RETURN TO REPORTS DESK WITHIN ONE WEEK

FILE COPY

RESTRICTED
Report No. PA-61a

This report was prepared for use within the Bank and its affiliated organizations. They do not accept responsibility for its accuracy or completeness. The report may not be published nor may it be quoted as representing their views.

INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT
GUYANA

November 9, 1970

CURRENCY

Guyanese dollars (G\$)

US\$1	=	G\$2.0
G\$1	=	US\$0.50
G\$1,000,000	=	US\$500,000

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES (British System)

1 pound (1b)	=	0.453 kilogram
l long ton (ton)	=	(2,240 pounds (1.016 metric ton
1 short ton (sh ton)	=	(2,000 pounds (0.907 metric ton
l mile (mi)	=	1.609 kilometers
l acre (ac)	=	0.405 hectare
l square mile (sq mi)	==	(640 acres (2.590 square kilometers (258.99 hectares
l Imperial gallon (IMg	;)=	4.7 liters

ABBREVIATIONS

FMD -	Foot and Mouth Disease
GDP -	Gross Domestic Froduct
IDA -	International Development Association
LAC -	Livestock Advisory Committee
LDCo -	Livestock Development Company
LDF -	Livestock Development Fund
LPD -	Livestock Projects Division
UK -	United Kingdom

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	i
ı.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
	A. General	1
	B. The Agricultural Sector	3
	C. The Beef Cattle Sub-Sector	4
III.	THE PROJECT	6
	A. General Description	6
	B. Project Areas and Land Tenure	7
	C. Detailed Features	8
	D. Organization and Management	11
	E. Cost Estimates	12
	F. Financing	14
	G. Procurement	14
	H. Disbursement	15
	I. Accounts and Audit	1 5
	J. Lending Operations	16
IV.	MARKETS, PRICES AND PRODUCER BENEFITS	17
v.	ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND JUSTIFICATION	19
VI.	RECOMMENDATIONS	19

This report is based on the findings of an IDA appraisal mission to Guyana in February/March 1970, composed of Messrs P.G. Nelson, R. Milford (IDA), C. Amorin (Consultant Economist) and H. Kelly (Cooperative and Marketing Consultant).

ANNEXES

- 1. Banking and Credit
- 2. The Guyana Beef Cattle Industry
- 3. Beef Cattle Marketing
- 4. Types of Enterprise and Cooperative Group Ranches
- 5. Coastal and LDCo Coastal Ranches

- On-Ranch Investment

- 6. Coastal Ranches (7,500 ac) Herd Projection
- 7. Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue
- 8. Financial Projection
- 9. Rupununi Commercial, Amerindian and LDCo Ranches
 On-Ranch Investment
- 10. Rupununi Commercial and Amerindian Ranches (64,000 ac)
 Herd Projection
- 11. Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue
- 12. Financial Projection
- 13. LDCo Coastal Ranch (20,000 ac)

- Herd Projections

- 14. LDCo Rupununi Ranch (200,000 ac)
 - Herd Projection
- 15. LDCo Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue
- 16. LDCo Financial Projection
- 17. Cost of Technical Services
- 18. Draft Terms of Reference for Project Director and Deputy Project Directors
- 19. Project Cost
- 20. Livestock Development Fund Cash Flow
- 21. Estimated Quarterly Disbursement of IDA Credit
- 22. Rate of Return to the Economy

CHART - Project Organization

MAP

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- i. This report is on the appraisal of a Project for a first stage in the planned development of Guyana's beef cattle industry. Guyana had a small beef export trade, but the industry has been declining, largely because of poor management and the slaughter of young stock, and Guyana now imports beef. It has been hampered also by absence of long term land title, shortage of long term credit, and lack of experience in modern ranching methods. Local cattle are available for ranch development, if they can be mobilized; and large areas are suitable for ranching. Some of these areas could be used for sugar or rice, the two principal agricultural products; but market prospects for these products are doubtful and large sums would need to be spent on drainage and irrigation to prepare the land for them. Ranch development is the more attractive immediate use.
- ii. About 70% of Guyana's 260,000 cattle are in the Coastal Savanna. A special and important feature of the Project is the mobilizing of coastal cattle owners and their stock into commercial ranching enterprises on new land under skilled management. At least 10 coastal groups, each comprising 10-50 cattle owners, say they would definitely participate and many others are interested. Without the Project, it is very unlikely that they would come together, and the cattle industry would not progress. A cautious approach is proposed, however, and only an estimated 25 private ranches, 15 coastal and 10 elsewhere, would be included in this first phase. They would be owned by individuals, partnerships, companies or cooperatives. In addition, two ranches owned by the newly formed Livestock Development Co Ltd (LDCo Government and commercial partners) would be included.
- The proposed IDA credit of US\$2.2 million would be about 50% of the estimated US\$4.4 million equivalent project cost. US\$1.8 million, or about 82% of the credit, would finance the foreign exchange component (40% of total cost). The balance of US\$0.4 million, 18% of the credit, would finance local currency expenditures. Government would contribute about 14%; ranches (and land clearing contractors) and commercial banks about 18% each. This would be the Bank Group's first direct lending for Guyana agriculture though a Bank loan was made to the Credit Corporation (which does some agricultural lending) in 1961 and repaid in 1969, and a US\$5 million loan was approved in 1968 for Sea Defense, which essentially protects agricultural production.

- iv. The credit would be made to the Government of Guyana, which would bear the foreign exchange risk. Government would set up a Livestock Development Fund (LDF), to be administered by the Bank of Guyana as Trustee, and transfer to it the funds needed to rediscount commercial bank loans and run the project.
- v. Commercial banks would lend to project ranches for 12 years, including 4 years' grace, at 9 1/2% per year, of which 1/2% would be passed on as service fee to the Bank of Guyana by way of contribution to the costs of its Livestock Projects Division (LPD). They would also lend to clearing contractors (for purchase of imported machinery) for 5 years, including 1 year's grace, at 9%. These rates compare with the 1969 average for all lending in Guyana, mostly short term, of 8 1/2%. Commercial banks would rediscount 75% of their project losns with LDF at 6%; and IDA would reimburse to LDF the amounts so rediscounted (82% of the proposed credit). IDA would also finance the foreign exchange cost of LPD (13% of the credit) and of studies for further development of the livestock industry (5%).
- vi. Loans to project ranches would be used to finance fencing, water, pasture establishment, agricultural machinery, ranch buildings and other investment items, which would mostly be bought through existing commercial channels. International competitive bidding would not be appropriate because the scale of individual investment is too small, and the variety too great, for bulk procurement.
- vii. The Project would lead to improvement and upgrading of Guyana's beef cattle industry and give it important stimulus, both by example and through the supply of surplus breeding and fattening stock. It would involve the commercial banks for the first time in long term lending for agriculture. It would increase net beef production after about three years, saving imports and possibly leading to exports.
- viii. Returns on incremental investment would be satisfactory, both to ranching enterprises (13% to 21% depending on location and type) and to the economy of Guyana (21%). Subject to assurances, the Project is suitable for an IDA Credit of US\$2.2 million.

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.01 The Government of Guyana seeks IDA finance for a beef cattle project, a first stage in the planned development of the industry. Prospects for beef cattle development were recognized by a Bank economic mission in October/November 1966. 1/ An FAO livestock reconnaissance mission visited Guyana in June/July 1968. The Project was prepared in July/December 1969 by a Government Livestock Preparation Commission headed by Mr. C. Chisholm, an international consultant, assisted from time to time by Bank staff. This report is based on the findings of an IDA appraisal mission to Guyana in February/March 1970, composed of Messrs P.G. Nelson, R. Milford (IDA), C. Amorin (Consultant Economist) and H. Kelly (Cooperative and Marketing Consultant).
- 1.02 Government sought an IDA credit of US\$4 million to finance a project estimated to cost US\$7 million. The project submitted included investment in 24 coastal ranches averaging 10,000 ac, 3 Intermediate Savanna ranches, and a coastal ranch of 20,000 ac to be owned by a newly formed Livestock Development Company (LDCo), together with initial herds. It was agreed with Government that the equivalent of about 15 coastal ranches of 10,000 ac, of which 7,500 ac (average) would be developed initially, would be a more realistic first phase target and that, in general, the Intermediate Savanna is not yet ready for development. It was also agreed that 10 private ranches (average 64,000 ac) and one LDCo ranch of 200,000 ac in the Rupununi Savanna (see Map) be included. The revised project is estimated to cost US\$4.4 million.

II. BACKGROUND

A. General

Geography

2.01 Guyana is on the northeastern Atlantic cost of South America. Independent since 1966, it became a "Cooperative Republic" within the

^{1/ &}quot;An Appraisal of the Development Program of Guyana", WH-169, april 21, 1967; pp. 6 and 14.

British Commonwealth in February 1970. Bounded by Venezuela, Brazil and Surinam, its 83,000 sq mi (about the same as UK) divides into four natural regions:

- (a) Coastal Savanna: 270 mi of narrow fertile coastal plain, mostly below sea level and seasonally flooded. Soils range from heavy black clay in lower areas to sandy loam in Islands of forest. About 95% of the population lives in this 2,500 sq mi (3% of the land area) producing 80% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The region has about 70% of the country's cattle;
- (b) Intermediate Savanna: a sandy clay belt, southwest of the coastal plain; of low fertility and sparsely populated;
- (c) The Guyana Mountains: a high range that runs NW/SE and extends into Surinam and French Guiana; and
- (d) Rupununi Savanna: in the southwest interior, with soils of generally low fertility and poor moisture retention. Ranching is the main industry in this region and it has about 25% of the country's cattle.

Climate

2.02 Coastal rainfall averages 80 in per year, is heavy from May to mid-August and light from November to February; temperature ranges from 75° to 80° F. Rupununi Savanna rainfall averages from 50 to 60 in, almost all between May and August; temperature ranges from 70° to 80° F.

Population

2.03 Guyana's English speaking multi-racial population of about 700,000 is growing at a rate of 2.8% per year. Approximately 35% of the 1968 labor force of about 245,000 was employed in agriculture, mostly rice production; 2% in mining; 43% in Government, commerce, industry and services. 20% were officially unemployed. 1/ Annual per capita rural income in 1969 was about US\$160.

Foreign Trade

2.04 Guyana's trade balance showed an average annual surplus of US\$29 million from 1961-64, a small deficit between 1965-67, and small surpluses again in 1968 and 1969.

^{1/} Economic Bulletin M3, Bank of Guyana, December 1969, and Bank economic mission early 1970.

2.05 Of total 1969 exports worth US\$130 million, 47% came from agriculture (sugar 35%; rice 7%; others 5%); 46% from mining, mainly bauxite and alumina; and 7% from the rest. Of total 1969 imports costing US\$120 million, agricultural products made up approximately 17%; vehicles, cigarettes, beverages and other consumer goods 16%; fuels, lubricants, chemicals and machinery 39%; and semi-manufactures 28%.1/

B. The Agricultural Sector

Contribution to the Economy

2.06 Guyana agriculture contributed about 21% of GDP in 1969. While GDP grew at 4% per year from 1955-69, agricultural product grew only 3% per year, with a marked slowing in the rate towards the end, mainly due to rice production problems. Of the total 1969 value of agricultural production, cane sugar contributed 47% and rice 12%. All crops together contributed 70%, livestock 14%, fishing and forestry 8% each.

Agricultural Services

- 2.07 Agricultural Extension is organized into six districts with a staff of 65. Most work on sugar, rice, and general farming; none specifically on beef cattle.
- 2.08 Agricultural education is centered on Government's principal agricultural research station at Mon Repos, near Georgetown. Two-year diploma and certificate courses are offered together with regular 3 to 4 week courses for farmers. Advanced agricultural training is available at the Imperial College of Tropical Agriculture, Trinidad, where Guyana has a student quota.
- 2.09 Rice and coconut research is conducted at Mon Repos, with some attention to livestock, mainly dairy cattle, pigs and poultry. Beef cattle and pasture research is conducted mainly at Ebini in the Intermediate Savanna. Work here and at St. Ignatius in the Rupununi (now closed), though of long standing, has had little impact because it lacked an economic approach. Production-oriented research has begun at Ebini, and USAID has a program there for cattle cross-breeding and study of the nutritional value of pastures and supplements.

^{1/} Economic Bulletin M3, Bank of Guyana, December 1969, and Bank economic mission early 1970.

2.10 The Government Veterinary Service comprises a Senior Officer and six District Officers with supporting technical staff. District Officers have wide powers to control disease and also advise on animal husbandry and nutrition. They are now too few for a full service, though improvement is expected as graduates return from overseas.

Credit

- 2.11 There are six commercial banks in Guyana. 1/ Five are branches of international banks and the sixth is the new National Cooperative Bank. About 80% of their loans to the private sector are for one year or less. They provide some long term credit, as do the Guyana Credit Corporation, life insurance companies, and a building (savings and loan) society. Most long term lending is for real estate. About 10% of total credit is devoted to agriculture, though between 1966 and 1969 the amount increased from G\$2.5 to 5 million (US\$1.3 to 2.5 million) in line with growth in other lending. Between 4% and 10% was for livestock.
- 2.12 The average interest rate in 1969 was about 8-1/2% per year; in 1968 it was just over 8%. Prime lending rate has been 7-1/2% since July 1966. The rate for agriculture is now generally 8-1/2% to 9-1/2%, with 10% for some personal loans. Banking and credit are discussed more fully in Annex 1.
- 2.13 Agricultural enterprises with good collateral are well supplied with short term credit, but very little long term credit has been available. Small farmers get limited short term credit from the Guyana Marketing Corporation, and the National Cooperative Bank is intended to help them further.

Bank Group Lending for Agriculture

2.14 The Bank Group has made two loans related to Guyana agriculture. Loan 285 BG was made to the Credit Corporation in 1961 and repaid in 1969. US\$5 million loan 559 GUA for Sea Defense, which essentially protects agricultural production, was approved in September 1968 (US\$161,000 disbursed by August 31, 1970).

C. The Beef Cattle Sub-Sector

2.15 The national beef herd of about 260,000 is almost entirely Creole and Creole-Zebu cross. About 180,000 are in the coastal strip and 60,000 in the Rupunumi. The cattle population had risen between 1960 and

^{1/} Barclays (DCO), Royal Bank of Canada, Bank of Baroda, Chase Manhattan Bank, Bank of Nova Scotia; Guyana National Cooperative Bank.

1965 to perhaps more than 300,000, though figures are not very reliable. The number is now dwindling because of poor management, reduction of grazing areas due to agricultural development, and premature slaughter of young stock and breeding cattle. Cattle generally run freely to graze where they can — often, in the coastal areas, on public domain or communal pastures (which are badly mismanaged). Output is consequently low and losses high. About 45,000 coastal families are in rice farming and half of them own cattle. Less than 1,000 owners have more than 25 cattle; about 200 have more than 50; very few more than 1,000.

2.16 There is little experience of modern cattle ranching. Average annual offtake is 10%; by comparison, an average of 15% is quite common in South America. Average carcass weight is low and has been declining; it was 320 lb in 1965 and 280 lb in 1969. On the few well managed ranches, steers reach a carcass weight of 400-420 lb in 3 to 4 years in the Coastal Savanna, and in 5 to 7 years in the Rupunumi.

Animal Health

- 2.17 Calving percentages are low (about 40%) and calf mortalities sometimes as high as 35%. General causes are poor nutrition and parasitic infection. In the Coastal Savanna, a common cause is drowning, for most coastal pastures are low-lying, flood for 3 to 8 months every year, and rarely have high ground on which cows can calve. Adult cattle mortality is also high (6 to 7%), due partly to mineral deficiencies; stealing may be a contributory factor on the coast.
- 2.18 Foot and mouth disease (FMD) occurs spasmodically in the Rupununi but not on the coast, though it is a potential threat there. Paralytic rabies occurs countrywide. These and other diseases are controlled by the veterinary service through regular vaccination programs.

Problem of Increasing Beef Production from Coastal Area

2.19 The key to development of the Guyana beef industry is increased production from the coastal area. Most owners there realize their cattle would do better if properly handled in herds under controlled management, instead of wandering at will; but they are not very willing to give up individual ownership to form such herds. It would be technically feasible to increase production from small units, but it would be difficult, take a long time and be costly in relation to achievable benefit. Further details of the industry are in Annex 2.

Markete

2.20 Annual per capita beef consumption at 16 lb is one of the lowest in South America. 1/ To reverse the declining production trend,

^{1/} Bolivia 18, Argentina 190 lb; also cf Jamaica 21, USA 110, UK 50 lb.

without importing large numbers of breeding cattle (which are hard to find, and would be costly), immature stock and breeding cows must be retained. This would initially reduce beef production still further, requiring increased imports to maintain consumption at present levels.

- 2.21 Georgetown and New Amsterdam are the two principal markets (see Map) and cattle move there by boat, truck, train or on foot. Georgetown has one major municipal abattoir but this has no facilities for recovery of by-products and its cold storage is not used. Private cold stores in Georgetown, however, have sufficient capacity for immediate needs. Lethem, in the Rupununi, has a modern abattoir with spare capacity for near-term expansion.
- 2.22 Coastal cattle are generally sold through dealers, while those from the Rupununi are sold almost entirely through Meat Marketing Ltd (a company organized by 22 producers). The average retail price of beef in 1969 was G\$0.67 (US\$0.38) per 1b. Average farmgate price is about 75% of retail. Guyana has exported small quantities of beef, but tight supply and uncertainties about FMD have now almost eliminated exports. During the last five years, beef imports varied between about 10% and 20% of consumption. Further details are in Annex 3.

III. THE PROJECT

A. General Description

3.01 The Project is the development of selected beef cattle ranches in Guyana. It includes a 5-year program of long term loans for ranch development (12 years) and land clearing equipment (5 years) through commercial banks. These would finance the equivalent of 15 coastal ranches, (including one in the Intermediate Savanna and the cattle operations of some small coastal mixed farms), 10 commercial and Amerindian tribal ranches in the Rupununi, 1 LDCo coastal ranch (20,000 ac), and 1 LDCo Rupununi ranch (200,000 ac). Phasing of the entry of ranches into the Project, each borrowing by three annual instalments, would be approximately:

			Year	1	2	3	Total
			(Numb	er	of	ranch	units)
Coastal				5	7	3	15
Rupununi				4	4	2	10
•	Development	Co.		_2	-		2
		TOTAL		11	11	. 5	27

3.02 Technical services would be provided through a Livestock Projects Division (LPD) which, together with a Livestock Development Fund (LDF), formed by Government for rediscount of loans under the program, would be administered by the Bank of Guyana as Trustee for Government (see Section D). The Project would also provide training of ranch managers and studies for further development of the livestock industry.

B. Project Areas and Land Tenure

Coastal Project Area

3.03 Fourteen of the fifteen coastal ranches, would be in the low lying flood plain within 30 miles of New Amsterdam (see Map). The fifteenth would be a partially developed private ranch in the Intermediate Savanna. Native pastures are generally good, though improvable, and high rainfall would make for relatively easy pasture establishment on cleared forest land. Commercial ranching is being successfully carried out at the Kabawer ranch (Bookers Sugar Estates Ltd). Unimproved pasture carries about 1 beast to 4 ac: minimal improvement raises this to 1 beast to 2 ac.

Rupununi Project Area

3.04 The ten Rupununi ranches would have Lethem as their natural outlet (see Map). Open range carries only 1 beast to 64 ac but careful management can improve this to 1 beast to 30 ac, or better. Extensive cattle ranching can be successful there, as the Rupununi Development Co Ltd and numbers of smaller private ranches demonstrate. Rainfall is good and so are prospects for pasture improvement.

Land Tenure

- 3.05 Few project ranches now have acceptable freehold or leasehold title. On the coast most of them would be newly established on State land with 25 year renewable, transferable leases. Large tracts of suitable land are available. Most Rupununi ranchers have long term title to their homesteads, but only annual grazing rights for their pasture. While these rights have been regularly renewed, they do not encourage long term investment and cannot be used as security for long term loans.
- 3.06 Government has promised prompt issue of leases to participating ranches on terms acceptable as security for commercial banks. To facilitate issue of leases within 60 days of application Government is amending its legislation to enable aerial survey to be used for defining lease areas. During negotiations, appropriate assurances were obtained from Government, and it will be a condition of effectiveness that at least 30,000 ac of coastal land had been surveyed for immediate lease as project ranches.

3.07 Amerindian (indigenous) villages have non-transferable tribal land, unsuitable as security for loans from commercial banks. Government has guaranteed repayment of principal and interest on commercial bank loans to participating Amerindian ranches (of which about four are expected in the Project) in a form acceptable to the commercial banks and it is a condition of effectiveness that the necessary legislation be passed.

C. Detailed Features

Ranch Ownership and Type

3.08 Participating ranches would be owned by individuals, partnerships, companies or cooperatives. The form of ownership would depend on individual preference and circumstance but, unless a ranch was owned by only one person, cattle would be owned by the ranching enterprise and not by its members separately. Coastal and Amerindian ranches are expected to be owned by newly formed cooperatives whose members would have contributed cattle in exchange for share. The few coastal mixed farmers included, whose cattle operations would be developed under the Project, have mortgageable land and own up to 500 cattle each. They are equivalent in total to about one coastal ranch. All ranches (except Amerindian - see para 3.07) would have long term renewable leases or other acceptable land title. The average ranch and herd sizes would be:

Type of Ranch	Size	Acres to be	Initial	Target
	(<u>Acres</u>)	Developed	Herd	herd
Coastal	10,000	7,500	2,750	5,000
Rupununi	64,000	64,000	1,200	2,250

Mobilization of Coastal Cattle Owners

3.09 A special and important feature of the Project is the grouping of small coastal cattle owners into commercial ranching enterprises. The Preparation Commission set up for this Project, together with Government staff, persuaded a number of small coastal cattle owners that it would be worth their while to combine, transfer most of their cattle to enterprises they would own collectively, on new land that Government would make available, and employ ranch managers under the central supervision of a Project Director. Those who rely on cattle for occasional cash income would keep a few for this purpose. They would also keep or dispose of elsewhere, those cattle not accepted into the Project. Enough cattle owners have expressed interest to form at least ten enterprises, and a few have already been formed as

ranching cooperatives; others await the outcome of Government's application to IDA and the granting of leases. All would need the stimulus the Project can provide. Government's offer of long term leases on new land at reasonable rental is a powerful incentive and 15 coastal ranches, of various types, is a reasonable initial objective.

Cooperative Ranching Enterprises

- For primary agricultural cooperatives of the usual type, in 3.10 Guyana as elsewhere, business transactions are simple and conducted on a relatively small scale. Such cooperatives can be managed by the elected officers and committee. For ranching enterprises, it is essential that the ranch manager be clearly responsible for the technical and financial operation of the ranch, without interference from elected officers and committee. For this, and other reasons the traditional form of cooperative rules is not entirely suitable for cooperative enterprises. A form of rules has been devised that would, with relatively minor amendments, be suitable, and acceptable to IDA. Certain exemptions would be required under the Guyana Cooperative Societies law and can be given by the Cabinet of Ministers. During negotiations, assurances were obtained that rules, acceptable to IDA, and in conformity with the Cooperative Societies Ordinance would be adopted and would not be changed so as to adversely affect commercial operation of project ranches. It would be a condition of effectiveness that any necessary exemptions be obtained.
- 3.11 Cattle owners forming ranching enterprises will need help with legal and administrative details. Only limited assistance can be expected from the overworked Cooperative Department and an early task of LPD (see paras 3.02, 3.22 and 3.23) would be to organize ranch formation. The LPD Deputy Director (Administrative) would be primarily responsible for this task. Details of the problems of cooperative group ranch organization and suggestions for their solution are outlined in Annex 4.

LDCo Ranches

- 3.12 LDCo, to be formed specially by Government and private investors, would be an important part of the Project. LDCo property would comprise:
 - (a) a 20,000 ac ranch based on the Government owned Mara Rice Scheme (now dormant), which has housing, workshops and access roads. It would include an initial commercial herd of about 3,000 local cattle and a small stud herd of specially imported cattle to provide high grade breeding stock to the industry. Commercial production of pasture legume and grass seed would also be included; and
 - (b) a 200,000 ac ranch based on the Government owned St.

 Ignatius station in the Rupununi with an initial herd of about 3,000.

3.13 These ranches would be run by a General Manager, internationally recruited by LDCo and satisfactory to IDA; he would be available part time for training ranch managers and 25% of his salary would be paid by LPD. At the time of appraisal, LDCo had not been formed. Government has since been discussing details with prospective shareholders, on the basis of Government holding 51% of the share capital, and has registered LDCo under Guyanese law. It would be a condition of effectiveness that LDCo had been established and shall have adopted articles of Agreement mutually satisfactory to Government and IDA and Government shall have secured confirmed agreement from other interested parties to subscribe to its equity. During negotiations, assurances were obtained from Government that LDCo general manager, satisfactory to IDA, would be appointed.

Ranch Development and Management

- 3.14 Four models illustrate the Project: one for ranches in the Coastal Savanna; one for ranches in the Rupununi; and one for each of the two LDCo ranches. Financial projections show the amount of new investment and how it would be financed-80% by long term loans from commercial banks and 20% by ranch contributions (in cash and kind). Coastal ranches would have surplus saleable steers, or equivalent cash, to help provide working capital and ranch contributions to investment. Rupununi ranches already have some improvements but would need funds for ranch contributions to new investment (para 3.15) and additional working capital. LDCo would have equity financing for ranch contribution to new investment and initial working capital. All ranches would need short term finance during the initial herd build-up period and, during negotiations, assurances were obtained from the Bank of Guyana that it would require participating banks to provide this finance to eligible ranches on the basis of development plans approved under the Project. Details of Investment, Herd Projections and Financial Projections are in Annexes 5 through 16.
- 3.15 Ranch output would markedly increase through management techniques applied under the guidance of the Project Director and through investment in fencing, stock handling facilities, dry season water supplies, land clearing and pasture establishment, and other improvements, including tractors and implements, 4-wheel drive vehicles, outboard canoes (water access is important in the Coastal Savanna) and adequate housing for the managers and labor.
- Ranch managers would ensure disease control, particularly in the Rupununi where cattle would be vaccinated regularly against FMD. During negotiations, assurances were obtained from Government that: veterinary services would be provided for project ranches, comprising at least one veterinary officer for coastal ranches and one veterinary officer for the Rupununi, both of whom would be stationed permanently in their respective areas; adequate measures to protect cattle from FMD under the Project in the Rupununi would be taken including the use of appropriate vaccines fully in accordance with the Pan American FMD Prevention Program; and supplies of vaccine for project ranches would be readily available.

Ranch Manager Training

3.17 Though some ranches would have managers or owner/managers who could operate successfully under the guidance of the Project Director, shortage of trained managers is a major constraint. About 24 potential managers are being trained at Tuskegee, Alabama, but most would need further experience in Guyana before assuming full responsibility. LDCo would set up a training scheme under its General Manager but, until this is ready, the Kabawer ranch (para 3.03) would provide facilities. The Project Director would arrange this training. It would be a condition of every sub-loan that a manager, approved by the Project Director, be employed.

Land Clearing

3.18 An important element is land clearing on coastal ranches (about 10% of their area). Several contractors in Guyana have experience in clean clearing with heavy tractor and blade but few know how to clear by chaining i.e. pulling down trees with a heavy chain drawn between two tractors. To encourage interest in this type of clearing, the Project includes 5-year loans for two heavy duty (250 hp plus) tractors and a heavy (10 to 16 ton) chain. Funds are also included for visits by LPD and contractor staff to similar areas, e.g. Venezuela, where chaining is practiced. Project costs have been based on the higher known cost of blading (US\$15 per ac). The Project Executive Committee (para 3.21) would select a contractor after inviting proposals. During negotiations, assurances were obtained from Government that no loan for clearing equipment would be made unless the Committee was satisfied as to the prospective borrower's competence in chaining.

Developmental Studies

The Project includes production-oriented technical, marketing, and processing studies for the future development of the industry, and the preparation of further livestock projects for possible Bank group lending. Technical studies, for which about US\$80,000 would be provided, would include pasture establishment with tropical legumes, animal production trials and use of urea/molasses supplements. They would be carried out in the Project Areas, Intermediate Savanna and the Northwest by staff of the Ministry of Agriculture and, if necessary, by consultants. The other studies would be carried out by consultants (8 to 12 man-months) and are estimated to cost about US\$40,000. Before each study was carried out, the Project Director, who would be responsible for study supervision, would be consulted and Government would submit for IDA approval, detailed terms of reference and the names of proposed consultants. During negotiations appropriate assurances to this effect were obtained.

D. Organization and Management

3.20 The Project would be organized through its trustee, the Bank of Guyana (see Chart), the most suitable agency through which to channel funds

to the commercial banks. A Livestock Advisory Committee, set up by Ministerial Decree, composed of the Governor of the Bank of Guyana (Chairman) and one representative each from the Ministries of Agriculture and of Economic Development, the participating banks and one selected by the cattle producers, would be responsible for general policy. The Project Director would be Executive Secretary. It would be a condition of effectiveness that such a committee be set up.

- 3.21 A small Project Executive Committee, set up by the Bank of Guyana Board, would make LPD's recommendations to commercial banks. It would comprise one representative each from the Ministry of Agriculture (Chairman), Bank of Guayana, and the Ministry of Economic Development, and the Project Director.
- 3.22 LPD would be headed by the Project Director, a specialist in animal husbandry or related activity, responsible to the Governor of the Bank of Guyana for implementation of the project. He would be assisted by a Deputy Director (Technical), a Deputy Director (Administrative), approximately five Livestock Technicians, and supporting staff. It would be a condition of effectiveness that LDF and LPD had been established and that an internationally recruited Project Director, satisfactory to IDA, had been appointed on terms and conditions acceptable to IDA and, during negotiations, assurances were obtained from the Bank of Guyana that Deputy Directors (Technical) and (Administrative), acceptable to IDA, would be appointed. (See Annexes 17 and 18).
- 3.23 LPD would help ranches prepare loan applications, including detailed ranch development plans, and would make a technical, economic and financial appraisal of each ranch on behalf of the commercial bank through which an application had been submitted. Commercial banks would assess creditworthiness. LPD staff would supervise progress of ranch plans and assist and advise ranch managers. The Project Director would arrange for local and overseas training of technicians. During negotiations, assurances were obtained from the Bank of Guyana that sub-loans would be made on the basis of ranch plans approved by LPD and that loan agreements would contain suitable performance covenants.

E. Cost Estimates

3.24 Total project cost is estimated at G\$8.9 million (US\$4.4 million), including contingencies, of which about US\$1.8 million would be the foreign exchange component. Estimates are based on enquiries in the field during appraisal, and include approximately 10% for contingencies to cover possible omissions and increases in cost. Details are in Annex 19. The Livestock Development Fund cash flow is in Annex 21. Summarized cost estimates are:

							.5	.5
	G\$	(thousand	ds)	US\$	(thousa	nds)	Total Project-	Foreign Exchange
Category		Foreign			Foreign			Component
On manch Turns burns								
On-ranch Investment								
Fencing	530	440	970	270	220	490		45
Pasture	390	380	77 0	190	190	380		50
Machinery	130	510	640	60	26 0	320		80
Farm Buildings	480	230	710	240	120	360		33
Other	260	210	470	130	100	230		<u>45</u>
Sub-total	1,790	1,770	3,560	890	890	1,780		50
Livestock	1,190	71 0	1,900	600	350	950		37
Sub-total	2,980	2,480	5,460	1,490	1,240	2,730	59	45
LDCo Transferred Assets	·							
Buildings and								
installations	240	-	240	120	-	120		-
Local livestock	1,010	-	1,010	510	***	510		
Sub-total	1,250		1,250	630		630	14	***
Sub-total	4,230	2,480	6,710	2,120	1,240	3,360	73	
Working Capital	490	50	540	240	30	270	9	10
Clearing Equipment	70	330	400	40	160	200	4	83
Technical Services	410	570	980	200	290	490	11	58
Developmental Studies	40	200	240	20	100	120	_3	<u>83</u>
Total	5,240	3,630	8,870	2,620	1,820	4,440	100	41
Percent	59	41	100					

F. Financing

3.25 Proposed financing is based on IDA covering 60% of new on-ranch investment and clearing equipment costs, with participants (including Government as LDCo shareholder) and commerical banks providing 20% each. IDA would also cover the foreign exchange costs of LPD and developmental studies. IDA would thus finance the total foreign exchange cost of US\$1.8 million and US\$0.4 million equivalent of local currency cost. Participants would contribute US\$0.8 million in cash or kind according to their means, and commercial banks US\$0.8 million. Government contribution includes assets and some cash for LDCo and the local cost of LPD and developmental studies, a total of US\$0.6 million. Ranches would also contribute by retaining stock, not included in project cost, valued at about US\$1 million during the first three years of herd development.

3.26 On the above basis, estimated project financing (including contingencies) would be:

		US\$ (thousands)		
Category	Private/1	Banks	Government	IDA	Total
On-ranch Investment	460	550	80	1,640	2,730
(Percent)	(17)	(20)	(3)	(60)	(100)
Transferred Assets (LDCo)	.	_	320	_	630
Sub-total	770	550	400	1,640	3,360
Working Capital	-	240/2	30/3	-	270
Clearing Equipment	40	40	_	120	200
Technical Services	-	-	200	29 0	490
Developmental Studies	_		20	100	120
Total	810	830	650	2,150	4,440
Rounded	800	800	600	2,200	4,400
(Percent)	(18)	(18)	(14)	(50)	(100)

^{/1} Ranches, LDCo commercial investors and clearing contractors.

G. Procurement

3.27 International competitive bidding would not be appropriate because the scale of individual investment is too small, and the variety of items too great, for bulk procurement. There is no restriction on imports and, except on vehicles (25%), duties are reasonable (6 to 11%,

 $[\]frac{/2}{}$ Or private.

 $[\]overline{/3}$ Proportion of LDCo working capital attributable to Government.

subject to Commonwealth preference of 5%). Apart from breeding cattle, semen and pasture seed, items needed for the Project are readily available through existing commercial channels, including many international suppliers. Competition is keen and servicing adequate. Breeding cattle and semen would be purchased by LDCo from a country free of FMD; but the Project Director would be consulted and no imports under this category made without his prior approval. Pasture seed is subject to rigorous Government control. During negotiations, appropriate assurances as to procurement were obtained from Government and from the Bank of Guyana.

H. Disbursement

- 3.28 IDA would reimburse to Government the amount of commercial bank loans discounted by the Bank of Guyana from LDF (up to a maximum of 75% of the total of such loans) and 100% of the foreign exchange cost of LPD and developmental studies, against certified statements of disbursement.
- 3.29 IDA credit disbursement, allowing slippage (Annex 21), would be approximately:

	US\$ (thousands)					
Category	1970/71	1971/72	1972/73	1973/74	1974/75	<u>Total</u>
On-ranch Investment	120	380	580	390	17 0	1,640
Clearing Equipment	-	120	-	-	-	120
Technical Services	30	90	60	60	50	290
Development Studies	20	40	40		-	100
	170	630	680	450	220	2,150

3.30 It is intended that amounts not used for the credit be canceled.

I. Accounts and Audit

3.31 Commercial banks would keep separate accounts of project lending and submit details to LPD. LPD would also keep accounts showing the total of approved ranch plans (on-ranch investment and working capital), sub-loans made, amounts discounted by LDF, and LPD expenses. The above accounts would be audited by the independent auditors of the Bank of Guyana (Pannel, Fitzpatrick & Co), who are acceptable to IDA. The Ministry of Agriculture would keep separate accounts of expenditure on developmental studies and these would be audited in a manner satisfactory to IDA. Appropriate assurances were obtained during negotiations. Assurances were also obtained that summaries of these accounts would be submitted quarterly to IDA, and that copies of audited project accounts would be submitted to IDA not more than three months from the end of the Bank of Guyana's and Government's fiscal years,

respectively, and that copies of the Bank of Guyana's audited annual accounts would be sent to IDA, when issued.

J. Lending Operations

- 3.32 Each loan application would be made to a commercial bank and, if the applicant's credit rating were satisfactory, would be passed to LPD for appraisal. After appraisal it would go to the Project Executive Committee for final recommendation and return to the commercial bank that submitted it. A favorable recommendation would not be made without the Project Director's approval which would also be needed before changes to the development plan could be made. Criteria for selection, in addition to creditworthiness, would be: ownership of an acceptable beef herd; management by a ranch manager approved by the Project Director; and tenure, on a 25 year renewable lease (or better), of an appropriate ranching area, including in the case of coastal ranches, at least 10% not susceptible to annual flooding. During negotiations, assurances were obtained that these procedures would be followed. Assurances were also obtained that LPD would submit details to IDA before submitting for approval, any sub-loan (or series of sub-loans to one applicant) of more than US\$150,000 equivalent and in the case of sub-loans to LDCo the LPD would satisfy IDA that LDCo has sufficient funds and is adequately staffed to carry out the Development Plan.
- 3.33 To enable cattle to be accepted as security for long term lending, Government has drafted a Livestock Loans Act, similar to the Guyana Agricultural Loans Act of 1966, which would enable lenders to take a fixed and floating charge over a borrower's assets and make it a criminal offence for a borrower to sell pledged property except as provided in a loan agreement between lender and borrower. During negotiations, assurances were obtained from Government that the Livestock Loans Act was acceptable to participating banks as the legal basis for long term lending, and it would be a condition of effectiveness that such an Act had been passed.
- 3.34 The commercial banks would make sub-loans for 12 years, with 4 years' grace, at 9 1/2% per year, including 1/2% service fee which would be credited to LDF. 75% of each loan would be rediscounted with LDF at 6%, (except Government guaranteed loans to Amerindian ranches, which would be rediscounted at 8%) giving commercial banks, which would carry the total loan risk, a reasonable margin of 3%. The rediscount rate would be keyed to prime rate (changing in the proportion of one point to every three points change in the prime rate) so as to maintain the margin on the banks' own 25% contributions. Commercial banks would also make short term loans for working capital at the current rate. During negotiations, appropriate assurances were obtained from Government and the Bank of Guyana including an assurance that a form of agreement acceptable to IDA, would be entered into between the Bank of Guyana and participating banks.

3.35 The IDA credit would be made to Government which would bear the foreign exchange risk. IDA funds for rediscounting commercial bank loans, and for operation of LPD, would be credited to LDF by Government which would need to provide initial resources (interest free) to LDF. Surpluses would be freely available to Government for any public investment purpose including further livestock development, as interest payments are made and sub-loans repaid over 14 years (Annex 20). During negotiations, conditions of the Trusteeship Agreement between Government and the Bank of Guyana were finalized and specific assurances were obtained from Government that it would provide the amounts needed for initial operation of LDF. It would be a condition of effectiveness that the Trusteeship agreement be signed.

IV. MARKETS, PRICES AND PRODUCER BENEFITS

Markets

4.01 In spite of a rising population, Guyana beef demand has remained fairly static over the last few years, due largely to tight supply (Annex 3). Existing slaughter facilities are satisfactory for the Project but improvements would be needed to make them suitable for later meat exports. Sales would be made through Meat Marketing Ltd or other channel acceptable to the banks. During negotiations, assurances were obtained that no price control would be imposed on beef unless mutually satisfactory to Government and IDA.

Prices

4.02 Between 1965 and early 1970 beef retail prices rose by 25% from G\$0.55 to 0.69/lb. Producer prices generally rose in parallel, though with a tendency to harden during late 1969/early 1970 because of the temporary ban on movement of Rupununi cattle due to FMD. While prices might continue to rise somewhat, a steady coastal producer price of G\$0.50/lb (Rupununi G\$0.43), the 1969 average, has been assumed for project estimates, compared with G\$0.55/56 during early 1970 and an average of G\$0.47 over the last four years.

Producer Benefits

4.03 It is difficult to quantify present benefits to coastal beef producers, who are numerous and whose practices vary. The Project would, however, increase net income before tax, as the following table shows:

		At Full Development Dollars) /1	Financial Rate of Return (%)	Sensitivity to 10% Price Variation (%) /2
Coastal	24,800	158,000	20	17-23
Rupunun1	6,900	61,000	21	18-25
Livestock Development	<u>.</u>			
Co.	-	730,000	13	11-14

Before debt service.

Rupununi returns would be lower because costs are higher and farmgate prices lower than on the coast; but no additional management costs would be incurred on owner-managed Rupununi ranches. In addition to the above increases in net income, herd values would increase substantially: coastal from G\$370,000 to 820,000; Rupununi G\$138,000 to 280,000; and LDCo G\$0.8 to 3.8 million.

Revenue Generation

Ranches would pay interest and repay loans from revenue over the first 12 years and their owners would be liable to income tax on total ranch and other income. Capital expenditure is deductible for tax purposes, and losses can be carried forward. At the forecast rate of investment, tax would not be payable until about the 7th or 8th year when, at present rates and under present tax law, it would be 45% if profits are retained, 60% if distributed. The following is an illustration:

Coastal Ranch	Before /1	After Development	
	Development /1	Year 6	Year 13
	(Guyanese dollars)		
Total Net Income/2	25,000	65,000	168,000
Debt Service/3		28,000	
Net Income after Debt Service	25,000	37,000	168,000
Taxable Income	23,000	(90,000)/3	156,000
Income Tax Liability	10,000		70,000/4
Net Income after Taxes	13,000	37,000	86,000
Total Herd Value/5	370,000	640,000	820,000

Reflects low extraction rate and marketing of immature stock.

 $[\]frac{/1}{/2}$ Result of 10% decrease/increase from assumed output prices.

See Annex 8

Income tax loss brought forward. 45% on undistributed profits.

See para 4.04.

- 4.06 Beef cattle owners have not reinvested extensively in cattle raising, mainly because of lack of secure land title (para 3.05). Project ranches would have this security and would be encouraged to make further improvements. They would also reinvest through their increased herds, retaining stock that would otherwise have been sold (para 3.25).
- 4.07 The tax position of individual ranches would vary according to size, profitability and other income. At present, cooperatives are not taxed as corporations but members are liable for tax on dividends. Government tax revenue would depend on these variables, future tax policies, and the efficiency of tax collection (not high in rural Guyana). Based on the above table and on similar calculations for Rupununi and LDCo ranches, maximum incremental tax revenue from the project would be of the order of G\$2 million (US\$1 million) per year at full development.

V. ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND JUSTIFICATION

- 5.01 The Project would increase Guyana's beef supply from about the 4th year. At full development in the 11th year, incremental annual production would reach 2,800 sh tons beef, valued at about G\$2.8 million (US\$1.4 million). This would be about 45% of present annual supply. At full production, annual foreign exchange earnings or savings would be about US\$1.0 million annually.
- 5.02 The estimated rate of return to the economy of Guyana, discounted over the assumed 20 year life of project ranches, would be 20%. A shadow wage rate has been used (G\$3 instead of G\$4) because of the high rate of unemployment, and the value of Rupununi production has been reduced by the amount of the air freight subsidy. Details of these and other adjustments are in Annex 22.
- In addition to its direct benefits, the Project would be important for the stimulus it would give to the beef cattle industry, both by example and by the supply of surplus improved young breeding and fattening stock. It would involve the commercial banks for the first time in long term lending for agriculture and would lead to import savings and ultimately to export revenues.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS 1/

6.01 In addition to standard assurances agreement was reached with Government during negotiations that:

^{1/} References in parenthesis to previous paragraph numbers.

- (a) 25 year (or better) renewable, transferable leases, on terms acceptable as security to the commercial banks, would be issued promptly to participating ranches (within 60 days of application) (3.06);
- (b) rules for cooperative ranches, acceptable to IDA, and in conformity with the Cooperative Societies Ordinance, would be adopted and would not be changed so as to adversely affect commercial operation of project ranches (3.10);
- (c) LDCo general manager satisfactory to IDA would be appointed (3.13);
- (d) essential veterinary services would be provided so as to ensure disease control, particularly FMD, on project ranches (3.16);
- (e) no price control would be imposed on beef unless mutually satisfactory to Government and IDA (4.01).
- 6.02 During negotations, assurances were obtained from the Bank of Guyana as Trustee administering LDF that:
 - (a) it would require participating banks to provide short term finance to eligible ranches on the basis of development plans approved under the Project (3.14);
 - (b) sub-loans would be made on the basis of ranch plans approved by LPD and loan agreements would contain suitable performance covenants (3.23);
 - (c) it would rediscount up to 75% of sub-loans by participating banks at 6% (guaranteed Amerindian sub-loans at 8%), adjusted to maintain the margin on the banks' own contributions (3.34);
 - (d) a form of agreement, acceptable to IDA, would be entered into between the Bank of Guayana and participating banks (3.34).
- 6.03 In addition to the customary conditions the following have been agreed upon as conditions of effectiveness of the proposed credit:
 - (a) at least 30,000 acres of coastal lands had been surveyed for immediate lease as project ranches (3.06);
 - (b) The Livestock Loans Act and legislation to permit Government guarantee of loans for Amerindian ranches had been passed; (3.07 and 3.33);

- (c) necessary exemptions from the Cooperative Societies Law (for cooperative ranch rules) had been obtained (3.10);
- (d) LDCo had been established and adopted Articles of Agreement satisfactory to IDA and Government had secured confirmed agreement from interested parties to subscribe to its equity (3.13);
- (e) a Livestock Advisory Committee had been set up by Ministerial Decree (3.20);
- (f) LDF and LPD had been established and an internationally recruited Project Director, satisfactory to IDA, had been appointed on terms and conditions acceptable to IDA (3.22);
- (g) a Trusteeship Agreement, satisfactory to IDA, between the Government and the Bank of Guyana had been signed (3.35).
- 6.04 The Project is suitable for an IDA Credit of US\$2.2 million.

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Banking and Credit

A. General

The Banking System

- 1. The banking system in Guyana, on the traditional British pattern, comprises:
 - a) the central bank, the Bank of Guyana, whose essential purpose is "to foster monetary stability and promote credit and exchange conditions conducive to the growth of the economy" (Bank of Guyana Ordinance 1965), and
 - b) the commercial banks, five of which are branches of international banks with head offices overseas. A sixth bank, the Guyana National Co-operative Bank, was established on February 24, 1970, by Government as part of its program to foster cooperativism in the "Cooperative Republic of Guyana".

The Credit System

2. The commercial banks are the most important institutional source of short term credit. At the end of December 1969, about 8% of their lending was for agriculture, excluding processing. Long term credit is provided generally by the Guyana Credit Corporation, the life insurance companies, and the New Building Society (savings and loan society), and about 30% of commercial bank lending is for more than a year (see para 15). Most of this longer term lending is for real estate investment, though the Guyana Development Corporation also invests loan and equity capital in industry and commerce. The Guyana Marketing Corporation provides credit in kind to small farmers, eg livestock feed for pig producers and fertilizers for producers of some crops.

B. The Banks

3. The Bank of Guyana is the sole bank of currency issue; holds gold and foreign currency reserves; is banker for Government and other banks; and is primarily responsible for controlling credit and foreign exchange activities. It undertakes ancillary functions, such as the management of the internal public debt, and provides statisical and other services for the banks and Government. Exchange control is presently limited to non-sterling transactions.

- 4. The 4-man Board of Directors, under the chairmanship of its Governor, is the policy making organ of the Bank of Guyana. The other members are the Banking Manager (Deputy Governor) and two representatives nominated by the Minister of Finance. The Secretary to the Treasury attends Board meetings, but without a vote.
- 5. The commercial banks must maintain minimum balances with the central bank. These are 6% against demand liabilities and 4% against time liabilities, the level at which they were fixed when introduced in March 1966.
- 6. The banks must also maintain a prescribed level of liquid assets fixed, since December 1966, at 20% of demand liabilities and 15% of time liabilities (the central bank may change this level between 15% and 30% for demand liabilities, 10% and 20% for time liabilities). These liquid assets comprise mainly deposits with the central bank, cash balances and balances with banks abroad, foreign treasury bills, commercial bills eligible for rediscount with the central bank and local treasury bills.
- 7. Advances from the Bank of Guyana to Government are agreed from time to time but, under the Bank of Guyana Ordinance, are subject to a ceiling of 15% of the average annual ordinary revenues collected and accounted for by the Government during its last three preceding financial years, and to the constraint that such direct advances shall not, during any financial year, be outstanding for a total of more than 350 days.
- 8. Table 1 shows assets and liabilities of the Bank of Guyana at December 31, 1969.

The Commercial Banks

9. The Private Banks. The 5 private commercial banks operate main branches in Georgetown, the capital, and subsidiary branches in other parts of the country:

Bank	Branches including main branch	
Barclays (DCO)	16	
The Bank of Baroda	2	
The Royal Bank of Canada	11*	
Chase Manhattan	1	
The Bank of Nova Scotia	1	

*Including a mobile branch.

The oldest established in Guyana (more than 50 years) are Barclays (DCO) and The Royal Bank of Canada. The newest (1968) is the Bank of Nova Scotia.

- 10. The Guyana National Cooperative Bank. The Guyana National Cooperative Bank has no operational experience, as yet. Its authorized share capital is G\$10 million (US\$5 million). Eligible shareholders are Government, registered cooperative societies, registered trade unions, registered friendly societies, public corporations established under the Public Corporations Ordinance, 1962, and such other corporate bodies as may be recommended by the Minister of Finance.
- 11. The assets and liabilities of the branches of the private commercial banks operating in Guyana are not published separately, though they are reported confidentially to the Bank of Guyana. The total rose from G\$115 million (US\$58 million) at the end of 1968 to G\$130 million (US\$65 million) at end 1969, an increase of 13%.

The Commercial Banks as a Credit Source

- 12. The return to stability in the country since 1964 has been reflected in the increasing demand for money and this in turn has resulted in pressure on the commercial banking system for loans.
- 13. An analysis of lending between 1965 and 1969 is in Table 2. The total doubled during the period. The proportion of this total lent for agriculture remained at about 8%; and of the amount lent for agriculture, between 4% and 10% was for livestock. In 1969, loans to commerce and services were about 40% of the total, to manufacturing about 30% and for personal consumption (including direct loans for housing) about 20%.

Commercial Bank Interest Rates

14. Since July 26, 1966, when the Guyana bank rate rose to 6% from 6%, the prime lending rate (usually 1% above bank rate) has remained at 7%. In general, rates of interest range from the prime rate of 7% for traditionally good business customers, to over 10% for some personal loans. The average annual rate of interest for all loans and advances was about 8% in 1969, compared with a little over 8% in 1968.

Loan Term

15. Most loans by the commercial banks are for short term financing of business enterprise. At the end of 1969, "term" loans totaled G\$23.3 million, (about 30% of the value of all lending) of which G\$18.8 million were in the private sector, with 31% of these loans to individuals. Distributed by amount outstanding at the end of 1969, 50% of "term" loans were for less than 2 years; 43%, 2 to 7 years; and the remaining 7%, over 7 years. The weighted average term was about 3 years.

16. Long term lending in the agricultural sector is almost entirely to large corporations that can offer substantial collateral security. A recently passed Agricultural Loans Ordinance permits creditors to take a lien on crops as part security for a loan, but the commercial banks are uncertain of its validity and, so far, it has been rarely used.

Default Rate

17. Due to careful scrutiny of potential borrowers and very adequate collateral security, commercial bank loan defaults are rare. On the other hand, lending tends to be cautious and a large potential demand for development loans remains unfulfilled. This caution is understandable against the background of poor repayment records of other lending institutions in Guyana, even when direct collection from crop proceeds is attempted.

C. Other Lending Institutions

The Guyana Credit Corporation

18. The Guyana Credit Corporation (established in 1954) is a Government lending agency providing medium and long term loans for agricultural improvement, industrial development, and housing; but it has tended to concentrate heavily on housing, light industry, purchase of agricultural machinery and equipment rather than on general farm improvement based on well drawn and carefully supervised plans. It has, nevertheless, been the principal source of the small amount of long term agricultural loan funds available to farmers other than the large corporations. Like the commercial banks, the Credit Corporation also requires collateral security, usually real estate, and small farmers lacking this kind of security have found it difficult to borrow. It has a poor repayment history.

Insurance Companies and the New Building Society

19. Insurance companies and the New Building Society lend long term mostly for housing or other real estate. During 1969 their rates of interest averaged around 8% and maximum maturities were 15 to 20 years. Loans are generally subject to a maximum of about 75% of the lender's valuation of the underlying security.

Other Sources of Credit

20. Other institutional sources of credit are not at present very important. The Guyana Marketing Corporation provides credit in kind to farmers with whom it contracts for purchase of farm produce. Most of the transactions were for pig production but other production has recently been included, especially crops for which the Marketing Corporation provides fertilizers.

- 21. Consumer credit, especially through hire-purchase, has helped many farmers to mechanize their operations. This credit is generally for only 1 or 2 years and the effective interest rate (since repayments are made monthly as a proportion of the original cash cost plus interest) is a good deal higher than the nominal amount charged on the original loan.
- 22. Cooperative credit societies provide small loans to members at 12%. The effective rate is somewhat lower, since borrowing members are usually paid dividends out of cooperative net income (including interest income). Thrift and Credit Societies in the rice industry have benefited from such short term loans.

Non-institutional Credit

23. Many persons, including farmers, have recourse to moneylenders who provide small loans at very exorbitant rates of interest. The borrower is usually unaware of the real rate of interest, eg 4% or 5% per month. He is usually either unable to convert this to an annual rate or thinks of it only as a payment for short term accommodation. The amount of such lending is not known, but it is likely to be substantial.

D. The Private Investment Fund

- 24. The Private Investment Fund (PIF) was established in 1966 with a loan of US\$2 million from USAID and G\$3 million from Government. The Bank of Guyana is trustee and administrator but PIF loans are made through the commercial banks who act as on-lenders and carry the credit risk but do not provide any long term funds. The Bank of Guyana decides the interest rate to be applied to PIF loans, and the commercial banks receive a margin of 3% for risk and administration.
- 25. The commercial banks are responsible for finding applicants. The Guyana Development Corporation plays a role in appraising the individual loan applications, in close cooperation with the banks, who are responsible for final assessment of creditworthiness. Once a loan is approved, the banks disburse promptly to the ultimate borrower, all funds or credits received from PIF. They help borrowers with complementary working capital. They pay the Bank of Guyana, as PIF Trustee, all amounts received from borrowers (repayments and interest), less their approved 3% spread. PIF lending is confined to US or local procurement.

GUYANA
BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Assets and Liabilities of the Bank of Guyana as at 31st December, 1969

G\$ (thousands) ASSETS LIABILITIES Total Foreign Assets 40,842 Government of Guyana Notes 38,918 Government of Guyana Securities 3,190 Government of Guyana Coins 1,524 Government of Guyana Treasury Bills 3,107 Government Deposits Advances to Government of Guyana 11,089 Deposits of International Organizations 340 Advances to Commercial Banks Bankers Deposits 9,835 Fixed Assets 3,532 Other Deposits 2,112 Other Assets 857 General Reserve Fund 2,739 Capital Paid Up 4,287 Other Liabilities 2,862 62,617 62,617

May 8, 1970

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Analysis of lending by purpose 1965-1969 (at December 31)

G\$ (thousands)

Purpose	1966	<u>\$</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>\$</u>	1967	<u>K</u>	1968	<u>\$</u>	1969	*
Commerce and Services	10,566	35	13,273	33	15,799	36	22,881	40	25,848	38
Manufacturing	3,136	19	4,992	12	3,991	9	10,514	19	17,605	26
Agricultural Processing	(8.642)	29	(12,325)	30	(10,277)	23	(6,118)	ñ	(3,448)	50
Timber and Sawmilling	557		974		1,402	-2	2,094		1,308	,
Sugar and Molasses 1/	4,454		7,013		6,494		2,690		721	
Rice Milling	3,631		4,338		2,381		1,334		1,419	
Agriculture	(2,458)	8	(2,391)	6	(3,901)	9	(3,918)	7	(5 ,0 90)	8
Sugar Cane	13		44	_	50	,	32	•	29	U
Paddy	1,821		1,629		3,072		1,873		2 ,7 34	,
Livestock	_186		244		166		265		356	
Forestry	286		306		145		247		1410	
Shrimp and Fish	39		47		294		1,393			
Other	113		121		174		108		1,386	
Private Financial					114		100		175	
Institutions	1,252	4	2,140	5	2,685	6	3,362	6	2 606	
Personal Loans	4,044	14	5,878	<u> 14</u>	7,494		2,302 0.67¢		2,606	4
<u> </u>	7,044		2010		1,474	<u>17</u>	9,675	<u>17</u>	12,798	19
Total	30,098	100	40,999	100	44,147	100	56,468	100	67,395	100

Source: Bank of Guyana

^{1/} Subject to wide seasonal variations e.g. September 1969 G\$ 13,645,000, December G\$ 721,000.

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

The Guyana Beef Cattle Industry

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The Guyana national herd is estimated at about 260,000, about 70% of which are in the coastal strip east of Georgetown and about 25% in the Rupununi Savanna. A few thousand are in the Intermediate Savanna, now only of minor importance, but with potential.
- 2. Meat production from the coastal region and the Rupununi was 3,350 and 1,150 short tons, respectively, in 1968, and the per capita consumption of meat fell from a peak of nearly 19 lb in 1966 to 16.3 in 1969. Meat quality is poor and the 280 lb average carcass weight in 1969 reflected the large percentage of lightweight animals being slaughtered from the coastal area. In the Rupununi, the offtake is mainly steers over 5 years old, with a dressed carcass weight of 400 to 450 lb, and cull cows of 300 to 350 lb.

COASTAL REGION

- 3. Most coastal cattle are owned by rice farmers and are generally of local Creole/Zebu breed, well adapted to surviving under adverse conditions. Average herd size is about 12 head per family. Less than 1,000 families own more than 25 head while only 200 own more than 50. These rice farmers keep cattle as a supplementary source of income, selling them off irrespective of age, sex, or as cash is needed. Farmers actually prefer to sell young animals because of the danger of theft from their free ranging herds (see para 5).
- Because they do not own enough land on which to depasture their animals all the year, farmers must use communal grazing areas, usually within 3 miles of their farms. These areas, however, have about 6 in to 2 ft of water over them for 3 to 8 months of the year. About 30% of the area is above flood height but covered by forest and not used. These communal grazing areas are badly overstocked and have degenerated because no attempts are made to control either the numbers or movement of animals; and the high quality swamp grasses have largerly disappeared from them.
- The animals themselves receive very little attention although the owner may visit his cattle about once in a week. Mating is uncontrolled and breed improvement impossible; cow fertility is low because of disease (mainly brucellosis) and poor nutrition. The 30% calf mortality is due mainly to losses from drowning at birth. No attempts are made to control parasites or diseases and the percentage of calves weaned is a mere 30%. Losses from stealing from these communal areas are also high. Under these conditions, it is barely possible to maintain cattle numbers and impossible to increase production.

- 6. While communal grazing areas could be extended by using large areas of available State lands, it is doubtful that the problem would be solved without also providing management and control. It is also highly doubtful that many of the local farmers would be willing to place their animals in areas farther away from their farms than the existing communal grazing areas.
- 7. Making the necessary changes in management to increase meat production would be difficult because of the ownership pattern. The necessary basic changes are that (a) stocking rates be limited to ensure sufficient pasture to sustain an economic breeding/fattening operation; and (b) animals and land be managed to optimize weaning and extraction rates.
- 8. This can best be achieved with herds large enough to carry the cost of the skilled management needed. One successful commercial ranch in the coastal region, Kabawer, exemplifies the value of sound management and capital investment in fencing, sleeping mounds, forest clearing and pasture establishment and better quality breeding stock. This 16,000 ac ranch commenced its development less than 4 years ago with 3,000 cattle. It now has 8,000 head of improved stock, is continuing to develop and expand its herd and is on a sound profit-making basis.
- 9. The few rice farmers aware of the need for this type of management have previously lacked the land, know-how, cattle and capital to undertake such a venture. These farmers recognize also that, while there may be some difficulty in persuading others to put their animals into larger production units, first class management is the most important requirement.
- 10. One way to establish such units in Guyana would be on a cooperative basis. Rules and by-laws would be designed to allow small owners
 to place all or some of their cattle on a cooperative ranch where they
 could be managed as a single production unit without interference from
 individual cooperative members in day-to-day management. The cattle so
 contributed would form the nucleus herd for a ranch development program.
 Optimum size for such a ranch in the coastal area is between 5,000 and
 10,000 ac, carrying between 2,500 and 5,000 animal units at full development. Ample State land is available for lease to interested cooperative
 or company groups on 25-year terms, with option of renewal and right of
 transfer. Enough rice farmer/cattle owners have shown interest to ensure
 the establishment of 15 ranch units of about 7,500 ac average each over a
 3-year period. This involves the aggregation of about 40,000 head of
 cattle.
- 11. A key feature would be to ensure that sufficient high land grazing is available during the wet season, particularly for animals about to calve. All new ranches would have up to 30% of such land. On each, about 750 ac of the forest that covers this high ground (10% of the total area) would be cleared and sown to highly productive tropical legume/grass pastures.

12. Clearing these areas cheaply and quickly, would require use of techniques new to Guyana. The areas are well suited for chain clearing but local management personnel and operators would have to be trained. This could very likely be done in Venezuela where chain clearing is being done successfully. The use of improved tropical legumes and grasses would also be new to Guyana and it would be necessary to ensure that the best species were selected and that correct management was applied.

RUPUNUNI SAVANNA

- The Rupununi Savanna covers about 25,000 sq mi in southeast 13. Guyana (see Map) where cattle ranching is the main activity. Annual rainfall is about 50 in, most of which falls between May and October. Soils are infertile and are particularly low in phosphate. The pastures are generally burned annually and therefore consist of a fire-resistant low-quality grass with virtually no legumes. During the wet season, they grow and mature rapidly and lose feeding value unless the growth is controlled by grazing or cutting but this is not possible under the existing extensive management conditions. In the dry season, the pasture dies and becomes very dry, its feeding value declines further and, from January to March, it is so low in available energy and protein that it cannot meet even maintenance requirements of grazing animals. The quality of the pasture could be improved by stopping annual burning to allow the softer more nutritious grasses to regenerate. The dry season feed quality problem could also be alleviated by establishing improved pastures but this must be done economically. The most promising approach lies in using tropical legumes which will "hay off" and retain feeding value in the dry season.
- 14. Of the 55 to 60,000 head of Creole/Zebu cattle in the region, about 30,000 are owned by the Rupununi Development Company and run on its 24,000 sq mi ranch. The remainder are owned by about 12 individual ranchers (about 12,000 head), Amerindian villagers (about 11,000 head) and the Government (about 3,000 head). Most of the latter were confiscated from ranchers involved in the January 1969 rebellion. The privately operated ranches are in the north. Average size is about 50,000 acres and herds vary from a few hundred to over 2,000 head.
- 15. Insecurity of land tenure has discouraged these ranchers from investing in their properties and applying modern ranching technology. All land in the Rupununi is State owned and leased on a year-to-year basis, with no guarantee of renewal. Government policy now proposes, however, that 25-year leases be granted, as for the proposed coastal project ranches.
- 16. Fencing is inadequate on all ranches and communal reserves and the cattle are run on the open range, making control of grazing almost impossible. Carrying capacity is estimated at about 10 animal units per sq mi (1 AU/64 ac), weaning is about 40%, adult mortality is about 7% per annum, and steers are marketed at 4 to 5 years old at an average cold carcass weight of 400 1b or at 5 to 6 years old for a slightly higher carcass weight. The cull cows are slaughtered at 250 to 300 1b carcass weight. The annual extraction rate is about 10%.

- 17. Most of the region's cattle are slaughtered at a central abattoir at Lethem, with an annual throughput of about 6,000 head. The beef is airfreighted to the Georgetown market at a cost of 9¢ (G)/lb. A subsidy of 5¢/lb is paid by the Government market and the producer receives about 43 to 45¢ (G)/lb cold dressed weight. Almost all slaughtering and marketing from the area is done by Meat Marketing Ltd (Annex 3).
- 18. Many consumer goods and essential on-ranch investment items (fencing wire, troughing, tools and equipment) required to develop the area must be air-freighted from Georgetown, which increases their cost. This, plus the cost of air-freighting meat from the region would place the Rupununi at a disadvantage compared to the coastal areas if it were not for the lower production costs in the Rupununi G\$3/head compared to G\$11/head on the coast.
- 19. Since shipment of boned-out meat is perhaps the best way of immediately reducing transport costs, the Government should be encouraged to provide facilities at Lethem. A small treatment plant to process bones could then be established and the bone produced flour could be fed to range cattle to provide badly needed supplementary phosphate. The potential bone flour production would meet about 35% of estimated requirements.
- 20. There is one Veterinary Officer on short term appointment from Georgetown in the area. He is responsible mainly for supervision of the Lethem abattoir and regional foot and mouth disease (FMD) control programs. FMD is not endemic but occurs sporadically (there have been two outbreaks in the last 9 years). An outbreak generally has serious repercussions since the entire area is placed under quarantine to prevent the disease spreading to the coast. Shipment of meat is stopped and not allowed to resume until all animals in the area have been vaccinated twice at 4-month intervals, and no outbreak has occurred for 3 months.
- 21. The fact that beef production can be increased considerably has been demonstrated in some of the better areas where carrying capacity has gone from 10 to 40 head/sq mi by using fencing to control grazing. Also, large tracts in the Rupununi appear to be suitable for the tropical legume Townsville style. This legume can be sown from the air with no seed bed preparation. In similar areas in Australia, it has increased animal production per ac 3 to 5 times. Townsville style is native to tropical South America and is found in those areas in the Rupununi that have been protected from annual burning.

INTERMEDIATE SAVANNA

22. This area covers 3,800 sq mi south of the coastal alluviums, 30 to 40 miles from the sea. Annual rainfall is 70 to 80 in during two wet seasons, December through January and May through July. The soils are infertile and consist of white and brown sands. They are freely drained and pasture growth is often seriously retarded during the dry seasons. The mature pastures are poor and cattle cannot be kept in good condition without management and mineral supplements.

- 23. The only cattle in the area are located at the Ebini research station (about 2,500 head) and at an adjacent private ranch (500 head). The Ebini station was founded in 1942 to determine whether beef could be produced in the area. Work has been concentrated on the establishment and management of sown pasture grass (mainly pangola) and study of a wide range of beef breeds. Although high levels of production have been obtained, results are of little practical value because of the large amounts and high cost of the fertilizer (mainly nitrogen) needed to maintain this type of pasture.
- 24. The area could probably be developed to produce beef economically as has been shown in similar areas in N. Queensland. Studies on the native pastures should be expanded and include (a) supplementary mineral and protein feeding, with molasses as a carrier; (b) tropical legume establishment and management and; (c) economic use of phosphate fertilizer, particularly with tropical legumes. This requires additional financing, particularly for stock handling facilities, scales, fencing, pasture seeds, fertilizer and overseas training of local technicians.

NORTHWEST ZONE

25. Only a few head of cattle are now in the Northwest but there is potential for establishing productive ranching in the extensive tracts of virgin forest. Excellent legume/grass pastures can undoubtedly be grown in the deep rich soils. First, however, information is needed on land clearing methods and costs, and the pastures best adapted to the region. The Government of Guyana has asked for finance to help study these problems.

GUYANA

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Beef Cattle Marketing

Consumption

- Despite annual population growth of 3% from 1965 to 1969, Guyana's beef demand increased at a yearly rate of only 1.3%. World demand, on the other hand, is estimated to have increased 6% annually from 1965 to 1967.1/Guyana per capita consumption fell from nearly 19 1b in 1966 to 16.3 1b in 1969. This was due partly to the availability or beef substitutes (particularly poultry), partly to the rising beef price.
- 2. In 1965, Guyana's local beef supply was about 9.2 million 1b from 29,000 cattle averaging 314 1b carcass weight. In 1969, the local supply was 10.3 million 1b from 36,000 cattle averaging 287 lb. The lower average weight was due to lighter, immature, cattle being slaughtered.
- 3. About 70% of Guyana's domestic beef supply comes from the coast, 25% from Rupununi (see Map). Imports of fresh, pickled, smoked and canned beef, mainly from Argentina and Australia, have declined from about 2.3 million 1b in 1965 to 1.2 million 1b in 1969, or from 20% to 10% of total beef consumption, as domestic production has risen (see Table). Local production is expected to decline temporarily, during the next few years, and Government plans to increase imports to keep overall supply more or less in line with demand at current prices.

Commercialization

- 4. Cattle from the coastal areas are bought on-farm or in village centers by cattle dealers, bargaining individually with owners and estimating weights visually. There is no system of auction. The average farm-gate price for 1969 was G\$0.50/1b (US\$0.25); this had risen temporarily in February 1970 because of the Rupununi ban (see para 7) to G\$0.56/1b (US\$0.28). Purchased cattle can be moved by boat, truck or train, or can walk, to Georgetown and New Amsterdam for slaughter. Costs vary between G\$0.06 and 0.23 per head per mile according to distance and quantity.
- 5. Cattle are slaughtered in Georgetown municipal abattoirs under moderately hygienic conditions. Countryside and village slaughter facilities, however, are poor. There are four abattoirs in Georgetown and its suburbs and one each at New Amsterdam, McKenzie and Bartica. They are operated on two or three days a week, well below capacity. None has

^{1/} Survey of the World Beef and Veal Economy. IBRD Economics Department February 1970, page 9.

facilities for the recovery and processing of blood, bone or other byproducts. The Georgetown municipal abattoir has cold storage which is
not used; there is ample private cold storage in Georgetown, owned by
four companies, Weting and Richer Co., Guyana Market Co., Williams and
Son Co. and Frederiks Ltds. Total capacity is 260,000 lb.

- Beef is retailed in supermarkets, municipal markets, and small shops. Eight supermarkets are estimated to absorb around 1.5 million lb beef per year; 4 million lb are sold through Goergetown municipal markets and small shops, and 6 million retailed in the rest of the country. Supermarkets are, therefore, still a minor category although demand for improved quality and prewrapped cuts is increasing. Stew beef has by far the greatest sales volume.
- Rupununi supplied 2.7 million 1b beef in 1969, or about 40% 7. In November 1969, however, this supply was of the Georgetown demand. temporatily cut off by a ban on Rupununi movement, due to an outbreak Rupununi ranchers sell their beef through of foot and mouth disease. Meat Marketing Ltd, a company owned by cattle producers (see para 8). They notify the company the number of cattle they have for sale the following month and these are usually delivered at the due time. no penalty for late delivery, but an explanation is required. cattle are slaughtered at the Government owned Lethem abattoir and the carcasses airfreighted the same day to Georgetown, where they are inspected The rancher receives his proceeds 10 days after and distributed. In 1969, MML paid G\$0.43 (US\$0.22) per 1b to Rupununi ranchers, delivery. G\$0.07 less than the price paid to coastal ranchers. MML paid G\$0.04 for slaughtering, handling and inspecting and G\$0.05 per 1b for air freight.

Meat Marketing Ltd (MML)

- 8. MML is a joint stock company limited to 50 members and registered under the Companies Ordinance in 1956. There are currently 22 members and, of the issued share capital of 536 G\$100 shares, Rupunumi Development Co Ltd owns 250, Kabawa ranch 80, and Government the minimum of 5 shares. On a capital of G\$60,000 MML is currently turning over G\$1 million annually and operating on a gross margin of 4.5%.
- 9. MML has consistently paid above average prices to producers, making a large initial payment and a half-yearly patronage bonus based on deliveries. It has declared regular dividends of 10% to 12%, after taxes, (18% to 22% grossed up at the standard rate). MML would be a suitable channel for marketing project output, at least for the initial stages, preferably if other producers could be admitted as shareholders in proportion to their output.

Prices

10. If the proposed project is implemented, local supply may equal demand in about 4-5 years. It should then be possible to consider experting surpluses (Table 1). By that time also Guyana should aim to have slaughtering and cold storage facilities adequate to meet the standards required by

CARIFTA countries, notably Trinidad, Barbados and Grenada, the principal potential market for Guyana beef exports.1/

11. Each year from 1960 to 1969, local beef retail prices increased 3.6%, the urban food price index increased 2.6%, and the national urban price index increased 2.8%. The indication is that beef prices are increasing faster than food prices by 1% and faster than the national urban index by 0.8% more or less following world beef price trends. This suggests that the use of current Guyanese beef prices in project estimates would be conservative; on the other hand expected production increases should restrain price rises after 1975. Average 1969 retail price in Guyana was G\$0.67 (US\$0.38) per 1b as compared with US\$0.56 per 1b in Central America for beef of probably higher average quality. Farmgate prices follow retail price trends (Table 1). Project estimates are based on 1969 farmgate prices; G\$0.50 for the coast and G\$0.43 for Rupunumi.

September 11, 1970

^{1/} Economic Intelligence Unit, April, 1969, pages 28-61.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Beef Consumption, Imports, Exports and Prices

	w/	30/5	70//	7.0/5	7.0/0	70/0		Projected	
	Unit1/	<u> 1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	1967	<u>1968</u>	1969	197 0	1975	1980
Cattle slaughtered	1000	29	32	31	32	36	34	41	50
Carcass weight	short tons	4,627	5,211	4,824	4,891	5,180	4,930	6 ,9 00	9,400
Slaughtered average weight	lb/head	314	325	311	305	287	290	300	320
Imports	short tons	1,167	1,008	1,062	770	644	945	-	~
Exports	1b '000	818	303	-	57	153	-	600	2,600
Domestic consumption	short tons	5 , 385	6,067	5,886	5 , 632	5,748	5,875	6,300	6,800
Human population2/	1000	652	672	689	696	706	716	766	816
Per capita consumption	lb	16.5	18.6	17.1	16.2	16.3	16.4	16.5	16.6
Retail price	G/cents/lb	55	63	61	66	67	69 <u>3</u> /	70	67
Farmgate price: (estimated)									
Coast	G/cents/lb	40	46	կկ	49	50	54	53	50
Rupununi	G/cents/lb	35	40	38	41	43	44	45	43

^{1/} Figures derived from 1965/69 Guyana Ministry of Agriculture and "Economist Intelligence Unit" information.
2/ 1965 Census; other estimated
3/ Supply shortage since November 1969, due to temporary movement ban in Rupununi.



<u>GUYANA</u>

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Types of Enterprise and Cooperative Group Ranches

A. General

1. Guyana law relating to legal personality and control over business organizations and enterprises derives generally from British law.

Partnerships

2. As in Britain, a partnership is the relationship that subsists between persons carrying on a business with a view to profit. This definition thus embraces all persons who are engaged in a business venture that has no other separate legal identity. The law relating to partnerships is codified in the Partnerships Ordinance (Cap 325). Partnerships may not exceed 20 persons and the code and its related case law are specific as to the rights and liabilities of members. In general, partners are jointly and severally liable for the total debts of the partnership. There is no provision in Guyana law for partnerships with limited liability.

Companies

A limited liability company is a corporate body, for the debts of which the members are responsible only to the extent of their shareholding or of the guarantee they have given. Guyana companies are formed under the Companies Ordinance, which closely follows the British Companies Act of 1908. A company way be either public, with a minimum membership of seven, or private, with a minimum of two members and a maximum of twenty. Private companies need not make certain statutory returns that apply to companies and need not publish accounts. All companies must be registered and each is subject to the Companies Ordinance and its own Memorandum and Articles of Association.

Cooperatives

- 4. A cooperative is a society that has as its object the promotion of the economic interests of its members in accordance with cooperative principals and that is formed in Guyana under the Cooperative Societies Ordinance (Cap 326) 1948. The main differences between a cooperative society and a company are:
 - (a) societies are registered with the Registrar of Cooperative Societies and submit their accounts to him;
 - (b) a society may require and bind its members to deal exclusively through it:

- (c) no member has more than one vote or may hold more than onefifth of the share capital;
- (d) the payment of dividends, though not necessarily the distribution of cash surplus, is limited; and
- (e) societies may be (and are) exempted from the payment of income tax.

A cooperative may be registered with or without limited liability, although in the former case, it may, through its rules, extend the liability of its members beyond the amount of their shareholding.

Statutory Boards

5. A statutory board or corporation may be formed as a corporate business enterprise in Guyana, e.g., the Guyana Marketing Corporation. Each needs special legislation. They are generally set up to satisfy needs that, because of the risks or capital involved, cannot be fulfilled by the normal form of business enterprise, and they are subject only to the provisions contained in the legislation that incorporates them.

B. Cooperative Group Ranches

- 6. The usual form of primary agricultural cooperative society in Guyana is a village or community group, formed to obtain credit for goods and services or to lease a block of land that is then sublet to members. The Cooperative Ordinance and Regulations, and the standard form of cooperative rules are tailored to this form of enterprise. In these societies, business transactions are usually straightforward and they are managed by the elected officers and committee. Where cooperatives are few, and simple in operation, the Government cooperative staff have been able to cope with extension work, However, owing to the increase in the number of cooperative enterprises, which is a stated aim of the new Cooperative Republic of Guyana, field workers are now over-extended and a backlog of audit and supervision work is building up, For example, in the Upper Corantyne District, where a number of the proposed livestock cooperatives are to be located, a Cooperative Officer who has no staff and who is untrained in even simple bookkeeping methods is responsible for the supervision of 82 registered cooperative societies of all types; he is unable to offer the type of assistance and supervision provided for in the Cooperative Ordinance.
- 7. The object of the proposed group ranches is to assemble privatelyowned cattle into ranch units and to run them as a commercial herd under
 competent management, supervised by project staff (Livestock Project Division
 of Bank of Guyana). Group ranches must be recognizable commercial entities
 and may be partnerships, companies or cooperatives. Neither the traditional
 form of the cooperative rules nor the current Guyana legislation is entirely
 suitable for cooperative group ranches and only limited assistance can be

expected from the Cooperative Department in their formation and supervision. Furthermore, farmers have seen a number of agricultural cooperatives fail, mainly because of inadequate management, and they are wary of pooling their cattle in an untried and unproven venture.

- 8. The problems that cooperatives pose for the project are thus both managerial and social and in order to cope with them the project must provide its own cooperative advisory service. This would be distinct from, but complementary to, project technical assistance and supervision.
- 9. A deputy project director (administrative) (DPDA) with wide cooperative experience would be employed on the project staff to help cattle owners form their group ranches and to supervise their business activities, which are defined as all matters such as financing, audit and marketing not directly related to animal production. Ranch managers would not handle these administrative matters but would concentrate on technical production, supported by the economic and financial data the (DPDA) would help to provide. The rules of the group ranch cooperatives and service contracts should provide that:
 - (a) ranch managers are not to be employed as cooperative society secretary/managers;
 - (b) arrangements are made for ranch operating expenses to be run on an imprest account controlled by the ranch manager, who would have responsibility for drawing up the operating budget, all recurrent expenditure, keeping of ranch records and accounts and employment of staff; and
 - (c) all other financial matters, such as the purchase of capital items (as agreed upon in preparation of farm plans), and marketing should remain the responsibility of each cooperative's management committee, assisted by the DPDA
- 10. To give the farmers incentive to join a cooperative and in order to bind them to it once they have joined, the rules should also provide that:
 - (a) cattle that members transfer to the cooperative should be evaluated in the manner prescribed in the rules, and shares of equivalent value issued for them;
 - (b) such shares would be transferable but not withdrawable for at least 3 years; and
 - (c) operating surpluses may be distributed as a patronage bonus.
- 11. These arrangements may be made under the Cooperative Ordinance although existing livestock cooperatives wishing to participate may need to change their rules. The bonus distribution referred to would require official interpretation under the Ordinance by the Chief Cooperative Officer, and rules to meet the above requirements would need Cabinet approval for certain exemptions, as provided under the Ordinance.

12. IDA would need to be satisfied that audit and supervision arrangements were acceptable. It would also require that rules for the cooperative ranches were acceptable and that any future changes in cooperative law would not affect their commercial viability.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Coastal and LDCo Coastal Ranches - On-Ranch Investment (Guyanese Dollars)

Coastal Ranches (7,500 ac)	Unit Cost	$\frac{ ext{Ye}}{ ext{Units}}$	ar 1 Cost	Ye Units	ar 2 Cost	$\frac{\texttt{Ye}}{\texttt{Units}}$	ar 3 Cost	Total <u>Units</u>	Total Cost
Fencing Stock Handling (inc mounds)	G\$ 750 mi	18	13,500 6,500	9	6,750 2,750	8	6,000 1,750	35	26,250 11,000
Water Minor Drainage Buildings 1/	G\$1,500 G\$1/cu yd	2	3,000 18,000	2,000	3,000 2,000 4,000	2,000	1,500 2,000 1,500	5 4,000	7,500 4,000 23,500
Machinery 2/ Pasture Establishment 3/ Miscellaneous 4/ Sub-total Livestock 5/ Sub-total	G\$50/ac	150 ac	19,100 7,500 2,100 69,700 15,200 84,900	300 ac	4,200 15,000 1,800 39,500 11,500 51,000	300 ac	15,000 2,200 29,950 8,600 38,550	750 ac	23,300 37,500 6,100 139,150 35,300 174,450
Total (with contingency and rounding)			93,400		56,100		42,400		191,900
LDCo Coastal Ranch (20,000 ac)									
Fencing Stock Handling	G \$ 750/mi	40	30,000 11,500	20	15,000 8,250	15	11,250 3,250	75	56,250 23,000
Water Minor Drainage Buildings 1/ Machinery 2/	G\$1,500 G\$1/eu yd	4	6,000 24,000 42,600	5,000	6,000 5,000 8,000 3,600	5 ,000	6,000 5,000 1,500	12 10,000	18,000 10,000 33,500 46,200
Pasture Establishment 3/ Miscellaneous 4/ Sub-total Livestock 7/ Sub-total	G\$50/ac	600 ac	34,500 <u>6/</u> 5,900 154,500 510,700 665,200	700 ac	35,000 1,600 82,450 196,400 278,850	700 ac	35,000 600 62,600 190,600 253,200	2,000 ac	104,500 8,100 299,550 897,700 1,197,250
Total (with contingency and rounding)			731,700		306,800		278,500		1,317,000

Notes:

Ranch house G\$8,000 (LDCoG\$15,000); bunk house G\$6,000; barns, sheds, outstations.

Tractor and implements C\$12,000; 4-wheel drive vehicle G\$7,500; pumps, engines, generator, outboard canoe. Clearing/burning G\$30/ac; seeding G\$20/ac; plus 100 ac seed production G\$4,500.

Tools, veterinary equipment, saddlery, radio/telephone.

Additional bulls first 3 years plus horses, semen. Bulls G\$370, horses G\$300. Semen G\$7 ampule standard. Includes 100 ac land preparation/seeding @ G\$45/ac.

Additional bulls and heifers commercial herd, first 3 years; imported bulls, cows and heifers, stud herd; plus horses and semen. Herd bulls G\$300, heifers G\$100, stud bulls G\$4,000, stud cows G\$2,000, stud heifers G\$1,500; horses G\$300, semen G\$20, ampule stud G\$7 ampule standard.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Coastal Ranches (10,000 ac - 7,500 ac developed) Herd Projection

	Opening					End of	Ranch Year				
	Herd	1	2	3	4	5	6		8	9	10-20
Herd Composition											
Breeding Cows Bulls Calves Weaned Heifers - 12-24 months Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 12-24 months Steers - 24-36 months Steers - 24-36 months Steers - 34-48 months	1,400 56 (420) 210 195 610 1/ 100 1/	1,419 71 (490) 210 197 210 452	1,454 73 (710) 245 200 245 200 194	1,505 75 (872) 355 235 355 235 235	1,573 79 (979) 436 344 436 344 56	1,716 69 (1,022) 490 423 489 423	1,760 70 (1,116) 511 475 511 474	1,760 70 (1,144) 558 496 558 496	1,760 70 (1,144) 572 541 572 541	1,760 70 (1,144) 572 555 572 555	1,760 70 (1,144) 572 555 572 555
Total AU Total Animals	2,571 2,991	2,559 3,049	2,611 3,321	2,856 3,728	3,268 4,247	3,610 4,632	3,801 4,917	3,938 5,082	4,056 5,200	4,084 5,228	4,08 4 5,228
Mortality											
Breeding Cows Bulls Heifers - 12-24 months Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 12-24 months Steers - 24-36 months Steers - 24-36 months	98 4 15 14 15 7	84 3 13 12 37 6	71 14 10 10 10 23	58 3 10 8 10 8	45 2 11 7 11 7 3	47 2 13 10 13 10 2	51 2 15 13 15 13	53 2 15 14 15 14 	53 2 17 15 17 15	53 2 17 16 17 16	53 2 17 17 17 17
Total	153	155	128	105	86	97	109	113	119	121	123
Sales										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Surplus Heifers - 24-36 months Fat Steers 2/	70 6 29 - 195 3 /	70 8 10 - 215	71 11 10 - 235	73 4 10 - 282	105 4 12 - 263	110 8 3 ¹ 4 388	206 8 42 67 410	211 8 48 149 460	211 8 56 161 481	211 8 56 205 525	211 8 56 218 538
Total Sales	300	303	327	369	384	540	733	876	917	1,005	1,031
Purchases					-,						
Bulls		26	17	9	10		11	10	10	10	10
Production Coefficients											
Effective Calving Mortality - Adult 4/ \$ Bulls/Cows Ratio \$ Culling Rate - Cows \$ - Bulls \$ - Heifers \$ Stocking Rate 5/ Ac/AU Extraction Rate 6/ \$	30 7 4 5 10 15 2,9 12.0	35 6 5 5 15 5 2.9 5.2	50 5 5 15 2,9 9,4	60 5 5 5,5 2.8 2.8	65 3 5 7 5 5 2.3 9.0	65 3 4 7 10 10 2.1 11.7	65 3 4 12 12 10 2.0 14.9	65 3 4 12 12 10 1.9	65 3 4 12 12 10 1.8	65 3 4 12 12 10 1.8 19.7	. 65 3 4 12 12 10 1.8 19.7

^{1/ 500} immature steers extra collected in exchange for shares for sale in years 1 & 2 but not included in calculations of sales in predevelopment year.

2/ Steers fat at 900 lb liveweight.

3/ Steers 24-36 months sold immature in years 0, 1 and 2 at 750-800 lb liveweight.

4/ Applied to categories and rounded.

5/ Stocking rate calculated on basis of 7,500 ac although allowance made for some grazing of 2,500 ac undeveloped.

5/ Sales as percentage of total herd excluding extra steers years 0, 1 and 2.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Coastal Ranches (10,000 ac - 7,500 ac developed)

Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue (Guyanese dollars)

	Unit	Value	End of Ranch Year										
	Years 0-6		Ó	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Sales		7-20											
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Surplus Heifers - 24-36 months Fat Steers Total	110 250 125 140 200	145 315 160 180 250	7,4001/ 1,500 2,9002/ 33,1503/ 44,950	7,700 2,000 1,250 36,550 <u>3</u> /	7,810 2,750 1,250 39,950 ³ / 51,760	8,030 1,000 1,250 - 56,400 66,680	11,550 1,000 1,500 - 52,600 66,650	12,100 2,000 4,250 - 77,600 95,950	22,660 2,000 5,250 9,380 82,000	30,600 2,520 7,68 0 22,350 115,000	30,600 2,520 8,960 24,150 120,250	30,600 2,520 8,960 30,750 131,250 210,230	30,600 2,520 8,960 32,700 134,500 215,820
Toval			44,750	47,500	51,700	00,000	00,090	70,700	121,270	102,020	191,510	210,230	21),020
Purchases													•
Bulls	370	465	-	9,620	6,290	3,330							
Expenses													
Fixed Variable G\$2/head Bull purchase			15,200 4,98 0 4/	22,100 6,080 Included in	22,900 6,640 Investment	25,300 7,460	35,200 8,490 3,700	35,900 9,260	42,000 9,830 4,070	42,500 10,160 4,700	42,500 10,400 4,700	42,500 10,460 4,700	42,500 10,460 4 ,7 00
Total			20,180	28,180	29,540	32,760	47,390	45,160	55,900	57,360	57,600	57,660	57,660
Net Revenue			24,770	19,320	22,220	33,920	19,260	50,790	65, 390	125,260	133,710	152,570	158,160 ANNEX

^{1/} Cull cows G\$105
2/ Cull heifers G\$100
3/ Immature steers G\$170 Years 0, 1 and 2
1/ Based on herd without extra steers for early sale

GUYANA

Coastal Ranches (10,000 ac with 7,500 ac developed) Financial Projection (Chyanese dollars)

(Guyanese dollars) Without														
	Without Development		2	3			6	7	n Year	9	10	11	12	13-20
	Developmen	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		4									
SOURCE AND USE OF FUNDS SOURCE Net income (before														
debt service) 1/ Short term loan	24,800	19,300	22,200	33,900	19,300	50,800	65,400	125,300	133,700	152,600	158,200	155,200	158,200	158,200
(or equity) 2/ Long term loan	-	17,300 74,700	17,400 44,900	8,100 33,900	11,400									
TOTAL SOURCE	24,800	111,300	84,500	75,900	30,700									
use														
Ranch contribution	-	18,700	11,200	8,500										
Investment of loan fu	mds -	74,700	կկ,900	33,900										
Total Investment Working Capital	-	93,400	56,100	142 ,140 0										
addition	_	14,400	400	1,600	7,300	(2,100)	6,400	700	100					
Debt service		14,400	400	,,,,,,	1,500	(2,102)	-,4							
Short term interes	it													
(8 ¹ 4)	-	-	1,500	1,500	700	1,000								
Short term loan repayment	_	_	17,300	17,400	8,100	11,400								
Long term interest	;		11,500	.,,400	•,	,4								
(94s) <u>3</u> /	-	3,500	9,200	13,000	14,600)	•		•		,				
Long term loan					}	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300	28,300
rep ayme nt Total Debt)					•		
Service CASH BALANCE (after	-	3,500	28,000	31,900	23,400	40,700								
debt service)	24,800	_	-	-	_	12,200	30,700	96,300	105,300	12կ.300	129,900	129,900	129,900	158,200
TOTAL USE	24,800	111,300	84,500	75,900	30,700	50,800	65,400	125,300	133,700	152,600	158,200	158,200	158,200	158,200
Working capital h	-	14,400	14,800	16,400	2 3,70 0	2 1 ,6 00	28 ,0 00	28,700	28,800	28,800	28,800	28,800	28,800	28,800
G\$ 10,000)	370,000	ь 6 0,000	470,000	5 0 0,000	56 0,000	610,000	640,000	790,000	810,000	820,000	820,000	820,000	820,000	820,000
INCREMENTAL NET CASH FLOW														
Nat income (before														
debt service)	24,800	19,300	22,200	33,900	19,300	50,800	65,400	125,300	133,700	152,600	158,200	158,200	158,200	158,200
Net income (without	01 000	01 800	a) 000	01 800	ol 900	0) 000	4) 900	a) 900	01. 900	01 000	a) 800	al. Roo	01. 900	24,800
development) Incremental net	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,800	24,000
income	-	(5,500)	(2,600)	9,100	(5,500)	26,000	40,600	100,500	108,900	127,800	133,400	133,400	133,400	133,400
Incremental working				•		•		•	**			-		
capital	-	(14,400) ₅ (168,400) ⁵	(400)	(1,600)	(7,300)	2,100	(6,400)	(700)	(100)			remental her		14 8,900 28,800
Investment NET CASH FLOW		(168,400)2/	(56,100) (59,100)	(42,400)	(12,800)	28,100	34,200	99,800	108,800	į.	Inc	remental wor	king capital	611,100
NEI ORDE FLOW	-	(100,000)	(27,100)	(34,500)	(12,000)	001 و 20)4,200	77,000	,00,000					(Year 20)
														\/

Estimated Financial Rate of Return 20%

NOTES:
1/ Total sales, less operating expenses, to nearest hundred, excluding depreciation, including replacement.
2/ Balance of funds required annually; to be provided from short term borrowing (assumed in table) or ranch owners' equity contribution.
3/ On average loan drawn.
1/ 50% of expenses; financed by short term borrowing or ranch owners' equity contribution.
2/ Includes G\$ 75,000 value of additional 500 immature steers contributed @ G\$150.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT Rupununi Commercial, Amerindian and LDCo Ranches - On-Ranch Investment (Guyanese Døllars)

Commercial and Amerindian Ranches (64,000 ac)	Unit Cost	$\frac{ ext{Ye}}{ ext{Units}}$	Cost	$\frac{ ext{Ye}}{ ext{Units}}$	ar 2 Cost	$rac{{ m Ye}}{{ m Units}}$	Cost	Total <u>Units</u>	Total Cost
Fencing Stock Handling 1/ Water 2/ Tractor and Implements Engine, Pumps, etc. Pasture Improvement Miscellaneous 3/ Sub-total Livestock 4/ Sub-total	850/mi 2,600 8,000 2,400 12/ac	20 2 1 1 2	17,000 3,000 5,200 8,000 1,200 - 1,600 36,000 7,900 43,900	15 2 200	12,750 1,500 5,200 - 2,400 1,300 23,150 4,600 27,750	5 1/2	4,250 1,500 - 1,200 - 300 7,250 5,800 13,050	40 3 4 1 200	34,000 6,000 10,400 8,000 2,400 2,400 3,200 66,400 18,300 84,700
Total (with contingency and rounding)			48,300		30,500		14,400		93,200
LDCo Ranch (200,000 ac)									
Fencing Stock Handling Water 2/ Tractor and Implements Vehicles Engine, pumps, etc. Pasture Improvement Miscellaneous 3/ Sub-total Livestock 4/ Sub-total	850/mi 2,600 10,000 8,000 2,400 12/ac	51 4 2 1	43,500 6,000 10,400 20,000 - 2,400 - 3,500 85,800 45,700 131,500	25 3 2 1 250	21,250 6,000 7,800 16,000 2,400 3,000 - 56,450 32,300 88,750	30 3 250	25,500 6,000 7,800 - - 3,000 1,500 43,800 37,000 80,800	106 10 2 2 2 500	90,250 18,000 26,000 20,000 16,000 4,800 6,000 5,000 186,050 115,000 301,050
Total (with contingency and rounding)			144,700		97,600		88,900		331,200

Corral crushes G\$3,000; handling yards G\$1,500.
Well G\$1,800; tank and trough G\$800.
Tools, veterinary equipment, saddlery.
Additional breeding stock (bulls and heifers) first 3 years; plus horses and semen.
Heifers G\$95, horses G\$300, semen G\$7 ampule standard.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT Rupununi Commercial and Amerindian Ranches (64,000 ac) - Herd Projection

	Without	End of Ranch Year									
	Development		22	3	4	5	- 6		8	9	10-20
Herd Composition											
Breeding Cows Bulls (5%) Calves Weaned Heifers - 12-21 months Heifers - 21-36 months Steers - 12-21 months Steers - 21-36 months Steers - 36-48 months Steers - 18+ months Cull Cows	ц90 25 (186) 93 87 93 87 81 56	514 26 (220) 93 127 93 87 82 57	577 30 (257) 110 118 110 88 83 41	614 31 (316) 129 134 128 104 84 19	668 33 (368) 158 124 158 123 100	695 35 (434) 184 153 189 	720 36 (452) 217 1 78 217 178 74	720 36 (168) 226 210 226 210 86	720 36 (468) 234 219 234 219 103	720 36 (h68) 234 227 234 227 104	720 36 (468) 234 227 234 227 110
Total AU Purchased Steers	1,012	1,104 100	1,183 100	1,263	1,374 100	1,494 100	1,620 100	1,71 <u>1</u> 100	1,765 50	1,782	1,788
Total AU Total Animals	1,012 1,198	1,204 1,424	1,283 1,540	1,363 1,679	1,474 1,842	1,594 2,028	1,720 2,172	1,814 2,282	1,815 2,283	1,782 2,250	1,788 2,256
Mortality											
Breeding Cows Bulls Heifers - 12-2h months Heifers - 2h-36 months Steers - 12-2h months Steers - 24-36 months Steers - 36-48 months Steers - 36-48 months Cull Cows Purchased Steers Total	314 2 6 6 6 6 6 3	29 2 6 5 6 5 5 3 -	26 1 5 6 5 4 4 3 1 5 6	29 2 6 6 6 4 1 2 1 5	25 1 55 4 3 1 1 1 54	20 1 5 4 5 4 5 4 7 -	21 1 6 5 6 5 7 - - 3	22 1 7 5 7 5 2 - 3 52	22 1 7 6 7 6 3 - - 3	22 1 7 6 7 6 3 3	22 1 7 7 7 7 7 3
Sales											
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Surplus Heifers - 24-36 months Fat Steers Purchased Steers Total	25 1 1 - 72	72	214 1 6 - 91 95 217	45 2 6 - 99 95 247	52 2 7 - 99 96 256	77 3 6 - 126 97 309	70 4 8 24 161 97 364	72 4 9 7 0 159 97 411	72 11 99 184 97 467	72 11 108 209 18 152	72 11 115 211
Purchases			•								
Bulls Heffers - 24-36 months Steers Total	-	եր 100 144	6 30 100 136	5 30 100 135	5 100 105	6 100 106	6 100 106	100 105	50 55	5 5	5
Production Coefficients											
Effective Calving Mortality - Adult 2/ Culling Rate - Cows - Bulls - Heifers Stocking Rate Extraction Rate2/	# 38 # 7 # 5 # 5 # 5 ao/AU 63 # 10.0	45 6 5 10 5 53 6.9	50 55 55 50 10.3	55 5 7 7 7 5 47 12.0	60 14 7 7 7 5 143 11.6	65 3 10 10 5 40 14-2	65 3 10 10 5 37 16.5	65 3 10 10 5 35 18.3	65 3 10 10 5 35 21.0	65 3 10 10 5 36 22.7	65 3 10 10 5 36 23.1

₩€+5,8500

^{1/} Steers fat at 900 lb
2/ Applied to categories and rounded
3/ Sales as percentage of total herd, excluding purchased steers

GUYANA

Rupununi Commercial & Amerindian Ranches (64,000 ac)

Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue (Guyanese Dollars)

	Unit Value	Without Develop-					Re	Ranch Year							
	<u>Years</u> 0-6 7-2	ment 0	1	2	3	14	5	6	7	8	9	10	11-20		
Sales Cull Cows	110 130			2,640	4,950	5,720	8,470	7,700	9,360	9,360	9,360	9,360	9,360		
Cull Bulls Cull Heifers Surplus Heifers	225 280 100 120 130 160	230 400	230 400 -	230 6 00 -	450 600 -	450 700 -	680 600 	900 800 3,120	1,120 1,080 11,200	1,1 2 0 1,320 15,840	1,120 1,320 17,280	1,120 1,320 1 8, 400	1,120 1,320 18,400		
Fat Steers Sub Total Purchased Steers	195 245 170 200	16,780	13,650 14,280	17,750 21,220 16,150	19,310 25,310 16,150	19,310 26,180 16,320	24,570 34,320 16,490	31,400 43,920 16,490	39,000 61,710 19,400	45,080 72,720 19,400	51,210 8 0,290 9,600	51,700 81,900 9,600	51,700 81,900 9,600 91,500		
Total		16,780	14,280	37,370	41,460	42,500	50,810	60,410	81,110	92,120	89,890	91,500	91,500		
Purchases Bulls Heifers 24-36 months Sub Total	290 350 <u>9</u> 5	<u>-</u>	1,160 3,800 4,960	1,740 2,850 4,590	1,450 2,850 4,300	1,450	1,740	1,740	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750		
Steers Total	130 150		13,000 17,960	13,000 17,590	13,000	13,000 14,450	13,000 14,740	13,000 14,740	15,000 16,750	7,500 9,250	7,500 9,250	7,500 9,250	7,500 9,250		
Expenses Fixed Breeding stock Steer Purchase 2/ Variable 3/		6,900 - 3,000	13,000 3,560	7,600 ded in Inve 13,000 3,850	13,000 4,200	13,700 1,450 13,000 4,605	14,900 1,740 13,000 5,070	15,000 1,740 13,000 5,430	15,300 1,750 15,000 5,710	15,300 1,750 7,500 5,710	15,300 1,750 7,500 5,750	15,300 1,750 7,500 5,770	15,300 1,750 7,500 5,770		
Total Net Revenue		9,900 6,880	23,960 (9,680)	24,450 12,920	26,000 15,460	32,755 9,745	34,710 16,100	35,170 25,240	37,7 6 5 43,3 55	30,260 . 61,860	30,300° 59,590	30,320 61,180	30,320 61,180		

August 25, 1970.

^{1/} Cull cows before development G\$ 100/head. 2/ Financed from Steer Purchase Fund. 3/ Supplements G\$ 1.50; vaccines and veterinary G\$ 1.00/head/year.

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Rupununi Commercial & Amerindian Ranches (64,000 ac) - Financial Projection (Guyanese dollars)

	Without Ranch Year													
Ī	evelopment	1	2	3	4	5	66	7	8	9	10	11	12	13-20
SOURCE AND USE OF FUNDS														
SOURCE														
Net income (before debt service) 1/	6,900	(9,700)	12,900	15,500 7,400	9,800 10,200	16,100 12,300	25,200 4,700	43.400	61,900	59,600	61,200	61,200	61,200	61,200
Short term loan (or equity)2/Ranch contribution	-	17,700 9,700	12,900 6,100	2,900	-	-	4,100							
Long term loan TOTAL SOURCE	6,900	38,600 56,300	24,000 56,300	11,500 37,300	20,000	28,400	29,900							
	0,,00	50,500	,o,,oo	31,500	,	20,400	-/,/							
USE														
Ranch contribution Investment of loan funds	-	9,700 38,600	6,100 24,400	2,900 11,500										
Total Investment		48,300	30,500	14,400										
Working capital addition (permanent	;) –	5,500	200	800	3,400	1,000	200	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt service Short term interest (814)3/														
(inc. bridging finance)	_	_	1,800	1,400	900	1,100	1,300	700	600					
Short term repayment	-	-	17,700	12,900	7,400	10,200,	12,300	4,700	7,300					
Long term interest (94)	-	2,500	6,100	7,800	8,300}	16,1002/	16,100	16,100	16,100	16,100	16,100	16,100	16,100	-
Long term loan repayment Total Debt Service		2,500	25,600	22,100	16,600	27,400	29,700	21,500	24,000	1				
CASH BALANCE (after debt service)	6,900	-		-	_			21,600	45,400	43,500	45,100	45,100	45,100	45,100
TOTAL USE	6,900	56,300	56,300	37,300	20,000	28,400	29,900	43,400	61,900	59,600	61,200	61,200	61,200	61,200
Working Capital - Permanent / - Steer Purchase	-	5,500	5,700	6,500	9,900	10,900	11,100	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400	11,400
(3 months)	-	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	15,000	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Herd Value (nearest G\$10,000)	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	210,000	270,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000	280,000
INCREMENTAL NET CASH FLOW														
Net income (before debt service)	6,900	(9,700)	12,900	15,500	9,800	16,100	25,200	43,400	61,900	59,600	61,200	61,200	61,200	61,200
Net income (without development)	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900 54,300	6,900 54,300
Incremental net income Incremental working capital ${\cal I}'$	-	(16,600) (8,700)	6,000 (300)	8,600 (800)	2,900 (3,400)	9,200 (1,000)	18,300 (200)	36,500 (800)	55,000 1,900	52,700 -	54,300 Increment	54,300 tal Working		13,300
Investment		(48,300)	(30,500)	(14,400)	-	_		_	-	-	Increment	tal Herd Val	ue	147,000
NET CASH FLOW	_	(73,600)	(24,800)	(6,600)	(500)	8,200	18,100	35,700	56,900	52,700	54,300	54,300	54,300	214,600 (Year 20)

Estimated Financial Rate of Return: 21%

Total sales, less operating expenses, to nearest hundred, excluding depreciation, including replacements.

Balance of funds required annually if no cash drawings made (all profits reinvested); to be provided from short term borrowing (assumed in table) or ranch owners.

equity contribution; excluding bridging finance for steer purchase. Including interest on 3 month steer purchase bridging finance.

On cumulative average loan drawn.
Subject to renegotiation if balancing finance (short term loan or equity) not available.
50% of annual expenses, excluding steer purchase (see Annex 11).
Permanent working capital plus 25% of steer purchase cost, for rate of return purposes.

GUYANA

LDCo Coastal Ranch (20,000 ac) - Commercial Herd Projection

(See Annex 13 Page 2 for Stud Herd Projection - Same Ranch)

		*******			En	i of Banch 1	Year				
	Opening	Herd1/ 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10-20
Herd Composition											
Breeding Cows Bulls Calves Weaned Heifers - 12-24 months Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 12-24 months Steers - 24-36 months Steers - 36-48 months	1,300 65 (390 700 300 700 0	91 (520) 195 958 195 658	2,904 145 (912) 260 485 260 185 625	3,485 174 (1,742) 456 550 456 250	3,643 182 (2,140) 871 412 871 442 121	3,664 146 (2,550) 1,220 845 1,220 845	3,800 152 (2,565) 1,275 1,183 1,275 1,183	3,800 152 (2,660) 1,282 1,237 1,283 1,237	3,800 152 (2,660) 1,330 1,244 1,330 1,245	3,800 152 (2,660) 1,330 1,290 1,330 1,290	3,800 152 (2,660) 1,330 1,290 1,330 1,290
Sub-Total AU Purchased Steers	3,065	3,921 500	4,864 500	5,549 500	6,572 500	7,940 500	8,868 332	8,991 209	9,101 99	9,192 8	9,192 8
Total AU Total Animals	3,069 3,455	4,941 4,941	5,364 6,276	6,049 7,791	7,072 9,512	8,440 10,990	9,200 11,765	9,200 11,860	9,200 11,860	9,200 11,860	9,200 11,860
Mortality											
Breeding Cows Bulls Heifers - 12-2h months Heifers - 2h-36 months Steers - 12-2h months Steers - 12-2h months Steers - 2h-36 months Steers - 36-h3 months Purchased Steers	-	78 4 12 18 42 -	91 4 10 48 10 33	116 6 10 19 10 7 25 20	104 5 14 16 14 8 5 75	109 5 26 13 26 13 4	110 4 37 25 37 25 - 15	114 4 38 35 38 35 -	114 38 37 38 37 -6	114 40 37 40 37 -	114 40 39 40 39 -
Total		184	221	213	1 81	211	253	274	274	275	276
Sales											
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Surplus Heifers - 24-36 months Fat Steers 2/ Purchased Steers		65 3 15 -	91 48 - - 475	145 7 24 600 480	244 17 28 - 294 485	255 31 14 - 546 485	366 22 84 124 820 485	570 23 118 346 1,148 322	570 23 124 392 1,200 203	570 23 124 399 1,208	570 23 129 138 1,251
Total		83	618	1,256	1,068	1,361	1,901	2,527	2,512	2,420	2,419
Purchases											
Rulls Heifers - 24-36 months Breeding Cows Steers - 12-24 months		33 300 400 500	63 300 400 500	42 300 400 500	30 - 500	- - 500	32 - 332	27 - - 209	27 - - 99	27 - 8	27 - - 8
Total	····	1,233	1,263	1,242	530	500_	364	236	126	35	35
Production Coefficients											
Effective Calving Mortality - Adult Bull/Cows Culling Rate - Cows - Bulls - Heifers - 24-36 months	% % % % %	36222	50 55 55 55	· 60 # 55555	70 3 5 7 10 5	70 3 4 7 15	70 3 4 10 15	70 3 4 15 15	70 3 4 15 15	70 3 4 15 15	70 3 4 15 15
Stocking Rate 4/ Extraction Rate 4/	ac/AU %	4.3 1.9	3.5 2.5	3.1 6.5	2.7 6.5	2.2 8.4	2.0 12.4	2.0 18.9	2.0 19.6	2.0 19.6	2.0

^{1/} Opening herd represents cattle from Government farm and immature steers and heifers purchased on the market.
2/ Steer fat at 900 lb liveweight,
3/ Calculated on total for commercial and stud herd; stocking to capacity.
5/ Sales as percentage of total herd excluding purchased steers.

GUYANA

LDCo Coastal Ranch (20,000 ac) Stud Herd Projection

(See Annex 13 Page 1 for Commercial Herd Projection - Same Ranch)

	Opening <u>l</u> /						End of Ranc	h Year					
	Herd	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12-20
Herd Composition													
Stud Cows Bulls 2/ Calves Weaned Heifers - 12-24 months Heifers - 24-36 months Bulls - 12-24 months Steers - 12-24 months Steers - 12-25 months	26 2 (20) 10 - 10	135 4 (23) 40 10 10	1 µ , 5 (119) 42 39 11 -	182 6 (127) 90 41 59	219 6 (160) 64 89 63	279 9 (193) 80 63 80 -	308 10 (246) 97 79 93 3	348 11 (271) 123 96 118 5	350 11 (306) 136 122 130 5	350 11 (308) 153 134 148 5	350 11 (308) 154 151 149 5	350 11 (308) 154 152 149 5	350 11 (308) 154 152 149 5
Total AU Total Animals	48 68	199 222	241 360	378 505	կկ1 601	511 704	590 836	704 975	759 1,065	806 1,114	825 1,133	826 1,134	826 1,134
Mortality	<u> </u>												
Stud Cows Bulls Heifers - 12-2h months Heifers - 2h-36 months Bulls - 12-2h months Steers - 12-2h months Steers - 22-36 months	- - - - -	1 - 1	1 1 -	1	2 1 1 - -	2 1 1 -	3 1 1	3 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	4 - 2 1 1	4 - 2 1 1	4 2 1 1	4 - 2 1 1 -
Total	-	2	2	2	4	14	5	6	7	8	8	8	8
<u>Sales</u>													
Heifers - 24-36 months Bulls - 12-24 months Steers - 24-36 months Cull Bulls Cull Cows Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Cull Hulls - 12-24 months	: : :	9	10	11	56 - - 2 2	56 - 1 22 4 3	74 1 27 3	85 - 1 31 4 5	49 110 3 1 35 5	76 122 5 1 35 6 6	87 139 5 1 35 7	103 140 5 1 35 8 7	104 140 5 1 35 8
Total	-	9	10	11.	60	86	109	126	209	251	281	299	300
Import Purchases							**********						
Bulls Cows Heifers - 12-24 months	<u>:</u>	2 110 30	1 - 30	1 - 30	- - -	<u>-</u> -	-	-	=======================================	-	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>
Total	-	142	31	31	-	-	-	=	-	-	-	- ·	-
Production Coefficients 3/											·		
Effective Calving Mortality - Adult \(\frac{1}{2}\)/ Bull/Cow Ratio Culling Rate - Cows - Bulls (Adult) - Bulls (12-2\)\(\frac{1}{2}\) - Heifers - 2\(\frac{1}{2}\)-36 months Extraction Rate		88 4 3 - - 3 4.1	88 1 3 - - - 3 2.8	88 1 3 - - 3 2.2	88 1 3 - 10 - 3 10.0	88 1 3 10 10 5 5	88 1 3 10 10 5 5	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 12.9	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 19.6	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 22.5	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 24.8	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 26.4	88 1 3 10 10 5 5 26.5

^{1/} Opening herd existing Government Brahman stud herd.
2/ Bull ratio to next highest whole number.
3/ Stocking rate calculated in conjunction with commercial herd on same ranch.
1/ Total mortality; individual mortalities adjusted to whole numbers.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

LDCo Rupununi Ranch (200,000 ac) - Herd Projection

	_	<u></u>						ear				
!	Opening Herd 1/	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11-20
Herd Composition												
Breeding cows	1,500	1,624	1,874	2,121	2,248	2,318 116	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
Bulls	30 (530)	81 (600)	94 (812)	106 (1,218)	(1.270)	116 (1,574)	125	125 (1,750)	125 (1,750)	125 (1,750)	125 (1,750)	125 1,750
Jalves Weaned Heifers - 12-24 months	2 65	265	300	106	(1,379) 609	689	(1,623) 787	811	875	875	875	875
Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 12-24 months	248 265	402 265	300 705	438 406	394 609	591 690	668 787	763 812	787 875	849 875	849 875	849 875
Steers - 24-36 months	248	252	252	288	394	591	669	763	788	849	849	849
Steers - 36-48 months Steers - 48 months plus	230 214	236 218	239 112	242 57	279	382	573	649	740 -	764	824	82h
Steers - 40 MODAL PIG	-	75	81	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-Total AU	3,000	3,418	3,654	4,111	4,645	5,377	6,109	6,423	6,690	6,837	6,897	6,897
Purchased Steers	-	350	350	350	500	500	1,00	200	•	-	-	-
Total AU Total Animals	3,000 3,530	3, 768 4,368	4,004 4,816	4,461 5,679	5, 145 6, 524	5,877 7,451	6,509 8,132	6,623 8,373	6,690 8,440	6,837 8,587	6,897 8,647	6,897 8,647
10tal Killingts	J, JJV	4,500	4,010	9,019		1,451	0,172		0,440			
Mortality			_									
Breeding cows Bulls	-	75 2	81. A	75 4	6կ 3	67 3	70 3	75 L	75 L	75 4	75 .4	75 4 26 25 26 25 25
Heifers - 12-24 months	-	13 12	13	12	12	18	21	21	24	26	26	26
Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 12-24 months	-	12 13	20 13	16 12	13 12	12 18	18 21	20 2կ	23 24	2կ 26	25 26	25 26
Steers - 24-36 months	-	13 12	13	10	9	12	18	20	23	214	26 25	25
Steers - 35-48 months Steers - 48 months plus	:	12 11	12 11	10 4	7 2	-	11	17	19	22	23	-
Gull Cows Purchased Steers	-	-	<u>.</u> 18	3 14	1	- 15	15	- 12	- 6	-	-	-
					10							
[otal	-	150	189	160	133	153	177	196	198	201	204	206
Sales												
Cull Cows Cull Bulls	-	- 1	7. 71	124 5	258 11	225 11	232 12	250 12	250 12	250 12	250 12	250 12
Gull Heifers - 24-36 months	-	12	20	20	22	20	30	33	38	12 39	42	42
Surplus Heifers - 24-36 months Fat Steers_2/	-	203	319	281	290	271	59 371	290 556	377 630	399 718	457 741	457 799
Sub-Total		216	414		581							
Purchased steers	-	-	332	430 336	340	527 485	704 485	1,141 388	1,307 194	1,418	1,502	1,560
				·								
rotal	-	216	746	766	921	1,012	1,189	1,529	1,501	1,418	1,502	1,560
												
Purchases												
Bulls		511	21	01	20	3.0		1/		• /	_,	- /
Breeding Cows	=	50	50	21 50	-	18 -	2L	16 -	16	16 -	16 -	16 -
Heifers - 24-36 months Steers	-	150 350	150 350	150 350	500	500	400	200	-	-	-	-
Total		604	571	571	520							
TOPAT		004	211		520	518 	424	216	16	16	16	16
Production Coefficients												
Sffective Calving	%	<u>ነ</u> ጋ	50	65	65	70	70	70	70	70	70	7:0
Mortality - Adult	K K	5.3	50 5 7.0	8.1	3	3	3	3	15.5	3	3	3
Bull/Cow Ratio	X X X	>• 5 5			9.6 5	7.6 5	9.1 5	14.0 5	15.5	16.5 5	17.4	18.0 5
Culling Rate - Cows - Bulls	ī. K	5 3	5 5	5 5	10 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
- Heifers - 24-36 mos.	ž.	5	5	5	5	10 5	10 5	10 5	10 5	10 5	10 5	10 5
Stocking Rate AU/	ac	1/53	1/50	1/45	1/39	1/34	1/31	1/30	1/30	_/29	1/29	1/27

Opening herd comprises cattle from Government farms and those confiscated during 1968 rebellion. Steer fat at 900 lb liveweight.

Sales as percentage of total herd including purchased cows and excluding purchased steers.

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

LDCo Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue (Guyanese dollars)

I. Coastal Commercial Herd - Sales and Purchases

	Unit						Ra	nch Year	·				
		Years 6-20	1	2	3	Ц	5	6	7	8	9	10	11-20
Sales													
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers Surplus Heifers Fat Steers Purchased Steers Total	110 250 135 200 170	145 315 170 170 250 220	7,150 750 2,030 - - 9,930	10,010 1,000 6,480 - 80,750 98,240	15,950 1,750 3,240 120,000 81,600 222,540	26,840 4,250 3,780 - 58,800 82,450 176,120	28,050 7,750 5,940 109,200 82,450 233,390	53,070 6,930 14,280 21,080 205,000 106,700 407,060	82,650 7,250 18,530 58,820 287,000 70,840 525,090	82,650 7,250 20,060 66,640 300,000 44,660 521,260	82,650 7,250 21,080 67,830 302,000 21,120 501,930	82,650 7,250 21,080 74,460 312,750 1,760 499,950	82,650 7,250 21,930 74,460 312,750 1,760 500,800
Purchases (nearest G\$100)													
Bulls Breeding Cows Heifers - 24-36 months Sub-Carl Steers - 12-24 months	250 225 100	700	8,300 90,000 30,000 128,300 67,500	15,800 90,000 30,000 135,800 67,500	10,500 90,000 30,000 130,500 67,500	7,500 67,500	- 67,500	22,400 56,400	18,900 35,500	18,900 16,800	18,900 1,400 20,300	18,900 1,400 20,300	18,900 1,400 20,300
Total			195,800	203,300	198,000	75,000	67,500	78,800	54,400	35,700	20,300	20,300	20,500
				II.	Coastal Stud	Herd - Sales	and Purchase	<u>s</u>					
Sales													
Heifers - 24-36 months Steers - 24-36 months Cull Bulls Cull Cows Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Cull Bulls - 24-36 months Total	700 250 300 225 200 400	500 700 250 300 225 200 400	6,300 - - - - - - - - - - - -	7,000	7,700	39,200 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	39,200 - 300 4,950 800 1,200 16,150	51,800 300 6,080 600 1,600 60,380	59,500 - 300 6,980 800 2,000 69,580	24,500 77,000 750 300 7,880 1,000 2,400	38,000 85,400 1,250 300 7,880 1,200 2,400 136,430	13,500 97,300 1,250 300 7,880 1,100 2,800 151,130	51,500 98,000 1,250 300 7,880 1,600 2,800 163,330
Purchases													
Bulls Cows Heifers - 12-24 months Total	1,000 2,000 1,500		8,000 220,000 45,000 373,000	4,000 45,000 49,000	4,000 45,000 49,000			-	=	<u>-</u>	- - -		<u>-</u>
				III	. Rupununi H	erd - Sales a	nd Purchases						
Sales													
Cull Cows Cull Bulls Cull Heifers - 24-36 months Surplus Heifers - 24.36 months Fat Steers Sub-total Purchased Steers	110 225 100 - 195	130 280 120 160 245	230 1,200 39,590 41,020	7,810 900 2,000 - 62,200 72,910 56,440	13,640 1,130 2,000 - 54,800 71,570 57,120	28,380 2,250 2,200 - 55,550 88,380 57,800	24,750 2,480 2,000 52,800 82,030 82,450	30,160 3,360 3,600 9,440 90,900 137,460 97,000	32,500 3,360 3,960 46,400 136,220 222,440 77,600	32,500 3,360 4,560 60,320 154,350 255,090 38,800	32,500 3,360 4,680 63,840 175,910 280,290	32,500 3,360 5,040 73,120 181,550 295,570	32,500 3,360 5,040 73,120 195,760 309,780
Total			41,020	129,350	128,690	146,180	164,480	234,460	300,040	293,890	200,290	293,310	307,100
Purchases												7 (00	r (cc
Bulls Breeding Cows Heifers - 24-36 months Sub-total	290 200 95	350 - -	15,660 10,000 14,250 39,910	6,090 10,000 14,250 30,340	6,090 10,000 14,250 30,340	5,800	5,220	8,400	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
Steers Total	130	150	45,500 85,410	45,500 75,840	45,500 75,840	65,000 70,800	65,000 70,220	60,000 68,400	35,600	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600

LDCo Sales, Purchases, Expenses and Net Revenue (Cont'd) (Guyanese dollars)

IV Expenses

	Unit Value Years Years						Panch Voor					
	1-5 6-20	1	2	3	4	5	6	_7	8	9	10	11-20
A. <u>Coastal Commercial Herd</u> Fixed 1/		60.750	66,700	70,850	82,840	67,340	47 al-0	67,340	67.21.0	67. 31.0	47.31.0	(7.3)0
Breeding stock Steer purchase Variable G\$2/head ² /		67,500 9,880	ded in Invest 67,500 12,550	67,500 15,580	7,500 67,500 19,020	67,500 21,980	67,340 22,400 56,400 23,530	18,900 35,500 23,720	67,340 18,900 16,800 23,720	67,340 18,900 1,400 23,720	67,340 18,900 1,400 23,720	67,340 18,900 1,400 23,720
Sub-total B. Coastal Stud Herd		140,130	146,750	153,930	176,860	156,820	169,670	145,460	126,760	111,360	111,360	111,360
Stud Master Variable G\$20/head Sub-total		5,000 4,440 9,440	5,000 7,200 12,200	5,000 10,100 15,100	5,000 12,020 17,020	6,000 14,080 20,080	6,000 16,720 22,720	6,000 19,500 25,500	6,000 21,300 27,300	6,000 22,280 28,280	6,000 22,660 28,660	6,000 22,680 28,680
C. Rupununi Herd		7,440	. 2, 200	,,,,,,	1,020	20,000	22,720	5,,,00	213300	25.200	20,000	20,000
Fixed 1/ Breeding stock Steer purchase Variable G\$2.50/head2/		45,500	64,900 ided in Inves 45,500	45,500	76,500 5,800 65,000	77,300 5,220 65,000 18,630	77,300 8,400 60,000	77,300 5,600 30,000	77,300 5,600 - 21,100	77,300 5,600 - 21,470	77,300 5,600 - 21,620	77,300 5,600 - 21,620
Sub-total		10,920 114,420	12,030 122,430	14,200 125,200	16,310 163,610	166,150	20,330 166,030	20,930 133,830	104,000	104,370	104,520	104,520
				٧	Net Revenue							
SALES												
Table I Table II Table III Total Sales		9,930 6,300 <u>41,020</u> 57,250	98,240 7,000 129,350 234,590	222,540 7,700 128,690 358,930	176,120 40,400 146,180 362,700	233,390 46,450 164,480 444,320	407,060 60,380 234,460 701,900	525,090 69,580 300,040 894,710	521,260 113,830 293,890 928,980	501,930 136,430 280,290 918,650	499,950 1 54,43 0 295,570 949,950	500,800 163,330 309,780 973,910
EXPENSES		31,020	-54,57-	330,730	50.4,100	444,92-0	,,,,,,	57 4,	,,,	,,. , .,.	747,77	21232
Table IV A B C Total Expenses		140,130 9,140 114,420 263,990	146,750 12,220 122,430 281,400	153,930 15,100 125,200 294,230	176,860 17,020 163,610 357,490	156,820 20,080 166,150 343,050	169,670 22,720 166,030 358,420	145,460 25,500 133,830 304,790	126,760 27,300 104,000 258,060	111,360 28,280 104,370 244,010	111,360 28.660 104,520 214,540	111,360 28,680 104,520 244,560
NET REVENUE		(206,740)	(46,810)	64,700	5,210	101,270	343,700	589,920	670,920	674,640	705,410	729,350

^{1/} Includes General Manager: Total cost G\$50,000 per year, of which G\$12,500 charged to project technical services.

| Dipping G\$0.50; supplements G\$0.50; vaccines and veterinary G\$1.00/head/year
| Jy | Supplements G\$1.50; vaccines and veterinary G\$1.00/head/year



GUYANA

LDCo - Financial Projection (Guyanese dollars)

	Ranch Year												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13-20
SOURCE AND USE OF FUNDS													
Net income (before debt service) 1/	(206,700)	(46,800)	64,700	5,200	101,300	343,700	589,900	670,900	674,600	705,400	729,400	729,400	729,400
Share subscriptions	1,750,000	250,000	700 300	. 005 100	11.6.000	-	-						,
Short term loans (or equity) <u>2</u> / Long term loans	701,200	323.500	129,300 293,900		ЦЦ6 , 000	400 , 000	85,800						
TOTAL SOURCE	2,244,500	526,700	487,900		5147,300	743,700	675,700	•					
USE													
Assets purchased or transferred New investments	1,250,000												
LDCo contribution	175,200	80,900	73,500										
Investment of loan funds	701,200	323,500	293,900										
TOTAL NEW INVESTMENT Working capital addition (permanent)	876,400	404,400 8,700	367,400 6,400		(7, 000)	3 F 1700	(2 1,00)	3 000	700	200			
Debt service	75,500	0,700	0,400	21,900	(7 , 200)	15,700	(1,400)	1,000	700	300	-	-	-
Short term loan interest $(8\frac{1}{2}\%)$													
(including interest on bridging finance)3/	~	2,400	2,800	13,800	26,700	39,300	34,400	7,300					
Short term loan repayment Long term loan interest $(9\frac{1}{2}\%)4/$	22 200	80,000		129,300	285,100	446,000	400,000	85,800	01:0 500	Olo Boo	2 1.0 2 200		
Iong term loan repayment	33,300	82,000	111,500	125,300)	242,7002	242,700	242,700	242,700	242,700	242,700	242,700	242,700	-
Total Debt Service	33,300	84,400	114,100	268,400	554,500	728,000	677,100	335,800	•				
CASH BALANCE (after debt service)	9,300	29,200		-				331,100	431,200	462,400	486,700	486,700	729,400
TOTAL USE	2,244,500	526,700	487,900	290,300	567,300	743,700	675,700	670,900	674,600	705,400	729,400	729,400	729,400
Working Capital - Permanent 6/	75,500	84,200		112,500	105,300	121,000	119,600	120,600	121,300	121,600	121,600	121,600	121,600
- Steer purchase (3 months)	113,000	113,000	113,000	132,500	132,500	116,400	65,500	16,800	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
Herd Value G\$ (thousands - rounded)	780	1,400	1,740	2,010	2,270	2,610	3,460	3,610	3,690	3 ,75 0	3,780	3,780	3,780
INCREMENTAL NET CASH FLOW													
Net income (before debt service) 1/	(206,700)	(46,800)	66,100	5,200	101,300	343,700	589,900	670,900	674,600	7 05, 400	729,400	729,400	729,400
Incremental working capital 7/ Assets transferred or purchased	(103,700) (1,250,000)	(8,800)	(11,200)	(23,000) (17,800)	12,300 113,600	(3,000)	13,600 603,500	2,800 673,700	(700)	(200)	(200)	729,400	720,400
New investment	(876,400)	(404,400)	(367,400)	(17,000)	11.5,000	340,700	003,500	013,100	673,900	705,200	729,200 Working	Capital	121,900
NET CASH FLOW	(2,436,800)	(460,000)	(312,500)	-							Herd Va		3,780,000
				₽a±	inated Pina	otal mat-	-0 makuw: 7	and .					4,631,300
NOTES:				<u>EST</u>	imated finar	icial rate	or return 1	L <u>370</u>					(Year 20)

Total sales, less operating expenses, to nearest hundred, excluding depreciation, including replacements. Balance of funds required annually; excluding bridging finance for steer purchase. Including interest on 3 month steer purchase bridging finance.

On cumulative average loan drawn. Subject to renegotiation if balancing finance (short term loan or equity) not available. 50% of annual expenses, excluding steer purchase. Permanent working capital plus 25% of steer purchase cost, for rate of return purposes.

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Cost of Technical Services (Guyanese dollars)

							· %
							Foreign
		Project Year					Exchange
Expenditure Items	1	2	3	4	5	Cost	Component
Operating Expenses		_	_	_			
Project Director 1/	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	400,000	100
Ranch Manager LDCo 2/	12,500	12,500	12,500			37,500	100
Deputy (Technical)	12,000	12,500	13,000	13,500	14,000	65,000	
Deputy (Administrative)	10,000	10,400	10,800	11,200	11,600	54,000	
Project Loan Techni-					_		
cians 3/	21,000	22,250	23,500	24,750	26,000	117,500	
Travel 47	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	35,000	
Transportation 5/	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000	35,000	20
International Travel 6/	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	30,000	100
Other 7/	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000	65,000	
Contingencies	14,500	13,350	12,200	10,550	9,400	60,000	<u> 57</u>
_							
Subtotal	183,000	184,000	185,000	173,000	174,000	899,000	57
		•					
Capital Expenditures						,	
Vehicles 8/	33,000			15,000	15,000	63,000	80
Office Equipment	15,000					15,000	50
Contingencies	4,800			1,500	1,500	7,800	67
	0				- (0- 0	/-
Subtotal	52,800			16,500	16,500	85,800	67
Total	235,800	184,000	185,000	189,500	190,500	984,800	58

Includes salary, dependency allowance, staff benefits, education, storage, car shipments and furniture, air-freight, home leave, resettlement transportation, briefing in Washington, housing utilities, resettlement duty station and contingency.

^{2/ 25%} of LDCo ranch managers salary paid by Project for 3 years for his services in on-ranch training of local managers and cowboys.

^{3/} Includes 5 technicians.

^{4/} Estimated 350 man-days/year at G\$20/day.

^{5/} Operating costs, 4 project vehicles, local air travel and limited light aircraft charter.

^{6/} Project Director approximately 3 months; other staff approximately 6 months each.

^{7/} Includes secretarial help G\$8,000/year; driver/messenger G\$1,500/year, administration, rent and services G\$3,500/year.

^{8/} Four four-wheel drive vehicles, 2 replaced in year 4 and 2 in year 5; and lightweight canoe outboard motor.

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Draft Terms of Reference for Project Director,

Deputy Project Director (Technical)

and Deputy Project Director (Administrative)

Project Director

The Project Director will:

- a) be a diploma or degree graduate, preferably specializing in animal husbandry or related activity;
- b) have at least 5 years practical experience in beef cattle production, preferably associated with pasture development in an environment ecologically similar to the Guyana Coastal and Rupununi Savannas;
- c) be responsible to the Governor of the Bank of Guyana for implementation of the project in accordance with policies laid down by the Livestock Advisory Committee (LAC) in consultation with Government and International Development Association (IDA);
- d) advise LAC on major policy decisions required for the project;
- e) cooperate with the Bank of Guyana and the participating banks in carrying out the project;
- f) recommend to the Governor of the Bank of Guyana, terms and conditions of employment to attract well qualified staff;
- g) recommend employment of such project staff for the Livestock Projects Department (LPD) of the Bank of Guyana as he considers necessary to carry out the project, the intention being that he will head a staff initially comprising a Deputy Project Director (Technical) a Deputy Project Director (Administrative), approximately 5 Livestock Credit Technicians and supporting staff;
- h) prescribe the duties and responsibilities of the Livestock Credit Technicians, train them and supervise their work;
- i) assist the Governor of the Bank of Guyana in selection of project staff for overseas training and arrange such training in consultation with the Livestock Division of IDA;

- j) with the assistance of the Deputy Project Directors, help in the formation of group ranches and advise participating ranches in modern ranching and ranch management techniques;
- k) recommend to the Project Loan Committee, approval or rejection of loan applications, including ranch development plans, on technical and economic grounds but without responsibility for advising on the creditworthiness of applicants which would be the prerogative of participating banks:
- 1) ensure that no changes are made in ranch development plans without his approval.;
- m) supervise and assist the managers of participating ranches in the execution of their duties;
- n) ensure the frequent supervision of participating ranches by project staff and advise a participating bank to suspend disbursement of, or to call in, a loan if ranch development is not being carried out to his satisfaction;
- o) recommend to the employer of a ranch manager of any participating ranch, the termination or suspension of their manager, if he is inefficient or fails to comply with the agreed ranch plans; and
- p) train a successor of Guyanese nationality, to take over on termination of the Project Director's contract

Deputy Project Director (Technical)

The Deputy Project Director (Technical) will:

- a) be a locally appointed technician experienced in the Guyanese livestock industry.;
- b) be responsible directly to the Project Director and assist him with his duties:
- c) assume responsibility for project management during the absence of the Project Director; and
- d) work closely with the project technicians and ranch managers to ensure that the technical and managerial guidelines laid down by the Project Director are carried out:

Deputy Project Director (Administrative)

The Deputy Project Director (Administrative) will:

- a) be a local appointee with experience in cooperative organization;
- b) be responsible to the Project Director primarily for assisting him in setting up group ranches (including companies and partnerships) as detailed in Annex 4;
- c) make frequent supervision visits to all group ranches after they have been established and advise group ranch management committees and their ranch managers on administrative matters; and
- d) ensure, and assist in the establishment of a suitable accounting system for each group ranch and supervise group ranch accounts.

September 11, 1970

<u>QUTANA</u> BEST CATTLE PROJECT

Project Cost

	Number of Units	Unit Cost	Local	\$ (thousands) Foreign	Total	% Foreign Exchange
On-ranch Investment		_				
Fencing						
Coastal Rupununi Sub-total	500 mi 506 mi	750 850	247 236 483	203 194 397	450 430 880	45 45
Pasture						
Clearing Sowing Seed Seed Production Sub-total	13,250 13,250 2,500 100	30/ac 20/ac 12/ac 45/ac	314 26 3 3 346	84 239 27 2 352	398 265 30 <u>5</u> 698	21 90 90 45
Machinery						
Tractors and implements 65 hp Tractors and implements 35 hp 4 wheel drive vehicles Engines, pumps, tools, etc. Sub-total	17 12 19	12,000 8,000_1/ 7,500	41 20 29 27 117	163 80 114 110 467	204 100 143 137 584	80 80 80 80 80
Ranch Buildings						
Ranch houses Bunk houses Barns and sheds Outstations Corrals, yards, etc. Sub-total	16 1 6 16 50	8,000_ 2 / 6,000 5,000 1,500	81 62 60 56 186 145	54 34 20 19 80 207	135 96 80 75 266 652	40 35 25 25 30 32
Other						
Wells, drainage, saddlery, wet equipment, R/telephone	-	-	233	190	<u>423</u>	45
Sub-total Livestock			1,624	1,613	3,237	48
Horses Semen Imported breeding stock Local breeding stock Sub-total Total New On-ranch Investment LDCo Transferred Assets	480 26,450 <u>3</u> /	300 7/ampule	108 19 47 922 1,096 2,720	36 169 424 	144 188 471 922 1,725 4, 9 62	25 90 90 0 36 ևև
Buildings and installation			5/10	_	240	-
Livestock Sub~total			1,010 1,250	-	1,010 1,250	-
Working Capital 4			490	50	540	9
Clearing Equipment	2	160,000	70	250	320	78
Technical Services (See Annex 17)			385	532	917	58
Developmental Studies			40	200	240	83
Sub-total			4,955	3,274	8,229	140
Contingency/Rounding5/			285	<u> 356</u>	641	ц8
TOTAL			5,240	3,630	8,870	39

NOTES:

LDCo Rupununi ranch G\$10,000.

2/ LDCo Coastal Ranch Manager's house G\$15,000.

3/ G\$20/ampule for 120 units stud semen on LDCo stud herd.

L/ Nil contingency.

5/ Approximately 10%, except Clearing Equipment 25%.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Livestock Development Fund - Cash Flow C\$ (thousands)

								Year						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	بل 1
BASIC DATA					_	Tota	ls							-
1. Total on-ranch investment 2. Clearing equipment 3. Sub-total 4. Commercial bank loans (80% of 3) 5. IDA credit/rediscounts (75% of 4) 6. Commercial bank contribution (25% of 4)	1,537 1,537 1,229 922 307	1,654 400 2,054 1,643 1,232 411	1,528 1,528 1,223 917 306	584 584 467 350 117	156 	5,45 40 5,85 4,68 3,51 1,17	9 - 7 5			•				
SOURCE AND USE OF FUNDS														
SOURCE TDA credit for:														
Commercial bank loan rediscount LPD operation2/ Sub-total Service fee2/ Interest received4/ Repayment annuities	922 145 1,067 3 28	1,232 107 1,339 101 <u>5</u> /	917 107 1,024 16 145	350 106 456 20 185	914 1 06 200 20 91	3,51 57 4,08 19 27	1	16 -	13 -	11 -	7 -	4 15	1 -	:
(commercial banks): On-ranch development loans Clearing equipment loans TOTAL SOURCE	1,098	1,119	- 69 1,254	- 69 730	288 69 668	457 69 572	530 	530 69 615	530 6 9 612	530 6 9 610	530 6 9 606	530 549	242 69 312	73 69 112
USE	•	,	,,,,,	,-	•		•					2-47		- -
Loans rediscounted Cost of LPD (Annex 17)	922	1,232	917	350	94	-	240	-	-	-	-	2140	-	. •
Chargeable to lending program Not chargeable 1 Sub-total	21 ¼ 22 236	162 22 184	163 22 185	98 92 190	64) 126) 190)	63 <u>6</u> /	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
BALANCES TOTAL USE	(60) 1,098	33 1,449	152 1,254	190 730	384 668	509 572	259 562	552 615	549 612	5 <u>47</u> 610	51 ₄₃ 606	246 549	249 312	79 142
COST OF SERVICING IDA CREDIT	5	14	21	25	26	26	26	26	26	26	1414	זלק	143	143

^{1/} Excluding G\$1.25 million transferred assets financed by LDCo share capital
2/ Foreign exchange component
3/ On average on-ranch investment loans outstanding (excluding clearing equipment loans)
4/ 6% on loans rediscounted except 6% on Amerindian loans (Government guaranteed).
5/ Includes first year's interest on clearing equipment loans.
6/ Cost chargeable to supervision of lending program
7/ Amount chargeable to future lending program
8/ (Contributed by) or available to Government. Accumulated total G\$4.2 million (G\$3.7 million after servicing IDA credit to Year 14).

BEEF CAPTLE PROJECT

Estimates Marterly Misbersoment of IBA Credit USD (chodsands)

Year		Qua	rter	To al	
	11	2	3	<u>L</u> 1	
1970/71 Disbursed Undisbursed	2,200	10 2 , 190	80 2 , 110	80 2 , 030	170
1971/72 Disbursed Undisbursed	150 1,880	160 1,720	160 1,560	160 1 , 400	630
1972/73 Disbursed Undisbursed	170 1,230	170 1,060	170 890	170 720	680
1973/74 Disbursed Undisbursed	120 600	110 490	110 380	110 270	450
1974/75 Disbursed Undisbursed	100 170	90 80	80 -		270
					Total 2,200

BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Rate of Return to the Economy

Rate of Return to the Economy

1. The estimated rate of return of the Project to the economy of Guyana is 20% as calculated from the attached table. The following paragraphs explain the rationale by which the component values were determined.

Incremental Net Income

2. Incremental net income is taken from the models projected in Annexes 8, 12 and 16 multiplied by the number of units of model size expected to enter the Project in each of the first three years. Annual net income without development is deducted from annual net income with development, to arrive at incremental net income attributable to the Project. Since expenses are higher with the Project than without, and income is slow to build up, the increment is negative for the first few years.

Shadow Wage Rate

3. There is 20% unemployment and underemployment in agriculture, and the current official wage rates overstate the cost of labor to the Guyana economy. However, if this wage cost in ranch expenses is reduced by 25% (G\$3 per day instead of G\$4) the effect on the rate of return would be less than one percent.

Adjustment for Transport

4. Air freighted beef from Rupununi is subsidized at a rate of G\$0.05 per pound. The cost to the economy is therefore increased by the annual weight transported multiplied by G\$0.05.

Investment

5. On-ranch investment cost is taken from the models in Annexes 5 and 9, multiplied by the number of units of model size, as in para 2 above.

Adjustment for Taxes

6. Since import duties and taxes are transfer payments and not true costs to the economy, the amounts paid by the farmer and included in the farm financial projections are added back.

Technical Services

7. Technical services include training and staffing for post-project phases of beef cattle production and not all the cost of these services should be charged against the economic cost of the project. The cost of the project director, plus approximately half the cost of supporting staff, is included during the first three years, and this is gradually reduced as the need for on-farm appraisal and supervision declines and staff is transferred to other duties.

Discount Period

8. Ranches are considered to have an economic life of 20 years, the assumed life of buildings and installations which form a significant part of project cost, and the net balances are discounted over that period. If they are discounted over 15 years or 12 years, the effect would be negligible (less than one percentage point, after rounding).

October 20, 1970.

GUYANA BEEF CATTLE PROJECT

Rate of Return to the Economy (\$ (thousands)

Year	Incremental Set Income	Herd 1/ Values	Total Benefits	Adjustment for Transport	Working Capital	Investment	OSTS-Adjustment for tax	Technical Services	Total Gosts	Net Benefit
3	(301)		(301)	(3)	211	1.537	(25)	214	1,934	(2,235)
2	(141)		(141)	26′	148	1,537 1,654 <u>2</u> /	(35)	162	1,955	(2.096)
٠,			102	· 32	87	1,528	(31.)	163	1.779	(1,677) (7 9 7)
۶	102		03	40	89	584	(n) (13)	98	798. 318 182	(797)
4	91 285 826		91 285 826	49	52	156	(3)	6l4	31.6	(33)
>	205		207 904	49 65	52 54 31 18	2,50	(3)	63	182	(33)
6	626		1 (0)	80	21			63	174 163 142	1,500 2,200 2,730 2,990 3,110
7	1,69կ 2,կկ3 2,881		1,694	80 82 82 82 82	18			63	163	2.200
8	2,44,5		2,443 2,881	02 80	(3)			63	11.2	2.73
9	2,861		2,001	02 8a	(3)			63	142	2.990
10	3,132 3,255		3,132	90	(3)			63	142 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145	3,110
11	3,255		3,255	82				63	1165	1.128
12	3,273		3,273	90				62	1165	3,12 4 3,12 4
13	3,273		3,273	82				63	116	3.128
14	3,273		3,273	82 82				62	118	3,128
15	3,273		3,273	02				62	145	3,128 3,128 3,128
16	3,273		3,273	82				65 63	115	3,128
17	3, 273		3,273	82				63 63	165	3,120
18	3,273		3,273	82				0) 43	1145	2 198
19	3 , 273		3,273	82	()			9	/25	3,128 10,057
20	3,273	6,610	9,893	82	(319)			63	(176)	10,001
21	2,389 1,238	3,730	6,119	25 12	(255)			70	(190) (78)	6,309
22	1.238	1.640	2.878	12	(110)			20	(70)	2,730

The estimated rate of return to the economy is 20%

1/ Residual herd values (Incremental)		Year 20		Year 21		Year 22
Coastal Rupumuni IDCo	1449 x 5 147 x 4 3.780 x 1	2,245 588 3,780	х 7 х 4	3,143 588	x 3 x 2	1,347 294
		6.613		3, 731		1.6//1

^{2/} Excluding clearing equipment (clearing costs included in on-ranch investment).



BEEF CATTLE PROJECT PROJECT ORGANIZATION



