

Integrated Safeguards Data Sheet (ISDS)		
Section I – Basic Information		
Date ISDS Updated: February 4, 2005		Report No.: AC1263
A. Basic Project Data		
A.1. Project Statistics		
Country: Nigeria	Project ID: P090652	
Project: Partnership for Polio Eradication Project Supplemental Credit	TTL: Anne U. Okigbo	
Total project cost (by component): One payment buy-down		
Appraisal Date: 7/5/2004	Loan/Credit amount(\$m): IDA: 51.7	
Board Date: March 24, 2005		
Other financing amounts by source:	(\$m.)	
Managing Unit: AFTH3	Sector: Health (100%)	
Lending Instruments: Specific Investment Loan		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Emergency recovery)? Yes? <input type="checkbox"/> No? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Environmental Category: C		
A.2. Project Objectives This project is part of a multi-country program and will contribute to a key public good: the global eradication of Poliomyelitis by 2005 as aimed for by the World Health Organization.		
A.3. Project Description The supplemental credit will finance the on-going IDA supported Polio Eradication Project, which has three components: (i) the procurement of OPV; (ii) supplemental operations including cold chain, social mobilization and training; and (iii) surveillance. IDA finances the first component. The project is part of a multi-donor financed framework which has provided funding amounting US \$ 157.1 million, of which IDA financed US \$ 28.7 million for procurement of OPV. Besides IDA, principal financiers include JICA, UNICEF and WHO, which both receive large amounts from bilateral donors and other agencies such as Rotary International and the Gates Foundation. The project is using the innovative IDA buy-down financing mechanism (see annex 4).		
A.4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis: None – the credit finances a buy-down of monies; the only element of the project is vaccination activities; the parent project was a C category.		
B. Check Environmental Category A <input type="checkbox"/> , B <input type="checkbox"/> , C <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> , FI <input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>Comments:</i>		
C. Safeguard Policies Triggered		
	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP/GP 4.01)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Pest Management (OP 4.09)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Cultural Property (draft OP 4.11 - OPN 11.03-)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Indigenous Peoples (OD 4.20)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Forests (OP/BP 4.36)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP/GP 7.60)*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP/GP 7.50)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

* *By supporting the proposed project, the Bank does not intend to prejudice the final determination of the parties' claims on the disputed areas*

Section II – Key Safeguard Issues and Their Management
<i>D. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues.</i>
D.1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts. NONE
D.2 Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area. NONE
D.3. Describe the treatment of alternatives (if relevant) N.R.
D.4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. NONE NECESSARY
D.5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people.

<i>F. Disclosure Requirements Date</i>		
<i>Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of the EA to the Executive Directors	Not Applicable	
<i>Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Indigenous Peoples Development Plan/Framework:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Pest Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
<i>Dam Safety Management Plan:</i>		
Date of receipt by the Bank	Not Applicable	
Date of “in-country” disclosure	Not Applicable	
Date of submission to InfoShop	Not Applicable	
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, please explain why.		
Section III – Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Level (To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meeting)		
<i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?		X
If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?		
Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?		
<i>OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?		X
If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?		
<i>OP 4.09 - Pest Management:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?		
Is a separate PMP required?		X
If yes, are PMP requirements included in project design?		X

<i>Draft OP 4.11 (OPN 11.03) - Cultural Property:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Does the EA include adequate measures?		
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential adverse impacts on physical cultural resources?		X
<i>OD 4.20 - Indigenous Peoples:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a separate indigenous people development plan been prepared in consultation with the Indigenous People?		X
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan?		
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit?		
<i>OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a resettlement action plan, policy framework or policy process been prepared?		X
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan / policy framework / policy process?		
<i>OP/BP 4.36 – Forests:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and constraints been carried out?		X
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome these constraints?		
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it include provisions for certification system?		
<i>OP/BP 4.37 - Safety of Dams:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have dam safety plans been prepared?		N.A.
Have the TORs as well as composition for the independent Panel of Experts (POE) been reviewed and approved by the Bank?		
Has an Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP) been prepared and arrangements been made for public awareness and training?		
<i>OP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have the other riparians been notified of the project?		N.A.
If the project falls under one of the exceptions to the notification requirement, then has this been cleared with the Legal Department, and the memo to the RVP prepared and sent?		
What are the reasons for the exception?		
Please explain:		
Has the RVP approved such an exception?		
<i>OP 7.60 - Projects in Disputed Areas:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has the memo conveying all pertinent information on the international aspects of the project, including the procedures to be followed, and the recommendations for dealing with the issue, been prepared, cleared with the Legal Department and sent to the RVP?		N.A.
Does the PAD/MOP include the standard disclaimer referred to in the OP?		
<i>BP 17.50 - Public Disclosure:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have relevant safeguard policies documents been sent to the World		N.A.

Bank's Infoshop?		
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-affected groups and local NGOs?		
<i>All Safeguard Policies:</i>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of the safeguard measures?		N.A.
Have safeguard measures costs been included in project cost?		
Will the safeguard measures costs be funded as part of project implementation?		
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures?		
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the borrower and the same been adequately reflected in the project legal documents?		
<i>Signed and submitted by:</i>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Task Team Leader:	Anne U. Okigbo	2/10/2005
Project Safeguards Specialist		
1:		
Project Safeguards Specialist		
2:		
Project Safeguards Specialist		
3:		
<i>Approved by:</i>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>
Regional Safeguards	Thomas Walton	2/11/2005
Coordinator:		
Comments:		
Sector Manager, AFTH3:	Laura Frigenti	2/10/2005
Comments:		