are hypothesized to have been born between the mid-19th and mid-20th century in many countries as industrialization gave rise to the separation of home and work. In this model of the family men are responsible for economic provision through employment while women are responsible for home and family. However, Alesina, Giuliano and Nunn (2013) examine the historical origins of gender norms based on a theory proposed by Boserup (1970), and show that gender attitudes are more unequal among descendants of societies that practiced plow agriculture. Since plow agriculture was much more capital-intensive and required greater upper-body strength, this led to a greater gender-based division of labor. The authors find historical

³Beliefs about what others do are referred to as *descriptive* norms and beliefs about what others approve of are referred to as *injunctive* norms in social norms theory (Cialdini, Kallgren and Reno, 1991; Heinicke, Konig-Kersting and Schmidt, 2022). Here we are measuring the injunctive norm.

 $^{^{4}}$ The survey was administered on Facebook in July 2020 to a sample of Facebook general population users.

⁵See World Values Survey (WVS) for global data on gender beliefs and values and Bursztyn et al. (2023 a) for analysis of data on the rights of women to work outside the home and support for women in leadership positions from 60 countries in a 2020 Gallup World Poll survey.

plow use to be negatively associated with current attitudes towards gender equality, and female participation in labor, politics, and entrepreneurial activities. Therefore, the work of Alesina, Giuliano and Nunn (2013) suggests that norms around gender roles may have been established even earlier in the pre-industrial period.

Restrictive or conservative gender norms may translate into behaviors that disadvantage female labor supply and earnings, and/or discourage male engagement in childcare and household chores. Norms are expected to influence behavior through expectations of what others in your reference group do or approve of (Gauri, Rahman and Sen, 2019). In addition, when measuring gender beliefs, there may be expectations that men and women should play different roles in society (gender role beliefs) and that men and women are essentially different (gender category beliefs). The questions included in the Survey on Gender Equality at Home mainly capture measures of gender role beliefs rather than measures of beliefs in gender essentialism. Both genders may face norms costs imposed by those community members who disapprove of certain behaviors that contradict the expectations of the roles of men and women in their society (Bernhardt et al., 2018). Women or men who defy stereotypical norms potentially do so at a personal cost. For example, Bertrand, Kamenica and Pan (2015) show in the US that it is relatively unlikely that a woman will earn more than her husband, and when she does, marital satisfaction is lower and divorce is more likely. Friedson-Ridenour and Pierotti (2019) find that some women in Ghana explicitly limit their business growth in order to reinforce their husband's role as a primary provider. In addition, for many men, engaging in care and housework is inconsistent with male gender roles and indicates weakness; and when men feel threatened in their role as providers, they may be even less inclined to engage in behavior associated with female gender roles (Munoz-Boudet et al., 2013).⁶

A first contribution of the paper is to provide global evidence on attitudes and norms concerning gender stereotypical roles reported by both men and women. In the paper we begin by conducting cross-country comparisons of personal attitudes and perceived community norms with respect to a broad norm on gender equality in opportunities, and the male bread-winner norm and female caregiver norm. Descriptive findings suggest that gender attitudes and norms vary widely across countries and within countries. For instance, the proportion of respondents that agree with the male breadwinner norm statement ranges from 11% (in Denmark) to 78% (in the Arab Republic of Egypt).⁷ This compares to a country average in the perceived community norm of 28% (in Denmark) and 69% (in Egypt).⁸

⁶For instance, Bernhardt et al. (2018) find that the majority (70%) of married men in rural India perceive themselves to be the main recipient of social punishment if their wife was working for pay; whereas married women think the social cost is more evenly shared between spouses.

⁷A lower average percentage represents more gender liberal beliefs in that country.

⁸This translates to Egyptian men and women in the sample perceiving that 69% of their community would agree with the male breadwinner norm.

Next, we explore whether there is a general misperception of norms within the sampled countries. Misperceptions are defined by comparing perceived norms with aggregate attitudes of the sample that implies a degree of *pluralistic ignorance* as described in Bursztyn and Yang (2022).⁹ We show that misperceptions of gender norms are widespread around the world but the extent of misperception varies by region-of-the-world and gender. Descriptive findings suggest that globally there is an underestimation of the support for gender equality. We explore demographic characteristics that are predictive of an individual over- or underestimating community norms. Women are more likely to underestimate support for gender equality than men. More highly educated, younger and urban men are less likely to underestimate support for gender equality. Conversely, more highly educated and younger women are more likely to underestimate the support for gender equality in their community.

The main contribution of our paper is to provide novel evidence on the link between gender attitudes and norms to economic behaviors measured across the world. We use multivariate regression analysis to explore how beliefs and norms correlate with observed economic variables at the individual level. Overall, we find that women's own personal belief in a norm of equal opportunities and their perception of general community support for gender equality are independently correlated with whether women work. Individual beliefs do not have much of a relationship with male labor force participation; whereas for women, the more gender progressive her own beliefs on gender equality, the more likely she is to be working. Community norms show a similar story to individual beliefs for women's labor force participation: the more liberal she thinks her community is, the more she works. For the more proximal norms (caregiver, breadwinner) her individual beliefs seem to matter more than her perceptions of the community norm. That is, women's perception of community support for the male breadwinner and female caregiver norms is not independently correlated with whether and how much they work, net of their own personal beliefs. In terms of intrahousehold bargaining power, we find that decisions are more likely to be made jointly when there is greater support for gender equality. Greater support for the female caregiver norm in the community is strongly associated with greater involvement in household activities by both men and women. Even after taking into account men's own beliefs, the perceived beliefs of those in the community matter for male engagement in household chores. We use these findings to highlight potential entry points for policy.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the data, sample and variables, while Section 3 details the empirical strategy used for the analysis. Section 4 presents the results; and section 5 concludes where we discuss policy implications.

⁹Pluralistic ignorance is the term used by psychologists to describe when people are inaccurate when estimating the prevailing attitude in their community.

2 Data and Sample

2.1 The Gender Equality at Home Survey

Our analysis uses the individual level data from the Gender Equality at Home survey that was administered on the Facebook platform in July 2020.¹⁰ The Gender Equality at Home survey is a collaboration between Facebook, the World Bank and other development partners to survey individuals on Facebook on issues related to gender equality and women's empowerment. The partners developed a short survey questionnaire to collect data from Facebook's general population of users. The questionnaire was designed to measure employment, beliefs and norms on gender, plus a number of key demographic questions (for example, gender, age, and marital status of the respondent) as well as time spent on work and domestic and care responsibilities, decision making and resource allocation across household members.

Since the survey was administered in July 2020, additional questions on the impact of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic were also included. Since the survey was still early in the coronavirus pandemic it is less of a concern that survey responses were directly affected by the pandemic.¹¹ Certain survey modules were randomized across participants in order to mitigate survey fatigue among respondents such that no more than 30 questions were asked in total.

The survey was administered on the Facebook platform across 208 countries, territories, and islands. The sampling frame for this survey is the individual database of Facebook and it was administered to 461,748 respondents sampled across the globe from the target population. While 208 economies were surveyed, the sample considered in this paper is the 111 countries with sufficient sample size to conduct gender-disaggregated analysis as described further in section 2.2. The dataset is designed to reflect the Facebook user base rather than any specific national population, focusing on countries where internet access is widely available. ¹²

2.2 Sample

In this paper, we report responses from the primary individual targeted by Facebook from a sample of general Facebook users. The analysis in this paper restricts the sample to the 111 countries with sufficient sample size to conduct gender-disaggregated analysis. Sample

¹⁰See https://dataforgood.fb.com/tools/gendersurvey/. The survey was collected over 3 weeks in July 2020.

¹¹The hours worked variable that has a short recall period of "in the past 7 days" might be more affected by the pandemic.

¹²To account for the sampling design, non-response, and online presence, advanced statistical weights were used. These weights reflect the number of persons each person in the sample represents and help calibrate the results to the target population which is in this case is the online population within each country.

sizes by country, region, and gender are shown in Table A1.¹³ The paper's dataset comprises 157,483 observations, encompassing all respondents who provided complete information on individual characteristics. However, the sample size varies when analyzing different outcomes, as detailed in A.7. Questions posed later in the survey experienced higher rates of non-response.

The sample for the Gender Equality at Home survey is comprised of individuals who have access to the internet and personal Facebook accounts. As such, the results should not be viewed as representative of a general population in each country. Nevertheless, the survey's extensive geographic reach and substantial sample size provide a unique opportunity to explore the research questions on a global scale. Given the significant increase in internet bandwidth and Facebook's expanding user base, which stands at approximately 2.9 billion monthly active users at the time of this writing, this survey offers valuable insights despite its limitations.¹⁴

Table 1 outlines the demographic characteristics of our sample, split by gender of the respondent. The total sample comprises of 152,555 individuals, with 52% of the sample being women. The demographic characteristics are broadly similar across female and male respondents. On average, 58% of the individuals in our sample are in a long-term partnership or married. The majority, 73%, are over 25 years old. Education levels are high, with 60% possessing an education beyond secondary level. Additionally, 60% of respondents reside in urban areas. Notable gender-based differences in the sample include a 19% lower likelihood of women identifying as the head of the household compared to men, and a 7% lower likelihood of women owning land.

2.3 Description of Key Variables

2.3.1 Economic Behaviors

The dependent variables in our study are categorized as follows: labor supply (employment status in the previous year and weekly hours worked), intrahousehold decision-making power (categorized as either solely female, solely male, jointly made, or none), and household production (identification as the primary caregiver for children, and the allocation of time to caregiving and domestic responsibilities). Details about these variables, including their con-

 $^{^{13}}$ The sample size calculation achieved a 95% confidence level for estimating regional as well as country level proportions with an average 3% error rate and an 80% power to detect differences across regions and by gender for the online population. Finite population correction was used for countries with smaller online populations. The targeted sample sizes per country ranged from 600 respondents to 5,000 respondents.

¹⁴Total number of individuals on Facebook are estimated to be equal to the number of monthly active users worldwide as of 4th quarter 2018 that were using Facebook Source: https://www.statista.com/statistics/264810/number-of-monthly-active-facebook-users-worldwide/.

struction, are elaborated in Appendix A, Table A2. Additionally, Table A3 in the appendix provides a gender-based breakdown of the descriptive characteristics of the respondents.

2.3.2 Gender Attitudes and Norms

The survey collected data on individual personal beliefs and perceived community norms towards gender equality in opportunities, and the stereotypical roles of men and women. Each norm theme is asked as a set of personal beliefs (using a Likert scale) and perceived community norms (out of 10 of your neighbors how many do you think agree...). Below we define how the beliefs and norms are constructed in more detail:

- 1. Broad Norm on Gender Equality The survey elicited individual-level personal beliefs on gender equality by asking how much the respondent agrees with the following statement: "men and women should have equal opportunities" using a 5-point Likert scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. The personal belief variable is coded as a dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent agrees or strongly agrees that men and women should have equal opportunities; and 0 otherwise. Second, the survey measures perceptions of community norms, where respondents are asked to indicate out of ten neighbors in their community, how many they think would agree that men and women should have equal opportunities.¹⁵ These norm constructs give us a measure of individuals' perceptions of what others around them think, i.e. the share of the community the respondent believes would agree with equal opportunities between the sexes. In the regression analysis the perceived community norm variable is rescaled to be a value between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.1 where 0 indicates the most conservative views towards gender equality perceived in their community.
- 2. Male Role as a Breadwinner The individual personal belief for the male breadwinner norm asks the respondent how much they agree with the following statement: "household expenses are the responsibility of the man, even if his wife can help him", again using the 5-point Likert scale. The personal belief male breadwinner variable is coded as a dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent agrees or strongly agrees that household expenses are the responsibility of the man, even if his wife can help him; and 0 otherwise. For the perceived community male breadwinner norm, respondents are asked to indicate out of ten neighbors in their community, how many they think would agree that household expenses are the responsibility of the man, even if his wife can help

 $^{^{15}}$ To simplify the question for respondents, the survey asked them to report a number out of 10. We then convert this number to a proportion i.e. out of 100%.

him. In the regression analysis the perceived community norm variable is rescaled to be a value between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.1 where 0 indicates the most progressive or counter-stereotypical views and 1 indicates the most conservative or stereotypical views towards the male breadwinner norm.

3. Female Role as a Caregiver The individual personal belief for the female caregiver norm asks the respondent how much they agree with the following statement: "a woman's most important role is to take care of her home and children" again using the 5-point Likert scale. The personal belief variable is coded as a dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent agrees or strongly agrees that a woman's most important role is to take care of her home and children and 0 otherwise. For the community norm, respondents are asked to indicate out of ten neighbors in their community, how many they think would agree that a woman's most important role is to take care of her home and children. In the analysis the community norm variable is rescaled to be a value between 0 and 1 in 0.1 increments where 0 indicates the most progressive or counter-stereotypical views and 1 indicates the most conservative or stereotypical views towards the female caregiver norm.

2.3.3 Misperceived Norms

In the paper we analyze misperceptions of norms, i.e. we quantify the gap between actual beliefs within our study sample (aggregate of individual beliefs in a country) and the perceptions of others' beliefs (community perceived norms). We present country-level aggregates of beliefs and individual responses as defined in Bursztyn and Yang (2022). A greater understanding of misperceived norms helps to identify scope for correcting pluralistic ignorance.

To evaluate misperceptions at the country level, we:

- 1. Calculate the average perceived community belief as reported by individuals within a country (expressed as "out of 10 of your neighbors" by respondents) and convert this to a percentage scale (0-100%).
- 2. Ascertain the actual percentage of participants (both men and women) in the sample who affirm agreement with the norm at the country level.
- 3. Determine the disparity between the average perceived community belief (1) and the actual agreement percentage (2), without gender specification due to the community norm's non-gendered reference: Community Belief minus Aggregate Individual Belief.

The patterns of misperceptions by region-of-the-world and country-level are described in further detail in the results section 4.1.3. In addition, following the method described in Bursztyn and Yang (2022), we establish an individual level measure of misperception based on how individuals' perceptions compare to actual beliefs. The "true value" is determined by the average belief among respondents in a country who agree with a given norm. We assess the perceived community norm against this true value to calculate the proportion of "correct beliefs" among respondents. At the individual level, we can discern the fraction of the population that accurately assesses, overestimates, or underestimates the prevalence of a norm. Consequently, we report the proportion of respondents with accurate perceptions (those within 0.5 standard deviations of the truth); those who overestimate (respondents who hold beliefs that are at least 0.5 standard deviation greater than the truth); and those who underestimate (respondents who hold beliefs that are at least 0.5 standard deviation less than the truth).

We define $OverestimateNorm_{ij}$ as a binary indicator that equals 1 for individuals whose perceptions exceed the true average belief by at least 0.5 standard deviations. Similarly, $UnderestimatesNorm_{ij}$ equals 1 for individuals whose perceptions fall at least 0.5 standard deviations below the true average belief. The baseline category, $AroundNorm_{ij}$, includes individuals whose perceptions are within 0.5 standard deviations of the actual beliefs.

Since the reference group in our norm constructs is deliberately broad (i.e. neighbors), this may not directly correlate with individuals' most influential social circles. The extent to which our behaviors are shaped by close personal connections versus the broader community remains an empirical question, hence our decision to keep a general reference group. Also since our norm constructs refer to "neighbors", a group not synonymous with the Facebook user base itself. Therefore, while our analysis is useful for establishing broad patterns, we caution that any observed misperceptions might be attributable to sample selection rather than pluralistic ignorance.

2.4 Comparison of the Gender Equality at Home Survey with Other Data Sources

The Gender Equality at Home Survey represents a general population of Facebook users and should not be considered representative of a country's population. For example, demographic characteristics of the respondents on Facebook might differ from the average characteristics of a representative individual in the same country; or they may have different gender attitudes.

While our sample is not nationally representative, we examine how closely the gender attitude statements from our data correlate with comparable attitude statements collected in nationally representative global data sources: the World Values Survey (WVS) ¹⁶ and

¹⁶For our analysis, we utilized data from Wave 7 of the World Value Survey, spanning from 2017 to 2021.

Gallup World Poll 2020.¹⁷ Overall, the correlation with our data is strong, assuring us that, at least in this vein, our sample is not unrepresentative. The WVS and Gallup World Poll have a lower coverage globally and include fewer countries in Sub-Saharan Africa than the Gender Equality at Home survey on Facebook.¹⁸ The WVS also does not include measures of community norms. However, the Gallup World Poll 2020 data captures both attitudes and norms.

We consider attitudes that address the male breadwinner-female caregiver theme in the WVS and a broad norm using Gallup World Poll 2020 data. We compare the attitudes on the male breadwinner norm with the WVS statement: "If a woman earns more money than her husband, it's almost certain to cause problems" and the female caregiver norm with the WVS statement: "When a mother works for pay, the children suffer"; and whether women should be allowed to work outside of the home from the Gallup World Poll. In the comparison, we use attitudinal responses disaggregated by gender, and compute averages at the country-level. We standardize the averages and assign a rank to each country within our sample and split into quintiles (i.e. from most progressive=1 to least progressive=5). We compare how the countries differ in rank across the two surveys. For those countries where the difference in rank is positive this indicates that the Facebook sample in that country is potentially more conservative or stereotypical than a respondent in the WVS. Conversely, if the rank is negative this indicates that the sample in the Facebook population is more gender progressive or counter-stereotypical than the more representative WVS. In Appendix A, tables A4 and A5, we show the country level differences in quintile rank. The results vary depending on the norm in question and gender. For example, in Spain (ESP) men are equally gender progressive in both the Gender Equality at Home survey and the WVS (difference in rank is 0); whereas Spanish women are equally progressive in the male breadwinner norm (difference in rank is 0) but are ranked more progressive in our survey measure than the WVS (difference in rank 1). While in most countries there is little difference in the rank across the two surveys for the male breadwinner norm; for the female caregiver norm there are some discrepancies, e.g. Tunisia and Uzbekistan have a difference of 4. Using the Gallup World Poll we present comparisons in Table A6 and show that in the majority of countries there is a less than 10 percentage point difference with our survey measure.

Specifically, we selected the dataset year that most closely aligned with our collection of the Gender at Home data in 2020. This data is referenced as Haerpfer et al. (2022)

 $^{^{17}}$ Gallup world data was subtracted at the country level using the Appendix of Bursztyn et al. (2023b)

¹⁸The overlap in country coverage is 68% between the WVS and the Gender Equality at Home survey; and approximately 50% with the Gallup World Poll 2020.

3 Empirical Strategy

In this paper we are interested in the relationship between gender norms and economic behaviors disaggregated by the gender of the respondent. To study the relationship between gender norms and observed economic behaviors we conduct multivariate regression analysis and run the following analyses.

3.1 Correlation of Attitudes and Norms with Economic Behaviors

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Female_{ij} + \beta_2 Belief_{ij} + \beta_3 Female \times Belief_{ij} + \gamma'_1 X_{ij} + \delta_c + \epsilon_{ij}$$
(1)

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Female_{ij} + \beta_2 Norm_{ij} + \beta_3 Female \times Norm_{ij} + \gamma_1' X_{ij} + \delta_c + \epsilon_{ij}$$
(2)

Where Y_{ij} is the outcome of interest for individual i in country j. Female is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent is female; 0 if male. Equation 1 gives the correlation of an individual's own attitudes and the outcome of interest. Where $Belief_{ij}$ is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent i personally agrees with a gender statement; and 0 if they disagree. Similarly, equation 2 gives the correlation of the perceived community norm and the outcome of interest. Norm_{ij} is a continuous variable that captures the perceived community norm (i.e. how many out of 10 neighbors) with respect to the gender role statement that has been standardized between 0-1. X_{ij} is a set of demographic controls of individual i, and γ_c indicates country fixed effects. Robust Eicker-Huber-White standard errors are used throughout. Equation 1 and 2 are estimated using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation.

In equations 1 and 2 the interpretation of the coefficients β_0 through β_3 is as follows. β_0 is the intercept, β_1 is the marginal effect of being female on the outcome of interest, β_2 is the marginal effect of the belief/norm on the outcome of interest for men (that is at Female equals 0), β_3 is the differential effect of the belief/norm on the outcomes for women relative to men.

3.2 Correlation of Norms, Conditional on Individuals' Attitudes

Equation 3 analyzes the question, conditional on one's own beliefs, how does perception of what the community thinks correlate with an individual's economic behaviors. We include individual belief as well as perceived community beliefs in the regressions.

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Female_{ij} + \beta_2 Belief_{ij} + \beta_3 Female \times Belief_{ij} + \beta_4 Norm_{ij} + \beta_5 Female \times Norm_{ij} + \gamma'_1 X_{ij} + \delta_c + \epsilon_{ij}$$
(3)

Equation 3 is estimated using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation. The interpretation of the coefficients β_2 through β_5 is as follows. β_2 gives the marginal effect of the individual agreeing with the gender norm in question on the outcomes for men. β_3 represents the differential effect of agreeing with the norm in question for women relative to men, with $\beta_2+\beta_3$ being the composite effect of agreeing with the norm in question for women. β_4 is the marginal effect of the perceived community norm on the outcome of interest for men (that is at Female = 0), conditional on personal beliefs. β_5 is the differential effect of the community norms on the outcomes for women relative to men. As such, the sum of $\beta_4+\beta_5$ gives the marginal effect of community norms on outcomes for women, conditional on personal beliefs.

4 Results

In this section, we delve into the analysis of our findings. We begin by conducting descriptive analysis to provide cross-country and cross-region comparisons. The analysis examines personal gender beliefs, perceived community norms, and misperceptions of these norms among both men and women. The results are presented graphically to understand patterns and variations across countries. We then proceed to examine the relationship between gender norms, as delineated by three specific norm-related questions detailed in section 2.3.2, and a range of socio-economic factors. These factors include: (1) labor market dynamics, capturing both labor force participation in the past year and the hours committed to paid work in the week preceding the survey (applicable only to those who were employed during that period); (2) the extent of decision-making autonomy regarding major financial expenditures; and (3) the distribution of time dedicated to caregiving duties and household chores.

4.1 Global Patterns of Gender Norms and Misperceptions

In this section we begin by analyzing gender attitudes and norms by region-of-the-world, to observe how norms differ from one part of the world to another. We then examine the relationship between individual beliefs and community norms and examine correlations across countries, as well as their relationship with key macroeconomic indicators. Following this, we assess the nature and extent of misperceptions associated with these gender norms.

4.1.1 Gender Norms around the World

Figures 1 to 4 outline comparisons of aggregate attitudes and perceived community norms on a regional and country level. Figure 1 details the gender gaps in personal beliefs and norms by region-of-the-world. Personal beliefs are indicated by purple dots for females and green dots for males, in contrast to community norms indicated by red and yellow dots. A prominent finding is the global tendency of personal beliefs to be more progressive and counter-stereotypical than what individuals perceive as prevailing community norms, indicating a disconnect between personal beliefs and societal perceptions.

The measures for norms (red and yellow) differ from those for attitudes (purple and green). Notably, female personal beliefs (purple dots) are consistently more progressive than male beliefs (green dots) across all regions. This pattern holds true across East Asia and Pacific (EAP), Europe and Central Asia (ECA), Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC), the Middle East and North Africa (MENA), North America, South Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Despite this, the perceived community norms for men and women within these regions do not differ greatly. For instance, in Sub-Saharan Africa, 87% of women and 78% of men support gender equality in opportunities personally, but they perceive only 55% of their community does. With regard to the belief that expenses are a man's responsibility, 39% of women and 52% of men agree, while 60% of the community is perceived to agree. Personal beliefs and perceived community norms about the female caregiver role are closely matched at 69%.

Figures 2 to 4 present an analysis of gender attitudes and norms across countries.¹⁹ These figures compare aggregate personal beliefs, represented by green dots, with the average perceived community norms, shown by purple dots, at the country level. The horizontal line within these figures quantifies the gap between personal beliefs and average perceived community norms, thereby illustrating the extent of norm misperception in each country. Countries in the figures are arranged based on the magnitude of this gap.

Figure 2 demonstrates the variance in the agreement with the norm that "men and women should have equal opportunities", which spans from 80.84% in Algeria to 97.69% in Portugal for personal beliefs. The perceived community norms for this statement range from a low of 41.25% in Iraq to a high of 81.44% in Denmark. Meanwhile, Figure 3 depicts the belief that "household expenses should be the man's responsibility, even if his wife can help him," ranging from 10.99% in Denmark to 78.06% in Egypt, suggesting a wide spectrum of beliefs about the male breadwinner norm. The perceived community norm ranges from 29% in Denmark to 74.97% in Mali. Notably, Chile shows the largest misperception, with 20% of respondents personally subscribing to the male breadwinner norm, while 57% are perceived to do so by the community norms at around 60%. Finally, in terms of the female caregiver norm, as shown in Figure 4, agreement levels vary significantly, from 13.63% in Denmark, indicating more progressive views, to 87.25% in Pakistan. Perceived community norms on this issue also

¹⁹Data by region and country is also provided in Tables A7 to A9.

exhibit a wide range, from 35.91% in Denmark to 80.75% in Bangladesh. Mexico shows the largest gap, with only 20% of respondents personally endorsing the norm that "a woman's primary role is to care for her home and children," compared to a perceived community agreement of 66%. On the other hand, Nigeria presents an interesting contrast, where 78% of respondents personally agree with the norm, higher than the 68% they perceive as the community norm, reflecting a more conservative personal belief relative to the perceived community stance.

4.1.2 Relationship between Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms

In Appendix B in Figures B1 to B3 we provide further data visualizations of the correlation between beliefs and norms at the country level. We split the analysis by gender and analyze the three norms: the broad norm of gender equality in opportunities, the male breadwinner norm, and the female caregiver norm separately.

For the broad norm regarding gender equality in opportunities, there is a significant difference by gender. Male respondents exhibit a very strong positive correlation, with a Pearson's correlation coefficient of 0.92, indicating that their personal beliefs are highly consistent with what they perceive their community thinks. Female respondents, on the other hand, show a much lower correlation coefficient of 0.41, suggesting a significant difference between their personal beliefs in support of gender equality and their perception of societal expectations. For the breadwinner norm, there is a strong relationship between individual beliefs and perceived community norms for both genders. Male respondents show a particularly robust correlation, with a coefficient of 0.92, indicating that their own beliefs about financial responsibility in marriage are almost identical to what they view as societal standards. Female respondents also demonstrate a positive correlation, with a coefficient of 0.74. Lastly, when examining the caregiver norm, both female and male respondents show strong positive correlations between their individual beliefs and perceived community norms. Females display a correlation coefficient of 0.73, while males a coefficient of 0.92. This similarity in correlation strength, especially among males, reflects a broad acknowledgment of traditional caregiver roles as the norm within communities.

Our findings indicate that for the broad norm of gender equality in opportunities, there is a noticeable gender disparity in how individual beliefs correlate with perceived community norms, with women showing greater support for gender equality than men. For the breadwinner norm, there is a strong correlation for both genders. Across all norms, men consistently demonstrate a stronger correlation, suggesting their own personal beliefs are more closely aligned with societal expectations. The caregiver norm analysis reinforces this pattern.

4.1.3 Degree of Norm Misperception across the World

Figures 5 to 7 present maps of the degree of misperception across the globe with respect to the broad norm, the breadwinner norm, and the caregiver norm. These maps are color-coded to represent the varying degrees of misperception across different countries. Where darker shades indicate a greater disparity between personal beliefs and perceived community norms; and lighter shades suggest that norms are more closely aligned with actual beliefs.

In Figure 5, the map quantifies the misperception of the broad norm at the country level and presents the absolute value of the difference. We show a universal underestimation of support for gender equality. Figures 6 and 7 explore misperceptions around the gender role specific norms. Here, positive values signify a perception that society is more traditional or gender-stereotypical than personal beliefs, while negative values indicate the opposite. Darker shades of blue highlight countries where the breadwinner norm is believed to be more conservative or stereotypical in society than actual beliefs held by the Facebook sample. Light blue and yellow-green shades demonstrate a closer match between perceived norms and beliefs or a slight underestimation of the norm i.e. they think their community is more gender progressive or counter-stereotypical than actual beliefs.

Collectively, these figures reveal regional patterns where certain areas consistently show misperceptions across all norm constructs, while others display varying degrees of misperception depending on the norm in question. To discern whether misperceptions regarding gender norms are more prevalent among men or women, we delve into a comprehensive analysis in Appendix D. This examination leverages individual responses from our dataset to evaluate the discrepancies between perceived community norms and actual beliefs, dissected by gender and geographic region.

According to Table D1, the extent of misperception is substantial, with the frequency of under- and over-estimation eclipsing the instances of accurate assessments of community beliefs. It is important to note that when evaluating the level of misperception (i.e., whether an individual overestimates or underestimates a norm), we juxtapose an individual's perception of their community norm against the aggregate beliefs within their country. The findings indicate that, on average, respondents perceive gender norms within their communities to be more traditional than what national averages indicate. Particularly, individuals in the Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) and the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) regions exhibit the highest levels of misperception regarding the gender equality norm. Furthermore, the LAC region stands out with the most pronounced misperception concerning gender role norms.

Some of what we are labelling as "misperceptions" could in fact reflect sample selection whereby the respondents' neighbors are not necessarily the same as the average Facebook user. For instance, while 84% of the sample from Iraq personally support gender equality in opportunities, they think that, on average, only 41% of their community would be supportive. Respondents from Iraq on Facebook could be considered a group who are more gender liberal than the general population, or that there is an overall misperception of the norm, where respondents think their community is more conservative than what is actually true. In Table D2 we present analysis to examine whether certain demographic characteristics are correlated with an individual over- or under-estimating his or her community's norms, e.g. are more educated individuals more aware or informed? In Table D2 column 1 we show that, globally, underestimation of the support for gender equality norm varies by gender and specific characteristics. More highly educated men are less likely to underestimate support for gender equality, and men over 25 years and located in rural areas are more likely to underestimate support for gender equality. More highly educated women are more likely to underestimate the support for gender equality in their community. Women who are older than 25 are less likely to underestimate the gender equality norm. In columns 3 and 5, for the gender roles norms, we show men who are more highly educated are more likely to overestimate the gender roles norms (i.e. think their community is more conservative than it actually is). The age of the female is predictive of the male breadwinner norm where women older than 25 are more likely to underestimate and less likely to overestimate the breadwinner norm. Married women are more likely to overestimate the caregiver norm.

4.2 Gender Beliefs, Norms and Economic Behaviors

In this section we present the results of multivariate regression analysis to examine the relationship between gender norms and observed economic behaviors. In Tables 2a to 7 the explanatory variables stay consistent throughout where *Personal Belief:Agree* is a dummy variable for an individual's personal attitude to the gender statement in question. *Community Norm* is a continuous variable between 0 and 1 in increments of 0.1 that indicates the proportion of the community that the respondent thinks agrees with the gender statement in question. We begin with the results for labor, then turn to decision-making power, and finally time spent on childcare and domestic responsibilities.

4.2.1 Labor

Tables 2a, 2b and 2c examine the relationship between the three norm constructs (broad norm on gender equality, male role as breadwinner, and female role as caregiver) and labor market behaviors. The dependent variables are a dummy variable for whether the respondent's main status is either working (=1) or not working (=0) in the past year; and the hours spent

working for pay in the previous week, conditional on working in the past 7 days.²⁰

Tables 2a-2c present the results for the three norm constructs in separate tables for ease for the reader. In Tables 2a to 2c, columns (1) through (4) show the relationship between personal beliefs and the outcomes of interest (equation 1), while columns (5) through (8) show the relationship between perceived community norms and the outcomes of interest (equation 2). We estimate the model in turn without and with country-fixed effects (indicated at the bottom row of the table). In the models with country-fixed effects, the identified variation comes only from within-country variation.

There are clearly variations across countries in how societal beliefs correlate with labor force decisions. For example, in Table 2a men who personally believe in gender equality (*Personal Belief:Agree*) are 2 percentage points more likely to have worked in the past year in the model without country-fixed effects, though this effect disappears once we control for country fixed effects. That is, once we account for cross-country differences, men's personal beliefs towards gender equality has no correlation with men's participation in the labor market as we might expect. Interestingly, the results on labor supply of women are relatively similar for the models with and without country-fixed effects. In order to abstract from societal differences, which are likely to introduce an additional level of omitted variables and endogeneity, we focus the rest of our discussion on estimates with country-fixed effects.

Tables 2a-2c show a number of patterns. Starting with the individual beliefs, we can see that they do not have much of a relationship with male labor force participation. For women, they matter a lot: the more progressive her own beliefs, the more likely she is to be working.²¹ Table 2a column 2 suggests that when a woman personally agrees with gender equality in opportunities, she is 5 percentage points more likely to have worked in the past year (see p-value for test *Norms+Fem* at the bottom of Tables 2a-2c to read the composite effect for women). Similar results are found for the gender role specific norms in Tables 2b and 2c: women who personally agree with the male breadwinner norm are 7 percentage points less likely to be working; and women who agree with the female caregiver norm are 9 percentage points less likely to be working. We find no significant correlation between women's personal beliefs towards gender equality and hours worked.

 $^{^{20}}$ At the extensive margin participation in the labor market is given by "main status is work in the past year" and at the intensive margin "hours spent on paid work in the past week". Since the survey was conducted in July 2020 which was when COVID-19 lockdowns started being mandated in some countries, the hours worked variable might have been affected by cases of temporary or recent unemployment during the COVID-19 pandemic. Descriptive statistics suggest that 40% of women and 52% of men in the sample were engaged in work in the past week (compared to 56% of women and 71% of men who report work over the past year). Responses to the main status of work in the past year are less likely to have been significantly influenced by the COVID-19 shock since the survey was conducted relatively early in the pandemic.

 $^{^{21}}$ The coefficient on *Female* shows that, in general, women are less likely to have worked in the past year than men and spend around one hour less at work conditional on having worked for pay in the last week.

For men, individual beliefs are more important at the intensive margin, where for both the male breadwinner norm and equal opportunities, a more liberal belief held by him is associated with him working fewer hours. For example, men with more egalitarian personal beliefs towards gender equality spend around 0.22 hours less hours at work, conditional on working in the last week (Table 2a and 2b column 4). On the other hand, for women the only belief that is associated with her hours worked is around the female caregiver norm, which goes in the expected direction of 0.32 fewer hours spent working. That is, women who personally agree with the female caregiver norm are less likely to participate in the labor market at both the extensive and intensive margin.

Taken alone, community norms show a similar story to individual beliefs for women's labor force participation: the more liberal she thinks her community is, the more she works. For example, her perception of an additional 10 percent of persons showing support for gender equality in opportunities in the community translates into a 4 percentage point greater likelihood of a woman working over the past year, and an extra 0.49 hours spent working per week. Overall, this pattern of results suggests that women's perception of greater support for gender equality in opportunities in their community is associated with a higher likelihood of women participating in the labor market at both the extensive and intensive margin. For the gender role specific norms (breadwinner and caregiver), community perceptions are similarly important for a woman's participation in the labor market, but there is no net significant effect for hours worked. In Tables 2a and 2c, among women, the perception of an additional 10 percent of persons agreeing with the male breadwinner/female caregiver norm in the community translates into a 3 and 4 percentage point lower likelihood of a woman working over the past year, respectively.

For men, again community beliefs are similar to individual beliefs for labor force participation, i.e. what he perceives the community thinks has no relationship on whether he works or not. For the hours he works, gender equal opportunities does not matter, but the gender role norms indicate that men work more hours (conditional on working at all) when they think their community is more gender conservative.

These two levels of beliefs - what individuals think and what they think their community thinks, could be highly related and operating on the same decision simultaneously. In Table 3, we control for both types of beliefs in the same regression (as described for equation 3). For labor force participation, we can see that for the more gender role specific norms (caregiver, breadwinner) controlling for the individual beliefs causes the community beliefs for women to be no longer significant. This suggests that for these more proximal norms, her individual beliefs matter more than those of her community - in contrast to the more distal gender equality norm. For male labor force participation, we see the earlier counterintuitive negative result on the female caregiver norms is offset by positive community effects, which suggests that any negative impacts on male labor supply may be driven by men who think they are at odds with their community.²² At the intensive margin, controlling for the two levels of beliefs (individual or community) does not change our results at all.

Taken together, we show that women's own personal belief in a norm of equal opportunities and their perception of general community support for gender equality are independently correlated with whether women work. On the other hand, women's perception of community support for male breadwinner and female caregiver norms is not independently correlated with whether and how much they work, net of their own personal beliefs.

4.2.2 Decision-Making Power

In the following we analyze intrahousehold decision-making power among married men and women. In Tables 4a, 4b, and 5 we examine correlations between beliefs and norms of broad gender equality and the male breadwinner on power within the household.²³ The dependent variables in Tables 4a-5 present four categories of decision making power related to large purchases in the household: 'female in the household has all the power', 'male in the household has all the power', 'joint decision making with spouse', and 'no power at all'. The regression models shown include country fixed effects.²⁴

Table 4a suggests that among men, a higher personal belief in support of gender equality (*Personal Belief:Agree*) is associated with a significant shift away from sole decision making power (Male in HH has all the power), towards joint decision making where men are 10 percentage points less likely to report sole decision power. This is the pattern we might expect when an individual agrees with gender equality in opportunities - that decisions made within the marital relationship are made jointly. Similarly, in Table 4b, the pattern for the male breadwinner norm is in the direction we would expect - personally agreeing with the male breadwinner norm is associated with higher male sole decision making power (9 percentage points) and commensurate lower joint decision making in the household.

Similarly, for women personally agreeing with the gender equality norm is associated with a shift from sole decision making (Female in the HH has all the power) to joint decision making power by 4 percentage points. A similar pattern is found for the male breadwinner norm agreeing with the breadwinner norm is associated with a lower likelihood of joint power and

²²For instance, men who personally agree with the female caregiver norm may reflect men who place greater weight on family values themselves or men who revert to more traditional masculinity roles when out of work.

²³Separate survey modules were randomized and administered to a random subsample in order to minimize overall survey length. Therefore, some behaviors were paired with fewer norms questions.

²⁴While the two models with and without county fixed effects differ slightly in magnitude of the coefficients, the level of statistical significance is consistent so we only show the specification with country fixed effects.

higher likelihood of sole power (+4 percentage points for the female; +2 percentage points for the male in the household) and 4 percentage points higher likelihood of 'no power at all'. While the individual beliefs results for men and women follow similar patterns, the magnitudes of the associations are larger for men.

Turning to perceived community norms, Table 4a and 4b columns 5 to 8 (*Community* Norms) suggest a similar pattern to own beliefs. When men perceive that their community are more gender liberal then they are more likely to practice joint decision making with their wife and move away from husband-centered decision making. For example, an additional 10 percent in persons who support the gender equality norm is associated with 8 percentage points higher likelihood of men reporting joint decision making; and an additional 10 percent in persons agreeing with the male breadwinner norm is associated with a decrease in joint decision making of 3 percentage points. For women, greater perceived support for gender equality is associated with lower reports of 'no power at all' by 5 percentage points and greater joint decision making power. Higher perceived male breadwinner norms by women are associated with lower likelihood of joint decision making, as well as higher sole decision making power for women themselves and a higher probability of reporting 'no power at all'.

Taken together these results suggest that men and women appear to be interacting with the norms differently. Men with more liberal beliefs report a transfer from sole decisionmaking power to joint decision-making power with his spouse. Whereas more liberal women report a transfer from spousal power towards both joint and sole.

In Table 5 we combine personal beliefs and perceived community beliefs in the same regression (as per equation 3). Even after controlling for their personal beliefs, men's perception of greater acceptance of gender equality in their community continues to be associated with a shift away from husband-centric decision making towards joint decision making (perception of an additional 10 percent in persons agreeing with the norm is associated with -5 percentage points in sole husband and +6 percentage points in joint). For the male breadwinner norm, controlling for the individual beliefs causes the community beliefs to be no longer significant.

For women, after controlling for her personal beliefs, a higher perceived community support for gender equality is associated with a lower likelihood of her having 'no power at all' (-5 percentage points), and a higher likelihood of joint decision making in her household. However, we find a more counter-intuitive result for the male breadwinner norm where the community norm is positively correlated with greater sole decision making power by the female. One possible explanation could be that women who are already engaging in counterstereotypical behaviors such as making sole decisions around large purchases may face more social stigma from their community which could reinforce the existence of the stereotypical norm that men are breadwinners for these women.

4.2.3 Childcare and Domestic Responsibilities

In this final set of behaviors, in Tables 6a, 6b, and 7 we examine the relationship between the gender equality norm and female caregiver norm with childcare and domestic responsibilities. There are three dependent variables presented: dummy variable for being the self-reported main caregiver for pre-school or children 6-18 years in the household, hours spent on care activities and hours spent on household chores, conditional on being the main caregiver.

In Tables 6a and 6b again we present the regression model with country fixed effects as shown in equations 1 and 2, first assessing personal beliefs and perceived norms separately. Across the board, women are more likely to report to be the main caregiver of children and spend more time on both care work and household chores (see positive coefficient on *Female*).

In Table 6a, we show that personal support for gender equality is not significantly associated with any of the care and household chores outcomes for men (see coefficient on *Personal Belief:Agree*). Whereas in Table 6b for men who personally agree with the female caregiver norm we find a higher likelihood of him being the main caregiver of children (+ 3 percentage points), as well as an increase in hours spent on chores (+0.16 hours), and care (+0.41 hours). While this norm is intended to capture beliefs on *females* as caregivers it may also represent greater family values in general that are held by some men. If a man thinks a woman's most important role is to take care of her home and children then he may be putting a premium on family and care.²⁵

Women, in contrast, who personally agree with gender equality in opportunities report spending marginally fewer hours on household chores (-0.24 hours) with no observed relationship with care. For women, personal agreement with the female caregiver norm is strongly positively correlated with both the likelihood she is the main caregiver and the time she spends on care and chores. The composite effect suggests that women who personally agree with the female caregiver norm are 6 percentage points more likely to be main caregivers, and spend an additional 1.05 hours on care work and 0.36 hours on chores.

In terms of the perceived community norm, for men, the perception of an additional 10 percent in persons agreeing with the gender equality norm is associated with spending more time on household chores (± 0.35 hours). For women, greater perceptions of agreement with gender equality within the community is strongly associated with time spent on care activities, with an additional 1.36 additional hours on care work for a unit increase in the community norm. This result is surprising since perhaps one might expect redistribution of care work between the husband and wife as the community becomes more gender progressive and therefore a reduction in care activities by women. Interestingly, the perception of the

 $^{^{25}}$ An alternative hypothesis might be a reverse causality explanation that the more men work on carerelated activities, the more they are convinced it is their wife's job.

female caregiver norm is strongly positively associated with the amount of care and domestic work done by both women and men. Men who perceive that an additional 10 percent of persons in their community agree with the female caregiver norm report spending 1.06 additional hours on care work and 0.43 additional hours on chores. This association is even more pronounced for women, who are 4 percentage points more likely to be main caregivers, spend 1.69 hours more on care work, and 0.51 additional hours on chores for every unit increase in the female caregiver norm.

In Table 7 we combine personal and perceived community norms in the same regression (as per equation 3) and examine the relationship with domestic and care responsibilities, conditioning on the personally held belief. After controlling for personal beliefs, the correlations with the perceived community norms hold as was described earlier for Tables 6a and 6b,. Greater support for the female caregiver norm in the community is strongly associated with greater involvement in care related activities by both men and women (for men, the result is significant on time spent on chores for the gender equality norm, and both time on care and chores for the female caregiver norm). This suggests that even after taking into account their own beliefs, the perceived beliefs of those in the community matter for time spent on activities within the household by men.

5 Discussion and Concluding Thoughts

Given the large and persistent gender inequality in labor force participation and earnings in many countries, it is important to better understand how social norms might constrain women's labor market choices and outcomes. In this paper we provide empirical evidence on the relationship between gender attitudes, norms and economic behavior on a global scale. We examine norms with respect to gender equality in opportunities, and the stereotypical gender roles of the male breadwinner and female caregiver.

Persistent gaps in the accurate measurement of norms and obtaining gender-disaggregated data have prevented research at scale. For example, previous research has often relied on country-level aggregates of gender attitudes to proxy for norms. In this paper we leverage a unique dataset that collected data on both personal attitudes and perceived norms on gender across 111 countries and link them to individual-level employment, decision-making and time allocation variables. Our measures shed light on how norms are internalized and acted upon. Measurement of both social expectations and personal beliefs can reveal phenomena, such as pluralistic ignorance, which is an important insight for policies. Our findings suggest a difference between the aggregate country-level measure of personal beliefs and perceived norms across many countries of the world. The extent of the difference varies by region of the world, gender and the particular norm in question.

Results suggest a general underestimation of the support for gender equality globally (men and women in general think their community is more conservative). We show that education and age are predictive of the degree of underestimation of the support for gender equality. In terms of linking attitudes and norms with behaviors, we show that men's beliefs and perceived norms about support for gender equality are not correlated with whether he works or not. However, the perceived beliefs of those in the community matter for male engagement in household productive activities. Greater perceived community support for gender equality is associated with greater involvement in care and household chores by married men and a greater likelihood of joint decision-making power with his wife. Women's own personal beliefs about support for gender equality and gender roles matter a lot for their decision to work. The more progressive her own beliefs, the more likely she is to participate in the labor force. Higher perceived community support for gender equality is also associated with married women having a higher likelihood of joint decision-making power.

Our findings, while descriptive in nature, suggest that our measures have important informational content and existing tools to measure social norms need to be improved and refined. For policy, additional data may be needed to be able to diagnose the specific norms at play that bind on behaviors we look to influence. Our findings highlight the entrenched nature of traditional gender roles in the collective consciousness and the varying degrees to which they are personally endorsed by men and women. In addition, identifying the reasons why people comply will help unpack the 'black box' of how norms operate. Perhaps people comply because norms are hidden, or because they have a strong desire to conform, or because they gain benefits or fear sanctions for going against a norm.

Policy may encourage more gender progressive personal beliefs, support an updating of misperceived beliefs around gender equality, or encourage more gender progressive or counterstereotypical behaviors, irrespective of norms. Existing gender norms programs in low- and middle- income contexts have typically focused on interventions among youth, communitylevel training programs and low-touch behavioral or information campaigns. For example, recent gender norms programs in India (Dhar, Jain and Jayachandran, 2022) and in Somalia (Brar et al., 2023) find an egalitarian shift in gender attitudes among young adolescents. Bursztyn, Gonzalez and Yanagizawa-Drott (2020) finds that Saudi men systematically overestimate their peers' disapproval of women's work and a simple information intervention that corrects misperceptions increased men's willingness to help their wife search for a job. In the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) training that engaged fathers in sessions of critical reflection on fatherhood and caregiving led to higher levels of male participation in childcare and household tasks, relative to a control group (Vaillant et al., 2020). In Nigeria, Banerjee, Ferrara and Orozco (2019) find that exposure to an edutainment intervention improved television viewers' attitudes towards violence against women. Bertrand (2020) proposes that direct and ongoing exposure to a proscribed counter-stereotypical behavior, such as women's work outside the home, may eventually reduce norm-related costs associated with the behavior and eventually help erode the norm.

Often the settings where we want to use policy to influence a norms change are in lowresource settings with low levels of human capital. In that sense, social norms interventions should not be considered standalone solutions but complementary to capital- or skills-related programming. There are a variety of channels through which policy could operate that may be norm-sensitive or norm-transformative. Below we provide ideas for a variety of potential entry points for policy interventions to address social norms either directly or indirectly (Munoz-Boudet, Pierotti and Rahman, 2023).

- **Circumvent**: work around the norm with behaviors that are more accepted (e.g. girls completing education before marriage, or women working from the homestead) or conduct interventions in locations/places where women are more likely to be present (e.g. in health centers, or collection of cash transfers).
- **Prevent**: take actions for the norm to not be triggered by separating women (e.g. women's only transport, female-only workplaces, or self-help groups).
- Eliminate sanctions 'myth': create default options that can bypass normative choices or use role models and social proof activities that show sanctions are not enacted in reality.
- **Tackle**: engage in collective discussions on a norm, change or enact legislation, or change aspirations and influence younger generations prior to path dependence.
- Create a new norm: promote early child development and involved parenting (behavioral discourse change). Introduce incentives (financial, legal) for new behaviors that break with the norm.

Government policy that embeds gender norms programming in school curricula can be a pathway to scale. To effect a real and lasting shift in norms may require a targeted policy approach that encourages and applauds deviation from the stereotypical gender roles and promotes gender equality. Policy makers that promote gender equality need to ensure greater investment is devoted towards programs that could affect norms. Governments and media can also play a more active role in challenging the social dialogue around the male breadwinner and female caregiver gender roles in the quest to support gender equality and promote economic growth.

6 All tables and figures

Male Female Difference Variable (1)-(2) Marital Status: Having a long-term partner or a spouse 0.59 0.57 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Relationship with head of household Head of Househol 0.44 0.25 0.19*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00]		(1)	(2)	t-test
Variable Mean/SE (1)-(2) Marital Status: Having a long-term partner or a spouse 0.59 0.57 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Relationship with head of household 0.44 0.25 0.19*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Spouse or partner 0.16 0.37 -0.22*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Child or Grandchild 0.26 0.24 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0 11 erson 0.14 0.17 -0.03*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0 11 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] [0.00] Education Seconda		Male	Female	Difference
Marital Status: Having a long-term partner or a spouse (0.00) 0.59 (0.00) 0.57 (0.00) 0.27*** (0.00) Relationship with head of household Head of Household 0.44 (0.00) 0.25 (0.00) 0.19**** (0.00) Spouse or partner (0.00) 0.16 (0.00) 0.37 (0.02*** (0.00) 0.22*** (0.00) 0.02*** (0.00) Child or Grandchild 0.26 (0.00) 0.24 (0.00) 0.02*** (0.00) 0.01*** (0.00) Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0.15 (0.00) 0.01 0.02*** (0.00) 0.02*** (0.00) Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.01*** (0.00) 0.001 1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03*** (0.00) 0.001 0.01*** (0.00) 0.001 Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02*** (0.00) 0.01*** (0.00) Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02*** (0.00) 0.02*** (0.00) Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02*** (0.00) 0.001 Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.38<	Variable	Mean/SE		(1)-(2)
	Marital Status: Having a long-term partner or a spouse	0.59	0.57	0.02***
Relationship with head of household Head of Household 0.44 0.25 0.19^{***} [0.00] $[0.00]Spouse or partner 0.16 0.37 -0.22^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Child or Grandchild 0.26 0.24 0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Other 0.15 0.13 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent)Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent)Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent)1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03^{***}[0.00] [0.00]1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03^{***}[0.00] [0.00]2-5 people 0.61 0.62 -0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]6-10 people 0.13 0.12 0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]EducationEducationEducationAge24 \text{ or younger} 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]UrbanicityUrbanicityCity 0.60 0.61 -0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]Own land 0.02 0.01^{***}[0.00] [0.00]$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
Head of Household 0.44 0.25 0.19^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ Spouse or partner 0.16 0.37 -0.22^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ Child or Grandchild 0.26 0.24 0.02^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.02^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.02^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.01^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ 0.02^{***} $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $[0.00]$ $0.$	Relationship with head of household			
	Head of Household	0.44	0.25	0.19***
Spouse or partner 0.16 0.37 -0.22*** [0.00] [0.00] Child or Grandchild 0.26 0.24 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] Other 0.15 0.13 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0, I live alone 0.09 0.07 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] 1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03*** [0.00] [0.00] 2-5 people 0.61 0.62 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 2-5 people 0.61 0.62 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 11 or more 0.03 0.02 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Education Education Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] Age 24 or younger 0.26 0.28 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Urbanicity Urbanicity City 0.60 0.61 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Own land 0.23 0.16 0.07*** [0.00] [0.00]		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Spouse or partner	0.16	0.37	-0.22***
Child or Grandchild 0.26 0.24 0.02^{***} [0.00] $[0.00]Other 0.15 0.13 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent)0, 1 live alone 0.09 0.07 0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]2-5 people 0.61 0.62 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]6-10 people 0.13 0.12 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]11 or more 0.03 0.02 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]EducationEducationSecondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Age24 or younger 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]UrbanicityUrbanicityVillage, rural area, or other 0.40 0.33 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Own land 0.23 0.16 0.07^{***}$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Child or Grandchild	0.26	0.24	0.02***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
	Other	0.15	0.13	0.01***
Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent) 0, I live alone 0.09 0.07 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] 1 person 0.14 0.17 -0.03*** [0.00] [0.00] 2-5 people 0.61 0.62 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 6-10 people 0.13 0.12 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 11 or more 0.03 0.02 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Education Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02*** [0.00] [0.00] Age 24 or younger 0.26 0.28 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] 25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Urbanicity Urbanicity City 0.60 0.61 -0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01*** [0.00] [0.00] Own land 0.23 0.16 0.07***		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Number of people under same roof (excluding respondent)			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0, I live alone	0.09	0.07	0.02***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 person	0.14	0.17	-0.03***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2-5 people	0.61	0.62	-0.01***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6-10 people	0.13	0.12	0.01***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	0.01.4444
Education Education Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02^{***} [0.00] $[0.00]More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Age24 \text{ or younger} 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]25-64 years old 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]UrbanicityUrbanicityCity 0.60 0.61 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Own land0.23 0.16 0.07^{***}$	11 or more	0.03	0.02	0.01***
Education Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02^{***} $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02^{***} $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ Age 24 or younger 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***} $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ Urbanicity Urbanicity $\begin{bmatrix} City & 0.60 & 0.61 & -0.01^{***} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ Village, rural area, or other $\begin{bmatrix} 0.40 & 0.39 & 0.01^{***} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$ Own land $0.23 & 0.16 & 0.07^{***} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \end{bmatrix}$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
Secondary or less 0.42 0.39 0.02^{***} [0.00] $[0.00]More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Age24 \text{ or younger} 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]25-64 \text{ years old} 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]UrbanicityUrbanicityCity 0.60 0.61 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Own land0.23 0.16 0.07^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]$	Education	0.40	0.20	0.02***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Secondary or less	0.42	0.39	0.02***
More than secondary 0.58 0.61 -0.02^{***} [0.00] $[0.00]Age24 \text{ or younger} 0.26 0.28 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]25-64 \text{ years old} 0.74 0.72 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]UrbanicityUrbanicityCity 0.60 0.61 -0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 0.01^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]Own land0.23 0.16 0.07^{***}[0.00]$ $[0.00]$		[0.00]	[0.00]	0.00***
Age $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	More than secondary	0.58	0.61	-0.02***
Age $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age 24 on succession	0.26	0.29	0.01***
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24 of younger	0.20	0.28	-0.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.64 years ald	[0.00]	[0.00]	0.01***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25-64 years old	0.74	0.72	0.01
$\begin{array}{c cccc} City & 0.60 & 0.61 & -0.01^{***} \\ & & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \hline \\ Village, rural area, or other & 0.40 & 0.39 & 0.01^{***} \\ & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \hline \\ Own land & & 0.23 & 0.16 & 0.07^{***} \\ & & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \hline \end{array}$	Urbaniaity	[0.00]	[0.00]	
$\begin{array}{c} \text{City} & 0.00 & 0.01 & -0.01^{\text{AAA}} \\ & & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \text{Village, rural area, or other} & 0.40 & 0.39 & 0.01^{\text{AAA}} \\ & & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \text{Own land} & & 0.23 & 0.16 & 0.07^{\text{AAA}} \\ & & [0.00] & [0.00] \\ \end{array}$	City	0.60	0.61	0.01***
Village, rural area, or other 0.40 0.39 $0.01***$ Own land 0.23 0.16 $0.07***$ [0.00][0.00][0.00]	City	0.00	10.01	-0.01
Own land 0.23 0.16 0.07*** [0.00] [0.00]	Villago mural area or other	[0.00] 0.40	[U.UU] 0.20	0.01***
Own land 0.23 0.16 0.07*** [0.00] [0.00] [0.00]	village, iurai area, or other	0.40 [0.00]	0.39	0.01
[0.00] [0.00]	Own land	0.00	[0.00] 0.16	0 07***
		0.23 [0.00]	[0.10	0.07
Observations 72525 70020	Observations	73525	70020	

Table 1: Sample Characteristics by Respondent Gender

The value displayed for t-tests are the differences in the means across the groups.

***, **, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent critical level.



Figure 1: Gender Gaps in Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms by Region

Personal beliefs are an aggregate of the percentage of the sample who agree with the statement. Perceived community norms are an aggregate of the proportion of neighbors who they believe agree with the statement. Aggregates are taken at the country level and then averaged by region of the world.

Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him



 Male - Perceived Community Norms Male - Personal Beliefs

Personal beliefs are an aggregate of the percentage of the sample who agree with the statement. Perceived community norms are an aggregate of the proportion of neighbors who they believe agree with the statement Aggregates are taken at the county level and then averaged by region of the world.



Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children



Broad Norm: Men and women should have equal opportunities



Notes: Pluralistic ignorance at the country level - gap in aggregate beliefs and perceived community norms.

Figure 3: Male Breadwinner Norm



Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms

Notes: Pluralistic ignorance at the country level - gap in aggregate beliefs and perceived community norms.





Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms

Notes: Pluralistic ignorance at the country level - gap in aggregate beliefs and perceived community norms.

Figure 5: Map of misperceptions of a broad norm on support for gender equality in opportunities

Broad Norm: men and women should have equal opportunities Misperception of the norm: Perceived Community Norms - Personal Beliefs (%)



IBRD 47747 | MARCH 2024

> Misperception is determined by the disparity between the Community perceived norm (on a 0-100 scale) and the Aggregate Level of Agreement with the norm within the country (on a 0-100 scale). Here we present the absolute values of the misperception as all countries have a negative value suggesting a general misperception of the support for gender equality globally. Darker shades of the map represent a greater misperception of the norm i.e. a greater underestimation in the level of support for gender equality in opportunities in the country. Source: Gender Equality at Home Data, 2020.

32

Figure 6: Map of misperceptions of a male breadwinner norm Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him *Misperception of the norm: Perceived Community Norms - Personal Beliefs (%)*



IBRD 47748 MARCH 2024

> Misperception is determined by the disparity between the Community perceived norm (on a 0-100 scale) and the Aggregate Level of Agreement with the norm within the country (on a 0-100 scale). Here we present the actual values of the misperception – some countries have a positive value (overestimation) and some a negative value (underestimation) suggesting varying degrees of misperception. Darker shades of the map suggest an overestimation of the male breadwinner norm – individuals think their community is more gender conservative or stereotypical than actual beliefs in that country. Lighter shades of the map suggest an underestimation of the male breadwinner norm – individuals think their community is more gender progressive or counter-stereotypical than actual beliefs in that country. Source: Gender Equality at Home Data, 2020.

ယ္သ

Figure 7: Map of misperceptions of a female caregiver norm Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children *Misperception of the norm: Perceived Community Norms - Personal Beliefs (%)*



IBRD 47749 | MARCH 2024

> Misperception is determined by the disparity between the Community perceived norm (on a 0-100 scale) and the Aggregate Level of Agreement with the norm within the country (on a 0-100 scale). Here we present the actual values of the misperception – some countries have a positive value (overestimation) and some a negative value (underestimation) suggesting varying degrees of misperception. Darker shades of the map suggest an overestimation of the female caregiver norm – individuals think their community is more gender conservative or stereotypical than actual beliefs in that country. Lighter shades of the map suggest an underestimation of the female caregiver norm – individuals think their community is more gender progressive or counter-stereotypical than actual beliefs in that country. Source: Gender Equality at Home Data, 2020.
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Main | Status | Hours spe | ent at paid | Main | Status | Hours spe | ent at paid |
| | Work(Work | x=1/Nowork | work la | ist week | Work(Work | x=1/Nowork | work la | st week |
| | = | 0) | (Cond | itional) | = | 0) | (Conditional) | |
| | 6 | ender Equa | lity Norm: 1 | Men and wo | omen should | have equal of | opportunities | |
| Female | -0.18*** | -0.20*** | -0.86*** | -0.96*** | -0.13*** | -0.16*** | -0.91*** | -1.06*** |
| | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.13] | [0.13] | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.12] | [0.12] |
| Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1) | 0.02*** | -0.01 | -0.15** | -0.22*** | | | | |
| | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.07] | [0.07] | | | | |
| Personal Belief:Agree*Female | 0.05*** | 0.06*** | 0.28** | 0.30** | | | | |
| | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.13] | [0.13] | | | | |
| Community Norms (0/1) | | | | | 0.05*** | 0.00 | 0.10 | -0.07 |
| | | | | | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.11] | [0.12] |
| Community Norms (0/1)*Female | | | | | 0.02 | 0.04*** | 0.48*** | 0.56*** |
| | | | | | [0.01] | [0.01] | [0.17] | [0.17] |
| Constant | 0.83*** | 0.60*** | 8.73*** | 8.46*** | 0.85*** | 0.63*** | 8.46*** | 8.06*** |
| | [0.01] | [0.02] | [0.10] | [0.32] | [0.01] | [0.03] | [0.12] | [0.40] |
| Observations | 100659 | 100659 | 55094 | 55094 | 61319 | 61319 | 37555 | 37555 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| Mean Female | 0.56 | 0.56 | 7.54 | 7.54 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 7.51 | 7.51 |
| Mean Male | 0.71 | 0.71 | 8.18 | 8.18 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 8.14 | 8.14 |
| Norms+Fem(Coeff) | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.49 |
| Norms+Fem(SE) | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Norms+Fem(P-value) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Sample | ALL | ALL | ALL | ALL | ALL | ALL | ALL | ALL |
| Country FE | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES | NO | YES |

	Table 2a: Labor	Outcomes - (Correlation wi	ith Gender	Equality in	Opportunities	Attitudes and	Perceived	Norms
--	-----------------	--------------	----------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------	-----------	-------

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
	Main	Status	Hours spe	ent at paid	Main	Status	Hours spe	ent at paid	
	Work(Work	=1/Nowork	work la	st week	Work(Work	x=1/Nowork	work la	st week	
	=	0)	(Condi	tional)	=	0)	(Conditional)		
	Male Brea	dwinner No	rm: Expense	es are a ma	n's responsit	bility even if	his wife can help him		
Female	-0.12***	-0.13***	-0.60***	-0.67***	-0.10***	-0.12***	-0.39***	-0.49***	
	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.07]	[0.07]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.12]	[0.12]	
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	-0.02***	-0.00	0.19**	0.28***					
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.07]	[0.08]					
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	-0.07***	-0.07***	-0.34***	-0.30**					
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.12]	[0.12]					
Community Norms (0/1)					-0.02*	0.01	0.39***	0.43***	
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.13]	[0.13]	
Community Norms (0/1)*Female					-0.05***	-0.04***	-0.55***	-0.52***	
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.19]	[0.19]	
Constant	0.74***	0.62***	8.57***	7.95***	0.87***	0.61***	8.42***	7.93***	
	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.12]	[0.43]	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.14]	[0.46]	
Observations	51369	51369	27286	27286	44173	44173	25682	25682	
Adjusted R-squared	0.11	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.15	0.02	0.03	
Mean Female	0.57	0.57	7.50	7.50	0.58	0.58	7.49	7.49	
Mean Male	0.72	0.72	8.24	8.24	0.73	0.73	8.22	8.22	
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	-0.09	-0.07	-0.15	-0.01	-0.06	-0.03	-0.16	-0.09	
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.01	0.01	0.09	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.14	
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.51	
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Country FE	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	

Table 2b: Labor Outcomes - Correlation with Male Breadwinner Norm Attitudes and Perceived Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Main	Status	Hours spe	ent at paid	Main	Status	Hours spe	ent at paid
	Work(Work	=1/Nowork	work la	st week	Work(Work	x=1/Nowork	work la	ist week
	=	0)	(Condi	itional)	=	0)	(Conditional)	
	Female Ca	regiver Nori	n: Woman'	s most imp	ortant role is	to take care	of home and children	
Female	-0.11***	-0.12***	-0.35***	-0.46***	-0.10***	-0.11***	-0.22	-0.36***
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.07]	[0.07]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.14]	[0.14]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	-0.05***	-0.02***	-0.03	0.09				
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.07]	[0.08]				
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	-0.07***	-0.07***	-0.46***	-0.41***				
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.11]	[0.11]				
Community Norms (0/1)					-0.03**	0.01	0.20	0.33**
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.13]	[0.13]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female					-0.04***	-0.05***	-0.45**	-0.40**
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.19]	[0.19]
Constant	0.86***	0.60***	8.58***	8.44***	0.87***	0.56***	8.46***	7.78***
	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.12]	[0.46]	[0.01]	[0.03]	[0.14]	[0.45]
Observations	50048	50048	28020	28020	44275	44275	26230	26230
Adjusted R-squared	0.11	0.15	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.14	0.01	0.03
Mean Female	0.56	0.56	7.58	7.58	0.56	0.56	7.57	7.57
Mean Male	0.70	0.70	8.14	8.14	0.71	0.71	8.11	8.11
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	-0.12	-0.09	-0.49	-0.32	-0.07	-0.04	-0.25	-0.07
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.15	0.15
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.63
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES

Table 2c: Labor Outcomes - Correlation with Female Caregiver Norm Attitudes and Perceived Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Hours spent at		Hours spent at		Hours spent at
	Main Status	paid work last	Main Status	paid work last	Main Status	paid work last
	Work(Work=1/N	week	Work(Work=1/N	week	Work(Work=1/N	week
	owork=0)	(Conditional)	owork=0)	(Conditional)	owork=0)	(Conditional)
	Gender Equ	ality Norm	Male Breadw	inner Norm	Female Care	giver Norm
Female	-0.21***	-1.26***	-0.11***	-0.45***	-0.10***	-0.32**
	[0.01]	[0.18]	[0.01]	[0.12]	[0.01]	[0.14]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	-0.00	-0.18*	0.01	0.25***	-0.02***	0.01
	[0.01]	[0.09]	[0.01]	[0.08]	[0.01]	[0.08]
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	0.05***	0.28*	-0.08***	-0.27**	-0.07***	-0.35***
	[0.01]	[0.17]	[0.01]	[0.13]	[0.01]	[0.12]
Community Norms (0/1)	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.34**	0.02*	0.31**
	[0.01]	[0.12]	[0.01]	[0.13]	[0.01]	[0.14]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female	0.04***	0.49***	-0.02	-0.41**	-0.03*	-0.25
	[0.01]	[0.17]	[0.01]	[0.19]	[0.01]	[0.21]
Constant	0.63***	8.18***	0.61***	7.86***	0.58***	7.80***
	[0.03]	[0.40]	[0.03]	[0.46]	[0.03]	[0.45]
Observations	61319	37555	44173	25682	44275	26230
Adjusted R-squared	0.15	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.15	0.03
Mean Female	0.59	7.51	0.58	7.49	0.56	7.57
Mean Male	0.73	8.14	0.73	8.22	0.71	8.11
PersBelief+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.84	0.00	0.00
ComNorms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.00	0.39	0.60	0.56	0.67
Mean VIF	3.51	3.62	2.26	2.29	2.51	2.56
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

 Table 3: Labor Outcomes - Correlation with Perceived Community Norms, conditional on Personal Beliefs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No =0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No =0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No =0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No =0)	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No =0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No =0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No =0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No =0)
	6	Gender Equa	lity Norm: I	Men and wo	men should	have equal	opportunitie	25
Female	0.17***	-0.18***	0.03**	0.02	0.13***	-0.12***	0.00	0.03**
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	-0.00	-0.09***	0.10***	-0.00				
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]				
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	-0.04***	0.09***	-0.06***	-0.02				
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]				
Community Norms (0/1)					0.01	-0.07***	0.08***	-0.01
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female					-0.01	0.05***	-0.05***	-0.05***
					[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]
Constant	0.24***	0.59***	0.12***	0.02	0.26***	0.48***	0.17***	0.00
	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.05]	[0.04]
Observations	42669	42669	42669	42669	25183	25183	25183	25183
Adjusted R-squared	0.06	0.11	0.20	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.22	0.25
Mean Female	0.17	0.09	0.56	0.19	0.15	0.07	0.57	0.19
Mean Male	0.07	0.22	0.51	0.18	0.06	0.18	0.54	0.18
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	-0.04	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	0.00	-0.02	0.03	-0.05
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.03	0.91	0.04	0.05	0.00
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 4a: Decision Making Power over Large Purchases - Correlation with Gender Equality Norm: Attitudes and Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No=0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No=0)	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No=0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No=0)
		Male Bready	winner Norm: Ex	xpenses are a mai	n's responsibility	even if his wife co	an help him	
Female	0.12***	-0.08***	-0.03***	-0.01***	0.11***	-0.09***	-0.02**	-0.02***
	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	0.01***	0.09***	-0.08***	-0.01**				
	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]				
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	0.05***	-0.07***	0.02**	0.05***				
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]				
Community Norms (0/1)					-0.01	0.03***	-0.03***	-0.01
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female					0.04***	-0.03**	0.00	0.03**
					[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.01]
Constant	0.24***	0.46***	0.25***	0.03	0.22***	0.44^{***}	0.26***	0.03
	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.03]	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.04]
Observations	43053	43053	43053	43053	36433	36433	36433	36433
Adjusted R-squared	0.06	0.11	0.20	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.20	0.21
Mean Female	0.17	0.09	0.55	0.19	0.17	0.08	0.56	0.19
Mean Male	0.07	0.22	0.50	0.18	0.07	0.21	0.52	0.18
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	0.06	0.02	-0.06	0.04	0.03	0.01	-0.03	0.02
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.01	0.02
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 4b: Decision Making Power over Large Purchases - Correlation with Male Breadwinner Norm: Attitudes and Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No=0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No=0)	Female in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Male in HH has all the power (Yes=1/No=0)	Joint decision making with spouse (Yes=1/No=0)	No power at all (Yes=1/No=0)
		Gender Equ	ality Norm			Male Breadw	vinner Norm	
Female	0.15***	-0.15***	0.03	0.05***	0.11***	-0.08***	-0.03***	-0.02***
	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	-0.01	-0.08***	0.08***	-0.00	0.02***	0.09***	-0.08***	-0.01**
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	-0.03*	0.06***	-0.05**	-0.03**	0.04***	-0.07***	0.02*	0.05***
	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Community Norms (0/1)	0.01	-0.05***	0.06***	-0.01	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.00
	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female	-0.00	0.03**	-0.03	-0.04***	0.02**	0.00	-0.01	0.01
	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.01]
Constant	0.26***	0.54***	0.11**	0.00	0.22***	0.42***	0.28***	0.04
	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.05]	[0.04]	[0.02]	[0.03]	[0.04]	[0.04]
Observations	25183	25183	25183	25183	36433	36433	36433	36433
Adjusted R-squared	0.06	0.09	0.22	0.25	0.06	0.10	0.21	0.21
Mean Female	0.15	0.07	0.57	0.19	0.17	0.08	0.56	0.19
Mean Male	0.06	0.18	0.54	0.18	0.07	0.21	0.52	0.18
PersBelief+Fem(P-value)	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ComNorms+Fem(P-value)	0.68	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.10	0.34	0.20	0.42
Mean VIF	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.21	3.21	3.21	3.21
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 5: Decision Making Power over Large Purchases - Correlation with Perceived Norms, conditional on Personal Beliefs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Hours	Hours		Hours	
		spend on	spend on		spend on	
	Main	care	household	Main	care	Hours spend
	Caregiver:	activities	chores	Caregiver:	activities	on household
	Preschool	(Main	(Main	Preschool	(Main	chores (Main
	or School	Caregiver	Caregiver	or School	Caregiver	Caregiver
	Children	Children)	Children)	Children	Children)	Children)
	Gender Eq	uality Norm	: Men and w	vomen shoul	ld have equa	l opportunities
Female	0.07***	2.95***	1.52***	0.11***	1.89***	1.53***
	[0.02]	[0.43]	[0.15]	[0.02]	[0.38]	[0.13]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	0.00	-0.12	0.13			
	[0.01]	[0.21]	[0.08]			
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	0.01	-0.24	-0.32**			
	[0.02]	[0.44]	[0.15]			
Community Norms (0/1)				0.02	-0.07	0.35***
				[0.02]	[0.36]	[0.12]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female				-0.04	1.44***	-0.44**
				[0.03]	[0.55]	[0.19]
Constant	0.31***	5.00***	3.84***	0.29***	4.09***	4.11***
	[0.05]	[0.95]	[0.35]	[0.07]	[0.98]	[0.50]
Observations	22327	9564	10131	15506	6820	7162
Adjusted R-squared	0.21	0.15	0.17	0.23	0.17	0.18
Mean Female	0.47	9.41	4.26	0.48	9.52	4.26
Mean Male	0.41	6.20	2.94	0.42	6.17	2.93
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	0.02	-0.36	-0.20	-0.03	1.36	-0.08
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.02	0.39	0.13	0.02	0.43	0.15
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.31	0.36	0.14	0.17	0.00	0.56
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 6a: Care and Domestic Responsibilities - Correlation with Gender Equality Norm: Attitudes and Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Main	Hours spend on	Hours spend on	Main	Hours spend on	Hours spend on
	Caregiver:Presch	care activities	household chores	Caregiver:Presch	care activities	household chores
	ool or School	(Main Caregiver	(Main Caregiver	ool or School	(Main Caregiver	(Main Caregiver
	Children	Children)	Children)	Children	Children)	Children)
	Female Co	aregiver Norm: W	Voman's most imp	ortant role is to ta	ke care of home of	& children
Female	0.07***	2.40***	1.12***	0.05***	2.27***	1.16***
	[0.01]	[0.18]	[0.06]	[0.01]	[0.33]	[0.11]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	0.03***	0.41**	0.14**			
	[0.01]	[0.16]	[0.06]			
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	0.03**	0.64**	0.22**			
	[0.01]	[0.25]	[0.09]			
Community Norms (0/1)				0.00	1.06***	0.43***
				[0.02]	[0.29]	[0.10]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female				0.04**	0.63	0.07
				[0.02]	[0.47]	[0.16]
Constant	0.29***	4.59***	3.79***	0.28***	3.41***	3.54***
	[0.05]	[0.94]	[0.34]	[0.05]	[0.84]	[0.35]
Observations	22381	9592	10165	21211	9180	9670
Adjusted R-squared	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.17
Mean Female	0.47	9.40	4.26	0.47	9.42	4.27
Mean Male	0.41	6.22	2.94	0.42	6.20	2.94
Norms+Fem(Coeff)	0.06	1.05	0.36	0.05	1.69	0.51
Norms+Fem(SE)	0.01	0.20	0.07	0.02	0.37	0.12
Norms+Fem(P-value)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 6b: Care and Domestic Responsibilities - Correlation with Female Caregiver Norm: Attitudes and Norms

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Hours	Hours		Hours	Hours
	Main	spend on	spend on	Main	spend on	spend on
	Caregiver:	care	household	Caregiver:	care	household
	Preschool	activities	chores	Preschool	activities	chores
	or School	(Main	(Main	or School	(Main	(Main
	Children	Caregiver	Caregiver	Children	Caregiver	Caregiver
		Children)	Children)		Children)	Children)
	Gend	er Equality	Norm	Femal	e Caregiver	Norm
Female	0.11***	2.21***	1.77***	0.05***	2.17***	1.13***
	[0.03]	[0.58]	[0.21]	[0.01]	[0.33]	[0.11]
Personal Belief:Agree(No=0/Yes=1)	0.01	-0.21	0.07	0.03***	0.34*	0.09
	[0.02]	[0.27]	[0.10]	[0.01]	[0.18]	[0.06]
Personal Belief:Agree*Female	-0.00	-0.31	-0.30	0.02*	0.49*	0.21**
	[0.02]	[0.53]	[0.19]	[0.01]	[0.27]	[0.09]
Community Norms (0/1)	0.01	0.00	0.33**	-0.01	0.91***	0.39***
	[0.02]	[0.38]	[0.13]	[0.02]	[0.30]	[0.11]
Community Norms (0/1)*Female	-0.04	1.41**	-0.39**	0.04*	0.46	-0.01
	[0.03]	[0.56]	[0.19]	[0.02]	[0.49]	[0.17]
Constant	0.29***	4.24***	4.07***	0.27***	3.23***	3.49***
	[0.07]	[0.99]	[0.51]	[0.05]	[0.85]	[0.35]
Observations	15506	6820	7162	21211	9180	9670
Adjusted R-squared	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.16	0.17
Mean Female	0.48	9.52	4.26	0.47	9.42	4.27
Mean Male	0.42	6.17	2.93	0.42	6.20	2.94
PersBelief+Fem(P-value)	0.80	0.26	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00
ComNorms+Fem(P-value)	0.17	0.00	0.66	0.07	0.00	0.00
Mean VIF	3.65	4.79	4.62	2.60	3.80	3.65
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

Table 7: Care and Domestic Responsibilities - Correlation with Perceived Norms, conditional on Personal Beliefs

References

- Akerlof, G.A. and R.E. Kranton. 2000. "Economics and Identity." Quarterly Journal of Economics 115(3):715–753.
- Akerlof, G.A. and R.E. Kranton. 2002. "Identity and Schooling: Some Lessons for the Economics of Education." *Journal of Economic Literature* 40(3):1167–1201.
- Akerlof, G.A. and R.E. Kranton. 2010. "Identity Economics." New Jersey: Princeton University Press. .
- Alesina, A, P. Giuliano and N. Nunn. 2013. "On the Origins of Gender Roles: Women and the Plough." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 128(2):469–530.
- Banerjee, Abhijit, Eliana La Ferrara and Victor Orozco. 2019. "Entertainment, Education, and Attitudes Toward Domestic Violence." *AEA Papers and Proceedings* 109:133–37.
- Bernhardt, Arielle, Erica Field, Rohini Pande, Natalia Rigol, Simone Schaner and Charity Troyer-Moore. 2018. "Male Social Status and Women's Work." AEA Papers and Proceedings 108:363–67. URL: https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/pandp.20181086
- Bertrand, Marianne. 2020. "Gender in the Twenty-First Century." AEA Papers and Proceedings 110:1–24.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Emir Kamenica and Jessica Pan. 2015. "Gender Identity and Relative Income within Households." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 130(2):571â614.
- Bicchieri, Cristina. 2016. "Norms in the Wild: How to Diagnose, Measure, and Change Social Norms." Oxford University Press.
- Boserup, Ester. 1970. "Woman's Role in Economic Development." London: George Allen and Unwin Ltd. .
- Brar, Rajdev Kaur, Niklas Buehren, Sreelakshmi Papineni and Munshi Sulaiman. 2023."Rebel with a Cause : Effects of a Gender Norms Intervention for Adolescents in Somalia." World Bank Policy Research working paper WPS 10567.
- Bursztyn, Leonardo, Alessandra L. Gonzalez and David Yanagizawa-Drott. 2020. "Misperceived Social Norms: Women Working Outside the Home in Saudi Arabia." American Economic Review 110(10):2997–3029.
 URL: https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20180975
- Bursztyn, Leonardo, Alexander W Cappelen, Bertil Tungodden, Alessandra Voena and David H Yanagizawa-Drott. 2023a. How Are Gender Norms Perceived? Working Paper 31049 National Bureau of Economic Research.

- Bursztyn, Leonardo, Alexander W Cappelen, Bertil Tungodden, Alessandra Voena and David H Yanagizawa-Drott. 2023b. How Are Gender Norms Perceived? Working Paper 31049 National Bureau of Economic Research. URL: http://www.nber.org/papers/w31049
- Bursztyn, Leonardo and David Yang. 2022. "Misperceptions About Others." Annual Review of Economics 14(1).
- Cialdini, Robert B., Carl A. Kallgren and Raymond R. Reno. 1991. "A Focus Theory of Normative Conduct: A Theoretical Refinement and Reevaluation of the Role of Norms in Human Behavior." Advances in Experimental Social Psychology.
- Dhar, Diva, Tarun Jain and Seema Jayachandran. 2022. "Reshaping Adolescents' Gender Attitudes: Evidence from a School-Based Experiment in India." *American Economic Re*view 112(3):899–927.

URL: https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20201112

- Field, Erica, Rohini Pande, Natalia Rigol, Simone Schaner and Charity Troyer Moore. 2021. "On Her Own Account: How Strengthening Women's Financial Control Impacts Labor Supply and Gender Norms." *American Economic Review* 111(7):2342–75.
- Friedson-Ridenour, Sophia and Rachael S. Pierotti. 2019. "Competing priorities: Women's microenterprises and household relationships." World Development 121:53–62.
- Gauri, Varun, Tasmia Rahman and Iman Sen. 2019. "Measuring Social Norms About Female Labor Force Participation in Jordan." World Bank Working Paper Series 8916.
- Giuliano, Paola. 2021. "Gender and culture." Oxford Review of Economic Policy 8916.
- Goldin, Claudia. 2021. "Career and Family: Womenâs Century-Long Journey toward Equity." *Princeton University Press*.
- Haerpfer, C., R. Inglehart, A. Moreno, C. Welzel, K. Kizilova, J. Diez-Medrano, M. Lagos, P. Norris, E. Ponarin and B. Puranen. 2022. "World Values Survey Wave 7 (2017-2022) Cross-National Data-Set.".
- Heinicke, Franziska, Christian Konig-Kersting and Robert Schmidt. 2022. "Injunctive vs. descriptive social norms and reference group dependence." *Journal of Economic Behavior Organization*.
- Jayachandran, Seema. 2021. "Social Norms as a Barrier to Women's Employment in Developing Countries." IMF Econ Rev 69(5):576–595.
- Marcus, Rachel and Caroline Harper. 2014. "Gender justice and social norms processes of change for adolescent girls: Towards a conceptual framework." *London: Overseas Development Institute.* .
- Munoz-Boudet, Ana Maria, Patti Petesch, Carolyn Turk and Angelica Thumala. 2013. "On Norms and Agency: Conversations about Gender Equality with Women and Men in 20 Countries." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper.

- Munoz-Boudet, Ana Maria, Rachael Pierotti and Tanima Rahman. 2023. "World Bank Social Norms Clinic Presentation.".
- Vaillant, J, E Koussoubè, D Roth, R Pierotti, M Hossain and KL Falb. 2020. "Engaging men to transform inequitable gender attitudes and prevent intimate partner violence: a cluster RCT in Democratic Republic of Congo." *BMJ Glob Health* 5(5).

A Additional details on data and sample

- A.1 Country coverage and sample size by gender
- A.2 Description of economic variables
- A.3 Summary statistics of economic variables by gender
- A.4 Probit model on survey response rate
- A.5 Correlation of gender norms with other data sources
- A.6 Correlation with other data sources
- A.7 Summary data on attitudes and norms by region of the world

Region	Country	Female	Male	Region	Country	Female	Male	Region	Country	Female	Male
	Albania	841	1,065		Algeria	1,787	1,793		Argentina	1,533	922
	Armenia	1,157	808		Bahrain	800	795		Bolivia	1,270	1,154
	Austria	1,223	1,050		Egypt	1,435	2,403		Brazil	2,917	1,960
	Azerbaijan	1,097	1,019		Iraq	2,221	2,030		Chile	1,318	941
	Belarus	1,503	939		Israel	1,113	964		Colombia	2,646	2,031
	Belgium	1,260	1,042		Jordan	1,009	1,176		Costa Rica	1,318	1,057
	Bosnia & Herzegovina	1,040	876		Kuwait	556	1,256		Dominican Republic	1,382	1,031
	Bulgaria	1,371	946		Lebanon	927	792		Ecuador	1,233	1,191
	Croatia	1,480	866	Middle East &	Libya	875	1,188		El Salvador	1,414	1,244
	Czech Republic	1,434	1,017	North Africa	Morocco	1,648	1,796		Guatemala	1,189	1,233
	Denmark	1,229	1,234		Oman	753	804	Latin America	Haiti	624	1,043
	Finland	378	431		Palestine	963	1,175	& Caribbean	Honduras	881	828
	France	1,296	1,034		Qatar	308	1,442	Ť	Jamaica	1,349	695
	Georgia	1,027	792		Saudi Arabia	778	763	Ť	Mexico	2,641	2,224
	Germany	1,085	1,214		Tunisia	854	857	Ī	Nicaragua	3,672	3,244
	Greece	1,008	1,175		United Arab Emirates	927	897		Panama	1,353	1,143
	Hungary	1,203	1,021		Rest of Middle East & North Africa	632	967		Paraguay	1,299	1,041
	Ireland	1,344	934		Sub Total MENA	17,586	21,062	†	Peru	1,195	1,254
	Italy	1,383	1,048		Angola	748	1,262		Puerto Rico	1,404	725
Europe &	Kazakhstan	1,383	946		Benin	393	1,339		Uruguay	1,685	895
Central Asia	Kyrgyzstan	1,184	971		Botswana	1,171	953	†	Rest of Latin America & Caribbean	6,976	4,332
	Latvia	1,320	785		Burkina Faso	667	882		Sub Total LAC	39,299	30,188
	Lithuania	1,589	871		Cameroon	469	944		Afghanistan	837	1,008
	Macedonia	926	1,130		Ethiopia	243	1,129		Bangladesh	947	2,122
	Moldova	1,547	731		Ghana	578	1,371	Ť	India	1,754	1,829
	Netherlands	1,020	1,121		Guinea	298	1,132	South Asia	Nepal	618	1,164
	Poland	1,312	1,130		Ivory Coast	410	1,148	Ť	Pakistan	962	881
	Portugal	1,433	1,012		Kenya	652	1,224	Ī	Rest of South Asia	999	1,151
	Russia	1,306	1,147		Lesotho	1,078	768	Ī	Sub Total South Asia	6,017	8,143
	Serbia	1,072	946		Madagascar	817	849		Australia	535	430
	Slovakia	1,370	1,028	Sub-Saharan	Malawi	980	1,054	Ť	Cambodia	487	779
	Slovenia	1,271	988	Africa	Mali	602	773		Indonesia	1,484	1,899
	Spain	1,320	994		Mauritania	522	1,252	Ť	Japan	933	1,314
	Sweden	694	858		Mozambique	825	1,248	Ť	Laos	531	850
	Switzerland	1,174	1,045		Nigeria	780	1,365	Ī	Malaysia	1,983	2,285
	Turkey	1,386	3,072		Rwanda	155	484	East Asia &	Mongolia	542	378
	United Kingdom	2,654	2,101		Senegal	219	456	Pacific	Myanmar	743	1,125
	Uzbekistan	1,177	1,041		South Africa	1,127	1,048	Ť	Philippines	2,276	1,335
	Rest of Europe & Central Asia	4,541	4,219		Tanzania	379	1001	Ť	Taiwan	1,038	1,082
	Sub Total ECA	52,038	44,647	1	Uganda	563	1,361	† I	Thailand	1,686	1,771
	Canada	1,069	901	1	Zambia	835	1,179	† I	Vietnam	1,942	2,015
North	United States of America (and Bermuda)	2,420	1,616	1	Zimbabwe	1,007	1,338	† I	Rest of East Asia & Pacific	5,450	4,880
America	Sub Total North America	3,489	2,513	1	Rest of Sub-Saharan Africa	5,463	8,431	† I	Sub Total EAP	19,630	20,143
				1	Sub Total SSA	20,981	33,941				

Table A1: Country Coverage and Sample Size of the Survey on Gender Equality at Home

CATECODY	OUTCOME VADIADIE	OUESTION FROM INSTRUMENT	CONSTRUCTION / OPTIONS
CATEGORI	OUTCOME VARIABLE	QUESTION FROM INSTRUMENT	CONSTRUCTION / OPTIONS
bor	Main status was work over the past year	Which of the following best describe your main status since January 1st, 2020? (Work options include: work for wage or salary, business owner or manager, or work in a family business)	Dummy =1 if yes 0 otherwise
La	Number of hours spent per day at work last week	Last week, how many hours did you spend per day on average on work for pay — in business, farming or other activities that generate income?	Continuous variable
within household	Decision making on large purchases	Who normally decides about large purchases in your household?	Dummy variables coded from the answer options for those who are married: Female in HH has all the power Male in the HH has all the power Joint decision making with spouse No power at all
Decision Making	Decision making on critical expenditures	Who decides about money spending priorities in critical or urgent matters within your household (e.g., medical emergency, family member job loss, etc.)?	Dummy variables coded from the answer options for those who are married: Female in HH has all the power Male in the HH has all the power Joint decision making with spouse No power at all
asibilities	Main Caregiver Children	In normal circumstances, are you the main care giver of any of the following persons in your family?	dummy =1 if answer yes to the options: Child/children under 6 years old and/or main caregiver of Child/children in school age 6 to 18 years old
stic respon	Main Caregiver Elderly	In normal circumstances, are you the main care giver of any of the following persons in your family?	dummy =1 if answer yes to the option: Elderly dependents aged over 65
nd domes	Hours spent on care activities for family members	On a typical day, how many hours per day do you spend on care activities for family members?	Continuous variable
Care 2	Hours spent on household chores	On a typical day, how many hours per day do you spend on household chores?	Continuous variable
Breadwinner Status	Main income Earner	Who are the main income earners in your household? (including earnings sent from another area/country)	Self sole (Only "self" selected as main income earner) Spouse sole (Only spouse selected as main income earner) Joint with spouse (Self and spouse selected as main income earners)

Table A2: Description of Outcomes

Notes: Decision-making on critical expenditures collected but not shown in the results as findings were similar to decision-making on large purchases. Main income earner status included in the descriptive analysis only.

	((1)	((2)	T-Test
	М	ale	Fe	male	Difference
Variable	N	Moon/SE	N	Moon/SE	(1) (2)
	19	Wicall/SL	1	Wicall/5L	$(1)^{-}(2)$
Labor					
Main Status Work(Work=1/Nowork=0)	50033	0.71	51934	0.56	0.15***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Paid Work (Business Farming or other)	57171	0.52	65700	0.40	0 12***
	0,1,1	100.01	00700	[0,00]	0.12
	57171	[0.00]	65700	[0.00]	1.0.1****
Number of hours spent per day at work last week	5/1/1	4.23	65700	2.99	1.24***
		[0.02]		[0.02]	
Hours spent at paid work last week (Conditional)	29558	8.18	26023	7.54	0.64***
		[0.03]		[0.03]	
Desision Making Janes numbers		[0.05]		[0.05]	
Decision making large purchases	21.12.5	0.00	21015	0.15	0.05.000
I have all the power (just me)	21426	0.22	21815	0.17	0.05***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Spouse has all the power (just spouse)	21426	0.07	21815	0.09	-0.02***
		10.001		10 001	
Wife has all the manual	21426	[0.00]	21015	0.17	0.10***
whe has all the power	21426	0.07	21815	0.17	-0.10***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Husband has all the power	21426	0.22	21815	0.09	0.14^{***}
•		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Joint decision making with spouse	21426	0.50	21815	0.55	0.05***
Joint decision making with spouse	21420	0.30	21015	0.33	-0.03
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
No power at all	21426	0.18	21815	0.19	-0.02***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Decision Making Critical Expenditures		[]		[]	
Library all the maxima (instance)	21162	0.26	21526	0.19	0.00***
I have all the power (just me)	21103	0.26	21550	0.18	0.09
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Spouse has all the power (just spouse)	21163	0.07	21536	0.10	-0.03***
		[0.00]		[0 00]	
Wife has all the new or	21163	0.07	21536	0.18	0 10***
whe has all the power	21103	0.07	21550	0.18	-0.10
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Husband has all the power	21163	0.26	21536	0.10	0.16^{***}
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Joint decision making with spouse	21163	0.48	21536	0.54	0.06***
Joint decision making with spouse	21103	0.48	21550	0.34	-0.00
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
No power at all.	21163	0.17	21536	0.21	-0.04***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Care Variables					
Main Caragiyan Dresshool on School Children	25022	0.29	20219	0.45	0.07***
Wall Caregiver. Reschool of School Children	33733	0.38	39218	0.43	-0.07
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Hours spend on care activities (Main Caregiver Children)	12081	7.20	15978	11.27	-4.07***
		[0.06]		[0.06]	
Hours spend on household chores (Main Caregiver Children)	12067	3 59	16107	5 24	-1 65***
Hours spend on nousehold chores (Main Caregiver Children)	12007	5.57	10107	5.24	-1.05
		[0.03]		[0.03]	
Elderly dependent >65yo	35933	0.15	39218	0.13	0.03***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Hours spend on care activities (Main Caregiver Elderly)	4768	6 77	4399	9.27	-7 49***
Hours spend on care activities (Main Caregiver Elderly)	4700	10,001	4377	10.111	-2.49
		[0.09]		[0.11]	
Hours spend on household chores (Main Caregiver Elderly)	5148	3.74	4847	5.09	-1.36***
		[0.04]		[0.05]	
Norms					
A gree Men and women should have equal opportunities $(0/1)$	77222	0.84	78115	0.02	-0.08***
Agroution and women should have equal opportunities(0/1)	12333	0.04	/0113	0.92	-0.08
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Community Norms(0/1):Men and women should have equal opportunities	41860	0.61	49313	0.62	-0.01***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Agree: Expenses are man responsibility(0/1)	36557	0.41	38860	0.26	0 15***
i Groe. Expenses are man responsionity(0/1)	30331	10.001	50000	10.001	0.15
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Community Norms(0/1):Expenses are man responsibility even if wife can help	30625	0.57	33563	0.55	0.02***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Agree: Woman's most important role is care of home and child $(0/1)$	36431	0.51	39657	0.40	0 11***
- See sharts most important role is care of nome and enind (0/1)	50751	10.001	57051	100.01	0.11
		[0.00]		[0.00]	
Community Norms(0/1):Woman's most important role is care of home and child	31219	0.62	35107	0.63	-0.01***
		[0.00]		[0.00]	

Table A3: Descriptive Statistics of Main Variables by Gender

The value displayed for t-tests are the differences in the means across the groups. ***, **, and * indicate significance at the 1, 5, and 10 percent critical level.

Table A4: Correlation of the Female Caregiver Norm with World Values Survey (WVS) Measure

		FEN	MALE CAREGIVE	R NORMS		
Datta	Gender Equality at Home Survey	WVS		Gender Equality at Home Survey	WVS	
Country	Woman's most important role is to take care of home and children (Male)	Pre-school child suffers with working mother (Male)	Difference in rank (Male)	Woman's most important role is to take care of home and children (Female)	Pre-school child suffers with working mother (Female)	Difference in rank (Female)
ARG	3	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A
ARM	4	3	-1	3	3	-1
AZE	4	3	-1	3	3	-1
BLR	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
BRA	2	3	0	2	3	0
CHL	2	2	0	2	2	0
COL	3	2	0	3	2	0
DEU	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
DZA	4	3	-1	3	3	-1
ECU	3	3	0	3	3	0
EGY	4	3	-1	4	3	-1
ESP	2	2	0	2	2	0
GEO	3	3	0	3	3	0
GHA	4	2	-1	4	2	-1
HTI	4	2	-1	4	2	-1
IND	4	3	-1	4	3	0
IRQ	4	3	-1	3	3	0
JOR	4	4	-1	3	3	0
JPN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
KGZ	4	2	-2	4	2	-2
KWT	4	3	-1	4	3	-1
LBN	4	3	-1	3	3	0
LBY	4	3	-1	3	3	-1
MAR	4	3	-1	3	3	0
MEX	3	2	0	2	2	0
MYS	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
NGA	4	2	-2	4	2	-2
NLD	2	2	0	2	2	-1
PAK	4	3	-1	4	3	-1
PER	3	2	0	3	2	0
PHL	4	2	-1	4	3	-1
POL	3	3	0	2	3	0
PSE	4	3	-1	3	3	0
RUS	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
SVN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
SWE	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
THA	3	3	0	3	3	0
TUN	4	3	0	3	3	0
TUR	4	3	-1	3	3	0
TWN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
URY	2	2	0	2	2	0
USA	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
UZB	4	2	-2	4	2	-2
ZAF	4	3	-1	4	3	-1
ZWE	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
Average Ab	5		0.80			0.65

		MA	LE BREADWINNE	CR NORMS		
	Gender Equality	WVS		Gender Equality	WVS	
Datta	at Home Survey			at Home Survey	1115	
	Household	If a woman earns		Household	If a woman earns	
	expenses are the	more money than	Male Breadwinner	expenses are the	more money than	Male Breadwinner
Country	responsibility of a	her husband, it's	Norm: Difference	responsibility of a	her husband, it's	Norm: Difference
	man even if his	almost certain to	in rank (Male)	man even if his	almost certain to	in rank (Female)
	wife can help him	cause probl		wife can help him	cause probl	
ARG	2	2	-1	2	2	0
ARM	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
AZE	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
BLR	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
BRA	2	2	-1	2	2	0
CHL	2	2	0	2	2	0
COL	2	2	0	2	2	0
DEU	3	2	-1	2	2	-1
DZA	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
ECU	3	2	-1	2	2	0
EGY	4	2	-2	4	2	-2
ESP	2	1	-1	2	1	0
GEO	3	2	-1	2	2	-1
GHA	3	2	0	3	2	-1
HTI	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
IND	3	2	-1	2	2	-1
IRQ	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
JOR	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
JPN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
KGZ	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
KWT	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
LBN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
LBY	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
MAR	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
MEX	3	2	-1	2	2	0
MYS	3	2	-2	3	2	-2
NGA	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
NLD	2	1	-1	2	1	-1
PAK	4	2	-2	3	2	-1
PER	3	2	-1	2	2	0
PHL	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
POL	3	1	-1	2	2	-1
PSE	4	2	-1	3	2	-1
RUS	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
SVN	2	-	-1	2	- 2	0
SWE	2	1	-1	2	-	0
THA	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
TUN	3	2	-1	3	2	-1
TUR	3	2	-1	3	2	0
TWN	3	2	-1	3	2	_1
URY	2	2	-1	2	2	-1
	2	2 1	-1	2	2	0
UZR	2	1	-1	2	2	_1
7AF	+ 3	2	-1	+ 2	2	-1
	2	2	-1	2	2	0
Average Abs	J	2	1,10	5	2	0.70

Table A5: Correlation of the Male Breadwinner Norm with World Values Survey Measure

Table A6: Correlation of the Broad Norm with the Gallup World Poll Measure

			Suppo	ort (%)					Relief about	support (%)		
		National	Supp	Women		Men		National	Deller about	Women		Men
	National	Difference	Women	Difference	Men (Gallup	Difference	National	Difference	Women	Difference	Men (Gallup	Difference
	(Gallup %)	(Facebook-	(Gallup %)	(Facebook-	%)	(Facebook-	(Gallup %)	(Facebook-	(Gallup %)	(Facebook-	%)	(Facebook-
	(Gallup %)		Gallup %)		Gallup %)	(Gallup %)		Gallup %)		Gallup %)
ARG	98.60	-6.76	98.20	-4.67	99.00	-6.27	74.40	-11.39	84.00	-21.07	64.60	-1.66
BGD	80.50	1.35	91.10	0.61	69.80	9.53	67.30	-1.70	80.50	-22.45	54.70	11.12
BOL	96.10	-6.27	96.80	-4.64	95.40	-7.44	64.50	-2.19	77.60	-16.97	52.00	10.25
BRA	98.30	-2.27	100.00	-3.22	96.60	-0.60	69.90	-10.10	88.00	-31.70	50.40	9.36
CAN	99.10	-6.35	98.70	-5.63	99.50	-6.53	86.10	-10.47	92.20	-18.97	79.90	-4.27
CHE	95.00	-1.66	95.20	0.61	94.70	-2.76	75.30	-5.93	82.00	-15.73	67.70	1.56
CHL	97.70	-7.01	97.70	-3.99	97.70	-5.87	73.60	-5.30	86.80	-21.36	59.30	9.00
COL	95.80	-5.71	98.10	-6.20	93.10	-3.74	69.00	-2.67	83.60	-18.29	52.80	13.44
CZE	97.30	-8.38	95.80	-3.26	98.60	-10.52	72.40	-7.63	81.00	-16.60	63.30	1.50
DEU	94.60	-3.35	91.00	3.97	98.40	-9.13	77.90	-11.51	85.20	-19.48	69.70	-3.35
DZA	68.00	-3.14	84.80	-3.96	53.80	-6.06	57.60	-13.56	79.50	-35.46	38.30	-5.75
ECU	94.90	-4.20	96.00	-4.22	93.80	-4.46	65.80	2.25	79.60	-13.46	51.70	15.98
EGY	70.90	6.98	86.70	3.84	56.50	13.55	63.50	-18.01	78.90	-33.56	50.60	-5.99
ESP	95.00	-1.87	94.10	2.90	95.90	-5.25	77.80	-11.37	86.00	-22.93	69.80	-3.38
FRA	98.70	-4.13	99.20	-1.84	98.20	-4.28	77.20	-10.45	84.90	-21.12	69.70	-2.95
GBR	95.90	-4.41	96.90	-3.62	94.80	-4.19	84.50	-8.43	90.90	-20.39	78.00	-1.96
GHA	93.60	-13.97	98.60	-12.73	89.10	-8.19	70.70	-8.59	79.50	-17.24	63.10	-6.34
GRC	99.00	-6.90	100.00	-4.86	98.10	-5.53	78.60	-14.94	88.30	-31.52	69.10	-5.44
HRV	99.70	-5.52	99.50	-3.53	100.00	-6.98	71.50	-7.06	80.70	-23.99	61.00	3.31
HUN	98.30	-11.45	98.10	-9.51	98.60	-13.20	76.20	-11.79	85.50	-21.08	65.80	-2.63
IDN	65.90	13.86	72.70	14.49	59.40	17.86	58.70	10.73	64.80	4.57	53.40	7.85
IND	81.20	7.44	87.30	3.94	75.30	12.45	61.20	0.50	67.10	-12.21	55.30	6.38
IRQ	71.70	16.18	84.50	9.90	61.70	18.99	58.20	-16.91	75.40	-37.67	43.10	-1.76
ISR	90.60	0.51	94.00	0.00	87.20	1.01	80.90	-15.04	88.00	-22.14	73.10	-8.86
ITA	98.50	-3.11	98.60	-1.81	98.40	-4.27	80.00	-15.40	90.00	-26.29	68.70	-4.11
JOR	72.00	7.56	88.80	0.95	57.50	14.71	59.10	-11.50	79.50	-31.90	41.40	3.06
JPN	97.80	-15.92	97.70	-14.82	97.80	-16.60	74.00	-15.89	82.50	-24.37	65.30	-10.16
KEN	86.80	-8.97	89.90	1.15	82.90	-7.65	57.10	2.04	71.20	-11.77	42.00	10.18
MAR	85.00	-7.37	94.40	-4.83	74.40	-5.30	69.00	-22.71	85.80	-39.51	51.70	-10.93
MEX	97.00	-5.42	97.40	-3.74	96.70	-6.26	68.50	-0.81	82.40	-19.79	52.30	15.29
NGA	88.50	-14.13	89.30	-1.76	87.70	-17.70	61.70	-5.51	72.30	-16.19	52.30	-3.46
NLD	99.80	-8.92	99.60	-6.79	100.00	-9.70	82.50	-5.78	88.20	-14.87	76.80	0.17
PAK	61.10	19.03	68.20	15.86	54.40	19.08	52.50	-3.18	60.30	-11.34	44.80	4.39
PER	95.90	-4.92	98.40	-5.14	93.30	-3.16	64.30	2.49	76.90	-12.59	51.60	15.17
PHL	94.20	-7.63	98.30	-9.33	89.90	-2.50	74.50	1.57	79.30	-3.32	69.40	3.73
POL	99.10	-9.90	98.80	-4.92	99.50	-11.66	/5.50	-10.37	84.80	-22.58	66.00	-0.95
PRT	98.90	-1.74	99.20	-1.66	98.50	-0.81	80.20	-17.53	91.70	-34.61	67.50	-4.90
RUS	95.00	-13.08	96.60	-10.12	93.10	-12.32	72.90	-12.53	/7.20	-16.76	67.50	-10.68
THA	98.50	-7.79	99.70	-4.69	97.20	-7.05	/6.10	-5.85	83.30	-13.16	67.30	0.88
TUR	88.50	-10.50	94.50	-8.16	83.00	-7.18	65.30	-14.48	79.70	-31.98	50.50	0.26
UGA	82.00	-1.63	89.80	-1.//	/5.40	5.12	58.20	3.09	/5.90	-14.54	42.20	9.24
USA	100.00	-10.45	100.00	-9.73	100.00	-10.82	84.00	-3.27	91.90	-1/.80	/5.50	3.43
	97.20	-10.05	98.90	-9.44	95.70	-11.08	/0.80	-5.14	80.30	-14.00	05.90	3.03
ZAF	89.70	-3.49	92.70	-3.89	80.10	-2.28	04.50	-2.19	/8.50	-10.24	49.80	12.02
ZWB	91.40 70.80	-7.10	95.70 86.20	-3.48	09.10 72.40	-0.33	58 20	-3.70	78.10	-16.39	35.00 37.20	-0.00
Total	00.72	3.23	01.20	2.12	87 57	3.00	20.20	4.00	/ 0.10	-10.10	50.02	19.10
10181	90.72	-3.90	94.04	-2.02	01.31	-3.00	10.29	-1.33	01.39	-20.45	39.02	1.97

Note: Gallup Data extracted from Bursztyn, et al (2023).

Table A7: Data East Asia Pacific (EAP) and Europe Central Asia (ECA) regions

East Asia & Pacific

		Mer	and wor	men shoul	d have equ	al oppor	tunities			House	hold expe	nses are tl	he responsi	bility of th	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help	him	Α	woman's	most imp	ortant role	e is to take	care of h	er home a	nd childro	en
	Aggrega	e individu	al belief	Commun	ity perceiv	ed beliefs	М	isperceptic	on	Aggregat	te individua	al belief	Communi	ty perceive	d beliefs	М	isperceptio	n	Aggrega	e individu	al belief	Communi	ity perceive	ed beliefs	Mi	isperceptic	on
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
IDN	79.76	87.19	77.26	69.43	69.37	61.25	-10.33	-10.39	-18.51	64.89	68.51	63.97	72.64	72.74	68.76	7.74	7.84	3.87	68.65	65.90	69.84	71.48	71.54	70.82	2.83	2.90	2.17
JPN	81.88	82.88	81.20	58.11	58.13	55.14	-23.78	-23.76	-26.74	21.57	19.58	23.08	57.07	57.07	47.24	35.50	35.50	25.67	29.89	28.31	29.66	53.64	53.64	51.22	23.75	23.75	21.34
LAO	91.87	92.41	92.45	75.09	75.09	70.38	-16.78	-16.78	-21.49	51.86	44.44	52.67	58.86	56.12	58.86	7.00	4.26	7.00	59.38	41.33	63.77	62.04	59.80	62.28	2.67	0.42	2.91
MMR	86.96	91.39	88.06	65.00	65.00	59.41	-21.96	-21.96	-27.55	65.69	57.58	69.19	66.36	66.36	61.28	0.67	0.67	-4.42	66.74	62.59	64.10	67.98	67.65	60.58	1.24	0.92	-6.15
MYS	83.97	91.14	79.39	68.30	68.30	62.73	-15.67	-15.67	-21.24	60.08	56.71	62.38	71.32	71.26	68.89	11.24	11.18	8.80	59.18	54.05	62.50	71.24	71.28	67.68	12.06	12.10	8.50
PHL	86.57	88.97	87.40	76.07	75.98	73.13	-10.50	-10.59	-13.44	43.43	37.95	46.98	67.31	67.21	64.75	23.88	23.78	21.31	74.09	73.70	70.06	77.74	77.73	74.44	3.65	3.63	0.35
THA	90.71	95.01	90.15	70.25	70.14	68.18	-20.46	-20.57	-22.52	51.66	51.16	47.32	59.14	59.14	57.87	7.48	7.48	6.21	53.13	50.12	52.54	60.76	60.80	55.68	7.63	7.67	2.54
TWN	92.09	94.58	91.02	70.82	68.62	70.86	-21.27	-23.47	-21.24	35.47	28.21	38.01	58.88	57.94	58.88	23.41	22.47	23.41	36.06	32.32	38.80	62.26	62.08	59.80	26.21	26.02	23.74
VNM	87.15	89.46	84.02	71.66	71.70	69.53	-15.49	-15.45	-17.62	28.29	22.99	29.45	58.58	58.24	58.54	30.28	29.94	30.25	45.00	34.67	55.51	71.11	71.17	69.67	26.11	26.17	24.67
Total	86.78	90.34	85.66	69.41	69.15	65.62	-17.36	-17.63	-21.15	47.00	43.01	48.12	63.35	62.90	60.56	16.36	15.90	13.57	54.68	49.22	56.31	66.47	66.19	63.58	11.79	11.51	8.90

Europ	e & Centr	al Asia																									
		Mer	n and wo	men shoul	d have equ	ial oppor	rtunities			House	ehold expe	ıses are t	he responsi	bility of th	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help) him	A	woman's	most imp	ortant rol	e is to take	care of l	ier home a	nd childre	en
	Aggregat	te individu	al belief	Commun	ity perceive	ed beliefs	; M	lisperceptic	on	Aggregat	te individua	ıl belief	Communi	y perceive	d beliefs	M	isperceptio	n	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ity perceive	ed beliefs	Mi	sperceptio	m
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
ALB	93.16	96.55	91.23	55.58	50.07	55.58	-37.58	-43.09	-37.58	37.37	25.38	45.21	59.47	59.47	58.08	22.10	22.10	20.71	58.74	44.23	69.08	69.19	69.19	66.23	10.44	10.44	7.48
ARM	79.21	87.83	71.33	50.94	50.71	48.96	-28.27	-28.50	-30.25	47.24	33.66	62.82	65.95	60.33	65.95	18.71	13.10	18.71	60.85	49.85	74.30	71.83	69.04	71.83	10.98	8.19	10.98
AUT	92.96	95.51	91.16	70.61	70.06	70.50	-22.35	-22.90	-22.46	21.26	15.72	27.33	45.75	45.75	44.52	24.48	24.48	23.25	33.64	31.71	35.54	51.35	50.80	51.35	17.71	17.16	17.71
AZE	77.23	89.56	68.54	45.72	45.77	42.06	-31.51	-31.47	-35.17	50.24	40.65	59.19	64.79	64.51	64.79	14.55	14.27	14.55	59.71	47.85	69.57	70.37	70.26	69.95	10.66	10.55	10.25
BEL	93.10	96.05	92.16	74.47	74.47	73.04	-18.63	-18.63	-20.07	17.60	13.67	20.61	49.66	46.23	49.51	32.07	28.63	31.92	21.91	18.90	22.51	46.99	46.99	46.76	25.08	25.08	24.85
BGR	92.23	95.92	90.45	63.58	62.20	63.52	-28.65	-30.02	-28.70	26.33	19.60	31.14	49.83	47.64	49.83	23.50	21.32	23.50	43.74	37.50	52.21	65.34	61.98	65.34	21.60	18.24	21.60
BLR	87.50	91.13	84.30	64.96	64.90	62.27	-22.54	-22.60	-25.23	41.43	39.55	46.42	52.50	48.75	52.50	11.07	7.32	11.07	48.96	42.25	58.51	63.30	62.23	63.58	14.34	13.27	14.63
CHE	93.34	95.81	91.94	69.37	66.27	69.26	-23.98	-27.07	-24.08	17.62	14.98	18.45	49.61	49.61	45.49	31.99	31.99	27.87	29.89	26.00	31.72	51.99	51.85	50.74	22.09	21.96	20.84
CZE	88.92	92.54	88.08	64.77	64.40	64.80	-24.15	-24.51	-24.11	25.21	19.22	31.42	53.07	49.07	53.16	27.86	23.86	27.95	39.56	36.43	44.35	59.50	57.71	59.50	19.94	18.15	19.94
DEU	91.25	94.97	89.27	66.39	65.72	66.35	-24.86	-25.53	-24.90	27.67	16.24	35.66	48.75	43.38	48.75	21.07	15.70	21.07	39.23	31.78	46.43	53.20	52.58	53.20	13.97	13.36	13.97
DNK	92.60	95.04	92.62	81.44	80.16	81.41	-11.15	-12.44	-11.18	8.42	4.30	10.99	29.00	25.64	29.00	20.58	17.22	20.58	13.30	11.23	13.63	35.91	35.70	35.83	22.61	22.40	22.54
ESP	93.13	97.00	90.65	66.43	63.07	66.42	-26.70	-30.06	-26.71	13.33	7.83	19.60	48.14	43.42	48.14	34.81	30.09	34.81	17.54	13.70	18.02	52.89	52.89	46.84	35.36	35.36	29.31
FRA	94.57	97.36	93.92	66.75	63.78	66.75	-27.83	-30.79	-27.82	23.44	16.83	28.22	53.25	53.12	53.43	29.81	29.68	29.99	31.42	32.03	29.54	64.33	64.33	54.78	32.91	32.91	23.36
GBR	91.49	93.28	90.61	76.07	70.51	76.04	-15.43	-20.98	-15.45	12.65	8.69	16.02	45.52	43.18	45.52	32.87	30.53	32.87	26.59	28.59	21.88	51.92	51.87	49.92	25.32	25.27	23.32
GEO	85.75	91.32	82.71	54.64	54.71	54.40	-31.11	-31.04	-31.35	31.63	20.58	38.27	61.61	61.61	60.60	29.98	29.98	28.97	43.60	33.03	54.15	71.64	70.16	71.64	28.04	26.56	28.04
GRC	92.10	95.14	92.57	63.66	56.78	63.66	-28.45	-35.32	-28.45	28.79	16.98	37.15	56.37	51.72	56.37	27.58	22.93	27.58	42.84	31.60	48.61	66.35	64.31	66.35	23.51	21.47	23.51
HRV	94.18	95.97	93.02	64.44	56.71	64.31	-29.73	-37.47	-29.86	14.76	9.65	21.33	48.70	48.11	48.93	33.94	33.35	34.17	26.44	22.89	32.06	63.29	63.14	59.91	36.85	36.71	33.48
HUN	86.85	88.59	85.40	64.41	64.42	63.17	-22.45	-22.43	-23.68	17.44	10.71	24.10	51.23	50.81	51.26	33.79	33.37	33.82	26.93	26.07	28.49	60.56	58.99	60.56	33.64	32.06	33.64
IRL	93.29	94.35	92.39	74.87	74.20	74.87	-18.42	-19.09	-18.42	11.77	5.91	16.72	50.70	39.97	50.82	38.93	28.20	39.05	30.46	33.89	25.08	58.27	58.26	51.57	27.80	27.80	21.10
ITA	95.39	96.79	94.13	64.60	63.71	64.59	-30.79	-31.68	-30.80	15.92	10.35	19.64	53.65	49.08	53.65	37.73	33.15	37.73	29.90	23.44	35.97	60.43	60.43	59.35	30.53	30.53	29.45
KGZ	79.98	86.59	73.83	57.36	57.43	50.77	-22.62	-22.55	-29.22	60.11	52.56	74.10	63.94	58.35	63.94	3.83	-1.77	3.83	75.71	73.16	80.49	67.97	66.48	67.97	-7.74	-9.23	-7.74
LTU	82.76	87.40	77.71	61.90	60.24	61.90	-20.86	-22.52	-20.86	20.63	17.96	22.54	54.47	53.94	54.47	33.84	33.31	33.84	26.98	23.16	34.19	60.47	60.36	57.80	33.49	33.39	30.82
LVA	87.17	88.18	86.55	62.65	61.20	62.65	-24.52	-25.98	-24.52	33.52	31.00	35.43	49.75	49.83	48.53	16.23	16.31	15.01	48.64	46.52	52.05	59.29	56.77	59.49	10.65	8.13	10.85
MDA	88.54	91.80	84.69	56.67	55.09	56.55	-31.87	-33.45	-31.99	38.26	29.77	55.17	55.39	49.13	55.24	17.13	10.87	16.98	52.02	47.43	61.29	66.33	61.56	66.36	14.31	9.54	14.34
MKD	91.89	96.85	88.35	56.14	56.14	55.02	-35.75	-35.75	-36.87	29.67	17.75	36.63	55.52	52.69	55.52	25.85	23.02	25.85	47.44	37.91	53.92	67.79	67.86	64.43	20.35	20.41	16.99
NLD	90.88	92.81	90.30	76.72	73.33	76.97	-14.16	-17.56	-13.91	21.85	14.78	23.41	45.37	45.37	42.46	23.52	23.52	20.61	23.56	19.32	25.45	46.06	46.06	44.04	22.50	22.50	20.48
POL	89.20	93.88	87.84	65.13	62.22	65.05	-24.07	-26.98	-24.15	29.31	23.19	34.36	59.03	59.12	59.03	29.72	29.81	29.72	33.64	27.29	38.71	58.49	58.21	58.49	24.85	24.58	24.85
PRT	97.16	97.54	97.69	62.67	57.09	62.60	-34.49	-40.07	-34.56	9.08	5.19	11.30	46.18	45.40	46.18	37.10	36.32	37.10	16.82	15.07	15.71	57.43	57.64	49.32	40.60	40.82	32.49
PSE	82.53	93.80	76.69	45.55	43.65	45.47	-36.98	-38.88	-37.06	60.90	51.82	69.10	69.13	69.13	62.32	8.23	8.23	1.43	68.02	51.97	77.43	73.16	68.77	73.10	5.14	0.75	5.08
RUS	81.92	86.48	80.78	60.37	60.44	56.82	-21.56	-21.48	-25.10	43.68	40.49	49.35	60.00	52.05	60.00	16.32	8.37	16.32	57.62	50.33	66.37	63.01	59.67	63.01	5.40	2.05	5.40
SRB	91.87	95.44	88.78	64.94	60.07	64.86	-26.93	-31.80	-27.00	20.80	13.11	28.39	54.08	51.32	54.08	33.28	30.52	33.28	40.60	34.74	47.47	65.95	66.05	63.74	25.35	25.45	23.14
SVK	91.75	93.91	89.43	66.05	65.80	66.07	-25.70	-25.95	-25.69	24.60	18.78	32.38	51.20	49.26	51.14	26.60	24.66	26.54	28.06	23.99	34.18	56.39	56.30	56.09	28.34	28.25	28.03
SVN	95.04	97.38	92.81	65.87	61.98	65.84	-29.16	-33.05	-29.20	17.69	12.11	21.20	38.98	37.76	38.98	21.29	20.07	21.29	31.62	25.91	39.14	56.53	56.39	52.49	24.91	24.77	20.87
SWE	92.88	93.96	94.47	72.55	69.49	72.44	-20.33	-23.39	-20.44	26.03	13.19	32.47	42.82	41.71	42.82	16.78	15.68	16.78	34.68	29.72	35.68	53.50	45.60	53.50	18.82	10.92	18.82
TUR	78.00	86.34	75.82	50.82	47.72	50.76	-27.18	-30.28	-27.24	42.66	31.59	47.84	63.27	63.29	61.12	20.61	20.63	18.46	64.37	49.66	72.08	67.59	67.59	64.46	3.22	3.22	0.09
UZB	71.22	82.34	63.05	49.49	49.49	44.24	-21.73	-21.73	-26.98	66.56	61.06	76.22	73.93	67.49	73.93	7.37	0.93	7.37	75.20	66.57	82.58	74.11	70.55	74.11	-1.09	-4.65	-1.09
Total	88.92	92.93	86.54	63.29	61.22	62.50	-25.62	-27.70	-26.42	28.76	21.80	35.00	53.35	50.76	52.78	24.59	21.99	24.02	40.28	34.60	45.23	60.63	59.40	58.99	20.35	19.12	18.71

Table A8: Data Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) and Middle East and North Africa (MENA) regions

Latin America & the Caribbean

Latin	inici ica o	t the Carn	obcun																								
		Mer	n and wor	men should	d have equ	ial oppor	tunities			House	hold expe	nses are t	he responsi	bility of the	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help	him	Α	woman's	most imp	ortant rol	e is to take	care of l	ier home a	und childre	en
	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ity perceive	ed beliefs	М	lisperceptic	on	Aggregat	e individu	al belief	Communi	ty perceived	l beliefs	M	isperceptio	n	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Commun	ity perceive	ed beliefs	M	isperceptio	on
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
ARG	91.84	93.53	92.73	63.01	62.93	62.94	-28.82	-28.91	-28.89	19.98	15.29	23.84	54.92	54.77	52.25	34.94	34.79	32.27	30.28	29.92	30.11	62.11	62.07	56.92	31.83	31.79	26.64
BOL	89.83	92.16	87.96	62.31	60.63	62.25	-27.52	-29.20	-27.58	25.93	21.83	27.53	58.17	58.16	56.86	32.24	32.23	30.92	31.33	32.51	29.38	63.15	63.05	57.95	31.82	31.73	26.62
BRA	96.03	96.78	96.00	59.80	56.30	59.76	-36.23	-39.73	-36.27	19.93	15.53	22.75	54.38	54.32	53.00	34.45	34.39	33.07	23.52	23.62	20.20	58.62	58.59	55.02	35.10	35.07	31.50
CHL	90.69	93.71	91.83	68.30	65.44	68.30	-22.38	-25.25	-22.38	15.27	9.47	19.54	57.47	57.51	56.09	42.20	42.23	40.81	18.84	17.63	14.76	62.03	62.00	55.00	43.19	43.16	36.16
COL	90.09	91.90	89.36	66.33	65.31	66.24	-23.77	-24.79	-23.85	20.94	15.61	23.45	54.54	54.54	53.73	33.60	33.60	32.79	27.54	28.70	23.09	63.19	63.26	58.46	35.64	35.71	30.92
CRI	90.92	91.49	91.09	70.04	65.09	70.04	-20.88	-25.83	-20.88	16.42	12.55	16.90	55.75	55.75	54.40	39.33	39.33	37.98	19.89	20.97	16.33	63.12	62.96	55.00	43.24	43.08	35.11
DOM	85.62	89.57	85.02	67.38	67.29	63.84	-18.25	-18.33	-21.79	26.19	21.73	28.04	60.99	60.91	56.41	34.80	34.72	30.22	45.43	45.87	43.37	65.59	65.63	64.57	20.16	20.20	19.14
ECU	90.70	91.78	89.34	68.05	66.14	67.68	-22.65	-24.56	-23.02	29.98	20.79	36.13	59.33	59.30	57.68	29.35	29.32	27.70	31.87	30.65	29.26	67.56	67.54	61.23	35.69	35.67	29.35
GTM	92.36	94.54	92.63	64.98	63.83	65.18	-27.39	-28.53	-27.18	30.96	23.60	33.99	64.44	64.46	61.61	33.49	33.50	30.65	32.55	29.57	31.11	66.91	66.87	64.17	34.36	34.33	31.63
HND	89.85	91.97	90.04	66.52	63.80	66.03	-23.32	-26.05	-23.82	36.45	27.69	37.76	63.42	61.51	63.49	26.97	25.06	27.03	49.76	49.80	46.69	69.28	69.27	65.88	19.52	19.51	16.12
HTI	84.32	92.27	83.19	55.48	55.31	50.15	-28.84	-29.01	-34.17	55.08	46.27	59.04	60.23	59.55	60.09	5.15	4.47	5.01	68.79	65.42	69.26	66.27	60.68	66.83	-2.52	-8.11	-1.97
JAM	89.86	90.93	91.43	69.86	69.94	67.59	-20.00	-19.92	-22.26	27.13	20.05	38.78	56.83	55.13	56.88	29.70	28.00	29.74	62.24	64.11	60.00	67.46	67.30	67.17	5.22	5.07	4.94
MEX	91.58	93.66	90.44	67.69	62.61	67.59	-23.89	-28.97	-23.98	22.25	16.65	26.70	61.71	58.98	61.71	39.46	36.73	39.46	21.06	19.20	20.78	66.93	66.97	59.10	45.87	45.91	38.04
NIC	90.73	92.87	90.72	68.00	66.65	67.95	-22.74	-24.08	-22.79	25.68	17.80	27.80	60.08	59.98	58.40	34.40	34.31	32.73	31.69	28.43	31.82	64.86	64.86	62.86	33.17	33.17	31.17
PAN	90.06	91.92	88.81	72.66	72.57	70.23	-17.40	-17.49	-19.84	24.56	18.61	28.35	53.69	51.14	53.46	29.13	26.58	28.90	31.92	32.61	27.67	60.69	60.69	57.08	28.77	28.77	25.15
PER	90.98	93.26	90.14	66.79	64.31	66.77	-24.19	-26.67	-24.20	26.44	17.01	33.33	60.38	60.58	59.88	33.95	34.14	33.45	25.32	26.04	22.19	65.00	65.18	57.89	39.68	39.86	32.58
PRI	91.37	93.07	91.63	70.22	70.11	67.83	-21.15	-21.26	-23.54	15.63	14.08	16.96	46.92	46.92	46.87	31.29	31.29	31.23	26.85	26.87	24.68	55.29	55.31	52.38	28.43	28.46	25.52
PRY	90.20	91.41	88.67	63.77	63.72	62.00	-26.42	-26.47	-28.20	24.11	18.23	27.33	60.03	59.68	55.82	35.92	35.57	31.71	40.12	37.38	41.60	67.24	67.35	62.70	27.12	27.24	22.59
SLV	91.33	92.70	91.65	69.97	65.80	69.85	-21.36	-25.53	-21.48	22.23	17.06	23.84	58.86	57.54	58.89	36.63	35.31	36.65	28.53	28.22	26.68	63.30	63.27	60.45	34.77	34.74	31.92
URY	90.73	90.69	91.47	68.71	64.12	68.76	-22.03	-26.61	-21.98	15.04	11.54	18.83	53.51	50.71	53.50	38.47	35.67	38.46	21.69	20.91	17.91	56.16	56.34	48.24	34.47	34.66	26.55
Total	90.45	92.51	90.21	66.49	64.60	65.55	-23.96	-25.86	-24.91	25.01	19.07	28.54	57.78	57.07	56.55	32.77	32.06	31.54	33.46	32.92	31.34	63.74	63.46	59.44	30.28	30.00	25.98

Midd	e East and	l North Af	rica																								
		Mei	ı and wo	men shoul	d have equ	ial oppor	tunities			House	ehold expe	nses are t	he responsi	ibility of th	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help) him	Α	woman's	most imp	ortant rol	e is to take	care of l	her home a	and childr	en
	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Commun	ity perceive	ed beliefs	М	isperceptio	m	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ty perceive	d beliefs	М	isperceptio	n	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ity perceive	ed beliefs	Mi	isperceptio	ən
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
ARE	87.89	91.19	84.57	66.32	66.13	56.24	-21.57	-21.76	-31.65	44.26	33.88	51.95	61.41	61.41	61.13	17.15	17.15	16.87	67.23	58.97	75.50	69.76	68.76	69.80	2.53	1.53	2.58
BHR	83.96	88.26	79.41	60.60	60.45	59.55	-23.36	-23.52	-24.41	54.49	46.12	61.54	62.60	62.60	60.25	8.12	8.12	5.77	71.29	67.16	71.36	71.04	71.33	70.11	-0.24	0.04	-1.17
DZA	64.86	80.84	47.74	44.04	44.04	32.55	-20.81	-20.82	-32.30	61.29	58.01	68.03	62.05	61.44	62.07	0.76	0.14	0.77	71.76	60.53	85.42	71.57	68.85	71.98	-0.20	-2.92	0.22
EGY	77.88	90.54	70.05	45.49	45.34	44.61	-32.39	-32.54	-33.27	70.78	66.48	78.06	69.17	69.24	69.09	-1.60	-1.53	-1.69	76.60	60.11	86.50	70.12	67.32	70.06	-6.49	-9.29	-6.55
IRQ	87.88	94.40	80.69	41.29	37.73	41.34	-46.59	-50.15	-46.55	57.76	49.19	64.21	61.29	59.59	61.29	3.53	1.83	3.53	65.18	51.99	77.55	65.88	64.84	65.88	0.70	-0.33	0.70
ISR	91.11	94.00	88.21	65.86	65.86	64.24	-25.25	-25.25	-26.88	28.99	23.36	34.36	53.57	49.83	53.36	24.58	20.84	24.38	44.03	39.19	49.83	55.16	55.05	54.84	11.12	11.02	10.81
JOR	79.56	89.75	72.21	47.60	47.60	44.46	-31.96	-31.96	-35.10	58.74	51.27	64.50	63.10	61.41	63.10	4.36	2.67	4.36	70.23	57.54	80.88	69.12	69.41	66.27	-1.11	-0.82	-3.96
KWT	79.18	89.23	75.31	65.62	65.62	52.11	-13.56	-13.56	-27.07	62.09	50.65	67.73	68.40	68.40	67.54	6.31	6.31	5.45	81.66	73.83	86.48	70.00	70.12	70.16	-11.66	-11.54	-11.50
LBN	87.88	91.65	83.70	53.12	50.43	53.12	-34.76	-37.45	-34.76	42.09	32.23	49.59	64.55	64.55	60.25	22.46	22.46	18.15	58.08	52.55	63.47	70.11	68.79	70.11	12.03	10.72	12.03
LBY	72.69	89.02	62.39	42.17	42.15	34.54	-30.52	-30.54	-38.15	67.13	56.06	75.85	62.82	56.36	62.82	-4.31	-10.77	-4.31	71.03	60.24	80.12	67.70	65.15	67.59	-3.33	-5.89	-3.44
MAR	77.63	89.57	69.10	46.29	46.29	40.77	-31.34	-31.34	-36.86	52.25	41.85	61.93	59.49	59.49	58.41	7.24	7.24	6.16	56.94	36.39	73.10	66.93	61.25	67.02	9.98	4.31	10.08
OM	83.51	91.36	76.84	62.06	61.55	56.75	-21.45	-21.96	-26.76	55.63	48.91	64.17	68.25	60.53	68.25	12.62	4.89	12.62	69.50	62.00	71.79	69.63	67.70	69.69	0.13	-1.81	0.19
SAU	84.46	90.18	82.87	67.21	66.99	55.71	-17.25	-17.47	-28.74	58.22	47.28	69.35	68.98	63.40	68.90	10.76	5.18	10.68	73.49	68.59	80.66	73.94	74.12	69.37	0.45	0.63	-4.12
TUN	80.96	89.81	73.89	51.14	51.36	50.83	-29.82	-29.60	-30.13	42.90	30.05	54.98	63.52	63.52	59.23	20.62	20.62	16.33	49.10	30.48	64.49	68.80	65.39	68.92	19.69	16.28	19.82
Total	81.39	89.99	74.79	54.20	53.68	49.06	-27.19	-27.71	-32.33	54.04	45.38	61.87	63.52	61.55	62.55	9.47	7.51	8.51	66.15	55.68	74.80	68.55	67.00	67.99	2.40	0.85	1.83

Table A9: Data North America, South Asia and Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) regions

North America

-																											
		Mer	n and wo	men shoul	d have equ	al oppor	tunities			House	hold exper	ises are t	he responsi	bility of the	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help	him	A	woman's i	most imp	ortant role	e is to take	care of l	ner home a	and childr	en
	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ity perceive	ed beliefs	М	isperceptic	on	Aggregat	e individua	ıl belief	Communit	y perceived	l beliefs	М	isperceptio	n	Aggregat	e individu	al belief	Communi	ty perceive	d beliefs	М	isperceptio	on
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
CAN	92.75	93.07	92.97	75.63	73.23	75.63	-17.12	-19.52	-17.12	10.62	8.24	13.04	44.35	41.89	44.35	33.74	31.27	33.74	26.40	28.72	21.78	52.06	51.94	46.69	25.66	25.53	20.29
USA	89.57	90.27	89.18	78.73	74.04	78.73	-10.84	-15.53	-10.84	14.53	10.02	20.95	46.18	42.89	46.12	31.65	28.36	31.59	35.40	37.26	32.96	55.63	55.63	50.10	20.23	20.23	14.70
Total	91.16	91.67	91.08	77.18	73.64	77.18	-13.98	-17.53	-13.98	12.57	9.13	17.00	45.27	42.39	45.24	32.70	29.82	32.66	30.90	32.99	27.37	53.85	53.79	48.40	22.94	22.88	17.49

South Asia

		Mer	and wo	men should	l have equ	al oppor	tunities			House	hold exper	ıses are t	he responsil	oility of the	e man, ev	en if his wi	fe can help	him	Α	woman's i	nost imp	ortant rol	e is to take	care of l	her home a	und childre	en
	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ty perceive	d beliefs	М	lisperceptio	n	Aggregat	e individua	ıl belief	Communit	y perceivea	l beliefs	М	isperception	n	Aggrega	te individu	al belief	Communi	ty perceive	d beliefs	М	isperceptio	m
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
AFG	86.5	93.7	81.8	49.6	49.7	45.6	-36.9	-36.8	-40.8	49.5	32.7	61.7	71.2	71.2	71.0	21.8	21.8	21.6	72.3	59.3	82.9	73.0	69.6	73.0	0.7	-2.7	0.7
BGD	81.9	91.7	79.3	65.6	58.1	65.8	-16.3	-23.8	-16.0	52.3	42.0	57.6	68.2	66.5	68.1	15.9	14.2	15.8	80.8	73.9	83.1	80.8	80.7	71.7	0.0	-0.1	-9.1
BTN	91.4	93.9	85.6	75.5	72.0	75.5	-15.9	-19.4	-15.9	37.3	22.1	41.8	60.6	57.7	60.8	23.3	20.4	23.5	52.1	50.4	51.3	66.4	66.4	66.2	14.3	14.3	14.1
IND	88.6	91.2	87.8	61.7	54.9	61.7	-26.9	-33.7	-27.0	42.3	30.2	52.4	69.0	61.0	69.0	26.7	18.7	26.7	67.9	60.1	72.1	74.6	74.6	70.5	6.7	6.7	2.6
IRL	73.8	81.9	65.9	56.4	56.4	52.0	-17.4	-17.4	-21.8	57.2	50.6	64.5	62.3	56.5	62.3	5.0	-0.7	5.0	78.5	73.5	85.7	68.6	66.0	68.6	-9.9	-12.5	-9.9
NPL	91.4	94.9	92.5	65.3	61.2	65.3	-26.1	-30.2	-26.1	38.2	26.3	39.4	60.6	59.1	60.6	22.5	21.0	22.4	60.7	53.4	66.8	72.9	72.7	72.6	12.2	12.0	11.9
PAK	80.1	84.1	73.5	49.3	49.0	49.2	-30.8	-31.2	-30.9	59.5	50.0	68.2	73.7	65.6	73.8	14.2	6.1	14.3	82.9	80.4	87.3	79.2	79.1	76.3	-3.7	-3.8	-6.5
Total	84.8	90.2	80.9	60.5	57.3	59.3	-24.3	-27.5	-25.5	48.0	36.3	55.1	66.5	62.5	66.5	18.5	14.5	18.5	70.7	64.4	75.6	73.6	72.7	71.3	2.9	2.0	0.5

Sub-S	Sub-Saharan Africa																										
Men and women should have equal opportunities					Household expenses are the responsibility of the man, even if his wife can help him						A woman's most important role is to take care of her home and children																
Aggregate individual belief Community perceived beliefs Misperception				Aggrega	Aggregate individual belief Community perceived beliefs			Misperception		Aggregate individual belief Communi		ity perceived beliefs		Misperception													
	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men	National	Women	Men
AGO	83.75	87.79	81.35	49.27	49.31	49.18	-34.48	-34.44	-34.58	34.77	26.00	39.58	51.50	51.50	51.27	16.72	16.72	16.50	54.38	49.37	55.82	67.01	67.01	64.45	12.63	12.63	10.07
BFA	79.50	88.45	75.06	46.25	46.38	45.33	-33.25	-33.12	-34.18	54.17	41.60	61.50	61.24	61.52	57.63	7.07	7.35	3.47	79.35	72.73	82.44	74.23	72.37	74.10	-5.12	-6.97	-5.25
BWA	86.63	91.10	84.40	65.99	65.89	57.88	-20.63	-20.74	-28.75	32.14	23.31	35.00	57.28	57.44	56.67	25.13	25.30	24.52	68.33	64.67	70.57	70.85	71.03	68.16	2.53	2.70	-0.16
GHA	79.63	85.87	80.91	62.11	62.26	56.76	-17.52	-17.37	-22.86	47.44	39.74	48.26	59.09	59.09	58.41	11.65	11.65	10.96	65.47	65.75	65.17	65.62	65.62	62.59	0.15	0.15	-2.88
KEN	77.83	91.05	75.25	59.14	59.43	52.18	-18.69	-18.40	-25.66	43.86	37.95	45.61	56.89	54.09	56.89	13.04	10.24	13.04	67.50	67.07	69.59	69.79	64.47	69.43	2.29	-3.04	1.92
LSO	83.90	89.85	82.23	59.09	59.12	56.18	-24.81	-24.78	-27.72	41.92	40.07	46.15	62.65	60.99	62.65	20.73	19.07	20.73	76.17	77.73	76.29	74.66	74.71	72.56	-1.51	-1.45	-3.61
MDG	83.52	87.89	81.26	62.18	62.08	55.07	-21.34	-21.44	-28.45	52.34	45.79	57.38	64.97	64.97	63.47	12.63	12.63	11.13	70.77	64.68	73.66	71.73	71.85	71.41	0.96	1.08	0.64
MLI	74.44	86.26	69.01	49.25	48.90	44.68	-25.19	-25.54	-29.76	67.59	63.30	71.51	74.97	68.82	74.81	7.38	1.23	7.22	79.95	77.48	86.21	75.23	72.67	75.33	-4.72	-7.28	-4.62
MOZ	86.03	90.40	83.69	58.99	58.86	51.26	-27.04	-27.17	-34.77	29.95	18.69	33.94	57.64	57.68	51.35	27.69	27.73	21.41	52.74	45.14	55.02	63.90	64.17	63.81	11.16	11.43	11.07
MRT	70.94	81.31	69.27	43.78	43.89	39.32	-27.17	-27.05	-31.62	61.87	50.49	64.18	70.14	70.14	68.65	8.27	8.27	6.78	70.11	54.31	76.28	68.64	63.78	68.81	-1.47	-6.34	-1.31
MWI	89.63	93.39	87.68	56.81	56.89	55.67	-32.82	-32.74	-33.96	29.49	20.82	35.28	58.05	56.73	58.04	28.56	27.24	28.55	48.98	47.37	49.04	65.16	65.02	62.63	16.18	16.04	13.66
NGA	74.37	87.54	70.00	56.19	56.11	48.84	-18.18	-18.25	-25.52	59.54	47.49	66.49	61.33	57.92	61.33	1.79	-1.62	1.79	77.73	77.48	78.63	67.61	67.45	66.44	-10.12	-10.28	-11.29
SWZ	79.49	85.25	75.42	54.60	53.88	51.37	-24.89	-25.61	-28.12	31.93	23.38	39.19	60.36	60.13	60.36	28.43	28.21	28.43	71.17	67.68	73.08	72.63	72.40	70.28	1.45	1.23	-0.89
UGA	80.37	88.03	80.52	61.29	61.36	51.44	-19.07	-19.00	-28.92	45.24	40.85	49.74	60.76	60.76	60.75	15.52	15.52	15.52	64.55	68.46	60.10	64.03	64.67	63.39	-0.52	0.11	-1.16
ZAF	86.21	88.81	83.82	62.31	62.26	61.82	-23.90	-23.95	-24.38	37.26	29.49	40.77	60.83	60.80	61.05	23.57	23.54	23.79	69.69	71.10	68.97	73.55	73.94	69.96	3.86	4.25	0.27
ZMB	84.30	90.22	80.77	59.74	59.71	53.00	-24.56	-24.59	-31.31	41.34	28.95	47.37	59.88	59.72	59.35	18.54	18.37	18.01	63.92	65.69	66.27	68.03	66.51	68.03	4.10	2.58	4.10
ZWE	83.03	89.32	78.42	62.30	61.94	56.30	-20.72	-21.08	-26.73	42.38	29.68	51.93	62.54	61.99	62.54	20.16	19.62	20.16	65.57	60.73	67.61	71.67	71.73	70.02	6.10	6.16	4.45
Total	81.39	88.38	78.77	57.02	56.96	52.13	-24.37	-24.43	-29.25	44.31	35.74	49.05	61.18	60.25	60.31	16.88	15.94	16.00	67.43	64.55	69.10	69.67	68.79	68.32	2.23	1.35	0.88

		East Asia & Pacific	Europe & Central Asia	Latin America & Caribbean	Middle East & North Africa	North America	South Asia	Sub- Saharan Africa	
Gender Equality No	orm: N	Ien and wome	en should ha	ive equal opp	ortunities				
Individual Belief (% who agree)									
Fe	male	0.90	0.93	0.93	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.89	
]	Male	0.84	0.87	0.91	0.73	0.91	0.81	0.79	
Community Perceived (% of neighbours)									
Fe	male	0.70	0.63	0.65	0.51	0.74	0.56	0.59	
]	Male	0.65	0.64	0.66	0.47	0.78	0.60	0.53	
Male Breadwinner Norm: Household expenses are the responsibility of the man, even if his wife can help him									
Individual Belief (% who agree)									
Fe	male	0.42	0.21	0.17	0.47	0.10	0.39	0.33	
]	Male	0.47	0.35	0.28	0.64	0.18	0.56	0.49	
Community Perceived (% of neighbours)									
Fe	male	0.64	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.43	0.62	0.60	
]	Male	0.61	0.52	0.57	0.63	0.46	0.67	0.60	
Female Caregiver Norm: A wor	man's i	most importai	nt role is to i	take care of h	er home and c	hildren			
Individual Belief (% who agree)									
Fe	male	0.51	0.34	0.30	0.54	0.35	0.66	0.64	
]	Male	0.56	0.45	0.29	0.77	0.29	0.77	0.68	
Community Perceived (% of neighbours)									
Fe	male	0.68	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.54	0.72	0.69	
]	Male	0.64	0.58	0.59	0.68	0.49	0.71	0.68	
Observations		152433							

Table A10: Gender Differences in Average Personal Beliefs and Norms by Region of the World

Notes: Reported means are at the country level for the sample of respondents with all individual and household characteristics information and aggregated by region of the world.

B Norms Aggregated at Country Level

Figure B1: Gender Equality in Opportunities Norm: Personal Beliefs and Perceived Norms Split by Gender

Broad Norm: Men and women should have equal opportunities Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms Disaggregated by Gender



Sample: 105 countries.

Figure B2: Male Breadwinner Norm: Personal Beliefs and Perceived Norms Split by Gender

Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms Disaggregated by Gender



Sample: 105 countries.

Figure B3: Female Caregiver Norm: Personal Beliefs and Perceived Norms Split by Gender

Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children Personal Beliefs and Perceived Community Norms Disaggregated by Gender



Pearson's correlation coefficient:.72

Sample: 105 countries.

Pearson's correlation coefficient:.82

C Gender Beliefs and Macroeconomic Indicators

Figure C1:



Broad Norm: Men and women should have equal opportunities

Figure C2:

Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him



Source: Facebook Gender At Home (2020) and World Bank Indicators (2019)

Source: Facebook Gender At Home (2020) and World Bank Indicators (2019)

Figure C3:



Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children

Figures C1, C2, and C3 provide the correlation between gender norms and key macroeconomic indicators: GDP and female labor force participation (FLFP). Figure C1 demonstrates a positive correlation between a country's GDP and beliefs in gender equality. Correlation coefficients, with Rho values of 0.44 for females and 0.46 for males, indicate a moderate positive relationship. As GDP increases, so do beliefs in gender equality in opportunities. Throughout the GDP quartiles, it is consistently observed that females have a higher belief in gender equality than males, highlighting women's inclination to support equal opportunities, independent of their country's economic performance. In relation to FLFP, there is a general trend that higher participation rates correlate with greater endorsement of gender equality by both genders. Notably, the correlation is more pronounced for men (Rho value of 0.29) than for women (Rho of 0.1), suggesting that men's beliefs in gender equality may be more sensitive to changes in FLFP. The confidence intervals present in the figures highlight the variability within the data, indicating that, despite general patterns, individual beliefs in gender equality exhibit a wide range within each GDP category and FLFP level.

The data also show a U-shaped pattern among men, with a dip in the belief in gender equality when FLFP rates are between 30%-50%, followed by an increase as participation rates rise. This pattern may reflect cultural or economic dynamics in specific contexts where increased female participation in the workforce prompts a reassessment of gender norms.

Figures C2 and C3 analyze the personal beliefs associated with the breadwinner and

caregiver norms, respectively, and their correlation with GDP and FLFP. Both figures reveal discernible trends: as GDP per capita rises, the adherence to these traditional norms declines, indicating an evolution away from conventional gender roles in higher income economies. The correlation with these norms is more substantial for males, suggesting that men's views on their roles as providers and women's roles as caregivers are increasingly flexible as economic conditions improve and more women participate in the labor force.

Collectively, these graphs imply economic prosperity and greater female labor force participation are linked with more progressive gender norms and a departure from a view towards traditional gender roles. Women exhibit a consistent agreement with the principle of gender equality and a departure from traditional gender roles across all economic strata. Conversely, men's beliefs seem responsive to women's involvement in the labor market.

D Additional details on misperceptions of norms

Figures D1 to D3 depict the kernel density estimates of misperceptions regarding gender norms in various regions. Higher peaks correspond to values with a higher concentration of data points, indicating common beliefs. In contrast, wider peaks suggest a greater variability in beliefs within the community. A key observation is the regional variance in misperceptions, especially regarding the breadwinner and caregiver norms. For example, the distribution for the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region presents a sharp peak around 0% indicating an accurate perception of the norm, whereas Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) show positive skews, an overestimation of the norm, indicating individuals perceive their society as more gender conservative than it actually is.

When comparing by gender within regions, differences are not pronounced. Notable exceptions include the LAC region, where disparities across all three norms are more evident, and Sub-Saharan Africa for the broad norm in support of gender equality in opportunities. Figure D1: Gender Differences in Misperceptions of Gender Equality Norm by Region







Female Misperception=Female Perceived Community Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Male Misperception=Male Perceived Community. Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Aggregates are taken at the country level and then averaged by region of the world. Number of countries per region: EAP(12) ECA(37) LAC(20) MENA(18) North America(2) South Asia(7) SSA(21).

Figure D2: Gender Differences in Misperceptions of Male Breadwinner Norm by Region

Breadwinner Norm: Expenses are a man's responsibility even if his wife can help him *Misperception of the norm*





Female Misperception=Female Perceived Community Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Male Misperception=Male Perceived Community. Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Aggregates are taken at the country level and then averaged by region of the world. Number of countries per region: EAP(12) ECA(37) LAC(20) MENA(18) North America(2) South Asia(7) SSA(21). Figure D3: Gender Differences in Misperceptions of Female Caregiver Norm by Region

Caregiver Norm: Women's most important role is to take care of her home and children *Misperception of the norm*





Female Misperception=Female Perceived Community Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Male Misperception=Male Perceived Community. Norm (0-100). Actual Agreement Personal Beliefs At Country(0-100). Aggregates are taken at the country level and then averaged by region of the world. Number of countries per region: EAP(12) ECA(37) LAC(20) MENA(18) North America(2) South Asia(7) SSA(21).

	East Asia & Pacific	Europe & Central Asia	Latin America & Caribbean	Middle East & North Africa	North America	South Asia	Sub- Saharan Africa
Gender Equa	lity Norm:	Men and w	omen should	have equa	l opportuni	ties	
Female Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.51	0.69	0.73	0.69	0.48	0.69	0.65
Accurate (%)	0.32	0.25	0.25	0.18	0.28	0.19	0.23
Overestimate norm (%)	0.18	0.06	0.02	0.13	0.24	0.12	0.12
Male Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.59	0.66	0.71	0.74	0.41	0.65	0.73
Accurate (%)	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.14	0.32	0.2	0.18
Overestimate norm (%)	0.16	0.06	0.02	0.12	0.27	0.15	0.1
Male Breadwinner Norm: Hous	sehold expe	nses are the	e responsibili	ity of the n	an, even if	his wife can	help him
Female Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.2	0.19	0.1	0.31	0.21	0.21	0.19
Accurate (%)	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.2	0.14	0.23	0.2
Overestimate norm (%)	0.64	0.64	0.78	0.5	0.65	0.56	0.62
Male Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.24	0.18	0.12	0.3	0.2	0.17	0.21
Accurate (%)	0.18	0.16	0.12	0.19	0.12	0.17	0.2
Overestimate norm (%)	0.59	0.65	0.77	0.5	0.69	0.66	0.59
Female Caregiver Norm:	A woman's	most impo	rtant role is t	to take car	e of her hon	ne and childr	en
Female Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.2	0.19	0.11	0.35	0.19	0.32	0.31
Accurate (%)	0.21	0.16	0.12	0.19	0.12	0.22	0.2
Overestimate norm (%)	0.6	0.65	0.77	0.46	0.69	0.46	0.49
Male Respondent							
Underestimate norm (%)	0.22	0.2	0.12	0.34	0.24	0.35	0.32
Accurate (%)	0.2	0.16	0.14	0.2	0.15	0.22	0.23
Overestimate norm (%)	0.58	0.64	0.74	0.46	0.61	0.43	0.45
Observations	133260						

Table D1: The Degree of Norm Misperception by Gender across Regions of the World

.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	Gender Equality Norm		Male Bready	vinner Norm	Female Caregiver Norm		
	Underestimate	Overestimate	Underestimate	Overestimate	Underestimate	Overestimate	
Female (0/1)	0.03***	-0.00	-0.02**	0.01	0.00	0.00	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Married(0/1)	-0.01	0.00	-0.01**	0.01**	0.00	-0.00	
	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Age $(1 = 25 - 64 \text{ years old}; 0 = \text{younger } 25)$	0.02***	-0.01***	0.00	-0.02**	0.02***	-0.05***	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Education (1= More than secondary; $0 = less$)	-0.02***	0.00	-0.02***	0.02***	-0.03***	0.02***	
	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	
Location type $(1 = \text{Rural area}; 0 = \text{Urban area})$	0.01***	-0.00*	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.02***	
	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	
Female # Married	-0.00	-0.01*	0.00	-0.00	-0.01**	0.01	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Female # Age	-0.06***	0.02***	0.02***	-0.02**	0.00	0.00	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Female # Education	0.03***	-0.01**	0.00	-0.01	0.01	-0.00	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Female # Location type	-0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.00	-0.01**	0.01	
	[0.01]	[0.00]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	[0.01]	
Constant	0.79***	0.09***	0.14***	0.66***	0.38***	0.37***	
	[0.02]	[0.01]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.02]	[0.03]	
Observations	91765	91765	64352	64352	66654	66654	
Adjusted R-squared	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.10	
Mean Female	0.68	0.08	0.18	0.66	0.20	0.64	
Mean Male	0.68	0.08	0.19	0.65	0.23	0.60	
Sample	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	
Country FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	

Table D2: Correlates of Norm Miscperceptions