CEVHER I-II HEPP

OZCEVHER Enerji Elektrik Uretim A.S.

REPORTING FORM FOR LAND ACQUISITION

REPORTING FORM FOR LAND ACQUISITION

ÖZCEVHER ENERJİ ELEKTRİK ÜRETİM A.Ş.

1. Information About Project	
Name &Location of Sub-project	CEVHER I-II HEPP located in Maçka, committed to Trabzon province.
Project Sponsor	ÖZCEVHER Enerji Elektrik Üretim AŞ
Project Cost	34,176,339 USD
Installed Generation Capacity	(2 unit*8,34 MW)+(2 unit*3,69 MW)
Key Dates of Implementation	Approval dates of forestry permissions, 27.09.2007/ 20.03.2009 / 20.05.2009
General Information	Cevher I-II HEPP is located on Acisu stream in Maçka, Trabzon. No lands or assets were submerged while construction. Also no land or assets were acquired from private owners. 233,529 m2 forest land was rented from Ministry of Environment and Forest. There is no structure or other fixed assets on the land.

2.Inventory of Land & Assets Acquired from Private Owners		
Name of Owners/land user	No land or assets acquired from private owners	
Project Component: Area(s) / plots(s) acquired (ha)		
Owner's/user's total land holding (ha); % taken for project.		
Land use: pasture, agriculture, residence, etc.		
Inventory of any structures or other fixed or productive assets		
(wells, fences, trees, field crops, etc) affected.		
Indicate if land was rented or informally used by another party.		
Indicate if non-owner users had assets, trees, crops, etc affected		
Indicate if land-based activity is primary source of income for owner or land user.		
Compensation paid.		
Dates delivered.		
Impact on income of owner.		

2.1. Inventory of Land & Assets Acquired from Private Owners (completely volunteer purchased)		
No land or assets acquired from private		
owners		

3.Inventory of Public, Community, or State Land Acquired	
Land parcels / plots acquired (ha).	233.529 m ²
Land type / land use: Forest, commons for grazing, other.	Forest
Ownership: State, community, other.	Ministry of Environment and Forest.
	No structure or other fixed assets.
Structures or other fixed assets.	
Compensation, land transfer, or other measures to mitigate impacts on land users. Specify measures and dates of delivery.	There is no land users. But compensations for trees, value of land leasing and deposits were paid. Details are given on Annex 1.

4. Public Awareness, Consultations, and Communication

In order to discuss the implementation of the project details and its environmental and social benefits and impacts, a meeting was held on Friday, 27th February 2009 at 14.30 o'clock at the village hall of Akarsu village, Maçka. The participants were informed about the project feature and all related licences. They were also informed about the work priorities for construction of the project and told them that the local

people would be employed in the construction and operation stages. also the technical issues of the project were explained. The project owner, informed the participants about the advantages of the project and that the related roads will be upgraded and reconstructed also that the excavations of rocks and soil during the construction will be used for landscaping. Finally the project's effects on air quality was expained and has been indicated that the project has no negative impacts concerning the generation of green- house gases and is eligible under the United Nations Climate Change Framework Agreement and the Kyoto Protocol, also the participants were informed that the project will have no impact on water quality as no pollutants or chemicals will be released into the river as a result of the project. There were few concerns, the concerns and the solutions are listed below.

Stakeholder	Assessment	Response to comment
Comment		
The	This concern	The project developer explained the participant that there are no land
stakeholders	was	areas designated for compulsory purchase. The water conveyance
expressed	important	canal passes through the national land so that there is no need to
some	and	purchase any privately owned land.
concerns	reasonable.	
about the	Because	
possible	some	
compulsory	projects	
purchases	could be	
and the	include	
impact that	compulsory	
they may	purchases.	
have on		
water quality		
Job	This concern	Project owner explained that local people will be employed as
opportunities.	was also	possible as because they are
Possible	important for	more familiar with the
Employment	local people.	region. However some positions require specific talents and
of the local		education and there are difficulties finding
people.		people with the required
		skill set.
One of the	Reasonable	Flows are reviewed for ecological balance flow before optimization of
concern was	concern.	the feasibility report. Downstream water usage is considered during

related with		the study. And natural life on downstream is protected and fish	
the fish farm		migration isn't blocked.	
and possible		(http://www.eie.gov.tr/turkce/YEK/HES/proje/HESProje06_istik.html)	
negative			
impact to the			
fish farm.			
Water level.	This is a very	Project owner and developer explained how hydro plants works and	1
They	important	also water usage agreements were presented regarding to water	
expressed	concern.	usage.	
their concern			
on if there			
will be water			
in the river			
bad or the			
project will			
use all the			
water.			

The project developer acknowledges that there are stakeholder concerns about water quality, levels of water flow and extraction of materials. These issues are dealt with under the relevant licences and will not be an issue as long as the plant is constructed and operated within these licences. The project developer and the owner have assured the stakeholders that they will operate within the licences. Stakeholder comments have not required any change in the project design. Technical staff of the project owner company also visited the project site together with head of the village and villagers and explained them about the project facilities.

The project owners also implemented a complaints and reporting system on site so that if any of the villagers observe a breach of the licence they can report it to the management on site but no complaints were made.

Completed	Pending Court decision	On-going	Follow-up
6.Other Measures or Assistance provided (beyond cash compensation)			

orother recubires of rissistance provided (beyond ex	usir compensution,
Beneficiary(s)	
Relocation assistance	
Alternative Land	
Livelihood restoration measures	
Summary of impact addressed	
7.Identification of Vulnerable People	
•	
Beneficiary	
Method of identification	
Assistance or other measures provided.	

8.Grievance Redress	
Mechanism(s) made available for project-affected persons to	
register grievances or complaints.	
Were affected people made aware of grievance redress	
mechanism? If so, when and where?	
Was the grievance redress mechanism easy to access and free of	
cost to affected parties?	
Was an independent third party engaged in facilitating	
grievance redress. E.g.: community leaders, NGOs, or other	
mutually-respected independent parties.	