Integrated Safeguards Data Sheet (ISDS)		
Section I – Basic Information		
Date ISDS Prepared/Updated: October 11, 20	Report No.:AC1038	
A. Basic Project Data		
A.1. Project Statistics		
Country: Africa	Project ID: P080413	
Project: Great Lakes Initiative on	TTL: Pamphile Kantabaze	
HIV/AIDS		
Total project cost (by component):		
Appraisal Date: TBD	Loan/Credit amount(\$m):	
	IDAH: 20	
Board Date: November 18, 2004		
Other financing amounts by source:	(\$m.)	
IDA GUARANTEE	0	
Managing Unit: AFTH3	Sector: Health (60%);Other social services	
	(40%)	
Lending Instruments: Adaptable Program		
Loan		
Is this project processed under OP 8.50 (Eme	ergency Yes? [] No? [X]	
recovery?		
Environmental Category: B		

### A.2. Project Objectives

The development objectives of the project are to facilitate:

- Establishment of HIV/AIDS prevention, care, treatment, and mitigation programs for mobile and vulnerable groups such as refugees, transport sector workers, and highly affected/infected populations in each of the GLIA member countries, and
- Enhancement of prospects for coordinated approaches addressing HIV/AIDS prevention, care and treatment among the GLIA member countries.

The key performance indicators measuring effectiveness of project interventions (in those areas where GLIA directly intervenes), will be: (a) a reduction in HIV prevalence in the refugee and surrounding populations; (b) a reduction in the number of infected infants born to HIV infected mothers; (c) an increase in the number of youth (aged 15-24) reporting condom use during sexual intercourse with a non-regular partner (adapted UNGASS indicator); (d) improved gender sensitivity in the target population; and (e) an increase in the number of youth respondents (aged 15-24) who correctly identify ways of preventing HIV transmission and who reject major misconceptions about HIV transmissions or prevention (adapted UNGASS indicator).

- Concerted action by UNAIDS partners, including the World Bank, other donors in support of a regional initiative, national and regional ownership and accountability.
- NEPAD objectives that relate to HIV/AIDS and regional institutions increasing taking on responsibility for coordinated efforts.
- Addressing Great Lakes problems of transient populations and policy coordination through:
   (a) Regionally owned institutional capacity to coordinate a multi-sectoral HIV/AIDS response;

- (b) Improving the situation of vulnerable and often underserved vulnerable groups; and
- (c) Confidence building among the GLIA countries in working together for mutual benefit in addressing a common enemy, namely the HIV virus.

# A.3. Project Description

The proposed IDA Grant of \$20.0 million would finance a four-year program. The direct beneficiaries are a) refugees, IDPs, returnees, and surrounding area communities at selected sites (in every GLIA country), and b) transport and PLWA association members. Indirect beneficiaries are those in the Region who gain from better health policies, programs and service delivery as a result of cross-fertilization. The four components are as follows:

# Component l: HIV/AIDS support to refugees, affected areas surrounding the refugee communities, internally displaced people, returnees (US\$8.0 million).

Refugee sites and adjacent affected areas represent underserved Great Lakes populations; and returnees constitute another potential target population. This component will provide services to a limited number of such populations, and could include the full range of prevention, care, treatment and mitigation, principally through provision of services and goods (very little civil works, primarily rehabilitation, is envisioned). "Transportability" of what is learned or done for refugees, and "integration" of GLIA-financed activities with the national program will determine program content. Activities will be closely monitored and evaluated using linked behavioral and biological surveillance surveys and sentinel sites so that if successful GLIA countries and donors will support replication. Over the four year period a) UNHCR sites (principally refugee camps are estimated at US\$5.0 million, and b) surrounding areas, IDPs, and returnees are estimated at US\$3.0 million.

UNHCR, which has HIV/AIDS programs underway and will be scaling up existing programs or supporting portions of new GLIA areas, will be responsible for refugee sites; regarding affected surrounding areas these will be administered by the national AIDS Commissions/Secretariats (NAC/NAS) within the national HIV/AIDS-MAP framework (unless the GLIA country and UNHCR agree it should take on additional tasks in affected areas); returnees will be the shared responsibility of UNHCR and the NAC/NAS; while responsibility for IDPs will be decided upon on a case-by-case basis. A Memorandum of Understanding between GLIA and UNHCR will be presented to the Council of Ministers at its next session. A Management Contract between GLIA and the UNHCR will set the terms and conditions for financing UNHCR activities. This Management Contract has been drafted and will be submitted to IDA for no-objection. Site selection was agreed on during project preparation and programs designed using a standardized instrument for

situational analysis and development of HIV/AIDS program action plans. For the first project year a maximum of two such sites/catchment areas were chosen in each GLIA country and work plans were prepared in August 2004. Additional sites can be added in subsequent years using the same methodology, and will be included in annual workplans submitted to the Council of Ministers for approval. The approved sites that satisfactorily implement first year plans will be continued. Such annual plans will be submitted to the World Bank for no-objection on a consolidated basis.

Component 2: Support to HIV/AIDS related Networks (US\$3.0 million). The transport sector and PLWA are two high priority regional groups which have been the subject of exchanges among GLIA countries, conferences, and informal linkages. In the case of transport, GLIA has conducted pilot programs ("Safari Safis" program in 2001-2002). Transport networking would focus on a) long-haul workers and those communities and groups associated with them, and b) on two principal transmission corridors, namely (i) Mombasa-Nairobi-Kampala-Kigali-Bujumbura-Bukavu-Goma, and (ii) Dar es Salaam-Dodoma-Kigali-Bujumbura-Bukavu-Goma. Subregional PLWHA network support would provide important reinforcement of national and under-funded regional advocacy efforts to reduce stigma of those infected and affected, engage in the sharing and testing of PLWHA support practices by NGOs, CBOs, and the private sector for this vulnerable population. Regional conferences have created a "regional consciousness" among PLWAs that they are not alone, and many cross-country contacts. These need to be consolidated and strengthened.

The approach in both instances will be based on a BP/UNITAR methodology ("AIDS Competence"). Existing networks will be strengthened and new ones promoted by a) developing the management capacity of the networks and selected lead member organizations in planning, financial management, resource mobilization and M&E via the training-of trainers, b) developing HIV/AIDS learning capacity and transfer of knowledge between network members. An intermediary institution(s) will be contracted to: a) provide management training to network trainers-of-trainees, b) train national facilitators in techniques of organization self-assessment, knowledge capturing and knowledge sharing, c) assist in piloting knowledge rooms along the transport corridors, and d) M&E. Based on agreed criteria, GLIA countries have gone through the process of identifying those organizations which should be included in the networking pool.

Component 3: Support to Regional health-sector collaboration (US\$3.0 million). National HIV/AIDS related health-sector information exchange, through which policies and approaches can be discussed, and in future coordinated by and for key health-sector stakeholders, will result in better use of scarce human and financial resources. Five areas of such concentration have been identified: (i) an inventory of effective interventions and sharing of information, (ii) review of protocols, materials and training opportunities for prevention and treatment, (iii) information exchange on refugee, IDP, returnee HIV/AIDS health-related programs, (iv) transport sector HIV/AIDS strategy coordination and piloting of targeted transport packages along two main regional roads (at sites identified for the network component intervention), (v) information exchange on drug policies and procurement.

Component 4: GLIA/MAP management, capacity strengthening, monitoring and evaluation, and reporting (US\$6.0 million). GLIA will require institutional strengthening so that it can coordinate resources made available from all sources, and coordinate regional HIV/AIDS approaches. This component covers three activities: (a) administration and management including support for the core professional staff, the fiduciary management agent, allocations to GLIA Country Focal Points, and operating expenses for GLIA operations, (b) capacity strengthening and policy discussion/technical support, including fiduciary, management and advocacy training of those involved in GLIA which is not addressed under Components 1-3 (such as regional training of GLIA Country Focal Points and national teams), and policy discussion/development/technical support for other cross-cutting issues (such as gender considerations in HIV/AIDS mobile population programs) and

(c) <u>monitoring and evaluation</u>, and <u>reporting</u>, covering those aspects not financed under Components 1-3.

A.4. Project Location and salient physical characteristics relevant to the safeguard analysis:

Project covers the Great Lakes countries of Burundi, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda.

# B. Check Environmental Category A [ ], B [X], C [ ], FI [ ]

The project has been categorized as B due to the potential environmental and social impacts that might result from unsafe medical waste management and construction /rehabilitation activities that might take place under the project.

#### Comments:

C. Safeguard Policies Triggered

	Yes	No
Environmental Assessment (OP/BP/GP 4.01)	[x]	[]
Natural Habitats (OP/BP 4.04)	[]	[x]
Pest Management (OP 4.09)	[]	[ x]
<u>Cultural Property</u> (draft OP 4.11 - <u>OPN</u>	Гl	r 1
11.03-)	[ ]	[x]
Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12)	[x]	[]
Indigenous Peoples (OD 4.20)	[]	[x]
<u>Forests</u> ( <u>OP/BP</u> 4.36)	[]	[x]
Safety of Dams (OP/BP 4.37)	[]	[x]
Projects in Disputed Areas (OP/BP/GP 7.60)*	[]	[x]
Projects on International Waterways (OP/BP/GP 7.50)	[]	[x ]

<sup>\*</sup> By supporting the proposed project, the Bank does not intend to prejudice the final determination of the parties' claims on the disputed areas

### Section II – Key Safeguard Issues and Their Management

## D. Summary of Key Safeguard Issues.

D.1. Describe any safeguard issues and impacts associated with the proposed project. Identify and describe any potential large scale, significant and/or irreversible impacts. Potential safeguard issues under the proposed project relate to (i) unsafe medical waste management which - if left unattended - would contribute to water, soil and air pollution as well as to an increase in exposure to HIV/AIDS among health care workers, municipal staff dealing with waste management, and scavengers at open dump sites; and (ii) the possible construction/rehabilitation of facilities which would contribute to a loss of vegetation, soil erosion, soil and water pollution.

D.2 Describe any potential indirect and/or long term impacts due to anticipated future activities in the project area.

Future project activities will not have long-term and/or indirect impacts.

D.3. Describe the treatment of alternatives (if relevant)

The discussion of alternatives was found to be irrelevant given that this project will complement ongoing national HIV/AIDS activities.

- D.4. Describe measures taken by the borrower to address safeguard issues. Provide an assessment of borrower capacity to plan and implement the measures described. The participating GLIA countries have prepared an Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) and a Policy Framework for Compensation (PFC) which will be applied to future GLIA activities.
- D.5. Identify the key stakeholders and describe the mechanisms for consultation and disclosure on safeguard policies, with an emphasis on potentially affected people. Key stakeholders will include refugees, displaced persons, returnees, and persons living with HIV/AIDS. They will benefit from project support for an improvement in HIV/AIDS prevention, care, treatment, and mitigation approaches in widely scattered areas in virtually all GLIA countries. To ensure that the environmental and social aspects of the project activities are addressed during implementation, a consultant visited representatives of government ministries, environmental management agencies, National Aid Commissions, UNHCHR, UNAIDS to discuss appropriate measures to address these aspects. The outcome of these discussions have been reflected in the ESMF and the PFC referred to above. Both documents are being reviewed by the respective agencies in the GLIA countries and will be disclosed to the public prior to appraisal. The ESMF and the PFC will be disclosed at the Bank's Infoshop upon approval by the GLIA Secretariat, prior to appraisal.

T		
Environmental Assessment/Audit/Management Plan/Other:		
Date of receipt by the Bank 09/08/04 or Not Applical	ole	
Date of "in-country" disclosure 10/04/04 or Not Applica		
Date of submission to InfoShop/ or Not Applica		
For category A projects, date of distributing the Executive Summary of		the
Executive Directors/ or Not Applica		
Resettlement Action Plan/Framework/Policy Process:		
Date of receipt by the Bank 09/08/04 or Not Applica	ble	
Date of "in-country" disclosure 10/04/04 or Not Applica		
Date of submission to InfoShop// or Not Applica		
Indigenous Peoples Development Plan/Framework:	.010	
Date of receipt by the Bank NA or Not Applica	hle	
Date of "in-country" disclosure// or Not Applica		
Date of submission to InfoShop// or Not Applica		
Pest Management Plan:	ioic	
	oblo	
Date of "in-country" disclosure// or Not Applica		
Date of submission to InfoShop// or Not Applica	ible	
Dam Safety Management Plan:	1.1	
Date of receipt by the Bank/NA/ or Not Applic		
Date of "in-country" disclosure// or Not Applica		
Date of submission to InfoShop// or Not Applica		_
If in-country disclosure of any of the above documents is not expected, p	olease exp	lain
why.		
Section III – Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate L.	-vel	
Section III – Compliance Monitoring Indicators at the Corporate Lo (To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me		
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me	eeting)	No
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:	eeting) Yes	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me <i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i> Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?	eeting) Yes X	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me <i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i> Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the	eeting) Yes	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me <i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i> Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?	eeting) Yes X	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me <i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i> Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report? Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the	eeting) Yes X	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me <i>OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:</i> Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report? Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:	eeting) Yes X	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meteoplastic or the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report? If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report? Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision meteric op/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?	Yes X  Yes	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?  OP 4.09 - Pest Management:	eeting) Yes X X	
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?  OP 4.09 - Pest Management:  Does the EA adequately address the pest management issues?	Yes X  Yes	<u>No</u>
(To be filled in when the ISDS is finalized by the project decision me OP/BP 4.01 - Environment Assessment:  Does the project require a stand-alone EA (including EMP) report?  If yes, then did the Regional Environment Unit review and approve the EA report?  Are the cost and the accountabilities for the EMP incorporated in the credit/loan?  OP/BP 4.04 - Natural Habitats:  Would the project result in any significant conversion or degradation of critical natural habitats?  If the project would result in significant conversion or degradation of other (non-critical) natural habitats, does the project include mitigation measures acceptable to the Bank?  OP 4.09 - Pest Management:	Yes X  Yes	<u>No</u>

Draft OP 4.11 (OPN 11.03) - Cultural Property:	Yes	No
Does the EA include adequate measures?		
Does the credit/loan incorporate mechanisms to mitigate the potential		
adverse impacts on physical cultural resources?	<b>3</b> 7	NT -
OD 4.20 - Indigenous Peoples:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Has a separate indigenous people development plan been prepared in consultation with the Indigenous People?		
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and approve the plan?		
If the whole project is designed to benefit IP, has the design been		
reviewed and approved by the Regional Social Development Unit?		
OP/BP 4.12 - Involuntary Resettlement:	Yes	No
Has a resettlement action plan, policy framework or policy process	168	110
been prepared?	X	
If yes, then did the Regional Social Development Unit review and		
approve the plan / policy framework / policy process?		
OP/BP 4.36 – Forests:	Yes	No
Has the sector-wide analysis of policy and institutional issues and	108	110
constraints been carried out?		
Does the project design include satisfactory measures to overcome		
these constraints?		
Does the project finance commercial harvesting, and if so, does it		
include provisions for certification system?		
OP/BP 4.37 - Safety of Dams:	Yes	No
Have dam safety plans been prepared?		2,10
Have the TORs as well as composition for the independent Panel of		
Experts (POE) been reviewed and approved by the Bank?		
Has an Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP) been prepared and		
arrangements been made for public awareness and training?		
OP 7.50 - Projects on International Waterways:	Yes	No
Have the other riparians been notified of the project?		<u></u>
If the project falls under one of the exceptions to the notification		
requirement, then has this been cleared with the Legal Department, and		
the memo to the RVP prepared and sent?		
What are the reasons for the exception?		
Please explain:		
Has the RVP approved such an exception?		
OP 7.60 - Projects in Disputed Areas:	Yes	No
Has the memo conveying all pertinent information on the international		
aspects of the project, including the procedures to be followed, and the		
recommendations for dealing with the issue, been prepared, cleared		
with the Legal Department and sent to the RVP?		
Does the PAD/MOP include the standard disclaimer referred to in the		
OP?		
BP 17.50 - Public Disclosure:	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
DI 17.30 I udite Disclosure.		

Bank's Infoshop?			
Have relevant documents been disclosed in-country in a public place in			
a form and language that are understandable and accessible to project-			
affected groups and local NGOs?			
All Safeguard Policies:			<u>No</u>
Have satisfactory calendar, budget and clear institutional			
responsibilities been prepared for the implementation of the safeguard		X	
measures?			
Have safeguard measures costs been included in project cost?		X	
Will the safeguard measures co	osts be funded as part of project	X	
implementation?		Λ	
Does the Monitoring and Evaluation system of the project include the		X	
monitoring of safeguard impacts and measures?		Λ	
Have satisfactory implementation arrangements been agreed with the			
	lequately reflected in the project legal	X	
documents?			
Signed and submitted by:	<u>Name</u>	<u>Da</u>	<u>te</u>
Task Team Leader:	Pamphile Kantabaze	October	11, 04
Project Safeguards Specialist	Edeltraut Gilgan-Hunt		
1:	Edemant Oligan-Hunt		
Project Safeguards Specialist	Kristine Schwebach		
2:	Kristine Senwebaen		
Project Safeguards Specialist			
3:			
Approved by:	<u>Name</u>	<u>Da</u>	<u>te</u>
Regional Safeguards	Thomas E. Walton		
Coordinator:	Thomas E. Waiton		
Comments:			
Sector Manager:	Keith Hansen	October	12, 04
Comments:			