

IRRIGATION FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE PROJECT (ICRP)

Additional Surveys and Assessments for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme on

"Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan"



Ministry of Water and Environment Plot 3-7, Kabalega Crescent, Luzira KAMPALA, UGANDA





Plot 48, Mbogo 1 Road, Najjera-Kiira P.O. Box 28434, Kampala, Uganda Tel: +256 - 772 - 458903 /772-459792 info@jbn.co.ug | www.jbn.co.

February 2022

PROJECT TEAM

No	Expert Name	Field of expertise
Кеу Ехр	erts	
1.	Nelson Omagor	Team Leader
2.	Wilson Mwanja (PhD)	Biologist/Ecologist
3.	Vincent Ssebugwawo	Hydrologist
4.	Kizimula Jude Nkoyoyo	Social Safeguards Specialist
Non-Key	y Experts	
5.	James Kalema (PhD)	Vegetation Expert
6.	Stephen Kigoolo	Zoologist/Fauna Expert
7.	Andrew Nuwasiima	GIS/Mapping Specialist
8.	Tashobya Reagan	Surveyor
9.	Martin Kabenge (PhD)	Environmental Engineer
10.	Ivan M. Okuni	Quality Assurance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF TABLES	XI
LIST OF FIGURES	XIV
LIST OF ACRYNOMS	XXI
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	XXIV
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	2
1.2 Project components	2
1.2.1 Component 1. Irrigation Services	2
1.2.2 Component 2: Support services for agricultural production development	and value-chain3
1.2.3 Component 3: Institutional Strengthening and Implementation Sup	oport3
1.3 Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme	3
1.3.1 Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme	3
1.4 The Assignment/study	9
1.4.1 Earlier study	9
1.4.2 Objectives	9
1.4.3 Scope	
1.5 Report Structure	
2 POLICY, LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK	
2.1 Uganda Policy Framework	
2.1.1 The Uganda Vision 2040	
2.1.2 Third National Development Plan (NDP III) 2020/21–2024/25	
2.1.3 The National Environment Management Policy, 1994	
2.1.4 National Water Policy, 1999	
2.1.5 MoWE Environment and Social Safeguards Policy 2018	
2.1.6 Uganda National Climate Change Policy-UNCCP, 2012	
2.1.7 The National Agriculture Policy, 2013	
2.1.8 The National Fisheries and Aquaculture Policy, 2018	14
2.1.9 The National Land Use Policy, 2013	14
2.1.10 The Occupational Health and Safety Policy	
2.1.11 National Employment Policy 2011	14
2.1.12 Uganda Gender Policy 2017	
2.1.13 National Social Protection Policy 2015	15
2.1.14 National OVC Policy 2004	
2.1.15 The National Child Labour Policy, 2006	15
2.1.16 Other relevant National Policies	

2.2	National Strategic Planning Framework 16
2.2.1	National Development Plan III16
2.2.2	District Development Plans II and III16
2.2.3	Lower Local Government Development Plans16
2.2.4	Environment and Natural Resources Sub-Sector Gender Mainstreaming Strategy
2016-	2021 (June 2016)
2.2.5	Water and Sanitation Gender Strategy 2018-2022 (WSGS III)16
2.2.6	National Irrigation Master Plan for Uganda (2010-2035)17
2.2.7	Operation Wealth Creation
2.3 U	Jganda Legal Framework17
2.3.1	Constitution of the Republic of Uganda, 199517
2.3.2	The National Environment Act, N°.5 of 201917
2.3.3	Water Act, 1995
2.3.4	Fish Act, 2002 and Fisheries and Aquaculture Bill 201918
2.3.5	National Forestry and Tree Planting Act, 200318
2.3.6	The Local Governments Act (Cap 243)18
2.3.7	The Land Act, Cap 22718
2.3.8	The Public Health Act, 196418
2.3.9	The Children Act 199618
2.3.10	Children Act (amended) 201619
2.3.11	Domestic Violence Act 2010
2.3.12	The Employment Act, 200619
2.3.13	The Workers Compensation Act, 200019
2.3.14	National (Environmental and Social Assessment Regulations), 2000
2.3.15	The National Environment (Audit) Regulations, 200619
2.3.16	The National Environment (Waste Management) Regulations, 1999
2.3.17	National Environment (Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management)
Regul	ations, No. 3/2000
2.3.18	The Water Abstraction Regulations, 199820
2.3.19	Environmental Guidelines to Local Governments for Strengthening Compliance
with S	afeguards Requirements in Development Projects 2020
2.3.20	The Fish (Fishing) Rules, 2010 (Amended, 2020)20
2.4 U	Jganda Institutional Framework
2.4.1	Ministry of Water and Environment, (M0WE)20
2.4.2	MoWE Victoria Water Zone in South Western Region Mbarara
2.4.3	Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF)
2.5 5	tatutory Agencies21
2.5.1	The National Environment Management Authority-NEMA21
2.5.2	The Uganda Land Commission21

	2.5.3	Isingiro Local Government	22
	2.5.4	Local Governments (Isingiro, Mbarara & Ntungamo DLGs)	22
	2.5.5	Non-State Actors	22
	2.6 Ir	nternational Conventions and Agreements to which Uganda is signatory	22
	2.6.1	Convention on Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and Int	ernational
	Lakes	1992	22
	2.6.2	Guidelines of the World Commission of Dams (WCD)	22
	2.6.3	Convention of Biological Diversity	23
	2.6.4	Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna	and Flora
	(CITES		22
	2.6.5	United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD)	
	2.6.6	Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals	
	2.6.7	Ramsar Convention on Wetlands	23
	2.6.8	Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)	24
	2.6.9	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)	24
	2.7 V	Vorld Bank Environmental and Social Policy Frameworks	24
	2.8 R	elevant Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Guidelines (IFC/World)	27
	2.9 A	frica Union Treaties, Conventions, Protocols and Charters	
	2.10 R	egional Strategies, Plans, Protocols Conventions and Agreements	
	2.10.1	East Africa Community Protocol on Environment and Natural	Resources
	Manag	gement, 2006	
3	BASEL	INE DATA COLLECTION METHODS	33
	3.1 G	eneral Approach	
	3.2 N	Nethodology	
	3.2.1	Review of the ESIA/ESMP	
	3.2.2	Literature review	
	3.2.3	Field Sampling and Surveys	34
	3.2.4	Hydrological Assessment	37
	3.2.5	Fisheries and Limnology	
	3.2.6	Water Quality and Mass (Sediment) Transport	
	3.2.7	Vegetation and Flora	
	3.2.8	Fauna	
	3.2.9	Social-Economic Survey	56
	3.2.10	Stakeholder and public consultations	58
	3.2.11	Project Impacts and Risks Assessment	62
	3.2.12	Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA)	64
4	BASEL	INE CONDITIONS	67
	4.1 P	hysical Environment	67
	4.1.1	Topography	68

	4.1.2	Climate	69
	4.1.3	Geology (Lithology)	70
	4.1.4	Soils	71
	4.1.5	Hydrology	72
	4.2 B	Biological environment	95
	4.2.1	Limnology of Mishumba Catchment	95
	4.2.2	Plankton and Macroinvertebrates	104
	4.2.3	Vegetation and Flora	113
	4.2.4	Fauna	122
	4.3 S	Social-Economic Environment	149
	4.3.1	Project Location and Beneficiary Areas	149
	4.3.2	Population and Demographic Characteristics	150
	4.3.3	Poverty Trends	151
	4.3.4	Social Services & Infrastructure	153
	4.3.5	Livelihoods	164
	4.3.6	Domestic Fuel and Energy (Cooking)	167
	4.3.7	Agricultural Production & Productivity	168
	4.3.8	Gender Dimensions (Women, Children, Disability)	179
	4.4 E	Ecosystems Services: (Regulating, Provisioning & Cultural goods and services)	182
	4.4.1	Regulating Services	182
	4.4.2	Provisioning Ecosystem Services (Access and utilization)	183
	4.4.3	Cultural Services (Non-material benefits)	190
	4.4.4	Major concerns	194
5	ENVIR	RONMENTAL FLOW ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	196
	5.1 E	Environmental Flows (e-flows)	196
	5.2 N	Methods of Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA)	196
	5.3 S	Selection of Models	197
	5.3.1	The Integrated e-flow analysis Approach	198
	5.3.2	Definition of hydrological environmental flow regime (HEFR)	199
	5.3.3	Environmental Flows Assessment for key fish species as representatives of key	biomes
	in the	e catchment	202
	5.3.4	Consideration of the e-flow requirements of other biodiversity	205
6	ENVIR	RONMENTAL FLOW ASSESSMENT (EFA)	207
	6.1 C	Derivation of the Environmental flows (e-flows) Requirement	207
	6.1.1	Modified Base Flow Index (MBFI) with Threshold approach	207
	6.2 R	Results of the hydraulic analysis at the sampling points affected by the dam	209
	б.2.1	Sampling Point 3	210
	б.2.2	Sampling Point 6	211

6	5.2.3	Sampling Point 8	.212
6	5.2.4	Sampling Point 14	.214
6	5.2.5	Sampling Point 15	.215
6.3	E	stablishment of the Environmental flow Requirement (EFR)	.219
6	5.3.1	Water flow dependence of observed aquatic species	.219
6	5.3.2	Proposed flow regime from the ESIA	.240
6.4	C	Comparison of scenarios and final recommended EFR	.241
6	5.4.1	Structuring and Presentations of Scenarios	.241
6	5.4.2	Description of scenarios	.243
6	5.4.3	Flow-ecosystem relationships	.244
6	5.4.4	Analysis of scenarios and sedimentation regime	.251
6	5.4.5	Impact of scenarios on irrigation potential	.252
6	5.4.6	Analysis of scenarios against indicator list	.252
6	5.4.7	Conclusion on e-flow	.253
7 S	ТАКЕ	HOLDER CONSULTATIONS AND CONCERNS	. 256
7.1	0	bjectives of Stakeholder Consultations	.256
7.2	C	ategories of Stakeholders	.256
7.3	R	esults of Stakeholder Consultations (Issues & Concerns)	.257
7	7.3.1	Local Governments (Kabuyanda SC, Kabuyanda TC, Kikagati SC and Ruborogot	a SC)
		257	
7	7.3.2	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and	Non-
7 b	7.3.2 Denefi	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area)	Non- . 263
7 b 8 I	7.3.2 Denefi MPAC	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area)	Non- . 263 . 273
7 b 8 l 8.1	7.3.2 benefi MPAC	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures	Non- . 263 . 273 . 273
7 b 8 l 8.1 8	7.3.2 Denefic MPAC . P 3.1.1	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase	Non- . 263 . 273 . 273 . 273
7 b 8 II 8.1 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC . P 3.1.1 3.1.2	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase Construction Phase	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273
7 b 8 11 8.1 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefi MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase Construction Phase Dam filling Phase	Non- . 263 . 273 . 273 . 273 . 273 . 274
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.3 3.1.4	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase Construction Phase Dam filling Phase Operation & Maintenance Phase	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase Construction Phase Dam filling Phase Operation & Maintenance Phase Level of Intensity of Positive Impacts	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8.2	7.3.2 penefi MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures Pre-Construction Phase Construction Phase Dam filling Phase Operation & Maintenance Phase Level of Intensity of Positive Impacts Iegative Impacts and Mitigation Measures.	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279
7 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefie MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.5 3.2.1	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279
7 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8.2 8 8.2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 N 3.2.1 3.2.2	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .281
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8.2 8 8.2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 Denefi MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.2.1 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .281 .295
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefi MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.5 N 3.2.1 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .281 .295 .296
7 8 11 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 N 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and ciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .281 .295 .296 .307
7 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 5 5 7 7	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area)	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .281 .295 .296 .307 .311
7 b 8 II 8.1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	7.3.2 penefic MPAC 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 5 C 3.3.1	Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and iciary areas outside target area) CT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES Pre-Construction Phase Pre-Construction Phase Dam filling Phase Operation & Maintenance Phase Level of Intensity of Positive Impacts Idegative Impacts and Mitigation Measures. Pre-Construction Phase Dam filling Phase Operation Phase Dam filling Phase Dam filling Phase Dam filling Phase Operation and Maintenance phases Level of Intensity of Negative Impacts Dam filling Phase Operation and Maintenance phases Level of Intensity of Negative Impacts Valued Environmental and Social Components (VECs), Future Conditions & Thresh 311	Non- .263 .273 .273 .273 .274 .275 .277 .279 .279 .279 .281 .295 .296 .307 .311 nolds

9	BIODI	IVERSITY AND ECOLOGICAL FLOW MANAGEMENT PLAN (BEPM)	335
9	9.1 0	Overview	
	9.1.1	Purpose of this Biodiversity and Ecological-flow Management Plan	
	9.1.2	Scope of the Biodiversity and Environment flow Management Plan	
	9.1.3	Document Management	
9	€.2 F	Project Approach to Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management	
	9.2.1	Supervision of Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan	
	9.2.2	Key responsibilities of the Safeguards Supervising Consultant and th	e Resident
	Engine	eer	
	9.2.3	Protection of Designated Sites	
	9.2.4	Site / habitat specific method statements	
	9.2.5	Working in Habitat Areas	
	9.2.6	Conservation of Notable Species	
9	9.3 F	Roles and Responsibilities	
	9.3.1	Overview	
	9.3.2	Beneficiary PMU's Roles and Responsibilities	
	9.3.3	Contractor Roles and Responsibilities	
9	9.4 N	Vanagement, Mitigation, Monitoring and Verification	
	9.4.1	Management Actions	
	9.4.2	General Monitoring Activities	
	9.4.3	Management System Verification Monitoring	
	9.4.4	Key Performance Indicators	
9	Э.5 Т	Fraining	
10	EN	VIRONMENTAL FLOW REQUIREMENT (EFR) MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	347
	10.1 0	Overview	
	LO.2 I	mplemeting the e-flow plan	
-	10.3 5	Specifics of the EFMP	
	10.3.1	1 Objectives	
	10.3.2	2 The spatial scope	
	10.3.3	The dates of initialization, duration and provisions for revision	
	10.3.4	Values to be protected / trade-offs	
	10.3.5	5 Dam designing to meet the target conditions	
	10.3.6	5 The measures adopted for restoration, or preventing or mitigating impa	acts 352
	10.4 0	Organisation for the implementation of the EFMP	
	10.4.1	1 Organization principles	
	10.4.2	2 Organizational Structure	
	10.4.3	8 Role of the different Actors	
2	10.5 A	Adaptive management	

10.6	A framework for implementing and monitoring the EFMP	
10.7	Reporting, record keeping and auditing/quality control arrangements	
10.7.	1 Delegation of institutional responsibility	
11 DA	AM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN	359
11.1	Main Characteristics of Dam and Appurtenant Structures	
11.2	Potential Hazards of Kabuyanda Dam and Surrounding Areas	
11.2.	1 Risk Classification of Kabuyanda Dam	
11.3	Management Structure	
11.3.	1 Overall Management Structure	
11.3.	2 Key Staff Qualification and Training	
11.4	Maintenance Program	
11.4.	1 Maintenance Program Overview	
11.4.	2 Civil Works and Electrical Mechanical Equipment Maintenance Progra	ım
11.5	Surveillance Program	
11.5.	1 Surveillance Types and Schedule	
11.5.	2 Periodic Dam Safety Inspection	
11.5.	3 Instrumentation and Monitoring	
11.5.	4 Reporting and Archiving Procedure	
12 M	ONITORING PROGRAM	378
12.1	Gap Analysis	
12.2	Scope of the Monitoring Programme and its overall objective	
12.3 (Conceptual understanding of flow–ecology relationships and the questions	(hypotheses)
to be te	ested	
12.4	Timing and monitoring schedules	
12.5	Information and Data Variables for the Monitoring Programme	
13 IN	IPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS AND PROCEDURES	385
13.1	Institutional arrangements	
13.1.	1 Ministry's' level coordination mechanism	
13.1.	2 The Project Support Team - PST	
13.1.	3 Roles of other ministries in the project	
13.1.	4 Role of National Environment Management Authority-NEMA	
13.1.	5 Isingiro District local government administration	
13.1.	6 Role of the contractors	
13.1.	7 Role of the supervising consultant	
13.1.	8 Project implementation support	
13.2	Implementation procedures	
14 CC	ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	390

APPENDIX
Annex 1: List of sampled water quality parameters
Annex 2: Laboratory water quality analysis certificates
Annex 3: Vegetation and Flora
Annex 4: Species check list of bird species recorded during the survey both seasons combined
Annex 5: Biology and flow-related ecological requirements of plant species most sensitive to hydrology in River Mishumba
Appex 6: Stakeholder Consultations (Attendance Lists) for Kabuwanda ICPD
Annex 6. Stakeholder Consultations (Attendance Lists) for Rabuyanda ICRP
Annex 7: Summary of BEMP consideration on biodiversity mitigation measures and management Actions
Annex 8: Summary of BEMP consideration on social risks and impacts regarding provisioning ecosystems services
Annex 9: Summary of BEMP consideration on specific mitigation measures and actions for Sensitive Habitats and notable Species
Annex 10: Monitoring Framework for Kabuyanda ICRP489
Annex 11: Past Current and Satellite Images (25 th March 2021) of River Mishumba from Upstream (dam area) to downstream (River Kagera)491
Annex 12: Screening of timeseries data
Annex 13: Topographic Survey
Annex 12: Checklists/logs for different kinds of inspections for operation and maintenance of the dam and its associated structures

LIST OF TABLES Table 3-2: Determination of impact significance 63 Table 4-1: Sub-catchments, Rivers and Streams in Mishumba Catchment......73 Table 4-4: Sens slope estimate showing a slope of zero77 Table 4-7: Summary of river habitat description of sampling sites along reaches of River Mishumba catchment......95 Table 4-8: In situ measurements of basic physiochemical parameters taken during the dry season Table 4-9: Water guality parameters for different sections and reaches of River Mishumba and Table 4-10: River Mishumba bed sediment description and key socioeconomic activities (landuse) Table 4-11: The algal phyla encountered and unidentified in the Mishumba catchment during both wet and dry sampling periods105 Table 4-13: Terrestrial and aquatic survey points with vegetation description, dominant flora and Table 4-14: Disturbances and their location 118 Table 4-19: Summary of recorded bird species in both wet and dry seasons in the study area 134 Table 4-20: List of Sites and numbers of Grey Crowned Cranes encountered during the study 135 Table 4-22: Assessment matrix for Regulating Ecosystem Services (Conditions & Trends in past 12 Table 4-25: Summary of Ecosystem Services Survey (ESS) findings 191 Table 4-26: Number of persons who frequently access or utilize provisioning and cultural Table 4-27: Major concerns of local people captured during consultations and the survey 194

Table 5-2: Framework for assigning of ecological importance in setting of e-flows to different
species and species groups in Mishumba catchment204
Table 5-3: Framework for assigning ecological importance and sensitivity 205
Table 6-1: MBFIT analysis of flow rates of River Mishumba flows for 12 months 207
Table 6-2: Rates of change in flows over the 12 months under the HEFR approach
Table 6-3: Thresholds in form of percentiles, recurrence intervals against the magnitude for RiverMishumba208
Table 6-4: Summary of current flow regime using different methodologies
Table 6-5: Flow regimes and their extent and hydraulic/hydrologic characteristics
Table 6-6: Description of flow components for River Mishumba
Table 6-7: Ecological characteristics and requirements for different stages of key fish species
Table 6.8: Summary of Environmental Elevy Requirement for fich
Table C-o. Minimum flows converted into discharges at each compling point
Table 6-9: Minimum flows converted into discharges at each sampling point
Table 6-10: Resultant environmental flow time-series for the fish requirements
Table 6-11: Environmental flow requirements for key vegetation and flora for their sustenance
Table 6-12: Fauna Species that may be affected by the environmental flow
Table 6-13: Summary of Environmental Flow Requirement for flora
Table 6-14: Minimum flows converted into discharges at each sampling point
Table 6-15: Resultant environmental flow time-series for the papyrus requirements
Table 6-16: Contribution of Rweibare tributary, release from the reservoir and total flows 240
Table 6-17: Development of different flow regimes under the current situation
Table 6-18: Summary of flow regimes under different scenarios
The river was rated used using 0 to 4 scale to determine its importance and sensitivity. This helped to categorize Mishumba in the range of low/marginal, moderate, high and very high. Table 6-19: Framework for assigning ecological importance and sensitivity for River Mishumba according to BBM Methodology
Table 6-20: Ecological importance and sensitivity rating for River Mishumba according to BBM Methodology
Table 6-21: Framework for assigning of ecological importance in setting of e-flows to different
species and species groups in Mishumba catchment.
Table 6-22: Summary of the biology and flow-related ecological requirements of five fish species
in River Mishumba
Table 6-23: Comparison of observed fish species requirements with the existing instream flow
conditions
Table 6-24: Bed sediment description at selected sampling points
Table 6-25: Impact of the scenarios on the sediment regime 252
Table 6-26: Recommended water release schedule from the reservoir

Table 8-1: Level of Intensity of Positive Impact per river section	
Table 8-2: Social Impact Identification matrix for ICRP Kabuyanda (Dam construction & Op	erations
of Irrigation System)	309
Table 8-3: Some of the planned and on-going projects within Isingiro (Kabuyanda)	312
Table 9-1: Description of key habitats of focus in Biodiversity and E-flow Management Pla	an338
Table 9-2: Notable and protected species that may be encountered in the project areas	
Table 9-3: Initial split of activities	339
Table 9-4: Contractor Roles and Responsibilities	
Table 9-5: Monitoring provisions for this Biodiversity and E-flow Management Plan	
Table 9-6: Management System verification monitoring requirements	343
Table 9-7: Biodiversity and Environmental flow KPIs	
Table 11-1: Typical risk classification of Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam	
Table 11-2: ICOLD Dams Classification System	363
Table 11-3: General maintenance plan	
Table 11-4: Types or categories of maintenance	369
Table 11-5: Proposed instrumentation for Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam	
Table 11-6: Potential risk, deficiencies and defects of the dam to be inspected	
Table 11-7: Type of inspection or monitoring and frequency for Kabuyanda Dam	374
Table 11-8: Instrumentation for Kabuyanda Dam	
Table 11-9: Proposed reading frequency of monitoring instruments	375
Table 12-1: Environmental variables with established causal links with changes to the flow	/ regime
Table 14-1: Summary of the biology and flow-related ecological requirements of plant	species
most sensitive to hydrology in River Mishumba	466

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Location of Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme project area
Figure 1-2: Mean Monthly flows of the River Mishumba
Figure 1-3: Confluence and flow of the tributaries of river Mishumba below the dam
Figure 1-4: Administration of Kabuyanda ICRP area7
Figure 2-1: Stakeholder consultations on the project while observing COVID-19 SOPs during a
meeting in February 2021
Figure 3-1: Overall map of sampled points in the upstream, midstream and downstream
Figure 3-2: Schematic diagram of a chosen river cross-section for current-meter discharge
measurements
Figure 3-3: Field River/stream discharge measurement during the wet and dry season along River
Mishumba and its tributaries41
Figure 3-4: Picking the dry and wet profile cross-sections of Mishumba using a dump level set. 42
Figure 3-5: Picking the dry and wet profile cross-sections of Mishumba using an RTK machine .42
Figure 3-6: Sample collection and preparation to be frozen in individual plexislides for further laboratory analysis
Figure 3-7: Zooplankton sampling from the mid water column using a conical net
Figure 3-8: Setting a gillnet at the catchment outlet along Kikagati – Ntungamo road (E234935, N9886429)
Figure 3-9: Insitu water quality parameter measurements along River Chezho in Nyakitunda
(E238425, N9902839)
Figure 3-10: In situ water quality measurements using Horiba U-53 water quality meter along
Mishumba at E232809, N9903119
Figure 3-11: Administering ESS Questionnaire using mobile device at Nombe village (downstream)
Figure 3-12: Meeting users of ecosystems services near below Dam site in Kagoto 2 village 59
Figure 3-13: Meeting Village Leader at Kagoto 2 village
Figure 3-14: Meeting parish Chiefs of Kanywamizi and Kagara parishes (upstream)
Figure 3-15: Meeting Upstream communities of Kyamazinga 1 and 260
Figure 3-16: Community consultation in Kagoto 1 and 260
Figure 3-17: Consultations with 4 villages (Kagoto 1 and 2, Kaaro 3 and Katoma)
Figure 3-18: Meeting Kabuyanda Town Council Technical and Political Team
Figure 3-19: Meeting Ruborogota Sub County Technical Head (SAS)61
Figure 3-20: Consultations with smallholder farmers in downstream (Kabumba)
Figure 3-21: Consultations with smallholder farmers in Mid-stream
Figure 3-22: Consultations with community leaders in Rwakijuma Parish
Figure 4-1: Map of Districts and their area contribution to Mishumba Catchment's area
Figure 4-2: Topographic characteristics of Mishumba catchment
Figure 4-3: Average monthly rainfall for the project area70

Figure 4-4: Geological formation of the project area	71
Figure 4-5: Soil formation and classification of the project area	72
Figure 4-6: Mean Monthly flows of the River Mishumba	74
Figure 4-7: Schematic representation of Mishumba Catchment, River Mishumba and its trik	butaries. 74
Figure 4-8: Mishumba Catchment and its Sub-catchments	75
Figure 4-9: Trend of annual mean flow for River Mishumba	76
Figure 4-10: Temporary gauging station along R. Mishumba (36 M E 232879, N 98 downstream of the dam site and its current status	399582) 77
Figure 4-11: Graph showing the estimated fitting of the Sen's slope and the 99% a confidence intervals	nd 95% 78
Figure 4-12: Flow duration curve of the Dam site data	78
Figure 4-13: Stage discharge measurement at different sampling sites in Mishumba cat	chment 80
Figure 4-14: Hydrological sampled points of stage discharge in the wet (Nov 202) and c 2021) seasons	dry (Feb
Figure 4-15: Cross-sections at different points measured along River Mishumba and its tril	butaries 88
Figure 4-16: Map showing points where high and low flows are experienced along Mishe the midstream and downstream	umba in 90
Figure 4-17: High flow pulses and overbank flows (wet season in Nov.) and low flows (dry in FebMar.) in the downstream midstream and downstream areas. Upstream of the da along Mishumba	⁷ season am area 91
Figure 4-18: Evidence of effects of high flows in Mishumba catchment	93
Figure 4-19: Map of sampled points for limnology and fish	
Figure 4-20: Site 14 (E234824, N9898362) with rooted emergent vegetation	102
Figure 4-21: Middle reaches of River Mishumba after point 14 at E234896, N9898285	103
Figure 4-22: Emergent and rooted vegetation between site 14 and 15	103
Figure 4-23: Vegetated pool of water created at site 24 (E234935, N9886429)	103
Figure 4-24: Collecting sediment samples at site 20 from the river bed at E236134, N9	893128 104
Figure 4-25: Some of the regenerating and surviving vegetation at site 24 in the downstr E234935, N9886429	ream at 104
Figure 4-26: Riparian vegetation in the downstream areas of the catchment at site 23	104
Figure 4-27: Subadults of African catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>) and fingerlings of Ningu <i>victorianus</i>) caught at sampling site 14	(<i>Labeo</i> 107
Figure 4-28: A mixture of tilapiine species fish from R. Mishumba in a market at Kyab (salted, sun dried and smoked)	oaganda 108
Figure 4-29: Oreochromis leucostictus or Mbiru introduced from Lake Albert in the 1930s	108
Figure 4-30: Juveniles of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) captured at Site 11	108

Figure 4-31: A fingerling of African catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>)108
Figure 4-32: African catfish (Clarias carsonii) found with eggs109
Figure 4-33: Location of terrestrial and aquatic survey points with flora species richness 117
Figure 4-34: Some of the land uses and disturbances that were recorded in the project area 120
Figure 4-35: Species richness by growth habit120
Figure 4-36: Invasive species that were encountered in the project area
Figure 4-37: Diversity of Butterfly species in the study area126
Figure 4-38: some Butterfly species encountered during the additional survey
Figure 4-39: Diversity of dragonfly species in the project area
Figure 4-40: Catalogue of some of the Dragon flies recorded in the project area
Figure 4-41: Diversity of Amphibian Species encountered in the project area
Figure 4-42: Amphibian diversity representation in the wet and dry seasons
Figure 4-43: Catalogue of some of the Amphibians in the project area
Figure 4-44: Diversity of reptile Species encountered in the project area
Figure 4-45: Catalogue of some of the Reptiles recorded in the project area
Figure 4-46: Number of recorded species in both wet and dry seasons in the study area
Figure 4-47: Sites where Grey Crowned Cranes were encountered during the dry and wet seasons.
Figure 4-48: Birds using the riverine vegetation for nesting
Figure 4-49: Comparison of the previous and current status of upstream of dam (E232820, N9903147)
Figure 4-50: Comparison of the previous and current status of upstream (middle of dam) (E232583,
N9901041)
Figure 4-51: Comparison of the previous and current status of dam (confluence at E232533, N9900100)
Figure 4-52: Harvest of riparian vegetation along River Mishumba by attendant farming
communities for purposes of mulching142
Figure 4-53: Dragonfly (Julia Skimmer Orthetrum Julia) preying on a butterfly (Acraea sp) (Red
arrow pointing at Acraea sp)
Figure 4-54: Results of the respondents on use of Amphibians as Source of Meat / protein 145
Figure 4-55: Community response on use of wild birds for meat
Figure 4-56: Community Superstition on certain wild birds and edible Rat147
Figure 4-57: Map showing locations of beneficiary areas
Figure 4-58: Catchment population by Sub-County
Figure 4-59: Catchment population by river stretch
Figure 4-60: Linear settlement patterns in Kabuyanda trading centre (irrigable area at 36 M
235650, 9895152)
Figure 4-61: Demographic Dividend (Proportion of Working-to-Nonworking populations) in
project area of Mishumba Catchment

Figure 4-62: Some of the existing feeder and community access roads in the project area 154
Figure 4-63: A truck stuck in mad due to poor state of roads during the rainy season at E233053, N9899555
Figure 4-64: State of community access and feeder roads in the project area at E232856, N9899713
Figure 4-65: Poor road and river stream used as water sources in the irrigable area at237333, 9895668
Figure 4-66: A secondary school in the irrigable area (mid-stream) at E235058, N9895468 155
Figure 4-67: Some of the primary schools located in the catchment area (mainly irrigable area)
Figure 4-68: Number of households (HHs) accessing piped water & boreholes
Figure 4-69: Children collecting water from the unprotected water source (river) along the road
Figure 4-70: New water body at Rugaga in Ntundu parish (irrigable/downstream area) after the May 2020 flash flood
Figure 4-71: Rain water harvesting tank in Kabuyanda159
Figure 4-72: People and animals struggling for water from unprotected point (river flowing over road)
Figure 4-73: Households with toilet facilities at parish level within the project area160
Figure 4-74: Children swimming in the river (midstream) and at high-risk of water related diseases at E236118, N9893136)
Figure 4-75: Access to health services at nearest Gov't facility per Sub-county
Figure 4-76: Kabuyanda Health Centre IV in Kabuyanda TC (irrigable area E235650, N9895152)
Figure 4-77: Health services offered at Kabuyanda HC IV
Figure 4-78: VHTs serving 2 villages above Dam site (Kyamazinga 1 & 2)163
Figure 4-79: Number of farming HHs by river stretch within the project area
Figure 4-80: Fish farming (ponds) in the irrigable area (downstream at E235567, N9890040)165
Figure 4-81: Brick making in the lowlands of Central and Iryango wards along swamps (mid-stream)
Figure 4-82: Maize Garden in the irrigable area (downstream at 36 M 237249, 9894497) 166
Figure 4-83: Harvested cassava for sale167
Figure 4-84: Sub-catchment households with 2 meals per day within the project area167
Figure 4-85: Mother & Daughter walking from Dam site to Kyamazinga village after collecting firewood
Figure 4-86: Boy child after collect firewood from near dam site in Kagoto 2 village
Figure 4-87: Boy child after collecting firewood from Rwoho forest
Figure 4-88: Women and children after collecting firewood from upstream (dam area) at 231323, 9900298

Figure 4-89: Mixed farming practices mainly in the midstream and downstream areas of the catchment
Figure 4-90: Satellite Image (25/03/2021) showing the fish farming activity in the midstream (irrigable area) along Mishumba
Figure 4-91: Undulating bare hills prone to soil erosion and landslides in the upstream
Figure 4-92: Undulating bare hills with short vegetation cover prone to soil erosion in the midstream
Figure 4-93: Some of the crop gardens cultivated by women and children in downstream areas of Ruzinga and Kabumba) between sampling sites 21 and 24
Figure 4-94: Children tilling land midstream (Ruyonza / Rwamwijuka)172
Figure 4-95: Tractor opening-up the land (downstream) in Kabumba - Kyamusooni Parish near sampling site 23
Figure 4-96: Hand dug ponds as source of water near sample site 24
Figure 4-97: Valley dam in Kaburara/Rwakijuma that was submerged by floods (May 2020) at E237562, N9893738 near sample site 19 and 20
Figure 4-98: A current satellite aerial image (25th March 2021) showing the submerged valley dam
Figure 4-99: A ricket which are nuisance to germinating legumes & cereals
Figure 4-100: Children selling pineapples in Akatensani trading centre (midstream) at E236408, N 9897503
Figure 4-101: Poor methods of drying harvests on a dirty ground
Figure 4-102: Milk collection center in Kabuyanda town council
Figure 4-103: Un-used bulk store (constructed by CAIIP programme with funding AFD) at Kikagati
Figure 4-104: A bulk store in Kabuyanda TC
Figure 4-105: An overview of banana plantations in the irrigable area from Kabuyanda hill 178
Figure 4-106: Cultivating hills without use of contours in Rwoho (upstream) at E231095, N9900676
Figure 4-107: Bush and grass burning practices in the project area
Figure 4-108: Children fetching water in the project area
Figure 4-109: State of water clarity at sample point 24 downstream (Nanda village)
Figure 4-110: Tractor clearing wetland vegetation for crop cultivation in downstream between sampling point 20 & 22
Figure 4-111: Animal (goats) watering in the upstream (dam area) at E232503, N9900414 184
Figure 4-112: Animal (cows) watering in the midstream (irrigable area) at E237342, N9895670
Figure 4-113: Animal (cows) watering in the downstream (after irrigable area along Kabuyanda – Ruborogota road) at E234934, N9886429
Figure 4-114: Fishing activities as per sub-catchment

Figure 4-115: A water pond formed as a result of flash flood (May 2020) used for fishing by local 186
Figure 4-116: Gardens of food crops in the Irrigable areas 187
Figure 4-117: Percentage of persons who have eaten or eat particular species of frogs for food
Figure 4-118: Building material (Papyrus) collected from the midstream (irrigable area) 189
Figure 4-119: Children collecting timber from Rwoho CFR
Figure 4-120: Timber and firewood collection from Rwoho CFR
Figure 4-121: Timber lumbering activity in Rwoho CFR near the dam site
Figure 4-122: Timber lumbering activity in Rwoho CFR in the middle of the reservoir area 190
Figure 4-123: Percentage of persons who fluently access and utilise ecosystem services within micro-catchments of selected sample sites
Figure 4-124: Ranking of floods due to dam overflow/break as a major concern by upstream,
midstream and downstream communities
Figure 5-1: Flow chart of the main procedural steps for e-flow assessment integrated with tools
developed from the hydrology, fluvial geomorphology and biology198
Figure 6-1. Water surface levels at various discharges at sampling point 3
Figure 6-2: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 3
Figure 6-3: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 3
Figure 6-4: Water surface elevation for various discharges at sampling point 6
Figure 6-5: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 6
Figure 6-6: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 6
Figure 6-7: Profile showing water surface levels for various discharges at sampling point 8 213
Figure 6-8: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 8
Figure 6-9: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 8
Figure 6-10: Profile showing the water surface levels for various water discharges at sampling point 14
Figure 6-11: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 14
Figure 6-12: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 14
Figure 6-13: Profile showing water surface levels for various discharges at sampling point 15, 216
Figure 6-14: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 15
Figure 6-15: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 15
Figure 6-16: Conceptual diagram showing the links between individual flow components and flow
requirements for rheophilic fishes of River Mishumba
Figure 6-17: Conceptual diagram showing the links between individual flow components and flow
requirements for limnophilic fishes of River Mishumba
Figure 6-18: Relationships between particle size and the tendency to be eroded, transported, or
deposited at different current velocities

Figure 8-1: Modified riverine along the proposed reservoir area (bare land) without any aquatic habitats
Figure 10-1: Environmental Flow Management Plan - Adaptive Management System as envisaged for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme
Figure 10-2: Organizational structure for Environmental flows and Biodiversity Management Plan.
Figure 11-1: Conceptual Diagram of Threats/Loads, Dam Response/Performance, and Consequences
Figure 11-2: Overall organizational structure of the dam operation and maintenance team 365
Figure 11-3: Schematic illustration of typical deficiencies in dam captured in inspection
Figure 14-1: Land use/cover, vegetation, bare ground or bog and water coverage in Mishumba

LIST OF ACR	YNOMS
AAF	Average Annual Flow
BEFMP	Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan
CAIIP	Community Agricultural Infrastructure Improvement Programme
CFR	Central Forest Reserve
CIA	Cumulative Impact Assessment
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DRDIP	Development Response to Displacement Impact Project
EFR	Environmental Flow Requirement
EFCs	Environmental Flow Components
EFMP	Environmental Flow Management Plan
EMCs	Environmental Management Classes
ESIA	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
ESMP	Environmental and Social Management Plan
ESS	Ecosystems Services Survey
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FDCs	Flow Duration Curves
FGDs	Focus Group Discussions
FTEA	Flora of Tropical East Africa
GBV	Gender-Based Violence
GFS	Gravity Flow Scheme
GIS	Geographical Information System
GoU	Government of Uganda
GPS	Global Positioning System
HEC RAS	Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System
HEFR	Hydrology-based Environment Flow Regime Approach
HFPs	High Flow Pulses
HHs	Households
HIV/AIDS	Human Immuno-deficiency Virus/ Acquired Immuno-deficiency Syndrome
HSC	Habitat Suitability Criteria

ICRP	Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project				
IFIM	Instream Flow Incremental Methodology				
IHA	Indicators of Hydrologic Alteration				
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature				
LC	Local Council				
MAAIF	Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries				
MAER	Mean Annual Environmental Runoff				
MAR	Mean Annual Runoff				
MBFIT	Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold				
МоН	Ministry of Health				
MWE	Ministry of Water and Environment				
NDP	National Development Plan				
NECOC	National Emergency Coordination and Operations Centre				
NELSAP	Nile Equatorial Lakes Subsidiary Action Plan				
NEMA	National Environment Management Authority				
NFA	National Forestry Authority				
NNL	No-Net Loss				
NWSC	National Water and Sewerage Corporation				
OVCs	Orphans and Vulnerable Children				
OWC	Operation Wealth Creation				
PHABSIM	Physical Habitat Simulation				
PLA	Participatory Learning and Action				
RAP	Resettlement Action Plan				
RGS	River Gauging Station				
RS	Remote sensing				
RTK	Real Time Kinematic				
RVA	Range of Variation				
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal				
SESs	Social - Ecological Systems				
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Science				
UBOS	Uganda Bureau of Statistics				

- UNDP United Nations Development Programme
- UTM Universal Transverse Mercator
- VECs Valued Ecosystem Components
- VHT Village Heath Team
- WUA Weighted Usable Area
- YLF Youth Livelihood Fund

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background: The Government of Uganda (GoU) through the Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE) with support from the World Bank is implementing the Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project (ICRP). The project development objectives are to provide farmers in the project areas with access to irrigation and other agricultural services, and to establish management arrangements for irrigation service delivery. The project is implemented by the Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE), with the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF) as technical implementation partner. The project comprises three components: Component 1 - Irrigation Services; Component 2 - Support services for agricultural production and value-chain development; Component 3 - Institutional Strengthening and Implementation Support.

Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme: Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme will be constructed under project component 1. The Scheme will be located in Isingiro District, in south-western Uganda. The scheme will contribute to improvement of farm incomes, rural livelihoods, food security, climate resilience, sustainable natural resources management in Kabuyanda. It is envisaged to develop 3,300 ha of irrigated agriculture extending southwards from the dam along the river banks. It consists of a 33m high zoned earth-fill dam, located on River Mishumba, with reservoir storage capacity of approximately 8.8Mm³, draining an area of about 90 km². The proposed project will inundate 100 ha of Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR). Rwoho CFR is a 9,000-ha plantation development forest that is largely degraded with bare hilltops with sparse woody plant cover, and partially restored with non-indigenous species (*Pinus caribaea, Pinus ocarpa* and *Eucalyptus sp*.).

The proposed Kabuyanda dam is designed for storage of streamflow, which will limit the water flow and may also possibly alter water quality in the R. Mishumba downstream of the dam. The estimated monthly runoff for R. Mishumba at the proposed dam location indicates a bi-modal variation with two peak flows in April and October of $0.8m^3/s$ and $0.89m^3/s$, respectively, and a mean annual flow is $0.51m^3/s$. Based on available data, the river sometimes dries up in the dry months of July and August, and February and September. Downstream of the Kabuyanda dam, the tributaries Rweibare (Kasharira) joins R. Mishumba downstream of the dam, and Rwemango and Kyabaganda join Mishumba about 5 km downstream of the dam. The Rweibare stream contributes about 18% of the $0.61m^3/s$ Average Annual Flow (AAF) of R. Mishumba at the confluence, and the combined flow of Rweibara and Rwemango Rivers is about 56% of the total flow at the confluence.

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Mean Monthly flows of the River Mishumba the previous



Confluence and flow of the tributaries of river Mishumba below the dam.

Earlier study: In compliance with the National Environment Act 2019 requirements and the World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies, an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) was carried out to identify and assess the potential positive and negative environmental and social impacts of the Kabuyanda irrigation scheme prior to, during and after infrastructure construction, and to eliminate/minimize negative impacts, while enhancing the positive impacts. An Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) with the mitigation measures was prepared.

The ESIA report (prepared by the MoWE, dated September 2019, disclosed in-country on October 2, 2019, and at the World Bank website on October 3, 2019) noted that additional biodiversity assessment and ecological flow analysis were needed. It was agreed that additional surveys and assessment will be undertaken by MoWE during project implementation and prior to dam

construction to confirm some of the initial findings described in the ESIA, in particular, with respect to biodiversity surveys (with more focus on fish), cumulative impacts, underground water and environmental flow assessment and monitoring.

Current status: As of February 2022, the dam is at advanced stage of procurement, with signature expected in April 2022. The BEFMP – which represents a continuation of the ESIA and ESMP - has been incorporated into the tendering document. According to this additional study, the proposed release schedule does not deviate from the one proposed in the ESIA, and therefore there will not be any adjustments required in dam design and operations for this purpose to be introduced prior to dam construction.

Objectives: To assess the adequacy of the mitigation measures for project environmental and social impacts downstream of the proposed dam included in the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme ESIA to ensure compliance with the GoU Environmental requirements and the World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies. The study developed a proposed Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan to mitigate the downstream ecological impacts of the planned Kabuyanda Dam, providing guidance for monitoring the impacts and maintaining or improving the ecological condition of the downstream areas. The assignment built and expanded on information collected under the ESIA.

This study is aimed at:

- a. better defining the magnitude and extent of the impacts (environmental, social and ecological) of the planned operation of the Kabuyanda Dam, with an emphasis on key ecosystem services, aquatic biodiversity (such as fish, amphibians and macro-invertebrate species), terrestrial biodiversity and the downstream users;
- b. proposing/assessing measures to mitigate, as much as technically and economically feasible, any detrimental impact on key ecosystem services, aquatic biodiversity (such as fish, macroinvertebrate and amphibians' species) and the downstream users as a result of Dam construction and operation; and
- c. confirming whether the currently proposed Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) in the ESIA of 10% and 20% of Average Annual Flow (AAF) in the dry and wet seasons, respectively is adequate to ensure no-net loss (NNL) in aquatic and riparian ecosystem as well as to ensure safety and wellbeing for downstream communities and users with the findings of this study. If the proposed EFR is not appropriate, then identification and recommendation of an acceptable flow regime that will continue to allow the operation of the dam to supply the expected flow for the irrigation areas.

SURVEY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The survey applied a number of methods not limited to literature and document review and field surveys but also stakeholder consultations and direct observations for the identification and collection of necessary data including views of the stakeholders (local and national), existing socioeconomic activities, social assessment of attendant communities, biodiversity, hydrology and water resources assessment, and limnological aspects and water quality assessment. This was conducted under the guidance of National Environmental requirements and World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards policy requirements.

Sampling criteria: Using Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) techniques, Mishumba catchment and the irrigable area were delineated with help of a 30m resolution DEM. This gave an insight about the initial hydrological and topographic characteristics of the whole catchment in Kabuyanda area. The catchment sampling strategy was designed to assess the main Mishumba River and take into account inputs from tributaries that can have significant ecological and socioeconomic impacts upon the different reaches of the river in the catchment especially downstream areas. Therefore, the catchment was divided into three (3) major zones or sections; (i) upstream, (ii) midstream and (iii) downstream. The upstream section covers the area upstream of the planned dam area, the dam area, immediately downstream of the dam area before R. Rweibare. The midstream section covers the area downstream of dam site including R. Rweibare, the entire irrigation area and tributaries that join the R. Mishumba within the irrigable command area. The downstream section includes the area immediately after the irrigation command area and before crossing the Kikagati – Ntungamo murram road. According to the GIS and Remote Sensing assessment, the study area was further subdivided into 9 subcatchments based on the main river stem and its tributaries. This resulted in identification and use of a total of 24 sampling points that were surveyed during the wet (November, 2020) and dry (February, 2021) seasons. At point 24 the impact of the project is not expected to be significant as the change in hydrological streamflow as a result of dam development and operation is estimated to be less than 36% of the AAF (1.69 m³/s of the dry season flow) and therefore the potential risk is deemed manageable through the other mitigation measures.



Location of the downstream sampling points 23 and 24 after the irrigable area

The assessment of the Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) was based on the Building Block Methodology (BBM). The BBM is a methodology – a body of methods that together produces an output greater and more all-encompassing than the methods could produce individually. The BBM process is used both to guide on required form, and to organise the incoming data and knowledge to provide the required output. The output, or product, of applying the BBM is a

modified flow regime, quantified in space and time. This is specific for the river, and for the desired future condition for that river." To determine and evaluate the 'building blocks' of the environmental flow, two (2) numerical models were used in addition to data collected, expert assessment, and impact assessment. The Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold (MBFIT) was applied to separate hydrographs into base flow and runoff components and the hydraulic model Hydrologic Engineering Center - River Analysis System (HEC RAS) model was used to estimate morphological changes and hydraulic properties in the stream.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS OF SURVEYS

Hydrology: Analysis of the hydraulic and hydrological data for River Mishumba catchment using GIS and RS techniques and review of the Kabuyanda Design Report, showed that the planned impoundment of River Mishumba will only affect River Mishumba across which the dam will be constructed. The catchment area of the dam is calculated to be less than a guarter of the total catchment area (irrigable area) under study. Other streams and water sources in the wider catchment are after the planned dam on River Mishumba. Mishumba has its major confluences about 5.8 km and 6.0 km with River Chezho (combining Chezho and Rwemango) and River Kyabaganda respectively. These tributaries have catchment areas of 54 km² and 45 km² respectively. The mean average flow (MAF) for River Mishumba at the dam site is approximately 0.5 m³/s whose catchment area size is about 90 km². The flows from the tributaries contribute significantly to satisfy the downstream water demands. The river has its first tributary as River Rweibare with a catchment area of 20 km² and flow of about 0.11 m³/s whose confluence is just downstream of dam site i.e., Rweibara tributary contributes about 18.03% of the 0.61 m³/s Average Annual Flow (AAF) of Mishumba River at the confluence. At 5 km downstream of the first confluence, the combined AAF of Mishumba, Chezho and Rwemango Rivers is 1.108 m³/s, of which 45% passes the proposed dam location. The following downstream confluence (Kyabaganda junction) flows at a mean annual flow of 1.408 m³/s, of which 36% passes the proposed dam location. The month with the lowest flow is July with a flow of 0.12 m³/s. June, July and August record flows representing 24% of the MAF, of which 0.1 m³/s is from the dam site. Consequently, the flow from the three tributaries (Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda) and lateral inflow that joins the Mishumba river at Kyabaganda constitute 65% of the AAF at the confluence and is expected to be sufficient to sustain the ecosystem downstream of this main confluence (figure below).

At the outlet of the catchment (Sampling point 24), the flows combine to a mean annual flow of 1.69 m³/s, of which less than 36% passes the proposed dam location. This implies that the area in the midstream (mainly the irrigable area) contribute about 0.28 m³/s from baseflows to obtain the overall flow obtained at Kikagate – Ntungamo murram road point.



Schematic representation contribution of Mishumba and its tributaries

Hydrological flow data (discharges and hydrological sections for two field samplings; wet (high flow) and dry (low flow) periods) was collected from the field. The historical data comprised of annual flows from 1940 to 2010 (Final Design Report, 2019) and screening of this data showed non-significant trends or correlations hence considering the data to be fit for the study. The flow historical data statistics for Mishumba River indicated that the average flow was 0.516 m³/s, Q90 was 0.1m³/s, Q50 was 0.5m³/s. Extreme discharge value analysis at different return periods was conducted using the Log Pearson III distribution which fitted the historical data the most. In a return period (T) of 2, 5, 10 and 25 years, flows of 1.81m³/s, 2.45m³/s, 2.98m³/s and 3.72m³/s were obtained, respectively.

Fisheries and Limnology: The aquatic ecology survey and fish sampling exercise during the wet (November, 2020) and dry (February, 2021) seasons (high flows and low flows) covered 24 sites encompassing adjoining streams (confluences) and River Mishumba established that seven (7) fish species belonging to five (5) genera (Haplochromine complex, Oreochromis, Tilapia, Clarias and Labeo) occur in River Mishumba catchment.

Most of fish caught were juvenile or small body sized individuals, a situation typical of generally upstream or primary and secondary rivers like River Mishumba. In terms of catch weight, the tilapiine species and African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) dominated while in terms of numbers the majority were Ningu (*Labeo victorianus*) followed by African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). In terms of the major river habitats, more fish were caught in vegetated areas with riffles than pools but majority of these were juveniles of Ningu (*Labeo victorianus*) and sub-adults of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). The sizes of fish caught in pools and poddles in terms of length and weight were significantly bigger than those in riffles, a finding attributed to the fact that adult forms of those in riffles had already migrated downstream back to River Kagera or caught by fishermen on the way back after spawning.

The Ngege (*Oreochromis esculentus*), which is commonly known as Singida tilapia, was only found to occur in downstream reaches of River Mishumba at sampling point 24. Singida tilapia is on the red list of IUCN as a critically endangered fish species (Twongo *et al.*, 2006) and was previously the mainstay of Lake Victoria Region fisheries production (Mwanja *et al.*, 2013; Balirwa, 1990). The species although critically endangered is found in numerous other locations in Lake Victoria and Lake Kyoga basins as well as in River Kafu oxbow lakes with its major extant remnant stocks in Lake Kanyaboli in Kenya, Lake Kijanebalola and Lake Nakivale of the Kooki lakes complex in Uganda; lakes Kawi, Nyaguo, Gigatte, Lemwa, Nakuwa, Namumbya, and Nawampasa of the Kyoga lakes complex in Uganda (Mwanja *et al.*, 2013). In River Mishumba the species occurs only in relatively deeper waters of >0.5 m and extreme downstream reaches of River Mishumba. This makes the few individuals in the river more resilient and protected against water depth variations in upper reaches of River Mishumba. It is the expert view that changes in flow for irrigation purposes will not affect the continued existence of this species in River Mishumba.

The second fish species of conservation significance to be found in River Mishumba was the Ningu or as commonly known, the Victoria carp (*Labeo victorianus*). Most of the fish captured in the upper and middle portions of the basin were fingerlings and subadults of Victoria carp (Labeo victorianus) and African catfish (Clarias gariepinus). The stages of these two species are a reflection of use of the River Mishumba upper reaches for reproduction of current loving or rheophilic species, whose adult forms are likely to have migrated back downstream after spawning and breeding in the upper reaches of the river. These species therefore are responsive to changes in the flow regime that creates the conditions for upward migration for spawning and breeding, and downstream movement of first the spent adults and second the hatchlings and young forms of the two species. The variation in flow also creates habitats for spawning and breeding such increase in dissolved oxygen levels, and ease of mobility (Welcomme *et al.*, 1967). Although adult Victoria carp (Labeo victorianus) are considered lotic guild species, they are longitudinal migrants that are cued by rising water levels to travel upstream for spawning and breeding (Rutaisire & Booth, 2003). Sites found or associated with relatively median high-flow

levels were assessed to enable upstream migration of adult Victoria carp and African catfish as indicated in the figure below. These migrant rheophilic species likely migrate between River Kagera, at lower reaches of River Mishumba, and upper reaches, which is also dependent on the timing of higher flows that make emergent vegetation, dissolved oxygen, and other channel conditions diverse and accessible habitats for a sufficient period of days to couple of weeks to enable spawning and breeding. Across all sites, gonadal maturation appears to be cued by first high flows, suggesting fish in River Mishumba time their spawning to coincide with rising flows and floods, as is common for many fish species in the tropics (Rutaisire *et al.*, 2005).

Whereas African catfish, in terms of conservation status, is of least concern, the Victoria carp (Labeo victorianus) is considered international as critically endangered (IUCN, 2015). These two species early stages were found to share the habitats and were found mostly in the fast-flowing sections of river channel. Figure below shows the sites at which the two forms were captured, that are considered to be breeding and nursing grounds/habitats of the two species. The mapping of these habitats was based on the flow–ecology relationships in the River Mishumba system, with the two species classified as rheophilic or lotic, emphasizing the importance of flow variability in sustaining and maintaining these species in the Mishumba River.

In terms of management implications in lieu of development of Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme, the flows suggested here will maintain the diverse habitats and variations in flow spatially and temporally, allowing for high and low flows, which variation is critical in triggering upstream migration for spawning and breeding, and providing nursing grounds for the hatchlings. The ecological systems of the Mishumba River basin, though found to be highly disturbed with different socioeconomic activities, have evolved under a dynamic socio-hydrological regime, and the living aquatic resources have adapted to these alterations through changes in ecological behaviour such timing of breeding and spawning activities, use of different streams within the catchment for different ecological activities, and use of adjoining wetlands as refugee and feeding grounds. As such, the alterations in hydrological status of Mishumba River, if done according to the set e-flows, will not have any serious consequences for the flora and fauna communities of the Mishumba River. The suggested e-flows provide for occurrence of different flows at different times, that are similar to the natural hydrological variations albeit more rigorously managed flows which are required to support the channel and riparian vegetation with sufficient water, leading sustained growth and reproduction and growth.

Therefore, the set e-flows have taken in consideration the demands and needs of the respective biodiversity in Mishumba catchment, considering the seasonal variations in requirements of key biodiversity rtesources (fish, flora and fauna). The e-flows have also been set to mimic the natural variations in streaflow with the reservoir flow planned to capture the different set e-flows.

The reaches of the Mishumba River near Kagera River (downstream the proposed dam site location) were found to provide refuges to the seven species encountered, especially those known to been displaced out of or severely declined in Lake Victoria due to the introduction of non-native species, overfishing, and eutrophication. Thus, the fish species of the Mishumba River, like most of remnant stocks of these critically endangered fish species, although limited in number, have important conservation significance.

Species	Age	No. fish	Indicative habitat/	Conservation
	structure	caught	location	status
African catfish	Fingerlings	03	Ripples within channel	
(Clarias gariepinus)	Sub-adults	16	Ripples within channel	
	Adults	05	Vegetated edges of	Least concern
			channel	
Mudfish	Adult	03	Shallow muddy	
Clarias carsonii	(gravid)		vegetated edges of	Least concern
			channel	
Victoria carp	Fingerlings	08	Ripples within the	Critically
(Labeo Victorianus)	Sub-adults	01	channels	Endangered
Singida tilapia	Fingerlings	02	Pools and poddles	
(Oreochromis esculentus)	Sub-adults	03	within channel	Critically
			(relatively slow	Endangered
			current)	
Redbelly tilapia	Sub-adults	01	Pools and poddles near	
Coptodon zilli	Adults	01	vegetated edges of the	
			river	Least concern
	Adults	36	Market	
	(processed)			
Albert tilapia	Adults	01	Swampy edges of the	Least concern
			river	
Haplochromines	Adults	>40	Different microhabitats	Threatened
(Haplochromis spp)	(processed)		and habitats	

Summary of the fish species caught and their conservation status

Ecologically, the most appropriate habitats for the deep and slow water loving fishes such as the Ngege (Singida tilapia) and other tilapiines are in the lower parts of the catchment (downstream of irrigable area) towards R. Kagera.

The water current loving species encountered such as Ningu (*Victoria carp*) and the young forms of African catfish, require some level of current (at least above 0.2 m/s during the high flow periods) to be maintained in the different stretches of the river channel. This will allow for continued downstream migration of the juvenile fish following the hatching and nursing that comes with the reducing or weaning rains as dry season approaches. The deep slow water loving specie were captured about 12 km further downstream of the Mishumba and Chezho the biggest confluence towards the estuary of R. Mishumba and Kagera, with deeper waters that are outside of the dam and irrigable areas. As such they will not be directly affected by the planned irrigation scheme, and are unlikely to be affected by the operation of the dam.

It's further recorded and known that the home of Ningu (*Victoria carp*) is Lake Victoria as it is a lentic species. However, the Ningu migrates upstream and breeds in River Kagera and other Lake Victoria adjoining rivers including rivers Sio and Mara (Rutaisire, 2003; Rutaisire *et al.*, 2005). As

such, the occurrence and breeding in tributaries of River Kagera, such as Mishumba River, is not what sustains the Ningu, and its occurrence and breeding of these species, given the small numbers encountered, may be more of a chance event river.

Key habitat requirement for the breeding of the critically endangered Ningu is basically current or upwelling conditions that allow the eggs to pick up air bubbles and to balloon. These keeps the fertilized eggs buoyant and able to float downstream i.e., a condition that triggers the hatching and nursing processes (Rutaisire, 2003). The other key condition, which is also provided by the relatively high-water current, is that of well oxygenated waters in open oxbow lagoons that allows for development of the eggs and their continued floating and ballooning so as to trigger the hatching and nursing processes. The implication therefore is that during the wet season, the set e-flows will allow for high-flows during the rains, and will provide for relatively higher currents between the dam and the confluence of Mishumba River and Chezho River, where water within the channel was found to be the fastest (over 0.8 m/s) so as to allow for the spawning and breeding of the Ningu, and hatching and nursing of its young. This will be followed by residual or base-flow as the rains wean so as to allow for downstream migration of young ones.

The African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) in Uganda is abundant and common (IUCN: Least Concern) in other water bodies throughout the country. The gravid adult forms are triggered to migrate enemas against the current for spawning. Once the eggs are fertilized, they become sticky and attached mainly to the submerged plants or rocks that are strategically located to allow for the water current to keep the eggs aerated. Once the young hatchery between 12 to 36 hours, they swim down or laterally into adjoining swamps for nursing and feeding purposes, hence the current loving nature of this species. In terms of conservation status, African catfish, once threatened by introduction and encroachment, is considered of least concern as they are found in nearly all waters in Uganda (both open and closed or wetlands systems). In Mishumba River, African catfish was encountered at different stages in all sampling points where fish was found. As such, given the set e-flows in lieu of the planned Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme, there will be no or minimal effect on African catfish so long as basal flow is maintained and some areas with swampy nature, especially between the dam and Chezho and Mishumba rivers confluence are maintained with regular high flows and occasional flooding.

The findings of the survey and conclusions of the environmental flow assessment are as follows:

a) Mishumba catchment was assessed to be of conservation ecological importance due to the encountering of critically endangered Singida tilapia (Oreochromis esculentus) and Victoria carp (Labeo Victorianus). However, generally, catchment did not have any unique biodiversity that is known to occur only in River Mishumba and/or Mishumba catchment. Even the critically endangered species are found in several other places (water bodies) and in much larger numbers/stocks than what was found in Mishumba (Mwanja, 2000). The two nationally and IUCN Red listed critically endangered species identified in the River Mishumba have more viable populations and stocks elsewhere in other waters including River Kagera, River Sio, River Mara and other related water bodies (satellite lakes such as Kyoga Lake complex, Nabugabo lake complex, Kooki lakes and their adjoining rivers and streams) within Lake Victoria Region (Mwanja *et al.*, 2010; Rutaisire, 2003).

In this study, the e-flow assessment and requirements were set considering these two critically endangered species as representative indicators of critical aquatic biodiversity. It was determined that in order to maintain the populations of the indicator species, the low flow and hydrological variability was required to be maintained near current condition. Th Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA) aimed at maintaining these hydrological characteristics, incl. flow variability in the midstream and downstream reaches for rheophilic species during the wet season that is necessary to trigger their upstream migration, spawning, breeding, hatching and nursing at peak of the rains, as well as to support the downstream migration of the fertilized eggs and hatchlings as rains wean down. In addition, to sustain the deeper slow flowing waters for the sedentary water loving forms in the downstream stretch of the Mishumba River where they encountered.

The figure below shows the spawning and breeding habitats while the table gives the key characteristics of the breeding areas/habitats of these species. It is evident that whereas some spawning areas lie above the proposed dam site, there a number of alternative breeding areas just below the dam and in other tributaries (Chezho River) in the catchment, especially for the Ningu. This means the damming will not stop the breeding activities in the catchment for this critically endangered species. The remnant individuals in the area upstream of the proposed dam location may flourish in the lentic environment created by the reservoir.



Map showing the spawning and breeding habitats

Characteristics of the breeding areas and habitats of key indicator fish species encountered in the Mishumba Catchment.
Sampling	Vegetation	Depth And	Sediment	Species Spawning
point		Flow Rate		in Habitat
1	highly vegetive this is	deep and	mud and gravel	African catfish and
	the gauging point	fast	from construction	Ningu
2	little with cactus, but	Deep and	Has rocks from	African catfish and
	has forest with ferns	fast		Ningu
3	Highly vegetative	deep and	rocks with silt and	African catfish
		last		
4	highly vegetative	shallow	Mud	African catfish and
5	nigniy vegetative	and first	IVIUd	African catfish and Ningu
6	lititle vegetation with	fact and	mud and cilt from	African catfich and
0	cactus, has ferns and	deep	murram rocks	Ningu
	papyrus brought by			C C
	flood.			
7	highly vegetative	shallow	Mud	Redbelly tilapia
		and slow		
8	vegetative with a	deep and	mud with silt	Ningu
	confluence	fast		
9	Highly vegetative	deep and	clay and gavel	Albert tilapia &
10		SIOW		
10	nighly vegetative	deep and slow	silt, mud and	Albert tilapia & Redbelly tilapia
12	modoratoly vogotativo	shallow	mud with pobblos	Albort tilapia &
12	with cultivated land	and slow	indu with peobles	Redbelly tilapia
13	modedrately	shollow	mud with peeb les	Mudfish (Clarias
	vegetative with	and slow		carsonii)
	caltivated land			
14	Moderately vegetative	Shallow	silt wth mad	African catfish and
		and fast		Ningu
15	highly vegetative	Deep and	silt with pebbles	Ningu
		fast		
16	highly vegetative this	deep and	mud with a lot of	African catfish and
	torms aback pool of	tast	plant debris at	Ningu
	water		bottom	

17	highly vegetative this forms aback pool of water	deep and slow	mud with silt	Albert tilapia & Redbelly tilapia
18	Highly vegetative	Deep and fast	gravel and silt	African catfish and Ningu
19	Highly vegetative	Deep and fast	mud, plant debris and marram pebbles	African catfish and Ningu
20	Highly vegetative	deep and fast	clay, plant debris and pebbles from the marram road	African catfish and Ningu
21	highly vegetative surrounded by gardens and within flood plain	shallow and slow	mud and plant debris	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia
22	highly vegetative	deep and slow	mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia
23	highly vegetative	deep and slow	mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia
24	highly vegetive	deep and slow	mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia
11-A	highly vegetative	shallow and fast	silt and pebbles	Ningu
11-B	highly vegetative	deep and slow	mud	Albert tilapia & Redbelly tilapia

a) None of the floral and terrestrial biodiversity was identified as endangered or vulnerable or unique to River Mishumba.

- b) The fish species found at the different sampling points within the reference reach, have been found in the other areas of the catchment in bigger numbers.
- c) The e-flow assessment and requirements have been made in a manner that mimics the natural hydrological variability so as to limit the impact on the biodiversity. It also noted that although there will be impact on the floral and faunal biodiversity in the catchment, this will be therefore be minimal, and the e-flow requirements have been set so as to allow tolerate the changes or be able to move, or be moved in case of fauna, or regenerate

and disperse easily in case of existing floral biodiversity affected by flow variation due to the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme development.

- d) Maintaining flow variability will allow for creation of conditions that allow for affected mobile species to readily or easily migrate both upstream and laterally within and outside the River Mishumba channel through regular flow or overbank flows even in the dry season to allow connection between the Mishumba channel and destinations of the migrating fish (R. Kagera) for the rheophilic species, and adjoining swamps for limnophilic species. The damming will have limited impact on upstream migrating species as it relatively upstream above the main breeding area for the rheophilic species, that is, between the dam and the confluence of River Mishumba and River Chezho.
- e) There were no special habitat sites or special areas of ecological significance found that are not generally available throughout the Mishumba River and Mishumba catchment, such as spawning or breeding grounds, migration routes identified in the affected river reach or upstream;
- f) There are no significant differences in the climatic or water quality conditions within Mishumba catchment and along Mishumba River that would indicate the existence of special conditions in specific location not found elsewhere in the basin, say temperature, BOD, pH.

The survey also established which fish species belong to the same ecological and or environmental guilds in River Mishumba in terms of how they respond to changing hydrology and geomorphology of the river by comparing fish catches in the wet and dry seasons. The guilds range from fish that are moderately to highly sensitive to the flow timing and/or quantity, and these include all the tilapiine species and mudfish (Clarias cassonii), that were found only in the pools of water – pool guild; to those fish species which are sensitive to reductions in flow that altering the balance between riffles and pools in the river, or leave the pools anoxic, these include Ningu and to a lesser extent African catfish are fairly sensitive species representing the lotic guild. Ningu is typically an annual breeder whose breeding seasonality and migration patterns are highly dependent on the timing and quantity high flow events. Lotic guild members require fairly high levels of dissolved oxygen, necessitating high flow velocities. On the other hand, tilapiine species, encountered in large pool habitats (downstream), are in the riffle guild, generally considered to be a sensitive genus due to species group requirement for slow flowing water (velocity \leq 0.3 m/sec) that is linked to their mode of reproduction and feeding ecology. On the basis of these fish guilds found in River Mishumba, it is recommended that in the dry season, base flows should maintain inundation of the riffles in the midstream and downstream to create the pools. Importantly, most of these sites are after the major confluence (sampling point 15) where the impact of flows from Mishumba stream to be dammed are very insignificant on the total flows discharging into River Kagera. In the wet seasons, base flows must inundate lower banks and benches, allowing the input of nutrients from the adjoining swamps and open farm fields that are regularly flooded by the river. High flows in the wet season must inundate the floodplains to recharge swamps as well as provide access to floodplain nursery grounds.

Vegetation and Flora

Kabuyanda irrigation scheme project area is covered by modified vegetation. The slopes and the hills are covered by fields of cultivation Plantations of Eucalyptus spp., Pinus spp. and Grevillia Robusta, entirely covering the section of the project area in Rwoho CFR while the rest of the land outside the CFR is covered by fields of cultivation under subsistence farming of food crops. Out of 247 species recorded, most of them are herbaceous plants (48.4%) with woody species constituting less than 30% mainly owing to cutting down of natural forests, replacing them with exotic plantations of Eucalyptus sp. and Pinus sp., including Rwoho CFR. Plant species with the highest spatial coverage were *Typha capensis* (22%), *Cyperus denudatus* (20.3%), *Cyperus dives* (16.6%), *Leersia hexandra* (11.5%), *Persicaria setosula* (9.6%), all of which are typical floodplain species. Only 34 species recorded in the project area were of Least Concern (LC), thus, no plant species is considered as threatened, endemic, rare and/or require special conservation status in accordance with IUCN Red List. In addition, no critical habitats were found hence the dam impact will be minimal.

The main impacts identified are habitat loss, reduction in woody vegetation biomass and cover as a result of vegetation clearance, and possible proliferation of invasive species of plant. The overall impact is considered small-negative. These impacts may be mitigated through replanting indigenous tree species, restoration of disturbed areas immediately after operations, monitor invasive species of plants and mechanically remove them before flowering/fruiting stage, sensitisation of project workers, restriction of the activities to the areas that cannot be avoided, closely monitoring and supervising the operations to ensure compliance. In terms of water flow or environmental flow requirements, the plant species are a mixture of wetland species including those that are submerged, emergent, and those that occur to fringes of the water bodies on wet soil above the water. Therefore, to sustain the floral communities and biodiversity different flow requirements have been considered for the different groups of plants.

Fauna

Butterflies: 22 species comprised of 5 families and 15 genera of butterflies were recorded in Mishumba catchment during dry season. In abundancy, 3 species were relatively common during the dry season namely; *Forest Commodore Precis rauana, African Migrant Catopsilia florella* and *Scalloped Sailer Neptidopsis ophione*. The Citrus Swallowtail Papilio Demodocus and *White-banded Swallowtail Papilio Echeriodes* were the least abundant relative of all registered species. No butterfly species were of conservation significance basing on the 2019 IUCN Red list during the additional surveys. The cycles of wet and dry seemingly play a critical role in presence or absence of many of these species. In setting and managing the e-flow requirements for butterflies therefore consideration of seasonal changes in water flow and supply is a key requirement captured in the biodiversity and e-flow management.

Dragonflies: 8 species out of the 231 species of dragonflies known in Uganda were recorded in the project area representing 2 families and 7 genera. In the dry season, the Julia Skimmer Orthetrum Julia registered the highest abundance with 50. Painted Sprite Pseudagrion hageni registered the second highest abundance. Other 35 individual species were registered where the Eastern Blacktail Nesciothemis cf farinosa and Broad Scarlet Crocothemis erythraea had the

lowest abundance. All the 8 species are common and typically found around open waters and swamps hence, none is of conservation importance.

Amphibians: 9 species were recorded (all frogs) represent 4 families and 5 genera in both the wet and dry seasons. The *Hyperoliidae* and *Pyxicephalidae* families were the most and least represented with 4 and 1 species respectively. The main contributors were the *Mascarene Rocket Frog Ptychadena mascareniensis* with 108 individuals and *Dwarf Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus mabebiensis* with 25 individuals in the wet season. Also, in the dry season, both the *Mascarene Rocket Frog Ptychadena mascareniensis* and *Anchieta's Rocket Frog Ptychadena anchietae contributed* 63 and 26 individuals respectively. Typically, the ecological and physiological requirements of frogs are very much dependent on presence of water, variation in water volume, and in some cases changes in water flow. This has been the basis for consideration of e-flow requirements for amphibians (all frogs) in the biodiversity and e-flow management plan.

Reptiles: A total of 11 individuals of reptilian groups were encountered in the wet season while only 5 individuals were encountered in the dry season where the skinks had the highest relative abundance compared to other species. None of the reptile species recorded in the project area is of ecological concern according to the IUCN red list 2017.

Avi-fauna: 84 species of birds representing 36 families and 69 genera were recorded during both wet and dry seasons indicating an 87% rise in the number of species recorded. This might be attributed to the additional sampling sites (scope) previously not sampled. The African Wattled Lapwing Vanellus senegallus, Spur-Winged Lapwing Vanellus spinosus, Long-Toed Lapwing Vanellus crassirostris, Intermediate Egret Ardea intermedia and Sacred Ibis Threskiornis aethiopicus (wetland specialists) were only recorded in the dry season and none was recorded in the wet season. The Northern Red Bishop Euplectes franciscanus, Cattle Egret Bubulcus ibis and Pin-Tailed Whydah Vidua macroura (purely grassland species) were only registered during the dry season. Like for most wetland associated biodiversity e-flow requirements set in this study, the avi-fauna here is dependent on presence and seasonal variation in water volumes.

Species Conservation Status of Avi-fauna in Mishumba Catchment: 10 species of conservation importance were recorded during the survey with 4 being recorded during the dry season, 2 in the wet season and 4 in both seasons. The birds were categorized as the IUCN Red List as Regionally Vulnerable at the African region, endangered by Uganda's National Red list, Near-Threatened at the Africa regional level, Vulnerable at the African regional level and also for Uganda and Vulnerable at the global level. These included the Stephanoaetus coronatus Crowned Eagle (dry season), Martial Eagle Polemaetus bellicosus (wet and dry season), African Marsh Harrier Circus ranivorus (wet and dry season), African Darter Anhinga rufa, Grey Crowned Crane Balearica regulorum, Semi-Collared Flycatcher Ficedula semitorquata, Blue-Headed Sunbird Cyanomitra alinae, Red-Chested Sunbird Cinnyris erythrocercus, Cardinal Quelea cardinalis and Crex egregia African Crake. Most of these species were recorded in both the irrigable and wider catchments outside the irrigation command areas. It is however established that, the Grey Crowned Cranes are visitor species because there were no nests nor their roosting sites encountered.

Social-Economic Assessment

Catchment Population: The catchment area has a total population of 138,870 people (66,185 male; 72,685 female) and 20,407 households with Kikagati Sub-County (downstream) with a population of 42,999 people (20,264 male; 22,735 female) and 8,766 households.

Poverty trends: During consultations with LC 1 committees (upstream, mid-stream, down-stream), it was revealed that the level of unemployment was high especially among the youth. However, coping mechanisms tend to diversify among people living near urban and peri-urban settlements. These areas include Kabuyanda Town Council, Rwamijuka-Kifumbira, Ruborogota trading centre. The differing levels of consumption in urban areas influences.

Social services and infrastructure: The road network (both feeder and community access roads) within irrigable area stands at 259.84 km of which, Kikagate Sub-County (SC) has at 83.03 km; 75.99 km in Ruborogota SC; 56.6 km in Kabuyanda SC and 44.82 km in Kabuyanda Town Council (TC).

Education: In terms of education facilities, Kabuyanda Town Council has 6 primary and 2 secondary schools, Kabuyanda Sub-County has 7 primary and 1 secondary schools, Kikagate SC (Ntundu Parish) has 1 primary school located within irrigable area and Ruborogota SC (Kyamusooni parish) has 1 primary school.

Water and sanitation: Access to water stands at 69% in Kabuyanda SC and Kabuyanda TC respectively; 56% in Ruborogota SC and 33% in Kikagate SC (MWE/ Water Atlas, 2017). The water source functionality ranges between 95%-99%. Access to boreholes and piped water sources varies according to parishes. There are 3,003 households accessing piped water.

Toilet coverage is at 2% (279 out of 13,774 HHs) with the highest number of households with toilets in hilly area (upland of irrigable area) in Nyabishenyi parish (70 HHs) and Iryango parish (31 HHs).

Livelihoods: The major livelihoods are derived from agriculture (crop and livestock), employing about 88.5 HHs (16,131 out of 18,231) in Mishumba catchment. The upstream areas have the highest proportion of faring households at 56% (9,055 out of 16,131). Mid-stream has second highest at 245 (3,780 out of 16,131) and downstream has lowest at 20% (3,296 out of 16,131) as based on UBOS/SAP report 2019. The major source of domestic fuel (energy) is firewood and charcoal. Charcoal prices range between UGX 20,000-40,000/= per sack. Firewood prices range between UGX 1,000-10,000/= depending on size of bundle.

Ecosystems Services (social uses): By coverage, the ESS sampled 389 respondents (211 male; 178 female). It covered 17 villages surrounding 14 selected sampling sites. By distribution, 53.2% of respondents were located in irrigable area (mid-stream and downstream) namely 46 in Middle Chezho Sub-catchment, 69 in Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho Sub-catchments and 92 in Lower Chezho Sub-catchment. In Lower Mishumba Sub-catchment (downstream of dam site), 12% (45) respondents were sampled. People get services like water from the stream for household use, watering animals, fishing for income (21%), cultivation of food crops (93%) along the river, wild food (79%), wood fuel (99%), timber and wood fibre (97%). Others include; natural medicine (12%), recreation or leisure (e.g., swimming) (6%), spiritual and religious values (0.2%), brick making (4%) and sand mining (21%). Most of the downstream uses are after the major confluence (sampling point 16) where the impact of flows from Mishumba stream to be dammed are very

insignificant on the total flows discharging into River Kagera. Therefore, the impact of the project on downstream uses and users is minimal. The communities living on the hillsides and 1 km downstream of the dam will benefit from the irrigation water in their fields and will be able to diversify their farming and production activities throughout most time of the year. This in turn will translate into improved food security and household income.

Assessment of the 10% and 20% of Average Annual Flow (AAF) EFR in the ESIA in the dry and wet seasons

Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR): The development of e-flow requirements for various species was based on species whose water requirements are more complex and/or restrictive. This is based on the understanding that by satisfying the requirements of those that require more water and/or variability, the requirements of others will automatically be met. Therefore, flow requirements concentrated on the requirement for fish, the papyrus for flora, fauna and communities downstream.

Minimum flow assessment: It should be understood that these flows were superimposed with the flow required for flushing or maintenance of the river reaches. The resultant minimum average flow at the dam site location for the fish requirements in the Mishumba River are as below (monthly). The determination of the minimum flow requirements for fish was based on the minimum flow requirement for movement, water level requirement, and maintenance of habitats.

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Flow m ³ /s	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.05

It was found that in 8 months out of the 12, the flow exceeds the requirement for 60% of the time. This provides an estimated flow of about 0.35 m³/s. However, excluding the flow from the Rweibare tributary joining the river downstream of the reservoir, it implies that the reservoir release of about 0.24m³/s is expected for the 8 months from December to July. The resultant minimum flow requirement to maintain the fauna and flora in the downstream area are as in the table below. The implementation of these minimum flow requirements will lead to a No Net Loss (NNL) for fauna and flora.

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Flow	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.1
m³/s												

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure #. Flow requirement for fish.

Structuring and Presentations of Scenarios: Three (3) main requirements for scenarios are the ability to: (i) provide a range of options of what a potential Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) could be by illustrating the potential river changes and social impacts; (ii) provide simple summaries of each scenario of river change in a context that the decision makers can relate to; and (iii) express the social and environmental implications of each scenario in terms of both impacts and costs for compensation or mitigation purposes and benefits.

The scenarios are used to examine the trade-off between environmental and social impacts (while maintaining NNL), and abstraction of water for irrigation. The scenario development in this study aimed at the following two items: a) to meet a specific yield (requirement to abstract a specific volume of water) and to meet a specific condition (a certain river condition and ecosystem feature).

For quantitative predictions, five (5) severity levels of change were used to rate the scenarios including;

- 0 = None No impact resulting from the change in the streamflow
- 1 = Negligible Hardly noticeable impact resulting from change in the streamflow
- 2 = Low Descernable but with limited effect resulting from change in the streamflow
- 3 = Moderate Incident to minor effect resulting from change in the streamflow
- 4 = High Intense effect of the damage resulting from change in the streamflow
- 5 = Very high Critical level of damage resulting from change in the streamflow

Description of scenarios: The overall discharge in the river reaches under consideration are quite small, therefore, there is very limited room for manipulation of flows to provide meaningful variations. From this perspective and that the only investment using significant water in the catchment is the proposed irrigation scheme, only three (3) scenarios were studied. All scenarios include the maintenance of the high and low floods, i.e. allowing the 'pass-through' of key ecological flood events.

Scenario one (1) represents the water required for the e-flows including the maintenance of the high and low floods. Scenario two (2) comprises the proposed releases by the ESIA study (2019)

report but with an addition of releasing low and high floods as in the previous scenario. Scenario three (3) is when the minimum flow releases are increased doubled as compared to Scenario 1 including the maintenance of the high and low floods. The table below gives the summary of the flows within each scenario.

Flow regime	Present situation m ³ /s	Scenario 1 (EFR) m ³ /s	Scenario 2 (Project) m ³ /s	Scenario 3 (Increased Release) m ³ /s
Dry season low flows,	0.14	0.1	0.05-0.12	0.21
Dry Years				
Wet season low flows,	0.22	0.22	0.12-0.24	0.33
Dry Years				
Dry season low flows,	0.19	0.1	0.05-0.12	0.21
Wet Years				
Wet season low flows,	0.41	0.05-0.22	0.12-0.24	0.33
Wet Years				
High flows lower	0.2	0	0	0
High flows upper	0.8	0	0	0
Small floods	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Large floods	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4

Impact of scenarios on irrigation potential: According to scenario analysis against the indicator list in table below. Scenarios 1 and 2 do not reduce on the amount of water required for irrigation and therefore it can be concluded that their impact on the proposed irrigation scheme is negligible. Scenario 3 allows a release that will reduce the amount of water required for irrigation by approximately 30% implying a possible reduction in the irrigated area in the same range.

Discipline	Indicator	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		
Irrigation	Irrigated Area	Negligible	Negligible	Significant		
		- Sufficient	- Sufficient	- Sufficient		
		irrigation	irrigation	water		
		water	water	available		
		available for	available for	- Reduced		
		planned	planned	irriagable		
		irrigable	irrigable			
		area	area			
Sedimentology	Deposition/erosion	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		
	characteristics	- No change	- No change	- No change		
		from the	from the	from the		
		existing	existing	existing		
		situation	situation	situation		
Water quality	Nitrates	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		
		- Stable and	- Stable and	- Stable and		
		no effect	no effect	no effect		
Vegetation	Papyrus areas	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		

		- Stable and	- Stable and	- Stable and		
		no effect	no effect	no effect		
Fish	Spawning Areas	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		
		- Stable and	- Stable and	- Stable and		
		no effect	no effect	no effect		
Invertebrates	Species richness	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		
		- Stable and	- Stable and	- Stable and		
		no effect	no effect	no effect		
Socio-	Fishing	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible		
economic		- Stable and no	- Stable and	- Stable and		
		effect	no effect	no effect		
Overall		Negligible	Negligible	Significant		
		- Meets the	- Meets the	- Sufficient		
		planned	planned	water for		
		needs with	needs with	irrigation		
		minimum	minimum	and		
		effect on the	effect on the	negligible		
		bidoversity	bidoversity	effect on		
		and social	and social	biodiversity		
		economics	economics	- No		
				significant		
				social		
				economic		
				alterations		

Therefore, scenario 3 has a significant impact on the proposed irrigation scheme. Scenario 1 and scenario 2 do not have significant impacts on the scheme. The recommended minimum flows within the ESIA (Scenario 2) are sufficient to protect the fish, flora, fauna, and downstream demands.

Month	ESIA (m³/s)	Fish (m³/s)	Flora (m ³ /s)
Jan	0.05	0.05	0.1
Feb	0.12	0.05	0.1
Mar	0.14	0.05	0.1
Apr	0.24	0.22	0.1
Мау	0.22	0.05	0.1
Jun	0.15	0.05	0.1
Jul	0.09	0.05	0.1
Aug	0.09	0.05	0.05
Sep	0.20	0.05	0.05
Oct	0.22	0.22	0.22
Nov	0.24	0.05	0.05

Dec	0.16	0.05	0.1

Therefore, the recommended water release schedule from the reservoir is as in the Table below is in agreement with the ESIA. For maintenance purposes, the floods with the return periods of 2 years and 5 years should be imposed on this flow.

Month	Baseflow	Final Minimum Fl	low	Pulses	Small Floods	High floods
		Requirement (m ³ /s)				
Jan	0	0.1		0		
Feb	0	0.12		0		
Mar	0	0.14		0		
Apr	0			0	1.8 m ³ /s every	2.4m ³ /s every
		0.24			after 2 years for	after 5 years for 12
					12 hrs.	hrs.
May	0	0.22		0		
Jun	0	0.15		0		
Jul	0	0.1		0		
Aug	0	0.09		0		
Sept	0	0.20		0		
Oct	0	0.22		0		
Nov	0	0.24		0		
Dec	0	0.16		0		

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The present study aimed at confirming some of the initial findings described in the ESIA, in particular, with respect to biodiversity surveys (with more focus on fish), cumulative impacts, underground water and environmental flow assessment and monitoring.

Eco-Hydraulics: The estimated monthly runoff for R. Mishumba at the proposed dam location indicates a bi-modal variation with two peak flows in April and October of 0.8m³/s and 0.89m³/s, respectively, and a MAF is 0.51m³/s. The month with the lowest flow is July with a flow of 0.12 m³/s. June, July and August record flows representing 24% of the MAF. Based on the data series, the river sometimes dries up in the dry months of July and August, and February and September. Flow in the months of September-November is more variable than during the other months. The maximum flows, that lead to flooding, are most likely to occur during the months of September - December. Rweibara (Kasharira) tributary joins R. Mishumba immediately downstream of the Kabuyanda dam while Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda join Mishumba at about 5km. The Rweibara River contributes about 18% of the 0.61m³/s AAF of R. Mishumba at the confluence, and the combined flow of Rweibara and Rwemango Rivers is about 43.3% of the total flow to the confluence. The contribution of 56.7% from the three tributaries of Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda can fully sustain the ecosystem down of this main confluence.

Fish biodiversity: The additional surveys established existence of ecologically significant and critical fish biodiversity including relics of previous highlights of Lake Victoria fishery, Singida tilapia (*Oreochromis esculentus*) and Victoria carp (*Labeo victorianus*), both of which are currently considered as critically endangered and are Redlisted by IUCN. Other fish species found in Mishumba catchment are Zilli's tilapia (*Coptodon tilapia*); Albert tilapia (*Oreochromis leucostictus*); African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*); Mudfish (*Clarias cassonii*); and Haplochromines (*haplochromis spp.*). In conclusion the additional survey and study found that the project will not have adverse effects on the aquatic biodiversity, and in many instances will instead provide a life line for this fish biodiversity by creating conditions to boost the numbers of fish such as the resultant reservoir and creation of special conservation areas recommended as part of the management options for aquatic biodiversity in BMP. Recommendations and guidelines have been given in here for sustenance and development of all the identified fish species for the different stages of the project development and operation. Key recommendations are as follows:

- Limnophilic fishes, especially the relatively large bodied fishes such as Singida tilapia, will required the e-flows to provide and maintain a minimum depths of >0.15m at the lower end of Mishumba River with water flow rates of not more than 1.4 m/s and seasonal overbank flow and inundation of adjoining areas so as to refresh and or create feeding, spawning and nursing grounds for such fish species found in the catchment.
- The rheophilic species in the catchment including Victoria tilapia, require seasonal flushes or pulses coupled with marked increase in water depths to stimulate spawning and creation of spawning, nursing and feeding grounds for such species. It is also recommended that selected sections of Mishumba River or sections of adjoining rivers with pockets of rheophilic species be maintained as relatively fast flowing (> 06 m/s) sections for purposes of sustaining the ecological requirements of such fishes.
- That two special conservation areas need to be created at specially selected sites on adjoining
 rivers Chezho and Kyabaganda for the preservation and breeding of the critically endangered
 Victoria carp (Ningu). This is meant to compensate for the interruption of the upstream
 migration on River Mishumba for Ningu's breeding and spawning by the damming of River
 Mishumba.

Flora and Fauna: The habitats were found to be greatly modified and non-critical for floral biodiversity. Large chunks of the area were under cultivation for subsistence, with farmlands, and pasturelands. Even the protected Rwoho CFR was had only the exotic tree species, notably Eucalyptus spp., Pinus spp. and Grevillia Robusta. All plant species were of Least Concern (in agreement with the ESIA). All habitats in Mishumba are non-critical for biodiversity, though it is home to some species of fauna and as such, caution be taken to ensure that, observance of minimal water flows and guided opening up of the areas should take into account, requirements set out in this study for sustaining the habitats. The additional survey established that there is less in-stream vegetation cover inform affecting water movement processes. However, it's projected that the impoundment/damming of Mishumba River will increase the resident time of the water which will allow for transformation of some of these chemical species. The chemical species input will be majorly anthropogenic, linked to the cottage industries and agricultural practices in the catchment. In terms of fauna, the additional surveys established that Mishumba catchment has

no vulnerable, threatened or endangered fauan. The faunal species identified in the Mishumba catchment were common snakes, otters, water ducks and water insects including water skates, water bugs, dragon flies, earth worms.

Although the conclusion is that the Kabuyanda ICRP based on damming of River Mishumba will have no effect on existing fauna and floral since the area is already highly disturbed owing to the farming and mining activities in the area, cutting and clearing of the natural vegetation, and high level of siltation as shown by the level of turbidity and TDS at the different sampling sites, is likely to affect the provisional of ecological services by Mishumba River. It is therefore the recommendation of this study that:

- The water release schedule from the reservoir be 0.1m³/s during the dry season (lowest) and 0.24 m³/s during the rainy season, and for maintenance purposes, and the floods be based on the return periods of 2 years and 5 years.
- Agricultural production and socioeconomic activities within Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme be properly planned and controlled to significantly cut out the unnatural supply and entry of such chemical species in the water course.
- Water flow be set and regulated to maintain the existing flora and fauna, and limit the entry and establishment of invasive species.
- The Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan (BEFMP) has been developed in response to the identified potential impacts herein and those in the ESIA, and must funded and implemented as part of the Kabuyanda ICRP Project.

Social-economic: The catchment is an active site with planted forests in Rwoho CFR, extensive subsistence, pastoral livestock keeping, timber felling and chain sawing and cottage brewery. Change of purpose and use of water will certainly require transforming the communities into crop producers. There is a need to consider the cultural attachments, traditions and indigenous knowledge of these communities in the catchment plan as most households surveyed grow some bananas (matooke). This was not provided for in the agriculture development plan under the irrigable area, neither is the livestock production and existing cottage industries. Therefore, measures to allow for such communities to be transformed through training, exposure and demonstration have been captured as means of helping them adopt to the new plan for use of the area. In addition, suggestions to keep some of the traditional and cottage enterprises sustained is encouraged.

Underground water: The underground water level was assessed and found to be flacuate between rainy and dry seasons, and the variation to be also highly correlated to the level of water in the Mishumba River channel. Our conclusion is that the institution or change in hydrological regime for Mishumba Catchment to support the Kabuyanda ICRP project has to mimic the natural flow regime so as not to constrain the underground water sources and supply. Flooding or overbank releases will raise the water tables if not properly timed while over drawing in periods of low levels may result in drawing down the underground water resources. It is therefore the recommendation of the additional survey and study, that e-flows be done in a way that avoids excessive water supply or draw down so as to protect the underground water sources.

Environmental flow assessment and monitoring: The development of e-flow requirements for various species was based on species whose water requirements are dominated by others and would not require further analysis. This is based on the understanding that by satisfying the requirements of those that require more water, the requirements of other species will by ecological relation (shared habitats and effects) be realized. Therefore, flow requirements concentrated on the requirement for fish and for the papyrus for fauna and flora respectively. The e-flows were superimposed with the flow required for flushing or maintenance of the river reaches. It has already been indicated that the floods for the return period of 2 years and 5 years will be maintained for this purpose. In conclusion it was established that the overall discharge in the river reaches under consideration are quite small, therefore, there is very limited room for manipulation of flows to provide meaningful variations. From this perspective and the fact that the only investment using significant water in the catchment is the proposed irrigation scheme, only three (3) scenarios were studied. These include:

- Scenario one (1) represents the water required for the e-flows as deduced from the analysis of flows from sampling points 3, 6, 8, 14 and 15 including the maintenance of the high and low floods.
- Scenario two (2) comprises the proposed releases by the ESIA study report but with an addition of releasing low and high floods as in the previous scenario.
- Scenario three (3) is when the releases are increased in by twenty percent (20%) and forty percent (40%) of the Mean Annual Flow (MAF) during the dry and wet season respectively. It also includes the maintenance of the high and low floods.

Scenario 3 seemed the more appropriate scenario for the Mishumba Catchment based Kabuyanda ICRP project e-flow management.

Adjustments to the dam design and O&M plans: In the hydrolauic assessment it was established that Kabuyanda dam will impound and hold back a huge volume of water creating a reservoir. It was however concluded on analysis that the dam as designed and its operation and maintenance plan, are adequate and require no adjustments. As a point of emphasis however makes the following recommendations:

- The dam should undergo routine and scheduled inspections so as to enable discovery and attendance to any engineering and operational problem that may arise in a timely manner.
- Preventive maintenance programme for the components of Kabuyanda dam and its appurtenant structures be adopted and incorporated in the Kabuyanda ICRP project.

Cumulative Impacts: Kabuyanda ICRP project with its associated dam and irrigation infrastructure were found to have appropriately designed, and if operated as per design will have minimal and reversible cumulative impacts. It is a largely a positive project to both natural and the human environments. However key areas of concern leading to cumulative impacts are those regarding the impact of water reservoir and irrigation scheme on water quality, soil salinity, floral and faunal biodiversity, and underground water. To that effect the following conclusions are made concerning cumulative impact assessments carried out:

- Kabuyanda will transform the eixisting socioeconomic activities into organized irrigable crop production and associated downstream economic activities, and will have minimal or no resettlement of people as they ordinary do not reside in the catchment.
- Kabuyanda ICRP will involve land take for the dam and irrigation infrastructure as well as the irrigable area but this land is already under crop production and will not involve displacing peoples' other socioeconomic activities apart from a few cottage industries that in themselves related to agricultural production and products.
- The project is not in competition with other major socioeconomic or production activities as the site is majorly public land with a forest reserve based on planted trees with no prisitine vegetation.
- Does not significantly alter flows downstream apart from the period of daming and creation of the water reservoir.
- The resevior will allow for development a fishery to support the fishing livelihoods and perhaps act as a key conservation area for the limnophilic species and other aquatic bidoversity including water birds, terrestrial animals and aquatic plants.
- The project will have a moderate impact on habitat fragmentation and reduced connectivity of the rivers and habitats in the Mishumba catchment.
- There are no risks of opening and disturbing pristine areas, as the area is already highly disturbed and modified. However there will be additional cumulative impacts from clearing of vegetation for the dam and irrigation infrastructure construction as well establishment of a reservoir.

Key cumulative impacts were assessed and linked to issues of water quality, soil salinity, floral and faunal biodiversity, and underground water levels. However all the impacts if handled as per the project design are manageable and reversible.

Therefore, it is clear that save for the month of January, the recommended flows within the ESIA are sufficient to protect the fish and fauna. Therefore, the recommended water release schedule from the reservoir is $0.1m^3$ /s during the dry season (lowest) and $0.24 m^3$ /s during the rainy season. For maintenance purposes, the floods with the return periods of 2 years and 5 years should be imposed on this flow.

The study also concludes that no hinderance in the environmental or social findings should stop the implementation of the Kabuyanda ICRP, hence recommending that the proposed mitigation/enhancement measures of identified impacts and several the proposed plans be implemented accordingly for the success of the project.

A planted forest that has replaced the natural forest in Mishumba catchment

0.3

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Government of Uganda (GoU) through the Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE) with support from the World Bank is implementing the Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project (ICRP). The project development objectives are to provide farmers in the project areas with access to irrigation and other agricultural services, and to establish management arrangements for irrigation service delivery. The project is to be implemented by the Ministry of Water and Environment, with the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF) as technical implementation partner.

1.2 Project components

The project comprises three components: Component 1 - Irrigation Services; Component 2 - Support services for agricultural production and value-chain development; Component 3 - Institutional Strengthening and Implementation Support.

1.2.1 Component 1. Irrigation Services

Access to irrigation is critical to allowing farmers cope with climate variability, to increase yield and intensification, and diversify towards higher value crops. Component 1 aims at providing farmers with irrigation water across various irrigation models, classified around the size of irrigation development as per the National Irrigation Policy, spanning across the country. Component 1 comprises three sub-components.

1.2.1.1 Sub-component 1.1 on Large and Medium-scale Irrigation

Large (>1,000ha) and Medium (100-1,000ha) scale irrigation schemes are established when an important water source is available in conjunction with a sizable irrigable area, offering the chance of developing economies of scale for marketing and value addition. As water might not be directly accessible across the whole irrigable area, and/or as the water source might be at a certain distance from the irrigable area and/or variable over the year, off-farm infrastructures (i.e., dams, diversion weirs, transmission pipes or canals, distribution networks) are required. The project will construct new irrigation schemes; support the development and strengthening of management model of irrigation schemes; and develop studies for future irrigation schemes. Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme is being developed under Sub-Component 1.1.

1.2.1.2 Sub-component 1.2 on Small and Micro-Scale Irrigation.

Small (5-100ha) and micro (<5ha.) scale irrigation schemes are smaller in size, relying on a nearby water source mobilized with simple and relatively low-cost infrastructure, making it possible for farmers to take charge of irrigation development and management. The project will pilot public support for the construction of farmer-led small and micro scale irrigation schemes around the two new irrigation schemes and in areas close to Kampala characterized by high marketing potential, adopting a value chain approach.

1.2.1.3 Sub-component 1.3: Integrated Catchment management

It will develop and implement integrated catchment management interventions for the two new irrigation schemes, to improve the sustainability of the schemes, including the restoration /reforestation activity in Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR).

1.2.2 Component 2: Support services for agricultural production and value-chain development

Component 2 aims to support farmers carrying out on-farm irrigation, accessing production and value addition knowledge and skills, and developing sustainable market access. It has two sub-components:

1.2.2.1 Sub-component 2.1: On-farm Production and Productivity

It will provide support to farmers and farmers' groups for production and productivity improvement at the farm level in the new irrigation schemes, in existing irrigation schemes, in small and micro irrigation schemes as well as in the area of the proposed future irrigation scheme.

1.2.2.2 Sub-component 2.2: Value Addition and Market Linkages

It will provide support to farmers' groups for value-chain development and strengthening and establishment of market linkages.

1.2.3 Component 3: Institutional Strengthening and Implementation Support

Component 3 will support institutional strengthening. Activities will include: (i) short-term studies on management models in irrigation, tariff structures, and prerequisites for financial sustainability; and (ii) capacity building, training and study tours.

1.3 Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme

1.3.1 Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme

One of the irrigation schemes to be constructed under component 1 is the Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme. It is between Isingiro and Ntungamo districts of South-Western Uganda (Figure 1-1) known as Uganda's "Cattle Corridor". This climatic region is part of a broad zone stretching diagonally from the south-west to the north-east of the country, and is characterized by high rainfall variability and dominated by pastoral rangelands.

The scheme is envisaged to develop 3,300 ha of irrigated agriculture extending southwards from the dam along the river banks. It consists of a 33m high zoned earth-fill dam, located on River Mishumba, with reservoir storage capacity of approximately 8.8Mm³, draining an area of about 90 km² (Figure 1-3). The proposed project envisages to inundate 100 ha of Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR). This CFR is a 9,000-ha plantation development forest that is largely degraded (modified habitat) with bare hilltops with sparse woody plant cover, and partially restored with non-indigenous species (*Pinus Caribaea, Pinus Oocarpa* and *Eucalyptus* sp.). The scheme will contribute to improvement of farm incomes, rural livelihoods, food security, climate resilience, sustainable natural resources management in Kabuyanda.

The proposed Kabuyanda dam on River Mishumba is designed for storage of stream flow, which will limit the water flow and may also possibly alter water quality in the downstream area of the dam. The estimated monthly runoff for R. Mishumba at the proposed dam location indicates a bimodal variation with two peak flows in April and October of 0.8m³/s and 0.89m³/s, respectively, and a mean annual flow is 0.51m³/s (Figure 1-2). Based on available data, the river sometimes dries up in the dry months of February, July, August and September. Downstream of the Kabuyanda dam, the tributaries Rweibara (Kasharira) join R. Mishumba while Chezho Rwemango and Kyabaganda join Mishumba about 5km. The Rweibara River contributes about 18% of the

0.61m³/s Average Annual Flow (AAF) of R. Mishumba at the confluence, and the combined flow of Rweibara and Rwemango Rivers is about 43.3% of the total flow to the confluence.

The Mishumba catchment is located between Isingiro and Ntungamo districts along the borders of three (3) sub-counties (Kabuyanda, Kikagati and Nyakitunda), one (1) Town Council (Kabuyanda) in Isingiro District and one (1) sub-county (Rukoni East) in Ntungamo District. It joins R. Chezho and then discharges into R. Kagera draining a total of 9 sub-catchments and their streams. The proposed dam and auxiliary works will be established at the borders of Katooma I, (Rwakakwenda Parish), Kyamazinga I & II (Kagara Parish) and Rwoho CFR (Rwoho Parish) along Mishumba stream (**Figure 1**-4).



Figure 1-1: Location of Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme project area







Figure 1-3: Confluence and flow of the tributaries of river Mishumba below the dam.



Figure 1-4: Administration of Kabuyanda ICRP area

Mid-section of River Mishumba immediately after the dam site (around gauging station) currently used for agricultural activities, forestry development, and other socioeconomic activities (towards the confluence of Rweibare)

all set

1.4 The Assignment/study

1.4.1 Earlier study

In compliance with the National Environment Act 2019 as well as World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards policies and standards, an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) was carried out to identify and assess the potential positive and negative environmental and social impacts of the project prior to, during and after infrastructure construction, and to eliminate/minimize negative impacts, while enhancing the positive impacts. An Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) with the mitigation measures was also prepared.

The ESIA report (prepared by the MWE, dated September 2019, disclosed in-country on October 2, 2019, and at the World Bank website on October 3, 2019) noted that further assessment was needed. It was agreed that additional surveys and assessment will be undertaken by MWE during project implementation and prior to dam construction to confirm some of the initial findings described in the ESIA, in particular, with respect to biodiversity surveys (with more focus on fish), cumulative impacts, underground water and environmental flow assessment and monitoring. If needed, appropriate adjustments to dam design and/or operation will be introduced prior to dam construction in a manner satisfactory to the World Bank.

The project will alter the flow regime and limit the water quantity downstream the dam. Using a low-resolution hydrological methodology, the ESIA determines the environmental flow as 10% and 20% of Average Annual Flow (AAF) in the dry and wet seasons, respectively i.e., during the dry season was determined to 0.05m³/s and during the rainy season is 0.1 m³/s. The ESIA indicated that the proposed environmental flow requirement is adequate to satisfy the habitat and flow requirements of the few fish species that were recorded during the ESIA field work. It was proposed in the ESIA that the flows from downstream tributaries should contribute significantly to improve the downstream water flow for ecosystems demands.

1.4.2 Objectives

The objective of this consultancy is to assess the adequacy of the mitigation measures for project impacts downstream of the proposed dam included in the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme ESIA to ensure compliance with the GoU Environmental regulations and the World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies. The study developed a proposed Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan to mitigate the downstream ecological impacts of the planned Kabuyanda Dam, to monitor the impact and maintain or improve the ecological condition of the downstream areas. The assignment built and expanded on information collected under the ESIA.

This study is aimed at:

- a. better defining the magnitude and extent of the impacts (environmental, social and ecological) of the planned operation of the Kabuyanda Dam, with an emphasis on key ecosystem services, aquatic and terrestrial biodiversity (such as fish, amphibians and macro-invertebrate species) and the downstream users;
- b. proposing/assessing measures to mitigate, as much as technically and economically feasible, any detrimental impact on key ecosystem services, aquatic biodiversity (such as fish, macroinvertebrate and amphibians' species) and the downstream users as a result of Dam construction and operation; and

c. confirming whether the currently proposed Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) in the ESIA of 10% and 20% of Average Annual Flow (AAF) in the dry and wet seasons, respectively is adequate to ensure no-net loss (NNL) in aquatic and riparian ecosystem as well as to ensure safety and wellbeing for downstream communities and users with the findings of this study. If the proposed EFR is not appropriate, then identification and recommendation will be made of an acceptable flow regime that will continue to allow the operation of the dam to supply the expected flow for the irrigation areas.

1.4.3 Scope

The scope of work shall include:

- i. Data collection, review and study planning;
- ii. Proposition of methodologies and conducting field surveys;
- iii. Implementation of water flow and quality modelling;
- Assessment of risks and impacts, including assessment of the expected sedimentation, cumulative impact, and of the required environmental flow downstream the proposed Kabuyanda dam; and
- v. Proposition of a Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan to improve conditions of downstream biodiversity and ensure proper actions implementation and monitoring.

1.5 Report Structure

Chapter 1. Introduction: gives a description of the project background, the project components, the scope of work, the project description and report structure.

Chapter 2. Policy, Legal and Institutional Framework: gives background of the Policy and institutional arrangements underwhich these Additional studies for Kabuyanda ICRP have been conducted including both the national and international legal framework requirements.

Chapter 3. Baseline Data Collection Methods: gives a detailed methodology on how the physical, biological and socio-economic baseline data collection was conduted. It further describes the project impact and risk assessment including the cumulative impacts assessment methods.

Chapter 4. Environment and Social Baseline: describes the existing physical, biological and socioeconomic baseline conditions.

Chapter 5. Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA) Methodology: decribes the process and methods used to get the recommended e-flows

Chapter 6. Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA): gives the details of the results, conclusions and recommendations on the e-flows.

Chapter 7. Stakeholder Consultations and Concerns: provides an overview of consultation activities commenced in regard to additional studies and concerns raised.

Chapter 8. Impacts and Risk Assessment and Proposals For Mitigation Measures: gives a view of the potential environmental and social impacts according to their magnitude and presents the anticipated overall impacts of the Project. It as well points out the mitigation and enhancement measures.

Chapter 9. Biodiversity and Environmental Flow Management Plan: identifies the key biodiversity according to the conservation status, water flow requirement, and plan for ensuring such a environmental flows during the different phases of the project.

Chapter 10. Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) Management System: provides an assessed (calculated) indication of the environmental flows required for the different purposes from sustaining the river to ensuring the river continues to provide the natural ecosystems services.

Chapter 11. Dam Operation and Maintenance Plan: outlines the operation and maintenance (O&M) requirements for the Kabuyanda dam and associated facilities to allow the users to define requirements in the context of their projects

Chapter 12. Monitoring Program: provides a review and assessment of the monitoring system and procedures for the Mishumba River, especially downstream the proposed dam, a gap analysis for improvements to the monitoring system and management and propose the team needed for effective monitoring plan, sites for installing flow monitoring stages, field equipment needed and local capacity needed for the implementing this monitoring plan, among others.

Chapter 13. Implementation Arrangements and Procedures: provides a detailed and costed plan for implementation of the mitigation activities set out in the Biodiversity and Environmental Flow Management Plan together with the responsible persons/agencies and location and period for implementation.

Chapter 14. Conclusions: provides concise details of the outcome of the additional surveys and assessment as to policies, strategies, plans, measures and activities needed to ensure that irrigation scheme development does not harm the socioeconomic, ecological, and abiotic functions of the river.

Mid-section of River Mishumba a highly ecologically disturbed area, currently used mainly for agricultural activities, forestry development, and other socioeconomic activities (dam site)

2 POLICY, LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

Building on Chapter 3 of the ESIA, the present study expands on the review of the policy, legal and institutional framework for planning, implementation, operation and decommissioning of Kabuyanda irrigation scheme.

2.1 Uganda Policy Framework

2.1.1 The Uganda Vision 2040

Uganda Vision 2040 is anchored on the national shared vision which is attaining "A Transformed Ugandan Society from a Peasant to a Modern and Prosperous Country within 30 years". However, it is clear, agriculture is the main stay of the Ugandan economy employing 65.6% (UBOS, 2017) of the labor force and contributing 21% to the GDP. Despite these, agricultural contribution to the GDP has been declining but remains very important to provide a basis for growth in other sectors. For Uganda to shift from its current largely peasantry economy in most of the households to an industrialized and urban society, it must be propelled by multi-sectoral development interventions such as the project seeks to address electricity, water supply and agricultural production which therefore makes the project consistent with the GoU Vision 2040.

2.1.2 Third National Development Plan (NDP III) 2020/21–2024/25

Amongst its programmes and in line with Uganda Vision 2040, NDP III aims to stop and reverse the degradation of water resources, environment, natural resources as well as the effects of climate change on economic growth and livelihood security. According to the Plan, one of the key interventions identified that will spur growth is promotion of irrigation systems which is therefore consistent with the interventions lined in the proposed Kabuyanda irrigation scheme.

2.1.3 The National Environment Management Policy, 1994

The key policy objectives include the enhancement of the health and quality of life of Ugandans and promotion of long-term, sustainable socio-economic development through sound environmental and natural resource management and use; and optimizing resource use and achieving a sustainable level of resource consumption. *On the planned Kabuyanda irrigation scheme, aspects of Environmental Assessment have been integrated into the project in line with the objective of ensuring sustainability in the project.*

2.1.4 National Water Policy, 1999

The policy objective of this instrument is to sustainably manage and develop the water resources of Uganda in a coordinated and integrated manner so as to secure/provide water of an acceptable quality for all social and economic needs.

2.1.5 MoWE Environment and Social Safeguards Policy 2018

This instrument provides a guide on how to effectively address the environmental and social issues for projects and programmes. Specifically, it aims to:

a. ensure integration of environmental and social concerns in all stages of project development and all levels including national, district and local levels, with full participation of the people as means of minimizing environmental and social impacts; identify key environmental and social issues or aspects that will affect or will be affected by the projects/programmes and ensuring that risks are screened against Adaptation Fund 15 principles;

- b. specify appropriate roles and responsibilities, and outlining the necessary reporting procedures, for managing and monitoring environmental and social concerns including compliance; and
- c. establish institutional capacity building requirements to successfully implement the ESS, with particular focus on monitoring framework for its implementation and compliance.

2.1.6 Uganda National Climate Change Policy-UNCCP, 2012

The UNCCP aims to ensure a harmonized and coordinated approach towards a climate resilient and low-carbon development path for sustainable development in Uganda. The overarching objective of the policy is to ensure that all stakeholders address climate change impacts and their causes through appropriate measures, while promoting sustainable development and a green economy. *It focuses on adaptation and mitigation actions across key sectors such as agriculture, environment, land use and land use change among others.*

2.1.7 The National Agriculture Policy, 2013

The policy outlines six (6) principles to guide the sector, which entail pursuance of a private sector led and market-oriented economy; zoning to promote the most suitable commodities per area and provision of government support for such commodities to enhance commercialization, development of value chains for these commodities and food security. The National Agricultural Policy provides the framework within which the sector expects to contribute towards achieving these targets. *To have a sustained positive impact on overall economic growth, poverty reduction and food security, the agricultural sector must grow at a rate of 5.6%.*

2.1.8 The National Fisheries and Aquaculture Policy, 2018

The fisheries and aquaculture policy seeks to highlight the contribution of fish as a commodity to agriculture sector. It recognizes the need for boosting production from both capture fisheries and aquaculture, and also puts emphasis on the ability of future generations to produce and ensure adequate supply of fish to Uganda. *It focuses and points to need for conservation of fisheries resources and identifies research as central in guiding fisheries and aquaculture management in Uganda*.

2.1.9 The National Land Use Policy, 2013

The overall policy goal is to achieve sustainable and equitable socio-economic development through optimal land management and utilization in Uganda.

2.1.10 The Occupational Health and Safety Policy

This policy will be especially relevant for Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) of the Project and associated irrigation dam construction crews and subsequently, maintenance personnel. *The policy will also have relevance in mitigation measures that protect the public from adverse health and safety impacts as a result of irrigation dam project construction and subsequent operation and maintenance activities.*

2.1.11 National Employment Policy 2011

It emphasizes adherence to guiding principles that include: Consistency with overall National Planning Framework; Social Dialogue; Private Sector-led Growth and Employment Generation; Employment creation as a key indicator for economic performance; Education, Skills

Development and Training; Compliance with labour standards; Affirmative action; Community participation; Addressing HIV/AIDS at the Work Place; Promotion of Gender Equality for all in employment. The same policy also prioritizes key action areas namely: employment-intensive growth; labour market information; labour productivity, skills development and training; promotion of agriculture and rural employment; improving informal sector, micro and small-scale enterprises; improving labour administration and labour standards; externalization of labour; employment of vulnerable groups and promotion of gender equality; promotion of youth employment.

2.1.12 Uganda Gender Policy 2017

The gender policy guides on aspects of addressing gender imbalances as well as a guide to all development practitioners. It guides all levels of planning, resource allocation and implementation of development programmes with a gender perspective. It gives a clear mandate to the MDAs to mainstream gender in all sectors. It offers overall indicators which can be used to assess the extent to which the purpose of the policy is achieved. *The policy also states four priority interventions and action areas namely livelihoods, rights, governance and macro-economic management.*

2.1.13 National Social Protection Policy 2015

The policy guides on how to promote effective social protection interventions as an integral part of the Uganda Vision 2040. The policy focuses on two pillars namely: social security and social care and support services. It emphasizes need to (a) expand provision of direct income support to vulnerable individuals and households and (b) to enhance provision of holistic social care and support services to individuals and families at the risk of social exclusion, neglect or abuse.

2.1.14 National OVC Policy 2004

It provides a framework for the enjoyment of rights and fulfillment of responsibilities of the orphans and other vulnerable children. The policy further ensures that (a) legal, policy, and institutional framework for child protection are developed and strengthened at all levels; (b) ensure that orphans, vulnerable children and their families access basic essential services package; (c) ensure that resources for interventions that benefit orphans and other vulnerable children are mobilized and efficiently utilized; (d) ensure that the capacity of duty-bearers for orphans and other vulnerable children to provide essential services is enhanced. It also ensures to prevent violation of the rights of children in relation to serious risks and hazards. It ensures improved child-friendly legal protection systems, procedures and facilities; strengthening family and community mechanisms that prevent the bereaved from inheriting property; and sensitizing communities about the rights and responsibilities of children. the NOP 2004 defines the target groups of OVC that include: Orphans and orphan households; Children affected by armed conflict; Children abused or neglected; Children in conflict with the law; Children affected by HIV/AIDS or other diseases; Children in need of alternative family care; Children affected by disability; Children in 'hard-to-reach' areas; Children living under the worst forms of labour; Children living on the streets.

2.1.15 The National Child Labour Policy, 2006

It provides a framework to mobilize all actors to take action to eliminate the practice. It explains the socio-economic context of child labour, the nature, extent and magnitude, the causes, consequences and effects.

2.1.16 Other relevant National Policies

There are relevant policies that include the following: National HIV/AIDS Policy; National Policy on Elimination of Gender Based Violence 2016; Social Development Policy; Uganda National Climate Change Communication Strategy 2016; Local Economic Development Policy 2014; National Local Government Capacity Building Policy 2013.

2.2 National Strategic Planning Framework

2.2.1 National Development Plan III

The NDP III provides a guiding framework on the development objectives and targets for Uganda. The NDP III prioritizes the development of agricultural production and productivity; construction of irrigation schemes (mini-micro; mechanized irrigation schemes; mega-irrigation) and multi-purpose surface storage facilities/ reservoirs; climate change adaptation and mitigation; environment and natural resource management in the NDP III period.

2.2.2 District Development Plans II and III

The respective district development plans of Isingiro, Ntungamo and Mbarara do prioritize environment management and natural resource management in relation to catchment protection among them Mishumba catchment.

2.2.3 Lower Local Government Development Plans

The respective lower local governments (LLGs) have development plans that are consistent with DDPs. They prioritize agricultural production, extension services and environmental protection at sub county, parish and village level. However, they have limited resources to implement the actions. These LLGs include Sub-Counties of Kikagate, Kabuyanda, Ruborogota, Nyakitunda and Kabuyanda Town Council.

2.2.4 Environment and Natural Resources Sub-Sector Gender Mainstreaming Strategy 2016-2021 (June 2016)

It offers a guide on how to attain gender equity and equality in delivery of environment & Natural Resources related services. It focuses on; a) improving access and control; b) promote equal opportunities for participation by men, women and vulnerable groups in planning and sustainable management of ENR at all levels; c) promote equitable sharing of environment and natural resource products and services; d) build and strengthen capacity for gender mainstreaming in Environment & Natural Resources sub-sector at all levels; e) integrate gender in ENR policies, legislation, planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

2.2.5 Water and Sanitation Gender Strategy 2018-2022 (WSGS III)

It guides on empowering men, women, boys, girls and vulnerable groups through ensuring equity in access to and control of resources in the water and sanitation sub-sector, contributing to poverty reduction. It aims at; a) Gender integration in policy, guidelines, plans and budgets; b) (ii) Capacity enhancement and promotion of a gender-sensitive work environment; c) Economic empowerment through equitable access to and control of water resources, supply, sanitation and hygiene; d) Gender documentation, reporting and monitoring; e) Gender coordination, partnership and networking.

2.2.6 National Irrigation Master Plan for Uganda (2010-2035)

The Overall Objective of irrigation development in Uganda is: "Poverty Alleviation and Economic Growth as a result of the sustainable realization of the country's irrigation potential mitigating the effects of climate change and contributing to the transformation of Uganda society from a peasant to a modern and prosperous country". *These objectives of the Irrigation Master Plan are in line with the aspirations of Kabuyanda irrigation scheme.*

2.2.7 Operation Wealth Creation

The GoU acknowledge that agriculture has, for a long time, been a core sector of the economy providing the basis for growth in other sectors and significantly contributing to GDP and employment. Under Operation Wealth Creation (OWC), it is the objective of GoU that national policies, interventions and programmes aim at transforming agriculture from subsistence to commercial agriculture with a target of raising household incomes to a minimum UGX20 million per household per year.

2.3 Uganda Legal Framework

2.3.1 Constitution of the Republic of Uganda, 1995

The right to a clean and healthy environment is enshrined in Article 39 of the Constitution of Uganda, 1995. To ensure that the implementation of Kabuyanda Irrigation Project complies with the Constitutional obligations on environmental sustainability, this Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan has been prepared which amongst others, outlines anticipated environmental and social negative impacts of the project and outlines measures for addressing such concerns through its Environmental Flow Management Plan (EFMP).

2.3.2 The National Environment Act, N°.5 of 2019

Part X of the Act in its Section 110 provides for preparation of environmental and social assessments whose purpose is to evaluate environmental and social impacts, risks or other concerns of a given project or activity, taking into account the environmental principles set out in its Section 5(2). *In this case, changes in land use with a construction of a dam envisaged under the project require ESIA to be conducted before project implementation.*

2.3.3 Water Act, 1995

This Act seeks to promote provision of orderly development and use of water resources for purposes other than domestic use, such as the watering of stock, irrigation and agriculture, industrial, commercial and mining uses, the generation of hydroelectric or geothermal energy, navigation, fishing, preservation of flora and fauna and recreation in ways which minimize harmful effects to the environment. The basic foundation of the Act's provision is the reconciliation between protecting the environment and ensuring the availability to the population of water of sufficient quality and quantity. The project will largely use waters from the river system whose usage has a transboundary perspective. Therefore, to guarantee both equitable and optimal usage of the water, feasibility studies were undertaken to establish permissible abstraction levels which will ensure the project will be compliant with the relevant provisions in the Act.

2.3.4 Fish Act, 2002 and Fisheries and Aquaculture Bill 2019

The Fish Act seeks to implement the existing policy of producing enough fish for the current population needs without compromising the fish needs for future populations. With new fisheries and aquaculture policy, a fisheries and aquaculture bill were drafted, passed by Cabinet and is under consideration by the National Assembly. The bill seeks to improve management and conservation of wild fisheries resources, while promoting and supporting responsible and equitable fishing and fish production activities including aquaculture production. *Key for the bill is the emphasis on conservation and protection of key ecological and economic fisheries resources and biodiversity including associated habitats.*

2.3.5 National Forestry and Tree Planting Act, 2003

The National Forestry and Tree Planting Act 2003 is the main law that regulates and controls forest management in Uganda. Section 38 of this Act provides for an environment impact assessment to be undertaken for an activity that may have significant impact on a forest.

2.3.6 The Local Governments Act (Cap 243)

The Act creates a decentralized system of government based on the district as the main unit of administration. The Act allocates responsibility for service delivery of a number of functions to local government councils (districts, cities, municipalities or town councils) and to lower local government councils (sub-counties / divisions). The design of Kabuyanda irrigation scheme is geared towards uplifting the livelihoods of the households, as such, its implementation is consistent with Isingiro District Development Plans and it is to be undertaken with technical guidance of the District technical staff supported by the political wing of the district.

2.3.7 The Land Act, Cap 227

The Land Act vests land ownership in Uganda in the hands of Ugandans and that, whoever owns or occupies land shall manage and utilize the land in accordance with the Forest Act, Mining Act, National Environment Act, the Water Act, the Uganda Wildlife Act and any other law [section 43, Land Act]. The Kabuyanda Irrigation Project has integrated Environmental Assessments into its feasibility study and design to ensure that, its implementation will be in compliance with the Act provisions.

2.3.8 The Public Health Act, 1964

Section 7 of the Act provides local authorities with administrative powers to take all lawful, necessary and reasonable practical measures for preventing the occurrence of, or for dealing with any outbreak or prevalence of any infectious, communicable or preventable disease to safeguard and promote public health; and to exercise the powers and perform the duties in respect of public health conferred or imposed by this Act or other relevant laws. Public health and hygiene are key in the project with regard to management of a range of waste including agro-chemicals based types and the general health of the project and the communities in the vicinity.

2.3.9 The Children Act 1996

It provides a legal and institutional framework for child care and protection. It accords specific rights for children with disabilities to ensure equal opportunities, and obliges the parents or any

person in custody over the child to maintain the child ensuring education, guidance, immunization, adequate diet, shelter and medical care.

2.3.10 Children Act (amended) 2016

It enhances the protection of Children; to strengthen the provision for guardianship of Children; to strengthen the conditions for inter-country adoption; to prohibit corporal punishments. It also establishes the National Children Authority (NCA).

2.3.11 Domestic Violence Act 2010

The act provides protection and relief of victims of domestic violence; to provide for the punishment of perpetrators of domestic violence; to provide for the procedure and guidelines to be followed by the court in relation to the protection and compensation of victims of domestic violence; to provide for the jurisdiction of court; to provide for the enforcement of orders made by the court; to empower the family and children court to handle cases of domestic violence and for related matters.

2.3.12 The Employment Act, 2006

It forbids contracts with persons under the age of eighteen, and the employment of children under the age of twelve years, except from light work defined by the Minister. It offers legal guidance on employment conditions and relationships such as contracts (verbal and written), prohibition of forced labour and child labour; discrimination in employment; labour management procedures, among others.

2.3.13 The Workers Compensation Act, 2000

The act provides for compensation to workers for injuries suffered and scheduled diseases incurred in the course of their employment.

2.3.14 National (Environmental and Social Assessment Regulations), 2000

The ESA Regulations gives outline in the conduct of an ESIA study, thus paving the way for an enabling environment for it to use as a tool for environmental protection. The Regulation provides for three levels of ESIA which in this case, a full ESIA has been prepared based on the nature and magnitude of the project.

2.3.15 The National Environment (Audit) Regulations, 2006

The Regulations reinforce the requirement to undertake Self-Environmental Audits as contained in the EIA Regulations. Normally, under approval conditions of NEMA, it is a requirement to undertake Audits for projects which comply with the EIA requirement as part of the conditions of EIA approval. With respect to this project will be the need for periodic Environmental Audit after 12-36 months of the project implementation in line with the Audit regulations.

2.3.16 The National Environment (Waste Management) Regulations, 1999

The National Environment (Waste Management) Regulations, 1999 apply to all categories of hazardous and non-hazardous waste and to the storage and disposal of hazardous waste and its movement into and out of Uganda. The regulations promote cleaner production methods and require a facility to minimize waste generation by eliminating use of toxic raw materials; reducing

toxic emissions and wastes; and recovering and reuse of waste wherever possible. The Regulations oblige the Developer to put in place measures for proper management of waste.

2.3.17 National Environment (Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management) Regulations, No. 3/2000

The National Environment (Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management) Regulations, No. 3/2000 section 34 requires a developer to conduct Environmental impact assessment to a project which may have a significant impact on a wetland, river bank or lake shore. The project is aimed at protecting the environment and hence observes the protection zone. *In case the design and construction of project facilities will be within the protected zone then the permit will be requested from the National Environment Management Authority. However, for this project the dam site is inside the CFR, for which National Forestry's clearance will suffice, in accordance with the National Forestry and Tree Planting Act, 2003.*

2.3.18 The Water Abstraction Regulations, 1998

The Water Abstraction Regulation in Section 18 provides for the establishment of a controlled mechanism through issuance of permits to regulate the amount of water abstracted by users. The Regulation requires that a water abstraction permit either for ground or surface water abstraction are pre-requisites for motorized and/or abstracting of quantities above 400m³/day for persons involved in construction (damming, diverting surface water). *Irrigation, hydropower and associated considerations will require abstraction permits from DWRM after detailed feasibility is conducted*.

2.3.19 Environmental Guidelines to Local Governments for Strengthening Compliance with Safeguards Requirements in Development Projects 2020

These provide a guiding framework to local governments on how to effectively address the environmental, climate change and social issues for all projects and programs (government or donor-funded) to minimize negative impacts on the environment and beneficiary communities during projects/programs implementation. Its objectives are to:

- ensure integration of environmental and climate change concerns in all stages of project development and at all levels including City, District or Municipal and Lower Local governments levels, with the full participation of the people as means of minimizing environmental and social risks and impacts;
- specify appropriate roles and responsibilities, and outlining the necessary reporting procedures, for managing and monitoring environmental concerns including compliance to the law for environment and climate change aspects in different Local Governments.

2.3.20 The Fish (Fishing) Rules, 2010 (Amended, 2020)

The regulation is a set of rules meant to guide fishing in all waters of Uganda. *Key in this set of rules is prohibition against destructive fishing, regulation of where fish is landed and traded, and ensuring safety of fisherfolk.*

2.4 Uganda Institutional Framework

2.4.1 Ministry of Water and Environment, (MOWE)

The Ministry has the national mandate to ensure provision of quality water and environmental protection services in the country and is charged with the management and sustainable utilization of water and environment resources for the betterment of the population of Uganda. With respect to this project, MoWE has dedicated a Project Coordination Office (PCO) headed by a National Project Coordinator (NPC), for the overall coordination of this project. The NPC is to be guided by a National Project Steering Committee (NPSC) chaired by the Permanent Secretary MWE.

2.4.2 MoWE Victoria Water Zone in South Western Region Mbarara

The Ministry has set up in its structure, four zonal regional water management zones which are charged with the sustainable use of its water resources and catchment areas, improving the catchment areas and setting up catchment management organizations, supporting sensitization of communities on sustainable management of water resources in the zones. The Zone Supports sustainable management of water resources, with a focus on benefiting the people within the catchment, economically and socially. The zone plays a role in catchment based integrated water resources management i.e., supporting the preparation of Catchment Management Plans (CMPs) and establishment of Catchment Management Organizations (CMOs) to promote coordination and collaboration among the various stakeholders. The Management Zone will be key in Sustainable Land Management (SLM) aspects in the project so that, the catchment is protected from degradation thus ensuring proper use and management of the river water.

2.4.3 Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF)

Is mandated amongst others and specific relevance to this project, to support the development of infrastructure and use of water for agricultural production and to develop and promote collaborative mechanisms nationally, regionally and internationally on issues pertaining to the sector. With respect to irrigation aspects in Kabuyanda Irrigation, project issues of on-farm works will be the responsibility of the Ministry especially provision of technical assistance in the design and construction of on-farm irrigation systems. MAAIF will further be responsible for the provision of extension services and advice to farmers on irrigation systems and promotion of efficient water use. In addition, the Ministry will provide support in the supervision and monitoring of water use and management.

2.5 Statutory Agencies

2.5.1 The National Environment Management Authority-NEMA

NEMA is the principal agency for the management of the environment and coordinates, monitors and supervises all activities in the field of the environment in Uganda. Its Environmental Monitoring and Compliance division is responsible for the review and approval of ESIAs, postimplementation audits and monitoring of approved projects. NEMA will be responsible for reviewing the ESIA report and subsequent decision making in addition to monitoring of environmental compliance during project implementation and operation through the designated regional or district offices.

2.5.2 The Uganda Land Commission

The Uganda Land Commission (ULC), is an autonomous body that holds and manages any land in Uganda vested in or acquired by the Government of Uganda. The Uganda Land Commission holds
and manages land in Uganda vested in or acquired by Government of Uganda. Once the land for common infrastructure facilities (dams, reservoirs, roads and offices amongst others) in the project is acquired, it will be vested with the ULC.

2.5.3 Isingiro Local Government

Through its bottom-up participatory planning process, the district prepares a 5-Year District Development Plan as a framework for its delivery of mandated services to its population. The District Development Plan is the single point for all development interventions and initiatives in the district. In view of this, to the extent possible, Kabuyanda irrigation scheme interventions are to be linked to the districts DDP in Uganda.

2.5.4 Local Governments (Isingiro, Mbarara & Ntungamo DLGs)

Through its bottom-up participatory planning process, the district prepares a 5-Year District Development Plan as a framework for its delivery of mandated services to its population. The District Development Plan is the single reference point for all development interventions and initiatives in the district. In view of this, to the extent possible, Kabuyanda irrigation scheme interventions are to be linked to the districts DDP in Uganda, as well respective developments plan of lower LGs (sub counties, town councils, parishes / wards, cells / villages).

2.5.5 Non-State Actors

There are non-state actors that are actively involved in promotion of agricultural production, water for production community development, environmental protection and natural resource management. These non-state actors include a) civil society organizations (CSOs) such as NGOs, CBOs, FBOs, activists, pressure groups, advocacy committees; b) media (TV, radio, print, online, social media); religious institutions among others.

2.6 International Conventions and Agreements to which Uganda is signatory

2.6.1 Convention on Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes 1992

Under Article 1, The Parties shall take all appropriate measures to prevent, control and reduce any transboundary impact on the watercourses and the Parties are obliged to: prevent, control and reduce pollution of waters causing or likely to cause transboundary impact; ensure that transboundary waters are used with the aim of ecologically sound and rational water management, conservation of water resources and environmental protection; ensure that transboundary waters are used in a reasonable and equitable way, taking into particular account their transboundary character, in the case of activities which cause or are likely to cause transboundary impact; ensure conservation and, where necessary, restoration of ecosystems; and Measures for the prevention, control and reduction of water pollution shall be taken, where possible, at source. In the implementation of the project, modalities for amicable involvement of the two States in the implementation of the project has been part of the ESIA process through joint workshops to discuss the deliverables as well as issues of design as in the feasibility study which ensured that, there is equitable availability of water for various users both up and downstream of the river.

2.6.2 Guidelines of the World Commission of Dams (WCD)

WCD was created by World Bank and IUCN in May 1998 in response to growing opposition to large dams. International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD) defines a large dam as one with a height of 15 m or more from the foundation. If dams are between 5-15 m high and have a reservoir of more than 3 million cubic meters, they are also classified as large dams. *It is noted that, Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme is a category A since, it will have a large dam and as such WCD, the WCD recommendations will be applicable in its implementation.*

2.6.3 Convention of Biological Diversity

The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), known informally as the Biodiversity Convention, is a multilateral treaty. The Convention has three main goals namely conservation of biological diversity (or biodiversity); sustainable use of its components; and fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from genetic resources. In other words, its objective is to develop national strategies for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity. It is often seen as the key treaty document regarding sustainable development. Uganda is a signatory to this convention and when the ESIA is being undertaken for this project, there should be recommendations that the proponent will abide by in line with the convention requirements to carry out assessments for all projects likely to have significant adverse effects on biodiversity. *This project is in line with the spirit of the convention since it has integrated biodiversity in water resource planning as the environment has been considered a legitimate user of water. Thus, the project shall ensure that the volume of water abstracted leaves enough water for the existent ecosystems*.

2.6.4 Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) Uganda is a signatory to this treaty, which outlines the role of all parties to protect endangered plants and animals. Its aim is to ensure that international trade in specimens of wild animals and plants does not threaten the survival of the species in the wild, and it accords varying degrees of protection to more than 35,000 species of animals and plants. No animals will be traded in the course of the planned project implementation and all its phases. The workers will be briefed on risks of engaging in animal hunting and trade.

2.6.5 United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD)

Convention to combat desertification and mitigate the effects of drought through national action programs that incorporate long-term strategies supported by international cooperation and partnership arrangements. *The Kabuyanda irrigation scheme has direct impacts on the Rwoho CFR. As such, as provided by law, the CFR area taken up by the project will be subject to equivalent compensation which will address lost forest as well as lost climate change mitigation that would arise from the project.*

2.6.6 Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals

The convention aims to conserve terrestrial, aquatic and avian migratory species throughout their range. It is an intergovernmental treaty, concluded under the aegis of the United Nations Environment Programme, concerned with the conservation of wildlife and habitats on a global scale. The project will in its implementation be cognizant of migratory aspects of wildlife some through its areas of implementation and such wildlife will not be hunted.

2.6.7 Ramsar Convention on Wetlands

Is an international treaty for the conservation and sustainable utilization of wetlands, to stem the progressive encroachment on and loss of wetlands now and in the future, recognizing the fundamental ecological functions of wetlands and their economic, cultural, scientific, and recreational value. The implementation of the proposed project is to take into account, the need for sustainable use of wetlands. The project will ensure that the wetland areas within the project area are conserved.

2.6.8 Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)

Uganda UNEP/UNDP Partnership initiative for the implementation of SAICM is intended to assist the Government, through the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), to take up the strategic priorities of SAICM Quick Start Program (SQSP), namely: develop and strength national chemicals management institutions, plans, programs and activities to implement the Strategic Approach for chemicals management, building upon work conducted to implement international chemicals-related initiatives; and undertake analysis, interagency coordination, and public participation activities directed at enabling the implementation of Strategic Approach by integrating the sound management of chemicals in national development priorities and strategies. Provisions of SAICM will be considered in the project by developing PMP for the project to ensure information, capacity building and general safe handling of agrochemicals.

2.6.9 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)

The ICESCR gives explicit guidance on the implementation of the Covenant in the context of indigenous peoples

2.7 World Bank Environmental and Social Policy Frameworks

The World Bank by policy requires that every development activity is assessed for compliance to the borrower's and the Bank's set environmental and social safeguards Operational Policies (OPs) and regulatory framework. These E&S Safeguards Policies standards are outlined below;

Safeguard Policies	Triggered?		Remarks
OP 4.01	Yes		The project is about constructing Irrigation Infrastructure
Environmental			Development which are structures above 10m high which is
Assessment			considered Large Dam, entailing major infrastructure works
			necessitating detailed ESIA and ESMP to be developed. Typically,
			the irrigation infrastructure works of Kabuyanda scheme will
			include construction of a 33 m high dam and associated reservoir,
			and establishment of an irrigation system in the command area
			of about 3,300ha. This will lead to large scale environmental and
			social impacts, affecting a wider area, and resulting in significant
			health and safety impacts diuirng construction phase, including
			labor influx and associated socio-economic aspects. Kabuyanda
			dam will inundate 100ha of Rowho Central Forest Reserve. As
			such, the project is assigned E&S Category A. In addition, the
			project will have other auxiliary components which will equally
			necessitate to be subject to independent ESIAs. The additional
			Surveys and Assessments for Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme have
			been undertaken leading to development of "Biodiversity and

		Ecological Flow Management Plan". This assessment
		supplements the original project FSIA undertaken in 2019 and has
		assessed likely environmental and social impacts including
		development of ESMP for addressing negative impacts during
		are included
		project implementation. The additional studies included
		extensive stakeholder consultations, which will continue during
		project implementation. The assessment demonstrates how the
		project will comply with all applicable rules and guidelines,
		including: (i) all triggered World Bank Safeguard Policies; (ii)
		relevant World Bank Group Environmental, Health and Safety
		Guidelines; and (iii) Uganda National Environment Management
		Authority's (NEMA) Guidelines for Environmental Impact
		Assessment and National Environment Act 2019.
OP 4.04 Natural	Yes	The project is designed to minimize any adverse impacts on
Habitats		natural habitats as a result of irrigation development while
		strengthening the management of vulnerable catchment areas
		The project implementation will involve use of some ecosystem
		areas such wetlands and forests (parts of Busche (CEP) thereby
		triggering this sefectuards notice. The reconveit for Kehuwanda
		triggering this safeguards policy. The reservoir for Kabuyanda
		Irrigation scheme will occupy 100 ha (1.1%) of Rwono CFR, which
		is considered a modified non-critical habitat, largely degraded
		and under plantation production using exotic tree species of
		eucalyptus and pine. The project will mitigate this impact by
		undertaking restoration/reforestation on 500 ha in Rwoho CFR,
		under the technical leadership of National Forestry Authority
		(NFA). In consultation with the National Forestry Authority, the
		ESIA has identified an area of 1000 ha of degraded land within
		Rwoho Central Forest Reserve to be replanted under the project
		with indigenous tree species to mitigate and compensate for the
		loss of 100 ha reservoir area in the forest, through enrichment
		planting to promote natural regeneration 10ha in the 1000ha
		covers a wetland area to components for the 5 6ha of the wetland
		to be taken up in the recorder area. The project also had a
		to be taken up in the reservoir area. The project also has a
		catchment management sub-component through which natural
		habitats shall be conserved and/or managed and utilized
		sustainably, through the catchment management plans, while
		involving the host communities. Under this, more conservation
		measures shall be undertaken in and around the project
		catchment area. It is, therefore, expected that no critical habitats
		will be significantly converted under this project, rather
		environmental conservation will be encouraged at the project
		sites. In addition, a Biodiversity Action Plan has been developed
		(Appendix 12).
OP 1 09 Part	Ves	The Kabuyanda Irrigation project is simed at increasing
Management	103	arricultural production amidet avidently veriable elimetic
wanagement		agricultural production annust evidentity variable climatic
		conditions as such, there will likely be problems of pests and
		diseases which will necessitate use of agro-chemicals which

			triggers this safeguards policy and has necessitated inclusion of a
			generic Pest Management Plan prepared as part of the ESMF.
			which was disclosed.
OP 4 10 Indigenous		No	This safeguard is not triggered and therefore not considered
People			under the Kabuvanda Irrigation scheme since there are no
reopie			Indigenous people in the project area
OD 411 Dhysical	Vac		This sefective distribute project area.
OP 4.11 Physical	res		This safeguard is triggered because project activities will involve
Cultural Resources			excavations which is likely to occasion accidental discoveries of
			PCRs. Therefore, a Chance Finds Procedure has been prepared as
			part of project ESIA dated September 2019.
OP 4.12 Involuntary	Yes		The project works involving construction of common project
Resettlement			infrastructures such as roads, dam sites, irrigation channels
			irrigation infrastructures which will likely cause land-take thereby
			triggering this safeguards policy. A separate Resettlement Action
			Plan has been prepared as part of safeguards study in this project.
OP 7.50 Projects on	Yes		The Kabuyanda Scheme located on parts of the broader R. Kagera
International waters			system is a transboundary river feeding to the Lake Victoria and
			the R. Nile system which triggers this safeguards policy. Riparian
			notification has been undertaken by GoU through the Nile Basin
			Initiative on Nov. 13, 2018.
OP 4 36 Forests	Ves		The project activities will take up some areas of Rwoho CER which
01 4.50 1010303	103		therefore triggers this safeguards policy instrument. The reservoir
			for Kahuyanda irrigation scheme will occupy 100 be (1.1%) of
			Duraha CED which is considered a modified non critical behitst
			Rwoho CFR, which is considered a modified hon-critical habitat,
			largely degraded and under production using exotic tree species
			of eucalyptus and pine. The project will mitigate this impact by
			undertaking restoration/reforestation activities on 500 ha in
			Rwoho CFR, under the technical leadership of National Forestry
			Authority (NFA). The project also has a catchment management
			sub-component through which natural habitats shall be
			conserved and/or managed and utilized sustainably, through the
			catchment management plans, while involving the host
			communities. Under this, more conservation measures shall be
			undertaken in and around the project catchment area.
OP 4.37 Safety of	Yes		The policy is triggered because the project will finance
Dams			construction of dams. In compliance with the triggered OP 4.37.
			a Dam Safety Panel has been constituted to provide the necessary
			oversight. The dam for Kabuvanda scheme, which is 33 m high, is
			designed by qualified engineers and dam safety measures will be
			incornorated in dam operations. Dam Safety Management and
			Emergency Plans have been prenared for Kabuyanda scheme
			alongcido the ECIA (Soptember 2010) to provide guidence on
			alongside the ESIA (September 2019) to provide guidance on
			in the event of a failure. The failure from the safet
			In the event of a failure. The following four dam safety reports
			were prepared, as required by OP4.37 were :
			1. Plan for Construction Supervision and Quality Assurance;

		2. Instrumentation Plan;
		3. Operation and Maintenance Plan; and
		4. Emergency Preparedness Plan
		The above plans were reviewed by the Bank's dam safety
		specialist and found satisfactory in 2019.
OP/BP 7.60 Projects	No	The planned Kabuyanda Irrigation project will not be
in Disputed Areas		implemented in disputed areas; hence this safeguards policy is
		not triggered.

2.8 Relevant Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) Guidelines (IFC/World)

The Environmental, Health, and Safety (EHS) Guidelines are technical reference documents with general and industry specific examples of Good International Industry Practice (GIIP). The General EHS Guidelines contain information on cross-cutting environmental, health, and safety issues potentially applicable to all industry sectors. Applicable general guidelines include environmental, occupational health and safety, community health and safety and construction and decommissioning. These guidelines are used together with the relevant industry sector guideline(s). Application of the EHS Guidelines to the planned irrigation project may involve the establishment of site-specific targets, with an appropriate timetable for achieving them. The EHS Guidelines for Annual Crop Production include information relevant to large-scale, commercial production of the main annual crops, including cereals, pulses, roots and tubers, oil-bearing crops, fiber crops, vegetables, and fodder crops in tropical regions. Plantation crops are addressed in the EHS Guidelines for Plantation Crop Production. Information applicable to crop production is under the detailed General EHS Guidelines and Industry specific EHS Guidelines¹

In line with these guidelines, MoWE will ensure that:

1

- a. the project will provide safety of services without compromising the health and safety of project-affected communities;
- b. the project's direct impacts on ecosystem services do not exacerbate climate change impacts and impacts on surface water courses;
- c. the communities are not exposed to health issues, of particular importance are communicable diseases including COVID-19 (*details provided in next sub-section*) and HIV/AIDS from the project work force and risks from pesticides; and
- d. emergency preparedness and response as well First Aid needs for the workers and the communities.

Also, due to the labor influx of project personnel, to undertake project works there could be increased risk of Gender Based Violence and Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in communities particularly towards the most vulnerable women and girls. Under this, the project management

http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/ifc+sustainability/our+ approach/risk+management/ehsguidelines

will retain services a GBV expert to manage associated risks and impacts. The Ministry will be responsible for ensuring that the management and supervisions of all military and other security personnel engaged/employed in relation to the project conforms to these requirements.

EHS Guidelines	Sector		
Environmental	 Air Emissions and Ambient Air Quality 		
	Energy Conservation		
	 Wastewater and Ambient Water Quality 		
	 Water Conservation 		
	 Hazardous Materials Management 		
	✤ Waste Management		
	✤ Noise		
	 Contaminated land 		
Occupational Health	 General Facility Design and Operation 		
and Safety	 Communication and training 		
	 Physical Hazards 		
	 Chemical Hazards 		
	 Biological Hazards 		
	 Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) 		
	 Special Hazard Environments 		
	 Monitoring 		
Community Health and	 Water Quality and Availability 		
Safety	 Structural Safety of Project Infrastructure 		
	✤ Traffic Safety		
	 Transport of Hazardous Materials 		
	 Disease Prevention 		
	 Emergency Preparedness and Response. 		
Construction and	 Environment 		
Decommissioning	 Occupational Health and Safety 		
	 Community Health and Safety 		

The relevant General EHS Guidelines for the project are:

Mainstreaming COVID-19 measures into the project

The Covid-19 pandemic threatens to overwhelm health systems globally, but especially in developing countries. With the spread in Uganda and its neighboring countries, the question is whether Uganda health system was resilient enough to respond to the pandemic and its short, medium term and long-term effects. Concerted action needed to be undertaken at national, regional and international levels to effectively contain this pandemic, underscoring the importance of cooperation, coordination and communication. Some of the COVID-19 control measures during the study involved a combination of Ministry of Health Guidelines for COVID-19, WHO Guidelines as well as World Bank COVID-19 guidelines (Technical Note: Public Consultations

and Stakeholder Engagement in WB-supported operations when there are constraints on conducting public meetings March 20, 2020). The following key Covid-19 measures have been included in the ESMP and this additional BEFMP and contractors will be required to implement during construction:

- Some of the project discussions with stakeholders were conducted via electronic media especially through zoom/teams' meetings, webinars, emails and tele-conferencing amongst others;
- b. For meetings which were held by physical presence, the attendance was maintained below 20 individuals in line with Ministry of Health COVID-19 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) during the public consultations and effort was made to see that, a social distance of one meter between each other is observed in the meetings (Figure 2-1);
- c. All individuals accessing the premises/places where the public consultations/meeting will be must undergo temperature screening at the entrance to such meetings facilities;
- d. Ensure provision of adequate hand washing facilities with soap and water or alcoholbased hand rub. Everyone MUST wash and sanitize before entry to the meeting places and as frequently as possible;
- e. Handwashing facilities and alcohol-based sanitizers were placed at strategic points accessible to the meeting venues;
- f. Meeting venues were in open and well aeriated areas (Figure 2-1)
- g. Chairs and tables were cleaned with alcohol-based sanitizers.
- h. Arrangements had been made to have temperature guns in place as well as records for details of those participating in the meetings;
- i. Displayed posters with information and key messages on COVID-19 preferably, in both English and area local languages such as *Runyakitara* in places that were easily visible;
- j. Provided guidelines on the SOPs to individuals attending project public meetings and the SOPs such as:
- Covering your mouth and nose with tissue or a handkerchief when coughing and sneezing;
- The handkerchief must be washed and ironed by you daily. In case of use of disposable tissue, ensure it is disposed of in a waste bin or a designated area where it can be burnt on a daily basis. In this way, you protect others from any virus released through cough and sneezing;
- Wash your hands with soap and water or use an alcohol-based hand rub immediately after using the tissue or handkerchief;
- Maintain a distance of at least 1 meter from anyone who is coughing or sneezing and remind participants to have a face mask to avoid infecting others;
- Avoid touching your eyes, nose and mouth at all times. Hands touch many surfaces including money which can be contaminated with the virus and you can transfer the virus from the surface to yourself;
- AVOID hand-shakes and hugging at all times; and
- Emphasizing observance of NOT SPITTING in the public. Identify secluded places like pit latrines or toilets for purposes of spitting and wash your hands immediately with soap and water.

These were all done before and during the meetings and inconformity with Ministry of Health SOPs for mitigating the pandemic.



Figure 2-1: Stakeholder consultations on the project while observing COVID-19 SOPs during a meeting in February 2021

2.9 Africa Union Treaties, Conventions, Protocols and Charters

There are key African union instruments a, conventions and agreements that are relevant to ICRP and these include the following:

- a. African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, September 1968
- b. Revised African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, March 2017
- c. Cultural Charter for Africa, July 1976
- d. African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, June 1981
- e. Convention for the Establishment of the African Centre for Fertilizer Development, July 1985
- f. African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, July 1990
- g. Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa, July 2003
- h. African Youth Charter, July 2006
- i. African Charter on Values and Principles of Public Service and Administration, January 2011
- j. Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Africa, January 2018

2.10 Regional Strategies, Plans, Protocols Conventions and Agreements

2.10.1 East Africa Community Protocol on Environment and Natural Resources Management, 2006

This protocol commits Member States to manage the environment and natural resources in their respective jurisdictions in accordance with regionally agreed principles, with the following objectives (a) promote sustainable development and sustainable utilization of the Partner States' environment and natural resources through prevention of activities that are detrimental thereto; (b) foster closer cooperation for judicious, sustainable and coordinated management, conservation, protection and utilization of the environment and natural resources; (c) promote capacity building and environmental awareness in environment and natural resources management; xiii (d) promote cooperation in the management of environment and natural resources including those that are transboundary in nature among Partner States; and (e) promote development and harmonization of policies, laws and strategies for environment and natural resources management to support sustainable development.

Part of the community access road in the irrigable area washed away by R. Mishumba during heavy rains in 2020

Adl

3 BASELINE DATA COLLECTION METHODS

3.1 General Approach

The Baseline data collection and study involved review and updating of information gathered in the ESIA, primarily based on additional surveys and assessments in the project area. This included an updated assessment of the current ecological and social status of the aquatic ecosystems (downstream of the dam), and description and interpreting of the pertinent physical conditions and processes including soils, geology, topography, climate, hydrological features, geomorphological features and processes, land use and biodiversity that will potentially be affected, following envisaged temporal and organizational scales at population, habitats and ecosystem levels of Mishumba catchment. The objective of updating of baseline information was to provide a basis for review and updating of the assessment of risks and impacts envisaged with implementation and operation of irrigation project and associated infrastructure. The Baseline Information has been used herein also to predict how conditions will change downstream of the Project, as well as provision of the data against which the progress of the project implementation will be monitored in terms of expected changes in ecological conditions with the construction and operation of the Project. The Baseline data update was also used herein to confirm the location and suitability of the identified EFA sites and provide relevant maps including survey and key identified areas along the Mishumba river.

3.2 Methodology

3.2.1 Review of the ESIA/ESMP

Different aspects of the baseline information are reported in the ESIA. These additional surveys and assessments were to confirm the recommendation, adequacy of mitigation measures for project impacts downstream of the proposed dam in the ESIA to ensure compliance with the GoU Environmental regulations and the World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies. Therefore, the data collection carried out as part of the additional environmental study complements the information collected in the ESIA by considering more sampling points to obtain primary information. This was to give more details on the water flow requirements, ecosystem services and water quality in the River Mishumba catchment. It also covered the fisheries biodiversity aspects of importance such as conservation status and impact of the planned development to this biodiversity. In terms of social assessment and socioeconomic survey, the study enhanced on coverage of different categories and groups of stakeholders in the Catchment.

3.2.2 Literature review

The study entailed extensive review of the background and secondary information including the ESIA covering various aspects of the planned project including the project area with its associated attendant communities, biodiversity, water flow network, and administrative and historical monuments. Analysis of the background information further entailed review of project documents provided by the Client, the current guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessment in Uganda as well as review of Environmental and Social Safeguards Policy requirements of the Bank, as well as other relevant international operating policies/safeguards, guidelines, standards, legislation and technical and scientific papers in as much as they concern or relate to the project.

Documents reviewed included the following:

- a. Terms of Reference for the preparation of Additional surveys and assessments for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme on "Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan" from MoWE (2020);
- b. Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) Report for Kabuyanda Dam (2019). The ESIA provided a benchmark upon which further biodiversity surveys (with more focus on fish), cumulative impacts, underground water and environmental flow assessments;
- c. Environmental and Social Management Plan for Kabuyanda Dam (2019). The ESMP provided the potential risks and impacts as identified in the ESIA. The Consultant therefore updated the ESMP to incorporate additional mitigation measures;
- d. ESMF for Uganda-Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project (ICRP), MoWE 2019, Kampala. This provided general framework for expected Environmental and Social studies of the project during implementation covering various aspects and components.
- e. Feasibility Report for the Kabuyanda ICRP including detailed designs and plans for Kabuyanda Dam and associated irrigation infrastructure (2018). This helped the consultant understand the initial identified impacts and projected environmental flow regimes for the scheme;
- f. Catchment data including soils, geology, land use/cover, topography among others in regards to hydrology-based environmental flow regimes;
- g. Technical and scientific papers on the occurrence, distribution and conservation status of specific biodiversity types;
- h. World Bank Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies, and other policy and technical information on dams and irrigation development;
- i. Brisbane Declaration on the need for environmental flow assessment for rivers;
- j. Technical and Scientific papers on instream assessment of e-flow requirements; and
- k. FAO, WorldFish, MAAIF, EPRC Uganda and other information papers on status of fisheries resources in Mishumba catchment.

3.2.3 Field Sampling and Surveys

3.2.3.1 Sampling criteria for mapping the water flow network

Using Geographical Information Systems (GIS) and Remote sensing (RS) techniques, Mishumba catchment and the irrigable area were delineated using a 30m resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM). This helped to analyse and determine the preliminary catchment characteristics including land surface features and drainage patterns through inlet, outlet and river/stream/tributary definition. It also gave an understanding of the initial hydrological and topographic characteristics of the whole catchment in Kabuyanda area.

The sampling strategy was designed in such a way to cover a wide range of determinants representing the catchment and accounting for inputs from tributaries that can have important impacts upon the downstream area. The catchment was divided into three (3) sections namely; (i) upstream (area before the reservoir and the reservoir), (ii) midstream (downstream of dam site, the entire irrigation area and tributaries that join the R. Mishumba within the irrigable area), and (iii) downstream (areas after irrigation command area and before crossing the Kikagati–Ntungamo murram road).

The catchment was further subdivided into 9 sub-catchments based on the main river stem and its tributaries. At this stage, a rapid assessment of instream values, which is an important part for selecting an ecological flow regime for a river, was taken into account. The assessment included the ecological or intrinsic values, amenity values and other values like landscape, scenic and natural characteristics of the river that can be affected. Therefore, each sub-catchment was assigned at least one sample point for better and detailed baseline data collection and naming of sub-catchments is based on the stream/tributary name. A total of 24 sampling points were assessed during the wet and dry seasons to address the variations and to substantiate results (Figure 3-1).



Figure 3-1: Overall map of sampled points in the upstream, midstream and downstream

Factors considered during selection of sites

The following factors were considered in determining sampling points:

- Confluence with the main river stem and any other confluence(s) or point(s) along the river/stream towards upstream to catch-up with flowing volumes. Inflows from tributaries below the dam area perennial or seasonal;
- Good cross-section area with a dry profile (floodplain and riverbanks);
- Stability of both riverbanks and bed (nature of the river bed/banks- rocky, sandy muddy or any other status) showing levels of scouring and meandering;
- Longitudinal section along the river profile/gradient at an interval of 1-2km;
- Access to the sites (availability of roads, pathways or thickness of vegetation);
- Sub-catchment contributing to Mishumba Main River;
- Guidance from Locals and authorities well versed with project area (social, physical, biological, and chemical–water quality among others. For example, points affected by low and high flows based on seasons);
- Land use and land cover (sociological and other water uses -any irrigation/service/ commercial oriented water related works present in the stretch of the river/stream e.g., fish farming, cultivation etc.); and
- Presence of critical aquatic fauna and flora along the river.

3.2.3.2 Field baseline surveys

To ascertain the current status of the project site, the experts team including the Biologist/Ecologist, Hydrologist, Social Safeguards Specialist, Botanist, Zoologist/Fauna Specialist and GIS/Mapping Specialist undertook two detailed field visits to Kabuyanda in November 2020 (during the wet season) and February 2021 (during the dry season) following the bi-modal nature of the hydrology in the area. The survey focused at the selected 24 sampling sites along River Mishumba and its tributaries (Figure 3-1) covering the upstream, midstream and downstream areas (up to about 10 km downstream the dam along the river and tributaries confluences). The surveys focussed on vegetation and flora (land use/cover, vegetation, species richness, conservation status of species), fauna (butterflies, amphibians, reptiles, birds, other aquatic macro-invertebrates, riparian buffer zone utilization), fisheries and limnological aspects (different types of fish, soil samples from the river beds for sediment analysis). It also focussed on hydrological, hydraulic and fluvio-morphological characteristics of Mishumba and its tributaries (cross-section surveys, discharge measurements at different levels of flow, elevations, channel width, water depth, bed structure, runs, riffles and pools, infrastructure and obstructions for instance bridges, roads, channels). In addition, the socio-economic data was collected.

3.2.4 Hydrological Assessment

In this assessment compared to the ESIA (2019), more emphasis was put on hydrological features and processes to analyse their contribution to the catchment. The work involved consolidating baseline data from primary sources (field survey) used in the e-flow assessments in River Mishumba and determining the e-flow requirements for different aspects in the catchment.

3.2.4.1 Hydrological data acquisition and generation of discharge data for River Mishumba

Hydrological data was generated from both primary and secondary sources. The primary sources involved in-situ technical measurements and assessments, while the secondary data was based on historical records from established sources. Field investigations for two seasons were undertaken in both the wet (November 2020) and dry (February 2021) seasons to obtain hydrological data of Mishumba and its tributaries. This data included measured channel flows at different sampling sites, channel cross sections, floodplain and bank characteristics, among others. The gathered data was analysed in accordance to the following methods below:

3.2.4.1.1 Flow measurements

To estimate the channel flows at different sampling sites, the stream channel cross section was divided into numerous vertical subsections or segments (sometimes referred to as partial areas or panels), dependent on the width of river section (Figure 3-2). In each subsection, the area was obtained by measuring the width and depth of the subsection, and the water velocity was determined using a current meter. Readings were recorded as the number of rotations of the current meter in a time period of 60 seconds. Two or three readings were taken for each registration point and an average was calculated. Velocity was measured at half depth below the water surface, and discharge in each subsection was computed by multiplying the subsection area by the measured velocity (based on current meter rating equation) (Figure 3-3). The basic principle of the hydrometric current meter consists of counting the number of revolutions (N) of the propeller during a certain period (T).

The number of revolutions per second is calculated as;

$$n = \frac{N}{T}$$

Where n – rotation rate (rev/s)

And using the calibration expression of the apparatus

$$V = a * n + b$$

where V - velocity (m/s), one can determine the velocity of the flow; a is slope and b is intercept.

The total discharge for a current meter measurement is the summation of the products of the partial areas of the stream cross section and their respective average velocities. This computation is expressed by the following equation:

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} AV$$

where Q - Total discharge (m^3/s), A - cross-section area (m^2) and V - corresponding mean velocity (m/s) of the flow normal to the "i" segment or vertical. The measurement of discharge was done twice, once in the months of November 2020, and March 2021.



Figure 3-2: Schematic diagram of a chosen river cross-section for current-meter discharge measurements

The quality of the measured discharge is subjected to a number of errors as mentioned below:

- a. the measurement of the width, b, of the cross section and water depth, along each vertical segment may be affected by errors and;
- b. the spatial variability of the flow velocity may induce estimation errors for the area of the velocity curve along the vertical segments and the mean velocity per unit width. This error is strictly related to the number of vertical segments.
- c. the river flow during the measurement may be unsteady;
- d. the presence of wind may affect the reliability of the velocity measurement;
- e. the velocity measurement by the current meter may be imprecise even in ideal conditions;

However, in this study, errors were minimized by continuous calibration of the current meter for every vertical section and the obtained flow data.





Rugonjo tributary (site 5) in the upstream

Dam area after the confluence of Rivers Mishumba, Kisyabagari and Rugonjo streams (site 6)



Confluence of River Mishumba and Rweibare Upstream of River Kyabaganda (site 9) tributary (site 8) – after the dam



Midstream of River Kyabaganda (site 10)

Upstream of River Chezho (site 11)



After the confluence of Chezho and Rwemango streams (site 13)

Between the Mishumba - Rweibare confluence and Kabuyanda - Nyakitunda Road (site 14)



the river in November 2020 (site 19)

Kagera at Kikagati – Ntungamo Road culvert (site 24)

Figure 3-3: Field River/stream discharge measurement during the wet and dry season along River Mishumba and its tributaries.

3.2.4.1.2 Cross-section and profile measurements

Cross section measurements at the sampling sites were made using a dumpy level set and levelled at different instrument heights (Figure 3-4) during the first survey (in the wet season). However, during the second survey (in the dry season), a differential GPS (RTK) was used to capture a wider scope of the river profile (Figure 3-5). The coordinate system used was WGS 1984 UTM Zone 36S, Datum of WGS 1984 and Units in Meters (m). Both the left and right bank water surface elevations were captured and the relative heights of the proceeding dry sections at intervals of 4m and above were measured.



Figure 3-4: Picking the dry and wet profile cross-sections of Mishumba using a dump level set



Figure 3-5: Picking the dry and wet profile cross-sections of Mishumba using an RTK machine

3.2.4.1.3 Low flow assessment

Low-flows are an important part of the natural flow regime of rivers and streams. Ecologically, low flows maintain longitudinal connectivity in the stream channel, allowing fish migration and nutrient transport, as well as the preservation of aquatic, riparian, and hyporheic (below streambed) ecosystems.

To conduct low-flow measurements in the 24 additional sites selected jointly by Client and Consultant on the basis of several criteria, including the importance of the resource, accessibility, chosen sites were also being monitored for other purposes (for example, assessment of water quality), and whether a site represented combinations of basin attributes not represented by existing stream gauges. Sites with large diversions or return flows upstream of the stream gage, sites influenced by water from floodplains or storm water, and sites at which the stream was likely to go dry for extended periods during the low-flow season were avoided.

The overall strategy for the low-flow additional surveys and sampling was to make repeated measurements of flow at selected 24 sites to allow estimation of low-flow characteristics and incorporation of the results of low flow assessments at the 24 sites into the catchment analysis

as partial-record stations. In the event that multiple measurements could not be made at a site, the individual measurements made under low-flow conditions were used to provide information used to project/model low-flow conditions over time and to evaluate errors in estimates of low flows from regression analyses.

The measurements were taken in-situ and included a listing of the meter type and an assessment of measurement quality. At all the 24 sites, measurements were repeated for verification of results and consistency in measurement procedures, and this was done during both the wet season sampling (November 2020) and in dry season sampling (February, 2021).

3.2.4.1.4 Flood assessment

Flood assessment was done with aim of determining flood-prone areas in Mishumba Catchment by using a hydrological model considering two wetness indexes; the topographical wetness index, and the SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses) wetness index. The wetness indexes were obtained in the Quantum GIS (QGIS) software by using the Digital Elevation Model of the study area. Flood-prone areas were determined by considering the wetness index maps of the catchment. In the field a two-step process was used. The first step involved the detection and mapping of flood-prone areas by using GIS support and considering the topography of the watershed after which areas at risk were studied with more details by implementing the HEC-RAS hydraulic model to assess flooding parameters (Aksoy *et al.*, 2014). The first step was basically a flood susceptibility assessment process and was used to prioritize the flood-prone areas in terms of the importance of the assets at risk. The second step is the flood hazard assessment stage, leading to results needed in the selection of preventive measures.

3.2.4.1.5 Extreme Value Analysis

Extreme Value Analysis was based on data gathered from the field and that generated from simulation. In this study, the water flow data, flow duration and extreme value analysis were done using Mann-Kendell and Sen's slope analysis for data screening and checking the general trend, flow duration curves (FDCs) and different distributions including the Log Pearson (LP) III distribution respectively. The detailed method for modelling and Extreme Value Analysis is given in **Chapter 5 below** under e-flow assessment methodology.

3.2.4.1.6 Time series

Daily time series data for River Mishumba flow was generated based on methodology detailed in **Chapter 5 below** under e-flow assessment methodology.

3.2.5 Fisheries and Limnology

Ecological assessment involved determination of occurrence, the composition and abundance of aquatic flora, composition and abundance of benthic invertebrate, fauna and composition, occurrence, species composition and abundance and age structure of fish fauna. This also involved determination of river depth and width variation, structure and substrate of the riverbed and structure of the riparian zone.

3.2.5.1 Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton composition was studied by taking water samples (0.5-1.0L) from the surface for chlorophyll a (chl a) analysis at a site along each set transect. The sample water would be filtered

through special filters to which 1-2 mls of a saturated MgCO solution were added prior to the completion of filtration. The filters were stored as frozen in individual plexislides for laboratory analysis. Chlorophyll analysis followed the fluorometric procedure of Strickland and Parsons (1 972). In the laboratory, part of the water sample was analysed to establish the algal species composition wherever possible.



Figure 3-6: Sample collection and preparation to be frozen in individual plexislides for further laboratory analysis

3.2.5.2 Aquatic Macrophytes

These were assessed through direct observation on both shores of sites of sampling along the river. Photographs and estimation of the biomass by subjective score of dense, moderate, light and absent were taken for each type of major macrophyte in littoral zone or within the water along the respective transects.

3.2.5.3 Zooplankton and Macroinvertebrate Analysis

Zooplankton samples were corrected in triplicate vertical sampling of the water from mid-section of the river along set transects using a hand towed 0.2-m diameter, 153-p mesh, conical net in November 2020 for wet season, and in February 2021. The net was lifted through the water at a speed of about 1 m/s at a point along the transect of the sampling site; collected from the 24 sampling sites above the sediment surface. Samples were then further subjected to filtration through a 90-µm mesh plankton sieve (Sethi Standard Test Sieves, Ambala Cantt, India). Mishumba River. Zooplankton was analysed to species level wherever possible and counted for density estimations using triplicate I-ml subsamples taken with a Hansen-Stempel pipette in a Iml Sedgewick-Rafter cell. Zooplankton body sizes were obtained by measuring the length to the nearest 0.01 mm of at least 10 individuals along a transect in each I -ml subsample (Koenings et al., 1987). Zooplankter biomass was estimated from an empirical regression between zooplankter body-length and dry weight, and weighted by organism density (Koenings et al., 1987). Macroinvertebrates were sampled with the aid of the Eckman's mad grabber sampler. The samples were taken across the River Mishumba channel at the different sampling points. The mad was washed and filtered with the organisms preserved in 4% formaldehyde solution and transported to the laboratory for further analysis.



Figure 3-7: Zooplankton sampling from the mid water column using a conical net

3.2.5.4 Fisheries biodiversity and habitat variability

The fish species were observed directly where the waters were clear and calm, and if necessary, for further identification collected using scoop nets. Where the waters were deep, a panel of gillnets ranging from 1 inch to 3.5 inches was set across for river and checked every one (1) hour for a period of 24 hours for any fish caught. If required for further analysis specimens were caught and prepared following National Fisheries Resources Research Institute (NaFIRRI) and or Lake Victoria Fisheries Organizations (LVFO) protocols/SOPs. Photographs of each specimen were taken. Biometrics including weight, length, body width (depth), scales along the lateral line, operculum width and others as may be necessary were also taken and recorded. In addition, fullness of stomach and gut content was analysed after gutting the fish. Where the species of the fish caught required further laboratory analysis, the specimen was preserved in 10% formalin solution. The habitat description was made by describing the vegetation, bottom substrate, water depth, water flow rates, texture of the benthos and in-situ measurement of key physicochemical parameters at each of the surveyed sites.



Figure 3-8: Setting a gillnet at the catchment outlet along Kikagati – Ntungamo road (E234935, N9886429)

3.2.6 Water Quality and Mass (Sediment) Transport

3.2.6.1 Water Quality

Water samples were taken for parameters that can be determined within allocated time and collected from water column using a non-metallic, opaque Van Dorn sampler. The water samples were collected from 24 sites, stored (<24 hr) in pre-cleaned polyethylene carboys, transported to the laboratory for processing and further analysis. The target parameters were measured according to Koenings et al. (1 987) including conductivity (pmhos cm-I) using a conductance meter, alkalinity levels (mg L-') using the acid titration (0.02 N H,SO,) to pH 4.5, using a Corning model-399A specific ion meter, calcium and magnesium (mg L-') using separate EDTA (0.0 I N) titrations after Golterman (1 969), turbidity (NTU) using a turbidimeter, colour of water using a spectrophotometer, and total iron (pg L-') by reduction of ferric iron with hydroxylamine during hydrochloric acid digestion after Strickland and Parsons (1972). Both insitu and ex-situ measurements were done. Key physico-chemical elements that were assessed are prescribed in Annex 1, but in general, it included the thermal conditions, oxygenation conditions, salinity acidification status, and nutrient conditions of the river at its different tributaries. Specifically, the assessment included measure of the specific pollutants, key elements set out in the Annex 1 that includes substances identified during ESIA as being discharged into the river, and any other substances that was identified during field assessment as being discharged in significant quantities.



Figure 3-9: Insitu water quality parameter measurements along River Chezho in Nyakitunda (E238425, N9902839)



Figure 3-10: *In situ* water quality measurements using Horiba U-53 water quality meter along Mishumba at E232809, N9903119.

3.2.6.2 Sediment transport and flush flows

Established flush flows are released for either channel maintenance, fish habitat improvement, and recreation, among others. Evaluation was undertaken to establish whether sedimentation problems were likely to occur in the drainage below the dam and irrigation scheme. Specific areas considered included

- (i) physical location of the reservoir (i.e., above or below major sediment sources);
- (ii) topography and geology of the project area;
- (iii) susceptibility of the drainage to catastrophic events (e.g., landslides),
- (iv) sensitivity of important fish species and their life history stages to sediment;
- (v) extent of man-induced activities within the drainage which may increase sediment recruitment (mining, logging, etc.), and
- (vi) operational characteristics of the project (storage, or multipurpose).

This was important in determining whether the systems were susceptible to upstream sediment recruitment. From a biological perspective, it can be generalized that flushing flows are needed when sediment concentrations exceed historic levels and begin to affect important aquatic habitats and life history functions. Flows for channel maintenance are needed when vegetative encroachment begins to affect flow transport capacity and channel shape, thus predisposing the reach to further encroachment and sedimentation.

The best time for releasing flush flows was determined based on the species of fish present in the system, the life-history requirements of the important species, the historical run-off period, and perhaps most important, flow availability. A review of historical flow records was used in determining the timing of releases. This was based on the understanding that flow releases scheduled during normal peak-flow periods would provide the most benefits. To the extent possible, the economics of the flow release was factored into the timing determination based on flow availability and competing water use.

For channel maintenance, a sequence of natural flow events determines the shape of a river reach. Although the process is dynamic, many reaches maintain a stable shape. The dominant discharge, defined as the equivalent steady discharge to produce the same dimensions as the sequence of natural events, has been found to be approximately the same as the bank-full discharge for many natural channels. In addition, the bank-full discharge appears to be approximately the same as the frequency of occurrence of the flow which transports the most sediment ("effective discharge"). For this study, a 2-year flood was chosen to represent the bank-full discharge and together with the 5-year flood events were selected as the flow maintenance discharges.

3.2.6.3 Nutrients

Nutrient samples were analysed following Koenings *et al.* (1987). In general, filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) was analysed by the molybdate- blue/ascorbic-acid method of Murphy and Riley (1962), as modified by Eisenreich et al. (1975). Total phosphorus was determined using the FRP procedure, after persulfate digestion. Nitrate and nitrite (NO, + NO,) was determined as nitrite following cadmium reduction of nitrate, and total ammonia (NH, + NH,)' was determined using the phenolhypochlorite methodology after Stainton et al. (1977). Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) was assessed as total ammonia following sulfuric acid block digestion (Crowther et al. 1980). Total nitrogen was calculated as the sum of TKN and NO3 + NO. Reactive silicon was determined using the method of ascorbic acid reduction to molybdenum-blue after Stainton et al. (1977). Estimation of the yearly phosphorus loading in was calculated following Vollenweider (1976).

3.2.7 Vegetation and Flora

3.2.7.1 Literature review

Literature relevant to the site and proposed activities was reviewed prior to field surveys to get a picture about the vegetation and flora that is found in the project area from previous studies. This information was sought from available published reports and other resources. The Forest Department biodiversity report of Rwoho CFR was also reviewed for additional information.

3.2.7.2 Field surveys

To collect site specific data, a field visit was conducted in the month of November 2020. The vegetation and flora survey focused on the 24 sampling sites identified by the project. Each of the sampling sites was surveyed independently for description and assessment of the vegetation and flora.

At each site, the vegetation of the general area was described from the dominant and more common species of plant. Observations were made of land use practices and any activities that could cause disturbance to the ecological integrity of the ecosystem and the flora. Photographic representation of the vegetation and condition of the sites was made and coordinates taken for such pictures. Photographs of species encountered were taken. For illustration of different plant cover types and valued ecosystem components (VECs), pictures were taken and coordinates of location marked with GPS "Garmin 64s" in World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84). The coordinates for pictorial illustrations were displayed in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM).

At each site, the site coordinate was taken to estimate a quadrat measuring 100 x 100 m around it within which to assess the flora. Within this plot, all species of plants observed were identified

and recorded. The abundance of each species was assessed as a percentage cover over the total plot size. The plants' growth habit was recorded as Tree, Shrub, Herb, Climber or Grass. Invasive species of plants within the project area of interest were recorded and coordinates of the actual areas of their occurrence as well as their photographs taken. Those recorded from the quadrats had their relative abundance assessed from their percentage cover.

Additional inventory sampling was done along the tributaries of rivers and other habitats to capture species that may not have been observed within the plot sampling procedure. This helped to maximise accumulation of species richness. The Flora of Tropical East Africa (FTEA) was the principal resource for such determination, together with the preserved collection at Makerere University Herbarium. Voucher specimens were collected for species which could not easily be identified in the field. These were taken to Makerere University Herbarium for determination.

Species richness: Species checklists were compiled, on a site-by-site basis, the total richness obtained the species lists of the 24 sites maintaining the richness for each site. Their percentage cover was also analysed from their distribution through the growth habits.

The global conservation status of each species was obtained from the published IUCN red list of threatened species (IUCN 2021). The national red list of Uganda's threatened species (Wildlife Conservation Society 2016) was used for identification of species that are nationally threatened.

3.2.8 Fauna

3.2.8.1 Literature Review

Literature was consulted to establish known fauna that is found in the project area and the role of fauna in the ecosystem. This was done by reviewing publication and various websites dedicated to avi-fauna conservation and research. Also consulted were the previous baseline survey reports conducted under the project ESIA and biodiversity survey report for Rwoho CFR published by the Forest Department (current National Forest Authority).

3.2.8.2 Informal community consultations

During the field visit and field sampling, the consultant informally consulted the community members especially those found working in the field. The purpose was to document information on fauna which the consultant may not be able to get during the field sampling, especially regarding which faunal groups / species occur in the area.

3.2.8.3 Field Sampling

Field sampling was conducted using known scientific methods and international best practices. Fauna species found or living within a riparian buffer zone width of 40m strip (20m buffer strip at each side of the river/stream) along the river/tributaries at each sampling sites or found depending on this zone for feeding, reproduction, resting, etc. or for any key activity of their life cycle, were registered or recorded.

Twenty-four sampling sites were established as follows:

- a. Eight sampling sites were established upstream—four before the dam site, one at the proposed dam site, and three immediately after the proposed dam site;
- b. Within the irrigation command area nine sites were established downstream along R. Mishumba at 2km interval;

- c. Four sampling sites were established on tributaries that pour/contribute water into the R. Mishumba but within the irrigation command area, and;
- d. Three sites were established downstream outside the irrigation command area.

The following methods were used during field sampling

3.2.8.3.1 Butterflies

3.2.8.3.1.1 Sampling Method

Pallard's sweep net method (Gall, 1985; New, 1991; Warren, 1992; De Vries 1997) was employed to sample butterflies along transects that were established at the agreed sampling sites along the river or its tributaries. The method was used to document the fauna species richness, as well as estimate their relative abundance. The method was chosen because it is time-efficient. The method was also chosen because the negative effects that may be brought about by catching and handling of individuals or those that may be brought about through habitat destruction by intense trampling are avoided (Nowicki, P *et al.*, 2008). The method can also be widely applied in extensive large-scale and / or long-term monitoring programs. For example, the method has been used in the well-known British Butterfly Monitoring Scheme (Pollard and Yates, 1993) and the European Grassland Butterfly Indicator (van Swaay and van Strien, 2005).

Estimating species richness was assessed based on recorded species presence or absence at the different sites that were be sampled. The observer recorded the species encountered as he moved through transects sited in the 20m buffer on either side of the river or its tributaries. A species list was then compiled from the records of the survey. Abundance estimation was assessed by counting and recorded the number of individuals of the different butterfly species that were encountered while sampling the established transects along the river and its tributaries at the selected sites.

3.2.8.3.1.2 Sampling design

At each of the identified sites, two transects of 10m wide and 100m long were established in the 20m buffer area on either side of the river or its tributaries. The observer or data collector moved through the transect along a fixed route with 5m stretch on either side of the observers left and right hand. The observer moved at a slow and uniform / even pace of approximately 1 km/h (Pellet 2007) through the transect, recording individuals sighted within an imaginary 5 x 5 x 5m box of the observers left and right hand. Sampling was conducted when weather warmed up or in sunny weather (13-17°C) and between 9am - 5pm.

On spotting an individual butterfly, the observer swept the net back and forth to capture the seen butterfly. On anticipation of a capture, the net was flipped over, with the bag hanging over the rim, trapping the individual fly. Trapped butterflies were gently removed from the net and identified. Once identified, the individuals were released. In case of encounter with an individual butterfly whose identity is not known, the butterfly was photographed and placed in collection envelops, with details of GPS coordinates, Time and the photograph number written on the labels and taken to Makerere University Museum for identity determination. All trapped butterflies were identified to species level.

3.2.8.3.1.3 Use of sites for butterfly breeding

Use of sites for breeding by butterflies was investigated by sampling for existence or presence of butterfly caterpillars. Search for butterfly larvae (Caterpillars) within vegetation was conducted during sampling within the recommended 20m buffer and any presence of caterpillars was documented.

3.2.8.3.1.4 Data analysis

Species Richness: The number of species present in a given sample is a measure of Species richness (Hellmann and Fowler 1999). Species checklists of butterflies encountered at each sampling site were compiled. Standard guide by Larsen (1991) was used as basis of identification. Unidentified specimens were matched with Makerere University Museum collections. The species were arranged into families *Hesperiidae, Lycaenidae, Nymphalidae, Paeridae* and *Papilionidae* and their corresponding sub families and genera. Bar graphs were used to compare the results. The total number of individuals recorded per species was taken as the relative abundance of the butterfly population at the sampling sites.

3.2.8.3.2 Dragonflies

3.2.8.3.2.1 Sampling methods

Pallard's sweep net method (Gall, 1985; New, 1991; Warren, 1992; De Vries 1997) was employed to sample dragonflies at the selected sites along the river or its tributaries. Estimating species richness was assessed based on recorded species presence or absence at the different sites that were sampled. The observer recorded the dragonfly species encountered as he walked through transects sited at the selected sampling sites. A species list which forms a measure of species richness was compiled from the records done during the transect walks. Abundance estimation was assessed by counting and recording the number of individuals of the different dragonfly species that were encountered while sampling the established transects at the selected sites.

3.2.8.3.2.2 Sampling design

Two transects of 10m wide and 100m long, oriented parallel to the river or its tributary were established and used to sample dragonflies. The two transects lay within the 20m buffer of the river or its tributaries. The transects were placed one on each side of the river or tributary. The transects were stationed 1m off the river edge, on either side of the river. A single observer or data collector moved through the transect along a fixed route with 5m stretch on either side of the observers left and right hand. The observer moved at a uniform pace through the transect, recording individual dragonflies sighted within the transect width and length. Dragonflies need sunny warm weather to fly; the temperature below 25°C slowed the activity whereas an optimal temperature above 30 °C increased activity. If it is too cold or wet, they usually hide in vegetation. Sampling was therefore conducted when weather warms up. Each sampling event was conducted between 09:00h to 17:00h time and lasted about 1hour. All dragonflies that were flying or be perched within 5m of transect routes were recorded. All flying species were easily detected within the search area and an aerial net was swept through the vegetation to elicit a flight response from less conspicuous, resting individuals.

Same amount of sampling effort (time given to searches) was applied at each site. Sampling events were conducted during the wet season as well as during the dry season.

3.2.8.3.2.3 Assessing Dragonfly use of buffer for breeding

Dragonfly larvae are aquatic and are most commonly found in ponds, marshes, lake margins, shallow areas of streams and the slower reaches of rivers and streams, or in water-filled hollows within trees. Use of sites for breeding by dragonflies was investigated by sampling for existence or presence of dragonfly larvae. Larvae was collected by sweep-netting in water or amongst aquatic vegetation.

Pools within the 20m buffer along the river or its tributaries and the river itself, were sampled for dragonfly larvae by using of a dip-net (or a large sized Kitchen sieve). The dip-net was also used to sample among aquatic vegetation and underneath woody or leafy debris (Bright 1999). Kick sampling was also employed to sample for dragonfly larvae. In kick-sampling, a net was placed about 30cm downstream and the river or tributary substrate was disturbed using the feet. Organisms that are dislodged were collected by the net as they are washed downstream. The nets were emptied into a pan or screen to sort the larvae.

3.2.8.3.2.4 Data Analysis

The data collected were analyzed as follows:

Species Richness: The number of species present in a given sample is a measure of Species richness (Hellmann and Fowler 1999). Species checklists of dragonflies encountered at each sampling site was compiled. Standard guide by Klaas-Douwe B Dijkstra (2006) was used as basis of identification. Unidentified specimens were matched with Makerere University Museum collections. The species were arranged into families, sub families and genera.

Species composition was computed for the dragonfly samples that were collected during sampling. Species Composition = (Total no. of individuals in each species/Total no. of individuals in all species) x 100 (Bisht *et al.*, 2004)

Relative abundance of each species was computed for the collected sample.

Relative Abundance = No. of individuals of a species/Total no. of individuals of all species The average relative abundance was categorized as follows:

Uncommon (uC) = having relative abundance less than 0.0100.

Common (C) = having relative abundance of 0.0100 and above but less than 0.0500.

Very common (vC) = having relative abundance of 0.0500 and above (Bisht *et al.*, 2004) Bar graphs were used to compare the results.

3.2.8.3.3 Herpetofauna (Amphibians and Reptiles)

3.2.8.3.3.1 Sampling Methods

A combination of scientifically tested methods was used to collect information on herpetofauna as described by Heyer *et al.*, (1994); Fellers and Freel, (1995); Halliday, (1996); and Olson, *et al.*, (1997).

The methods included the following:

a. Visual Encounter Surveys (VES): The method involved moving through a habitat watching out for, and recording surface-active herpetofauna species. VES were complimented by visual searches, by examining under logs, leaf litter, in vegetation, and crevices. Species encountered and their numbers were recorded and where possible photographed;

- b. Audio Encounter Surveys (AES): This method uses the species-specific calls / sounds / advertising calls made by breeding males. The identity of the amphibian species heard calling and their numbers were counted and recorded;
- *c. Dip netting:* Using a dip net, ponds, pools, and streams and other water collection points were dip netted. Adult amphibians and tadpoles encountered were also recorded; and
- *d. Opportunistic Encounters:* Herpeto-fauna species encountered opportunistically while moving in the project area were recorded in order to accumulate a complete species checklist for each site.

3.2.8.3.3.2 Sampling Design

Two transects of 10m wide and 200m long, were established parallel to the river or its tributary were sampled for herpetofauna. The two transects lay within the 20m buffer on either side of the river or its tributaries. The transects were placed parallel to the river or tributary, one on each side. The transects width run from the river or tributary edge, on either side of the river. A single observer walked through the transect for a period of 1hour (1-man hour), at a uniform pace, systematically searching for amphibians and reptiles. Each sampling event was conducted between 09:00h to 17:00h time and lasted about 1hour. Same amount of sampling effort (time given to searches) was applied at each site. Many species of amphibians and reptiles (herpetofauna) tend to be nocturnal. Sampling was done during day time (07:00am-06:00 pm) as well as at night (07:00-08:30pm). The sampling was done during the wet-season (which coincide with their breeding period) and the dry season. Herpetofauna were sampled using the above combined methods with sampling effort standardized by area (Jaeger and Inger 1994, Bailey *et al.*, 2004) or a time constraint (Crump and Scott 1994).

3.2.8.3.3.3 Assessing Herpetofauna use of sampling site for breeding

Herpetofauna especially Amphibians have a double life, aquatic life as larvae and terrestrial life as adults. Use of sites for breeding by Amphibians was investigated by sampling for existence or presence of amphibian larvae and or young adults within the 20m buffer area at the sampling sites. Searches was conducted for amphibian larvae (tadpoles) in the water bodies encountered at each site (ponds, marshes, shallow areas of the river / streams) during sampling. Amphibian larvae (tadpoles) were collected by dip-netting in water or amongst aquatic vegetation. For reptiles, the observer was on the lookout for young hatchlings and or juveniles.

3.2.8.3.3.4 Data analysis

Species Richness: Species checklist was compiled; which list gives a measure of herpetofauna species richness at each sampling site. Standard identification guides by Spawls *et al.* (2008) and Branch B (2005) was used. Unidentified specimen were taken to Makerere University Museum for identification. Bar graphs were used to compare the results. Relative abundance was computed from the total number of individuals recorded per species within the herpetofauna population for the sampling sites.

3.2.8.3.4 Avi-fauna (Birds)

3.2.8.3.4.1 Sampling Method

Line transect method was used to sample birds at the identified sites along the river and its tributaries. The method was chosen because of the advantages it provides, generic and

encompasses most species and can be used to survey a number of bird species together. With this method, multiple counts can be obtained by counting in the same study site repeatedly in the same season or by counting multiple study sites once. Therefore, by this method, temporal variations at sites within season, and spatial variation across the sites can be captured. The method was also chosen because of the time given for fieldwork was limited.

It is highly adaptive and can be used in terrestrial, freshwater, and marine systems. The method can be used to survey individual species, or groups of species. The method is also efficient in terms of the quantity of data collected per unit of effort expended, can be used to examine bird-habitat relationships and can be used to derive relative and absolute measures of bird abundance. It is also the best method for sampling diurnal species in grasslands, marshes or other rather uniform vegetation conditions (Ribic and Sample, 2001).

3.2.8.3.4.2 Sampling design

During the sampling, the observer walked a predetermined route and recording birds on either side of the observer. Birds identified by sight or sound 50m (estimated by eye from the line) perpendicular to the transect line was recorded when first detected. Fauna and especially birds are non-stationary objects and can be mobile. The observer recorded birds continually within a fixed distance of 200m length. The sampling lasted a period of one hour. Two transects were sampled one on either side of the river or tributary and the transects were oriented parallel to the river and or tributaries. Birds seen flying over the survey area (aerial species) were recorded separately and their numbers estimated at each site. Birds flying away from the site during the sampling process were counted and recorded. Double recording of the same individual of birds within a transect was avoided by use of careful observations. A few additional records were made of species found present in the area outside the time of the count. Bird observations were aided by a 10x40 binocular.

Many bird species are highly seasonal, either moving between seasons to follow suitable habitat, or as part of larger migratory movement. This is especially true in the case of many wetland birds. Sampling for Avi-fauna was conducted during the wet and dry season.

3.2.8.3.4.3 Assessing the use of sampling site by Birds

Observations were made on the use of the selected sites by birds. Observation was also made on the availability of active bird nests at the selected sites. Signs of use of selected sampling sites by birds for roosting, refugia, breeding, foraging was also documented. The use of selected sites as migration routes was also investigated and noted through observations of migrant species.

3.2.8.3.4.4 Data Analysis

Species Richness: Species checklist was compiled; which list gives a measure of the bird species richness at each sampling site. Standard identification guide by Stevenson and Fanshawe (2002), supported by the Uganda Bird checklist (Nature Uganda 2016) was used.

Bar graphs were used to compare the collected data. Relative abundance was computed from the total number of individuals recorded per species within the herpetofauna population within the sampling sites.

3.2.8.3.5 Mammals

During the survey, the following were investigated and assessed; 1) species richness (number of small mammal species detected in each sample), 2) sample size (number of individual small mammals in a sample), and 3) their relative abundance (number of individuals of a species in each sample).

3.2.8.3.5.1 Sampling Method

Mammals were sampled using four main methods:

- a. *Direct observation/opportunistic encounters:* All mammals seen or opportunistically sighted while moving in the project area were identified, counted and recorded;
- b. *Use of signs* e.g., footprints and/or dung or calls: Mammal species whose footprints and dung was seen and is recognizable were recorded for their presence;
- c. Use of Sherman Live traps; The method uses baited traps, set and left in place over night before they are moved to a different sampling site. This method was meant to be used to sample small mammals. However, the phenomenon that affected the study area couldn't permit use of this method. Locals say that a flash flood happened that swept through the study area in May 2020. The flash flood left the area of interest bare of vegetation. The vegetation where the researcher could hide traps was not there and risked traps being stolen.
- d. *Local consultations:* The fauna specialist also held informal discussions with community members who were found working in the project area, about the availability of mammal species in and around the proposed sites. The project area has been degraded and chances of finding or recording large mammals are small. The aim was to put emphasis on small mammals. Trapping is the most common method used to study small mammals (Gurnell and Flowerdew 1990) and it was hoped that it would be employed for this survey but it was not possible due to the flash flood which swept through the study area. Live traps have been successfully used to detect patterns of richness, composition, and abundance of small mammal communities (Kelt 1996; Patterson et al. 1989; Yu1994).

3.2.8.3.5.2 Sampling design

The large mammals seen through direct observation and indirectly through use of signs, were recorded. For small mammals, two trap lines would be established, one on each side of the river or tributary in the 20m buffer. Each trap line consisted of a total of 10 traps spaced at distance of 20m apart. The traps were left open for one night. Traps were baited with a piece of casava smeared with a mixture of peanut butter, ghee and yellow banana and set under cover of shrubs or dense vegetation to conceal them and to provide some thermal insulation. Traps were checked twice daily, immediately after sunrise (0630-07030-hrs) and in late afternoon (1730-1830-hrs). Traps were baited daily in late afternoon with fresh bait. Small mammals caught were identified to species level, sexed and marked on-tails using a waterproof marker pen; before being released back into the field at the point of capture (Gurnell and Flowerdew 1990).

3.2.8.3.5.3 Data analysis

Species Richness: the number of species caught at each site were used as a measure of species richness (Hellmann and Fowler 1999). The simplest measure of species richness is the number of species present in a sample (Hellmann and Fowler 1999). Species richness was compiled from the

list of caught animals, or those casually encountered and from those whose signs were spotted in the field and could be identified. S"rensen Coefficient (CCs) was computed and used to determine the similarity of animal species between wet and dry seasons based on binary (present-absent) data (Wolda 1981, Brower et al. 1990). The coefficient was calculated as follows:

$$CC_2 = \frac{2C}{S_1 + S_2}$$

where S1 and S2 = the number of species caught in dry and wet seasons, respectively and C = the number of species common to both seasons. The value of CCs ranges from 0 (when no species are found in both seasons) to 1.0 (when all species are found in both seasons).

Relative Abundance: The number of individuals caught was used as an index of abundance of small mammal species at each survey site (Slade and Blair 2000). Bar graphs were used to compare the data.

3.2.8.4 Fauna Species Conservation status

The conservation status of each fauna species encountered was ascertained using the 2019 version of the published IUCN red data list and the National red list of Uganda's threatened species (Wildlife Conservation Society 2016). Through examining published distribution records and literature, assessment of distribution range limits of the different species, new records, lack of records of expected species, and determining how typical/representative/distinctive the species/communities are in the area was conducted. Mammal identifications were based on Field guides by Kingdon (1974), Delany (1975) and Kingdon *et al.* (2013).

3.2.8.5 Surveying of other parameters

The ToRs indicated some of the parameters that were expected to be surveyed and analyzed. The parameters mentioned include 1) taking note of fauna species or communities that are of limited distribution range, new records, lack of records of expected species, how typical / representative / distinctive are the species / communities, 2) determine the diets and preferred habitat of key fauna species to be agreed; 3) quantity and quality of habitats available for fauna life cycle, and 4) issues affecting the riparian areas e.g. fires, agriculture, water extraction, hunting, pollution, etc. These parameters were considered.

3.2.8.6 Distribution status of faunal species

Through examining published distribution records and literature, assessment of the limits of distribution range of the different mammal species was undertaken, new mammal records were reviewed, lack of records of expected mammal species was noted, and determining how typical/representative/distinctive the species/communities are in the area was done.

3.2.9 Social-Economic Survey

3.2.9.1 General Approach

The consultant conducted social assessment using a Social Ecological Systems (SES) approach. The SES took into multiple flow - related objectives that reflect both biophysical sustainability and societal preferences of the project area communities (David et al1, 2014). The consultant assessed the freshwater social-ecological system along and within river stretches. The socio-economic uses

and concerns were identified and assessed using mixed methods more so Ecosystems Services Survey. The consultant assessed socio-ecological concerns in relation to farming (crop, livestock, aquaculture), ecosystem services, resource user rights, institutions, property rights, behaviours, cultural heritage, vulnerabilities, among others. The consultant also assessed anticipated scenarios vis-à-vis flow related vulnerabilities. The vulnerability assessment identified the likely vulnerable groups, anticipated social risks, and enhancement and mitigation measures. The identified vulnerabilities were related to age, sex/ gender, education, GBV, OVC, livelihoods, land ownership, resource use, Pathology based (disability, immobility), public health, sanitation & hygiene, socio-ecological imbalances, climate changes, rural-urban migration and urbanization.

3.2.9.2 Data collection methods

The consultant applied mixed method approach that involved quantitative, qualitative and participatory learning and action (PLA) methods.

- Quantitative method; The consultant used an <u>Ecosystems Services Survey (ESS)</u> aimed at assessing the socio-economic uses of river streams and biodiversity upstream, mid-stream and downstream of dam areas of Mishumba Catchment.
 - a. **Sample size: A sample size of** 374 respondents was considered in the catchment where 22 respondents were considered per selected sampled site) along river stretches. A 95% confidence level and margin of errors of 5% were considered for accuracy.
 - b. **Sample Frame:** The consultant targeted local communities within and surrounding areas of the sampled sites, micro and sub catchments. The key characteristics used to identify respondents were a) proximity to river; b) users of ecosystem services; c) community roles e.g., leadership and management; d) gender (women, children, men, disabled persons); e) occupation (farmer, hunter, fish farmers, brick makers, etc.); f) employment status (unemployed).
 - c. **Data Collection Tools:** The Ecosystems Service Survey questionnaire was converted into digital form using Kobo Collect. The form was loaded and aggregated on mobile device (tablets) that were used to collect data (Fig. below). It should be noted that the use of digital tools increased efficiency, minimise errors and ensures timely analysis of data.
 - d. **Analytical Methods:** The consultant used Statistical Analysis (Ms Excel and SPSS), Thematic Analysis of qualitative information; gender assessment and photographic interpretation. Data visualisation (graphs, charts) was done.
 - 2. **Qualitative methods** included Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), Key Informant Interviews (KIIs), Direct Observations and Photography and Document Review.
 - 3. **Participatory Learning & Action (PLA) methods** included Transect Walks / Drives, Seasonal Calendar, Trend Analysis, Systematic Farm Model, Preference Ranking. Institutional arrangements were also assessed using SWOT & PESTLE Analysis.
 - 4. **Stakeholder and public consultations;** through meetings at village, Sub County, Town Council and District levels. Others include; Ministry of Water and Environment officials and National Forestry Authority (NFA).
3.2.9.3 Sampling Sites

The study areas encompassed the core and influence zone of the 13 out of 24 selected sampled sites within the sub catchments and micro catchments along the river stretches (down-stream, mid-stream and upper stream) as shown in Figure 3-1 and Table 3-1 below.

Sub County	Sample sites (villages)	Sub Catchment
Kabuyanda	Kanywamaizi I, II, III, Nyamiyaga	Chezho
Kabuyanda	Kabugu II, Kabugu I	Kyabaganda
Kabuyanda	Kagoto I, Kagoto II,	Lower Mishumba & Chezho
Kabuyanda	Kyamazinga II, Katooma II	Mishumba & Chezho
Kabuyanda	Katooma I	Mishumba & Rweibare
Kabuyanda	Kyamazinga I	Mishumba
Kabuyanda	Rutooma, Katooma	Rweibare
Kabuyanda TC	Rutooma	Lower Mishumba
Kabuyanda TC	Nyamiyaga	Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho
Kikagate	Kabumba, Ruzinga, Rukuraijo, Bugarika	Lower Chezho
Ruborogota	Kabumba	Lower Chezho Sub-catchment
Ruborogota	Ruzinga	Lower Chezho Sub-catchment

Table 3-1: Sample sites	(villages)	and their I	respective su	b-catchments
-------------------------	------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

3.2.9.4 Data Collection

Data collection was through administering Ecosystems Services Survey Questionnaires using mobile devices (**Figure** 3-11), FGDs, KIIs, Village meeting, direct observation, semi-structured interviews.



Figure 3-11: Administering ESS Questionnaire using mobile device at Nombe village (downstream)

3.2.10 Stakeholder and public consultations

Consultations with different stakeholders on the study was carried out accordingly including meetings at Village, Sub County, Town Council and District levels.

- a. Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE);
- b. Directorate of Fisheries Resources at Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF);
- c. National Fisheries Resources Research Institute (NAFIRRI);
- d. Isingiro District Fisheries Office;
- e. Biodiversity Specialists and/or Civil Society Organizations (through Environmental Alert – Lead Environmental CSO);
- f. Academia (Makerere University); and
- g. Civil Society and NGOs (working in biodiversity like WCS, Nature Uganda, others and through Environmental Alert, coordinator of environmental NGOs in Uganda).



Figure 3-12: Meeting users of ecosystems services near below Dam site in Kagoto 2 village



Figure 3-13: Meeting Village Leader at Kagoto 2 village



Figure 3-14: Meeting parish Chiefs of Kanywamizi and Kagara parishes (upstream)



Figure 3-15: Meeting Upstream communities of Kyamazinga 1 and 2



Figure 3-16: Community consultation in Kagoto 1 and 2



Figure 3-17: Consultations with 4 villages (Kagoto 1 and 2, Kaaro 3 and Katoma)



Figure 3-18: Meeting Kabuyanda Town Council Technical and Political Team



Figure 3-19: Meeting Ruborogota Sub County Technical Head (SAS)

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 3-20: Consultations with smallholder farmers in downstream (Kabumba)



Figure 3-21: Consultations with smallholder farmers in Mid-stream



Figure 3-22: Consultations with community leaders in Rwakijuma Parish

3.2.11 Project Impacts and Risks Assessment

The construction and implementation of the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme will have potential impacts and risks on the biophysical environment as well as the human and socio-economic environment in the area. These impacts and risks will occur during all phases of the project, including the construction, operation and maintenance phases. Accordingly, this assessment presents the anticipated impacts (both positive and negative) of the project that were not covered

in the ESIA report (2019) and but also expands on (where necessary) or gives more information on some of the potential impacts ascertained. Key activities included the following:

- a. Identification of key indicators of the aquatic ecosystems;
- b. Identification of scenarios for evaluation in the assessment;
- c. Effect of flow releases on sediment flows;
- d. Undertaking of Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA); and
- e. Review and confirm the mitigation measures/plan proposed in the ESIA.

The description of a potential impact involved an appraisal of its characteristics, together with the attributes of the receiving environment. Therefore, relevant impact characteristics included whether the impact is: a) adverse or beneficial; b) direct or indirect; c) short, medium, or long-term in duration; and permanent or temporary; cumulative (such an impact results from the aggregated effect of more than one project occurring at the same time, or the aggregated effect of sequential projects); among others. A cumulative impact is "the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions").

To provide a relative illustration of impact significance, numerical or relative descriptors were assigned to the impact intensity and receptor sensitivity for each potential impact. Each is assigned a numerical descriptor of 1, 2, 3, or 4, equivalent to very low, low, medium or high. The significance of impact was then indicated by the product of the two numerical descriptors, with significance being described as negligible, minor, moderate or major, as illustrated in **Table 3**-2 below. This is a qualitative method designed to provide a broad ranking of the different impacts of a project.

The likelihood of each impact occurring was also analyzed and presented as very low, low, medium or high and their significance determined as to whether they are acceptable, require mitigation or are unacceptable as too risky to the environment and for the socio-economic human setting i.e., negligible, minor, moderate or major.

			Sensitivity							
	Significance		Very low	Low	Medium	High				
			1	2	3	4				
	Versileur 1		1	2	3	4				
	verylow	Ţ	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor				
de		n	2	4	6	8				
itu	LOW	Z	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate				
lage	Madium	2	3	6	9	12				
Ë	Ž Medium		Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major				
	High	High 4	4	8	12	16				
	High		Minor	Moderate	Major	Major				

Table 3-2: Determination of impact significance

3.2.12 Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA)

Cumulative impacts are impacts on key biodiversity features (valued ecosystem components related to biodiversity) generated by the combined effects of all past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects. This was undertaken as follows²:

Step 1: the team identified the incremental effects of ICRP on the identified VECs within the environs of Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme. The VECs were selected based on information related to current or anticipated future degraded or stressed conditions, the occurrence of protected species or habitats, and the presence or anticipated presence of other human activities that would (adversely) affect the same VEC.

Step 2: Identified other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions within the spatial and time boundaries that have been, are, or could contribute to cumulative effects (stresses) on the VECs or their indicators as identified. Cumulative impacts occur when two or more developments are located sufficiently close and with relatively similar implications, such that their combined impact needs to be considered. Therefore, this involved identification of the sources of stress i.e. past developments whose impacts persist, existing developments and foreseeable future developments, as well as any other relevant external social and/or environmental drivers including wildfires, droughts, floods, predator interactions and human migration

Step 3: For the selected VECs, the experts compiled appropriate information on their indicators, and describe and assess their historical to current conditions where possible. Depending upon the availability of information, the identified trends in the conditions of the VECs and their indicators were determined and analysed.

Step 4: The team further linked the Kabuyanda Project to other actions like the upcoming South Western Cluster - Development of Water & Sanitation Infrastructure for the Mbarara - Masaka Areas; Kagera Water Works (Isingiro water supply project), DRDIP in the study area to the selected VECs and their indicators.

Step 5: Assessment of the significance of the cumulative effects on each VEC over the time and the incremental effects (the direct and indirect effects) on specific VECs were also included.

Step 6: For VECs or their indicators that were identified, they were subjected to negative incremental impacts from the Kabuyanda Project and for which, the cumulative effects are

² Cumulative Impact Assessment and Management: Guidance for the Private Sector in Emerging Markets (2013) was jointly prepared by the Environmental, Social, and Governance Department of the International Finance Corporation (IFC) and ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada. http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/946301468309844309/pdf/769980WP0P1292440Box374382B0 OPUBLIC0.pdf

Sample Guidelines: Cumulative Environmental Impact Assessment for Hydropower Projects in Turkey (2012). http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/787161468178468723/pdf/864920WP0IFC0G0IC00Department OCESPQ.pdf

Ministry of Water and Environment (2019). Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme, under Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project - P163836. Kampala, Uganda.

significant, develop appropriate action-specific "mitigation measures" for such impacts. The mitigation measures were mainly based on those identified in the ESIA study.

3.2.12.1 Reference Sources used in CIA

As a source of information in the conduct of the CIA following the above steps, a list of documents was collected and reviewed. These documents are as listed below:

- 1) Final Design Report. 2019. *Final Design for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme in Isingiro District*.
- 2) MWE. 2014. "Directorate of Water Resources Management National Water Resources Strategy." (August).
- 3) MWE. 2019. "Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme." (September).
- 4) The Brisbane Declaration. 2007. "Environmental Flows Are Essential for Freshwater Ecosystem Health and Human Well-Being"." 10th International River Symposium and International Environmental Flows Conference 1–7.
- 5) Forest Department (2002). Uganda Forestry Nature Conservation Master Plan. Report No. 32.
- 6) IUCN (2021). *Red List of Threatened Species, Version 2020-2*. <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>, accessed January 2021.
- 7) Langdale-Brown I, Osmaston HA, Wilson JG (1964). The vegetation of Uganda and its bearing on land uses, Uganda Government Printer, Entebbe, Uganda.
- 8) MAAIF (2019). The National Fisheries and Aquaculture Policy, 2018. Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Entebbe.
- 9) Greenwood, Peter Humphry. Fishes of Uganda. Kampala : Uganda Society.
- 10) Mwanja, W.W., Fuerst. P. and Kaufman L. (2012). The collapse of the ngege, Oreochromis esculentus (Teleostei: Cichlidae) populations, and resultant population genetic status in the Lake Victoria Region. Uganda Journal of Agricultural Sciences 13 (2), 65-82.
- 11) Rutaisire, J. (2003). The reproductive biology and artificial breeding of ningu *labeo victorianus* (pisces: cyprinidae). Thesis, Rhodes University, South Africa.

Field hydrological and hydraulics technical assessment (Kikagate – Ntungamo Murram road in the downstream).

Month

4 BASELINE CONDITIONS

The Figure 3-1 in **Chapter 3** shows the 24 sampling points specifically selected for additional surveys and sampling for determination of biodiversity and socio-economic data. Each of the 24 sampling points was surveyed and sampled for hydrological, hydraulics and geomorphological parameters aimed at generating data for water flow analysis, environmental flow assessment, extreme value analysis, water audit, and review of findings for these parameters as indicated in the ESIA Report. The conditions in each section of river stretch (upstream, mid-stream and downstream) and sub-catchments are provided. More beneficiary LGs have been included such as Ruborogota and Mwiizi Sub counties (previously omitted by earlier ESIA).

4.1 Physical Environment

The entire catchment area does not have unique physical characteristics. The area has a fairly extended shape with an average length and width of 34 km and 19 km respectively. The rivers and streams/tributaries have their headwaters in the Parishes of Ngoma, Rukarabo, Bushwere, Rwoho, Ryamiyonga, Ruhiira and Ntungu in Mwizi, Rukoni East and Nyakitunda Sub-Counties in Rwampara, Ntungamo and Isingiro Districts. Rwampara covers about 22.8%, Isingiro about 67.6% whereas Ntungamo about 9.6% of the entire catchment (**Figure** 4-1). The river then flows in a generally southern direction through Rwoho CFR and is fed by several tributaries that flow in from the east and west towards the river.





4.1.1 Topography

The catchment is characterised by hilly to mountainous terrain with steep fluted slopes and high hilltops. Catchment elevations vary from 1,354 m.a.s.l at the dam site to over 1,853 m.a.s.l at the upper reaches (**Figure** 4-3). The hillsides are steep with average slopes of more than 30%, but which can be as high as 80%. The valley bottoms have milder slopes of less than 5%. As a result, fast flowing slope-side stream flows are significantly attenuated in the valleys and flow in the mean river is expected to show a significantly lower degree of peakedness. Elevations in the irrigation area vary over a lower range of 1,272-1,350 m. Slopes are mild and average 7% but rarely reach 20%. Over 80% of the area has slopes less than 10%. Therefore, the terrain in the irrigation area can be classified as flat to undulating with a few areas having rolling terrain³.



Figure 4-2: Topographic characteristics of Mishumba catchment

4.1.2 Climate

Most areas in the southern western part of Uganda exhibit bi-modal patterns with long rains occurring from March to May and the short rains from October to December. The "short rains" are strongly affected by interactions between the Indian and Pacific oceans (MWE, 2013) and the heavy rainfall during this season being associated with El Niño events. The Mishumba catchment is located in this climatic zone which receives an average of 1,120 mm of rainfall annually with about 330 mm of rainfall received during the first long rainy season which lasts from March-May, whilst the second rainy season receives more rainfall on average, i.e., 450 mm but it is more variable, starting as early as September or even August and sometimes continuing until December.

Temperatures average 19°C but ranges between 13°C and 26°C. Evaporation averages around 1,350 mm per annum but may be a factor of 3-4 times the rainfall amount during the dry season.

Generally, most of south-western part of Uganda exhibits bi-modal pattern of rainfall with long rains occurring from March to May and the short rains from October to December. The "short rains" are strongly affected by interactions between the Indian and Pacific oceans (MoWE, 2013) and the heavy rainfall during this season being associated with El Niño events. The Mishumba project is located in Climatic Zone which receives average of 1,120 mm of rainfall annually with about 330 mm of rainfall is received during the first long rainy season which lasts from March-May, whilst the second rainy season receives more rainfall on average, i.e., 450 mm but it is more variable, starting as early as September or even August and sometimes continuing until December. Temperature averages 19oC but ranges between 13°C and 26°C. Evaporation averages around 1,350 mm per annum but may be a factor of 3 to 4 times the rainfall amount during the dry season. **Figure** 4-3 shows the annual rainfall pattern for Mishumba catchment (MoWE 2019).



Figure 4-3: Average monthly rainfall for the project area³

4.1.3 Geology (Lithology)

The catchment area is underlain by the both the Karagwe-Ankolean system of Cambrian origin and Basement Complex system. The dominant rocks include Argillites and Arenites which are mainly sedimentary rocks composed of indurated clay particles and varying sizes of silt particles. There are occasional occurrences of some basal metacalcarous rocks (including shales, slates and sandstones), and undifferentiated gneisses including elements of P(B) and, in the north, granulite facies rocks. Therefore, all the three major divisions of rocks i.e., Sedimentary, Igneous and Metamorphic are represented in the area (**Figure** 4-4).

³ Source: Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project - Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA, 2019)



Figure 4-4: Geological formation of the project area

4.1.4 Soils

The dominant soils within the catchment area are Dystric Rego sols that range from shallow, medium- to fine-textured, unconsolidated parent material that may be of alluvial origin and by the lack of a significant soil horizon (layer) formation because of dry or cold climatic conditions. There are Lixic Ferralsols which include very shallow soil over hard rock or highly calcareous material, or deeper soils that is extremely gravelly and/or stony. The dominant soils within the irrigation area are Lixic Ferralsols which cover extensive areas on the flat, generally well drained area. They are strongly weathered, and tend to be associated with old geomorphic surfaces. Many Ferralsols have stable micro-aggregates which explain the excellent porosity, good permeability and favorable infiltration rates. A small but long stretch along the river is formed by the Gleysols under waterlogged conditions produced by rising groundwater (**Figure** 4-5). Therefore, the

general area is formed of yellowish red clay loams, red sandy clay loams, yellowish red loams and sandy loams with occasional soft laterite and finally the dark brown sandy loams over dark grey clays which fall under the Bugamba Catena, Rugaga Series, Mbarara Catena and Partly Bukora Series.



Figure 4-5: Soil formation and classification of the project area

4.1.5 Hydrology

4.1.5.1 Overview of Mishumba Catchment Hydrology

Mishumba catchment has three major sections including the upstream (area before the reservoir and the reservoir); midstream (downstream of dam site, the entire irrigation area and tributaries that join the R. Mishumba within the irrigable area); and downstream (areas after irrigation command area and before crossing the Kikagati-Ntungamo murram road). The catchment was

subdivided into 9 sub-catchments based on the main river stem and its tributaries Table 4-1 and Figure 4-8

SN	Sub-catchment	Contributing Rivers/Streams	Catchment Area (km²)	Rivers or Streams Length (km)
		Mishumba, Kisyabagari and		
1	Mishumba	Rugonjo	90	45.94
2	Kyabaganda	Kyabaganda	45	23.82
3	Rwemango	Rwemango	7.1	6.30
4	Chezho	Chezho	45.1	30.71
5	Rweibare	Rweibare	19.1	10.07
6	Lower Mishumba	Mishumba and Chezho	6.5	6.28
7	Middle Chezho	Chezho	38.7	21.42
8	Kinyara	Kinyara	18	9.67
9	Lower Chezho	Chezho	23.5	16.38

Table 4-1: Sub-catchments, Rivers and Streams in Mishumba Catchment

The monthly runoff records for R. Mishumba at the proposed dam location exhibit a bimodal variation with two peak flows in April and October of $0.8m^3/s$ and $0.89 m^3/s$, respectively. The Mean Annual Flow (MAF) is $0.51 m^3/s$ whose catchment area size is about 90 km² (Figure 4-6). The month with the lowest flow is July with a flow of $0.12 m^3/s$. June, July and August record flows representing 24% of the MAF. Based on the data series, the river sometimes dries up in the dry months of July and August, and February and September. Flow in the months of September-November is more variable than during the other months. The maximum flows, that lead to flooding, are most likely to occur during the months of September - December.

Mishumba River has its first tributary as River Rweibare with a catchment area of 20km² and flow of about 0.11 m³/s whose confluence is just downstream of dam site i.e., Rweibara tributary which contributes about 18.03% of the 0.61 m³/s Average Annual Flow (AAF) of Mishumba River at the confluence. This means that Mishumba and Rweibare contribute about 36% of the total flow at main outlet (at sampling point 24). It has it's major confluences about 5.8 km and 6.0km with River Chezho (combining Chezho and Rwemango) and River Kyabaganda respectively. These tributaries have catchment areas of 54km² and 45km² respectively. The combined flow of Mishumba, Chezho and Rwemango Rivers is about 56.7% of the total flow at the confluence. Kyabaganda junction flows at a MAF of 0.66 m³/s and the total downflow downstream of this junction is about 1.408 m³/s. The flows from these tributaries contribute significantly to satisfy the downstream water demands. Figure 4-7 is a schematic representation of Mishumba Catchment, River Mishumba and its tributaries.

Consequently, the flow that joins the river at Kyabaganda is much more than the flow from River Mishumba. This flow all together combines to provide a MAF of 1.69 m³/s at the outlet of the catchment (Site 24). This implies that the area in the midstream (mainly the irrigable area) contribute about 0.28 m³/s of the MAF from baseflows to obtain the overall flow obtained at Kikagate – Ntungamo murram road point (Figure 4-8).

It can therefore be safely stated that, the influence of the dam on the environmental and low flows becomes insignificant downstream of the Kyabaganda junction. Therefore, the hydrological study on the e-flows concentrated on the river stretch between the dam site and the Kyabaganda junction where flow is significantly impacted upon by the operations of the reservoir.



Figure 4-6: Mean Monthly flows of the River Mishumba



Figure 4-7: Schematic representation of Mishumba Catchment, River Mishumba and its tributaries.



Figure 4-8: Mishumba Catchment and its Sub-catchments

4.1.5.2 Hydrological stream flows River Mishumba

Satisfactory discharge data of Mishumba river stream gauging station (UTM, WGS84: E 232641, N 9899764) i.e., just downstream of the dam site was not available necessitating outsourcing data from existing sources to assess the river flows. Therefore, the input data for the hydrological

assessment were acquired from discharge records of the hydrological report (Final Design Report, MoWE 2019). This historical annual flow data from 1950 to 1995 for Mishumba was used as the basis for the flow timeseries (**Table 7-1**). **Figure** 4-9 shows the historical trend of mean annual flows for Mishumba obtained. The overall mean discharge of Mishumba between 1950 and 1995 is 0.516 m³/s. The lowest and maximum flows were 0.365 m³/s and 0.738 m³/s with a standard deviation of 0.0973. **Figure** 4-10 shows the temporary gauge downstream of the proposed dam site on River Mishumba.

Year	Mean	Year	Mean	Year	Mean	Year	Mean
1950	0.4	1962	0.61	1974	0.54	1986	0.48
1951	0.74	1963	0.66	1975	0.54	1987	0.37
1952	0.39	1964	0.52	1976	0.51	1988	0.63
1953	0.56	1965	0.38	1977	0.63	1989	0.47
1954	0.4	1966	0.48	1978	0.41	1990	0.45
1955	0.61	1967	0.42	1979	0.51	1991	0.46
1956	0.43	1968	0.5	1980	0.41	1992	0.37
1957	0.64	1969	0.52	1981	0.54	1993	0.71
1958	0.58	1970	0.55	1982	0.45	1994	0.69
1959	0.48	1971	0.5	1983	0.48	1995	0.59
1960	0.49	1972	0.58	1984	0.38		
1961	0.6	1973	0.59	1985	0.39		

Table 4-2: Mean annual flows for Mishumba River



Figure 4-9: Trend of annual mean flow for River Mishumba⁴

⁴ Final Design for Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme in Isingiro District 2019



Figure 4-10: Temporary gauging station along R. Mishumba (36 M E 232879, N 9899582) downstream of the dam site and its current status

4.1.5.2.1 Stream flow data analysis

During the data screening and checking processes for the general trend using Mann-Kendell and Sen's slope analysis (Figure 4-11), obtained flow data did not indicate any significant trends (Table 4-3 and Table 4-4). This could be confidently used in the timeseries analysis of the field measured data. Therefore, the hydrological flow data from the main study and data collected from the field was used in the analysis of the e-flows in Chapter 5.

Table 4-3:Mann-Kendall trend test analysis results showing no significant trend

Mann-Kendall trend test (S)					
n	Significance				
46	0.41	No			

n – *number of data points and Z* - *quantile of the standard normal distribution*

Table 4-4: Sens slope estimate showing a slope of zero

Sen's Slope estimate							
m	m (min 99)	m (max 99)	C (min 99)	C (max 99)			
0.00	-0.015	0.025	2.07	1.3			



Figure 4-11: Graph showing the estimated fitting of the Sen's slope and the 99% and 95% confidence intervals

4.1.5.2.2 Flow Duration Analysis (FDC)

Figure 4-12 below is a graph of the flow duration curve (FDC) for the data at the proposed dam site. This shows the discharge versus percent of time that a particular discharge is equaled or exceeded a specified value of interest. Therefore, important values derived from the flow duration curves are as follows: that a particular discharge was equaled or exceeded

Percentage Time	99%	90%	50%	25%	15%	10%	5%
Flow Rate (m ³ /s)	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.4



Figure 4-12: Flow duration curve of the Dam site data

4.1.5.2.3 Extreme value analysis

The analysis of extreme value analysis was undertaken by fitting the data to different distributions. The estimation of discharges at different return periods was estimated using the LP III distribution which fitted the data the most. The results from the estimations are in **Table** 4-5 below.

T (yrs.)	к	S	\overline{X} [m³/s]	X [m³/s]	Y [m³/s]
2	-0.148	0.1452	0.278	0.25651	1.81
5	0.769	0.1452	0.278	0.389659	2.45
10	1.339	0.1452	0.278	0.472423	2.98
25	2.018	0.1452	0.278	0.571014	3.72

Table 4-5: Discharges at different return periods estimated using the LP III distribution

T – Return Period (yrs.), K - Frequency Factor (a function of return period and skew coefficient), S – Standard deviation of annual peak discharge, \overline{X} - Average of annual peak discharge, and Y – Predicted discharge at return period

4.1.5.3 Discharge or flow measurements

Stage discharge measurements were carried in both wet and dry seasons at the same selected sites. The records included all hydrological statistics to information on local hydraulic conditions of every site/section that allows river scientists to understand why river features and species occur where they do. Several cross-sections were surveyed at each site, and stage discharge data recorded over a range of flows as summarized in the **Table** 4-6. These were used to calibrate a hydraulic model, which was in turn used to derive statistics of depths, velocities and other relevant parameters for each cross-section, at any discharge within a named range. **Figure** 4-13 shows pictures of the activities perform while carrying out stage discharge measurement at different cross-sections in the same catchment. **Figure** 4-14 shows the stage discharge measurement points for the wet (Nov. 2020) and dry (Feb. 2021) season carried out along River Mishumba. This data was further used for catchment hydraulic modelling.



Figure 4-13: Stage discharge measurement at different sampling sites in Mishumba catchment

DISCHARGE SUMMARY – NOVEMBER, 2020																	
Cross Section	River/tributary	Date	ate Coordinates		Coordinates		Coordinates		Coordinates		Coordinates		Total Mean	Width (m)	Area	Mean Depth	Cross-Section
Section	/stream Name		Lat	Lon	(m ³ /s)	(m/s)	(,	()	(m)								
Point 1	Mishumba	18/11/2020	-0.8636	30.6037	0.221	0.380	1.30	0.58	0.45	Mid-point (upstream of dam)							
Point-1-1	Mishumba	18/11/2020	-0.8638	30.6039	0.023	0.048	1.90	0.48	0.25	Mid-point (upstream of dam with no flow, ponding water)							
Point-2	Mishumba	18/11/2020	-0.8783	30.5989	0.332	0.945	1.15	0.35	0.31	Mid-point (upstream of point 3)							
Point-3	Mishumba	18/11/2020	-0.8945	30.5970	0.301	0.518	2.20	0.58	0.26	Mid-point (upstream of point 6)							
Point-4	Kisyabagari	19/11/2020	-0.8966	30.5851	0.042	0.359	0.80	0.12	0.15	Upstream of point 6							
Point-5	Rugonjo	19/11/2020	-0.9014	30.5882	0.011	0.160	0.90	0.07	0.08	Upstream of point 6							
Point-6	Mishumba	19/11/2020	-0.9009	30.5964	0.500	0.525	3.68	0.81	0.22	Mid-point (upstream of point 8)							
Point-7	Rweibare	19/11/2020	-0.9131	30.5950	0.110	0.338	1.30	0.16	0.12	Upstream of point 8							
Point-8	Mishumba	19/11/2020	-0.9088	30.6007	0.475	0.743	1.40	0.64	0.46	Downstream of point 6 (after confluence with Rweibare)							
Point-9	Kyabaganda	24/11/2020	-0.9009	30.6734	0.965	1.038	2.00	0.95	0.47	Upstream of point 10							
Point-10	Kyabaganda	24/11/2020	-0.9142	30.6577	0.982	1.473	1.45	0.66	0.45	Mid-point (upstream of point 16)							
Point-11	Chezho	20/11/2020	-0.8899	30.6492	0.281	0.871	1.10	0.32	0.29	Upstream of point 13							
Point-12	Rwemango	20/11/2020	-0.9124	30.6439	0.061	0.658	0.80	0.09	0.12	Upstream of point 13							
Point-13	Chezho -2	20/11/2020	-0.9255	30.6327	0.498	0.115	10.30	4.32	0.42	Upstream of point 16 (after confluence with Rwemango)							
Point-14	Mishumba	20/11/2020	-0.9186	30.6173	0.351	0.730	2.50	0.48	0.19	Mid-point (upstream of point 15)							
Point-15	Mishumba	21/11/2020	-0.9278	30.6306	0.604	0.756	2.70	0.53	0.20	Upstream of point 16							
Point-16	Chezho-3	21/11/2020	-0.9321	30.6380	1.408	0.363	8.00	3.88	0.49	Downstream of point 15 (Confluent of all tributary)							
Point-24	Chezho-3 Out flow	22/11/2020	-1.0267	30.6183	1.688	0.399	7.30	4.23	0.58	Out flow to Kagera							

Table 4-6: Summary of stage discharge at hydrological sampling points



Figure 4-14: Hydrological sampled points of stage discharge in the wet (Nov 202) and dry (Feb 2021) seasons

4.1.5.4 Cross-section and profile measurements

In addition to stage discharge measurement, a site cross-section was obtained covering both the wet and dry profiles (Figure 4-14). They show the extent of each vertical zone, as well as any other features of interest. The range of inundated areas in the two low-flow seasons on either banks, and the levels reached by the size of flood. Figure 4-15 (a - p) show different cross-section results at selected sampling points.

















Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

















4.1.5.5 Low and High flows along River Mishumba

The Mishumba catchment experiences both low and high flows during dry and wet seasons in a year due to the bi-modal pattern experienced in south western Uganda. During field surveys in the wet season (November 2020), it was found that most parts after the major confluence areas (in the command areas and downstream) is where high flows occur. This does not spare the murram roads and access roads hence, complicating the connection (access) between either side of the river due to raised water levels. However, during the dry season (February 2021), it was observed that flows reduce below the road surface levels at the same points of survey (Figure 4-16).

During the community consultations, it was noted that in May 2020, a severe flash flood hit Mishumba River which uprooted and washed away all the riverine vegetation cover (both instream and bank vegetation) leaving the ground bare and degraded. This exposed the shallow water levels and river banks due to washed away soils implying more sediment accumulation in the midstream and downstream. Most infrastructure at river crossing points e.g., bridges and culverts were washed away during the flood and temporary structures are now being used for crossing except at points 13, 15 and 25 (Figure 4-17).



Figure 4-16: Map showing points where high and low flows are experienced along Mishumba in the midstream and downstream



Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



After the dam area and before the confluence of Mishumba and Rweibare stream



At the confluence of Mishumba and Rweibare stream (after the temporary RGS)





After the confluence of Mishumba and Rweibare but before the Kanuyanda murram road



Culvert washed away by the floods (before the
Kabuyanda murram road (irrigable area)Floodplains nearby homes that were almost taken
by May 2020 floods (irrigable area)



and people cannot cross the river (midstream) and people cannot cross the river (midstream)

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-18: Evidence of effects of high flows in Mishumba catchment
Section of River Mishumba in middle of the reservoir area

4.2 Biological environment

4.2.1 Limnology of Mishumba Catchment

4.2.1.1 Physical environment characterization

Table 4-7 gives the basic physical characteristics of River Mishumba at the different sampling sites surveyed **(Figure** 4-19). The River Mishumba waters had a temperature ranging from 20.4 °C in the upper reaches to 25.3 °C in the lower reaches in the dry season, and between 18.7 °C and 24.2 °C in the wet season. In the dry season, the water was found to be generally clearer than in the wet season, and of lower flow rate, while the conductivity at all sampling sites registered slight increase during the wet season. The salinity levels for a freshwater body remained significantly high, and this was attributed to presence of some rocks from which the river water flows and picking up salts which makes the water saline and hard. This was assessed at sampling points 9,10 and 12. The common fauna in this area are snakes, otters, water ducks and water insects including water skates, water bugs, dragon flies, earth worms. The River Mishumba can generally be said to be highly disturbed owing to the farming and mining activities in the area, cutting and clearing of the natural vegetation, and high level of siltation as shown by the level of turbidity and TDS at the different sampling sites.

Site ID [Name at river reach]	GPS Location (WGS 84)	Description of fish sampling sites according to the Building Block Methodology (BBM) following Jowett <i>et al.</i> , (2008)
Upper reaches (Upstr	eam of the dam	n site)
Nyakagoto Sampling site 1	S 0.863717 E 30.6034 Elev: 1391 m	 Sampling point 1 was approx. 2 km upstream of the proposed dam area; and sampling point 2 is about 0.5 km upstream of dam area. Low flow conditions (shallow average 30 cm depth) and
Kagara Sampling sites 2	S 0.87574 E 30.5993 Elev: 1385 m	 completely wadable across the channel by members of sampling team. River zone: Runs through planted and managed forests. Channel/substrate type: Single thread channel with mixed sand/silt and alluvium substrate. Biotopes present: mainly riffles and runs (consisting largely of sand/silt/mud). Vegetation: Largely unnatural vegetation (planted forests about 10 to 20 m from the channel on either side, with limited canopy cover and no invasive aquatic vegetation. Land use: Forestry. Water abstraction for domestic use and as cattle watering point.
Proposed Dam site ar	ea and adjoinin	g streams
Kyamazinga Sampling site 3	S 0.893667 E 30.5975 Elev: 1366 m	• Areas has a confluence of the two streams, Rugonjo and Kisyabagari meeting with River Mishumba, a point considered to be the centre of the proposed dam site
Kisyabagari Sampling site 4	S 0.896624 E 30.5851	while.

Table 4-7: Summary of river habitat description of sampling sites along reaches of River Mishumba catchment.

Rugonzo Sampling site 5 Sampling site 6	Elev: 1383 m S0.901084 E 30.5857 Elev: 1388 m S 0.901783 E 30.596 Elev: 1356 m	 1000 m below this site the river has been impounded to create a dam and a fall for hydropower generation. High flow conditions (r) and completely wadable across the channel by members of sampling team. River zone: Upper foothill in a typical mountain torrent zone. Channel/substrate type: Largely single thread channel but braided with typical exposed bars that are vegetated near the Bridge. Bedrock and large boulders characterize the river substrate at this section. Biotopes present: Predominantly riffles and runs but
		 with few isolated pools. Vegetation: Stable riparian vegetation, higher canopy cover that provide appreciable shading to the Banks. No invasive aquatic vegetation. Land use: Agriculture-livestock & crops, rural residential.
Lower reaches – imm	ediate downstr	eam of dam site)
Rweibare	S 0.913113	• Areas has a confluence of Mishumba and Rweibare, a
Sampling site 7	E 30.5949	dam site.
	Elev: 1373 m	• Fast flowing conditions and safely wadable across
Sampling site 8	S 0.908733	channel but with few deep pools of a more than meter
	E 30.6007	 River zone: Lower foothill with flat raised banks (0.3m)
	Elev: 1354 m	to 0.8m) with evidence of erosion during high/bank full
Sampling site 14	S 0.91875	 Channel/substrate type: Split thread of channels that
	E 30.6173	collapse back into a single channel with predominantly
	Elev: 1330 m	silt substrate.
Kaaro	S 0.927831	 Vegetation: Disturbed riparian papyrus vegetation. No
Sampling site 15	E 30.6306	invasive aquatic vegetation.
	Elev: 1315 m	 Land use: Crop farming (matooke/banana plantations, beans).
Rutooma	S 0.932039	Water abstraction for domestic use and watering
Sampling site 16	E 30.6381	animals.
	Elev: 1308 m	this site.
Rutooma	S 0.931401	
Sampling site 17	E 30.6365	
	Elev: 1310 m	
Migyera	S 0.8783	
Sampling site 11-A	E 30.6497	
	Elev: 1373 m	

Kagonji	S 0.879622	
Sampling site 11-B	E 30.6516	
	Elev: 1374 m	
Kigabagaba	S 0.9124	
Sampling site 12	E 30.6439	
	Elev: 1327 m	
Akatesani	S 0.925533	
Sampling site 13	E 30.6327	
	Elev: 1315 m	
Kyabaganda	S0.900901	
Sampling site 9	E 30.6734	
	Elev: 1362 m	
Rwemango	S0.914934	
Sampling site 10	E30.6561	
	Elev: 1335 m	
Lowest reaches (irriga	able areas)	
Rwamwijuka	S 0.943112	• Sampled sites here lie approximately 1,500 to 12,000m
Sampling site 18	E 30.6398	downstream of the dam site.
	Elev: 1305 m	with agriculture, forestry, alcohol brewing, livestock
Kaburara	S 0.953695	grazing and water supply.
Sampling site 19	E 30.6391	• Relatively highly settled with houses about 500 m from either side.
	Elev: 1302 m	• Channel/substrate type: Mostly a single thread channel
Nyampikye	S 0.966067	but with a few areas where the river is split by the thick vegetation patches and by disposition with adjoining
Sampling site 20	E 30.629	streams with its substrate mainly as mud, silt and
	Elev: 1293 m	pebbles. Riotopes present: combination of riffles, pools and runs
Nyampikye	S 0.989027	(with isolated pockets of silt and sand.
Sampling site 21	E 30.6238	Vegetation: Poorly developed riparian vegetation which
	Elev: 1289 m	provides no shading to the river water. No invasive aquatic vegetation.
Kagunga	S 0.994633	• Land use: A mixture of crop, livestock and fisheries
Sampling site 22	E 30.6245	activities.
	Elev: 1282 m	
Kabumba	S 1.01181	
Sampling site 23	E 30.621	
	Elev: 1273 m	
	1	

Bwentare/Rukuraijo	S 1.02662
Sampling site 24	E 30.6182
	Elev: 1271 m



Figure 4-19: Map of sampled points for limnology and fish

4.2.1.2 Water Quality Parametric Analysis

Table 4-8 provides in-situ measurements of the basic water quality parameters of River Mishumba in the dry season while **Table** 4-9 *ex-situ* or laboratory detailed analysis of the water. In general, the water chemistry and limnological measurements reflect a typical low level significantly disturbed tropical river due to agricultural, extractive and mining activities. The Mishumba catchment and river is associated with significantly low buffering capacity or alkalinity; significantly high levels of TDS and electrical conductivity levels; and significantly high levels of total hardness, calcium and magnesium hardness in all reaches of the river. This is attributed to the highly disturbed nature of the river, and the fact that it is only about 5 km from upstream to lower reaches, and typically a shallow river that does not provide time for chemical constituents to breakdown or transform along its course. There is less in-stream vegetation cover inform affecting water movement processes. However, in case of the impoundment/damming, it will increase resident time to allow for transformation of some of these chemical species. It should also be noted that many of the sources are anthropogenic linked to the cottage industries and agricultural practices in the basin. Properly planned agriculture and socioeconomic activities will have the effect of cutting out the unnatural supply and entry of those chemical species in the water course.

Point	Temp (°C)	DO (mg/L)	рН	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	Depth (m)	Flow rate (m/s)			
Natural water standard	22-30°C	>2.00	6.5-8.4	0.5	25.0	N/A	N/A			
Upstream of proposed da	am site									
Site 1	21.2	8.63	8.55	0.5	20.8	0.4	0.43			
Site 1-1	20.4	5.75	7.84	0.4	12.6	0.25	0.45			
Site 2	23.1	10.2	6.96	0.4	28.1	0.45	0.31			
Dam area and contributing tributaries										
Site 3	23.5	10.2	7.25	0.4 28.6		0.25	0.59			
Site 4	20.7	6.57	7.26	0.4	12.3	0.35	0.48			
Site 5	20.3	8.79	7.63	0.4	19	0.7	0.37			
Site 6	22.6	9.56	6.76	0.4	25.6	0.35	0.63			
Downstream of proposed	d dam site a	and other	contribut	ting tributa	aries					
Site 7	24.2	7.31	7.14	0.6	20.4	0.35	0.33			
Site 8	23.5	7.87	7.66	0.65	13.2	0.8	0.66			
Site 9	24.3	6.4	7.74	1	23.5	0.57	0.68			
Site 10	20.68	6.76	7.35	0.7	11	0.2	0.54			
Site 11	20.68	6.3	7.12	0.8	7.9	0.25	0.59			
Site 12	20.93	6.76	7.35	0.8	7.1	0.84	0.83			

Table 4-8⁵: *In situ* measurements of basic physiochemical parameters taken during the dry season (February 2021)

⁵ According to the natural water standards, the orange and green colours indicate values of parameters at sites that were above and below the recommended levels respectively

Site 13	23.1	6.6	7.5	0.7	8.7	0.52	1.87		
Site 14	21.54	6.5	6.98	0.7	15.4	0.65	0.73		
Site 15	25.3	6.9	6.37	0.7	15.1	0.3	0.83		
Site 16	21.69	5.5	7.25	0.8	8.5	0.4	2.1		
Site 17	22.4	6.9	7.21	0.9	20.2	0.3	0.71		
Site 18	22.6	6.6	7.26	0.4	15.8	0.25	0.52		
Site 19	23.2	5.7	6.08	0.7	12.8	0.3	0.35		
Site 20	24.3	5.2	8.92	0.7	30.5	0.46	0.35		
Site 21	23.2	5.3	6.5	0.5	20.9	0.67	0.66		
Site 22	24.5	8.5	6.93	0.7	28.2	0.15	0.48		
Site 23	22.7	6.4	7.58	0.7	13.5	0.15	0.27		
Site 24	22.4	5.6	7.02	0.9	10.6	0.25	0.66		
In conformity to	National St	tandard		Slightly Non-Compliant					

In conformity to National Standard Slightly Non-Compliant

Severely non-compliant

Table 4-9: Water quality parameters for different sections and reaches of River Mishumba and associated watershed.

	COD (mg/L)	Electrical Conductivity (uS/m)	TDS (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Iron (mg/L)	Aluminum (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	Total hardness (mg/L)	Calcium hardness (mg/L)	Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	Calcium (mg/L)	Magnesium (mg/L)	E. coli (CFU/ 100	Total coliforms/10	Manganese (mg/L)	Soluble Phosphates	Chlorides (mg/L)	NO₃ (mg/L)	-NH₃/ NH₄ as N in mg/L
Standard of		(μ3/11)	450 to				(ilig/L)	(IIIg/L)	(iiig/L)				111)	0111		(111g/L)			
Irrigational water (FAO)	100	0.7 to 3.0	1,500	25	1	5	20 - 200	180	180	180	150	100	1,000	1,000	0.2	0.7	250	10	0.5
National Natural water standard	100	1.5 - 2.5	1,200	25	2	0.5	200	180	180	180	100	100	50	1,000	1.0	5.0	500	20	0.5
								Upsti	ream of propo	sed dam site									
Site 1 (Nyakatojo)																			
Site 2 (Kagara)	63.67	0.98	686	2.91	0.3	2.97	2	355.33	166.67	188.67	66.67	45.33	9	200	4.93	0.04	77	0.35	1.38
			_		_				Dam sit	e	_			-			-		
Site 3 (Kyamazinga)	55	127.27	89,086	6.53	0.02	3.64	2	474.67	231	243.67	92.33	58.67	1	200	0.01	0.01	34.67	0.01	0.01
Site 4 (Kisyabagari & Rugonjo)	69.67	122.1	85,470	4	0.01	0.71	2	461.33	333	128.33	133.33	30.67	1	200	0.01	0.01	25.67	0.18	0.05
Site 5 (Rugonjo)	54.67	90.23	63,163	4.27	3.86	0.92	2	500	116	268	92.67	64.33	1	200	0.64	0.07	36.33	1.8	0.01
Site 6 (Confluence)	48	120.37	84,256	18.34	0.12	0.21	2	500.67	207	293.67	83	70.33	1	200	0.01	0.11	71.33	3.6	0.01
Lower reaches (immediate downstream of dam site)																			
Site 7 (Rweibare)	80	47.79	33,450	3.15	0.76	0.58	2	449.67	121.67	328	48.67	78.67	6.67	200	0.01	0.58	38.67	1.32	0.54
Site 8 (Confluence)	39.67	95.3	66,710	40.83	1.92	0.97	2	468.33	199	269.33	79.67	64.67	1	200	0.01	0.24	24	1.57	0.38
Site 9 (Kyabaganda)	66.67	117.63	82,343	12.97	0.56	20.67	2	472.67	246.67	226	98.67	54.33	1	200	0.01	0.01	53	2.8	0.01
Site 10 (Kyabaganda)	36.67	160.63	112,443	75.57	0.54	0.29	2	544	235.33	308.67	94	74	1	200	0.01	0.31	37	2.13	0.01
Site 11-A (Kagongi)	60.33	127.13	88,993	36.47	0.16	2.29	2	504.33	337.67	166.67	135	40	1	200	0.01	0.13	66	7.67	0.01
Site 11-B (Migyera)	61	129.67	90,766	3.12	0.22	2.95	2	506	285.67	220.33	114	52.67	1	200	0.01	0.01	52.67	2.67	0.01
Site 12 (Kigaragara)	51	122.6	85,820	210	0.34	0.38	2	486	246.67	239.33	96.67	57.33	1	200	0.01	0.19	42.67	5.23	0.62
Site 13 (Akatesani)	106	122.07	85,446	4.84	0.01	0.89	2	478.33	338	140.33	135.33	34	1	200	0.04	0.02	64.33	3.73	0.23
Site 14 (Kaaro)	71.33	184.4	129,080	133.33	5.25	0.63	2	582.67	279	303.67	111.67	73	1	200	0.03	0.78	16.33	0.01	0.01
Site 15 (Rutooma)	52.67	117.33	81,923	138	4.96	3.9	2	501.67	244.67	257	98	61.33	1	200	0.01	0.46	24.67	3.43	0.03
Site 16 (Rutooma)	119. 33	125.57	87,896	12.67	0.42	4.76	2	469.33	255.67	213.67	102.33	51.33	1	200	0.01	0.01	44.67	1.8	0.01
Site 17 (Rutooma)	81	137.27	96,086	30.67	5.58	3.42	2	531	169.67	361.33	68	86.67	1	200	0.01	0.26	37.33	0.01	0.56
								Lowes	st reaches (irri	gable areas)									
Site 18 (Kamwosya)	120.67	108.57	75,997	12.7	1.71	2	2	483.33	213.33	270	85.33	64.67	1	200	0.01	0.12	43.33	1.5	0.71
Site 19 (Kaburara)	189.33	161.97	113,376	3.38	0.01	0.66	2	488.33	220	268.33	88	64.33	1	200	0.01	0.03	44.67	0.01	0.01
Site 20 (Nyampikye)	32	127.83	89,483	2.03	0.01	7.18	2	444	215.67	228.33	86	54.67	1	200	0.01	0.08	56	2.17	0.01
Site 21 (Nyampikye)	38.67	112.36	76,648	8.96	0.13	2.7	2	596.67	314	282.67	125.33	67.67	1.67	200	4.44	0.01	94.67	0.38	0.01
Site 22 (Kagunga)	103	1.61	1,124	1	0.01	0.08	11.6	609	282	327	113	78	5	200	5	0.01	214	0.2	0.07
Site 23 (Kabumba)	51	70.4	49,280	1.72	0.09	2.45	2	722	258	464	103	111	1	200	7.8	0.01	113	1.54	0.88
Site 24 (Bwentare or	27	1/1 12	08 702	2 27	0.04	2.26	2	522.22	250	264.22	102.22	62.67	1	200	0.01	0.01	50.67	1 1 2	0.01
Rukuraijo)	57	141.15	96,793	5.57	0.04	2.20	2	522.55	238	204.55	105.55	05.07	T	200	0.01	0.01	59.07	1.15	0.01
In conformit	y to Nat	ional Standard	d k	Slight	ly Non-	Compliant	Sev	erely non-c	ompliant										

101

Point	Sediment description	Usage							
1	Mud and silt	Forest, watering animals							
2	Silt and mud, boulders from bridge	Forest, watering animal							
3	Silt and mud	Forest, watering animals							
4	Silt and mud	Agriculture with forest							
5	Silt, mud	Fishing, water for home use							
6	Silt, with pebbles of 4-20 mm	Agriculture, waragi/alcohol distilling							
7	Mad, silt /most times turbid all season	Agriculture							
8	Silt, mud with material	Agriculture, common swimming spot							
9	Silt, mud and some pebbles of 2-20 mm	Agriculture							
10	Silt, pebbles of 2-4 mm / turbid most times	Water for all use at home, agriculture							
11	Silt and gravel of 2-64 mm	Human consumption, agriculture							
12	Silt and gravel of 2-64 mm	Human consumption, agriculture							
13	Mud, silt / times turbid all season	Human consumption, agriculture							
14	Swamp/ farm	Agriculture							
15	mad and silt	agriculture, fishing							
16	Silt and gravel of 2-64 mm	Human consumption, agriculture							
17	Clay bottom	watering animal, human consumption							
18	Mud, silt and pebbles of 2-4 mm	Agriculture, forest							
19	Silt and pebbles of 4-20 mm	Forest, water for human consumption							
20	Silt and mud	Water alcohol distilling							
21	Mud and pebbles of 4-20 mm	Water for agriculture, animal raring							
22	Silt and mud	Forest, agriculture							
23	Mud with iron thus brown colour of water	Fishing, water for home use							
24	Mad with silt	Agriculture, watering animals							

Table 4-10: River Mishumba bed sediment description and key socioeconomic activities (landuse)



Figure 4-20: Site 14 (E234824, N9898362) with rooted emergent vegetation

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-21: Middle reaches of River Mishumba after point 14 at E234896, N9898285



Figure 4-22: Emergent and rooted vegetation between site 14 and 15



Figure 4-23: Vegetated pool of water created at site 24 (E234935, N9886429)



Figure 4-24: Collecting sediment samples at site 20 from the river bed at E236134, N9893128



Figure 4-25: Some of the regenerating and surviving vegetation at site 24 in the downstream at E234935, N9886429



Figure 4-26: Riparian vegetation in the downstream areas of the catchment at site 23

4.2.2 Plankton and Macroinvertebrates

4.2.2.1 Phytoplankton occurrence and abundance

Cyanophyta dominated the three (3) commonly encountered phyla in almost all sampling points in all reaches of the catchment during both sampling periods (Table 4-11). The total abundance of phytoplankton species was significantly higher (U = 117; p = 0.009) during the wet season (November) sampling than in the February (dry season). Among the Cyanophyta, the Microcystis spp., Planktolyngbya spp. and Anabaena spp. were the most dominant species throughout the catchment. The diatoms were the least abundant and were dominated by Nitzschia acicularis. There were no particularly significant patterns in the vertical or lateral distribution of phytoplankton but there was increasing abundance and dominance of Cyanophyta from upstream to downstream reaches of the catchment.

A higher numerical abundance of phytoplankton was observed in wet season sampling, when the abundance reached 1,005.29 cells/ml while in the dry season sampling the abundance was 919.33 cells/ml. Among the six-phytoplankton phyla found, Cyanophyta was the most abundant group in all the reaches of the catchment, followed by Chlorophyta and Bacillariophyta. The occurrence of Euglenophyta and Dinophyta was low and Chrysophyta had no representative species during both seasons in the samples for abundance data.

WET Season	Upstream	At and around	Immediate after	Downstream
	above dam site	the dam site	the downstream	of the dam site
Cyanophyta	28.57	44.07	68.75	69.23
Bacillariophyta	09.52	16.23	0.00	07.69
Chlorophyta	18.10	32.51	25.00	23.08
Dinophyta	03.81	03.24	0.00	0.00
Euglenophyta	07.62	02.22	0.00	0.00
Others	0.00	01.73	6.25	0.00
(unidentified)				
DRY Season	Upstream	At and around	Immediate after	Downstream
	above dam site	the dam site	the downstream	of the dam site
Cyanophyta	44.75	57.77	55.42	59.77
Bacillariophyta	18.70	05.48	16.01	06.48
Chlorophyta	30.23	35.05	28.57	33.05
Others (unidentified)	06.32	01.70	0.00	00.70

Table 4-11: The algal phyla encountered and unidentified in the Mishumba catchment during both wet and dry sampling periods

Species diversity of phytoplankton

In the rainy season, the Cyanophyta (mainly Microcystis spp. and Planktolyngbya spp.) was the most abundant group. However, a Chlorophyta, Spirogyra sp., was also fairly well represented. Chlorophyta was the most diverse group in both seasons and it was represented by a great number of species (8) followed by Bacillariophyta (3). There was no significant difference between the diversity indices in the two seasons (U = 11.3; p = 0.713).

4.2.2.2 Zooplankton and macro-invertebrate occurrence and abundance

Both wet and dry season samplings were dominated by rotifers followed by crustaceans, comprising mainly of cladocerans and cyclopoid and calanoid copepods. Also found within samplings for both wet and dry seasons were insect larvae. In total 11 species were identified; seven were rotifers; 2 were crustaceans; and two insect species. The most abundance of the zooplankton was during the wet season. In general, the zooplankton community of Mishumba catchment is dominated by rotifers and copepods. Among the copepods, cyclopoid copepods (adults, copepodites and nauplius larvae) were the most common group throughout the study period. The identified rotifers were Polyathra sp., Keratella sp., Brachionus sp, Proales sp., Euclanis sp., Ascomopha sp., and Lecane sp. in decreasing order of occurrence. Among crustaceans the two species identified were Cyclops oithonoides and Bosmina longirostris; and the insecta group was comprised of chaoborid species and Odonata sp. (Dragonflies) nymphs. A total of 3 species of molluscs were recorded in the Mishumba catchment; Melanoides sp.; Bellamya asp.; and Gabbia sp. The results on species richness, density and the distribution of molluscs increased from upstream reaches to downstream of the planned dam site. The benthic macro-invertebrates' abundance was composed mainly of Oligochaeta and Mollusca. Insecta groups contributed a very small portion but with increasing densities downstream.

4.2.2.3 Fisheries Ecology

The Baseline Study established that there are two categories of fishes, the limnophilic ones (those which prefer to live in lakes, ponds, marshes, pools or other slow moving, still or stagnant waters), which include tilapias, haplochromine species and mudfish (*Clarias carsonii*); and those that are rheophilic (prefers to live in fast-moving water), represented by African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and Victoria carp (*Labeo victorianus*). The rheophilic were found mainly occupying runs and ripples in the main channel of the river and were associated with the breeding and nursing processing, whereas the limnophilic occupied mainly the pool waters and closer to the adjoining vegetation and or swamps, and were apparently associated with feeding and nursing ecological processes. In case of *Victoria carp* and *African catfish*, they were found mainly in points that were upstream, while for tilapias and haplochromines were found close to the flooded sandy and vegetated plains (downstream). In the limnophilic specie habitats, the flow was moderate to low; while in the rheophilic species habitats the river flow was relatively moderate to fast.

Resident fish species in the study area: The fish fauna of River Mishumba basin has hardly been studied previously, and this is among the few attempts known at describing and understanding the fish resources of this river catchment. The findings of this study show that the area has fishes that are typical of Lake Victoria basin with both native and introduced fish species. The studies have identified 7 species belonging to 5 genera with the fifth being the complex haplochromine group (**Table** 4-12). Upstream sites had on average 10 cm of water depth in the dry season and 30 cm in the wet season. Fish were seen and captured both in riffles and runs, especially those

that were vegetated with rooted emergent aquatic plants. This probably due to the need for shelter or break of the water currents for fish to feed and carry out other life processes.

Most importantly, River Mishumba was found to contain species such as Singida tilapia and Ningu and also species of the haplochromines complex, all of which are relics of Lake Victoria basin.

These species were mainly found in the lower parts of the catchment (downstream of irriagable area) towards R. Kagera. They were captured at about 12 km further downstream of the Mishumba and Chezho biggest confluence towards the estuary of R. Mishumba and Kagera which are deeper water areas (after both the dam and irrigable areas). The existence of Ningu in Uganda is common also in other water bodies. It is also important to note that the resultant planned management of the flow regimes allows for the fast flow during the onset of wet season for the breeding of the rheophilic species especially Victoria carp that may venture from River Kagera into lower sections of River Mishumba.

The juveniles of Ningu and African catfish were found in all reaches occupying mainly the riffles and runs, while tilapiine species were found in the pools far after the dam site and lower reaches. Other fishes recorded include Tilapia zillii known locally as Zilli or Kadugara; Oreochromis leucostictus, Nile tilapia (O. niloticus) that together with Kadugara were introduced from Lake Albert together. During the present fish survey 129 fish specimens belonging to 5 genera and representing 7 different species were collected from only 6 sampling sites out of 24 sites in the River Mishumba catchment (Table 4-12). This is because most of the river sections in the upstream are shallow and have no vegetation cover to favour the stay of fish. The other 18 sites were all sampled but no fish was caught in these sites for various reasons especially the level of human disturbance, water quality, low level water flow (volume and or current) and physical make-up of the channel. The more fish caught during the November 2020 survey is attributed to high water levels and probably breeding activity compared to the February 2021 survey. A panel of gillnets of 1", 1.5", 2.5" and 3" mesh sizes as well as local basket traps were used. Records of length-weight measurements of fish taken at all sampling sites are presented in Table 4-12, and photographs of voucher specimens of representative species are in Figure 4-27 to Figure 4-32. The catches were dominated by clariids, followed Ningu then tilapiines. There are non-known previous records of fisheries resources. The affinity for fast flowing water for juveniles of Ningu and African catfish means they will be central in setting and monitoring of the e-flows.



Figure 4-27: Subadults of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and fingerlings of Ningu (*Labeo victorianus*) caught at sampling site 14

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-28: A mixture of tilapiine species fish from R. Mishumba in a market at Kyabaganda (salted, sun dried and smoked)



Figure 4-29: Oreochromis leucostictus or Mbiru introduced from Lake Albert in the 1930s



Figure 4-30: Juveniles of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) captured at Site 11.



Figure 4-31: A fingerling of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)



Figure 4-32: African catfish (Clarias carsonii) found with eggs

Table 4-12: Fishes of River Mishumba catchment

Site	Species	Genera	Local	Age	Conservation	TL	SL	Body	No. fish	Temp	Water flow
			name	status	status	(cm)	(cm)	depth (cm)	caught		rate (m/s)
3	Victoria carp	Labeo	Ningu	Fingerling	Critically	2.8	2.3	0.6			
	(Labeo victorianus)			Fingerling	Endangered	2.5	2.0	0.6			
				Fingerling		1.8	1.6	0.5	06	20.000	0.56
				Fingerling		2.3	2.0	0.8	00	20.0 °C	0.50
				Fingerling		2.1	1.9	0.8	-		
				Fingerling		2.0	1.8	0.8	-		
6	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Fingerling	Least Concern	8.9	8.0	0.8			
	(Clarias gariepinus)			Sub-adult		10.2	9.3	1.1			
				Sub-adult		10.0	8.9	1.1		22.7	
				Sub-adult		9.7	8.9	0.8	07	°C	
				Sub-adult		10.3	9.2	1.2	-		
				Sub-adult		10.8	9.7	1.1			
				Sub-adult		11.0	9.3	1.4			
8	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult		10.0	9.0	1.5	05	20.0°C	0.57
	(Clarias gariepinus)										
					Least concern						

	African catfish			Sub-adult		10.0	9.0	1.3			
	(Clarias gariepinus)	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult	Least concern	10.0	9.0	1.4			
	Albert tilapia		Mbiru	Sub-adult	Least concern	8.0	7.0	1.0	05		
	(Oreochromis	Oreochromis		Sub-adult		8.0	7.0	1.1	01		
	leucosticiusj			Fingerling		4.0	3.5	0.1			
	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Adult	Least concern	18.0	17.0	2.3		-	
	(Clarias gariepinus)								03		
10	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult	Least concern	9.1	8.1	1.1			
	(Clarias gariepinus) African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult	Least concern	9.0	8.0	1.1	03	22.3	0.26
	(Clarias gariepinus)			Adult	-	33.4	27.5	4.5		°C	
									04		
11	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Adult	Least concern	31.6	27.3	3.8			
	(Clarias gariepinus)	Tilapia	Kadugara	Adult	Least concern	33.8	28.2	4.2			
	Tilapia zilli	(Coptodon)		Adult	-	33.5	28.1	3.3	04	22.6	
	(Coptodon zilli)			Adult		12.0	8.9	3.2	01	°C	
13	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult	Least concern	11.3	10.1	1.2		23.2	0.78
	(Clarias gariepinus)								03	°C	
15	African catfish	Clarias	Mmale	Sub-adult	Least concern	9.2	8.3	1.1			
	(Clarias gariepinus)	Clarias	Nsonzi		Least concern				03		
	Mudfish								01		
	(Clarias carsonii)									23.2	0.66
										°C	
				Sub-adult		9.1	8.0	1.0			

				Adult		15.0	12.0	3.0			
	Tilapia zilli	Tilapia	Kadugara	Adult	Least concern	16.0					
	(Coptodon zilli)	(Coptodon)									
	Redbelly tilapia	Coptodon	Kadugara	Adult	Least concern	18.0	17.0	8.0	01		
	(Coptodon zilli)										
24	Singida tilapia	Oreochromis	Ngege	Sub-adult	Endangered	4.5	4.0	1.3			
	(Oreochromis										
	esculentus)								06		
										24.0°C	0.26
						4.5	1.0	1.2			
	Singida tilapia	Oreochromis	Ngege	Sub-adult	Endangered	4.5	4.0	1.3			
	(Oreochromis		Kadugara		Least concern						
	esculentus)								06		
	(Cantadan silli)										
	(Coptodon ziiii)										
									36		
				Sub-adult		5.2	4.8	1.7			
				Fingerling		4.0	3.5	1.1			
				Fingerling		3.5	3.0	0.1			
						4.0	3.5	1.1			
		Tilapia		Adult							
		(Coptodon)									

4.2.2.3.1 Conservation and ecological status of endangered and threatened species

According to **Table** 4-12 above, this study also found the occurrence of Victoria carp (*Labeo victorianus*) locally known as Ningu, and Singida tilapia (*Oreochromis esculentus*) formally locally known as Ngege, a name taken over by its "sister" species that is ecologically more versatile (can inhabit a range of habitats), *Oreochromis niloticus* commonly known as Nile tilapia. The Victoria carp seasonally migrates from L. Victoria and its estuary with R. Kagera to upstream areas of Kagera. These include the nearby swamps e.g., the downstream flood plains area of Mishumba i.e., sampling point 24 (after irrigable area) and its estuary with R. Kagera to breed at times of high rains. The fish then goes back for feeding via R. Kagera to L. Victoria at times of low rains.

The young follow after but a number a left behind due to late hatching and the decreasing water flow and levels in the upstream channels. This species is still found in nearly all adjoining inflowing rivers to Lake Victoria but with River Kagera being the major conduit between Lake Victoria and breeding sites which are spread throughout number of adjoin streams and rivers to the Kagera system. Singida tilapia, once the mainstay of fisheries in Lake Victoria Region, economically collapsed in the 1970s in Lake Victoria region and was relegated mainly to minor water bodies and rivers in the basin. *The finding in River Mishumba was limited to very few individuals occupying mainly poddles and pools of water close to naturally vegetated swampy areas further downstream towards river Kagera (after sampling point 24) where project activities will not be implemented.*

There were no significant stocks or populations or numbers of these species in the catchment. This is linked especially to the high disturbed nature of the catchment with a lot of unnatural socio-economic activities in the catchment, especially agricultural, forestry and mining activities. Indeed again, the chance of continued existence of Singida tilapia in Mishumba catchment will be enhanced and assured with a managed water and agricultural production system, especially if certain areas close to swamps that allow for deep water that can stay through dry season can be designated as special conservation areas for this species in River Mishumba.

4.2.3 Vegetation and Flora

4.2.3.1 Vegetation and Land use/cover

Kabuyanda irrigation scheme project area is largely covered by modified vegetation. Some of the valleys are traversed by rivers for example river Mishumba and some tributaries while others are seasonal swamps with no water flowing in the dry seasons. These swamps are covered with typical wetland plant species mainly of the genera *Cyperus* and *Typha*. Within swamps are patches of bogs and bare ground which resulted from the after effects of the flush floods which washed away vegetation when the water levels considerably rose in the upstream of river Mishumba. However, the slopes and the hills were covered by fields of cultivation. The entire section of the project area in Rwoho CFR is covered by plantations of *Eucalyptus* spp., *Pinus* spp. and *Grevillia Robusta*. The rest of the project area is in fields of cultivation under subsistence farming of food crops mainly *Coffea* sp. (coffee), *Musa* spp. (bananas), *Zea mays* (maize), among others. **Table** 4-13 shows the state of all the terrestrial and aquatic points that were provided for survey as of November 2020 in form of vegetation type, dominant species and total species richness.

Table 4-13: Terrestrial	and aquatic survey	points with	vegetation o	description,	dominant f	lora a	nd
species richness							

Way	Coordinates	Altitude	Vegetation description	Dominant flora	Species
point		(m)			richness
1	S0 51.769	1388	Cyperus-Persicaria	Cyperus denudatus	46
	E30 36.200		riverine vegetation with	Cyperus exaltatus	
			Eucalyptus spp. woodland	Persicaria setosula	
			on the hill slopes of		
			Rwoho CFR		
2	S0 52.685	1376	Cyperus-Persicaria-	Cyperus denudatus	61
	E30 35.923		vegetation in Rwoho CFR	Persicaria setosula	-
				Cyperus difformis	
3	S0 53.620	1372	Cyperus riverine	Eucalyptus sp.	46
	E30 35.849		vegetation and bushed		
			fallow in valley with	Cyperus papyrus	
			Eucalyptus sp and Pinus		
			CFR		
4	S0 53.797	1399	Lepistemone-Commelina	Lepistemon owariense	49
	E30 35.057		wetland fallow derived	Acanthus polystachius	
			from Cyperus-Typha-	Acalypha ornata	
			Ludwigia riverine		
			vegetation; This is in the		
			middle of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp		
			woodland of Rwoho CFR		
5	S0 54.065	1377	Eucalyptus sp woodland	Eucalyptus sp.	
	E30 35.140		in a swamp	Oxalis corniculata	49
				Neonotonia wightii	
6	SO 54.098	1348	Cyperus riverine	Cyperus denudatus	84
	E30 35.771		vegetation and bushed	Cyperus papyrus	
			fallow in valley with	Persicaria setosula	
			Eucalyptus sp and Pinus		
			sp on hill slopes in Rwoho		
7	<u> </u>	1074	CFR Commune Deficienties	Deidelie wiewenthe	
/	50 54.816	1374	Cyperus – Bridelia -	Bridella micrantha	40
	L30 33.022		vegetation with natch of	Eucalyptus sp.	49
			Fucalyptus woodland	iveonotonia wightii	
8	S0 54.511	1340	<i>Cyperus</i> wetland in vallev	Cyperus denudatus	
	E30 36.040		with Musa-Coffea-	Cyperus papyrus	39
			gardens contiguous with	Leersia hexandra	
			<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp woodland		
			in Rwoho CFR		
9		1369		Typha capensis	

	S0 54.033		Typha-Cyperus-	Melanthera scandens	42
	E30 40.408		Melanthera streamline	Cyperus dives	
			vegetation with		
			moderately bushed		
			grassland patch		
10	SO 54.882	1328	Cyperus-Melanthera-	Cyperus dives	60
	E30 39.362		Ludwigia riverine	Melanthera scandens	
			vegetation with	Ludwigia abyssinica	
			Eucalyptus-Caffea Garden		
			on hill slopes		
11 -	S0 52.783	1377	Cyperus-Typha riverine	Cyperus dives	27
РМ	E30 39.097		vegetation	Typha capensis	_
				Persicaria senegalensis	
11 -	SO 52.680	1376	Cyperus-Typha streamline	Cyperus denudatus	37
РК	E30 38.972		vegetation in valley with	Typha capensis	
			Musa-Coffea gardens on hill slopes	Leersia hexandra	
12	S0 54.742	1323	Eucalyptus sp woodland	<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp	70
	E30 38.630		with patches of Sorghum-	Commelina benghalensis	_
			Ipomoea gardens through	Leersia hexandra	
			which passes a stream		
13	SO 55.395	1291	Typha-Cyclosorus-Cyperus	Typha capensis	
	E30 37.864		riverine vegetation	Cyclosorus interraptus	61
			fringed by <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp plantation	Cyperus exaltatus	
14	S0 54.886	1334	<i>Cyperus</i> riverine	Cyperus dives	25
	E30 36.823		vegetation with	Cyperus denudatus	-
			Eucalyptus sp woodland	Cyperus difformis	-
			on hill slopes		
15	S0 55.605	1322	Cyperus riverine	Cyperus dives	58
	E30 37.669		vegetation with patches	Persicaria setosula	
			of bare ground and short	Senna dedymobotria	
			bushes		
16	SO 55.923	1313	Cyperus-Typha-Persicaria	Cyperus denudatus	37
	E30 38.287		riverine vegetation with	Typha capensis	_
			patches of cultivated	Cyperus exaltatus	
			Eucalyptus sp and Zea		
17	S0 55.897	1307	<i>Cyperus</i> community	Cyperus denudatus	58
	E30 38.187	-	surrounding open water	Cyperus exaltatus	
			patch	Cyperus dives	-
18	S0 56.575	1314	<i>Cyperus</i> riverine	Cyperus exaltatus	
	E30 38.373		vegetation	Cyperus dives	46
				Cyperus denudatus	
19		1307		Cyperus denudatus	42
1	1	1307	1	cyperus aeriadatus	

	S0 57.205 E30 38.322		Cyperus-Typha-Leersia– Cynodon riverine vegetation	Typha capensis Leersia hexandra	-
20	S0 57.959 E30 37.734	1300	<i>Typha – Leersia - Cyperus</i> riverine vegetation with patches of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. and a grassland	Typha capensis Leersia hexandra Cyperus dives	91
21	S0 59.338 E30 37.407	1286	<i>Typha</i> riverine vegetation with patches of <i>Eucalyptus</i> sp. woodlots	Typha capensis Eucalyptus sp. Cynodon dactylon	63
22	S0 59.681 E30 37.467	1272	<i>Typha</i> riverine vegetation; There are fish ponds surrounded by <i>Cynodon</i> <i>dactylon</i>	Typha capensis Cyclosorus interraptus Cynodon dactylon	41
23	S1 00.716 E30 37.241	1268	Typha-Cyclosorus-Leersia riverine vegetation	Typha capensis Cyclosorus interraptus Leersia hexandra	40
24	S1 01.589 E30 37.088	1263	Typha – Leersia - Persicaria riverine vegetation with fields of cultivation	Typha capensis Leersia hexandra Persicaria decipiens	84



Figure 4-33: Location of terrestrial and aquatic survey points with flora species richness

4.2.3.2 Conservation areas

Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR), covering 9,000 ha, is managed by the National Forestry Authority (NFA), on behalf of the Government of Uganda (GoU) according to the National Forestry and Tree Planting Act 8/2003. The reserve lies on top of a large flat-topped ridge whose external boundary runs 50 km of about 9 km follow streams while 41 km is maintained as a planted cutline of the exotic trees and crops under subsistence agriculture. The reserve is classified as a plantation development forest as per the Forest Management Plan for Bugamba and Rwoho Central Forest Reserves (2012-2022). Rwoho CFR is largely degraded and partially restored with non-indigenous species (*Pinus caribaea, Pinus ocarpa* and *Eucalyptus* sp.). Planting of conifer species started in 1964. In the area under reforestation, NFA had a *taungya* farming arrangement, where licensed tree farmers were also allowed to grow crops (mainly beans, maize, Irish potatoes, millet) during tree project establishment.

Langdale-Brown *et al.* (1964) reported that Rwoho was characterised by two vegetation communities: i) the D3 medium-altitude *Albizia-Markhamia* forest covering 45 km² (50%) and ii) the Q4 *Themeda-Chloris* grass savanna constituting the other half (45 km²). The Rwoho and Kijanabolola Forest Reserves Biodiversity Report prepared in 1993 described the vegetation of the forest reserve as grass savanna together with original native vegetation characterized by medium altitude moist semi-deciduous *Markamia* forest. However, the 2006 Forest Management Plan reported that "all trees in the valleys were highly degraded and surviving ones were small and of poor quality and that natural regeneration would be hard". Degradation was attributed to its close proximity to communities and easy access by people from all sides.

4.2.3.3 Land use and disturbances

Upstream of the project area and a section covered by Rwoho CFR was generally covered by agricultural landscapes existing as plantations of trees mainly *Eucalyptus* spp which were in some areas mixed with *Pinus* spp. Outside Rwoho CFR, the points were in subsistence agriculture areas with crops such as coffee, bananas and maize being cultivated on a larger scale than others. There were some sections with pasture lands which had indication of trampling by grazers and in some of these cattle and goats were sighted grazing on the *Cynodon dactylon* and *Brachiaria* spp which dominated cover in such areas. Thus, agriculture was the major form of land use but also disturbance in Kabuyanda irrigation scheme project area. Additionally, apiculture, distillation of local brew, tree felling and charcoal burning were other forms of disturbance recorded in the project area. **Table** 4-14 gives the different forms of disturbance that were recorded at each survey point and **Figure** 4-34 provides pictorial views of the different forms of disturbance.

		Form of disturbance							
Point	Plantation	Subsistence cultivation	Fish farming	Grazing	Timber extraction	Charcoal burning	Apiculture	Local brew distillation	Settlement
1	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
2	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
3	+				+		+		

Table 4-14: Disturbances and their location

4	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
5	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-
7	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
9	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 - A	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
11 - B	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
12	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
14	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-
15	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-
16	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
17	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
18	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
19	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Key: + Present; - Absent





Figure 4-34: Some of the land uses and disturbances that were recorded in the project area

4.2.3.4 Species richness and percentage cover

A total of 247 plant species from 122 genera belonging to 51 families were recorded from all the surveyed areas. Although heavily impacted with cultivation activities, the general area was diverse with a Shannon diversity index of 4.41. In terms of growth habit, most of the species recorded were herbaceous plants with 48.4% followed by shrubs 20.8% and trees were the least with 7.6% (**Figure** 4-35). The low percentage of trees and shrubs was probably due to cutting down of natural forests that were replaced with exotic plantations of *Eucalyptus* sp. and *Pinus* sp.



Figure 4-35: Species richness by growth habit

Plant species with the highest spatial coverage were *Typha capensis* (22%), *Cyperus denudatus* (20.3%), *Cyperus dives* (16.6%), *Leersia hexandra* (11.5%), *Persicaria setosula* (9.6%), *Cynodon dactylon* (9.5%) and *Cyperus exaltatus* (9.4%). With the exception of *C. dactylon*, all the rest were typical wetland species and this emphasized the dominance of wetland species cover in the

valleys of the project area although the general land cover is dominated by fields of cultivation. Plant species that were most frequently encountered and were recorded from at least 15 of the 24 study points were *Persicaria setosula* (27.5%), *Commelina benghalensis* (79.2%), *Cyperus dives* (75%), *Ludwigia abyssinica* (75%), *Ageratum conyzoides* (75%), *Bidens pilosa* (70.8%), *Cyperus denudatus* (66.7%), *Leersia hexandra* (66.7%), *Cynodon dactylon* (66.7%), *Achyranthes aspera* (66.7%) and *Clerodendrum johnstonii* (62.5%). From this list, *Achyranthes aspera*, *Ageratum conyzoides*, *Bidens pilosa* and *Commelina benghalensis* were weeds of cultivation, an indicator of cultivation extending into swamps.

4.2.3.5 Invasive plant species

Two invasive species of plants, *Lantana camara (Plate B)* and *Mimosa pigra (A)*, were encountered at several points in the proposed project area (**Figure** 4-36). *Lantana camara* is an invasive species of terrestrial ecosystems and had already established flowering individuals at some of the points that were surveyed. *Mimosa pigra* is an invasive species of permanent swamps and because of this, these species have potential to invade different in the project area although *M. pigra* was recorded at fewer points compared to *Lantana camara*. In the proposed project area, native flora in terrestrial areas is threatened by *L. camara* while the wetland sections are threatened by *M. pigra*.





Invasive species become a menace after introduction from their native range to new areas where conditions favour them to flourish and take over the natives (Davis 2009). They also flourish due to disturbances that alter plants cover in a particular area (Klinger & Brooks 2009) and they significantly change the ground cover. After establishment the area now has novel species and consequently habitats. Due to their great dispersal characteristics, high tolerance to unsuitable conditions, large reproductive capacities and high growth rates, invasive species easily overtake the natives. Changes at individual and at ecosystem level render native species and habitats unsuitable for many species thus altering population growth, disturbance regimes and geomorphology (Davis 2009).

In the ESIA Report, 22 species are reported as invasive species. This wide variation is due to the fact that during the ESIA, nearly all weeds of cultivation such as *Bidens pilosa, Tagetes minuta,*

Melinis repens and many others, were listed as "invasive species". In this study, the focus was on the Alien Invasive Species.

4.2.3.6 Conservation status of plant species

Of the 247 plant species recorded, only 34 species representing 13.8% have been assessed by (IUCN 2021) and the rest are not yet assessed. All the assessed species were considered globally Least Concern (LC). Thus, no plant species considered as threatened, endemic or rare and would require special conservation concern according to IUCN was recorded within the project area.

4.2.3.7 Monitoring indicators

Monitoring will have to be done covering all survey points and particularly the actual footprint of the project. The parameters recommended for monitoring are:

- a. Species richness and diversity
- b. Species abundance (cover and density)
- c. Changes in the dominance patterns of permanent survey plots (Table 30)
- d. Invasive species presence, abundance and impact
- e. Ecosystem health of the reserved habitats
- *f.* Habitat recovery after restoration

4.2.3.8 Comparison of findings with the earlier ESIA study

The 2019 ESIA described the vegetation as being largely modified, as also revealed by the present study. The mainly subsistence cultivation reported in the earlier ESIA was still evident at the time of this study as most of the area was covered by agricultural landscapes. The present study also reports grazing, timber extraction, charcoal burning and settlements, indicating worse levels of degradation than was the case in 2019.

As reported, in the project ESIA (2019), there still was only one protected area, Rwoho Central Forest Reserve. Though a protected area, this reserve was by far degraded, with the indigenous species replaced by the exotic *Eucalyptus*, *Pinus* and *Grevillia*, consistent with the 2019 ESIA findings.

The plant species reported in 2019 is 91 as compared to 247 recorded during the present study. Consistent with the 2019 findings, no species of conservation concern were revealed during this study. No globally or nationally threatened or range-restricted species of plant were recorded from the project area.

A total of 22 species of plants in the 2019 ESIA Report were reported as having been invasive species, as opposed to only two from the present study. This wide variation is due to the fact that during the ESIA, nearly all weeds of cultivation such as *Bidens pilosa*, *Tagetes minuta*, *Melinis repens* and many others, were listed as "invasive species". In this study, the focus was on the Alien Invasive Species which are not disputable, and hence raises no contention and confusion.

4.2.4 Fauna

4.2.4.1 Literature review

Review of the project documents and other publications shows that the first survey of the project area was conducted in November 2017 when the detailed ESIA survey was being conducted. The survey focused on the entire project area, namely; the proposed dam sites, the area to be

inundated by the dam, downstream of river Mishumba and the general irrigation command area. For fauna the survey focused on Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds and Mammals. Butterflies and dragon flies were not included in the survey. During the survey twelve (12) Amphibian species were recorded in the project area. Eleven (11) were frogs while one was a toad. Eight (8) reptile species were recorded; two (2) skinks, one (1) lizard, one (1) gecko, one (1) chameleon and three (3) snakes. A total of 53 bird species were recorded in six (6) general areas where the surveys were conducted.

During the ESIA surveys, interviews with members of the local community revealed that large mammals reportedly used to occur in the area. Those that used to occur included the Hyrax, Olive Baboon and Side-Stripped Jackals. The report also indicates that lions, stripped jackals and leopards used to roam the areas up to early 1980s. However, due to increased conflicts with mainly cattle keepers in the area, the large carnivorous mammal groups (especially leopards and lions) were reportedly killed by the cattle keepers and do not exist in the project area today. The common species of mammals are largely small mammals and only five (5) small mammal species were recorded.

The second survey was done in March 2018 and in the survey, the research teams undertook additional survey of biota (herptiles, fish and other aquatic biota, mammals and birds) and hydrological surveys for environmental flow (amount of water to be left flowing in river for sustenance of biota life). The survey focused on sampling for biota within stretch downstream of the proposed dam site on River Mishumba. Crawling creatures (amphibians and reptiles that could be affected by reduced water flow were assessed. Eight (8) Amphibian species and one reptile species (Striped Skink *Trachylepis striata*) were recorded in the stretch.

No new species record for amphibians and reptiles were documented.

The third survey which took place in 2019, focused on surveying for fauna in the area to be inundated by the dam and area to be restored as an off-set for the project. The survey looked at butterflies, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals. During the third survey, 4 butterfly, 9 amphibian, 6 reptiles, 45 bird and 10 mammal species were recorded.

Species recorded during the 3rd survey not previously recorded in earlier surveys include; 1 reptile (a snake; Puff Adder *Bitis arietans*) and 5 mammals (White Tailed Mongoose *Ichneumia albicauda*, African Civet *Civettictis civetta*, Blue Monkey *Cercopithecus mitis*, Red Tailed Monkey *Cercopithecus ascanius* and Vervet Monkey *Chlorocebus pygerythrus*).

Overall, the following information is known on the fauna groups in the project area:

4.2.4.1.1 Butterflies

A total of 103 butterfly species were recorded for Rwoho CFR during the biodiversity inventory surveys that were carried out by then Forest Department with support from European Union. Some of the species known to occur in the project area include; Scalloped Sailer (*Neptidopsis ophine*), Soldier Commodore Junonia Tereo, Common Joker (*Byblia ilithyia*) and small whites Dixeia orbona.

4.2.4.1.2 Amphibians

Document review reveals that twelve (12) amphibian species are known to occur in the project area, they include Striped Leaf-folding Frog *Afrixalus quadrivittatus*, Cinnamon-bellied Reed Frog *Hyperolius cinnamomeoventris*, Kivu Reed Frog *Hyperolius kivuensis*, Common Reed Frog *Hyperolius viridiflavus*, Dwarf Puddle Frog *Phrynobatrachus mababiensis*, Natal Puddle Frog *Phrynobatrachus natalensis*, Lake Victoria Clawed Frog *Xenopus victorianus*, Anchieta's Rocket Frog Ptychadena anchietae, Mascarene Rocket Frog *Ptychadena mascareniensis*, Senegal Kassina *senegalensis*, Flat-backed Toad *Bufo maculatus* and Angola River Frog *Amietia angolensis*.

4.2.4.1.3 Reptiles

Document review indicate that ten reptile species are known to occur in the project area. They include Montane Side-striped Chameleon *Chamaeleo ellioti*, Olive House Snake *Lamprophis olivaceus*, Forest Cobra *Naja melanoleuca*, Tropical House Gecko *Hemidactylus mabouia*, Olive Marsh Snake *Natriciteres olivacea*, Central African python *sebae*, Speckled-lipped Skink *Trachylepis maculilabris*, Striped Skink *Trachylepis striata*, Nile Monitor *Varanus niloticus*, and Puff Adder *Bitis arietans*.

4.2.4.1.4 Birds

The 2019 ESIA survey report provides a list of bird species (45 in number) encountered during the survey. The Rwoho Central Forest Reserve Biodiversity inventory reports a total of sixty-three (63) bird species encountered during the biodiversity inventory for the reserve.

4.2.4.1.5 Mammals

Ten mammal species have been documented to occur in the project area and include; African Civet *Civettictis civetta*, White Tailed Mongoose *Ichneumia albicauda*, Short-snouted Elephant shrew *Elephantulus brachyrhynchus*, Red Tailed Monkey *Cercopithecus Ascanius*, Blue Monkey *Cercopithecus mitis*, Olive Baboon *Papio Anubis*, Vervet Monkey *Chlorocebus pygerythrus*, Hinde's Rock Rat *Aethomys hindei*, Striped Grass Mouse *Lemniscomys striatus and* House Rat *Rattus rattus*. The survey team worked to further enrich the survey findings for the butterflies, amphibians, reptiles, avifauna and mammal fauna; as well as undertake a survey for dragonflies.

4.2.4.2 Field Sampling Survey Results

4.2.4.2.1 Butterflies

4.2.4.2.1.1 Species Richness

In Uganda, some 1245 species of butterflies have been recorded (Davenport, 1993) from a variety of habitats. Twenty-two (22) species of butterflies were recorded in Mishumba catchment during dry season visit (**Table** 4-15). The species comprise five (5) families and fifteen (15) genera (**Figure** 4-37). During the wet season survey, the butterfly taxa was not considered and there is no wet season data to compare with. The only available butterfly data surveyed specifically for this project around the dam area and areas to be inundated by the dam in the upstream recorded four (4) butterfly species. Those recorded include Scalloped Sailer *Neptidopsis ophine*, Soldier commodore Junonia tereo, Common Joker *Byblia ilithyia* and small whites *Dixeia orbona*. Butterflies appear at certain times during the year, some at the on-set of the wet season.

Table 4-15: List of butterfly species encountered during Dry Season

Families	Species recorded	No. counted	IUCN Red List
		dry season	status
Hesperiidae	Borbo fatuellus Foolish Swift	5	Least Concern
Lycaenidae	Zizula hylax Tiny Grass Blue	8	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Acraea uvui Tiny Acraea	3	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Danaus chrysippus African Queen	12	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Hypolimnas misippus Diadem	2	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Junonia oenone Blue Pansy	8	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Junonia Sophia Little Commodore	14	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Junonia stygia Brown Pansy	2	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Neptidopsis ophione Scalloped Sailer	23	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Precis archesia Garden Inspector	8	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Precis octavia Gaudy Commodore	3	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Precis rauana Forest Commodore	34	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Pseudacraea Lucretia False Diadem	8	Least Concern
Nymphalidae	Vanessa cardui Painted Lady	2	Least Concern
Papilionidae	Papilio demodocus Citrus Swallowtail	1	Least Concern
Papilionidae	Papilio echeriodes White-banded Swallowtail	1	Least Concern
Papilionidae	Papilio nireus Narrow Blue-banded Swallow tail	4	Least Concern
Pieridae	<i>Belenois aurota</i> Brown Veined White	5	Least Concern
Pieridae	Belenois creona Common White	3	Least Concern
Pieridae	Catopsilia florella African Migrant	27	Least Concern
Pieridae	Eronia leda Orange-and-lemon	10	Least Concern
Pieridae	Eurema desjaridinsi Angled Grass	16	Least Concern
	Yellow		



Figure 4-37: Diversity of Butterfly species in the study area

4.2.4.2.1.2 Species Relative abundance

Three (3) species of butterflies were relatively common during the dry season sampling. They included Forest Commodore *Precis rauana*, African Migrant *Catopsilia florella* and Scalloped Sailer *Neptidopsis ophione* for which 34, 27 and 23 individuals respectively were counted. Citrus Swallowtail *Papilio demodocus* and White-banded Swallowtail *Papilio echeriodes* were the least abundant relative to all the species registered. One individual for each species was counted during the dry season sampling.

4.2.4.2.1.3 Species conservation status

None of the butterfly species recorded during the additional survey were of conservation significance basing on the 2019 IUCN Red list of threatened species. The species are categorized as Least Concern.





Figure 4-38: some Butterfly species encountered during the additional survey

4.2.4.2.2 Dragonflies

4.2.4.2.2.1 Species Richness

Scientists consider dragonflies reliable bioindicators of the health of an ecosystem. This is because dragonflies require stable oxygen levels and clean water. Uganda lies between two important biogeographical regions. This combined with its extensive swamps and forest areas, has a high number of dragonfly species. Uganda has 231 species of dragonflies. Eight (8) species were recorded in the project area (**Table** 4-16).

Southern Banded Groundling *Brachythemis leucosticta* is one of Africa's most familiar odonates species. Red-veined Dropwing Trithemis *arteriosa*, is one of Africa's most widespread dragonfly and Julia Skimmer *Orthetrum Julia* is one of the commonest dragonflies. The species that were encountered represent two families and seven genera (**Figure** 4-39). However, no dragonfly data was collected in the wet season. Only the dry season data set was collected. An earlier survey of the damming area and areas to be inundated by the dam, recorded a total of five dragonflies.

Families	Species Recorded	No. Counted	IUCN Red List
		Dry Season	Status
Coenagrionidae	Pseudagrion hageni Painted Sprite	35	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Brachythemis leucosticta Southern	5	Least Concern
	Banded Groundling		
Libellulidae	Crocothemis erythraea Broad Scarlet	4	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Nesciothemis cf farinosa Eastern Blacktail	4	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Orthetrum Julia Julia Skimmer	50	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Palpopleura lucia Lucia Widow-	12	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Palpopleura portia Portia Widow	6	Least Concern
Libellulidae	Trithemis arteriosa Red-veined Dropwing	6	Least Concern

	-					
Table 4-16	Dragonflies	recorded in	the nro	iect area	during	the survey
	Drugonnics	recoraca m	the pro	jeet area	uuring	the survey





4.2.4.2.2.2 Species Relative abundance

In the dry season the Julia Skimmer Orthetrum Julia registered the highest abundance relative to other dragonfly species. Fifty (50) individuals of the Julia Skimmer were encountered during the dry season survey. The Painted Sprite *Pseudagrion hageni* registered the second highest abundance relative to other species with thirty-five (35) individuals registered (**Table** 4-16). The Eastern Blacktail *Nesciothemis cf farinosa* and the Broad Scarlet *Crocothemis erythraea* had the lowest abundance relative to other species with one individual each recorded. Dragonflies were mainly recorded around rivers / streams, swamps, wet culverts, and seasonal floodplains.

4.2.4.2.2.3 Species conservation status

None of the dragonfly species recorded during the survey are of conservation importance. The species are listed as least concern (LC) by IUCN 2019 Red list of threatened species. Modification of the natural landscape through settlement and agricultural encroachment, and the subsequent alteration of water bodies by erosion and siltation, are some of the main threats to Odonata (a group of dragonflies) in Africa. Measures that avoid or minimize landscape transformation will go a long way in promoting dragonfly conservation (Dijkstra *et al.*, 2011).





Figure 4-40: Catalogue of some of the Dragon flies recorded in the project area

4.2.4.2.3 Amphibians

4.2.4.2.3.1 Species Richness

A total of Nine (9) amphibian species were recorded in the project area (**Table** 4-17). Recorded were all frogs. The recorded species represent 4 families and 5 genera (**Figure** 4-41). In terms of species richness, Family *Hyperoliidae* was the most represented with four species. Families Ptychadenidae and Phrynobatrachidae were represented with two species each. Family Pyxicephalidae was the least represented with one species. Amphibians were mainly recorded in areas that are moist especially around wetland areas and streams and wet culvert points.

All the four (4) families and all the five (5) genera were represented in the wet and dry seasons. This may be attributed to the fact that the project area is wet throughout the year due to the permanent rivers. However, only eight (8) species of amphibians were recorded during the wet season and seven (7) species of amphibians recorded during the dry season. The difference in species number between wet and dry season may be attributed to their activity time.

Family	Species Recorded	No. Counted Wet season	No. Counted Dry Season	IUCN Red List Status
Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius cinnamomeoventris Cinnamon-bellied Reed Frog	2		Least Concern
Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius kivuensis Kivu Reed Frog	1	1	Least Concern
Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius viridiflavus Common Reed Frog	1	1	Least Concern
Hyperoliidae	Kassina senegalensis Senegal Kassina	2		Least Concern
Phrynobatrachidae	Phrynobatrachus mabebiensis Dwarf Puddle Frog	25	11	Least Concern
Phrynobatrachidae	Phrynobatrachus natalensis Natal Puddle Frog	1	15	Least Concern

Table 4-17: Amphib	ian species rec	orded in the p	project area	during the survey
Ptychadenidae	Ptychadena	108	63	Least Concern /
----------------	------------------------	-----	-----	-----------------
	mascareniensis			Data Deficient
	Mascarene Rocket Frog			
Ptychadenidae	Ptychadena anchietae		26	Least Concern
	Anchieta's Rocket Frog			
Pyxicephalidae	Amietia angolensis	1	1	Least Concern /
	Angola River Frog			Data Deficient
Total		141	118	







Figure 4-42: Amphibian diversity representation in the wet and dry seasons

Six (6) species belonging to four families and four genera were recorded in both the wet and dry season (**Figure** 4-42). Two (2) species were recorded only in the wet season, while one (1) species was recorded only in the dry season. As already pointed out, the difference in species number between wet and dry season or registering presence of species in wet or dry season, may be attributed to their activity time.

Earlier studies in the project area, recorded five (5) amphibian species which were not encountered during the survey. The species include Kisolo Toad *Sclerophrys Kisoloensis*, Flatbacked Toad *Sclerophrys maculatus*, Sharp-nosed Ridged Frog *Ptychadena oxyrhynchus*, Striped Leaf-folding Frog *Afrixalus quadrivittatus* and *Xenopus victorianus* Lake Victoria Clawed Frog.

4.2.4.2.3.2 Species relative abundance

More individuals of amphibians were recorded during the wet season than during the dry season. One Hundred Forty-One (141) individuals were encountered during the wet season and only One Hundred Eighteen (118) individuals were encountered during the dry season.

The biggest contributor to the relative abundance in the wet season were Mascarene Rocket Frog *Ptychadena mascareniensis* contributing 108 individuals and Dwarf Puddle Frog *Phrynobatrachus mabebiensis* contributing twenty-five (25) individuals. The biggest contributor to the relative abundance in the dry season were Mascarene Rocket Frog *Ptychadena mascareniensis* and Anchieta's Rocket Frog *Ptychadena anchietae*. They contributed Sixty-three (63) and Twenty-six (26) individuals respectively. Species of genus *Ptychadena* are well known for their adaptive capacities and are therefore resilient to effects of degradation. This probably accounts for their high relative abundance during the dry season.

4.2.4.2.3.3 Species conservation status

Based on the IUCN Red List of threatened species 2019, none of the Amphibian species recorded during the survey and those earlier recorded are of conservation concern, all the species are globally listed as Least Concern (LC). Three species, the Mascarene Ridged Frog *Ptychadena mascareniensis*, Angola River Frog *Amietia angolensis* and the Striped Leaf-folding Frog *Afrixalus quadrivittatus* (recorded in earlier studies) are listed as Data Deficient (DD) by the National Red List for Uganda (WCS, 2016).



mascareniensis one of the most common mabebiensis species in project area

Figure 4-43: Catalogue of some of the Amphibians in the project area

4.2.4.2.4 Reptiles

4.2.4.2.4.1 Species richness

Four (4) reptile species were recorded during the additional field survey related to seasons (**Table** 4-18). They include One snake, two skinks and one Chameleon. The species represent three families and three genera (**Figure** 4-44). All the species were recorded during the wet season and only two (2) species recorded during the dry season. Two species were recorded both in the wet and dry season and include Speckled-lipped Skink *Trachylepis maculilabris* and Striped Skink *Trachylepis striata*.

From literature review, five reptile species exist in the area but were not encountered during the survey. The species include Olive Marsh Snake *Natriciteres olivacea*, Olive House Snake *Lamprophis olivaceus*, Puff Adder *Bitis arietans*, Nile Monitor *Varanus niloticus and* Speke's Hinged Tortoise *Kinixys spekii*.

Family	Species Present	No. Counted	No. Counted	IUCN Red
		Wet season	Dry Season	List Status
Chamaeleonidae	Chamaeleo ellioti Montane Side-	2		LC
	striped Chameleon			
Elapidae	Naja melanoleuca Forest Cobra	1		LC
Scincidae	Trachylepis maculilabris	3	2	LC
	Speckled-lipped Skink			
Scincidae	Trachylepis striata Striped Skink	5	3	LC

Table 4-18:	Reptile s	pecies	recorded	during	the surve	v
10010 1 201	neptile s	peeree				



Figure 4-44: Diversity of reptile Species encountered in the project area

4.2.4.2.4.2 Species relative abundance

More individuals of reptiles were recorded during the wet season than during the dry season. Eleven (11) individuals were encountered during the wet season while only five (5) individuals were encountered during the dry season. The skinks had the highest relative abundance compared to other species. The *Trachylepis striata* Striped Skink registered five (5) individuals and the *Trachylepis maculilabris* Speckled-lipped Skink registered three individuals. Two individuals of the *Chamaeleo ellioti* Montane Side-striped Chameleon and one individual of the *Naja melanoleuca* Forest Cobra were encountered. Estimation of reptile species abundance requires an extended period of time which was not feasible with the time allocated to the consultant for the additional studies.

4.2.4.2.4.3 Species Conservation Status

Globally, IUCN Red List of threatened species 2019 categorizes the species recorded during the studies and those recorded in earlier surveys as Least Concern. The species are listed under CITES Appendix II (Branch, 1998). In Uganda, the species were down listed from Appendix II because

the species are still abundant and wide spread in the countryside. In addition, the Crested Crane is a resilient bird and can still be found in abundance in some areas though its habitat is slowly being depleted⁶.



Figure 4-45: Catalogue of some of the Reptiles recorded in the project area

4.2.4.2.5 Avi-fauna (Birds)

4.2.4.2.5.1 Species Richness

Eighty-four (84) species of birds were recorded during the survey in both the wet and dry season (**Table** 4-19 and **Figure** 4-46). These represent 36 families and 69 genera. This is an increase of 87% in the number of species recorded in the project area. The increase might be attributed to the additional sampling sites which were not previously sampled. The increase could also be a result of sampling during both the wet and dry season. During the wet season, sixty-two (62) species were recorded and sixty-seven (67) species recorded during the dry season. More species being recorded during the dry season may be attributed to the fact that there is a lot of movement of birds in search for food. Forty-five (45) species were recorded in both the wet and dry season. Seventeen (17) species were recorded only in the wet season and twenty-two (22) species were recorded only in the dry season.

African Wattled Lapwing Vanellus senegallus, Spur-Winged Lapwing Vanellus spinosus, Long-Toed Lapwing Vanellus crassirostris, Intermediate Egret Ardea intermedia and Sacred Ibis Threskiornis aethiopicus are wetland specialists. These were only recorded in the dry season visit in March 2021. During the wet season none was recorded. Northern Red Bishop *Euplectes franciscanus*, Cattle Egret *Bubulcus ibis* and Pin-Tailed Whydah Vidua macroura are purely grassland species which were only registered during the dry season. The appearance of the Semi-Collared Flycatcher Ficedula semitorquata, Ross's Turaco Musophaga rossae, Eastern Grey Plantain Eater Crinifer zonurus, Blue-Headed Sunbird Cyanomitra alinae and Scarlet-Chested Sunbird

⁶ Brayan Haines 2020: 16 Facts about Crested Crane of Uganda, Africa: Balearica regulorum gibbericeps. Storyteller.Travel

Chalcomitra senegalensis was noted. In the dry season, food scarcity may result in conspicuous species moving in search for food.

Season	N°. of families	N°. of genera	No. of species
Overall Checklist	36	69	84
Recorded in Wet Season	34	55	62
Recorded in Dry Season	32	54	67
Recorded in both wet and dry seasons	28	39	45
Only recorded in wet Season	15	17	17
Species Only recorded in Dry Season	15	19	22

Table 4-19: Summary of recorded bird species in both wet and dry seasons in the study area



Figure 4-46: Number of recorded species in both wet and dry seasons in the study area

4.2.4.2.5.2 Species Relative abundance

Wet and dry seasons combined, the Lesser Masked Weaver *Ploceus intermedius*, Black-Headed Weaver *Ploceus cucullatus* and Common Bulbul *Pycnonotus barbatus* were the most abundant relative to other species with 226, 209 and 115 individuals recorded respectively. Seven species had one individual each recorded during the survey. During the wet season, the Lesser Masked Weaver *Ploceus intermedius*, Black-Headed Weaver *Ploceus cucullatus* and Common Bulbul *Pycnonotus barbatus* were the most abundant relative to other species with 223, 75 and 41 individuals recorded respectively. During the dry season, the Black-Headed Weaver *Ploceus cucullatus*, Yellow-Backed Weaver *Ploceus melanocephalus*, Common Bulbul *Pycnonotus barbatus*, African Mourning Dove Streptopelia decipiens, and Grey Crowned Crane *Balearica regulorum*–were among the most abundant relative to other species. During the survey, 134, 76, 74, 58 and 57 individuals were recorded respectively.

4.2.4.2.5.3 Species Conservation status

Ten (10) species of conservation importance were recorded during the survey. Four (4) of them were recorded during the dry season, two (2) were recorded during the wet season while four (4) were recorded during both seasons. The *Stephanoaetus coronatus* Crowned Eagle is categorized

by IUCN Red List as Regionally Vulnerable at the African region and endangered by Uganda's National Red List. The species was recorded during the dry season. The Martial Eagle *Polemaetus bellicosus* is categorized as Near-Threatened and it is regionally vulnerable. This was recorded during both the wet and dry season. African Marsh Harrier *Circus ranivorus* is categorized as Near-Threatened at the Africa regional level. It was also recorded in both the wet and dry season. African Darter *Anhinga rufa* is categorized as Vulnerable at the African regional level and also for Uganda. **Table** 4-20 and **Figure** 4-47 shows sampling sites where the Grey Crowned Cranes were recorded during the study in both wet and dry deasons.

It is important to note that, the areas where most of these birds were recorded happen to be flat open areas used for livestock grazing and cultivation by the communities. In addition, according to the surveys conducted during this study (including consultations with the local communities in the vicinity), there were no nests nor roosting points for these birds implying the bird groups a visitor category to the area.

Sampling	GPS Coordinates (UTM 36 M)		Number of Cranes Recorded	
Site	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	at site	
Wet Season				
11	238427	9902841	3	
17	237018	9897007	3	
19	237243	9894503	2	
20	236139	9893131	6	
21	235513	9890533	2	
22	235620	9889958	3	
24	234940	9886432	2	
Total			21	
Dry Season				
5	231266	9900330	2	
9	241266	9900833	4	
11	238425	9902835	5	
11	238667	9902707	6	
12	237776	9899070	7	
16	237208	9896881	2	
17	237024	9897006	9	
18	237310	9895631	4	
19	237207	9894511	2	
20	236136	9893131	2	
21	235506	9890587	2	
22	235622	9889966	10	
24	234932	9886423	2	
Total			57	

Table 4-20: List of Sites and numbers of Grey Crowned Cranes encountered during the study



Figure 4-47: Sites where Grey Crowned Cranes were encountered during the dry and wet seasons.

The grey crowned crane remains common over most of its range, although it faces threats from continued habitat degradation, drainage/reclamation, overgrazing and pesticide pollution from agricultural practices. Their global population is estimated to be between 58,000 and 77,000 individuals. Those that live far north in the arid Sahara Desert often migrate south for portions of the year to escape the hottest and driest months in the desert. They join their more sedentary cousins in Uganda and Kenya which live near rivers and lakes.

The project area has been greatly modified by the local community who harvest papyrus for crafts e.g., for mats and baskets and for mulching banana gardens. Because of the level of human influence on the ecosystem, local people reported, they have never encountered any breeding sites for the Crested Cranes in the proposed project areas. Even during all the surveys in this study neither were nests nor roosting sites encountered implying the project will not have impact on its breeding or related habitat functions. African Crake Crex egregia is categorized as Near-Threatened at the African region and in Uganda, this bird is regarded as of least conservation concern because of its occurance in many parts of the country and its habitats not limited.

4.2.4.2.6 Mammals

Four (4) studies have been conducted on fauna in the project area. The first was during the detailed ESIA in 2017 and this focused on the entire project area. The second survey was conducted in March 2018 and this focused-on sampling amphibians and reptiles that could be affected by reduced water flow downstream of the proposed dam site. The third survey which took place in 2019 focused on surveying for fauna in the area to be inundated by the dam and area to be restored as an off-set for the project. The fourth and latest additional studies took place in November 2020 and February 2021 within the catchment but different sub-catchments that contribute to the irrigation area.

During the additional studies no mammal species were recorded but about ten species have been recorded from previous studies related to the project. From interviews conducted by the ESIA team in 2017 with members of the local community, large mammals reportedly used to occur in the area ranging from Hyrax, Olive Baboon, Side-stripped Jackals and lions and leopards used to roam the areas up to early 1980s. However, due to increased conflicts between mainly cattle keepers in the area and some of the large carnivorous groups of mammals in the area especially leopards, stripped jackals and lions were reportedly killed by the cattle keepers so, none of those animals exist in the project site anymore. **Table** 4-21 shows the list of species and areas where they have been recorded in previous studies. However, there is no information is available on the species relative abundance.

Table 4-21: Consolidated list of mammal species recorded in the project area

Order	Scientific Nama	English Nomo	lama Bamarka		National Red
Order	Scientific Name	Eligiisti Naille	Remarks	List Status	List Status
Rodentia	Aethomys hindei	Hinde's Rock Rat	Recorded upstream of the dam including the areas to be	LC	
			inundated by the dam		
Rodentia	Lemniscomys striatus	Striped Grass	Recorded in the restoration/reforestation area	LC	
		Mouse			
Macroscelidea	Elephantulus	Short-snouted	One dead individual encountered in the grassland patches in	LC	
	brachyrhynchus	Elephant shrew	Rwoho Forest Reserve		
Rodentia	Rattus rattus	House Rat	Recorded by ESIA team in general project area	LC	LC
Carnivora	Ichneumia albicauda	White Tailed	Dung / Faecal material observed in the grassland patch of	LC	
		Mongoose	Rwoho FR restoration/reforestation area		
Carnivora	Civettictis civetta	African Civet	Dung / Faecal material observed in the grassland patch of	LC	
			Rwoho FR restoration/reforestation area		
Primate	Cercopithecus mitis	Blue Monkey	Seen in the western side of Rwoho FR	LC	
			restoration/reforestation area		
Primate	Cercopithecus ascanius	Red Tailed Monkey	Reported by NFA staff in the western side of Rwoho FR	LC	
			restoration/reforestation area		
Primates	Chlorocebus	Vervet Monkey	Reported in western side of Rwoho FR the	LC	LC
	pygerythrus		restoration/reforestation area		
			Also reported by community in the far side of upstream		
			areas of the dam.		
Primate	Papio anubis	Olive Baboon	Reported by NFA staff in the western side of Rwoho FR the	LC	
			restoration/reforestation area		
			Also reported by community in the far side of upstream		
			areas of the dam.		

4.2.4.3 Fauna species of limited distribution range

The fauna lists for all the taxa were cross examined against their known distribution. It was established that none of the taxa (Butterfly, Dragonfly, Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds and Mammals) have a limited distribution range. Also, no new bird records were registered neither mentioned in the literature reviewed.

4.2.4.4 Use of the Riverine System by Fauna and its implications

4.2.4.4.1 Nesting

Four species of birds were observed building nests during the wet season field visit. For some, there was an indication that nests have been around for some time. Some of the birds with or which were constructing nests include: Grosbeak Weaver *Amblyospiza albifrons,* Lesser Masked Weaver *Ploceus intermedius,* and Hamerkop *Scopus umbretta.* The Black Crake *Zapornia flavirostra* is also known to construct nests in thick riverine vegetation. To breed successfully, wetland birds require suitable places in which to build their nests. Some bird species were observed to build their nests on and from wetland vegetation.



Grosbeak Weaver *Amblyospiza albifrons* nest which are built on riverine vegetation

Lesser Masked Weaver *Ploceus intermedius* nests also are visible on papyrus vegetation



Figure 4-48: Birds using the riverine vegetation for nesting

4.2.4.4.2 Feeding

Sixteen (16) wetland birds were recorded. Eight were wetland specialists and seven are wetland visitors. Wetland birds depend on wetland for feeding and breeding. However, wetland birds feed on a wide range of invertebrates, small fish, frogs and wetland vegetation seeds. The seasonal

increase in water volumes (wetting) during the wet season and the associated receding (drying cycles) of the river to its normal flow brings about provision of different foods at different times therefore should be maintained. Amphibians and reptiles also feed on a number of insects which occur in the project area. Type and taxa may vary with species.

4.2.4.4.3 Breeding

The presence of the numerous young individuals of amphibians is a sign that the project area is used for breeding. A few tadpoles were seen. The increase in water volumes and associated pools within the project area are vital for amphibian breeding success. Enough volumes of water should be maintained in the project area to maintain the breeding success.

4.2.4.5 Issues affecting the riparian areas

As already pointed out, a phenomenon happened in the study area which impacted the project area. According to the local community, a heavy down pour which happened on 2nd May 2020 caused a flash flood impacting negatively on the ecosystem along the river. All the vegetation was swept downstream by the big force of water that came from upstream leaving the river banks bare. The riverine vegetation was destroyed and negatively impacted the biodiversity utilizing the habitat along the river. The river was left bare as evidenced by photographic catalogue below:



Shows presence of bridge (culvert) in 2019 (site 3) Bridge swept by the Flash flood in 2020 (site 3) Figure 4-49: Comparison of the previous and current status of upstream of dam (E232820, N9903147)



Figure 4-50: Comparison of the previous and current status of upstream (middle of dam) (E232583, N9901041)



Dam site was fully grown with thick papyrus
vegetation along the river in 2019 (site 6)Dam site papyrus swept by the flash flood in
November 2020 (site 6)Figure 4-51: Comparison of the previous and current status of dam (confluence at E232533,
N9900100)

The impacts of the May 2020 flash flood on the river biodiversity, ecology and morphology are evident for almost 5-km downstream. The regenerating vegetation especially along the river currently is harvested by the community for mulching their banana gardens. This affects the riparian vegetation along the river. In some areas, the community cultivate up to the river bank leaving the river vulnerable to siltation.



Figure 4-52: Harvest of riparian vegetation along River Mishumba by attendant farming communities for purposes of mulching.

4.2.4.6 Overall fauna assessment

A complete assessment of the fauna in the project area was carried out as part of the ESIA and other additional studies. Although some of the species e.g., large mammals have virtually disappeared due to increased conflicts with human activities (e.g., cattle keepers) and related modification of the habitat; the project catchment is relatively rich in fauna biodiversity. However, all the species have been recorded in other parts of the Country and East Africa in general. None of the butterflies, dragonflies, amphibians, reptiles and mammal species recorded in the project area is of ecological concern according to the IUCN 2019 Red list. Ten (10) of the bird species are categorized by IUCN as species of conservation concern. However, most of them were recorded in the catchments outside the irrigation command area. *Only the Grey Crowned Crane showed presence in the irrigation command area and these seem to be regular visitors to the project area.*

There was no significant difference in fauna species richness and abundance between the dry and wet season. More time was required to gather more information for the two seasons. Fauna in Kabuyanda may be providing key ecosystem services in the project area. The ecosystem services may be compromised if the fauna groups are adversely affected by the project. However, as presented in the ESIA report, impact on fauna may be minimal due to the following reasons:

- a. There are other streams that join the main Mishumba Stream as it follows downstream. This will reduce the impacts on fauna that may result from reduced water flow downstream;
- b. Fauna is highly mobile and some are migratory in nature. They have the capacity to move to other areas once disturbed; and
- c. The areas to be affected are those close and along the stream.

Long term research/monitoring is therefore paramount during construction and during project operations.

4.2.4.7 Key Ecosystem Services Provided by The Terrestrial Biodiversity in Mishumba Catchment

According to the National Wildlife Federation (NWF) (2021), an ecosystem service is any beneficial impact that nature provides to human society. The concept shows many benefits that a harmoniously balanced natural world provides to societies and how neglecting the environment has negative consequences for human wellbeing (Jax et al. 2013). A world with strong, healthy ecosystems allows us to have diverse food products, a stronger economy, and make advancements in medicine (NWF, 2021) hence the key reasons why biodiversity conservation is so critical.

According to Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MA), (2003) and NWF (2021) ecosystem services are of four categories.

- a. *Provisioning services*: product or materials that are extracted from nature such as food, water, timber, oil, medicinal plants, plant fibre for clothing
- b. *Regulating services:* ecosystem processes that moderate natural systems, including pollination, decomposition, carbon storage and sequestration, climate regulation, surface water purification and flood control. These are benefitting that people obtain from the regulation of ecosystem processes listed herein.
- c. *Cultural services*: non-material benefits people obtain from ecosystems like improved mental health, creativity born from nature, recreation, sacred sites and knowledge building
- d. *Supporting services:* processes that allow Earth to sustain basic life, and thus all of Earth's biodiversity. These processes include photosynthesis, nutrient cycling, soil formation and the water cycle. Without supporting services, provisioning, regulating, and cultural services would not function (Jax *et al.* 2013).

Terrestrial biodiversity on the other hand refers to animals, plants and micro-organisms that live on land, and also land habitats, such as forests, deserts and wetlands. There are a number of fauna species that were positively identified during the ESIA baseline and subsequent studies of the project area. These harmoniously have been working together to form a balanced / stable natural ecosystem. Evidence shows that increased species richness on average leads to greater functioning of ecosystem (Tilman, 2000; Balvanera *et al.*, 2006; Cardinale *et al.*, 2006; Ives & Carpenter, 2007).

There are many key ecosystem services provided by terrestrial fauna biodiversity within Mishumba Ecosystem. These services include nutrient cycling, pest regulation, pollination, among others. These services sustain agricultural productivity. Presence of fauna therefore, promotes the healthy functioning of ecosystems.

a) Butterflies

Butterflies help flowers pollinate, eat plenty of weedy plants and provide a food source for other animals. In addition, their presence or absence can tell us a lot about the local environment. An abundance of butterflies is often an indication that an ecosystem is thriving. Adult butterflies and caterpillars are an important source of food for other animals such as bats and birds. Along with nectar, butterflies eat a variety of plants. Some species also provide a natural form of pest control. Butterflies pollinate or carry pollen from plant to plant, helping fruits, vegetables, and flowers to produce new seeds. From the animal point of view, butterflies are near the bottom of the food chain and provide food (especially in their caterpillar stage) for birds, mammals, and other insects.

b) Dragonflies

Dragonflies are important to their environments both as predators (particularly of mosquitos) and as prey to birds and fish. Because these insects require stable oxygen levels and clean water, scientists consider them reliable bioindicators of the health of an ecosystem. Dragonflies do an amazing job of helping humans by controlling populations of pest insects, especially those that bug humans most, such as mosquitoes and biting flies. A single dragonfly has been reported to eat anywhere from 30 to hundreds of mosquitoes per day.



Figure 4-53: Dragonfly (*Julia Skimmer Orthetrum Julia*) preying on a butterfly (*Acraea sp*) (Red arrow pointing at *Acraea sp*)

c) Amphibians

Amphibians play essential roles, both as predators and prey, in their ecosystems. Amphibians contribute to regulating services by reducing mosquito recruitment from ephemeral swamps, potentially controlling other pest species, and indirectly through predation of insect pollinators. Adult amphibians eat pest insects, including those pests that damage crops or spread disease. Amphibians also have important functions in the food webs of both aquatic and terrestrial systems. As tadpoles, they eat algae, helping regulate blooms and reducing the chances of algal contamination. Amphibians provide provisioning services by serving as a food source. Frogs are an important source of food for a variety of animals, including humans, birds, fish, monkeys and snakes. According to the Socio-economic survey conducted in the project area by the study team, 27% of the respondents indicated that they eat a certain type of frog locally known as Enkyeere (Lake Victoria Clawed Frog Xenopus victorianus). Lake Victoria Clawed Frog (Xenopus victorianus) was among the frogs recorded during the baseline survey for the project. Amphibians can affect ecosystem structure through soil burrowing and aquatic bioturbation and ecosystem functions such as decomposition and nutrient cycling through waste excretion and indirectly through predatory changes in the food web. They also can control primary production in aquatic ecosystems through direct consumption and nutrient cycling.





d) Reptiles

Reptiles also contribute to the four types of ecosystem services: provisioning, regulating, cultural, and supporting. Most available studies report the use of direct services from reptiles. Reptiles are important components of the food webs in most ecosystems. They fill a critical role both as predator and prey species. Reptile species can also have a useful anthropogenic role in ecosystems. In some areas, they help control the numbers of serious agricultural pests by consuming rodent and insect pests.

e) Birds

Birds play a number of roles in ecosystems. They act as pollinators, seed dispersers, predators, scavengers, and ecosystem engineers (Whelan, Wenny, and Marquis 2008). The ecosystem services provided by birds are often indirect and support or enhance other services, and mostly result from foraging. Through foraging, birds act as mobile links that transfer energy both within and among ecosystems, and thus contribute to ecosystem function and resilience (Wenny et al. 2011).

Birds provide pest control regulation ecosystem services through foraging (Michel, Whelan, and Verutes 2020). Birds consuming invertebrates reduce herbivorous insect populations, and plants can respond with increased growth and yields. Thirteen prominent insect feeders were recorded during the studies. These among others include Common Fiscal *Lanius collaris*, Grey-Backed Fiscal *Lanius excubitoroides*, Dusky-Blue Flycatcher *Muscicapa comitata*, Semi-Collared Flycatcher *Ficedula semitorquata*, Barn Swallow *Hirundo rustica*, etc. Removal of birds from the ecosystem would result into insect pest outbreaks.

The predator-prey interactions of raptors hunting rodents can have economic benefits. The eight species of raptors recorded during the studies could be playing a key role in the control of rodent and snake populations in Kabuyanda ecosystem. These include African Hawk-Eagle *Aquila spilogaster*, Long-Crested Eagle *Lophaetus occipitalis*, Crowned Eagle *Stephanoaetus coronatus*, Martial Eagle *Polemaetus bellicosus*, Black Kite Milvus migrans, African Fish Eagle *Haliaeetus vocifer*, African Harrier Hawk *Polyboroides typus* and African Marsh Harrier *Circus ranivorus*. A number of wetland birds e.g., Grey Crowned Crane *Balearica regulorum*, Hadada Ibis *Bostrychia hagedash*, Sacred Ibis *Threskiornis aethiopicus* and Black-Headed Heron *Ardea melanocephala* feed on frogs (amphibians) and by doing so promote nutrient cycling.

Seed dispersal and pollination, are key ecosystem services (regulation services) provided by birds (Michel et al. 2020). Bird species disperse seeds, usually through fruit consumption. Birds disperse the seeds of many woody plant species that have direct value to humans for timber, medicine, food, or other uses. Birds also provide plant pollinating services. Among the species that feed on fruits recorded during the studies include Ross's Turaco *Musophaga rossae* and Eastern Grey Plantain Eater *Crinifer zonurus*. These play a role in seed dispersal. Four species of sunbird were also recorded, including *Cyanomitra alinae* Blue-Headed Sunbird, *Chalcomitra senegalensis* Scarlet-Chested Sunbird, Nectarinia kilimensis Bronze Sunbird and Cinnyris erythrocercus Red-Chested Sunbird. These play an additional key role of provision of pollinating services in addition to other animal species.

Some birds especially vultures, but also raptors, seabirds, gulls, herons, rails, shorebirds, woodpeckers, and passerines are well known for scavenging. By scavenging, carnivorous vertebrates contribute to regulation ecosystem services such as waste removal, disease regulation, and nutrient cycling (Michel et al. 2020). This is a key supporting ecosystem service that birds provide that also results in high volume nutrient cycling. Eight species of raptors (mentioned above) were recorded during the additional studies which species might be playing a big role in scavenging and nutrient cycling.

Birds construct nests that are later used by other species (an ecosystem engineering service). Open-cup and domed nests are often taken over by small mammals, spiders, and bumble bees. Woodpecker cavities are used by birds, mammals, amphibians, and arthropods. Nest burrows created by parrots, owls and kingfishers alter soil properties and affect nutrient cycling. They are also used by other taxa, including birds, snakes, mammals, and amphibians (Wenny et al. 2011).

Birds provide physical products like meat and eggs which are used for food and feathers are used as filling for down duvets, pillows, and coats. Additionally, birds' bodies and feathers are sometimes used for ornamentation (Michel et al. 2020). During socioeconomic survey by the research team, 99% of the respondents indicated that they eat certain bird species. Among the groups of bird species eaten by the community, include Guineafowl and Francolins. During the baseline survey of the project area, no birds of these groups were recorded. However, Biodiversity Inventory survey of Rwoho Central Forest Reserve by the then Forest Department in 1996 (Currently NFA), recorded the occurrence of three species of birds. The birds included *Francolinus sephaena* Crested Francolin, *Francolinus squamatus* Scaly Francolin and *Numida meleagris* Helmeted Guineafowl. Much as these were not recorded during the survey doesn't mean that they do not exist. The fact that they are eaten could mean a lower population, hence it takes time to spot them.



Figure 4-55: Community response on use of wild birds for meat

Birds provide cultural ecosystem services as sources of recreation including birdwatching and hunting, as pets, as well as through inspiring photography, art, and religious customs (Michel et al. 2020). During the socioeconomic survey, it was discovered that certain members of the community have superstitions towards certain bird species. The bird species include *Lophaetus occipitalis* Long-Crested Eagle, *Balearica regulorum* Grey Crowned Crane and *Bostrychia hagedash* Hadada Ibis. Such superstitions mean these birds are not hunted and this promotes their conservation. By promoting their conservation, means the ecosystem service provide by the birds are sustained.





f) Mammals

Findings of the survey indicate that no big mammals exist in the project area. Only small mammals (five species of rodents and shrews) were recorded. Small rodents can provide biological control through weed seed consumption but may also act as pests, causing crop damage. **Rodents** are important in seed and spore dispersal, pollination, seed predation, energy and nutrient cycling, the modification of plant succession and species composition, and as a food source for many predators. Additionally, some species provide food and fur for human uses.

Pineapple vending – one of the agro-products from the Mishumba catchment

4.3 Social-Economic Environment

4.3.1 **Project Location and Beneficiary Areas**

The project area covers Mishumba Catchment comprised on Kabuyanda, Kikagate, Ruborogota, Mwiizi, Rukoni East, Nyakitunda sub counties and Kabuyanda Town Council (Refer to Mishumba Catchment map). The beneficiary lower local governments are four (4) namely Kabuyanda Sub-County, Kabuyanda Town Council, Kikagate Sub-County and Ruborogota Sub-County. Within these areas, there are 8 parishes and 162 villages within irrigable area (**Figure** 4-57).



Figure 4-57: Map showing locations of beneficiary areas

4.3.2 Population and Demographic Characteristics

4.3.2.1 Catchment Population

The catchment area has a total population of 138,870 people (66,185 males; 72,685 females) and 20,407 households (refer to Annex 1). Kikagati Sub-County (downstream) has the highest population at 42,999 people (20,264 males; 22,735 females) and 8,766 households. Mwizi subcounty (up-stream) has the second highest population at 28,226 people (13,757 males; 14,469 females) and 1,118 households. Nyakitunda Sub-County has 22,337 people (10,560 males; 11,777 females) and 1,031 households. Kabuyanda Sub-County (dam site area) has 21,193 people (10,036 males; 11,157 females) and 4,297 households. Ruborogota Sub-County has 7,739 people (3,757 males; 3,982 females) and 3,709 households. Kabuyanda TC has 16,376 people (7,811 males; 8,565 females) and 278 households.



Figure 4-58: Catchment population by Sub-County

4.3.2.2 Population by River Stretch

The upstream area (Mwizi and Nyakitunda) has the highest population at 56,491 people and 2,427 households. The downstream area has the second highest population at 50,738 people and 10,394 households. The Midstream (Kabuyanda SC & Kabuyanda TC) has 37,569 people and 7,864 households.



Figure 4-59: Catchment population by river stretch

4.3.2.3 Human settlement patterns

Majorly, people live in dotted, linear and clustered human settlement patterns. In upstream areas (near dam site), linear and dotted settlement is common. In mid-stream, linear settlement along slopes, road and rivers, as well as clustered settlement in trading centers is common.





4.3.3 Poverty Trends

Poverty manifests through lack or scarcity of productive resources, consumer goods, food, shelter, health, and clothing and limited access to education services by various households and individuals; income or consumption poverty; human (under)development; social exclusion; illbeing; (lack of) capability and functioning; vulnerability; livelihood un-sustainability; lack of basic needs and relative deprivation. According to recent population census f 2014, Isingiro district was ranked among the thirteen (13) districts with poverty rate above 10%. High levels of poverty are attributed to dominance of subsistence production with limited income-oriented agriculture (NDP III, 202021-2024/25). Over 82.6% (9,603 out of 11,581 households) are dependent on subsistence farming within the irrigable area (UBOS, 2014). The people rely on subsistence farming (crops, animals, agro-pastoralism, artisanal fishing, hunting & gathering) as shown table 6 below. In addition to this, there exist other types of poverty namely a) Water poverty (Eran and Jonathan, 2002) manifesting as inadequate availability, access and utilisation of safe and clean water; b) Child poverty being higher at above 10% (World Bank, 2018); c) urban poverty manifesting in several agglomerations within project areas such as Kabuyanda Town Council, Ruborogota trading centre, among others. The major cause of poverty is lack and/or inadequate income, limited social protection, inability to afford basic needs, limited productivity, and inadequate access to community productive assets and climate hazards such as drought and dry spells. It's anticipated that the irrigation scheme will increase resilience capacity of farming households to produce throughout the year for income and food.

4.3.3.1 Unemployment and Demographic Dividend

Globally, unemployment is a global threat to development standing at 5 percent (ILO, 20197). The unemployed comprise all persons of working age (ILO8, 2013) categorised as, a) without any work (paid or self); b) currently available for work (paid or self); and c) seeking work. A close look at the labour market situation in Uganda pinpoints unemployment as a major critical issue (DTDA9, By the year 2017, the national unemployment rate was 9.4% with the females 2019). experiencing higher unemployment rate at 13% than males at 6%. Rural unemployment stands at 6.3% especially among the productive age group of 18 - 65 years (UBOS10, 2018). Unemployment rate of people aged 15 - 24 years was 15% (13% men and 17% women in same cohort).

The increasing unemployment in the project area is characterised by underemployment, extended labour underutilisation, and total unemployment among others. The youth and other working age groups are worst affected. The major cause of unemployment is mainly lack / limited access and utilisation of productive assets, low savings, illiteracy, limited skills, vulnerability to hazards and shocks such as floods, dry spell, pests and diseases. The unemployment is likely to increase due to rapid population growth. At present, the major coping mechanisms encompass increasing dependence on natural resources especially rivers and forests in terms of artisanal fishing; hunting and gathering; encroachment on river banks in search of 'free' land to cultivate crops among others.

During consultations with LC1 committees (upstream, mid-stream, down-stream), it was revealed that the level of unemployment was high especially among the youth. However, coping mechanisms tend to diversify among people living near urban and peri-urban settlements. These areas include Kabuyanda Town Council, Rwamijuka - Kifumbira, Ruborogota trading centre. The differing levels of consumption in urban areas influences.

4.3.3.2 Demographic Dividend

The national snapshot on population growth indicates that the median age is 15.9 making Uganda the world's highest fertility rates at 5.91 per woman (2010-2015). Youthfulness means the working-age population grew at a very fast 3.92 percent per annum between years 2011–2017 (World Bank, 2020). This refers to the demographic dividend, defined as the proportion of working

7

https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---dcomm/--publ/documents/publication/wcms 670542.pdf

⁸https://www.ilo.org/ilostat-files/Documents/description_UR_EN.pdf

⁹Report on Uganda Labour Market Profile (2019) by Danish Trade Union Council for International Development Cooperation

¹⁰https://www.ubos.org/wp-content/uploads/publications/05 2019STATISTICAL ABSTRACT 2018.pdf

age to non-working-age population" (UNFPA11, 2020). Within this project area of Mishumba catchment, 49% (100,685 out of 205,421) of population are within working age bracket of 14 - 64 years.



Figure 4-61: Demographic Dividend (Proportion of Working-to-Nonworking populations) in project area of Mishumba Catchment

Implication: The working age group (as able bodied) are the potential users of the ICRP project services. The potential of ICRP towards job creation is significantly high. In order to mitigate possible barriers, the scalability of benefits needs to be considered. One critical aspect is the need for farmer support (new comers like youth and old famers). There is need to reduce the vulnerability to unemployment resulting from inability of youth to access land and other production tools.

4.3.4 Social Services & Infrastructure

4.3.4.1 Roads

The road network within irrigable area stands at 259.84 km. By distribution, Kikagate SC has peak at 83.03 km; 75.99 km in Ruborogota SC; 56.6 km in Kabuyanda SC and 44.82 km in Kabuyanda TC. There are 2 types of roads i.e., feeder and community access roads (**Figure** 4-62). The road conditions are poor, fair and good. Some are motorable especially in the downstream area. In upstream' (above dam area) the roads are of poor conditions and during rainy season they are impassable. The upstream areas (above dam site) are accessed using 2 roads all passing through Kagoto 2 – Kyamazinga 2 (**Figure** 4-64).

¹¹https://www.unfpa.org/demographic-dividend

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-62: Some of the existing feeder and community access roads in the project area



Figure 4-63: A truck stuck in mad due to poor state of roads during the rainy season at E233053, N9899555



Figure 4-64: State of community access and feeder roads in the project area at E232856, N9899713



Figure 4-65: Poor road and river stream used as water sources in the irrigable area at237333, 9895668

4.3.4.2 Education

The education level is categorized as Pre-Primary, Primary Education, Post Primary Education and Training, Business, Technical, Vocational Education and Training (BTVET) Teacher Education and Higher Education. In terms of education facilities,

Kabuyanda Town Council: There are 6 primary schools. These are Kabuyanda Central P/S, Kaiho P/S, Iryango P/S, Kisyoro P/S, Nyampikye II P/S, Kaaro Karungi P/S (Figure 4-67). The total enrollment stands at 2,377 pupils (12 special needs learners); 54 teachers; 20 classrooms and 43 pit latrine stances. The Teacher-Pupil Ratio is 1:44; Classroom - Pupil Ratio is 1: 118; Latrine stance pupil ratio is 1:55.

There are 2 secondary schools namely Kisyoro SS and St Thomas Aqinas. The total enrolment in secondary schools stands at 751. There are 27 teachers; 8 classrooms; 5 permanent latrines. The Teacher pupil ratio is 1:27; Classroom -Pupil ratio is 1:93; Latrine Stance-Pupil ratio is 1:150; Houses-Teacher ratio is 1:27.



Figure 4-66: A secondary school in the irrigable area (mid-stream) at E235058, N9895468

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-67: Some of the primary schools located in the catchment area (mainly irrigable area)

Kabuyanda Sub-County: There are 7 primary schools. The total enrollment stands at 3,115 pupils (1,715 boys; 1,400 girls). There are 52 teachers; 15 classrooms and 66 pit latrine stances. The

Teacher-Pupil Ratio is 1:44; Textbook-Pupil Ratio is 4:1; Classroom - Pupil Ratio is 1:80; Latrine stance pupil ratio is 1:60. There is one (1) secondary school.

Kikagate SC (Ntundu Parish): There is one (1) primary school located within the irrigable area.

Ruborogota SC (Kyamusooni parish): There is Ibanja primary school, with an enrollment of 241 pupils (2 special needs children). There are 5 teachers and 2 classrooms. There are no teacher houses. There is one secondary school located in Kyamusooni parish.

4.3.4.3 Access to Water

From the dimension of water poverty, there is great community concern about inadequate access to clean and safe water, as well as decent sanitation and hygiene facilities (toilets, hand washing with soap, waste management, among others). Given the increasing rural and urban population (both humans and animals), the demand for water is shooting up. The Deputy CAO of Isingiro DLG stated that *"Water is the biggest challenge that people face especially during drought season"*. Majority of households collect water from unprotected water sources such as lake, rivers, streams, ponds, roadside potholes among others (**Figure** 4-69 - **Figure** 4-70). Other sources used include shallow wells, public stand pipes (PSPs), rain water harvesting tanks (**Figure** 4-71), Gravity flow scheme (from Rutemba and Kisyoro), and piped water by NWSC. There is also a newly formed water body at Rugaga in form of a mini lake. It was formed as result of severe flooding of River Mishumba in 2020.

Access to water stands at 69% in Kabuyanda SC and Kabuyanda TC respectively; 56% in Ruborogota SC and 33% in Kikagate SC (MWE/ Water Atlas, 2017). The major sources of water are river streams, shallow wells, protected springs and piped water. The source functionality ranges between 95%-99%. It should be noted that river streams are major source of water for domestic use. The piped water supply covers 73 km (6km in Ruborogota and 67km in Kabuyanda) under the MWE/South Western Umbrella organization.

4.3.4.4 Piped Water & Boreholes

Access to boreholes and piped water sources varies according to parishes. There are 3,003 households accessing piped water (**Figure** 4-68). By distribution, 617 HHs in Kabuyanda SC; 888 HHs in Kabuyanda TC; 662 in Kikagate SC (Ntundu and Rwamwijuka parishes); 834 in Nyakitunda SC (Migyera and Ruhiira parishes); and 2 in Ruborogota SC (Kyamusoni parish). The major piped water systems are gravity flow scheme (GFS) and NWSC.



Figure 4-68: Number of households (HHs) accessing piped water & boreholes



Figure 4-69: Children collecting water from the unprotected water source (river) along the road



Figure 4-70: New water body at Rugaga in Ntundu parish (irrigable/downstream area) after the May 2020 flash flood





4.3.4.5 Sanitation & Hygiene

Access to safe and clean water is directly linked to better sanitation and hygiene, which are critical aspects of human health, security, livelihoods, and quality of life. The sanitation and hygiene situation with in irrigable areas varies according to location and parameters of sanitation and hygiene.



Figure 4-72: People and animals struggling for water from unprotected point (river flowing over road)

4.3.4.6 Toilet Coverage

Toilet coverage stands at 2% (279 out of 13,774 HHs) as shown in table in Annex 1 and graph 1 below. By distribution, there are 5.8% (61 out of 4,297 HHs) in Kabuyanda SC; 1.5% (54 out of 3,567 HHs) in Kabuyanda Town Council; 4.3% (120 out of 2,806) in Kikagate Sub-County (Ntundu, Rwamwijuka and Nyabushenyi parishes); 1.3% (24 out of 1,915 HHs) in Nyakitunda Sub-County (Migyera and Ruhiira parish); 1.7% (20 out of 1,189 HHs) in Ruborogota (Kyamusooni parish). The highest number of households with toilets is located in hilly area (upland of irrigable area) in Nyabishenyi parish (70 HHs) and Iryango parish (31 HHs). Within Kabuyanda town Council, a new public toilet has been constructed by MWE/Southern Umbrella.



Figure 4-73: Households with toilet facilities at parish level within the project area

4.3.4.7 Solid Waste Management

There is no designated disposal site in Kabuyanda Town Council. The major waste generated is organic waste and average volume of waste collected is 40 tonnes per month.

4.3.4.8 Diseases Incidence and Prevalence (Water related Diseases)

The incidence and prevalence of diseases is increasing. The disease burden is increasing yet it can be preventable through improved water, hygiene and sanitation; vaccination against the child killer diseases, good nutrition and other preventive measures such as use of condoms and insecticide treated nets (ITNs) for malaria (MoH, 2018). The incidences of diseases (new cases) fluctuate due to climate changes (drought and wet seasons). The prevalence (old occurrences) persists because communities have limited access to adequate medical care.

The major water related (Omaswa,2016) diseases and illness are Bilharzia, malaria, diarrhoea, Gastro-Intestinal Disorders, Typhoid, Dysentery, Pneumonia, Cough or Cold, Eye conditions, Skin Diseases, Urinary Tract Infections (UTIs), Meningitis, Sexually Transmitted Diseases STDs/STIs) such as HIV/AIDs. According to 2018 HMIS data for Isingiro, there is rapid increase in incidence of water related diseases especially malaria, diarrhoea, Gastro-Intestinal Disorders, typhoid, Dysentery and Bilharzia. The increase in incidence and prevalence directly constrains household survival. It's anticipated that the disease incidence (new cases) is likely to increase especially for malaria, HIV/AIDs, etc.



Figure 4-74: Children swimming in the river (midstream) and at high-risk of water related diseases at E236118, N9893136)

4.3.4.9 Access and Utilization of Health Services

Access to health care services refers to the opportunity or ease with which people (users) are able to reach and obtain appropriate services in proportion with their needs. The accessibility of a healthcare service is influenced by factors on an individual, provider and system level (Levesque, *et al.*, 2013). People with health problem who visit a health facility for diagnosis and/or treatment are technically referred to as Out Patients relative to the total population of the same geographical area. These visit the Out-Patient Department (OPD) within a health facility, often as new attendance or re-attendance. Within project area, the total number of individuals who accessed health services at nearest Gov't health facility in previous year (2020) was 20,747 (8,294 male; 12,453 female) (**Figure** 4-75). By distribution, Chezho sub catchment had the highest number at 2,079 persons (846 male; 1,233 female). This area is above the dam site and has steep slopes and low lands. The lowest OPD was in Lower Mishumba sub catchment (near Kabuyanda trading centre). The variation is due to influence of urban communities. In Lower Mishumba sub catchment there many health service providers mainly private (drug shops, clinics) whom communities find convenient. In addition, there are Village Health Teams (VHTs) who provide basic services (**Figure** 4-78).



Figure 4-75: Access to health services at nearest Gov't facility per Sub-county



Figure 4-76: Kabuyanda Health Centre IV in Kabuyanda TC (irrigable area E235650, N9895152)



Figure 4-77: Health services offered at Kabuyanda HC IV



Figure 4-78: VHTs serving 2 villages above Dam site (Kyamazinga 1 & 2)

4.3.4.10 HIV/AIDS Situation

4.3.4.10.1 Drivers of Infections

HIV/AIDS is a major factor that affects socio-economic development within the project area. It has been highlighted by different stakeholders during consultations that HIV/AIDS interventions are still inadequate. The major driver of HIV infections is risky sexual behaviours such as having unprotected sexual intercourse, including early sexual debut, multiple sexual relationships, limited and inconsistent condom use. Other drivers of HIV infections include a) rampant transactional, cross-generational and sexual activities; b) poor attitudes such as stigma; c) limited awareness about personal and/or partner HIV status; d) high prevalence of sexually transmitted infections and diseases (STI/Ds); e) low utilization of antenatal care (ANC) and delivery services such as PMTCT; f) low uptake of safe male circumcision (SMC) services; g) sub-optimal scale-up of ART; h) gender inequalities including gender-based violence (GBV) exacerbated by alcohol drinking; i) exposure to risk due to effects of Covid-19 lockdown especially among young girls (adolescents and youth).

4.3.4.10.2 Most At Risk Populations

The Most at Risk Populations (MARPs) include married couples, sex workers, refugees, migrant workers, casual labourers, rural-urban migrants, adolescents, truck drivers, HIV positive persons, youth and children, students, Bar and lodge attendants, farm product traders (transiting outside project area), men and women. The high-risk areas include Kabuyanda Town Council. According to Law Enforcement officer of Kabuyanda Town Council, the number of female sexual workers is estimated to be between 25 – 60 basing n seasonality. The number goes up during festival seasons.

4.3.4.10.3 HIV & STI Prevalence Rate

In terms of HIV prevalence, the South-West region (project area being part of Isingiro district), has the second highest HIV/AIDS rate at 7.9% slightly lower than the highest rate of 8.0% in Central 1 region (UPHIA¹², 2017). The recent Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Assessment (UPHIA)

¹² Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Assessment

puts the HIV prevalence high among females at 9.8 (urban) and 6.7 (rural) compared to males at 4.6 (urban) and 4.7 (rural). South-West region also has the second highest viral load suppression (VLS) rate at 68% among 15-64 age group next to North East region at 7.0% (UPHIA, 2017). By age cohort, HIV/AIDs prevalence among 15-64 years age group stands at 6.2 and high among females (7.6) than males (4.7). By comparison, the HIV prevalence in South West is higher than the national rate at 7.5%. At national level, the prevalence of STI (active syphilis) is higher among rural residents at 2.3% compared to 1.6% in urban areas (PHIA, 2017).

Currently, the local government target to reduce HIV incidence. In Kabuyanda Sub-County, the target is to reduce HIV incidence by 40%. During consultations with Kabuyanda HC IV in-Charge, there has been a decline in number of persons undertaking HIV testing. The decline is attributed to the new MOH consolidated guidelines for Prevention and Treatment of HIV in Uganda (MOH, 2016), that emphasises Differentiated Testing, a "Test and Treat approach" for carefully screened eligible persons, unlike the en-masse HIV testing approach that targets everyone in the community.

4.3.5 Livelihoods

4.3.5.1 Farming Activities

The major livelihoods are derived from agriculture (crop and livestock), employing about 88.5 households (16,131 out of 18,231) in Mishumba catchment covering all beneficiary parishes (**Figure** 4-79). The upstream areas have the highest proportion of farming households at 56% (9,055 out of 16,131). Mid-stream has the second highest at 245 (3,780 out of 16,131) and downstream has the lowest at 20% (3,296 out of 16,131) as based on the UBOS/SAP report 2019. The subsistence farming activities are characterized by small land holding, low yields, poor inputs (seeds, planting materials and breeds). There are also fishing activities especially artisanal fishing especially upstream and mid-stream. Commercial aquaculture is downstream. Hunting and gathering is also practiced. Climate change related risks and hazards impend both farming and non-farming activities.



Figure 4-79: Number of farming HHs by river stretch within the project area

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-80: Fish farming (ponds) in the irrigable area (downstream at E235567, N9890040)

4.3.5.2 Non-Farm Activities

There are also non-farm activities such as commerce especially retail trade in general merchandise, metal fabrication, wood work, vending of food products and operation of small size eating houses and restaurants. Stone quarrying is mainly found in Ndaani Cell in Iryango ward. Brick making is currently undertaken in the low lands of Central and Iryango wards especially along swamps (Mid-stream).



Figure 4-81: Brick making in the lowlands of Central and Iryango wards along swamps (mid-stream)

4.3.5.3 Income security

Majority of households are reported to have substantially low income characterized with rising expenditures on medical costs, food, education and clothing. The main income generating activities include crop and livestock production, casual labour, local brewing, petty trading, brick-laying, remittances by family and friends, boda-boda riding, car washing, dealerships, services, among others.

4.3.5.3.1 Food and nutrition security

Food security at household and community level refers to access to enough food for an active, healthy life of all people at all times (FAO, 2002). A close look at the four (4) pillars of food security indicates that ICRP will play a big role towards Food Availability, Access, Utilization and Stability. The global target (SDG 2) towards Zero Hunger focuses on achieving food security and improved nutrition (SDG Targets 2.2 & 2.1.2) and promoting sustainable agriculture (SDG Target 2.3)
through increased investment in rural infrastructure (SD Target 2A) among others (UNDP/SDG Booklet, 2018). In this case ICRP project majorly has potential positive impact towards food security.

4.3.5.3.2 Food Availability & Access

The major foods produced are plantains (all banana varieties), cereals (maize, beans, sorghum, millet, ground-nuts), pulses, vegetables and fruits, root crops, fish, livestock products (milk, meat, eggs). The available food is accessed through own production, purchase and donations. By distribution, the upstream and mid-stream areas have more plantains, fruits and livestock compared to downstream that produce majorly cereals, pulses, vegetables, root crops (cassava, sweet potato, Irish potato) and farmed fish. The women carry heavy burden to ensure food production and availability as well as collecting water and wood fuel for food preparation (which are dominant feminine roles played by women).



Figure 4-82: Maize Garden in the irrigable area (downstream at 36 M 237249, 9894497)

4.3.5.3.3 Food Utilization and Stability

In terms of food intake and diet, the major consumed food items are beans, maize, banana, sorghum and meat. Drinking water is also a major component though majority of households don't use boiled/treated water. It's also used to prepare food and part of sauce. It should be noted that there is low access to safe and clean water sources, majority use river. The water is regarded as salty.



Figure 4-83: Harvested cassava for sale



Figure 4-84: Sub-catchment households with 2 meals per day within the project area

4.3.5.4 Nutrition Practices

Nutrition behaviours and practice are mainly determined by cultural and lifestyle preferences. Locally, bananas are major food item on menu. Child malnutrition remains largely a 'hidden problem' with majority reported to be moderately malnourished. There are no records on Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) among children. However, the inadequate dietary intake is partly caused by inadequate access to a variety of foods, limited knowledge about good nutrition and micro nutrients.

4.3.6 Domestic Fuel and Energy (Cooking)

The major source of domestic fuel is firewood and charcoal. Charcoal prices range between UGX 20,000-40,000/= per sack. Firewood prices range between UGX 1,000-10,000/=depending on size of bundle. The increased demand for free domestic fuel reduces human pressure on natural resources within sub catchments.



Figure 4-85: Mother & Daughter walking from Dam site to Kyamazinga village after collecting firewood

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-86: Boy child after collect firewood from near dam site in Kagoto 2 village



Figure 4-87: Boy child after collecting firewood from Rwoho forest



Figure 4-88: Women and children after collecting firewood from upstream (dam area) at 231323, 9900298

4.3.7 Agricultural Production & Productivity

4.3.7.1 Agricultural practices

There are mixed farming practices. Crop production is the dominant agricultural practice in Mishumba catchment. Animal husbandry also takes place and major animals include cattle, goats, poultry. Mono-cropping is majorly used especially for banana and cereals. The method of intercropping in banana gardens is not wide spread. Weeding is majorly done by hand in banana gardens. Agro-forestry especially plantation forest is mainly done upstream in Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR).



Figure 4-89: Mixed farming practices mainly in the midstream and downstream areas of the catchment

There is aquaculture being practiced in downstream areas at E235629, N9889967 which is 1 km to the end of irrigable area in Kabumba cell, Ntundu parish, Kikagate Sub-County, with 6 fish farmers having 22 fish ponds (Figure 4-90). However, it is anticipated that the project will not affect this activity with regard to water availability.

The water diversion from the river into the ponds is not permanent as it done when it is only required during the high flows period and later sealed off. In addition, the diversion is for a short period and volumes are small. Much as the ponds abstract water from the river, the potential residual risks from agro-chemicals on the ponds will be taken care of through:

- a. The layout of irrigation fields be designed in a way which minimizes risks of erosion and runoff from the gardens to the river thereby checking any risks of downstream pollution to the river waters;
- b. The agro-chemicals to be used by the farmers in the project area are to be those allowed under World Bank OP 4.09, FAO and WHO Guidelines¹³;
- c. Farmers will be trained in integrated pest management (IPM) practices thereby minimizing use of agro-chemicals in pest management.
- d. Project financing will not be used for any pesticide products which contain active ingredients that are listed on Annex III of the Rotterdam Convention.

All these measures will check the risks of pollution on the river waters arising from uncontrolled use of agro-chemicals in irrigable area which in a way minimizes potential risks of agro-chemical residual effect in the fish ponds.

¹³ FAO 2003 - Safety and Environmental Guidelines

WHO 2010 - International Code of Conduct on the Distribution and use of pesticides



Figure 4-90: Satellite Image (25/03/2021) showing the fish farming activity in the midstream (irrigable area) along Mishumba

4.3.7.2 Access to Agricultural Land

Much of the land is arable but the existence of bare hills with no vegetation cover encourages soil erosion and landslides on the fragile slopes. The topography is mainly a mixture of undulating hills, valleys and fairly flat land. The land tenure is mainly customary, freehold and public ownership. Access land for crop production is not evenly distributed and average land holding for agricultural use is below half acre at household level. Within the irrigable area, communities hire cropping fields from land owners such as the Catholic Church that owns big chunk of low lands in Ntundu parish (downstream). Access to open grazing lands and water is not restricted across the catchment. Over grazing is major challenge. There is also rampant encroachment on river banks, wetland and hills. During community meetings, it was revealed that about 122 households encroached on buffer zones of River Mishumba and River Rweibare. By distribution, the most severally encroached river stretches are Kaaro 3 with about 84 households cultivating within buffer zones; 18 in Kagoto 2, 10 in Kagoto 1 and Katooma 1 respectively.

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-91: Undulating bare hills prone to soil erosion and landslides in the upstream



Figure 4-92: Undulating bare hills with short vegetation cover prone to soil erosion in the midstream

4.3.7.3 Planting season

The planting season often starts in April and harvesting majorly starts in October. There are two rainy seasons form March-May and October-December, with moderate temperatures. There are two dry seasons from January–March and June- September with high temperatures. Temperature average is 19°C but ranges between 13°C and 26°C. Evaporation averages around 1,350 mm per annum but may be a factor of 3 - 4 times the rainfall amount during the dry season. This reveals a bi-modal season with two peak wet and dry seasons per year.

4.3.7.4 Farm labour

The hand hoe (**Figure** 4-93 & **Figure** 4-94) is still the predominant farm labour tool used for land tillage among poor smallholder and subsistence farmers. The lack of more efficient farm power at the household level has a substantial negative impact on agricultural production and household food security. Many households respond to their shortage of farm power reducing cropped area as they struggle to keep pace with the changing planting seasons. In farming, the productivity is compromised by a lack of physical energy and inappropriate farm labour tools. During a transect walk within irrigable area (downstream), the use of tractors was noticed in areas of Kabumba 2 village (**Figure** 4-95).



Figure 4-93: Some of the crop gardens cultivated by women and children in downstream areas of Ruzinga and Kabumba) between sampling sites 21 and 24



Figure 4-94: Children tilling land midstream (Ruyonza / Rwamwijuka)



Figure 4-95: Tractor opening-up the land (downstream) in Kabumba - Kyamusooni Parish near sampling site 23

4.3.7.5 Water for Production

The major source of water for crop production is rainfall. The river streams are major source of water for livestock. The watering points are along the river streams and swamps. In the downstream areas (Ruborogota Sub-County), there are 10 private valley tanks serving 3 villages. These valley tanks were dug by hand not mechanized equipment. Irrigation is often used for vegetables by Hand & Bucket as well as watering cans. There is no reported case where sprinkler irrigation and/or surface irrigation is practiced. Stakeholder consultations revealed that in upstream areas (outside irrigable area), there are 70 households at Kyamazinga I & II villages are reported to have practiced irrigation by watering can in the last 3 years (2019-2021). In downstream, aquaculture farmers use river streams to farm fish. It should be noted that throughout the Mishumba catchment, the demand for water for production is high during the dry seasons between May-August and January-March. There are prolonged dry periods characterized by climatic aberrations.



Figure 4-96: Hand dug ponds as source of water near sample site 24



Figure 4-97: Valley dam in Kaburara/Rwakijuma that was submerged by floods (May 2020) at E237562, N9893738 near sample site 19 and 20



Figure 4-98: A current satellite aerial image (25th March 2021) showing the submerged valley dam

4.3.7.6 Agro-inputs

The agro-inputs such as seeds and planting materials are mainly indigenous obtained from friends and neighbors. The use of hybrid seeds especially for cereals is continuously growing, and access is hindered by limited income sources and long distance to agro-input dealers. There are few agroinput dealers within catchment area. For instance, there are 3 agro-input dealers within irrigable area located in Kabuyanda Town Council. The major agro-input dealer is Kigarama Farmers' Cooperative Society Limited.

4.3.7.7 Pests and Diseases

From a discussion with small holder farmers at sample point 21 and 22, the rickets are a nuisance to germinating legumes and cereals (Figure 4-99)



Figure 4-99: A ricket which are nuisance to germinating legumes & cereals

4.3.7.8 Agro-chemicals

The common agrochemicals include: a) Cypermethrin used against Aphids; b) Rocket; c) Roundup; d) 24D Amine used as a selective herbicide; e) Others are Extreme; Milralz; Quartz; Ridomil. There is no reported enforcement against counterfeit agrochemicals or restrictions on cross-border trade in agro-chemicals at nearby Uganda-Tanzania and Uganda-Rwanda borders.

4.3.7.9 Agricultural Products

The major products are crops, livestock and aquaculture. The crops are maize, beans, banana, millet, sorghum, coffee. Banana is the dominant crop especially in upper land areas within the catchment. Cereals and fish farming are dominant in low lands especially downstream. There is also increase in vegetables especially cabbage, tomatoes, greens & leafy plants. The major fruits are pine apples (**Figure** 4-100), sugar cane, jack fruit, papaws, mangoes. The major livestock products are live animals, milk, ghee, hides and skins. There is limited primary processing and/or value addition to agricultural products.





4.3.7.10 Post-harvest and Agro-processing Infrastructure

There are poor post-harvest handling practices. The major one is drying on open and dirty/soiled ground especially maize (**Figure** 4-101). There are few farming households with basic post-harvest materials like tarpaulins, hand-maize shellers, food granaries, among others. In terms of agroprocessing, there are limited grinding mills and diary product collection centers. In the upstream and mid-stream areas (Kabuyanda SC and Kabuyanda TC), there is only one milk collection center located at Kabuyanda town (**Figure** 4-102). In the downstream area, there is also an un-used bulk store at Kikagati (**Figure** 4-103) constructed by CAIIP programme with funding from African Development bank.



Figure 4-101: Poor methods of drying harvests on a dirty ground



Figure 4-102: Milk collection center in Kabuyanda town council



Figure 4-103: Un-used bulk store (constructed by CAIIP programme with funding AFD) at Kikagati

4.3.7.11 Agricultural Market & Prices

The major crop is banana and it's mainly sold to retail and bulk buyers. The bulk buyers come from as far as Kampala. There are several collections or loading centers for bananas. Cereals are also sold to retail and bulk stores. Rice is majorly sourced from Kagera province in Tanzania. Before Covid-19 lockdown, Rwanda was major destination of food products especially banana and cereals. The farm gate prices are averagely the same throughout Mishumba catchment area.

Agricultural products	Market Prices							
Agricultural products	Farm gate price	Wholesale price	Retail price					
Beans	1,500	1,800	2,000					
Dry Maize	500	700	2,000 (flour)					

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

Millet	2,000	2,500	3,000
Sorghum	700	1,000	1,500
Banana	4,000 – 5,000	6,000 - 10,000	8,000 – 30,000
Local poultry	10,000 – 20,000	20,000 – 25,000	20,000 – 35,000
Cattle (mature)	800,000 –	1,000,000 - 1,200,000	1,200,000 -
	1,000,000		1,800,000



Figure 4-104: A bulk store in Kabuyanda TC



4.3.7.12 Farmer Skills & Knowledge

The farmer skills are mainly indigenous especially the culture of growing banana *(Ebitokye).* The common skills are mulching as form of soil and water conservation. However, the demand for modern farming skills (conventional and organic) is high. During community consultations, it was revealed that communities one-time shunned conventional farming practices that depended on entirely agro-chemicals. They prefer balancing conventional (with minimal use of chemical) and organic methods. However, they lack the skills and knowledge especially of maintaining ecological integrity of the soil, vegetation and landscape (steep slopes).



Figure 4-105: An overview of banana plantations in the irrigable area from Kabuyanda hill

4.3.7.13 Climate Smart Agricultural Practices

In terms of climate smart agriculture practices, majority of farmer households engaged in banana growing practice No-Tillage and mulching using grass and agricultural wastes such as maize stalks. However, there is limited no-tillage for cereals. There is also limited use of contours (**Figure** 4-106), terraces, pasture management, zero grazing, grass restoration, appropriate crop-livestock integration, intercropping. There is bush and grass burning (**Figure** 4-107). There is limited access to climate services especially agro-metrological products e.g., weather and climate forecasts.



Figure 4-106: Cultivating hills without use of contours in Rwoho (upstream) at E231095, N9900676



Figure 4-107: Bush and grass burning practices in the project area

4.3.7.14 Extension Services

There is limited provision of extension services within the irrigable area. The extension officers (crop husbandry) are limited. For instance, there is one extension officer for crop husbandry for both Kabuyanda Sub-County and Kabuyanda Town Council. In terms of animal husbandry, farmers rely on private service providers.

4.3.7.15 Ongoing Agricultural Projects

There are several agricultural promoting projects that include, a) Operation Wealth Creation (OWC); b) Youth Livelihood Fund (YLF); c) Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project (ICRP); d) Development Response to Displacement Impact Project (DRDIP) sub projects on farming especially in areas of Kikagate Sub-County and Kabuyanda Town Council.

4.3.7.16 Challenges in agricultural production

The major barrier to agricultural production include; a) High incidence of climate change variations such as prolonged dry spell, pests and disease, floods amidst limited resilience capacity; b) limited access to cultivable land; c) limited access to climate services; d) poor farming methods; e) limited access to high yielding crop seeds and animal breeds; f) Poor post-harvest handling; g) inadequate access to water for production; h) limited access to extension services; i) fluctuation of market prices, among others.

4.3.8 Gender Dimensions (Women, Children, Disability)

4.3.8.1 Gender Division of Labour

In term of gender division of labour, the labour burden lies on the women and children especially when sowing, weeding. In terms of activity profile, women play more of reproductive and production roles. At farm level, the men mostly participate in opening up land and harvesting. Access to land for crop production is adequately favorable to women, however they have limited control over it regarding ownership and ability to transfer ownership.

4.3.8.2 Gender-based violence (GBV)

The incidence and prevalence of GBV cases is increasing. During village meetings, it was revealed that GBV was related to economic factors. Both women and men experience equal measure of GBV. The most common GBV cases are assault related, especially fighting. It was noted that women beat men, and vice-versa.

4.3.8.3 Child Rights

There is substantial observation of child rights such as right to education, play, guidance, immunization, adequate diet, shelter and medical care. However, child exploitation and child labour at household and community level is evident. Much as children are made to carry out domestic chores, they are exposed to risks such as accidents and injuries. At household level, children provide farm labour, collect water and firewood, and to a large extent regardless of age. The right to play (swimming) in rivers exposes them to skin diseases regardless of sex. Both girls and boys are equally vulnerable.

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-108: Children fetching water in the project area

4.3.8.4 Cultural heritage

There are no recorded physical cultural resources (PCR) that were identified by communities. More specifically, there are no cultural sites within project area. There are visual arts and handcrafts but on small scale. In terms of intangible culture, communities have beliefs, traditions and values attached to biodiversity especially concerning natural herbs and medicine.

4.3.8.5 Information, Education and Communication

The major information need is about awareness and information dissemination. The common communication channels are word-of-mouth, community events, FM radio and community radios. The major sources of information are friend, neighbors, local leader, religious leaders. The audience characteristics are majorly homogeneous in terms of language, occupation, psychosocial, location, among others.

Community Consultations in Mishumba Catchment (upstream of dam site)

4.4 Ecosystems Services: (Regulating, Provisioning & Cultural goods and services)

An Ecosystems Services Survey (ESS) was conducted during the dry season (March) within the micro catchments of sample sites along river stretches (upstream, mid-steam & downstream). The purpose was to assess the levels of access and utilization of ecosystem services. The purpose was to assess the levels of access and utilization of ecosystem services namely- 1) Regulating (the benefits obtained from an ecosystem's control of natural processes); 2) Provisioning (the goods or products obtained from ecosystems); 3) Cultural – the nonmaterial benefits people obtain from ecosystem services (World Resource Institute, 2008).

4.4.1 Regulating Services

The regulating services were assessed basing on 2 parameters of baseline condition and past trends (monthly / annual). This was done by respective experts that included Biologist/Ecologist, Hydrologist, Vegetation Expert, Zoologist/Fauna Expert, GIS/Mapping Specialist, Environmental Engineer. The findings on the regulating services are presented in a Assessment matrix with a 3-Point Rating Scale i.e., baseline conditions (High, Moderate, Low) and Trends (Increasing, Stable, Declining) as shown in Table 4-22 below.

Table 4-22: Assessment matrix for Regulating Ecosystem Services (Conditions & Trends in past 12 months)

Regulating Ecosystem services	Condition	Trends in Service (past 12 months)	Risk & Opportunities
Water regulation	High	Increasing	Vulnerable to floods due to increasing precipitation due climate variability – especially surface water drainage
Water purification & waste treatment	High	Stable	Increasing, land use change (wetland conversion to agriculture, cultivation on hill slopes, brick making pose a threat. There is high rate of TSS and Turbidity. Water clarity is good as shown in Figure 4-109
Climate regulation	High	Declining	Anthropogenic factors (human induced) are increasing
Soil erosion regulation	Moderate	Declining	Due to heavy rains and inadequate soil conservation practices (Figure 4-110), soil erosion is increasing hence more sediment loads in River Mishumba
Natural Hazard regulation (Floods)	Low	Declining	A severe flood occurred in May 2020 across all river sections (upstream, mid-stream, downstream), affecting human lives and property. There is high risk of reoccurrence.
Natural Hazard Regulation (Fires)	Moderate	Stable	No reported cases of fire outbreak during dry & rainy seasons
Pest regulation	Low	Declining	Climate change is contributing to pest & diseases for crop and livestock
Pollination	High	Stable	

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-109: State of water clarity at sample point 24 downstream (Nanda village)



Figure 4-110: Tractor clearing wetland vegetation for crop cultivation in downstream between sampling point 20 & 22

4.4.2 Provisioning Ecosystem Services (Access and utilization)

The provisioning and cultural ecosystem services were assessed by focusing on two parameters namely level of access and utilisation, and this was done through use of quantitative ESS survey questionnaire digitalized into KOBO COLLECT FORMS and data captured using mobile devices. By coverage, the ESS sampled 389 respondents (211 males; 178 females). It covered 17 villages surrounding 14 selected sampling sites. By distribution, 53.2% of respondents were located in the

irrigable area (mid-stream and downstream) namely 46 in Middle Chezho Sub-catchment, 69 in Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho Sub-catchments and 92 in Lower Chezho Sub-catchment. In Lower Mishumba Sub-catchment (downstream of dam site), 12% (45) respondents were sampled. In total, the ESS covered the following villages - Kagoto 1, Kagoto 2, Kaaro 3, Kaaro 2, Kabumba, Kaburara, Kagunga, Kamwosya, Katoma 1, Kyamazinga 1, Kyamazinga 2, Mbarara 1, Mbarara 3, Nyamiyaga, Nyampikye 2, Ruyonza and Kigando. The findings were used to inform assessment of aquatic ecosystems, habitats, species, and socio-ecological functions and uses, as well as likely impacts by the proposed project.

Locally, the level of access and utilization of ecosystem services (as benefits of nature) creates a major link between nature and local development. These services (e.g., food, fiber and fuel) are key assets of capital and labor. The level of access and utilization varies from one sub catchment to the other. The sampled sub catchments in Figure 4-57 included; 1) Lower Mishumba & Chezho; 2) Lower Mishumba; 3) Lower Chezho; 4) Middle Chezho; 5) Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho; 6) Mishumba & Rweibare; 7) Mishumba; 8) Mishumba & Chezho; 9) Kinyara.

4.4.2.1 Freshwater

Findings show that all respondents (100%) acknowledged that they frequently use river stream to bath and wash clothes. More still, 97% frequently collect drinking water from rivers/streams. By distribution, in Lower Chezho sub catchment the number of persons who frequently collect drinking water was slightly lower at 88%. Lower Chezho sub catchment has relatively high connectivity to piped water in areas of Kabuyanda Town Council, Ntundu, Rwamwijuka and Kyamusooni parishes.

Watering animals: In terms of livestock, 94% frequently use river streams for watering cattle, goats and sheep; while 80% frequently irrigate crops using simple methods such as watering can, buckets. The area that reported frequent use of river streams for watering animals have large population of livestock. These sub catchments are Mishumba, Middle Chezho, Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho and Kinyara. Watering animals is slightly lower in Mishumba & Rweibare sub catchment at 74% of persons who reported frequent use of river streams Figure 4-111 to Figure 4-113.



Figure 4-111: Animal (goats) watering in the upstream (dam area) at E232503, N9900414

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-112: Animal (cows) watering in the midstream (irrigable area) at E237342, N9895670



Figure 4-113: Animal (cows) watering in the downstream (after irrigable area along Kabuyanda – Ruborogota road) at E234934, N9886429

4.4.2.2 Capture Fisheries

Fish for domestic use: The number of persons who frequently capture fish for domestic consumption is 52%; while 29% are not frequently doing it. Majority of the persons (87%) reported to capture fish for domestic use in Lower Mishumba sub catchment covering upstream areas of Kabuyanda. Most of the fishing activities happen in Lower Mishumba sub catchment (87%), Lower Mishumba & Chezho sub catchment (62%); Mishumba & Chezho (57%), Mishumba and Rweibare (52%), Lower Chezho (48%); Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho (45%); Middle Chezho (39%); Kinyara (35%) and Mishumba (35%) **(Figure** 4-114**).** However, there is another newly formed water body used for local fishing at place called Rugaga in Middle Chezho sub catchment (**Figure** 4-115).



Figure 4-114: Fishing activities as per sub-catchment

Fishing for Income: The proportion of persons who capture fisheries for sale (income) was lowest at 21%; 23% do it but not frequently while majority at 56% said they are not sure if capture fisheries are sold by local people. There are few individuals who carry out aquaculture in Lower Chezho sub catchment (Ntundu and Kyamusooni parishes).



Figure 4-115: A water pond formed as a result of flash flood (May 2020) used for fishing by local people

4.4.2.3 Food Crops

In terms of food, 93% of respondents said they frequently cultivate near the floodplain in order to grow food as shown in **Figure** 4-116 below (Lower Chezho Sub-catchment).



Figure 4-116: Gardens of food crops in the Irrigable areas

4.4.2.4 Wild Fruits

14% (54) households frequently collect wild fruits e.g., berries; 79% (306) not frequently due to scarcity and 7% (29) not sure if other people collect wild fruits.

4.4.2.5 Hunting - Uses of mammals (eaten or not eaten)

The local communities reported to either have eaten or not eaten some of the following biodiversity namely rats (rodents), wild birds, snakes, reptiles (lizards), wild animals, monkeys as shown in **Table** 4-23 and **Figure** 4-117 below.

Biodiversity	Lower Mishumba &	Lower Mishumba	Lower Chezho	Middle Chezho	Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho	Mishumba & Rweibare	Mishumba	Mishumba & Chezho	Kinyara
Insects e.g., ants wasps	100%	100	100%	100	100%	100	100	100	100
		%		%		%	%	%	%
Frogs Ekyeere with short front legs	44%	56%	4%	0%	25%	30%	62%	81%	0%
Austalausa	1000/	0.00/	050/	100	1000/	100	100	100	100
Antelope	100%	98%	95%	%	100%	%	%	%	%
Monkeys (Enkyede)	7%	5%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Rats (rodents) (Enkyeli)	0%	2%	0%	9%	6%	0%	14%	5%	0%
Wild birds (Endahi,	1000/	0.00	0.70/	100	1000/	100	100	100	100
Enkware Enkofu, etc.)	100%	90%	97%	%	100%	%	%	%	%
Snakes (Enjoki)	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Reptiles (lizards, monitor lizard, esswasa etc.)	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 4-23: Use of mammals (eaten or not eaten) by local communities

Other Wild animals									
(baboons, fox leopard	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
etc.)									



Figure 4-117: Percentage of persons who have eaten or eat particular species of frogs for food

4.4.2.6 Hunting - Use of birds

Averagely, 84% of persons reported to have eaten 1 bird (excluding the domestic avifauna like chicken) per meal; 15% have ever eaten 2 birds per meal; 1% more than 1 bird. The number of persons who have ever eaten 1 bird per meal is higher in Mishumba and Lower Mishumba & Chezho sub catchment at 100%. In Mishumba & Chezho, it stands at 90%; 88% in Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho; 86% in Lower Mishumba; 85% in Middle Chezho.

In terms of weight, 70% of persons reported that average weight of bird ever eaten was 1kg; 10% said weight was 2kg; and 20% stated it was ½ kg (half kilo).

4.4.2.6.1 Major ways of hunting / capturing

The major form of hunting is by use of traps which are used frequently as shown in table below.

Use of traps	Frequently	Not Sure	Not Frequently
Lower Mishumba & Chezho	53%	24%	22%
Lower Mishumba	77%	19%	5%
Lower Chezho	73%	14%	13%
Middle Chezho	93%	7%	0%
Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho	88%	6%	6%
Mishumba & Rweibare	61%	30%	9%
Mishumba	90%	0%	10%
Mishumba & Chezho	71%	29%	0%
Kinyara	100%	0%	0%

Table 4-24: Ranking of major ways of hunting (traps) used as per sub-catchment

4.4.2.7 Wood Fuel

99% frequently collect firewood for domestic use while 85% frequently collect firewood for sale.

4.4.2.8 Timber and Wood Fibre

97% collect building materials (e.g., grass, poles) but not frequently, while only 3% frequently collect raw materials (e.g., papyrus, rattan)



Figure 4-118: Building material (Papyrus) collected from the midstream (irrigable area)



Figure 4-119: Children collecting timber from Rwoho CFR



Figure 4-120: Timber and firewood collection from Rwoho CFR

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



Figure 4-121: Timber lumbering activity in Rwoho CFR near the dam site



Figure 4-122: Timber lumbering activity in Rwoho CFR in the middle of the reservoir area

4.4.2.9 Natural Medicines

12% frequently collect herbs and medicinal products; 82% not frequently

4.4.2.10 Brick making

4% frequently make bricks from clay; 95% not frequently; 1% not sure



4.4.2.11 Sand Mining

21% mine sand for sale frequently; 50% not frequently; 29% not sure

4.4.3 Cultural Services (Non-material benefits)

4.4.3.1 Recreation or Leisure

6% frequently use for recreation and leisure e.g., swimming, while 2% not frequently; 92% not sure.

4.4.3.2 Spiritual and Religious Values

0.2% frequently use for cultural rituals (e.g., worship spaces); 1.3% not frequently; 98% not sure.

4.4.3.3 Summary

Table 4-25: Summary of Ecosystem Services Survey (ESS) findings

Ecosystem services	Percentage & Frequency					
	Frequently	Not	Not sure			
		frequent				
Fresh Water						
Bathing in river stream	100% (386)	0	0			
Washing clothes in river stream	100% (386)	0	0			
Collecting drinking water	97% (376)	1% (5)	2% (6)			
Use river water for crop irrigation	80% (312)	19% (74)	1% (3)			
Watering livestock esp. cattle	94% (361)	2% (9)	4% (15)			
Foods						
Cultivate near or within wetland (crop land)	93% (357)	7% (25)	1% (2)			
Capture fisheries						
Fishing for domestic consumption (food at home)	52% (201)	29% (114)	1% (2)			
Fishing for Sale (income)	21% (80)	23% (88)	56% (218)			
Wild foods	·		·			
Collect wild fruits (e.g., berries)	14% (54)	79% (302)	8% (29)			
Wood fuel						
Collect firewood for domestic use	99% (386)	1% (2)	0%			
Collect firewood for sale (income)	85% (324)	13% (49)	3% (13)			
Fiber (Timber and wood fibers)						
Collect building materials (e.g., grass, poles)	2% (8)	97% (372)	1% (4)			
Collect raw materials (e.g., papyrus, rattan)	3% (11)	32% (124)	65% (249)			
Biochemicals, natural medicines, and pharmaceu	ticals					
Collect herbs and medicinal products	12% (47)	82% (313)	6% (24)			
Recreation and ecotourism						
Recreation / Leisure (e.g., swimming)	6% (23)	2% (9)	92% (351)			
Spiritual and religious values / Ethical values						
Cultural rituals (e.g., worship spaces)	0.2% (3)	1.3% (5)	98% (374)			
Other uses						
Mining (sand, stones)	4% (15)	95% (364)	1% (3)			
Brick making / pottery	21% (80)	50% (190)	29% (112)			



Figure 4-123: Percentage of persons who fluently access and utilise ecosystem services within micro-catchments of selected sample sites

Provisioning & Cultural Ecosystem Services	Lower Mishumba & Chezho	Lower Mishumba	Lower Chezho	Middle Chezho	Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho	Mishumba & Rweibare	Mishumba	Mishumba & Chezho	Kinyara
Use river Water for irrigation crops	93%	100%	75%	72%	94%	83%	57%	30%	83%
Fishing for domestic use (food at home)	62%	87%	48%	39%	45%	52%	35%	57%	35%
Fish for Sale income	47%	20%	28%	0%	9%	22%	17%	22%	17%
Leisure recreation	22%	13%	37%	67%	30%	43%	20%	13%	35%
Collect drinking water	100%	100%	88%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Bathing	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Washing clothes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Watering livestock e g cattle	93%	77%	96%	100%	100%	74%	100%	96%	100%
Cultural rituals-worship spaces, sacred									
sites)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sand stone mining	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%
Brick making pottery	16%	0%	27%	65%	23%	9%	0%	0%	0%
Collect building mat Is e g grass poles	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	9%	10%	0%	0%
Collect raw material papyrus rattan etc.	0%	9%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	10%	0%
Grow crops near or within wetland	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	38%	48%	100%
Collect wild fruits e g berries	49%	18%	9%	0%	13%	17%	10%	5%	0%
Collect firewood	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Collect herbs and medicine	31%	18%	18%	0%	3%	22%	0%	5%	0%
Collect fuel wood for home use	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Collect fuel wood for sale income	84%	95%	66%	93%	81%	91%	91%	91%	100%
Collect Herbs and medicines	11%	0%	3%	0%	6%	9%	22%	22%	0%
Recreation leisure	20%	14%	3%	0%	0%	13%	10%	0%	0%
Cultural rituals sacred sites worship	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 4-26: Number of persons who frequently access or utilize provisioning and cultural ecosystem services

4.4.4 Major concerns

Table 4-27: Major concerns of local people captured during consultations and the survey

Major Concerns	ower 1ishumba & hezho	ower 1ishumba	ower Chezho	1iddle Chezho	yabaganda & 1iddle Chezho	1ishumba & weibare	1 ishumba	1ishumba & hezho	inyara
Need for Compensation of land	91%	86%	17%	2 9%	35%	100%	2 52%	81%	<u>⊻</u> 0%
Disruption of water sources	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100 %	100%
Floods due to dam overflow bursting	100%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	14%	5%	100%
People esp. Children drowning in dam	100%	100%	2%	0%	46%	100%	24%	62%	0%
Disruption of Fishing activities	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100 %	100%
Disruption of firewood sources	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100 %	100%
Disruption of grazing land for animals	100%	100%	88%	91%	90%	100%	100%	100 %	100%
Destruction of crops	100%	100%	25%	23%	36%	100%	62%	100 %	17%
Destruction of property	78%	91%	3%	0%	29%	96%	0%	5%	0%
Disruption of road user's blockage	100%	100%	90%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100 %	83%



Figure 4-124: Ranking of floods due to dam overflow/break as a major concern by upstream, midstream and downstream communities



River Mishumba gauging station downstream of the proposed dam site and before the Mishumba – Rweibare confluence

ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY 5

5.1 **Environmental Flows (e-flows)**

Environmental flows (e-flows) describe the quantity, timing, and quality of freshwater flows and levels necessary to sustain aquatic ecosystems which, in turn, support human cultures, economies, sustainable livelihoods, and well-being" as guided by (The Brisbane Declaration 2007). The declaration, among others concluded that e-flow management Step 2: Assess water availability provides the water flows needed to sustain freshwater and estuarine ecosystems in coexistence with agriculture, industry, and cities. The declaration also put forward global agenda commitments key among which is to integrate e-flow management into every aspect of land and water management.

It is recognized that the health and sustainability of river ecosystems depends on multiple factors, including flow regime, river hydraulics (e.g., geometry of channel and riparian zone), level of exploitation, presence of physical barriers to connectivity, among others. Thus, it involves a number of biological, geomorphological, physical, and chemical processes in a river that forms and maintains aquatic ecosystems. In addition, it involves stakeholders and experts from multiple disciplines to account for socioeconomic issues (between river flows and livelihoods).

Ecosystems include river fauna and flora, floodplains and wetlands watered by floods, groundwater-dependent ecosystems replenished through river seepage, and estuaries (Davis and Hirji 2003).

5.2 Methods of Environmental Flow Assessment (EFA)

According to Brown & King (2003), specifying and understanding the e-flows of a river ecosystem, minimizes or mitigates the impacts of new water resource developments, rehabilitates systems impacted by past developments and allows calculation of the costs of compensating people for such impacts. In order to assess and quantify the e-flows, both prescriptive and interactive approaches can be applied. These include categories of methods like (1) hydrological, (2) hydraulic rating, (3) habitat simulation and (4) holistic methods.

- a) **Hydrological Index methods**: these primarily use hydrological data (historical monthly or daily flow records) for making e-flow recommendations for maintaining river health at a designated level. These include the Modified Tennant (Tessmann), Flow Duration Curve (FDC) analysis (Q_{90}) and Range of Variability Approach (RVA), among others.
- b) Hydraulic rating methods: use changes in simple hydraulic variables (e.g., wetted perimeter) across single river cross-section as surrogate for habitat factors limiting to

trade-offs and cost-benefit Step 5: Negotiate

Step 4: Evaluate

and level of alteration

> water allocation between competing users

Step 1: Define context

> Step 3: Determine

environmental water demands

Step 6: Monitor and report

target biota. A number of measurements are taken during field visits depending on the level of confidence required for the study.

- c) Habitat simulation methods: Assess e-flows on basis of modeling of quantity and suitability of physical habitat available to target species under different flow regimes (integrated hydrological, hydraulic and biological response data). The adopted models were the *IFIM* (*Instream Flow Incremental Methodology*) and *PHABSIM* (*Physical Habitat Simulation*).
- d) Holistic methods: consider the whole riverine ecosystem and this holistic idea was raised with the Brisbane declaration in 2007. These methods need experts in different fields. It includes identifying important flow events for all major components of the river, model relationships between flow and ecological, geomorphological and social responses, and use in interdisciplinary team approach to establish recommended e-flow regime/implications of flow scenarios (bottom-up or top-down). These methods include DRIFT, BBM, EPAM, SPAM, FMP, FLOWRESM, among others. In addition, they also consider the river morphological processes, as well as integration of environmental flow assessment with sediment management and dynamics. Due to their depth of evaluation, data collection and extent of expert consultations, application of these holistic methodologies can be time consuming (at least one year).

The assessment of the Environmental Flow Requirement (EFR) was based on the Building Block Methodology (BBM). The BBM is a methodology – a body of methods that together produces an output greater and more all-encompassing than the methods could produce individually. The BBM tool organises, uses a holistic, structured way and a disparate array of knowledge and data. Each specialist chooses the methods most appropriate for his/her discipline, to produce data in the required form and nature for use in the BBM. The BBM process is used both to guide on this required form, and to organise the incoming data and knowledge to provide the required output. The output, or product, of applying the BBM is a modified flow regime, quantified in space and time. This is specific for the river, and for the desired future condition for that river (King et al., 2008)."

5.3 Selection of Models

In undertaking this study, basis and key guidance was the Brisbane Declaration as to the need for setting and managing e-flows for the Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme. Given the hydrological and hydraulic status of River Mishumba as described in the introduction section, and requirement for high quality data (hydrological and hydraulics), methodology such as the DRIFT, as stated in the ToR failed to generate any significant meaningful results when applied. Background description and case for the choice of methods used, and the basis for deviation from the methods mentioned in the ToR is provided. To this effect, two (2) feasible models were employed for this assignment including:

- a. the Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold (MBFIT) and
- b. the HEC RAS model.

5.3.1 The Integrated e-flow analysis Approach

The Integrated e-flow analysis Approach put forward by the WMO (2019) is an interactive approach, ideal for applying holistic models for EFA. The approach is based on disciplines such as hydrology, fluvial geomorphology and ecology. The procedure for the definition of e-flows comprises four main parts: (a) morphological characterization of the river system, (b) hydrological and sediment regime analysis, (c) ecological response to altered flow regime and selection of target communities, and (d) comparison and selection of possible flow release scenarios (Figure 5-1). The following sections describe each methodological step.



Figure 5-1: Flow chart of the main procedural steps for e-flow assessment integrated with tools developed from the hydrology, fluvial geomorphology and biology

5.3.1.1 Morphological characterization of the river system

Stream morphological characterization was used to: (a) identify the homogeneous hydromorphological reaches (and the related geomorphic units) that are the survey features for successive evaluations, (b) evaluate the status of alteration of the river reach and (c) define the strategies for releasing e-flows. Homogeneous hydromorphological reaches (same channel morphology and hydrological characteristics) have a homogeneous response in terms of hydromorphological processes. Therefore, e-flows were defined considering this reach spatial scale.

A morphology is defined according to a hierarchical segmentation procedure that considers, for each spatial unit, the variation of some characteristic variables, which are significant for project area's spatial scale. Channels were classified based on: (a) channel pattern and confinement conditions, (b) significant discontinuities of control variables (discharges or slope) and (c) sediment type in the channel.

The definition of channel morphology utilised information from satellite imagery and field visits to infer a general characterization of geomorphic units occurring in the reach (presence/absence of units characterizing a certain morphology). In process, each channel typology exhibits a certain typical spectrum of geomorphic units, whose assemblage is the result of processes that determined the local morphology, according to the guiding variables and the boundary conditions that act at the upper spatial scales.

5.3.1.2 Ecological response to altered flow regime and selection of target communities

The fish, flora and fauna communities were proposed as an e-flow indicators and considered as a main target community for the definition of e-flows. Owing to its ecological response, the composition and status of the fish, flora and fauna communities can be used to evaluate and ecologically validate specific e-flow releases. A methodology to define e-flows, based on the status of local fish, can therefore be an efficient tool to determine the impact of hydromorphological alterations (future or present) and to inform stakeholders on the alteration of the environmental status of water bodies.

To determine the composition of the target fish community, it was necessary to list the available species based on the official and historical data available from the public administration or local environmental agencies (fish maps, environmental monitoring among others) and on the life cycle and migration trajectories of involved populations. These lists were subsequently validated through direct fish sampling in the reaches where derivations were planned.

5.3.2 Definition of hydrological environmental flow regime (HEFR)

The HEFR methodology provides a meaningful statistical depiction of the occurrence of instream flows and, when integrated with appropriate biology, water quality, geomorphology, and riparian vegetation overlays, provides a foundation for environmental flow regime recommendations. The first major decision in application of the HEFR methodology is the selection of an appropriate method for hydrographic separation. Methods considered and used in the study included Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold (MBFIT). A key step in HEFR is the separation of hydrologic data into components lumped into five groups: (1) magnitude of monthly flow conditions; (2) magnitude and duration of extreme flow events (e.g., high and low flows); (3) the

timing of extreme flow events; (4) frequency and duration of high low flow pulses; and (5) the rate and frequency of changes in flows.

A low flow pils is number of times that the daily time-step hydrograph pulses are below the lowflow threshold for each calendar year; threshold set at 50% of the mean flow rate.

The method is based on simple summary statistics of individual flow regime components. Generally, either the EFC algorithm (in the IHA software) or the Modified Base Flow Index with Threshold (MBFIT) method is used as a convenient tool to parse a hydrograph into individual flow regime components. This is because (1)) it is computationally efficient, allowing for repeated tests and exploratory analyses, (2) there is significant flexibility in setting parameters to parse the hydrograph as well as summary statistics of the flow regime components, (3) the results have the same format as expected results from the TIFP studies, and (4) it provides an initial set of recommendations that reflect key aspects of the natural flow regime including multiple flow components and hydrologic conditions.

Therefore, a hydrographic separation algorithm was used to parse the daily hydrograph into the four flow regime components, based on user-specified parameters. The daily flows were then distilled and hydrographic separation results were output into a suite of summary statistics that form the basis for an environmental flow recommendation.

5.3.2.1 The Modified Base Flow Index Method with Threshold (MBFIT) Algorithm

The Base Flow Index Method was used to separate hydrographs into base flow and runoff components, where every day is assigned some amount of base flow and some days additionally have runoff flow. The method is based upon dividing the daily time series of flows into N-day windows and tracking the time series of minima in each window. The window length N is at the disposal of the user and is considered a measure of the time for a storm hydrograph to substantially recede. The basic functioning of the algorithm is as follows: if the daily flow in excess of the associated minimum is less than the associated minimum of times the parameter RF (Runoff Fraction, RF<1), that day is initially classified as a base flow, unless the flow exceeds the upper threshold. This calculation approach typically has a large emphasis on rate of change and a lesser emphasis on flow magnitude when making distinctions between base flows and high flow pulses.

Using the MBFIT method, subsistence flows and overbank events were coded in similarly to the IHA EFC algorithm. Following the default HEFR parameter set, subsistence flows were identified as the lowest 10% of the initially-classified base flows. Similarly, initially-classified high flow pulse events that exceed the 1.5-year return flow was then classified as overbank flow events.

5.3.2.2 Selection of Flow regimes

The information derived from the HEFR was used to develop the following 4 flow regimes. The selection of four regimes was based on the fact that the flow in the river of interest was very low. These groups were then used to define flow regimes as follows in the **Table** 5-1 below.

Table 5-1: The Flow Regimes Developed for EFA

Environmental	Importance to ecosystem
Flow	

Component	
(EFC)	
Low flows	These are the daily flows that occur outside of high-flow peaks. They define the
	basic hydrological nature of the river: its dry and wet season, and degree of
	pereniality. The different magnitudes of low-flow in the dry and wet seasons create
	more or less wetted habitat and different hydraulic and water quality conditions,
	which directly influence the balance of species anytime of the year.
Small floods	Small floods are ecologically important in the dry season. They stimulate spawning
	in fish, flush out poor-quality water, mobilize and sort gravels and corbels thereby
	enhancing physical heterogeneity of the riverbed, and contribute to flow
	variability. They reset a wide spectrum of conditions in the river, triggering and
	synchronizing activities as varies as upstream migrations of fish and germination
	of riparian seedlings.
Large floods	Large floods trigger many of the same responses as do the small ones, but
	additionally provide for scouring flows that influence the form of the channel. They
	mobilize coarse sediments, and deposit silt, nutrients, eggs and seeds on flood
	plains. They inundate backwaters and secondary channels, and trigger bursts of
	growth in many species. They re-charge soil moisture levels in the banks, inundate
	flood plains, among others.
Flow	Fluctuating discharges constantly change conditions through each day and season,
variability	creating mosaics of areas inundated and exposed for different lengths of time. The
	resulting physical heterogeneity determines the local distribution of species:
	higher physical diversity enhances biodiversity.

The low flows: the daily flows between high-flow peaks are divided into data sets for different seasons:

- wet-season low flows; and
- dry-season low flows.

The high flows: the peak events of higher flow are allocated to one of the following:

- four size classes of intra-annual floods; and
- floods with a return period of up to 2, 5, 10 and 20 years.

Low flows are distinguished from high flows using one of two criteria: the rate of change of the slope of the daily hydrograph, or the discharge at which selected features of the channel become inundated. The four classes of intra-annual floods are delineated by simple halving of magnitudes from the 1: 2-year flood, as halving the magnitude of an event results, in general terms, in a significant change in the sediment-moving power of the flood. However, due to the small magnitudes of flow in the rivers, intra-annual floods were not divided.

5.3.2.3 Derivation of Hydraulic characteristics of flow regimes at sampling points

The modeling of the hydraulic characteristics of the sampling point for each flow regime was done using the HEC-RAS model. HEC-RAS uses a number of input parameters for hydraulic analysis of the stream channel geometry and water flow. These parameters are used to establish a series of cross-sections along the stream. In each cross-section, the locations of the stream banks are identified and used to divide into segments of left floodway, main channel, and right floodway.
At each cross-section, HEC-RAS uses several input parameters to describe shape, elevation, and relative location along the stream such as:

- River station (cross-section) number.
- Lateral and elevation coordinates for each (dry, unflooded) terrain point.
- Left and right bank station locations.
- Reach lengths between the left floodway, stream centerline, and right floodway of adjacent cross-sections.
- Manning's roughness coefficients.
- Channel contraction and expansion

The outputs of the model include the top width, velocities, wetted perimeter, hydraulic depth, flows for different parts of the stream at the sampling point, Froude numbers, among other parameters for each of the flow regime identified. This information was then overlaid with the sampling outputs from the ecological surveys to describe the different ecological conditions and requirements at each Sampling site.

5.3.3 Environmental Flows Assessment for key fish species as representatives of key biomes in the catchment

The fishes and other living aquatic organisms in rivers are usually adapted to the particular patterns of flow found there, and as such it is expected that the planned changes in flow in River Mishumba will disrupt the adaptations of the living organisms therein, in a manner different from the regular natural receding and flooding of the catchment with alternating dry and wet seasons. In River Mishumba, it was established that they are generally two categories of fishes, the limnophilic ones which include tilapias and haplochromine species, and those that are rheophilic represented by African catfish and Victoria carp. The rheophilic were found mainly occupying runs and ripples in the main channel of the river, while the limnophilic occupied exclusively pool waters and closer to the adjoining vegetation and or swamps. Changes in flow will, therefore, tend to favour one or other of these communities, increasing flows leading to a larger presence of rheophilic species, decreasing flows encouraging colonization by limnophilic species. Adequate flows are also essential for the breeding and migration of these fishes especially in wet season as physiologically fish respond to flood conditions by becoming sexually ripe and by migrating to breeding grounds. In case of Victoria carp and African catfish that is upstream, while for tilapias and haplochromines that is in the flooded sandy and vegetated plains. In both forms, most especially the limnophilic species, certain critical levels of flow are needed to maintain certain types of breeding substrate in a suitable condition for spawning. In this study, the holistic method was used following basic steps:

- a. acquisition of the flow-related information on respective fish species in River Mishumba, including an estimate of fish species composition, abundance and habitat use in relation to flow conditions;
- b. linking the respective fish species to key aspects of the flow regime using a conceptual model;
- c. identifying and aligning the e-flow demands for the biodiversity with the environmental flow objectives; and
- d. Using the hydraulic and hydrologic data and models generated under hydrological modelling to determine the magnitude, duration, frequency and timing of flows required to meet these

objectives; and the particulars on the flow-related ecological requirements of each fish species and developmental stage known or expected to occur in the different reaches of River Mishumba as per occurrence of different fish species.

Given the paucity of long term or time series data, the ephemeral nature of River Mishumba, and limited knowledge of how the contained fisheries biodiversity actually responds to the natural changes in flow in River Mishumba, the method used here for EFA was informed by the Building Block Methodology as developed in South Africa for such circumstances (King, 2008). This was based on updating of knowledge of species occurrence and distributions following set longitudinal zonation (reaches) of the river along with hydrology and socioeconomic data generated during the surveys. In adopting the BBM methodology the study also took in consideration the following assumptions as given by the developers of the methodology:

- a. The biota associated with a river can cope with those low flow conditions that naturally occur in it often, and may be reliant on higher flow conditions that naturally occur in it at certain times. This assumption reflects the thinking that the flows that are a normal characteristic of a specific river, no matter how extreme, variable or unpredictable they may be, are ones to which the riverine species characteristic of that river are adapted and on which they may be reliant. On the other hand, flows that are not characteristic of that river will constitute an atypical disturbance to the riverine ecosystem and could fundamentally change its character.
- b. Identification of what are felt to be the most important components of the natural flow regime and their incorporation as part of the modified flow regime will facilitate maintenance of the natural biota and natural functioning of the river.
- c. Certain kinds of flow influence channel geomorphology more than others do. Identification of such flows and their incorporation into the modified flow regime will aid maintenance of the natural channel structure and diversity of physical biotopes.

Additionally, the study considered literature and assessed the physical and chemical tolerance ranges, specific flow-related requirements, and vulnerable lifecycle stages, of key species to the extent possible within the study time. The study sought and established existence of sites, species or communities of special importance in Mishumba Catchment that were likely to be affected by development of the irrigation scheme including identifying ecologically the key species in the system and their essential flow-related requirements. Here the study considered aquatic wildlife (fish species) and riparian vegetation communities owing to the limited secondary data and lack of time to gather data for other ecological components. Inputs on aquatic mammals, reptiles and amphibians, water birds and macrophytes were also included but largely as to their occurrence. Thus, the methodology incorporated and used relevant secondary and primary data on information on the river. The following ecological aspects were selected following Kleynhans (1999) model, as the basis for the assessment

- a. For both instream and riparian components of the river: the overall species diversity, and the presence of rare and endangered species, unique species (i.e., endemic or isolated populations) and communities, and species intolerant of change.
- b. Reaches with a high diversity of habitat types such as pools, riffles, runs, rapids, waterfalls and riparian forests.

- c. Biodiversity in its general form (i.e., sensu Noss 1990), as far as available information allows inclusion of this aspect.
- d. Importance of the river or stretch of river in providing connectivity between different parts of the system, that is, whether it provides an important migration route or corridor for species' movements.
- e. The presence of conservation or other relatively natural areas along the river section. The sensitivity (or fragility) and resilience of the system.
- f. Biotic and abiotic components of the river should be considered.

The assigning of importance and value for the key species and ecological components was guided by the description in **Table** 5-2.

Table 5-2: Framework for assigning of ecological importance in setting of e-flows to different species and species groups in Mishumba catchment

Rare and endangered biota	Biota can be rare or endangered on a local, Provincial or National scale. Useful sources for this information include the South African Red Data Books that are suitable for assessment on a National scale.	 Very High (Rated 4). One or more species/taxa rare or endangered on a national scale. High (3). One or more species/taxa rare or endangered on a Provincial/regional scale. Moderate (2). More than one species/taxon rare or endangered on a local scale. Marginal (1). One species/taxon rare or endangered at a local scale. None (0). No rare or endangered species/taxon at any scale.
Unique biota	Include endemic or uniquely isolated species populations (or taxa, i.e., in the case of invertebrates) that are not rare or endangered. Do not include rare and endangered species assessed in the previous category. The assessment should be based on professional knowledge. The Fynbos Biome in the Western Cape is a hotspot of biodiversity with many endemic species. This region is thus assessed separately.	 Very High (4). One or more populations (or taxa) unique on a National scale. For the Western Cape, rate at the Biome scale. High (3). One or more populations (or taxa) unique on a Provincial/regional scale. For the Western Cape, rate at a sub-regional scale (i.e., northern, western, southern and karroid). Moderate (2). More than one population (or taxon) unique on a local scale. Marginal (1). One population (or taxon) unique at a local scale. None (0). No population (or taxon) unique at any scale.
Intolerant biota	Intolerant taxa include those that are known (or suspected) of being intolerant to decreased or increased flow conditions, as well as to flow related changes in physical habitat and water quality. As little	 Very High (4). A very high proportion of the biota dependent on permanently flowing water during all phases of life cycles. High (3). A high proportion of the biota dependent on permanently flowing water during all phases of life cycles.

	experimental information is	•	Moderate (2). A small proportion of the biota	
	available on the intolerance		dependent on permanently flowing water during	
	of indigenous biota,		some phases of life cycles.	
	assessment should be based	•	Marginal (1). A very low proportion of the biota	
	on professional judgement.		temporarily dependent on flowing water for the	
	Where all rivers are		completion of life cycles. Sporadic and seasonal	
	perennial (and would thus		flow events expected to meet needs.	
	score highly), use fish only. š	•	None (0). Few if any biota with any dependence on	
			flowing water.	
Species/taxon	This kind of assessment	٠	Very High (4). Rated on a National scale, except for	
richness.	should be based on the		the Western Cape where it is rated on a Biome	
	grouping of ecologically		scale.	
	similar rivers. However, such	•	High (3). Rated on a Provincial or regional scale,	
	a system is still under		except for the Western Cape where it is rated on a	
	development, and so at		sub-regional scale (i.e., northern, western,	
	present should be based on		southern and karroid).	
	professional judgement.	•	Moderate (2). Rated on a local scale. Marginal/low	
			(1). Not significant at any scale.	
		•	A rating of none is not appropriate in this context.	

Table 5-3: Framework for assigning ecological importance and sensitivity

Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	Class Range of Median
Very high: Rivers that are unique on a national or even international	> 3 and 4
level based on biodiversity aspects.	
High: Rivers that are unique on a national scale based on biodiversity	> 2 and 3
aspects.	
Moderate: Rivers that are unique on a provincial or local scale based	> 1 and 2
on biodiversity aspects.	
Low/marginal: Rivers that are not unique at any scale.	> 0 and 1

5.3.4 Consideration of the e-flow requirements of other biodiversity

The fish was used as a key indicator organism for impact in unnatural flow changes to the other biodiversity. These included the vegetation and flora, fauna, invertebrates and the socio-economic indicators. However, in assessing the impacts and devising management measures to mitigate the impact, each species needs and requirements was be taken into account and these were stated in the biodiversity and e-flow management plan.

A flood along River Mishumba that destroyed a community access during the wet season (November 2020)

6 ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW ASSESSMENT (EFA)

6.1 Derivation of the Environmental flows (e-flows) Requirement

The process of establishing the environmental flow requirement involved undertaking a hydrologic analysis to establish the different flow regimes and floods of various return periods. A hydraulic analysis was then undertaken to enable the conversion of biotic requirements into flow values. Flow requirements at the sampling points were then established and used to infer the necessary releases at the dam.

6.1.1 Modified Base Flow Index (MBFI) with Threshold approach

The Hydrologic analyses established the initial building blocks for the study as follows :the low flows, maintenance flows, small floods, fresh nets and large floods. These five (5) flows components provide a heuristic framework for describing the ways in which an organism experiences river flow variability i.e., the hydrologic changes that are directly related to the quality of ecosystems. For instance, low flows determine the amount and characteristics (e.g., temperature, flow velocity, connectivity, etc.) of aquatic habitat that is available for most of the year.

Table 6-1 shows the rates of different flow regimes developed using MBFIT method over the 12 months with thresholds for change in rates (Table 6-2) including percentiles and recurrence intervals against their magnitude in Table 6-3.

	0.25	0.5	0.75
Month	← MBFIT → 25th	← MBFIT → 50th	← MBFIT → 75th
Jan	0	0	0
Feb	0.10	0.10	0.20
Mar	0.20	0.20	0.40
Apr	0.40	0.60	0.60
May	0.20	0.40	0.60
Jun	0.10	0.10	0.10
Jul	0.10	0.10	0.10
Aug	0.10	0.10	0.10
Sep	0.10	0.10	0.30
Oct	0.20	0.40	0.60
Nov	0.40	0.60	0.70
Dec	0.20	0.30	0.60

Table 6-1: MBFIT analysis of flow rates of River Mishumba flows for 12 months

Table 6-2: Rates of change in flows over the 12 months under the HEFR approach

	Rate of Change
% Increase on rise	0.25
% Decrease on fall	0.05

Table 6-3: Thresholds in f	form of percentiles,	recurrence intervals	against the mag	nitude for River
Mishumba				

	Thresholds		
	Percentile	Magnitude	
high flow upper	0.75	0.8	
high flow lower	0.25	0.2	
	Recurrence interval	Magnitude	
extreme low flow	0.1	-	
small flood	2	1.8	
large flood	5	2.4	

Table 6-4: Summary of current flow regime using different methodologies

	MBFIT		
Quartile	25th	50th	75th
	m³/s	m³/s	m³/s
Jan	0	0	0
Feb	0.1	0.1	0.2
Mar	0.2	0.2	0.4
Apr	0.4	0.6	0.6
May	0.2	0.4	0.6
Jun	0.1	0.1	0.1
Jul	0.1	0.1	0.1
Aug	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sep	0.1	0.1	0.3
Oct	0.2	0.4	0.6
Nov	0.4	0.6	0.7
Dec	0.2	0.3	0.6

The long-term timeseries (**Table** 4-2) of flows were analyzed to characterize flows, in terms of the parts of the flow regime recognized for each sampling point.

Flow	Importance to ecosystem		
Low flows	These are the daily flows that occur outside of high-flow peaks. They define the		
	basic hydrological nature of the river: its dry and wet season, and degree of		
	pereniality. The different magnitudes of low-flow in the dry and wet seasons create		
	more or less wetted habitat and different hydraulic and water quality conditions,		
	which directly influence the balance of species anytime of the year.		
Small	Small floods are ecologically important in the dry season. They stimulate spawning		
floods	in fish, flush out poor-quality water, mobilize and sort gravels and cobles thereby		
	enhancing physical heterogeneity of the riverbed, and contribute to flow		
	variability. They reset a wide spectrum of conditions in the river, triggering and		

	synchronizing activities as varies as upstream migrations of fish and germination of riparian seedlings.
Large floods	Large floods trigger many of the same responses as do the small ones, but additionally provide for scouring flows that influence the form of the channel. They mobilize coarse sediments, and deposit silt, nutrients, eggs and seeds on flood plains. They inundate backwaters and secondary channels, and triggers bursts of growth in many species. They re-charge soil moisture levels in the banks, inundate flood plains, among others.
Flow variability	Fluctuating discharges constantly change conditions through each day and season, creating mosaics of areas inundated and exposed for different lengths of time. The resulting physical heterogeneity determines the local distribution of species: higher physical diversity enhances biodiversity.

The naturalized flow regimes at the dam site, their extent and hydraulic/hydrologic characteristics are presented in **Table** 6-5. These characteristics act as the basis for the description of the existence or non-existence of relevant ecological situations.

Table 6-5: Flow regimes and their extent and hydraulic/hydrologic characteristics

Flow regime	Flow limits in m ³ /s
Dry season flows, Dry Years	0.05
Wet season flows, Dry Years	0.11
Dry season flows, Wet Years	0.1
Wet season flows, Wet Years	0.3
High flows lower	0.2
High flows upper	0.8
Small floods	1.8
Large floods	2.4

Conversion of the summary hydrological statistics to information on local hydraulic conditions is the vital link that allows river scientists to understand why river features and species occur where they do.

6.2 Results of the hydraulic analysis at the sampling points affected by the dam

A quantitative understanding of a river's flow regime, its physical structure, and its depth/velocity regime, derived jointly and severally from hydrological and hydraulic analyses, is a prerequisite for deriving quantitative information about its ecological functioning.

The various biotic components are mainly represented in terms of parameters such as water depth, flow velocity, wetted perimeter and water surface width. Time is added as a parameter, by referring to the frequency of occurrence of a particular discharge, or the duration of inundation resulting from a particular flooding event. Duration, depth and lateral extent of inundation are especially relevant when considering the water requirements of riparian biotas. The results of

hydraulic analysis and modelling therefore form the essential link between the flow of water in the river in cubic meters and the way in which river scientists express the water requirements for the river system itself. The product of the hydraulic analyses comprises a series of relationships between discharge and, among other parameters, water depth, flow velocity, wetted perimeter and water surface width. This information was used to quantify flow requirements for the river reaches from other parameters as presented in the figures below.



Figure 6-1. Water surface levels at various discharges at sampling point 3



Figure 6-2: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 3



Figure 6-3: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 3



Figure 6-4: Water surface elevation for various discharges at sampling point 6



Figure 6-5: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 6



Figure 6-6: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 6

6.2.3 Sampling Point 8



Figure 6-7: Profile showing water surface levels for various discharges at sampling point 8



Figure 6-8: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 8



Figure 6-9: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 8



Figure 6-10: Profile showing the water surface levels for various water discharges at sampling point 14



Figure 6-11: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 14





6.2.5 Sampling Point 15



Figure 6-13: Profile showing water surface levels for various discharges at sampling point 15



Figure 6-14: Discharge against water depth and velocity at sampling point 15



Figure 6-15: Discharge against wetted perimeter and flow area at sampling point 15

In situ water guality measurements along River Mishumba in the dam area

A company

LUT AL

6.3 Establishment of the Environmental flow Requirement (EFR)

The goal of the assessments was to establish the effects of the e-flows on ecosystems and biodiversity by establishing the connection between hydrological and ecological processes, and modelling the effects of losing a natural or a well-balanced flow regime. This can be variation structure of the river, changes in size and extension of associated floodplains and wetlands, changes in available (quantitative and qualitative) aquatic and riparian habitats, extent of modification of the structure and composition of vegetation stands, impact on vital cycles of a wide range of aquatic organisms (benthic micro-organisms, phytoplankton, zooplankton, fishes, and others). Others include the change in the diversity and abundance of migrating species, colonization of habitats by alien species, change in ecological function of the river system as a corridor and ecosystems connector, loss of cultural and recreational values, determination of economic changes with changes in river flow and structure, and change in role of the river as a basic resources supplier and source of livelihoods in attendant rural communities.

The following section develops the e-flow requirements for various species. The development of e-flow requirements for various species was based on species whose water requirements are dominated by others and would not require further analysis. This is based on the understanding that by satisfying the requirements of those that require more water, their requirements will automatically be met. Therefore, flow requirements concentrated on the requirement for fish, in the papyrus for flora and fauna. It should be understood that these flows were superimposed with the flow required for flushing or maintenance of the river reaches. It has already been indicated that the floods for the return period of 2 years and 5 years will be maintained for this purpose.

6.3.1 Water flow dependence of observed aquatic species

Flow regimes are separated into low flows, flow pulse, high flows and the flood period, where in case of Uganda and other tropical areas are largely determined by whether it is rainy or dry season. Low flows are basically associated with reduced rainfall, while flow pulses are generally attributed to sudden flow increases generated by intense rain activity usually between March and June but could occur even up to October. High flow is in main channel flood, which includes intra-annual floods of about four (4) times a year.. The flow components are broadly associated with particular times of the year. Description of different flow components is shown in **Table** 6-6.

Flow	Hydrological description	Relevant season for
component	nyu ological description	River Mishumba
Low flow	Continuous flow through the channel during the lowflow	Nov. to Mar.
	season, keeping shallow in-stream habitats wetand	
	deep pools.	
Low flow	Continuous flow through the channel during the lowflow	Dec. to Feb.
	season, keeping in-stream habitats wet and fishpassage	
	possible	
Flow pulse	Increases in flow that exceed the low flows as a result of	Feb. to Nov.
	occasional out of season rains	

Table 6-6: Description of flow components for River Mishumba

Flow pulse	Increases in flow that exceed the low flows as a result of	Apr. to Jun.
	heavy rainfall events	
High flow	In-channel flood, some localized inundation, but no	Jul. to Nov.
	general spill onto the floodplain	
Flood	Overbank flood. Higher and less frequent than bank full	Aug. to Nov.
	flows, and spill out of the channel onto thefloodplain.	

6.3.1.1 Conceptual models of flow components and fish species requirements

Flow-related requirements of key fish species were determined from the literature and general knowledge of the ecology of Lake Victoria Region (*Greenwood 1966, Welcomme 1967; Kaufman, 1992; Balirwa 1992; Mwanja 2000*). The foundation for formation of flow-ecology mode of tropical systems as generally described by *Sharma & Dutta (2020)* was used to inform the development of associations between fish and the major components of the flow regime of River Mishumba in terms of magnitude, frequency, duration, timing, rate of change and variability of the flow of the river. These associations are broadly represented as a conceptual model of flow-fish relationships Figure 6-16 and Figure 6-17 respectively, show the links between individual flow components and flow requirements for rheophilic (Victoria carp and African catfish) and limnophilic (Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, and Zilli's tilapia) fishes. Note that, whilst conceptual frameworks are generalized for all the fishes in respective two groups, the assessment of the e-flow here was based on Victoria carp for the rheophilic group, and on Singida tilapia for limnophilic group.







Figure 6-17: Conceptual diagram showing the links between individual flow components and flow requirements for limnophilic fishes of River Mishumba

Ecological feeding and associated requirements for key ecological biodiversity at the different sample points where fish were found during both the dry and wet seasons are described in the Table 6-7, Table 6-11 and Table 6-12 below:

Sampling	Characterisation of	Fish	Stage and	Description of	Season of	Flow requirements	Flow requirements	Flow requirements
Point	site	species	Number of	habitats or	capture	for captured species	for captured fish	for captured species
		captured	fish	point of		– Optimal	species – medium	for sub-optimal
		at site	captured	capture (water		conditions including	conditions	(threshold or
				flow, wetted		duration and	(maintenance)	survival conditions)
				area and		season	including duration	including duration
				depth)			and season	and season
3	Upstream of	Victoria	06	Deep and fast	Wet	Fast waters – can be	Fast flowing waters	Fast flowing waters
	Mishumba River	carp	fingerlings	waters through		shallow or deep (>30	within channel at	within channel at
				forest with		cm) for upstream	depth of 15 to 30 cm	depth of 15 cm for
				ferns in littoral		migration for	for breeding and	breeding and
				waters		breeding and	spawning.	spawning.
						spawning	• In the months of	• In the months of
						• In the months of	March and August.	August.
						March and August.	• 4 days of each	• 4 days of each
						• 12 days of each month	month	month
						Flowing water	Flowing water	Flowing water
						(shallow) to allow for	(shallow) to allow for	(shallow) to allow for
						downstream	downstream	downstream
						migration for spent	migration for spent	migration for spent
						breeders and young	breeders and young	breeders and young
						to River Kagera	to River Kagera	to River Kagera
						• In the months of	• In the months of	 In the months of
						May and Nov	May and Nov	Nov
						• 4 days of each	4 days of each period	4 days of each
						period		period
6	Confluence of	African	1, fingerling,	Deep and fast,	Wet	Fast flowing water	Fast flowing water for	Fast flowing water
	Kisyabagari, Rugonjo	catfish	3 sub-	with mud and		for trigger breeding	trigger breeding and	for trigger breeding
	and Mishumba		adults	silt substrate		and spawning	spawning	and spawning
				with boulders				

Table 6-7: Ecological characteristics and requirements for different stages of key fish species caught in River Mishumba

Sampling Point	Characterisation site	of	Fish species captured at site	Stage and Number of fish captured	Description of habitats or point of capture (water flow, wetted area and depth)	Season of capture	Flow requirements for captured species – Optimal conditions including duration and season	Flow requirements for captured fish species – medium conditions (maintenance) including duration and season	Flow requirements for captured species for sub-optimal (threshold or survival conditions) including duration and season
	Rugonjo Mishumba	and	catfish	adults	waters with mud and silt substrate.	vvet	 April and October 12 days of each season 	 April and October 4 days in each season 	 October 4 days in each season
8	Mishumba River		Victoria carp	03, adults	Fast flow portions of the river	Dry	 Flowing water (shallow) to allow for downstream migration for spent breeders and young to River Kagera 30 to 60 cm depth In the months of January to February. 6 days of each of the two months. 	 Flowing water (shallow) to allow for downstream migration for spent breeders and young to River Kagera At least 30 cm depth In the months of Jan to Feb. 6 days of each two months 	 Flowing water (shallow) to allow for downstream migration for spent breeders and young to River Kagera 15 cm depth In the months of Jan to Feb. 4 days of each the two months
	Confluence Rweibare Mishumba	of and	Zilli's tilapia	3, adults	Vegetated slow flowing waters	Dry	 Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning and feeding. > 30 cm depth The month of Dec. 6 days of each of the two months. 	 Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning and feeding. > 15 cm depth The month of Dec. 6 days of each of the two months. 	 Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning and feeding. > 15 cm depth The month of Dec. 4 days of each of the two months.

Sampling Point	Characterisation of site	Fish species captured at site	Stage and Number of fish captured	Description of habitats or point of capture (water flow, wetted area and depth)	Season of capture	Flow requirements for captured species – Optimal conditions including duration and season	Flow requirements for captured fish species – medium conditions (maintenance) including duration and season	Flow requirements for captured species for sub-optimal (threshold or survival conditions) including duration and season
		Albert tilapia	1, adult	Swampy banks	Wet	Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning, brooding, nursing and feeding. April to June and August to November • > 50 cm depth • The months of April and October. 4 days of each of the two months.	Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning, brooding, nursing and feeding. April to June and August to November • > 30 cm depth • The months of April and October. 4 days of each of the two months.	Deep slow flowing waters to allow for lateral migration for breeding, spawning, brooding, nursing and feeding. April to June and August to November • > 15 cm depth • The month of April. 4 days of the two months.
11	Mid-stream of Chezho River	African catfish	4 adults	Fairly vegetated stream	Wet	 Flooded banks to allow for lateral migration for feeding purposes > 30 cm depth The months of April and October. 12 days of each of the two months. 	Flooded banks to allow for lateral migration for feeding purposes • > 30 cm depth • The months of April and October. 4 days of each of the two months.	 Flooded banks to allow for lateral migration for feeding purposes. 15 cm depth The months of October. 4 days of each of the month.
14	Lower reaches of Mishumba River before Point 15 and the confluence with River Chezho	No fish caught	N/A	Fast but fairly vegetated channel habitat.	Wet	N/A	N/A	N/A

Sampling Point	Characterisation of site	Fish species captured at site	Stage and Number of fish captured	Description of habitats or point of capture (water flow, wetted	Season of capture	Flow requirements for captured species – Optimal conditions including duration and	Flow requirements for captured fish species – medium conditions (maintenance)	Flow requirements for captured species for sub-optimal (threshold or survival conditions)
				area and		season	including duration	including duration
15	Before the confluence of Mishumba and Chezho (after the Kabuyanda – Nyakitunda murram road)	African catfish	3 sub- adults	Deep, fast with silt and pebbles substrate	Wet	Deep fast flowing waters for downstream and lateral migration of juvenile catfish fish. • >50 cm depth • The months of April and October. • 12 days of each of the two months.	and seasonDeepfastflowingwatersfordownstreamandlateralmigrationjuvenilecatfish fish.• >30 cmdepth• The months of Apriland October.• 4 daysoftwo months.	and seasonDeep fast flowing waters for downstream and lateral migration of juvenile catfish fish.• >15 cm depth• The months of April and October.• 4 days of each of the two months.
24	Downstream of Confluence of Chezho and Mishumba	Zilli's tilapia	1, adult	Deep and slow, and highly vegetated.	Dry	Deep pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding, breeding, spawning, brooding and nursing of young ones. • >30 cm water depth • Months of Dec and Jul. • 4 days of each of the two months.	 Pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding, breeding, spawning, brooding and nursing of young ones. 15 to 30 cm water depth Months of Dec and Jul. 4 days of each of the two months. 	Deep pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding, breeding, spawning, brooding and nursing of young ones. • 15 cm water depth • Months of Dec. 4 days of each of the two months.
		Singida tilapia	4, subadults		Wet	Deep pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding,	Deep pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding, breeding,	Deep pools of water connected to the adjoining swamps for feeding,

Sampling	Characterisation	of	Fish	Stage and	Descrip	otion	of	Season of	Flow requirements	Flow requirements	Flow requirements
Point	site		species	Number of	habitat	ts	or	capture	for captured species	for captured fish	for captured species
			captured	fish	point		of		– Optimal	species – medium	for sub-optimal
			at site	captured	capture	e (wa	ater		conditions including	conditions	(threshold or
					flow,	wet	ted		duration and	(maintenance)	survival conditions)
					area	i	and		season	including duration	including duration
					depth)					and season	and season
									breeding, spawning,	spawning, brooding	breeding, spawning,
									brooding and	and nursing of young	brooding and
									nursing of young	ones.	nursing of young
									ones.	• 30 to 50 cm water	ones.
									• >50 cm water depth	depth	• 15 cm water depth
									• Months of April	• Months of April and	 Months of Oct.
									and October.	October.	•4 days of the
									• 12 days of each of	• 4 days of each of the	month.
									the two months.	two months.	

Month	Ja	Fe	Ma	Apr	Ma	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	No	Dec
	n	b	r		у						v	
Sampling Point 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>	1	1	1	
Optimal Depth	-	-	30	-	30	-	-	30	-	-	30	-
(cm)			4 5		45			45			45	
Ninimum Depth	-	-	15	-	15	-	-	15	-	-	15	-
Duration, days	-	-	12,	-	4	-	-	12,4	-	-	4	-
Ontimal Flow			4	_	0.45		_	0.45			0.4	
optimaritow			5		0.45			0.45			5	
Minimum flows	-	-	0.0	-	0.05	-	-	0.05	-	-	0.0	-
			5								5	
Sampling Point 6												
Optimal Depth	-	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	-
(cm)												
Minimum Depth	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-
Duration, days	-	-	-	12,	-	-	-	-	-	12,	-	-
				4						4		
Optimal Flow	-	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	-
M3/S				0.2						0.2		
Minimum flow	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-
Sampling Point 8				Z						Z		
Ontimal Denth	30	30	_	50	_		_			50		30
(cm)	50	50		50						50		50
Minimum Depth	15	15	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
Duration, days	6,	6,	-	6,4	-	-	-	-	-	6,4	-	6,4
	4	4										,
Optimal Flow	0.	0.1	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.1
m3/s	15	5										5
Minimum Flow	0.	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.0
m3/s	05	5		5						5		5
Sampling Point 14	r	1						1	1	1	1	
Optimal Depth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
(CM)												
Ninimum Depth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
Optimal Flow		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
m3/s		-	_	_	_	-				-	-	-
Sampling Point 15												
Optimal Depth	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	-
(cm)												
Minimum depth	-	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-
Duration, days	-	-	-	12,	-	-	-	-	-	12,	-	-
				4						4		
Optimal Flow	-	-	-	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	-	-
m3/s												

Table 6-8: Summary of Environmental Flow Requirement for fish

Minimum	flows	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-
m3/s					5						5		

The optimal EFR derived from the various environmental flow requirements cannot be possibly achieved from the naturally varying flows from the river sections that have been referenced for all sampling points. The minimum flows were converted into the following discharges for each sampling point as shown in the Table 6-9:

Table 6-9: Minimum flows converted into discharges at each sampling point

Sampling point	Point 3	Point 6	Point 8	Point	Point
				14	15
Minimum implicated flow	0.05	0.22	0.05	-	0.15
m³/s					
Percentage time flow	90%	75%	90%		72%
exists (%)					
Timing	Mar, may, Aug,	Apr, Oct	Jan, Feb, Apr,	-	Apr,
	Nov		Oct, Dec		Oct

Table 6-10: Resultant environmental flow time-series for the fish requirements

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Flow m ³ /s	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.05

Sampling	Vegetation	Species	Optimal pe	eriod	Recomme	nded	Minimum	Optimal	Maintenance	Minimum
Point			required	for	duration	for	possible	Water	Water Depth	Water Depth
			water pres	ence	maintena	nce	period	depth		
								required		
3	Cyperus	Cyperus papyrus	Dec-Sept	(10	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	100 cm	30 cm	20 cm
	riverine		months)		months)		months)			
	vegetation and	Cyperus								
	bushed fallow	denudatus								
	in valley with	Hydrocotyle								
	Eucalyptus sp	ranunculoides								
	and Pinus sp in	Ludwigia								
	Rwoho CFR on	abyssinica								
	hill slopes	Leersia hexandra	Dec-Aug	(9	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	10 cm	5 cm	2 cm
		Persicaria	months)		months)		months)	10 0111	5 011	5 011
		madagascariensis								
		Persicaria								
		setosula								
		Cyclosorus								
		interraptus								
		Typha capensis								
6	Cyperus	Cyperus papyrus	Dec-Sept	(10	Dec-Aug	(9	Dec-July (8	100 cm	30 cm	20 cm
	riverine		months)		months)		months)			
	vegetation with	Cyperus								
	patches of bare	denudatus	Dec-Aug	(9	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	10 cm	5 cm	2 cm
	ground and	Melanthera	months)		months)		months)		5 011	5 011
	short bushes	scandens								

Table 6-11: Environmental flow requirements for key vegetation and flora for their sustenance

8	Cyperus-Typha-	Ludwigia abyssinica Leersia hexandra Persicaria setosula Typha capensis Nymphaea	(Jan-Dec)	12	Dec-Sept	(10	Dec-July (8	60 cm	40 cm	30 cm
	Persicaria	nouchali	months		months)		months)			
	riverine marsh	Cyperus papyrus	Dec-Aug	(9	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	100 cm	30 cm	20 cm
	with patches of		months)		months)		months)			
	planted	Cyperus								
	Eucalyptus sp	denudatus								
	and maize	Cyperus exaltatus								
		Melanthera								
		scandens								
		Ludwigia								
		abyssinica								
		Ludwigia	Dec-Nov	(9	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	10 cm	5 cm	3 cm
		octovalvis	months)		months)		months)	10 011	5 cm	5 611
		Echinochloa								
		pyramidalis								
		Leersia hexandra								
		Persicaria								
		senegalensis								
		Persicaria								
		setosula								

14	Typha marsh; Fish ponds	Cyclosorus interraptus Typha capensis Nymphaea nouchali	(Jan-Dec) 12 months	Dec-Sept (1 months)	0 Dec-July (8 months)	40 cm	30 cm	20 cm
	surrounded by Cynodon dactylon	Hygrophila auriculata Cyperus articulatus Cyperus denudatus Melanthera scandens Ludwigia abyssinica Leersia hexandra Paspalum scrobiculatum Persicaria madagascariensis Cyclosorus interraptus Typha capensis	Dec-Nov (9 months)	Dec-July months)	8 Dec-July (8 months)	10 cm	5 cm	3 cm
15	Typha- Cyclosorus-	Nymphaea nouchali	(Jan-Dec) 12 months	Dec-Sept (1 months)	0 Dec-July (8 months)	40 cm	30 cm	20 cm

Leersia riverine	Melanthera								
vegetation	scandens								
	Ludwigia								
	abyssinica								
	Leersia hexandra								
	Persicaria	Dec-Nov (S	9	Dec-July	(8	Dec-July (8	10 cm	E cm	2 cm
	madagascariensis	months)		months)		months)	10 0111	5 011	5 011
	Persicaria								
	setosula								
	Cyclosorus								
	interraptus								
	Typha capensis								

Table 6-12: Fauna Species that may be affected by the environmental flow

Sampling Point	Species	Optimal water depth required	Maintenance Water Depth	Minimum water depth	Optimal period required for	Recommended duration for	Minimum possible period
			•	•	water presence	maintenance	•
Sampling	Dragonflies						
Point No. 3	Brachythemis	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	leucosticta				Throughout the	season	season
	Southern Banded				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Groundling					Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Amphibians						
	Phrynobatrachus	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	mabebiensis				rainy season	season	season
	Dwarf Puddle				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Frog				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov

		- 1 - 11					
	Natal Puddle Frog	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Phrynobatrachus				rainy season	season	season
	natalensis				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Mascarene	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Rocket Frog				rainy season	season	season
	Ptychadena				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	mascareniensis				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Birds						
	Motacilla aguimp	Shallow	Shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec
	African Pied	Walks on land			Throughout the	Throughout the year	Throughout the year
	Wagtail - w	feeding along			year		
		water edges					
Sampling	Dragonflies	•	•	·		•	
Point No.6	Nesciothemis cf	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	farinosa Eastern				Throughout the	season	season
	Blacktail				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
						Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Palpopleura	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	portia Portia				Throughout the	season	season
	Widow				vear	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					,	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Amphibians			1			L
	Natal Puddle Frog	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Phrynobatrachus				rainy season	season	season
	natalensis				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Mascarene	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Rocket Frog				rainy season	season	season
	Ptychadena				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	mascareniensis				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Birds	•	•	•		•	

	Motacilla aguimp	Shallow	Shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec
	African Pied	Walks on land			Throughout the	Throughout the year	Throughout the year
	Wagtail - w	feeding along			year		
		water edges					
Sampling	Dragonflies						
Point No.8	Brachythemis	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	leucosticta				Throughout the	season	season
	Southern Banded				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Groundling					Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Orthetrum Julia	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Julia Skimmer				Throughout the	season	season
					year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
						Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Palpopleura	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	portia Portia				Throughout the	season	season
	Widow				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
						Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Amphibians		•	•			
	Hyperolius	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	kivuensis Kivu				rainy season	season	season
	Reed Frog				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Phrynobatrachus	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	mabebiensis				rainy season	season	season
	Dwarf Puddle				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Frog				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Natal Puddle Frog	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Phrynobatrachus				rainy season	season	season
	natalensis				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Mascarene	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Rocket Frog				rainy season	season	season

	Dtuckadora				March to Mary	March to March and	March to Mary and Cont
	Ptychadena				warch to way	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	mascareniensis				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Angola River Frog	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Amietia				rainy season	season	season
	angolensis				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
					and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Birds						
	Black-Headed	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Heron Ardea	through which	through which	through which	rainy season	season	season
	melanocephala -	they can wade	they can wade	they can wade	March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	w	without getting	without	without	and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
		their bodies	getting their	getting their			
		wet	bodies wet	bodies wet			
	Hadada Ibis	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	Bostrychia	through which	through which	through which	rainy season	season	season
	hagedash - w	they can wade	they can wade	they can wade	March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	-	without getting	without	without	and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
		their bodies	getting their	getting their	,	,	
		wet	bodies wet	bodies wet			
	Motacilla	Shallow	Shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec
	capensis Cape	Walks on land			Throughout the	Throughout the year	Throughout the year
	Wagtail - W	feeding along			vear		
		water edges			,		
Sampling	Dragonflies		1	1	1	1	1
Point	Brachythemis	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
No.14	leucosticta				Throughout the	season	season
	Southern Banded				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Groundling					Sept to Nov	to Nov
	Amphibians						
	Phrynobatrachus	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
	mabebiensis				rainy season	season	season
	Dwarf Puddle				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
	Frog				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov

	Natal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensis Mascarene Rocket Frog Ptychadena mascareniensis	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov
	Birds Black-Headed Heron Ardea melanocephala - w	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov
	African Crake <i>Crex egregia</i> - AwG	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov
	Hadada Ibis Bostrychia hagedash - w	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Shallow waters through which they can wade without getting their bodies wet	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov	Six months of rainy season March to May and Sept to Nov
	Motacilla capensis Cape Wagtail - W	Shallow Walks on land feeding along water edges	Shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec Throughout the year	Jan-Dec Throughout the year	Jan-Dec Throughout the year
Sampling Point No.15	Dragonflies Orthetrum Julia Julia Skimmer	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec Throughout the year	Six months of rainy season	Six months of rainy season

Palpopleura portia60cmshallowShallowJan-Dec Throughout the yearKix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovMarch to NovAmphibiansHyperolius kivuensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovMarch to May March to MayPhyperolius kivuensisShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season mabebiensis DwarfShallowShallowSix months of rainy season march to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season March to May and March to May and March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season march to May and March to May and Sept to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallow shallowShallowShallow shallowSix months of six months of rainy season and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season season season season season season season season season season season season season season season season season season								
Image: constraint of the second sec							March to May and	March to May and Sept
Palpopleura portia60cmshallowShallowJan-DecSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovAmphibiansHyperolius kivuensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to NovSix months of season March to May and March to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to NovPhrynobatrachus mabebiensis DwarfShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to Nay season March to May and March to May and March to Nay and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season March to May and March to May and March to Nay season March to May and March to Nay and Season Season Season Season Season Season March to May and March to May and March to Nay							Sept to Nov	to Nov
portia WidowPortia WidowSeason March to May and Sept to Novseason March to May and Sept to Novseason March to NovAmphibiansHyperolius kivuensis Kivu Reed FrogShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May March to May and March March to May and March March March to May and <b< td=""><td></td><td>alpopleura</td><td>60cm</td><td>shallow</td><td>Shallow</td><td>Jan-Dec</td><td>Six months of rainy</td><td>Six months of rainy</td></b<>		alpopleura	60cm	shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
WidowwearMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to NovAmphibiansHyperolius kivuensis Reed FrogShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season mach to May and Sept to NovSix months of season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season mach to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season season season match to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season season season season season match to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season season season match to May and Sept to NovSix months to Nov to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallow ShallowShallow shallowSix months of six months of six months of rainy season match to May and Sept to NovSix months of season season season season season season season season season match to May and Sept to NovSix months of season	1	ortia Portia				Throughout the	season	season
Image: constraint of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of	,	Vidow				year	March to May and	March to May and Sept
AmphibiansHyperolius kivuensis Reed FrogShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovPhrynobatrachus mabebiensis Dwarf ProgShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season march to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and March to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season season March to May and March to May and March to May and March to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowSix months of six months of six months of six months of rainy season march to May and March to May and March to NovMascarene Rocket Frog Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of six months of six months of six months of rainy season march to May and March to May and March to NovBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon sengalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersSix months of six months of six months of rainy season march to May and March to NovBick-Headed Heron Heron Ardea melanocephala -Shallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters S							Sept to Nov	to Nov
Hyperolius kivuensis Reed FrogShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of nainy season March to May and March to NovPhrynobatrachus mabebiensis DwarfShallowShallowShallowShallowShallowDwarf FrogPuddleShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May March to May March to May March to May March to May March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowShallowNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovMascarene BrogShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season mascareniensisSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovBirdsShallow waters Kingfisher Halcyon sengalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season season March to May and Sept to NovBlack-Headed Heron Heron Ardea through which through wh		mphibians						
kivuensis Reed FrogKivu Reed FrogSeasonseason March to May and Sept to Novseason March to May and Sept to Novseason March to May and Sept to NovPhrynobatrachus mabebiensis Dwarf ProgShallowShallowshallowshallowSix months of rainy season mad Sept to NovSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of rainy season mad Sept to NovSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of rainy season mariny seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonMascarene Rocket HordadanShallowShallowshallowSix months of rainy season mariny season march to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season seasonSix mo season season seasonWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersSix months of rainy season march to May and March to May and March to Nov season season season season mariny season mariny season 		lyperolius	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
Reed FrogMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to NovPhrynobatrachus mabebiensis Dwarf ProgShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonNatal Puddle FrogShallowShallowShallowShallowShallowShallowSept to NovSept to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonMascarene Rocket Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersSix months of six months of rainy season mariny seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix mo seasonWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters through which through which		ivuensis Kivu				rainy season	season	season
Phrynobatrachus mabebiensisShallowShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonDwarfPuddle FrogShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix month seasonSeasonseasonseasonNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSeason </td <td></td> <td>eed Frog</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>March to May</td> <td>March to May and</td> <td>March to May and Sept</td>		eed Frog				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
Phrynobatrachus mabebiensis Dwarf Puddle FrogShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of season March to May and Sept to NovSix months season March to NovSix months season March to May and Sept to NovSix months season season March to May and March to May and Sept to NovSix months season season March to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and March to NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May an		_				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
mabebiensis DwarfPuddle FrogseasonseasonseasonseasonDwarfPuddle FrogShallowShallowshallowshallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSept to Novto NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and Six months of rainy Six months of rainy season mascareniensisShallowShallowShallow seasonSix months of rainy season march to May and Sept to NovSix months of seasonMascarene RocketShallowShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season march to May and march to May and Sept to NovSix months of seasonSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season seasonSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovBirdsShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersSix months of rainy season and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and Sept to NovBirdsShallow waters through which Heron March to MayShallow waters through which through wh		hrynobatrachus	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
Dwarf FrogPuddle FrogMarch FrogMarch toMarch <b< td=""><td></td><td>nabebiensis</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>rainy season</td><td>season</td><td>season</td></b<>		nabebiensis				rainy season	season	season
Frogand Sept to NovSept to Novto NovNatal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March to NovMascarene Rocket Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowShallowShallowBirdsShallow waters Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersSix months of Six months of rainy season march to May and March to NovBirdsShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersSix months of Six months of rainy season March to May and March to May and Six months of six months of six months of six months seasonBlack-Headed Heron Marchaded Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea Heron Ardea He		warf Puddle				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
Natal Puddle Frog Phrynobatrachus natalensisShallowShallowSix months of rainy season and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season march to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of 		rog				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
Phrynobatrachus natalensisPhrynobatrachus natalensisseasonseasonseasonseasonMascarene Rocket Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of shallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters shallow watersSix months of six months of shallow watersSix months of season march to May and and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season seasonBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters shallow watersShallow waters shallow watersSix months of shallow waters shallow watersSix months of six months of six months of rainy season march to May and march to NovBlack-Headed Heron Ardea through which through which<		latal Puddle Frog	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
natalensisMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to NovMascarene RocketShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of rainy seasonSix months of seasonPtychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowShallowShallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of seasonSix months of seasonSix months of seasonSix months seasonBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters shallow watersShallow waters shallow watersSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovBlack-Headed Heron Ardea melgnocephglg - they can wadeShallow waters through which they can wade they can wadeShallow waters through which through which they can wadeSix months of they can wade they can wade they can wadeSix months of they can wade they can wade they can wadeMarch to May March to May and March to May and March to May and March to May and March		hrynobatrachus				rainy season	season	season
Mascarene Rocket Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowshallowSix months of shallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months seasonSix months s		atalensis				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
Mascarene Rocket Ptychadena mascareniensisShallowShallowSix months of shallowSix months of rainy seasonSix months of seasonSix months seasonSix months season <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and Sept to Nov</td><td>Sept to Nov</td><td>to Nov</td></th<>						and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
RocketFrog Ptychadena mascareniensisSeasonseasonseasonPtychadena mascareniensisImage: SeasonMarch to May March to NovMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch to NovBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters HalcyonShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to May and March seasonBlack-Headed Heron Ardea melanocephala -Shallow waters through which they can wadeShallow waters they can wade they can wadeShallow waters they can wadeSix months of they can wade they can wadeSix months they can wade		/lascarene	Shallow	Shallow	shallow	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
Ptychadena mascareniensisMarchMarch to May and Sept to NovMarch Sept to NovMarch to NovBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Halcyon shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters march to NovSix months of season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and March to NovBlack-Headed Heron March MarchShallow waters through which through whi		ocket Frog				rainy season	season	season
mascareniensismascareniensisand Sept to NovSept to Novto NovBirdsWoodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Halcyon shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Halcyon shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow waters Shallow waters Shallow watersSix months of six mon		tychadena				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
Birds Woodland Shallow waters Shallow waters Shallow waters Six months of rainy Six months Six months of rainy Six months Six months </td <td></td> <td>nascareniensis</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>and Sept to Nov</td> <td>Sept to Nov</td> <td>to Nov</td>		nascareniensis				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
Woodland Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AShallow waters Shallow watersShallow waters Shallow watersSix months of rainy season March to May and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and and Sept to NovSix months of rainy season March to May and to NovBlack-Headed Heron March MarchShallow waters through which through which through which they can wadeShallow waters they can wade they can wadeShallow waters they can wadeShallow waters through which they can wadeShallow waters they can wadeShallow waters through which they can wadeShallow waters through which they can wadeShallow waters they can wadeSix months of through which they can wadeSix months of they can wadeSix months of to May they can wadeSix months through which they can wadeSix months of they can wadeSix months through which they can wadeSix months of they can wadeSix months through which they can wadeSix months of they can wadeSix months through which they can wadeSix months they can wadeSix months they can wadeSix months through which they can wadeSix months they can wadeSix		irds						
Kingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AKingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AKingfisher Halcyon senegalensis - AReason Halcyon senegalensis - AReason Halcyon Sept to NovReason March to May and Sept to NovReason March to May and Sept to NovReason March to NovBlack-Headed Heron March Heron March Heron March through which through which through which through which through which through which they can wade they can wade they can wade they can wade they can wadeReason rainy season they can wade they can wade they can wade they can wadeReason March to May March to May and March to May and March	,	Voodland	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
Halcyon March to May March to May and March to May and March to May and March to Nov senegalensis - A - <td></td> <td>ingfisher</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>rainy season</td> <td>season</td> <td>season</td>		ingfisher				rainy season	season	season
senegalensis - Aand Sept to NovSept to Novto NovBlack-HeadedShallow watersShallow watersShallow watersShallow watersSix months ofSix months of rainySix months ofHeronArdeathrough whichthrough whichthrough whichthrough whichrainy seasonseasonseasonmelanocephala -they can wadethey can wadethey can wadethey can wadeMarch to MayMarch to May andMarch		alcyon				March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
Black-HeadedShallow watersShallow watersShallow watersSix months ofSix months of rainySix monthsmelanocephala-they can wadethey can wadethey can wadethey can wadethey can wadeMarch to MayMarch to MayMarch	:	enegalensis - A				and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
Heron Ardea through which through which through which rainy season season season season melanocephala - they th		lack-Headed	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy
melanocephala - they can wade they can wade they can wade March to May March to May and March		eron Ardea	through which	through which	through which	rainy season	season	season
		nelanocephala -	they can wade	they can wade	they can wade	March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept
wwithout gettingwithoutwithoutand Sept to NovSept to Novto Nov		1	without getting	without	without	and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov
	their bodies	getting their	getting their					
------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----------------------		
	wet	bodies wet	bodies wet					
Hadada Ibis	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Shallow waters	Six months of	Six months of rainy	Six months of rainy		
Bostrychia	through which	through which	through which	rainy season	season	season		
hagedash - w	they can wade	they can wade	they can wade	March to May	March to May and	March to May and Sept		
	without getting	without	without	and Sept to Nov	Sept to Nov	to Nov		
	their bodies	getting their	getting their					
	wet	bodies wet	bodies wet					
Motacilla aguimp	Shallow	Shallow	Shallow	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec	Jan-Dec		
African Pied	Walks on land			Throughout the	Throughout the year	Throughout the year		
Wagtail - w	feeding along			year				
	water edges							

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Sampling Point 3												
Optimal Depth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100					100
(cm)												
Minimum Depth	20	20	20	20	20	20	20					20
Duration, days	30	30	30	30	30	30	30					30
Sampling Point 6												
Optimal Depth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			60		
(cm)												
Minimum Depth	20	20	20	20	20	20	20			30		
Duration, days	30	30	30	30	30	30	30			12		
Sampling Point 8												
Optimal Depth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50	50	50	50	30
(cm)												
Minimum Depth	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	15	15	15	15	15
Duration, days	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	4	4	4	4	4
Sampling Point 14				-	-	-		-	-			-
Optimal Depth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	50				100
(cm)												
Minimum Depth	20	20	20	20	20	20	20					20
Duration, days	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	4				30
Sampling Point 15				-	-	-		-	-			-
Optimal Depth	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			50		100
(cm)												
Minimum depth	20	20	20	20	20	20	20			15		20
Duration. davs	30	30	30	30	30	30	30			12.4		30

Table 6-13: Summary of Environmental Flow Requirement for flora

Table 6-14: Minimum flows converted into discharges at each sampling point

Sampling point	Point 3	Point 6	Point 8	Point 14	Point
					15
Minimum implicated flow m ³ /s	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.3
Percentage time flow exists (%)	72%	53%	82%	53%	62%

The flow that can be realized in 8 months out of the 12 months, the flow exceed 60% of the time. This provides an estimated flow of about 0.35 m³/s. By excluding the flow from the tributary joining the river just downstream of the reservoir, it implies that the reservoir release of about $0.24m^3$ /s is expected for the 8 months from December to July. With a decision to maintain the papyrus at sampling point 8, the environmental flow time series in the river are as in Table 6-15

 Table 6-15: Resultant environmental flow time-series for the papyrus requirements

Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
-------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Flow	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.1
m³/s												
, -												

The suggested e-flows above also provide for critical low-flow events, allowing for colonization of newly exposed areas/habitat as the river changes its form and flow, such as emergent sandbars, which could provide important in-stream habitat when water levels rise. The periodic freshens and floods will also provide for sustenance of water quality, regular "ecological" cleansing or refreshing of the river system, and creation of conditions which are needed to sustain the sensitive macroinvertebrates. The release of high flows and floods during the rainy seasons is expected to provide the required cues for spawning in all the seven fish species encountered, and will allow for sustenance of these species including the critically endangered ones especially for the flow-sensitive rheophilic species. In short, the suggested e-flows will provide for sustaining and maintaining of the variability in River Mishumba's hydrology, which will ensure that the different components of the river's hydrograph continue to play their respective roles in maintaining the ecological health of the system.

The e-flows have been designed to imitate the natural flows and the ecological requirements of the two groups of fish, those that prefer sedentary and slow-flowing waters and those that prefer fast flowing waters. Maintaining the hydrological and hydraulic variability of the Mishumba River has been the key guide in the e-flow assessments, and in the developed biodiversity and e-flow management plan for Mishumba River basin in lieu of the development of Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme. The e-flow assessment explicitly calls for allocating sufficient flows - with a reserve flow - in part to "protect aquatic ecosystems" and enable ecologically sustainable water resources development.

The suggestion is that reserve flow will capture designed environmental flow components, including mean monthly baseflows should mimic the seasonal variation according to the natural flow regime with some select high flows and floods. Environmental flows have been prescribed for the basin in consideration of the ecological requirements for the key species encountered in Mishumba River; and these have also considered the expected effects of the dam on different reaches of the river and catchment of Mishumba, with the emphasis on and expectations of due diligence in the implementation of the set e-flows by Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme Management as part of a long-term and adaptive management strategy with a goal of sustaining and maintain the contained biodiversity.

6.3.2 Proposed flow regime from the ESIA

The flows proposed under the ESIA from the perspective of the sampling points include the release from the reservoir and inflows from the Rweibare tributary. It should be noted that Rweibare is a tributary just downstream of the proposed reservoir site. The Table 6-16 below shows the total flows and contribution from the reservoir and Rweibare tributary.

Table 6-16: Contribution of Rweibare tributary, release from the reservoir and total flows

Mon th	Rweibare contribution (m ³ /s)	Releases from Reservoir (m ³ /s)	Total flow downstream of Reservoir and tributary (m ³ /s)
Jan	-	0.05	0.05
Feb	0.07	0.05	0.12
Mar	0.09	0.05	0.14
Apr	0.13	0.11	0.24
May	0.11	0.11	0.22
Jun	0.04	0.11	0.15
Jul	0.04	0.05	0.09
Aug	0.04	0.05	0.09
Sep	0.09	0.11	0.20
Oct	0.11	0.11	0.22
Nov	0.13	0.11	0.24
Dec	0.11	0.05	0.16

6.4 Comparison of scenarios and final recommended EFR

6.4.1 Structuring and Presentations of Scenarios

Three (3) main requirements for scenarios are the ability to: (i) provide a range of options of what the future could be like, using scenarios of potential river changes and social impacts; (ii) provide simple summaries of each scenario of river change in a context that the decision makers can relate to; and (iii) express the financial implications of each scenario in terms of both impacts and costs for compensation or mitigation purposes and benefits, e.g., increased crop production.

The nature of scenarios is influenced by the decision-makers' needs. A wide range of ecological, social and economic components may be involved, or just a simple statement of required flows during some critical period, and each case should be treated on merit. Scenarios for a river that is not seen as particularly "important", and where there is no potential conflict over water, may be less detailed than for more or high-profile rivers. The scenario development in this study aimed at the following three items:

- a) To meet a specific yield. The requirement to abstract a specific volume of water. The leastdamaging way of doing this could be advised, together with the predicted ecological (and social and economic) consequences.
- b) To meet a specific condition. That is to maintain a certain river condition and ecosystem feature. The amount of water that could be abstracted whilst meeting this objective could be advised.

The first step in the development of scenarios involved the analysis of flow time-series data to develop:

- (i) Flow duration curves (FDCs) to examine the percentage of time that a flow of a given size is exceeded;
- (ii) Time-series graphs to examine the sequence of flow events, particularly during very dry or very wet conditions;
- (iii) Baseflow separation to highlight the potential for significant groundwater-surface water interactions;
- (iv) Spells analysis to describe flow spells (flow events above or below a defined threshold, such as freshes; and
- (v) Flood frequency analyses to examine the frequency and magnitude of larger floods.
 Different components of the flow regime that were thought to play a role in the maintenance of river ecosystems were identified. The time series data were then allocated to the flow categories, with each day's data accounted for in on of them. The summary data from these flow categories then provided a perspective on the river for the ecological understanding of the river reaches. This summary data was then used to compare the past and potential future flow regimes.

Flow duration curves were used in combination with hydraulic models to provide insights on river ecosystems such as the time that any one vegetation zone on a river is inundated. A first estimate of the inundation-exposure regime that a zone experienced were calculated and then a judicious use of the FDC for different time periods would indicate when the exposure and inundation periods were. Understanding this correlation, and thus the inundation conditions, the zone was exposed to and therefore the possible needs allowed a prediction of whether a change in the flow regime would cause the zone to shrink, expand, adjust to a higher or lower place on the bank, or completely disappear. For quantitative predictions, five (5) severity levels of change were used to rate the scenarios including;

- 0 = None No impact resulting from the change in the streamflow
- 1 = Negligible Hardly noticeable impact resulting from change in the streamflow
- 2 = Low Descernable but with limited effect resulting from change in the streamflow
- 3 = Moderate Incident to minor effect resulting from change in the streamflow
- 4 = High Intense effect of the damage resulting from change in the streamflow
- 5 = Very high Critical level of damage resulting from change in the streamflow

Table 6-17: Development of different flow regimes under the current situation.

Flow regime	Present situation m ³ /s
Dry season low flows, Dry Years	0 - 0.14
Wet season low flows, Dry Years	0 - 0.22
Dry season low flows, Wet Years	0 - 0.19
Wet season low flows, Wet Years	0 - 0.41

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

High flows lower	0.2
High flows upper	0.8
Small floods	1.8
Large floods	2.4

At sampling point 3, the stream experiences low flow with an average velocity of about 1.3 m/s and channel depths of 0.25m in the dry season. The velocities of average of flows are about 1.16m/s at depths of 0.21m in the dry seasons and 1.59m/s at depths of 0.42m in the wet season.

At sampling point 6, the stream experiences low flow with an average velocity of about 0.78 m/s and channel depths of 0.1m in the dry season. While in the wet season the river experiences low flows with velocity of about 1.0m/s and depths of 0.17m. The velocities of average flows are about 0.94m/s at depths of 0.14m in the dry seasons and 1.33m/s at depths of 0.26m in the wet season.

At sampling point 8, the stream experiences low flow with an average velocity of about 1.11 m/s and channel depths of 0.27m in the dry season. While in the wet season the river experiences flow of velocity of about 1.42m/s and depths of 0.4m. The velocities of average flows are about 1.2m/s at depths of 0.36m in the dry seasons and 1.87m/s at depths of 0.59m in the wet season.

At sampling point 14, the stream experiences flow with an average velocity of about 0.79 m/s and channel depths of 0.1m in the dry season. While in the wet season the river experiences flow of velocity of about 1.1m/s and depths of 0.17m. The velocities of average flows are about 0.98m/s at depths of 0.14m in the dry seasons and 1.43m/s at depths of 0.29m in the wet season.

6.4.2 Description of scenarios

The overall discharge in the river reaches under consideration are quite small, therefore, there is very limited room for manipulation of flows to provide meaningful variations. From this perspective and that the only investment using significant water in the catchment is the proposed irrigation scheme, only three (3) scenarios were studied.

Scenario one (1) represents the water required for the e-flows as deduced from the analysis of flows from sampling points 3, 6, 8, 14 and 15 including the maintenance of the high and low floods.

Scenario two (2) comprises the proposed releases by the ESIA study report but with an addition of releasing low and high floods as in the previous scenario.

Scenario three (3) is when the releases are increased in by twenty percent (20%) and forty percent (40%) of the Mean Annual Flow (MAF) during the dry and wet season respectively. It also includes the maintenance of the high and low floods. The Table 6-18 below gives the summary of the flows within each scenario.

Table 6-18: Summary of flow regimes under different scenarios

Flow regime Present situation m ³ /s	Scenario 1 (EFR) m³/s	Scenario 2 (Project) m³/s	Scenario 3 (Increased Release) m ³ /s
--	---------------------------	------------------------------	--

Dry season low flows, Dry Years	0.14	0.1	0.05-0.12	0.21
Wet season low flows, Dry Years	0.22	0.22	0.12-0.24	0.33
Dry season low flows, Wet Years	0.19	0.1	0.05-0.12	0.21
Wet season low flows, Wet Years	0.41	0.05-0.22	0.12-0.24	0.33
High flows lower	0.2	0	0	0
High flows upper	0.8	0	0	0
Small floods	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Large floods	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4

6.4.3 Flow-ecosystem relationships

According to flow - ecology relationships for different biodiversity forms in Mishumba catchment including floral and terrestrial fauna and identified fish species within the River Mishumba, the hydraulics, frequency and duration of water flow required to sustain them were derived based on the natural frequency and duration for the dry and wet seasons based on field assessments. The modelling of the environmental flow requirements for respective biodiversity established that the requirements are differ for different periods, and as such it will be necessary to revalue the environmental flow requirement of key biodiversity forms from time to time in accordance to the change of river channel form. In addition, there are a number of non-flows related issues which impact the different forms of the biodiversity, such as natural sedimentation, excessive vegetation clearance, water pollution, dams and levee construction, use of destructive production or farming gears and inputs.

6.4.3.1 Ecological importance and sensitivity rating of River Mishumba

The river was rated used using 0 to 4 scale to determine its importance and sensitivity. This helped to categorize Mishumba in the range of low/marginal, moderate, high and very high. Table 6-19: Framework for assigning ecological importance and sensitivity for River Mishumba according to BBM Methodology

Table 6-20: Ecological importance and sensitivity rating for River Mishumba according to BBM Methodology

Rate	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	Class Range of Median
Very high	Rivers that are unique on a national or even	> 3 and +4
	international level based on biodiversity aspects.	

<u>High</u>	Rivers that are unique on a national scale based on biodiversity aspects.	> 2 and 3
<u>Moderate</u>	Rivers that are unique on a provincial or local scale based on biodiversity aspects.	> 1 and 2
Low/marginal	Rivers that are not unique at any scale.	> 0 and 1

The flow-ecosystem relationships were then developed by comparing the existing flows in the river reaches and the ecological requirements of fish species encountered in the wider basin under study. **Table** 6-21 shows the assigned ecological importance of Mishumba catchment following the BBM framework in terms of biodiversity. The catchment has a low rating despite having critically endangered species because these species occur elsewhere in the wider Kagera Basin and other waters in Lake Victoria Region such as Kooki lakes complex, Nabugabo lakes complex and Kyoga lakes complex (Mwanja, 2000). There are also known unique frlora and terrestrial or aquatic mammals and other animals in this catchment. The framework also shows that there are only few fauna species that are intolerant to flow changes because most of them especially adults and sub-adults (juveniles) have the ability to migrate downstream and laterally. But this presupposes those conditions to migrate are presented in the channel or through regular overbank flows even in the dry season to allow connection between the Mishumba channel and destinations of the migrating fish (R. Kagera) for the rheophilic species, and adjoining swamps for limnophilic species.

Rare and	Singida tilapia is Critically	• None (0). No rare or endangered
endangered	Endangered	species/taxon at any scale. The few
biota	Victoria carp is Critically	existing species are restricted to deeper
	Endangered	waters at the estuary of Mishumba to R.
	(Source: IUCN Red List)	Kagera which is far outside of the project
		areas.
Unique biota	No unique or endemic	• None (0). No population (or taxon)
	biodiversity found in River	unique at any scale.
	Mishumba.	
	(Source: field survey and expert	
	knowledge)	
Intolerant biota	A number of taxa are intolerant	• Marginal (1). A very low proportion of
	to reduced flow while others	the biota temporarily dependent on
	are intolerant to fast waters.	flowing water for the completion of life
	But this is an ephemeral river	cycles. Sporadic and seasonal flow
	with organisms able to migrate	events expected to meet needs.
	both downstream to River	
	Kagera, or lateral to swampy	
	areas where they take refugee	

Table 6-21: Framework for assigning of ecological importance in setting of e-flows to different species and species groups in Mishumba catchment

	from both low water or high	
	flow pulses.	
	(Source: field survey and expert	
	knowledge)	
Species/taxon	This kind of assessment should	Moderate (2). Rated on a local scale.
richness.	be based on the grouping of	Marginal/low (1). Not significant at any
	ecologically similar rivers.	scale.
	However, such a system is still	
	under development, and so at	
	present should be based on	
	professional judgement.	

River Mishumba was rated moderate since it contains relatively moderate biodiversity at local level. All the other species are found elsewhere in other more ecologically significant ecosystems than the project area

A description of the recommended flow regimes is given in Table 6-22 below in terms of magnitude, frequency, duration, timing and rates of change. Based on the hydrological assessment and modelling above, expert's consideration and discussions, the recommended flows have been determined by studying the outputs of the different approaches and professional judgement. Therefore, flows in Table 6-22 presents the pristine environment required for the fish species. This makeup the recommended flow regime to satisfy the e-flows to be released at the dam during operation phase. A relationship between these pristine conditions and the existing river conditions was studied and also summarized in Table 6-23 below. Further description of the application of the regime is provided in the sections that follow.

Fish species	Singida tilapia	Albert tilapia	Zilli's tilapia	Victoria carp (Ningu)	African catfish			
Fish length (cm)	3.5 to 5.0	4.0 to 18.0	6.8 to 12.0	3.0 to 4.5	8.0 to 18.0			
Microhabitat	Adult	Adult						
Depth (m)	0.58	0.58	>1	>0.15	0.78			
Velocity (m/s)	0.399	0.399	0.23	0.2	0.75			
Substrate	Mud with silt	Mud with silt	Mud	Multiple	Mud, pebbles, slit			
Cover	Emergent Vegetation	Emergent Vegetation	Thick Vegetation	Multiple	Boulders and debris			
Feature	Low current pools	Low current pools	Open and slow waters	Open water				
Microhabitat	Juvenile							
Depth (m)	0.30	0.50	>1	0.15	0.50 to 2			
Velocity m/s)	0.15	0.63	0.23	0.83	0.83			
Substrate	Mud	Mud with silt	Mud	Pebbles & slit	Pebbles & slit			
Cover	Plant debris at bottom	Grasses and	Thick vegetation	Grasses	Grasses, rocks			
Feature	Shallow and slow	Confluence, runs & ripples	Vegetated shores slow waters	Runs & ripples	Runs & ripples			
Microhabitat	Migratory passage requirements							
Min. depth (m)	N/A	0.30	N/A	0.20	0.30			
Max. vel. (m/s)		0.30		0.22	0.63			
Migratory time		Mar to Oct		Apr to Oct	April to Oct			

Table 6-22: Summary of the biology and flow-related ecological requirements of five fish species in River Mishumba

Microhabitat	Reproductive requirement	Reproductive requirements						
Spawning season	Mar to Oct	Mar to Oct	N/A	Apr to Oct	Jul to Dec			
Spawning migration	N/A	Lateral to flooded areas		Upstream during rains	Against water flow – upstream			
Spawning cues	Seasonal rains Floods Seasonal floods		Seasonal rains, vegetation	High rains, increased water levels	High rains, Increased water levels, fast flowing waters			
Micro-habitat	Incubation habitat requirements							
Depth (m)	>0.30 to 1	>0.30 to 1	>0.30 to 1	>0.15 to > 1	>0.30			
Velocity (m/s)	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	>0.15	>0.60			
Substrate	Mud and sand Mud and sand		Emergent plants	Vegetated rocks/pebbles	Mud			
Cover	Vegetated shores	Vegetated shores	Vegetated shores	Vegetated shores	Emergent plants			
Temp (°C)	24 to 30	24 to 30	24 to 30	24 to 30	24 to 35			
Incubation duration	3 to 4 days and 6 to 9 for brooding fry	3 to 4 days and 6 to 9 of brooding fry	3 to 4 days	2 to 6 days	Does not incubate eggs.			
Diet	Minimal to feeding	Minimal to feeding	Minimal to feeding	Rotifers	Fish fry, insects			

Table 6-23: Comparison of observed fish species requirements with the existing instream flow conditions

Objective	Relevant species	Flow component	Hydraulic criteria	Timing	Conclusions from Current habitat Conditions downstream of the proposed damsite
Maintain sufficient water depth in pools for large- bodied fish	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, Zilli's tilapia, & adult African catfish	Low flow	 Max D > 1.5 m Velocity: 0.1– 0.4 m/s 	November to March,	Under normal flows, river experiences flow depths of about 0.3m which is comparatively to shallow for this species. Velocities range from 1m/s to about 1.4m/s in the river reaches and are way much higher than the recommended flows.
Stimulate spawning	Victoria carp and Africa catfish	Flow pulse	 D: 0.5–1.5 m at peak of flow pulse. Inundated adjoining land area and increased back water Velocity <0.3 m/s 	April to July	Under normal flows, river experiences flow depths of about 0.3m which is comparatively to shallow for this species. Velocities range from 1m/s to about 1.4m/s in the river reaches and are way much higher than the recommended flows.
Provide new habitat and feeding opportunities for fish	Adult and juveniles of Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, Zilli's tilapia, and African catfish	High flow	 Average D > 0.3 m; Velocity: 0.3 m/s to 1.2 m/s 	April to Jul	Under normal flows, river experiences flow depths of about 0.3m which is within the mentioned limits for this species. Velocities range from 1m/s to about 1.4m/s in the river reaches. These flows are within the flows experienced in the river during the

					mentioned period. However, the fish species except for the Africa catfish, were not registered at the sampling points under consideration though they were found elsewhere in the basin.
Provide new habitat and	Albert tilapia, Zilli's	Flood	• D > 0.8 m;	March to	Under normal flows, river experiences flow
feeding opportunities for fish, while putting place measures to mitigate the increased sedimentation load and low DO that is likely to cause mortality	tilapia, African catfish and haplochromine fishes	Average	• Velocity: 0.2 m/s –1.2 m/s	June and July to October	depths of about 0.3m which is comparatively to shallow to the minimum required for this species. Velocities range from 1m/s to about 1.4m/s in the river reaches and are higher than the recommended flows too.

6.4.4 Analysis of scenarios and sedimentation regime

The impact of changes in the sediment regime was analyzed using the *Hjulström-Sundborg diagram* presented in the Figure 6-18 below. According to Final Design Report (MoWE 2019), sediments of 241.7 Ton/km²/year from Mishumba catchment area (90km²) will be trapped by the dam after construction. This is equivalent to 30.68% of the 21,750 tons/year (total sediment) from the entire catchment area (293.31 km²) to be realised at the main outlet. The different flows were analyzed to investigate the possible changes in the deposition and settlement potential of various sediment particles noting their existence as settled materials /substrate or in suspension based on the expected velocities under the different flow regimes in each scenario. The Hjulström-Sundborg diagram shows the relationships between particle size and the tendency to be eroded, transported, or deposited at different current velocities



Figure 6-18: Relationships between particle size and the tendency to be eroded, transported, or deposited at different current velocities

Sampling Point	Bed Sediment description
3	Silt and mud
6	Silt, with pebbles of 4-20 mm
8	Silt, mud with material
14	Swamp/ farm
15	Silt and gravel of 2-64 mm

Table 6-24: Bed sediment description at selected sampling points

The Table 6-25 below presents the impact of the flow scenarios on different particle sizes in the river reaches .

Scenarios	Clay	Silt	Sand	gravel	Pebbles, cobbles & boulders
Present	Erosion /Transported	Eroded	Eroded	Eroded	Deposited
Scenario 1	Erosion /Transported	Eroded	Eroded	Eroded	Deposited
Scenario 2	Erosion /Transported	Eroded	Eroded	Eroded	Deposited
Scenario 3	Erosion /Transported	Eroded	Eroded	Eroded	Deposited

Table 6-25: Impact of the scenarios on the sediment regime

For all the three scenarios, the flow velocities will vary between 100 cm/s and 140 cm/s and the curve flatten as discharge increases. From the *Hjulström-Sundborg* diagram above, clay particles will remain suspended and silt, sand and gravel will be eroded. The stream bed sediments are comprised of silt to gravel materials. For all scenarios including the present situation, there is no deposition expected to take place due to medium or low flows. Pebbles, cobbles and boulders will be potentially deposited in all scenarios at these flow ranges. Consequently, there is no significant change expected in the sediment transport mechanisms under low flow to high flows downstream of the dam. In all scenarios, the high floods are to be maintained and therefore their sedimentation characteristics will not be affected downstream of the dam, therefore sediment balance for pebbles, cobbles and boulders is not envisaged to change. To enable ease of flushing sediments out of the reservoir, the environmental flow release structures within the dam should be placed as low as possible to allow as much sediment as possible to pass through the reservoir.

6.4.5 Impact of scenarios on irrigation potential

The present situation implies that no water is taken out of the river and therefore the irrigation scheme does not take place. Scenarios 1 and 2 do not reduce on the amount of water required for irrigation and therefore it can be concluded that their impact on the proposed irrigation scheme is negligible. Scenario 3 allows a release that will reduce the amount of water required for irrigation by approximately 30% implying a possible reduction in the irrigated area in the same range.

Discipline	Indicator	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3
Irrigation	Irrigated Area	Negligible	Negligible	Significant
Sedimentology	Deposition/erosion characteristics	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Water quality	Nitrates	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Vegetation	Papyrus areas	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

6.4.6 Analysis of scenarios against indicator list

Fish	Spawning Areas	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Invertebrates	Skates and beetles	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Socio-economic	Fishing	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Overall		Negligible	Negligible	Significant

Scenario 3 has a significant impact on the proposed irrigation scheme. Scenario 1 and scenario 2 do not have significant impacts on the scheme, however, scenario 2 corresponds to the proposed irrigation area and allows for more releases downstream. Therefore, the recommended release schedule from the reservoir is as in Table 6-26. Scenario 2 provides more water to the environment in comparison to scenario one. And this excess water is not needed in the irrigation scheme.

The three (3) scenarios have been designed to ensure minimum impact on the key indicator species (biodiversty) with negligible disruption on the social economic activities currently in the catchment. Therefore, all the 3 scenarios envisage minimum and negligible impact on the biodiversity and social economic activities with the e-flows for all the scenarios set to meet the requirements of all indicators.

Scenario 2 provides more water to the environment in comparison to scenario 1 and this excess water is not needed in the irrigation scheme. Scenario 1 is based on the minimum e-flows following field-based assessments whereas scenario 2 considers the minimun requirements based on the field data and sets standard minimum requirement for the indicators. Therefore, scenario 2 is considered more appropriate than scenario 1.

6.4.7 Conclusion on e-flow

Table 6-26 gives the recommended release for the e-flows from the reservoir and the overall recommended downstream flow which includes the contribution from the immediate downstream tributary. The proposed release schedule is the same as the one proposed by the ESIA studies. There is no need for any adjustments required in the dam design and operations for this purpose since there is variation in volume and e-flow. However, a flow of 1.8m³/s will be released once in every two (2) years in the month of April for 12 hours and a flow of 2.4m³/s will be released once in every five years in the month of April for 12 hours. These flows will be required to maintain the channel morphology downstream the reservoir. For the impacts of flow changes on the sediment regime and channel morphology, it is concluded that the recommended change in flows will not affect the deposition and erosion patterns within the river.

Month	Baseflow	Releases from Reservoir (m ³ /s)	Total flow downstream of Reservoir and tributary (m ³ /s)	Pulses	Small Floods	High floods
Jan	0	0.05	0.1	0		

Table 6-26: Recommended water release schedule from the reservoir

Feb	0	0.05	0.12	0		
Mar	0	0.05	0.14	0		
Apr	0			0	1.8 m ³ /s every	2.4m ³ /s
		0.11	0.24		after 2 yrs for	every after 5
		0.11	0.24		12 hrs.	yrs for 12
						hrs.
May	0	0.11	0.22	0		
Jun	0	0.11	0.15	0		
Jul	0	0.05	0.10	0		
Aug	0	0.05	0.10	0		
Sept	0	0.11	0.20	0		
Oct	0	0.11	0.22	0		
Nov	0	0.11	0.24	0		
Dec	0	0.05	0.16	0		

Some of the groups of people who live in the midstream (irrigable area)

*

7 STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATIONS AND CONCERNS

Under regulation (16) of the Environmental and Social Assessment regulations for Uganda (2020) and best international practice, the project developer is required to undertake public consultation during the ESIA process. Therefore, the intended project must be publicly disclosed to stakeholders (at all levels throughout project cycle) that are likely to be affected. The public disclosure also demonstrates the anticipated effects and benefits in a language understood by those communities. The World Bank's Operational Policy 4.01 likewise emphasizes consultation and public disclosure of the project. During this additional study, stakeholder engagements were conducted through consultation as per the requirements of the Environmental and Social Assessment regulations, 2020. It involves stakeholder identification, mapping and consultations.

The stakeholders targeted were those entities (individuals, farmer households, institutions, enterprises, state & non-state actors) who are affected and / or affect the ICRP biodiversity and ecological flow management. All stakeholder consultations ensured freedom of expression of opinions and concerns and well as providing participants with the information they needed to be involved in a meaningful way, and it communicates to participants how their input affects the decision. At start, stakeholders were identified and mapped then consultative meetings were organised. The stakeholders mapped included the following: National Stakeholders (ministries, departments & agencies, MDAs), Upper and lower local governments (Technical Staff e.g. SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers), Village leaders (LC I Chairpersons), CSOs, Community groups (farmers, forest user groups, youth groups, fishermen, hunters, brick makers, sand miners, water user committees, etc.), in the project area (e.g. forest user groups) and other key stakeholders e.g. NGOs/CBOs, Cooperative Societies, small and large scale farmers among others.

7.1 Objectives of Stakeholder Consultations

The objectives of stakeholder consultations were as follows:

- a. To identify and assess issues and concerns issues related to the project scope, relevance, influence to the stakeholders, administrative aspects, traditional setting of the project and reinforce aspects identified in the ESIA Report (2019).
- b. To identify and assess priorities, interests, level of influence, modifications, enhancement and mitigation measures in relation to project impacts on biodiversity and ecological flow management plan of ICRP.
- c. To determine the need and key elements of the stakeholder engagement plan (SEP) to be used during the project implementation

7.2 Categories of Stakeholders

The consulted stakeholders included the following:

N⁰.	Category of	Name/Title of Stakeholder	Consultative	methods
Stakeholders			used	

1	Ministries.	•	Ministry of Water and Environment	Consultative meetings
	Departments &		(MoW/F)	Phone interviews
	Agencies		Directorate of Eicharias Resources	
	Ageneics	•	Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry	
			and Eicherice (MAAIE)	
		•	National Fisheries Resources Research	
			Institute (NAFIRRI)	
2	Higher local	•	Isingiro District Fisheries Office	Consultative meetings
	Governments			Phone interviews
3	Lower local	٠	Kabuyanda Sub County Local Gov't	Consultative meetings
	Government	•	Kabuyanda Town Council	Community meetings
	(Technical Staff)	•	Kikagate Sub County Local Gov't	
		•	Ruborogota Sub County Local Gov't	
4	Civil Society	•	Environmental Alert	Phone interviews
	Organisations	•	WCS	
	(CSOs)	•	Nature Uganda	
5	Academia	•	Mbarara University of Science &	Phone interviews
			technology (MUST)	
		•	Makerere University	
6	Community	•	Forest user groups at Kagoto 2 village	Community meetings
	, (Project		(dam site area)	, 0
	Affected /	•	Village I C 1 Committee of Kagoto 2 village	Ecosystems Service
	beneficiaries)		(dam site area)	, Survey Questionnaire
	,		downstream farmer communities	, .
		Ū	(Nombe village)	FGDs
			unstroam of dam site communities	
		•	(Kyamazinga 1 & Kyamazinga 2 yillagos)	Klis
		•	irrigable area communities - farmer	
			communities in downstream and mid-	
			stream in Ntundu parish – Kikagate Sub	
			County)	

7.3 Results of Stakeholder Consultations (Issues & Concerns)

7.3.1 Local Governments (Kabuyanda SC, Kabuyanda TC, Kikagati SC and Ruborogota SC)

a) Consultation meeting with Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub- County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers)

Category of stakeholders	Key issues and concerns raised by Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers)
Kikagati Sub County Technical Staff	• The technical staff welcomes the project in the area. Though it's covering only one parish in Kikagate Sub County (Ntundu parish)

	• The major crops grown in areas that are covered by irrigation scheme are maize, sorghum, Irish potatoes, and sweet potatoes though on small scale
Senior Assistant	due to limited land.
Secretary (SAS) –	Banana is a traditional crop for cash and food. It fetches more money, with
Samuel078368337	3 harvest per month
2	• The biggest plantation yields 100-150 batches of banana per season /
	The major because variation are leadly known as DWA7ULINE. NEUEVI
Parish Chief – Alije	• The major banana varieties are locally known as BWAZILOWE, INSHE'N, LWAMIGOGO, KIBUZI
Amos	• The poor rely on banana, because they offer casual labour in banana
0787690649	gardens. The youth and women get short term jobs.
	• There is increasing degradation of swamps in almost all villages within the
CDO / Extension	irrigable areas in Ntundu parish in villages of Kigando, Kamwosya,
CDU / Extension	Rwakijuma, Kaburara, Ruyonza, Bubare. There is distilling of local brew
Irono	(waragi)
lielle	• Our major worry is dam busting hence causing a disaster. The busting may
	be due to shoddy work, and disregard of indigenous knowledge.
	 In April and May water levels increase, but floods don't happen always.
	• There are hazards due to climate change such as floods that have
	destroyed roads and bridges in Kamwosya. The Rwabishari bridge is not
	crossable.
	 The trends of past hazards are easily remembered by locals.
	 In 1982, floods destroyed property and animals in Ruhanda / Katoma river sections
	• In 2017, floods destroyed Nombe bridge (downstream), it swept away
	graves and burial sites; death of livestock. The most affected areas were
	Kigando, Rutoma, Nyakitunda, Bugongi, Kyefurwe, Kifulwe, Kabuyanda,
	Kaburara. The disaster was so strong and was all over the national news.
	The irrigable area is in flood plain.
	The area with high agricultural potential is Ruyonza.
	• In downstream areas, many people don't own land but they hire small plot
	of land at UGX 500,000/= for quarter acre per season per year.
	When the irrigation starts, the farmers should be supported with irrigation
	pumps.
	• The extension staff have not seen the design of the irrigation system and
	dam; they request for an explanation so that they understand how best
	the project will work.
	The farmers should be supported because the cost of additional irrigation equipment is expensive
	 There is need to plant trees and establishment of nursery beds
	 The use of rattan and nanvrus is reducing because neonle have bought
	iron sheets
	ii on sheets



b) Consultation meeting with Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers; GISO)

Category of stakeholder	Key issues and concerns raised by Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers; GISO)
S	
KABUYAND	• We welcome the dam and irrigation scheme. However, in order for people
A SUB-	to participate fully let the project meet the urgent need for domestic water
COUNTY	supply.
Technical	• The major concern is demand for domestic water. This should be addressed
Staff	before the irrigation scheme
	 The land is getting scarce for farmers to grow on large scale.
Sonior	• We have not yet engaged with planners of the irrigation scheme. You (JBN
Assistant	Consults & planners) are among the first people to come here and have a
Assistant	discussion about the project.
	 Kabuyanda Sub County has little local revenue sources
(3A3)-	 Climate change especially drought severally affects our farmers.
	• Fishing is common in our area. We propose that the dam should be
Parish	restocked with fish and fishing activity should be regulated as it is done in
Chiefs	Bwizibwera / Rwanyamahembe Sub County in Mbarara district.
	 Locals can be trained in modern fishing skills
	• There should not be a contracted fish farmer to take care of the dam.
Agricultural	Everyone should have equal benefits.
Extension	• There is need for swamp demarcation with pillars. The sub county has no
Officer	money
GISO	• There is need for environmental training of locals and technical staff.
	• We have one agricultural extension officer shared among Kabuyanda Sub
	County and Kabuyanda Town Council.

- We propose that the project can recruit Environmental Assistants (EA) and • be based at Sub County. There is need to have Kabuyanda Sub County Dam Task Force to manage conflict and oversight. There is need for a police post near the dam site. There is likelihood of conflicts over land, restriction on resource use • especially in case people are stopped from dam area, and demarcations of river buffer zones. An alternative footpath across the dam site area should be established, because if construction starts almost all villages on northern side will have no access to sources of firewood. This will be dangerous. There is need to construct bridges using appropriate materials. The wildlife-human conflicts are negligible. There is need to increase the environment budget at sub county from UGX • 200,000/= per year. We need Kabuyanda Sub County Environment and Climate Change Strategy The locals should be given jobs with first priority to people who live near the • dam The government should offer additional farmer support. The farmers may • not have money to buy additional irrigation equipment e.g., sprinklers. There is no known case of irrigation in banana plantation that has ever • happened in our sub county. can sprinklers irrigate a banana plantation? The government should help us and train the banana farmers.
- c) Consultation meeting with Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Town Council (Town Clerk, Mayor, Town Agents, Enforcement Officers, Community Development Officers, Health Inspector)

Category of	Key issues and concerns raised by Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Town Council (Town
Stakeholders	Clerk, Mayor, Town Agents, Enforcement Officers, Community Development Officers,
	Health Inspector)

KABUYAND	• Are the beneficiaries aware about the project? The leaders have been
A TOWN	participating in the meetings, but are the locals fully aware? How will the
COUNCIL	project raise awareness about the project?
Technical	• During the NESAP (design), few people could come to the meetings. There
Staff (SAS,	was no public disclosure.
Parish	• The theory of the project is good, but the practical part of it is disturbing.
Chiefs,	People need to know how the irrigation system will work.
Extension	Is this the first irrigation scheme in Isingiro district?
Officer,	• There is no physical plan for Kabuyanda Town Council. How will the irrigation
Health	scheme affect the town?
Inspector);	• The physical plan costs UGX 700million to develop. The project should help
	us on that.
	• We have a plan of expanding the town to municipality status in future.
	 In order to manage the irrigation scheme, there is need for an umbrella unit
	managed by respective lower local governments (Kabuyanda TC, Kabuyanda
	SC, Kikagate SC & Ruborogota SC)
	• We have a problem of poor waste management, with illegal dumping in
	irrigable area especially swamps. There is no gazetted disposal site. Will the
	project support town council in establishing a landfill, or compost site? The
	compost could be good for farmers.
	The major crops are banana, beans, maize.
	• There are charcoal burners and sellers, with limited access to renewable
	energies such as briquettes. The demand for firewood will increase and yet
	we rely on forests and woodlots.
	There is low coverage of energy saving stoves.
	• Town council needs to plan for climatic hazards such as floods.
	• We are concerned about any future occurrence of floods. Last time it
	happened It destroyed property such as roads, bridges, houses and human
	death (4 people killed).
	• Floods destroyed 7 bridges. These include: Byaruhaga-Akarere bridge;
	Mapengo-Akatesani bridge; Nshakirahe-Akatesani-Kyapa bridge; Mbarara-
	Ruti-Kabuynda bridge; Bigwera bridge; Rwabisheni-Kabumba bridge;
	Kamyoshya-Rwamijuka bridge; Nombe bridge.
	• The dry spell and drought affect communities as well. Between 2007-2008,
	the drought was so intense that even the price of cattle went down to UGX
	20,000-30,000/= per cattle.
	• The rivers dried. These included R. Kalwenyi; R. Kizanyibaleba; R. Tebongire.
	only River Mishumba was flowing but water levels were low.
	There is need for a disaster management plan
	• The swamp demarcation may be problematic. There is need for
	sensitization.
	There is bylaw on environment but it's not yet approved.

- The farmers will need more support in terms of inputs.
- We have two farmer societies namely Kigarama Farmers Cooperative Society with 176 members and Kabuyanda Dairy Farmers Cooperative Society
- There are 6 millers, 2 coffee processors,
- Vegetable growing will increase due to irrigation.



d) Consultation meeting with Ruborogota Sub County Technical Staff (SAS, Parish Chiefs, CDO)

Category of stakeholders	Key issues and concerns raised by Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers; GISO)
Ruborogota Sub County Technical Staff (SAS, Parish Chiefs, CDO);	 We are not fully aware about the irrigation scheme How many villages will it benefit in Ruborogota Sub County? The farmers need to be supported. We need to first look at the detailed design of the irrigation scheme We propose that the Ministry and DLG organizes a stakeholder meetings /
	 consultation to hear concerns about design. The demand for irrigation goes beyond the proposed beneficiaries.

e) Consultations with Parish Chiefs (Dam Site) – Kanywamizi and Kagara parishes

Category of	Key issues and concerns raised by Parish Chiefs (Dam Site) – Kanywamizi &
stakeholders	Kagara parishes
Parish chiefs of	Issues and concerns of Parish chiefs of Kanywamizi and Kagara parishes (dam
Kanywamizi and	site) – Kabuyanda Sub County
(dam site) – Kabuyanda Sub County	 There was a big flood in April-May 2020 that destroyed property, livestock and human death. 5 people died in Kabulala and Ruyonza villages in Kikagate Sub County (downstream). Access to basic health services is still a challenge. There need to be a health facility nearby.
Francis - Kanywamizi parish	 We have been told that irrigation scheme will be downstream not in our areas of Kagara and Kanywamizi parishes/ There are no farmer extension officers.
	• The communities are continuing to encroach on the swamp due to scarcity of land and poor farming methods.
Abaine Asaph – Kagara parish chief (+256	• The enforcement of environment laws e.g., swamp protection is weak. We the parish Chiefs do the enforcement but there is no funding at Kabuyanda Sub County.
782962197 / +256757655593)	 People plant banana along river buffer zones because they are not marked. The degradation is mainly in 6 villages namely Katooma 1, Katooma 2, Kagoto 1, Kagoto 2, Akatesanyi (central ward) and Rwakwakeda.
	 At LC 1 villages, the secretaries for environment are nominated but are not inducted and they don't know what to do.
	• There is no sub catchment management plan for River Mishumba.
	• There are no environmental guidelines to parish Chief to refer to. We are also ignorant of many environmental protection issues. We need to be trained.

7.3.2 Village Communities within target areas (Dam Site, Irrigable Areas and Non-beneficiary areas outside target area)

a) Consultations with Forest user groups at Kagoto 2 village (dam site area)

Category of	Key issues and concerns raised by forest user groups at Kagoto 2 village (dam site area)
Stakeholder	
S	
Forest	Issues and concerns of Forest user groups at Kagoto 2 village (dam site area)
Users at	• The river is the major source of water. We totally rely on the river because all
Kagoto 2	the boreholes are not functional. They are located at Kagoto 1 and
village	Nyamiyanga villages.
(dam site	• We rely on Rwoho Central Forest Reserve (CFR) to get firewood especially dry
area) on 4	eucalyptus and pine (dead wood and cut-offs)
March	• We fear police and national Forest Authority (NFA) because they arrest us for
2021	harvesting / collecting firewood from the forest reserve, yet we have
	nowhere to get it from
	• Yes, it's true sometimes we cut trees without permission. However, our
	children often collect residues / cut offs from those timber / lumbering sites
	within the forest
	• There are many camps of licensed men who cut trees, so we talk to them and
	they give us cut-offs, then we give them food especially bananas. For each 2
	batches of banana a, they give us poles of pine trees. We use the wood for
	domestic and commercial uses especially brewing local brew (waragi). Others
	resell the firewood to make profit because the demand is high. One bicycle
	full of firewood is sold at UGX 15,000/=; 1 piece of wood is at UGX 500-700/=
	 There were many wild fruits before they planted pine and eucalyptus trees in Dweba CED. The most common wild fruits are lessily known as AMAXWUNCL
	ENTUTU AAVONZA and AMATELEIEPE. But now they are scarce
	• We fear that when construction starts, our road will be blocked and it will be
	• We real that when construction starts, our road will be blocked and it will be hard to access the forest, as well as moving to next village of Kyamazinga
	This is the shortest distance from Kabuyanda-Kagoto 2-Kyamazinga (7km)
	the alternative route is Kabuvanda-Kanywamizi-Kyamazinga (10-15km)
	• When the road is closed, the transport costs will increase. Currently, a
	commuter motorcycle (boda-boda) charges UGX 4,000/= from Kagoto to
	Kyamazinga. A truck taking building materials charges UGX 100,000/= from
	Kisoryo 2 to Kyamazinga. The cost will double if the road is blocked.
	• The youth need jobs because unemployment is high. In Kagoto 2 village, there
	are about 70 youth without jobs out of total population of 350 people.
	• The unemployed youth resort to artisanal fishing in the river streams.
	However, the severe flooding that happened in 2020, washed away the fish
	downstream together with the swamps where fish used to breed. The most
	common type of fish was ENSOZI. We sell it at UGX 2,000/= per batch (11 fish
	x 1 batch). The common fishing method is use of basins and net
	• The community suffers from water related diseases such as malaria, diarrhea,
	bilharzia, typhoid.

- We need to know about the detailed plan of the dam construction. Let the government bring engineers and they show us and we also ask the questions.
- When construction starts, will the youth get jobs?
- The construction will attract sex workers from Kabuyanda town, and they offer cheap sex at UGX 1,000 per encounter. Its better the workers rely on the sex workers but not our children and wives.



b) Consultations with LC 1 Committee of Kagoto 2 village (dam site area)

Category of stakeholders	Key issues and concerns raised by Technical Staff of Kabuyanda Sub County (SAS, Parish Chiefs, Extension Officers; GISO)
Kagoto 2 LC 1 Village Committee (Headed by Chairperson, (Mr. Aggrey Lukabaza - 0784561152 /	 There are 6 neighboring villages surrounding the dam site area. These are Kagoto 2, Katooma 1, Katooma 2, Kyamazinga 1, Kyamazinga 2 and Kagara. In my village (Kagoto 2), there are 401 people living in 335 households with about 60% children; 120 youth and 70 female headed households (widows) The major problem we face is lack of clean water sources. There are no boreholes, shallow wells. Piped water stops at Kabuyanda Town council which is 6km away. We rely on river Mishumba and its streams. There are 5 water points along those rivers locally known as AMAZIBA. All villages use those points. Even livestock use the same water points (AMAZIBA)
0759368393)	 Community collects herbs and medicinal plants from the forest reserves and swamps along the rivers. The herbs and medicinal plants are mainly used to treat diseases and illnesses such as diarrhea, gastronomic ulcers, syphilis, worms, allergy, nose bleed, malaria, EKIBAARE, EKIGARAGA, EFFUMBI, ESWUMBWE. The common herbs and medicinal plants are OMULULUZA, EMILAVUMBA, AKABIDIRIZI, OMUFULULA, OMWIHURA, OMWETAGO, OMWESAMULO, OMUSHINYA, OMWAMIRA, EMBOGA / ESWIGA



c) Consultations with downstream farmer communities (Nombe village)

Category of	Key issues and concerns raised by farmers in downstream areas (Nombe
stakeholders	village)

Farmers in	• we heard about the dam that will be constructed in Kabuyanda. How will
downstream	it look like?
areas (Nombe	Will the water be affected?
village)	• The river is our major source of water for domestic use and livestock
	The fishing in river is common. We get food
	There are also insects
	The antelopes are very few.
	• There are birds such as ENTUHE (Crested Crane), ENYAWAWA, ENYANJI,
	EBIKONA, EKANGA, ENDAHI (commonly eaten).
	• There are also birds treated with superstition such as KAMUSHUSHUGU,
	EKIHUNYIRA / EKIHIHIZI
	• Fish farming is happening but done especially by one man called
	MILISERA at Kabumba village (upstream)
	<image/>

d) Consultations with 2 village communities upstream of dam site (Kyamazinga 1 & Kyamazinga 2 villages)

Category of stakeholder s	Key issues and concerns raised by 2 village communities upstream of dam site (Kyamazinga 1 & Kyamazinga 2 villages)
2 village	• We use the river to irrigate some crops down in the valley especially
communities	vegetables
upstream of	• There are 70 households that use river to irrigate. These rivers are locally
dam site	known as River Rwabashura and River Owogwabashura.
(Kyamazinga	• We also use the river water for domestic use. We have no other water
1&	source apart from the river. There are 26 water vendors who sell a jerrycans
Kyamazinga	at UGX 1,000
2 villages)	• We use the river for fish. There are 40 people who do fishing using nets and
	locally made baskets



e) Consultations with 6 village communities near the dam site (Katooma 1, Katooma 2, Kagoto 1, Kagoto 2, Karo 3, Nyamiyanga)

Category of stakeholder s	Key issues and concerns raised by 5 village communities near the dam site (Katooma 1, Katooma 2, Kagoto 1, Kagoto 2, Karo 3, Nyamiyanga)
5 village	 There are many people and households near the dam site.
communitie	• We were told that the dam will be multi-purpose. That it will help in
s near the	irrigation, electricity, fish farming, livestock farming, piped water.
dam site	• We promised compensation for loss of our land but it has delayed.
(Katooma 1,	• We are concerned about accidents. They told us that the dam will be zoned
Katooma 2,	into two i.e., red zone and blue zone.
Kagoto 1,	• We graze our livestock in the dam area. Will they compensate us for the
Kagoto 2,	grazing land?
Kagara)	• The major challenge in our villages is lack of access to safe and clean water.
	We rely on rivers.
	 Dry spell and drought affect us especially in May and August.

- The construction will block roads to school. How will our children cross the valley / river to go to school?
- Though we want development (ETUGUKA), is greatly concerned about floods. Last time they came without warning.
- Will the dam have an alarming system in case of floods due to raising water levels?
- We have small land holdings of not more than 2 acres. People grow near the river and swamp. They have encroached on the river and it will be very hard to stop them.
- There are about 122 households growing crops in swamp and river basins (10 in Kagoto 1; 18 in Kagoto 2; 84 in Kaaro 3; 10 in Katooma 1). They grow food crops such as yams, banana beans, maize, sorghum.
- What fertilizers will the project give us?
- We were told that some villages will not benefit from irrigation?





f) Consultations with farmer communities in downstream and mid-stream in Ntundu parish – Kikagati Sub County)

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP



People and animals surviving on River Mishumba in the midstream (irrigable area)

8 IMPACT ASSESSMENT, ENHANCEMENT & MITIGATION MEASURES

8.1 **Positive Impacts and Enhancement Measures**

The positive impacts have been respectively classified as direct, indirect, short, medium, longterm, highly significant, moderate, no significant, temporary, permanent and continuous. Please refer to Impact Matrix at end of this section for details.

8.1.1 **Pre-Construction Phase**

a) Favorable Social acceptability, community involvement and support for ICRP: The prevailing conditions of social acceptability are a positive impact in that it has created an enabling environment for project success. During stakeholder consultations, it was observed that expectations are inclined on improving livelihoods and local development. The Kabuyanda Sub County Local government officials clearly stated that the ICRP will greatly succeed because it's being supported by all stakeholders (potential beneficiaries, local government and local leaders). The favorable social acceptability has enabled successful completion of preliminary surveys e.g., ESIA, RAP. It's anticipated that other additional pre-construction activities will be completed successful. These include: land acquisition, acquisition of construction equipment and materials, site clearance, construction of access roads, improvement of existing roads, construction material and equipment to the project sites. This positive impact is classified as direct, short, medium, long- term, highly significant, permanent and continuous.

Enhancement measures: There is need to conduct additional stakeholder consultations and information disclosure focusing on a) new proposed management plans; b) BEMP; c) Project or programme performance reports including the status on implementation. This will be in line with MoWE/ESS Policy Framework and OP 4.01.

8.1.2 Construction Phase

a) Short term employment opportunities for local communities: The ICRP will create direct and indirect employment to local people for a period of 2 years. The possible direct jobs include community workers (casual labour) and semi-skilled (masons, plumbers, painters, drivers, community educators). These will be involved in construction works for the dam, spillway, intake and bottom outlet, irrigation networks, drainage networks and other structures, site clearance, excavation, blasting and surfacing of cleared areas. There will be additional indirect employment opportunities such as supplier workers, petty business (e.g., restaurants, drinking points, etc.). This positive impact is classified as direct, short, highly significant, permanent and temporary. The wages earned will enhance access to basic needs among the local communities. In order to maximize the above positive social impact, it will be necessary to consider the following enhancement measures.

Enhancement measures

• Involve LC 1 village leaders in identifying casual and semi-skilled workers (Offer Identification / registration forms).
- The contractor will be encouraged to prioritize employing the locals for jobs that they qualify for or can do (casual), in order to reduce impact of labour influx to the host community by external workers.
- ICRP should integrate social protection mechanisms such as offering casual jobs to vulnerable and marginalised people using group model (labour intensive public works). NB. Benchmark with NUSAF 3 program funded by World Bank that uses a labour-intensive public works model. The vulnerable and marginalised groups include the PAPs e.g., displaced households, women, youth, disabled persons, lactating mothers, widows, older persons and child headed households.

8.1.3 Dam filling Phase

In this report the positive environmental effects during the dam filling phase have been captured and most suitable enhancement measures identified.

a) Creation of new or expanded habitats for aquatic wildlife, and attraction of terrestrial life forms. The filling of the reservoir will lead to expanded living spaces and enable processes of biological colonization, creating new habitats for different aquatic life forms while also leading to emergence of new terrestrial water dependent life forms.

Enhancement measures

- Monitor the colonization and changes in assemblage of aquatic and terrestrial life forms as the dam fills so as to possibly weed out an unwanted invasive life forms such as water hyacinth.
- Facilitate movement and colonization of the filling reservoir with naturally suitable life forms, such as sedentary deep water loving forms.
- b) **Changes in hydraulics and hydrological parameters** of the river allowing for increased clarity of water and primary productivity to support new life forms in the reservoir.

Enhancement measures

- Implement a monitoring plan to study the changes in hydraulic and hydrological parameters of the stream, and how the changes are impacting on productivity of the waters.
- c) Shift of fish communities from lotic to lentic components. It is expected that during the filling, the abundance percentage of lentic fish will increase with creation of more sedentary or less flowing and deep water habitats. As such, after the filling, lentic or limnophilic fishes are expected to increased significantly in abundance and will result in a typical lacustrine fishery, but will also offer transitional and riverine zones for corresponding lotic fish assemblages. On monitoring after filling and stabilization of the reservoir, Singida tilapia, a critically endangered fish species can be moved from the lower reaches to a new and more appropriate habitat setting, in the formed water reservoir but with careful ecological modelling studies for conservation purposes.

Enhancement measures

• It is suggested that long term monitoring should be conducted right from the filling stage to established and stablised stage of the reservoir, with regular evaluation of the changing ecological effects, and different strategies implemented in these three zones for conservation purposes.

• Monitor and translocate lotic (rheophilic fishes) to transitional and riverine zones, or completely outside the reservoir to adjoining streams (tributaries) south of the dam.

8.1.4 Operation & Maintenance Phase

The ESIA provided most of the positive impacts in each of the phases of ICRP implementation. Under this report, only a few additional positive impacts were identified to avoid repetition and duplication of effort.

a) Reduction and/or taming of predators of aquatic organisms through clearance of vegetation and physical structures: there will be a reduction in and or taming of predators of aquatic organisms, especially those for fishes therefore, it anticipated that disturbed fauna will migrate to nearby habitats. The impact will be indirect, medium & long term, positive for aquatic organisms and likely to occur with a moderate magnitude.

Enhancement measures

- Monitor the presence and abundance of natural predators of aquatic organisms.
- Where possible facilitate natural movement of fauna to nearby habitats.
- b) Enhanced aquatic habitat through creation and maintenance of new water reservoir with deep settled waters: Inundation and occupying of more grounds will allow aquatic wildlife colonization and establishment. This will establish biodiversity communities typical of expanded water pools and associated backwaters and creation of new fisheries. The dam will create a water reservoir which will possibly enhance the aquatic habitats. This will offer the opportunity for pisciculture and aquaculture and favourable habitats for water fowl, both permanent and migrating. This will harness the opportunities to initiate aquaculture at the reservoir. This will be a direct and positive impact, very likely to occur with a large magnitude.

Enhancement measures

- Put in place a reservoir management plan.
- Bird sanctuary can be created around reservoir
- Allow for "facilitated" natural migration and colonization by all fishes in the catchment.

- Establish a formal community-based fishing regulatory framework against excessive and bad fishing practices.
- c) Regulated flow of water especially during the dry periods of the year: This will allow for management and addressing of natural periods of reduced flow or drying out providing the affected aquatic wildlife or terrestrial organisms that depend on the continued River Mishumba flow to survive with the managed continued flow. This will be a direct and positive impact, very likely to occur with a large magnitude.

Enhancement measures

- Adherence to and regular updating of e-flow management plan
- d) Regulated human settlement and socio-economic activities in irrigation command area: The project will offer enhanced capacity to regulate and tame human driven change in the catchment with opportunities to eliminate or curb the destructive socio-economic and settlement activities in the catchment. This will be a direct and positive impact, very likely to occur with a large magnitude.

Enhancement measures

- Sensitization of beneficiary communities and farmer organization and training on managed and planned agriculture production.
- Scale-up environmental education and protection actions at all lower local governments e.g., strengthen role of LC 1 Environmental Secretary in monitoring and reporting
- e) New breeding grounds due to creation of backwaters with blockage of channels: During the operation phase, it will be impossible to avoid leakage of the irrigation system. Leakages are will likely create artificial ponds (stagnant water), swamps or artificial aquatic habitats of value for flora and fauna. New habitats of certain species of fauna will be emerge within the irrigation command area. Therefore, the Irrigation command area will become breeding ground for most amphibians with increased juvenile recruitment rate. Such a situation may also become good foraging habitats for birds such as ducks, egrets, ibises and herons, and reptiles such as *Forest Cobra* and *Nile Monitor* since they partially feed on amphibians hence harnessing the gains in biodiversity. This impact will be direct and positive, very likely to happen with major significance.

Enhancement measures

- Protection of created habitats
- Sensitization of attendant communities on the need for protection and conservation of biodiversity.
- Regular survey and monitoring of created habitats.
- f) New Dam and associated irrigation infrastructure: There will be an enhanced production and productivity in an equitable and gender responsive, climate smart and market oriented agricultural practice. This will be a direct and positive impact, very likely to occur with a large magnitude.

Enhancement measures

- Adhering to Biodiversity and E-flow Management Plan
- Implementation of catchment management plans and measures in close collaboration with the host communities.



Figure 8-1: Modified riverine along the proposed reservoir area (bare land) without any aquatic habitats

8.1.5 Level of Intensity of Positive Impacts

The intensity of positive impacts has been rated per sections of river stretch (Upstream, Midstream & Downstream) as shown in **Table** 8-1.

Table 8-1: Level	of Intensity	of Positive	Impact r	per river sec	tion
	or internate		in pace p		

	Stretch /	Section of	River	
Positive Impacts by Level of Intensity (<i>High = 1; Medium= 2; Low =3</i>)	Up- stream	Mid- Stream	Down stream	Entire Project Area
PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE				
Favourable Social acceptability, community involvement and support for ICRP	High	Medium	Medium	High
Increased visibility and stakeholder support due to pre- construction engagement such as Field Visits, Design Presentation at DLGs & Ground Breaking ceremonies.	High	High	High	High
CONSTRUCTION PHASE				
Short term direct & indirect employment opportunities for local communities (casual & semi-skilled jobs; local businesses e.g., restaurants, suppliers.	High	Medium	Medium	High
Potential appreciation of value for property such as land, houses for rent that are near the water facilities	High	Medium	Medium	High

	Stretch /	Section of	River	
Positive Impacts by Level of Intensity (<i>High = 1; Medium= 2; Low =3</i>)	Up- stream	Mid- Stream	Down stream	Entire Project Area
(reservoirs, boosters, transmission lines, distribution tanks).				
Rehabilitation of roads and bridges (feeder and community access roads) in dam site area and irrigable area	High	High	High	High
Increase in positive sexual behaviours due to adherence to social safeguards (e.g., workplace HIV/AIDs policy) for workers at construction sites	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Increase in petty trade opportunities – new kiosks will be opened up to serve influx of workers in short run at water works sites.	High	Medium	Medium	High
Reduced gender disparities in terms of women and youth accessing short and direct employment at construction sites	High	Medium	Medium	High
Skills transfer / on-Job Training of local workforce in relevant skills e.g., plumbing, painting, etc. the skills transfer will benefit locals.	High	Medium	Medium	High
OPERATION PHASE				
Reduction and/or taming of predators of aquatic organisms through clearance of vegetation and physical structures	High	High	Medium	High
Enhanced aquatic habitat through creation and maintenance of new water reservoir with deep settled waters	High	Medium	Low	High
Regulated flow of water especially during the dry periods of the year	Medium	High	High	High
Regulated human settlement and socio-economic activities in irrigation command area	High	High	High	High
New breeding grounds due to creation of backwaters with blockage of channels	High	Medium	medium	High
New Dam and associated irrigation infrastructure for better agricultural production and productivity	Medium	High	High	High
Transformation of agriculture practice from subsistence to commercial agriculture	Medium	High	High	High
Sustainable and optimal use of irrigation water resources	Medium	High	High	High
Improved food security due to increased crop & livestock production	Medium	High	High	High
Increase in employment in agricultural value chain (direct & indirect)	Medium	High	High	High
Increased crop acreage & yields	Medium	High	High	High
Improved social infrastructure (irrigation schemes, domestic water supply, roads, etc)	High	High	High	High
Gender empowerment and reduced gender disparities	High	High	High	High
Increased Crop diversification and intensification	Medium	High	High	High
Improved tourism (agro and eco-tourism)	High	High	High	High
Increased local trade and value chain development	High	High	High	High

	Stretch / Section of River			
Positive Impacts by Level of Intensity (High = 1; Medium= 2; Low =3)	Up- stream	Mid- Stream	Down stream	Entire Project Area
Increased rural transformation & urbanization	High	High	High	High
DECOMMISSIONING PHASE				
Creation of short-term employment (Casual jobs) involved in dismantling the work sites / camps.	High	High	High	High

8.2 Negative Impacts and Mitigation Measures

8.2.1 Pre-Construction Phase (Risks)

a) High incidence of grievances arising due to delayed and faulted compensation, demarcation of buffer zones and restrictions over resource use: There is growing concern about delayed compensation and valuation methods used. This is evident in the dam site area and conveyance/distribution networks. There are possible conflicts arising from demarcation of buffer zones of rivers and restrictions on use of natural resource. This is short term and direct impact but not continuous. The receptor *Sensitivity* is assessed to be *medium. it will not delay* / *hinder construction to take place, but will instigate social distress to a minimal level.* This is a *Temporary* and *reversible* Impact. The impact *Intensity* is *medium* because it affects livelihoods directly. This will give rise to *moderate* impact significance.

Imp	act Significance	Sensitivity of Receptor				
		1	2	3	4	
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
d u	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
ity	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigation measures:

- Ensure compliance to recommendations of the RAP report
- Conduct adequate information disclosure about RAP process and benefits of relocations certain points especially near dam site and buffer zones of rivers.
- Implement livelihood restoration actions as proposed in RAP report
- Meaningfully consult and involve host communities during development and implementation of catchment management plans.
- **b) Mismanagement of compensation packages at household level**: There is likelihood of mismanaging compensation packages at household levels arising from inadequate skills and knowledge in financial planning and management, gender-based violence and family conflicts.

The mismanagement of compensation is likely to affect children and women because they have limited property ownership rights over land, houses, crop-gardens among others. Given the patriarchal nature of the family relations, the men will dominate the decisions on how to allocate compensation packages especially funds. This is short, medium and direct impact but not continuous. The receptor Sensitivity is assessed to be medium because it directly creates deprivation and gender-based vulnerability at household and community levels in terms of GBV, social exclusion, child rights violation among others. This is impact is permanent and irreversible. The impact Intensity is high and its significance is major.

			Sensitivity	of Receptor	
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
bact	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
<u>u</u>	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
itγ	3	3	6	9	12
sus	Medium	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measures:

- Ensure compliance to recommendations of the RAP report in terms of financial literacy and family finance management and planning
- Engage local leaders in sensitizing PAPs
- Implement livelihood restoration actions as proposed in RAP report
- c) Degradation of existing stocks with abandonment of fishing control and regulations with anticipated takeover and development of Mishumba catchment for irrigation. Due to the limited operation budgets and lack of empowerment of fishing communities, there is a chance that DLG and Lower Local Governments may halt the activities for control and regulation of fishing activities in the Mishumba catchment with the knowledge that the catchment is in the process of being taken up for development of irrigation, and control of fishing activities as such is no longer tenable and important. This is likely to lead to increase in indiscriminate fishing seriously damaging the existing stocks in the catchment. This is a long term and direct impact. The receptor *sensitivity* is assessed to be <u>low</u>. This is *temporary* and can be *reversible* impact. The impact *intensity* is *medium* and a *moderate* impact significance in periods of intense project activity.

			Sensitivity	of Receptor	
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
ŭ	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
ity	3	3	6	9	12
sus	Medium	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measures:

- DLGs and LLGs should be compelled and facilitated by MWE and MAAIF to continue with control and regulation of fishing activities as provided for in existing regulatory framework.
- MAAIF should put in place management measure to ban artisanal trap fishing and or closed fishing season using existing Fish (closed) Rules, 2008, for closed season fishing, to prevent the harvest of Ningu during their peak **spawning** periods (rainy season).
- To protect and ensure continued use of the identified breeding and spawning areas in Mishuma River tributaries within the Mishumba Catchment by NIngu, the project should facilitate MAAIF and concerned DLGs and LLGs to establish a community Conservation Educational Managed area covering key spawning and nursing habitats for Ningu to improve the sustainability of the fishery – using the Fish (Lacustrine Protected Area) Rules, 2007. This should include training, exposure and provision of skills for alternative livelihoods for the fishermen.
- MAAIF and MWE should sensitize the fishing community members throughout the preparation phase on the requirement for them to adhere to established rules and regulations for fishing, and to desist from using destructive gears.
- The project should provide local leaders and known fishing community leaders with information generated in the additional surveys on the importance of the catchment in terms of fisheries especially as a home to key ciritically endangered fish species.
- LLGs fisheries managers should be facilitated to continue monitoring and capturing the required information on fishing activities.

8.2.2 Construction Phase

The Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme project has negative impacts, during the planning, construction and operational phases. However, the identified impacts shall be mitigated according to the mitigation hierarchy. These have been placed according to the phases of the project as follows:

a) Loss of habitat due to clearance of vegetation and physical features from construction sites: During the construction phase, there will be habitat disturbance, fragmentation and eventual loss. It is expected that in order to ease access to the dam facility, access roads will be constructed, or the existing ones will be improved. If this option is upheld, there will be some disturbance of both the physical and biotic components of the environment in the project area. Some of the species of plants are habitat-specific, e.g., Cyperus papyrus and other Cyperaceae. These only grow in swamps and loss of their suitable habitat will reduce inhabitable space and hence their abundance. However, the impact of upgrading the existing roads on the vegetation will instead be minor. The major areas to be affected are dam site area. Loss of foraging, basking, roosting, patching, reproduction and hiding habitats for fauna will also occur. Butterflies will lose habitats as they virtually occur in all habitats ranging from pristine to disturbed and are mobile, and derive most of their nutritional requirements and some non-nutritional resources from plants. There will be loss of habitats due to alteration of water quality from siltation, opening up the channel to erosion, and eutrophication, will affect many species, especially fish, amphibians, aquatic reptiles and insects. Birds will be affected directly by loss of habitats and home range quality. This is **short term** and **direct impact**. The receptor **sensitivity** is assessed to be *MEDIUM;* it will disrupt both physical and biotic components. This is *temporary* and can be *reversible* impact. The impact *intensity* is *medium* and a *moderate* impact significance in periods of intense project activity.

Imp	act Significance	Sensitivity of Receptor					
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
ď	Low	2	4	6	8		
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
ţ	Medium	3	6	9	12		
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
nte	High	4	8	12	16		
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures:

- Machine operators should be sensitized to carry out vegetation clearance in sections and limit vegetation clearance to portions of the land to be affected.
- Relocate animals that cannot flee on their own and this should be done by qualified / trained person (engage Uganda Wildlife Authority and/or Uganda Wildlife Education Center (UWEC Zoo).
- During vegetation clearance, spare mature indigenous trees whenever possible.
- Restore the environment around the site of activity.
- Restriction of the activities only to the areas that must be disturbed to avoid unnecessary habitat loss or alteration.
- Closely monitor and supervise the operations to ensure compliance. This role should begin with the project staff but will also require regular checking by the relevant officers responsible for compliance of development projects to the approval terms and conditions.
- In order to minimize impact on the habitat, all the project workers, including those in supervisory positions to the ground men, should be sensitized to increase their awareness

about the need to minimize environmental damage. This will have to be done before the operations begin in earnest.

b) Loss or injury of fauna: The clearance of construction site may lead to unintentional loss of fauna life or injury while some may be buried unknowingly. This may especially be so for reptiles, amphibians and small mammals which hide in crevices, holes and under leaf / vegetation litter. Road kills may also become common due to increased motorized traffic in the project area. In addition, diversion of water will lead to mortality of aquatic wildlife. This will be a direct and negative impact of low magnitude but likely to happen with a moderate impact in periods of intense project activity. This is short term and direct impact but not continuous. The receptor *Sensitivity* is assessed to be <u>medium</u>; it will lead to injuries or loss of fauna / biotic components. This is temporary and can be reversible Impact. The impact intensity is <u>medium</u> (dam area) with a <u>moderate</u> impact significance.

Imp	act Significance	Sensitivity of Receptor					
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
ď	Low	2	4	6	8		
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
ţ	Medium	3	6	9	12		
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
nte	High	4	8	12	16		
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures

- Set and observe speed limits in the project area
- Areas identified for clearance should be searched for fauna and if found, the fauna should be translocated to safety.
- Plans to scare way fauna from construction sites should be made before undertaking vegetation clearance should be undertaken
- Only qualified personnel should handle wildlife or fauna in case translocation is necessary.
- Diversion of river and stream channels for construction should be made after careful survey of the sites.
- c) Interference with fish reproductive ecology and loss of breeding, spawning and nursing grounds with reduced, altered and or diverted flow during construction of the dam. The construction of Mishumba Dam for Kabuyanda Irrigiation scheme poses generally an impact on fish communities and populations as migrations and ecological movements of fish will be interrupted, delayed or even stopped. The dam and irrigation scheme also poses a risk of reducing quality, quantity and accessibility to habitats by fishes, which can in turn have negative impact on the population of the fish. Fish can also be detrimentally affected during their transit when flowing over spillways. Changes in discharge regime or water quality can also have indirect effects upon fish species due to loss of feeding and reproduction cues; while

upstream and downstream predation for migratory fish may be delayed and concentrated due to the presence of the dam and the habitat becoming more favourable to certain predatory species. There is also a chance of decline in populations of the migratory fish species due to interference with migration for feeding and breeding purposes. This effect can lead to the extinction of species, where no spawning grounds are present in the river or its tributary downstream of the dam. Fortunately, for Mishumba catchment there are number of tributaries and Kagera River itself with even more suitable feeding, breeding and nursing sites than Mishumba River, which if protected will certainly increase the chances of the fish species multiplying in number. This is long term and direct impact but with very clear and appropriate alternative spawning sites within the catchment as indicated in the map below. The receptor **Sensitivity** is assessed to be **low** as a result of multiple alternatives; the construction of the dam will lead to diversion and altered water flow in the main channel but will most likely not affect the key tributaries such as Chezo River with alternative breeding and spawning sites. This is impact is therefore manageable. The impact intensity is medium (for the dam area) but very low for the tributaries with a *moderate* impact significance.

Imp	act Significance	Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low Medium		High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
du	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
lt√	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sua	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigation measures

- The map below shows the spawning and breeding habitats while table gives the key characteristics of the *spawning* areas/habitats of these species and suggested measures for protection of these *spawning* sites. It is evident that whereas there are some *spawning* areas lie above the proposed dam site on Mishumba RIver, there a number of alternative *spawning* areas just below the dam and in other tributaries (Chezho River, Rwemango River and Kyabaganda River) in the catchment including for Ningu as already existing spawning sites for key fish species. This means the damming will not stop the breeding activities in the catchment for this critically endangered species, and neither will it completely prevent upstream migration in the catchment for purposes of breeding. However as a mitigation, MAAIF using the Fish (Lacustrine Protected Area) Rules, 2007, should gazzatte the identified spawning sites as part of a community protected area against active and destructive fishing, including training, exposure and provision of skills for alternative livelihoods for the fishermen.
- Establish local educational conservation areas for critically endangered species, Ningu and Singida tilapia, covering the key identified spawning areas as indicated in the table below.

- Develop Mishumba catchment-wide species survival plans for Singida tilapia and the Ningu.
- Monitor, capture and translocate live individuals of the Ningu above the dam point to River Rweibare, River Kyabaganda and River Rwemango.



Map showing the spawning and breeding habitats

Protection plan of the identified spawning sites of key indicator fish species encountered in the Mishumba Catchment with suggested protection measures

Sampl	Vegetation	Depth	Sediment	Species	Suggested method for
ing		and Flow		Spawning	protection for breeding and
point		Rate		in Habitat	spawin grounds
1	Highly vegetive this is the gauging point for water levels.	deep and fast	Mud and gravel from constructio n	African catfish and Ningu	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
2	Little with cactus, but has forest with ferns	Deep and fast	Has rocks from	African catfish and Ningu	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
3	Highly vegetated	Deep and fast	Boulders with silt and plant debris	African catfish	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
4	Highly vegetated	Shallow and slow	Mud	African catfish and Ningu	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
5	Highly vegetated	Shallow and first	Mud	African catfish and Ningu	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
6	Lititle vegetation with cactus, has ferns and papyrus brought by flood.	fast and deep	Mud and silt from marram rocks.	African catfish and Ningu	Capture & translocation of surviving Ningu to midstream or Rweibare, Chezho and Kyabaganda
7	Highly vegetated	Shallow& slow	Mud	Redbelly tilapia	Maintenance of aquatic vegetation and periodically monitor the species occurrence.
8	Vegetated with a confluence	deep and fast	mud with silt	Ningu	Maintenance of vegetation at site, with fast moving waters.

9	Highly	deep and	clay and	Albert	Create a Community
	vegetated	slow	gavel	tilapia &	facilitated Ningu Educational
				Redbelly	Conservation Site and ban
				tilapia	fishing during rainy seasons.
10	highly	deep and	silt, mud	Albert	Create a Community
	vegetated	slow	and	tilapia &	facilitated Ningu Educational
			pebbles	Redbelly	Conservation Site and ban
				tilapia	fishing during rainy seasons.
11-A	Highly	shallow	silt and	Ningu	Create a Community
	vegetated	and fast	pebbles		facilitated Ningu Educational
					Conservation Site and ban
					fishing during rainy seasons.
11-B	Highly	deep and	Mud	Albert	Continous monitoring, and
	vegetated	slow		tilapia &	regulation and control of
				Redbelly	fishing activity during peak of
				tilapia	breeding.
12	Moderately	Shallow	Mud with	Albert	Continous monitoring, and
	vegetative with	& slow	pebbles	tilapia &	regulation and control of
	cultivated land			Redbelly	fishing activity during peak of
				tilapia	breeding.
13	Modedrately	Shollow	Mud with	Mudfish	Create a Community
	vegetative with	& slow	pebbles.	(Clarias	facilitated Ningu Educational
	cultivated land.			carsonii)	Conservation Site and ban
					fishing during rainy seasons.
14	Moderately	Shallow	silt wth	African	Continous monitoring, and
	vegetative	and fast	mad	catfish and	regulation and control of
	vegetative	and fast	mad	catfish and Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of
	vegetative	and fast	mad	catfish and Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
15	highly	and fast Deep and	silt with	catfish and Ningu Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community
15	highly vegetative	and fast Deep and fast	silt with pebbles	catfish and Ningu Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational
15	highly vegetative	Deep and fast	silt with pebbles	catfish and Ningu Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban
15	highly vegetative	Deep and fast	silt with pebbles	catfish and Ningu Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons.
15	highly vegetative highly	Deep and fast fast deep and	mad silt with pebbles mud with a	catfish and Ningu Ningu African	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community
15	highly vegetative highly vegetative this	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational
15	highly vegetative highly vegetative this forms aback	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant debris at	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban
15	highly vegetative highly vegetative this forms aback pool of water	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant debris at bottom	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and Ningu	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons.
15 16 17	highly vegetative highly vegetative this forms aback pool of water highly	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast deep and	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant debris at bottom mud with	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and Ningu Albert	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community
15 16 17	highly vegetative highly vegetative this forms aback pool of water highly vegetative this	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast deep and slow	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant debris at bottom mud with silt	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and Ningu Albert tilapia &	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational
15 16 17	highly vegetative highly vegetative this forms aback pool of water highly vegetative this forms aback	and fast Deep and fast deep and fast deep and slow	mad silt with pebbles mud with a lot of plant debris at bottom mud with silt	catfish and Ningu Ningu African catfish and Ningu Albert tilapia & Redbelly	regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban fishing during rainy seasons. Create a Community facilitated Ningu Educational Conservation Site and ban

18	Highly vegetative	Deep and fast	gravel and silt	African catfish and Ningu	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
19	Highly vegetative	Deep and fast	mud, plant debris and marram pebbles	African catfish and Ningu	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
20	Highly vegetative	deep and fast	clay, plant debris and pebbles from the marram road	African catfish and Ningu	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
21	highly vegetative surrounded by gardens and within flood plain	shallow and slow	mud and plant debris	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
22	highly vegetative	deep and slow	Mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
23	highly vegetative	deep and slow	Mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia	Continous monitoring, and regulation and control of fishing activity during peak of breeding.
24	highly vegetive	deep and slow	mud	Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia and Redbelly tilapia	CreateaCommunityfacilitatedSingidatilapiaEducationalConservationSiteandbanfishingduringrainyseasons.

d) Reduction of woody vegetation during site clearance: Some trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants will be cut down during site clearance. The herbaceous vegetation

regenerates faster than the woody plants, but the slow-growing woody species take longer to regenerate, hence reduction in woody vegetation. There will be loss a certain of trees yet they are key to the natural regeneration processes of the vegetation communities. They act as source of seed for re-colonization and hence important for recruitment and succession. Their removal will reduce the regeneration potential of the affected species. This impact is direct and long-term basis (immediately, during and construction) since it will be restricted to the project area. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because impact is likely to be continuous during operation phase. This is temporary and can be reversible impact. The impact Intensity is low affecting about 1 km² of dam area with a moderate impact significance.

		Sensitivity of Receptor			
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
d u	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
Ϊţ	Medium	3	6	9	12
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation proposals:

- Sensitize workers about the need to conserve biodiversity and role of trees and other plants in the environment i.e., before operations.
- Replant low-stature trees indigenous to the area along the affected areas but outside the right of way beneath the transmission lines, after construction.
- During operations, spare the large trees by circumventing the routing of the transmission line and/or access roads, as much as possible.
- Support monitoring of performance of the planted trees i.e., after planting (operation phase).
- Support community-led nursery establishment, tree planting and agro-forestry (fruit trees)
- e) Pollution due to oil spills and leakages, as well as agro-chemicals and pesticides: During construction activities oil spills from dispensing fuel during maintenance of vehicles and equipment could result in contamination of water sources or soil sediments. This may be so especially if old equipment's are used in the construction. Excessive use of agro-chemicals and pesticides during the operational phase may also cause pollution of the water sources especially downstream. Oil spills and excess agro-chemicals are a major irritant that will contaminate food sources, displace species to different areas where conditions are habitable. Spills of petroleum products into water have also been shown to inhibit tadpole growth and prevent metamorphosis in amphibian breeding. The will an

indirect and negative temporarily lasting impact during the construction work activities. It will possibly occur with moderate magnitude. This impact is direct. It's on short-, medium- and long-term basis (immediately and during construction). The receptor Sensitivity is assessed to be high because impact is likely to be continuous during operation phase in irrigable area. This is temporary and can be reversible impact. The impact Intensity is low but to some extent it directly affects human health and its associated medical costs. It has a moderate impact significance.

		Sensitivity of Receptor				
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
ď	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
itγ	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sua	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigations and enhancements

- Impact cannot be avoided and requires effective risk management
- Avoid intentional spilling of petroleum products.
- Implementation of the water act and wetlands policy, specifically articles that prohibits pollution and dumping of waste.
- Machinery should be well serviced and should be in good working condition. Servicing of equipment's should be done at camps far off the project area.
- Farmers be advised to purchase MAAIF and UNBS approved agrochemicals from licensed agrochemical shops. They should also use the chemicals in recommended application rates.
- Train famers on purchase of right agrochemicals, their safe transportation, storage, safe application, and proper disposal of used and contaminated containers.
- Support local farmer cooperative to supply agro-chemicals and seeds
- Promote ecologically friendly agricultural farming (organic and climate smart agriculture, Community Supported Agriculture (CSA)
- For fuel dispensers at camps, workshops and equipment areas, the fuel tanks shall be kept in bunded walls to contain any spills and dispensing areas paved with drainage fitted with oil interceptors. Oil spill kits shall be kept at active construction sites, workshops to handle and accidental spills.
- f) Vibration from machinery and Noise nuisance: It is envisaged that the likely sources of noise will be from the movement and operation of machines, trucks and equipment. The operation of heavy construction equipment may also result in minor vibrations at the immediate project site under construction. Noise may be a major irritant, in some cases interrupting communication and eventually social organization of amphibians, birds and mammal communities. This impact is negative and direct but of short-term basis (immediately and

during construction). The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low. This is temporary and reversible impact. The impact intensity is low because it will be experienced during the active hours of the construction activities. It has minor impact significance.

		Sensitivity of Receptor				
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
ď	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
ţ	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigations and enhancements

- Where applicable, equipment should be fitted with silencers to reduce noise.
- Machinery should be well serviced and in good working condition in order to limit noise production by machines.
- Adhere to Occupation and Health Safety requirements
- Noisy activities and use of heavy vibration equipment shall be restricted to day time.
- f) Impairment of regulating ecosystem services due to habitat alteration: The regulating services of ecosystems i.e., the benefits obtained from an ecosystem's control of natural processes will be altered. Any activity that affects the structure, diversity or composition of a habitat naturally affects its ability to provide ecological services. These regulating services that will be altered include the habitat's ability to cycle nutrients, reduced ability for water cycling, reduced regulation of carbon levels in the atmosphere, changes in suitable habitats to biodiversity, reduced ability to control soil erosion and flooding and hence affecting the watersheds. This is short, medium term (2-years), direct and indirect impact but not continuous. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be high; it will disrupt regulating ecosystems services which in term will impact on the provisioning services (esp. fish, water) as well. This is temporary and can be reversible impact. The impact intensity is low and a moderate impact significance.

Impo	act Significance	ce Sensitivity of Receptor			
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
ä	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
tγ	Medium	3	6	9	12
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measures

- Efforts should be made to circumvent the most sensitive natural vegetation areas such as gallery forest and localized groundwater swamp starting at point 16 (S0.932036°, E30.638183°) going downstream.
- Efforts should be made to protect water catchment areas upstream Efforts should be made to protect water catchment areas upstream by restoring degraded wetlands and riverbanks, through community collaborative groups.
- Provide extension services to the host communities to diversify their agricultural activities and thence income, in order to reduce encroachment on wetlands and riverbanks.
- Undertake compliance assistance and sensitization of communities on benefits of sustainably utilizing and managing natural resources (wetlands, riverbanks, forests, etc).
- g) Interference and reduced water flow in the river: Reduced water flows during construction works and the reduced quality of return flows is likely to impact on downstream ecosystems i.e., between the dam site and the confluence with Rweibara. The needs of water biodiversity downstream will be compromised since a diversion channel large enough to convey water will be created. This will allow the river to continue flowing through the natural course hence the downstream aquatic biota may not be affected by changes to the hydrology or morphology of a river system. The impact will be direct and negative to occur with a small magnitude. It will be very low during wet seasons and low in dry season. This impact is negative and direct. It's on short and medium-term basis (immediately and during construction). The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low because it will not affect ecosystems. This is temporary and reversible Impact. The impact intensity is low because it will have to happen during construction phase of 2 years. It has minor impact significance.

		Sensitivity of Receptor			
Impact Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
4	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
oac	Low	2	4	6	8
Ē	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
of	Medium	3	6	9	12
ity	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
ens	High	4	8	12	16
<u>l</u>	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measure

- Create a diversion channel (a coffer dam/dyke so that the river-flows through) around the construction site to allow for continued flow downstream during construction and filling of the reservoir.
- Maintain the recommended e-flows in the river proposed under Chapter 6, section 6.4. filling of the reservoir

h) Conflict over Leadership & Management roles

There are possible conflicts of leadership and management roles among community stakeholder such as project committees, grievance redress committees and village councils. The drivers for the conflict would be due to sharing rewards and opportunities from the ICRP. This is short, medium term and direct impact but not temporary. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low; it will to some extent disrupt some project activities. This is impact is reversible. The impact intensity is low and its significance is minor impact.

Imp	act Significance		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
4	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
oac	Low	2	4	6	8		
Ē	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
of	Medium	3	6	9	12		
ity	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
ens	High	4	8	12	16		
ht	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures:

- Ensure stakeholder involvement and participation in all project stages.
- Ensure transparency in electing community committees e.g., project management committees through open nomination and/or voting mechanisms.
- Provide regular extension services such as training the various community and farmer groups on basic management approaches and resolution of conflicts/ differences.
- i) Destruction of cultural heritage and archaeological sites: The construction works will destroy some identified archaeological sites such as Early Stone Age (ESA) lithics (Acheulian) and Middle-Late Stone Age lithics (MSA-LSA, Sangoan and Lupemban). The sites are the type locality for the Nsongezi Series (ICRP-ESIA Report, 2019). However, the sites are of low and limited significance to the community in terms of past and present traditional, spiritual and sacrificial importance. This impact is long term, direct and permanent. The receptor Sensitivity is assessed to be very low because communities have limited relationship with the sites. This is temporary and can be Irreversible Impact. The impact Intensity is very low and impact significance is negligible.

Imp	act Significance Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
du	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
ity	Medium	3	6	9	12
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measures

- Sensitize local community about archaeological findings before site clearance to the community and its leaders
- Report any unforeseen discovery of cultural significance during construction works to the Department of Museums and Monuments.
- j) Risks of increased Incidence& Prevalence of HIV/AIDS and STDs: Like any other project with mass recruitment, influx of immigrant labour is bound to occur. Most often these workers will not come with their families and some may be single. This will encourage the formation of new social networks with the resident community; increase in sex workers (prostitution) and the spread of HIV/AIDS and STDs. Additionally, sex workers may camp in the project area to engage in prostitution with construction workers. It should be noted that HIV prevalence rate in Isingiro district is at 6% slightly higher than the 5.9% National prevalence rate. With an influx of workers for a period of 2 years, the risk of increased incidence and prevalence of HIV is may be moderate. HIV/AIDs negatively affect life and productivity at individual, household and community levels. This impact is short, medium and long term. It has direct, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low since HIV/AIDS already exists and there are health facilities in the project area but the intensity impact may be high due to its related disasters. This is a permanent, continuous and irreversible impact hence a moderate impact significance.

Imp	Impact Significance Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
du	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
ī₹	Medium	3	6	9	12
susi	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation measures

- Contractors should implement HIV/AIDs workplace policy
- Sensitize workers and community on HIV/AIDs prevention, HIV Testing, counseling and condom distribution be encouraged for both workers, sex workers and local community. The pathways for transmission of HIV/AIDS and STIs are well known, foreseeable and can be mitigated.
- Prioritize recruitment of local people for casual jobs in order to minimize labour influx.
- Institute a code of conduct for workers to regulate their social behaviour among the community.

 Accommodate most of the migrant workers in camps to minimize interaction with the host communities.

8.2.3 Dam filling Phase

The Risks expected during the dam filling were assessed and analysed as detailed below:

a) During dam filling there will be alterations in physical chemical conditions of the river both within the reservoir and downstream of the dam that may have serious negative effects on the aquatic systems such as effects from the alteration of the natural temperature, sedimentation and flow regime resulting in increased vulnerability of the downstream area. There is expected to be alterations in physical chemical conditions will the filling of water reservoir including electrical conductivity, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Nitrates, Phosphate levels, attributed to accumulated organic matter with sudden and intense changes in the key attributes of aquatic habitats, followed by predominantly heterotrophic processes, with possible thermal stratification and anoxic conditions. With changes in species dominance leading to displacement and or loss of some species.

Mitigation measures

- The changes in physical chemical conditions of the reservoir and downstream of the dam site will have to be monitored and water flow adjusted according to EFR to reduce or eliminate any serious negative effects of the dam filling on the physical and biological aquatic systems.
- There shall be continuous survey and assessment of the water reservoir and downstream conditions and communities while filling of water reservoir so as to allow for exit route (migration) of displaced species further downstream or facilitated movement of such forms to more appropriate habitats within the catchment.
- b) There is expected to alterations and changing levels in Total Suspend Solids (TSS) and water turbidity, accompanied by increased retention of large particles and continued flow of the smaller and more fine particles. This change in water clarity and reduced flow of sediments will impact both the biological colonization in the reservoir and existing life forms downstream of the dam leading to ecological imbalances and likely migration or loss of other forms.

Mitigation measures

- The monitoring plan should be implemented to regularly assess changes in physical parameters including TSS and turbidity, with necessary adjustments in water flow and adjustments in sediment flow at the spillway so as to allow heterogenous particle flow downstream.
- c) Eutrophication and floating aquatic vegetation. Eutrophication is expected to occur in the reservoir due to the sendentary waters waters, nutrient loading from flooding of nearby grounds, causing increased algal production and increased emergence of aquatic vegetation including floating weeds, which results in temporary loss/destruction of fishery

habitats; loss of feeding and breeding cues for aquatic organisms including fishes; emergence of nuisance species and disease vectors such as mosiquitos; and rapidly diminishing water flow currents that may lead to disorientation of fishes and other aquatic organisms. Owing to the limited fish stocks, primary nature of river upstream of the dam, and limited vegetation upstream, the filling of the dam will medium intensity of impact. Also given that upstream had relatively minor aquatic biodiversity, both in number and species richness, the sensitivity of the receptor will be medium. It is also expected that the fish and other aquatic biodiversity will have moved downstream with perturbances felt during the dam construction through the diversion channels set up to facilitate dam construction. This therefore makes the impact moderate and reversible.

Impact Significance Sensitivity of Receptor					
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High
		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
4	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
Jac	Low	2	4	6	8
Ē	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
of	Medium	3	6	9	12
ity	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
ens	High	4	8	12	16
lnt	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation

- Removal of debris and minimizing of cutting of vegetation prior to filling of the reservoir to avoid extensive rotting and enrichment of the waters.
- The refilling plan should include occasional drawdown of reservoir water levels so as to get rid of the aquatic weeds.

8.2.4 Operation and Maintenance phases

a) Habitat loss: During the implementation phase, there will be further vegetation clearance in the downstream as cultivation intensifies. The availability of water will encourage farming on large scale hence increased hectarage of most farmers. This will farmers to clear the remaining riverine vegetation hence reducing the habitat. Furthermore, for easy access most of the established facilities, access roads will be constructed, or the existing ones will be improved. If this option is upheld, there will be some disturbance of both the physical and biotic components of the environment in the project area. Habitat disturbance, fragmentation and eventual loss, due to vegetation clearance and excavations can impact fauna groups. The destruction will lead to loss of foraging, basking, roosting, patching, reproduction and hiding habitats for fauna. Modification of the natural landscape through deforestation, urbanisation and agricultural encroachment, and the subsequent alteration of water bodies by erosion, eutrophication and siltation, is impacting on the ecological status of Odonata in Africa (Dijkstra et al., 2011). Birds also occur across a broad geographical range and in a large number of habitat types; and some species specialize within narrow habitat bands which renders them sensitive to habitat change (Davenport, T. and Howard, P. 1996). The habitat/vegetation loss will slightly affect the home range quality of the fauna species but restricted to the project activity sites not the whole catchment. This is likely to be of low magnitude with significant impact in periods of intense project activity. Also, the impact of upgrading the existing roads on the vegetation will instead be less. This is long term, direct and continuous. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low because it's anthropogenic in nature. This is permanent and can be reversible Impact. The impact Intensity is low with minor impact significance.

Impact Significance			Sensitivity of Receptor			
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
ä	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
īt	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sua	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigation and enhancement measures

- All farmers should be sensitized to increase their awareness about the need to minimize environmental damage while carry out vegetation clearance during cultivation in the irrigable area and limit vegetation clearance to portions of the land to be affected. This is to allow fauna to migrate to adjoining bushes during the operations.
- Relocate animals that cannot flee on their own and this should be done by qualified/trained person.
- During vegetation clearance, spare mature indigenous trees whenever possible.
- Restriction of the activities only to the areas that must be disturbed to avoid unnecessary habitat loss or alteration.
- Closely monitor and supervise the irrigation operations to ensure compliance with the EFR and BEMP. This role should begin with the project staff but will also require regular checking by the relevant officers responsible for compliance of development projects to the approval terms and conditions.
- b) Proliferation of invasive species of plant: Invasive plants have a large potential to suppress the native flora and change the structure and composition of the vegetation as they spread to spread prolifically. Already, at least two species occur within the project area, i.e., *Lantana camara* and *Mimosa pigra*. This impact is negative, direct and indirect. It's on medium- and long-term basis. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because it affects structure of vegetation with more disturbance compared to humans and livestock. This is continuous, permanent and reversible impact. The impact intensity is medium. It has moderate impact significance.

	Sensitivity of Receptor				
Impact Significance	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
	1	2	3	4	

act	Very Low	1	2	3	4
	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
ď	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
it√	Medium	3	6	9	12
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation proposals:

- Sensitize workers and communities about the dangers and threats the invasive species pose to ecosystem stability so they minimize moving them into or out of the project area.
- Restore disturbed areas immediately after operations to allow natural regeneration take place to close up the disturbed areas with natural vegetation.
- Monitor growth of invasive species of plants.
- Mechanically remove them before flowering/fruiting stage.
 The importation of good soil from other places for restoration should be avoided as this is likely to introduce invasive species of plants.
- c) Reduced Water Flow in the river: Impounding water for irrigation may impact on downstream ecosystems in the irrigable area. The needs of water fowl and downstream aquatic biota may be affected by changes in the hydrology or morphology of a river system. This indirectly impact on breeding in bird species richness and population abundance since it may decrease as a result of reduced water flow. Water birds that use the project area for feeding purpose may go elsewhere in search for food in wetter areas. Reduced water flow will have an impact on vegetation which also form nest-building materials for birds, which material is concentrated in riparian areas. Amphibians are the land animals that retained some aquatic adaptations, and thus require water for many important parts of their life cycle and way of life. Amphibians need water for reproduction, respiration, feeding, etc. Reduced water flow affects the hatching of insects or availability of insects on which amphibians, reptiles and birds depend. Reduced water flow can affect dragonfly larval stages by altering stream environmental conditions, and adults by loss of perches, shade and hunting habitat.

As noted earlier, the alterations in water flow and damming of River Mishumba will also impact the distribution and occurrence of key fish species, and the damming initially will change the migratory patterns of the fish species from upstream of River Mishumba to use of fast flow sections in midstream and other adjoining channels such as Chezho River for breeding purposes.

However, in case the recommended EFR plan is followed, the impact this impact operation phase will be of insignificant magnitude in both dry and wet seasons. This impact is negative and direct. It's on low - and long-term basis. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because it affects ecosystems. This is permanent and reversible impact. The impact intensity is low because e-flows to be released have been estimated and recommended. It has moderate impact significance.

Impact Significance		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
ä	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
τţ	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigations and enhancements

- Periodic release of water or recharging of the rivers in in line with set flows (Table 6-27) be done to keep the processes and survival of biota in the downstream areas.
- Key or major breeding areas will be mapped and considered as "ecological significant areas" and where appropriate provide with special protection measures to allow continued breeding of the target species. The special protection measures will include water flow adjustments, use of bypasses in case of increased flow, or provision of high flows to maintain fast currents for those species that prefer fast flowing water during the breeding season (refer to the map showing spawining areas above).
- Maintenance and protection of swamps to allow for continued ecological services including feeding, breeding and nursing of both aquatic and terrestrial wild life. The wetlands will be protected against reclamation and or being overflooded.
- Creation of habitats within Mishumba channel and or within adjoining streams as alternatives to those that may have been submerged or dried out, or in response to displacement of species that are need of breeding areas. This will include use of akadijas, water channeling, water flow adjustments, among others.
- d) Water abstraction and farming close to river channels in the irrigable area. Review of the set EFR and consideration that livestock is predominantly downstream of Mishumba catchment, the development of the irrigation scheme will have none to negligible impact on live stock. The impact magnitude will be small since e-flows requirements took into consideration the social economic needs. Additionally, the presence of more adjoining streams of Rweibare, Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda with adequate flows will maintain the water availability downstream of the dam. This impact is negative and direct. It's on medium- and long-term basis. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because it affects ecosystems. This is likely to be permanent and reversible impact. The impact intensity is very low since the affected stretch will only be between the dam site and the Chezho confluence. It has minor impact significance.

Impact Significance		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
en tv	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
Int si	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	

Low	2	4	6	8
2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
Medium	3	6	9	12
3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
High	4	8	12	16
4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigations and enhancements

- Water abstraction and release should strictly follow the set e-flow requirements in Chapter 6 – Section 6.4. It should be noted that the fish ponds will not be affected because they only divert water during rainy season and have been catered for in the e-flow requirements.
- Establish and maintain a buffer zone along the river banks as provided in law. The buffer zone is 30 meters away from the highest water point as per the National Environment, Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management Regulations, No. 3/2000.
- e) Loss of early March April flow pulse: Loss of opportunity for strong spawning cues in some years, potentially reduces spawning and recruitment over sequences of years. Therefore, this potentially inhibits downstream migration for Victoria carp and African catfish. It also increases the exposure probability of breeding individuals to be trapped and caught by fishers. In addition, there is a likelihood of capturing destructing juveniles migrating back to the open waters for feeding.

Mitigation Measures

Use of set e-flows to create high pulse flows for at 4 days so as to provide the breeding and spawning cue for the target upstream migratory species at the beginning of the rain season (March, sometimes April), and at the end of the rain season (June or July) to allow for downstream migration of the spent females and hatchlings.

f) Reduced high flow (bank full flood) April - May and July – October: This leads to loss of substantial food resources and habitat in shallow flooded areas for the Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, Zilli's tilapia, African catfish, Victoria carp and haplochromines. This also inhibits downstream migration of Victoria carp and African catfish.

Mitigation Measures

use of set e-flows to allow for high flows for at least 4 days to allow for continued flooding of the plains, and their use for lateral migration for purposes of feeding and breeding especially of the sedentary water loving forms

g) Likely loss of fish habitats for feeding, breeding, spawning and nursing, and curtailing downstream or upstream, and lateral migration of fishes

Mitigations

- stablishment of a special fish propagation and release station
- declare a general fishing ban in the upper to allow the remaining individuals to recruit
- Put in place rigorous fishing reporting and monitoring program with community sensitization and participation
- Regulate and control the extraction of natural resources, especially plants, gravel and stone excluding from gazzetted breeding areas to avoid alterations to the hydrogeomorphological characteristics that migratory species seek for their reproduction.
- Conduct periodic studies (yearly for the first 3 years of operation, and then biennial) to determine the impact of irrigation, agro-industrial waste and changed resources extraction patterns on the fish species in Mishumba River
- Initiate a system for monitoring the density of larvae and their identification using NGS metabarcoding,
- Establish information campaigns with participation and involvement of the attendant fishing communities so to raise awareness of the importance of the target spawning area for local fisheries
- Strengthen management and use of irrigable area to eliminate or reduce foreseen impacts on fish and aquatic biodiversity and inculcate the value of biodiversity protection, highlighting the importance of rivers and their connectivity for conservation.
- h) Regulation will reduce low flows from December to March during the dry period, and after regulation: there will be a reduction in depth of refuge pools in the river and possibilities of desiccation. This may expose fish to predators due to capture and with time this may result into possibilities of desiccation and reduction in feeding grounds. This will be an indirect and low negative impact, with high chances to occur. The impact magnitude will be moderate.
- i) Loss of overbank flood July October: This leads to reduction of food resources and habitat on floodplains. A reduction of sediment concentration results in Dissolved Oxygen (DO) increases and fish mortality decrease. These further changes competition and predation and loss of opportunity for creating new competitive advantages for some species e.g., the Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, Zilli's tilapia, African catfish.
- *j)* Increased inter-annual flow variability of high flows and overbank floods July October: There may be increased variability of recruitment over sequences of years which increase variability of downstream migration over sequences of years for *Singida tilapia*, *Albert tilapia*, *Zilli's tilapia*, *African catfish*.
- k) Altered duration of high flows and overbank floods July October: A decreased duration of availability of food resources and habitat in backwaters and floodplains, lowers growth rates and lower body size, higher mortality from competition whereas an increased duration of availability of food resources and habitat in backwaters and floodplains, triggers higher growth rates and increased body size, higher mortality from predation of *Singida tilapia, Albert tilapia, Zilli's tilapia, African catfish.*
- I) Reduction in flow and discharge in April June due to impoundment and or abstraction for agricultural production may result in reduced recruitment due to mortality of fry and juveniles. There is easy capture of spent females and males returning from breeding and

nursing ground that leads to failure to mate and spawn due to lack of passage Larval and juvenile habitat decrease as well as loss of fish passage.

m) Regulated flow regimes, and increase in unnatural sedimentation, and pollution: this exposes the fish to predators and excessive capture due to capture. It affects the survival of fish, interfere with feeding, respiratory, and reproductive activities of fish and other aquatic organisms and directly impact on the health of the aquatic organisms.

These will be indirect and lowly negative impacts, with high chances to occur and large impact magnitude. This impact is negative and direct. It's on medium- and long-term basis. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because reduced river flow affects humans and biodiversity. This is permanent and reversible impact. The impact intensity is low since it only partially affects the area between the dam site and the Chezho confluence beyond which, there is enough water based on the contribution of independent streams i.e., Mishumba (43%) whereas Chezho and Kyabaganda contribute about 57%. In addition, the contribution of Rweibare stream just downstream of the damsite, will not render the river dry as it contributes about 18% of the flows in this section and e-flow requirements have been set and recommended. It has moderate impact significance.

		Sensitivity of Receptor				
Imp	act Significance	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
d u	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
Ϊţ	Medium	3	6	9	12	
insi	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigations and enhancement for (g) to (l)

- Water flow rates regulation and release must comply with set e-flow management plan.
- Periodic recharging of the rivers in dry periods with irrigation water should be done.
- The environmental flow requirements (EFR) in section in should be implemented and followed.
- n) Impairment of ecosystem service provision due to habitat alteration: The provision of ecosystem services is one of the areas that are bound to be affected by the proposed activities. Any activity that affects the structure, diversity or composition of a habitat naturally affects its ability to provide ecological services. These services may include the habitat's ability to cycle nutrients, contribute to water cycling, regulate the carbon level in the atmosphere, provide suitable habitat to biodiversity, control soil erosion and flooding and acting as watersheds. This impact is direct, medium and long term. It's continuous and the receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low; it will disrupt all ecosystems services. The impact intensity is low. It has a moderate impact significance.

Impact Significance	Sensitivity of Receptor				
	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	

		1	2	3	4
	Very Low	1	2	3	4
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
ä	Low	2	4	6	8
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
itγ	Medium	3	6	9	12
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
nte	High	4	8	12	16
	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Mitigation proposals

- Efforts should be made to circumvent the sensitive natural vegetation areas such as gallery forest and localized groundwater and floodplains particularly at S0 52.685 E30 35.923 (site 2) in Rwoho CFR.
- Efforts should be made to protect water catchment areas upstream.
- o) Gender based constraints and vulnerability: There are possible gender constraints and vulnerabilities affecting poor women, youth, children headed households, older persons and disabled persons. These include: a) vulnerability to market forces resulting from new competition; price fluctuations of agricultural produce especially vegetables; b) climate change related vulnerability to hazards such as floods in downstream areas resulting from overflow of rivers in Nyakitunda sub county (outside dam site), as well as reduced adaptive capacity among the poor households. This impact is medium and long term. it has indirect, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because it scales up vulnerability among the poor of the poorest. This impact is permanent, continuous and reversible. The impact Intensity is medium and impact significance is moderate.

Impact Significance			Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
ä	Low	2	4	6	8		
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
ţ	Medium	3	6	9	12		
isu	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
nte	High	4	8	12	16		
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures

- Support community groups (women, youth, disabled persons, older persons) with production inputs as a measure of production safety nets.
- Support livelihood restoration activities
- **p)** Increased use of agro-chemicals: The operation of ICRP will scale-up use of agricultural chemicals for growing vegetables mainly. There are also risks of exposure to fake

agricultural chemicals. These agricultural chemicals have diverse negative effects on environment and human health. This impact is medium and long term. It has direct, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor *Sensitivity* is assessed to be medium because agricultural chemicals have diverse negative effects on environment and human health. This impact is permanent, continuous and reversible. The intensity is medium and impact significance is moderate.

Impact Significance			Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
ď	Low	2	4	6	8		
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
itγ	Medium	3	6	9	12		
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
nte	High	4	8	12	16		
_	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures:

- Conduct farmer training and sensitisation on proper use of agricultural chemicals.
- Support farmer groups in accessing quality agricultural chemicals and safety equipment.
- Restriction on types and quality of agro-chemicals used
- The developed pest management plan should be adopted Directorate of Water Resources to undertake periodic (fortnightly) monitoring of water quality in order to detect and timely avert any pollution from agrochemicals.

q) Land conflicts and evictions

The ICRP will increase the demand for cultivable land and settlements. Within the irrigable area, there is likelihood of land conflicts resulting from eviction and/or restriction on cultivators within swamps and privately owned lands. It should be noted that landlords hire out land to cultivators especially in downstream areas of Ntundu. This impact is short and medium-term. It has direct, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be high because the poor households depend on land, including women who often do not have land ownership rights. This is a permanent, continuous and irreversible impact. The impact intensity is low since the land tenure system can be controlled and impact significance is moderate.

Impact Significance		Sensitivity of Receptor				
		Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
, t	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
pac	Low	2	4	6	8	
<u>E</u>	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
of	Medium	3	6	9	12	
ity	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
ens	High	4	8	12	16	
Int	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigation measures:

- Sensitize communities about environmental laws for wetlands/swamps, land rights, etc.
- Establish and maintain a buffer zone along the river banks as provided in law. The buffer zone is 30 meters away from the highest water point as per the National Environment, Wetlands, River Banks and Lake Shores Management Regulations, No. 3/2000.

r) Encroachment on floodplains in the irrigable area in search of cultivatable land

There will be increased encroachment on floodplain in search of cultivable land for crops. This impact is short, medium and long term. It has direct, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be medium because encroachment affects natural resources. This is a permanent, continuous and reversible impact. The impact Intensity is low since it can be easily controlled legally and impact significance is moderate.

Impact			Sensitivity of Receptor				
Significance		Very Low	Low	Medium	High		
		1	2	3	4		
	Very Low	1	2	3	4		
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor		
ď	Low	2	4	6	8		
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate		
ity	Medium	3	6	9	12		
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major		
nte	High	4	8	12	16		
-	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major		

Mitigation measures:

- Sensitize communities about environmental laws wetland, forest, land rights, etc.
- Demarcate buffer zones for swamps and rivers
- Regulate / provide appropriate resource user rights
- District Environment Officer and NEMA to enforce the National Environment (wetlands, Riverbanks, and Lakeshores) Management Regulations.

s) Use of irrigation water for domestic use (drinking, cooking, bathing, washing)

The irrigation networks are more likely to be used as domestic water sources, to collect water for drinking, bathing, washing and other domestic shores. This is because at present access to safe and clean water (piped water, boreholes) is limited. The piped water system only covers Kabuyanda Town Council. Communities rely on river streams which are located in valleys. The irrigation distribution networks will act as alternative sources, yet they have untreated water. The continuous use of unsafe water is likely to affect human health by increasing human health risks such as incidence of water related diseases. Even when people are given new safe water sources,

it will not generally stop the use of irrigation networks for domestic purposes. This impact is short, medium and long-term. It has direct, continuous and permanent dimensions. The receptor sensitivity is assessed to be low because the demand for water for domestic use will not vary much. This is a permanent, continuous and reversible impact. The impact intensity is low and impact significance is minor.

Impact		Sensitivity of Receptor				
S	ignificance	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	
		1	2	3	4	
	Very Low	1	2	3	4	
act	1	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	
ď	Low	2	4	6	8	
of I	2	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	
itγ	Medium	3	6	9	12	
sus	3	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major	
nte	High	4	8	12	16	
	4	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major	

Mitigation measures:

- Provide alternative safe water sources especially piped water supply.
- Sensitize about prevention of water related diseases
- Establish conditions for eligibility for ICRP that encompass household to have basic household sanitary facilities such as pit latrines, energy saving stove, clean drinking water containers.
- t) Sedimentation: The expected sediments from project catchment area (90km²) to be trapped by the dam will be 241.7 Ton/km²/year. This is equivalent to 30.68% of the 21,750 tons/year (total sediment) from the entire catchment area (293.31 km²) to be realised at the main outlet (Final Design Report, MoWE 2019). According to Section 6.4 above, the fast flows (velocities) and slope don not allow deposition of sediments between sampling point 3 and 15. This is true for both the current situation and all the three (3) scenarios that deposition will not take place in this river section. The downstream swamp of Mishumba provides several ecosystem services including sediment trapping, flood attenuation, streamflow regulation, water quality enhancement benefits, carbon storage, biodiversity maintenance among others. However, sediment accumulation in a swamp/wetland impact species richness by smothering plants. This implies the impact of 30.68% sediment starvation in Mishumba catchment will lessen on its impacts on the downstream areas and this will be realised in the areas after sampling point 16 where sedimentation takes place in the swamp. In addition, the retention of nutrients upstream (damming of Mishumba), reduction of fertility of swamps, or affecting their carrying capacity will be of negligible limitations on biological productivity like fisheries in areas downstream since the general reduction in sediment is small. Therefore, the impact is likely to happen and will be long-term. It will be indirect and negative small with having a low magnitude and moderate significance.

		Likelihood of Occurrence											
Impact Significance		Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare							
		5	4	3	2	1							
	High	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate	Madarata 9	Minor 4							
Aagnitude	4	Iviajor 20	IVIAJOF 10	12	woderate 8								
	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor							
	3		12	9	6	3							
	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant							
2 ;;	2	10	8	6	4	2							
pac	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant							
<u><u></u></u>	1	5	4	3	2	1							

Mitigation and enhancements

- Implement targeted sediment management. The Design Report (MWE, 2019) recommended sediment flushing as the mosty viable option for sediment management among the following; sediment bypass and sediment augmentation downstream of reservoir.
- Conduct e-flow and implement the recommended e-flow requirements (Section 6.4).
- For ease of flushing sediments out of the reservoir, the e-flow release structures within the dam should be placed as low as possible to allow as much sediment as possible to pass through the reservoir.

8.2.5 Level of Intensity of Negative Impacts

The intensity of positive impacts has been rated per sections of river stretch (Upstream, Midstream & Downstream) as shown in table below.

Negative Impacts by Level of Intensity	River stre	Entire			
(High = 1; Medium= 2; Low =3)	Up- stream	Mid- Stream	Down stream	Area	
PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE					
High incidence of grievances arising due to delayed and faulted compensation, demarcation of buffer zones and restrictions over resource use	High	Medium	Low	High	
Mismanagement of compensation packages at household level	High	Medium	Low	High	
CONSTRUCTION PHASE					
Loss of habitat due to clearance of vegetation and physical features from construction sites	High	High	High	High	
Loss or injury of fauna					
Reduction of woody vegetation during site clearance	High	High	High	High	
Pollution due to oil spills and leakages, as well as agro- chemicals and pesticides	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
Vibration from machinery and Noise nuisance	High	Medium	Medium	High	

Negative Impacts by Level of Intensity	River stre	Entire		
(High = 1; Medium= 2; Low =3)	Up- stream	Mid- Stream	Down stream	Project Area
Impairment of regulating ecosystem services due to habitat alteration	High	High	High	High
Interference and reduced water flow in the river	High	High	High	High
Conflict over Leadership & Management roles	High	High	High	High
Loss of habitat	High	Medium	Medium	High
Impairment of regulating ecosystem services due to habitat alteration	High	Medium	Medium	High
Destruction of cultural heritage and archaeological sites	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Risks of increased Incidence& Prevalence of HIV/AIDS and STDs	High	High	High	High
OPERATION PHASE				
Habitat loss	High	High	High	High
Proliferation of invasive species of plant	High	High	High	High
Reduced Water Flow in the river	High	High	High	High
Water abstraction and farming close to river channels in the irrigable area	Medium	High	High	High
Regulation will reduce low flows from December to March during the dry period, and after regulation	High	High	High	High
Loss of early March - April flow pulse	High	High	High	High
Reduced high flow (bank full flood) April - May and July – October	High	High	High	High
Loss of overbank flood July – October	High	Medium	Medium	High
Increased inter-annual flow variability of high flows and overbank floods July – October	High	High	High	High
Altered duration of high flows and overbank floods July – October	High	High	High	High
Reduction in flow and discharge in April – June due to impoundment and or abstraction for agricultural production	High	High	High	High
Regulated flow regimes, and increase in unnatural sedimentation, and pollution	High	High	High	High
Ecological imbalances	High	High	High	High
Gender based constraints and vulnerability	High	High	High	High
Increased use of agricultural chemicals	Low	High	High	High
Land conflicts and evictions	High	High	High	High
Encroachment on swamps in search of cultivatable land	High	High	High	High
Use of irrigation water for domestic use (drinking, cooking, bathing, washing)	High	High	High	High

8.2.6 Environment and Social Impact Identification Matrix

The impact matrix below indicates the significance of positive and negative social impacts as well as enhancement and mitigation measures.

Table 8-2: Social Impact Identification matrix for ICRP Kabuyanda (Dam construction & Operations of Irrigation System)

No	POSITIVE Social Impacts	Social	Environmental	Positive	Negative	Direct	Indirect	Short	Medium	Long- term	Significant	Moderate	Not significant	Temporary	Permanent	Continuous	ENHANCEN
	PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHASE																
1	Favourable Social acceptability, community involvement and support for ICRP	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark		~	~	~	~				~	~	Make additional stakeho especially on proposed n Policy Framework and OF
2	Increased visibility and stakeholder support due to pre- construction engagement such as Field Visits, Design Presentation at DLGs & Ground Breaking ceremonies.	\checkmark		\checkmark		~			~		~				~	~	Ensure effective and time
3	High incidence of grievances arising due to delayed and faulted compensation, demarcation of buffer zones and restrictions over resource use	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark			\checkmark			
4	Mismanagement of compensation packages at household level	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark		
	CONSTRUCTION PHASE																
	Short term direct & indirect employment opportunities for local communities (casual & semi-skilled jobs; local businesses e.g., restaurants, suppliers.	\checkmark		\checkmark		V	~	~			~			~			 Involve LC 1 village workers (Offer Identi Integrate social protector to vulnerable and m intensive public wor funded by World Ba model.
	Potential appreciation of value for property such as land, houses for rent that are near the water facilities (reservoirs, boosters, transmission lines, distribution tanks).	\checkmark		\checkmark		~	~	~	~	~		~			~	~	Engage local governmer related value chains
5	Rehabilitation of roads and bridges (feeder and community access roads) in dam site area and irrigable area	\checkmark		\checkmark		~			~			~		~			Engage with communi maintenance
6	Increase in positive sexual behaviours due to adherence to social safeguards (e.g., workplace HIV/AIDs policy) for workers at construction sites	~		\checkmark		~	~		~		~			~			The contractors should version of the contractors should version of the contractors and outr
7	Increase in petty trade opportunities – new kiosks will be opened up to serve influx of workers in short run at water works sites.	~		\checkmark		~		~	~	~	~				~	~	Ensure effective sensitisa
8	Reduced gender disparities in terms of women and youth accessing short and direct employment at construction sites	\checkmark		\checkmark													As above

MENT & MITIGATION MEASURES

older consultations and information disclosure nanagement plans and BEMP (as per MWE/ESS P 4.01)

ely information disclosure

leaders in identifying casual and semi-skilled if ication / registration forms)

ection mechanisms such as offering casual jobs narginalised people using group model (labour orks). NB. Benchmark with NUSAF 3 program ank that uses a labour-intensive public works

nts to ensure they don't over tax agricultural

ities and LGs to ensure sustainable road

work closely with are health service providers reaches

ation and awareness building
No	POSITIVE Social Impacts	Social	Environmental	Positive	Negative	Direct	Indirect	Short	Medium	Long- term	Significant	Moderate	Not significant	Temporary	Permanent	Continuous	ENHANCEN
	Skills transfer / on-Job Training of local workforce in relevant	\checkmark		\checkmark						Ι.							
9	skills e.g., plumbing, painting, etc. the skills transfer will benefit locals.					~		~	~	~	~				~	~	Offer opportunities for sl
	Conflict over leadership roles, reporting and motivation packages for project committees	\checkmark			\checkmark												
	Destruction of cultural heritage and archaeological sites	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark				\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		
	Loss of habitat		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark				\checkmark		\checkmark			
	Impairment of regulating ecosystem services due to habitat		\checkmark		\checkmark	,	1	1	1			1		,			
	alteration					V	v	v	v			\sim		N			
	OPERATION PHASE																
10	Transformation of agriculture practice from subsistence to commercial agriculture	\checkmark		\checkmark					~	~	~				~	~	Integrate with other de NAADs, etc
11	Sustainable and optimal use of irrigation water resources			\checkmark				~	√	~	~				√	√	Provide support mini-mic
12	Improved food security due to increased crop & livestock production			\checkmark		~	~	~	~	~	~	~			~	~	
13	Increase in employment in agricultural value chain (direct & indirect)	\checkmark		\checkmark		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~	
14	Increased crop acreage & yields	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	√		√	1	√	
15	Improved social infrastructure (irrigation schemes, domestic water supply, roads, etc)			\checkmark		~	~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~	
16	Gender empowerment and reduced gender disparities	\checkmark		\checkmark		√	~	~	~	~	~	~		√	√	√	
17	Increased Crop diversification and intensification	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		~	1	~	~	1		√	~	√	
18	Improved tourism (agro and eco-tourism)	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		~	~	~	~	√		√	√	√	
19	Increased local trade and value chain development	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		~	~	~	~	√		√	√	√	
20	Increased rural transformation & urbanization	\checkmark		\checkmark		√		~	~	~	1	√		\checkmark	√	√	
21	Gender based constraints and vulnerability	\checkmark			\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	
22	Increased use of agricultural chemicals	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark								
23	Land conflicts and evictions	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark								
24	Encroachment on swamps in search of cultivatable land		\checkmark		\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark								
25	Use of irrigation water for domestic use (drinking, cooking, bathing, washing)				\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark								
	DECOMMISSIONING PHASE																
26	Creation of SHORT-TERM employment (Casual jobs) involved in dismantling the work sites / camps.	\checkmark		~		~	~	~				~	~	~			

VENT & MITIGATION MEASURES
cills transfer by contractors
evelopment programmes in area e.g., OWC,
ro irrigation to vulnerable-poor households

8.3 Cumulative Impacts & Mitigation Measures

This section takes into consideration the definition of cumulative impacts as defined in the earlier ESIA report 2019. The ESIA report states that *"The U.S. Council on Environmental Quality (1997) defines cumulative impacts as the impact on the environment which results from the incremental impact of the action when added to their past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of who undertakes such an action. These impacts can be individually limited but cumulatively considerable, or for which the incremental effects of individual projects are considerable. In practice, assessment of cumulative impacts requires consideration of other assessment concepts, which are different from the conventional approaches used in a normal ESIA". This BEMP report complements the ESIA findings.*

Under this section, the cumulative impact assessment is based on IFC Good Practice Handbook on Cumulative Impact Assessment and Management (IFC, 2019). The concern for assessment of cumulative impacts is driven by the need to understand the conditions of Valued Environmental and Social Components (VECs) that are expected to result from the combination of development impacts and natural forces. Chapter 4 above presents the baseline conditions that have been benchmarked to come up with Thresholds (limits of acceptable change). According to IFC, if such thresholds are not established, the significance of cumulative impacts cannot be determined.

The cumulative impact assessment of environment and social parameters cover the project area and its influence zone (Mishumba catchment, Sub Counties and districts). It focused assessing impacts in relation to the following aspects;

- a) Beneficiaries and the project owners' activities and facilities that are a component of the project;
- b) Potential impacts from unplanned, but predictable developments caused by the project that may occur later or at a different location;
- c) Indirect project impacts on biodiversity or on ecosystem services upon which Affected Communities' livelihoods are dependent;
- d) Associated facilities which are not part of the project but without which the project may not be viable; and
- e) Incremental impacts, on areas or resources used or directly impacted by the project, from other existing, planned, or reasonably defined developments at the time the risks and impacts identification process is conducted.

8.3.1 Valued Environmental and Social Components (VECs), Future Conditions & Thresholds The selection of VECs was done and was based on their viability or sustainability to remain diverse and productive over time despite the irrigation scheme. There is need to ensure sustainable use of resource by both developers and communities. Generally, during VEC selection several factors were taken into account namely; a) Abundance at sample sites; b) Ecological importance; c) Human health; d) Socioeconomic importance; e) Cultural heritage; f) Data Availability. The selected VECs are based on social safeguard perspective and the impacts on VECs presented are successive, incremental, and/or combined, induced.

Project	Proximity to or location within the Kabuyanda	Potential Impact
	ICRP	
	Existing	Projects
Kikagati Hydropower Project I	The water diversion point (210m ³ /s, gross head of 8.5m, 11.5m high weir, and 3 turbines) will be located on R. Kagera about 10km downstream of the irrigable area. R. Mishumba (along which the dam will be constructed) is a tributary to R. Kagera	Kikagati HPP I is a 16MW run-of-river (RoR) hydro-electric project located along the Kagera River in West Uganda on the Tanzania- Uganda border. The project is ground- breaking co-operation between the Tanzanian and Ugandan government with power being provided to both countries constructed by Berkeley Energy since 2014. The project's intake, powerhouse, fish ladder and camp site are in Uganda while the animal passage is in Tanzania. The project will have a positive impact to Uganda at large by providing access to power and increased revenue The impoundment or dam catchment of 90 km ² is about 30% of the total R. Mishumba
		catchment (294 km ²) and is only 0.15% of the entire R. Kagera basin (58108 km ²) Therefore, the reduction in flows of R. Mishumba will not affect the planned project.
New Water supply system for Kabuyanda Town	The water abstraction site is downstream of the dam area, within the	It has a positive impact by providing clean and safe water to residents of Kabuyanda Town Council.
Council irrigation command area and will be a groundwater source (borehole).	There is no key interaction between this project and R. Mishumba ecosystem though there is geographical overlap, hence, no negative impact.	
		However, there is likely contamination of groundwater quality in case of excess or uncontrolled use of pesticides under the Kabuyanda irrigation project.

Table 8-3: Some of the planned and on-going projects within Isingiro (Kabuyanda)

		Therefore, monitoring of groundwater quality within Kabuyanda should be done during operation and maintenance phase			
	Planned or For	eseen Projects			
Kikagati HPP II (Nshongenzi)	The water diversion point will be located on R. Kagera about 12 km and 22 km downstream of HPP I and irrigable area respectively. R. Mishumba (along which the dam will be constructed) is a tributary to R. Kagera	The HHP II will involve construction of a weir will be built near the proposed intake (Nsongezi). According to the Feasibility Study prepared by Fichtner in September 2012. The project will have a positive impact to Uganda at large by providing access to power and increased revenue The impoundment or dam catchment of 90 km ² is about 30% of the total R. Mishumba catchment (294 km ²) and is only 0.15% of the entire R. Kagera basin (58108 km ²)			
		Therefore, the reduction in flows of R. Mishumba will not affect the planned project.			
South Western Cluster - Development of Water and Sanitation Infrastructure for the Mbarara- Masaka Areas (Kagera Water Works) – Funded by AFD	The water abstraction point (intake of 8 m ³ /s) will be located on R. Kagera about 20km downstream of the irrigable area. R. Mishumba (along which the dam will be constructed) is a tributary to R. Kagera	The project will have a positive impact to residents of Kabuyanda and Isingiro District at large by providing clean and safe water hence improved sanitation. The impoundment or dam catchment of 90 km ² is about 30% of the total R. Mishumba catchment (294 km ²) and is only 0.15% of the entire R. Kagera basin (58108 km ²) Therefore, the reduction in flows of R. Mishumba will not affect the planned project.			
Rehabilitation of existing boreholes	Birere, Nyamuyanja, Masha, Kabingo, Nyakitunda, Kikagate, Kabuyanda, Ruborogota, Ngarama, Kashumba, Mbaare, Endiinzi, Rushasha, Rugaaga, Kabuyanda town council, Kaberebere town council, Isingiro town	There is also a positive impact by providing clean and safe water to the communities. These being existing underground water sources, there will be no interaction between these projects and River Mishumba ecosystem. However, there is likely contamination of groundwater quality in case of excess or uncontrolled use of pesticides under the Kabuyanda irrigation project.			

Therefore, monitoring of groundwater quality					
within Kabuyanda should be done during					
operation and maintenance phase.					

The projects in Table 8-3 (Isingiro DLG plan (2015/16 – 2019/20)) are expected to have major cumulative impacts when intermingling with the Kabuyanda irrigation scheme. The projects with the highest likelihood of cumulative impacts are the New Water supply system for Kabuyanda Town Council under MWE and South Western Cluster - Development of Water and Sanitation Infrastructure for the Mbarara-Masaka Areas (Kagera Water Works) specifically the Isingiro Component under MWE/NWSC, due to the size of the projects and the geographical overlapping within the command area. However, the Mishumba catchment only covers 0.15% of the entire R. Kagera basin and New Water supply system for Kabuyanda will utilise only groundwater and as such, will not impact directly on the proposed projects. This is because there is no exiting interaction between this project and River Mishumba ecosystem. However, there is likely minimal impact on groundwater quality in case of heavy use of pesticides. Pesticides use is expected to be minimal since Ministry of Agriculture is promoting more the application of integrated pest management. Therefore, monitoring of groundwater quality within Kabuyanda should be done during operation and maintenance phase.

8.3.2 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The possible collective effects of Kabuyanda irrigation project and of other external stressors (developments) on the VECs were considered. Explicitly, considering the effects of extra interactions with other projects on an appropriate scale, considering past, present and future impacts on the same VEC. Therefore, it involves the assessment of the contribution of the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme to the predicted cumulative impacts. These impacts include;

8.3.2.1 Impact on Hydrology and Hydraulics

The implementation of Kabuyanda Irrigation project (specifically dam construction) will provide water for irrigation. However, this is likely to cause changes in the local hydrological regime within the river ecosystem. Therefore, it is crucial to diagnose the interrelationship between river flows and the water table which may be changed. This is because the ecological uses of River Mishumba are in a stable state with the existing regime which may not be the case due to changes by the project. These changes will happen both upstream and downstream of the dam area along the river system. The anticipated cumulative impacts are also attributed to the use of the water, especially irrigation of large areas that are currently under subsistence agriculture. The cumulative factors include the following;

- i) In the long-term, one of the anticipated challenges to the scheme is the rise in the local water-table (waterlogging) that can be caused by low irrigation efficiency. Poor water distribution systems, poor main system management and poor in-field irrigation practices also contribute to the problem. This can be easily mitigated by increasing field application efficiency to meaningfully lessen the rise in the groundwater.
- ii) Effects of the dam, reservoir storage and associated water abstraction to the increasing demand for social needs and the ecological requirements, hence potential long-term

reduction to available flows. The project will result in storage or diversion of 70-80% of the mean river flow resulting in modification of the hydrology of the system.

- iii) Downstream of the dam, the river flow dynamics may suffer modifications including others flood regimes, moderated but lower levels and runoff distribution, decrease in downriver sediment transport, decrease of downriver flux of nutrients as well as disruption of flora and fauna along the river length;
- iv) Changes due to construction of the dam may result in changes to the biodiversity. There is potential attraction of new animal species into the dam reservoir including, snakes and certain fish species and lesser aquatic fauna. Emergence of new wildlife species in the greater area may have notable conflicts with people's safety;
- v) Reduction of stream flow in the downstream of the dam also affects the capacity of the handling the pollutants discharging from agricultural, settlements and urban areas including agro-chemicals, organic matter and urban pollutants through dilution,
- vi) The hydrological connection between rivers and groundwater in the areas around them, i.e. the reservoir impoundment, limitation of river flow, as well as the induced farming irrigation activities and these groundwater-based water projects will have cumulative impacts on groundwater. The impoundment of reservoir may alter the groundwater level in the near vicinity of the reservoir. The impact of diversion of river flow to irrigation on groundwater table will depend on the soil permeability as well as the agricultural practices and climate. Other ongoing groundwater abstraction projects will have a direct impact on the water table.

8.3.2.2 Impact on Mishumba River Ecology and Biology

The implementation of Kabuyanda Irrigation scheme will automatically have consequences of the change of land use/cover and water use in the project area, cumulative effects on the land around the project and on aquatic ecosystems that share the catchment.

a) Effect of the reservoir on hydrology and hydraulics of the catchment

The establishment of the reservoir was assessed to be of added value in management of floods and prolonged droughts in Mishumba Catachment. In times of occasional heavy rains, the effects of uncontrolled flooding in Mishumba River can cause serious and severe damage to crops and production infrastructure. The reservoir will therefore provides means for holding the excessive water, and will allow for controlled release of such water with appropriately controlled flooding where required. Similarly, the reservoir is envisaged to be in addressing the effects of prolonged droughts or dry seasons through controlled release of water from the reservoir. The challenge is however is the anticipated increase in flooding downstream, and its associated effects including submerging of the terrestrial vegetation and other terrestrial biodiversity.

Radically altered flood regimes following the establishment and controlled release of water from the reservoir may also have negative impacts. This will require follow up during the operational phase of the project as many socioeconomic activities including farming, wetland fishing and others are known to rely on natural flooding regimes. Floods also are critical in maintaining biodiversity as they trigger spawning and migration and are responsible for recharging of nutrients and food materials for the aquatic biodiversity. Controlled floods may also result in a reduction of groundwater recharge via flood plains and a loss of seasonal or permanent wetlands. Finally, changes to the river morphology may result because of changes to the sediment carrying capacity of the flood waters. This may be either a positive or negative impact.

The likelihood of this impact occurring was assessed as **CERTAIN** since it is a direct result of the key activity of the Kabuyanda ICRP, the establishment of the dam for creation of reservoir to supply water for irrigation. The impact magnitude is **MEDIUM** as it has been appropriately technically designed to address some of envisaged impacts but will also affect all aspects of the proposed project including both agricultural production and biodiversity and ecological flow management. Therefore the significance of this impact is major.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence							
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1			
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4			
litu	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor			
agr	3		12	9	6	3			
Σ	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant			
act	2	10	8	6	4	2			
du	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant			
	1	5	4	3	2	1			

Mitigation

- Technically planning and engineering to provide for establishment and control of the operation and maintenance of the reservoir as well as regulation of the flow of the water from the reservoir.
- As with low flows, the operation of dams offers excellent opportunities to mitigate the potential negative impacts of changes to flood flows.
- The designation of flood plains may also be a useful measure that allows groundwater recharge and reduces peak discharges downstream. This is one of the positive functions of many areas of wetland.
- It is important that new irrigation infrastructure does not adversely effect the natural drainage pattern, thus causing localized flooding.

b) Land and water interactions in Mishumba Catchment

Mishumba Catchment is greatly modified ecosystem and as such the land and water use in the basin is largely driven by socioeconomic activities within the basin. The geophysical processes, such as water quantity and quality as well as erosion, are largely determined by extent of the socioeconomic activities (NECOC 2017). The consequences have been reduced afforestation, increased erosion, reduction in wetland cover and expansion of unplanned agricultural production activities. The Kabuyanda ICRP project is expected to lead to organized production activities and better management of Valuable Ecosystem Components albeit with noticeable impact in the basin. The ICRP development is within an area in which the existing socioeconomic activities have led to significant reduction in vegetation cover and severely impacted on aquatic resources such as fish. The impounding of water with construction of the dam will lead to creation

of a reservoir, which will result in water overland further reducing the vegetation cover and displacing animals.

The daming will also lead to change in stream flow or hydrological regime affecting the flow variability and the magnitude and frequency of floods. Furthermore, the daming will limit the natural sedimentation process and control the recharging and nutrient loading of stream waters affecting the current production activities. The damming will also greatly disturb the stream channel, stream hydraulics, water quality, the riverine habitat, and the aquatic and riparian ecosystems. The ecological integrity and complexity of flowing water systems depend on their natural dynamic character. Deviations from the natural flow regime can therefore impair water quality, ecosystem functions, and characteristics of aquatic and riparian environments (Poff *et al.* 1997).

The cumulative impacts here have been assessed to result from primary and secondary impacts. The primary impacts envisaged here include water pollution, flow regime change, loss of land with reservoir creation, and change in land use. The secondary impacts identified include change in water quality; loss of connectivity of different habitats; change in soil ecology, change in limnology of the river and reservoir water, increased erosion and sedimention; change in sedimention flow; and change of landscape and aesthetic value at dam site.

Upstream erosion may result in the delivery of fertile sediments to downstream of the catchment. However, this gain is a measure of the loss of fertility of upstream eroded lands. A major negative impact of erosion and the associated transport of soil particles is the sedimentation of reservoirs and abstraction points downstream, such as irrigation intakes and pumping stations. Desilting intakes and irrigation canals is often the major annual maintenance cost on irrigation schemes. The increased sediment load is likely to change the river morphology which, together with the increased turbidity, will effect the downstream ecology.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is assessed as highly likely since it is a direct result of the key activity of the Kabuyanda ICRP. The damming is required to ensure that there is enough water to meet the irrigation needs. The impact magnitude is **MODERATE** because although the likelihood of the impact is **CERTAIN**, the whole dam design, operation and maintenance plan of the dam and reservoir; and design, location and operation and maintenance of associated water supply and irrigation infrastructure, have been planned so as to mitigate the identified impacts. Therefore the significance of this impact is **moderate**.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence							
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1			
qe	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	– Moderate 8	Minor 4			
agnitu	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3			
act Ma	Low 2	Moderate 10	Moderate 8	Minor 6	Minor 4	Insignificant 2			
dwl	Negligible 1	Minor 5	Minor 4	Minor 3	Insignificant 2	Insignificant 1			

Mitigation Measures:

- Conduct In-depth engineering survey and assessment of the site for the planned infrastructure (dam, irrigation networks and other auxiliary structures) within the core project zone. This is so as to put in place the required safeguards to prevent, reduce or mitigate the identified impacts. This should be followed by adoption and integrating of preventive operational maintenance within the overall dam management, operation and maintenance programme.
- Measurement and monitoring the effects of erosion and sedimentation at different points of the irrigation system with regular treatments and addressing of such effects such as sediment release and improving water flow network through channeling or cannel development.
- Proper land planning and management for both the infrastructure development and irrigable area coupled with controlled water releases that mimic the natural water flow and responds to not only the agricultural production needs but also the biodiversity and landscape needs of the catchment.

c) Disruption of the river and natural sedmination flow by the damming of River Mishumba

Cumulative impacts of the damming of the Mishumba River will disrupt the river flow, create back flow and reservoir. The dam itself will act as a barrier to the natural flow of the sediments while the resevior will exacerbate the soil erosion as a result of the interference with the sediment balance of the river caused by dam construction and reservoir operation. The dam facilities and secondary effects may also led to a cumulative impact on flow regulation of the watershed and soil protection with seasonal discharges changing significantly, especially in dry season as irrigation scheme mitigates the reduced water table through peaking operations – pulses, overbank flooding and surface water supply for irrigating of the crops.

The dam and associated irrigation facilities and secondary effects may also have a cumulative effect by enhancing habitat fragmentation and loss of connectivity between the terrestrial and aquatic environment. For the terrestrial environment this is related to land conversion while for the aquatic environment this is related to flow regulation, channel diversion as well as the irrigable area and production activities targeted.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **CERTAIN** due to damming of the Mishumba River, an integral or central component of the planned development, Kabuyanda ICRP. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **MEDIUM** because the dam has been designed to ensure and maintain chanel flow with set e-flows. The significance of this impact is therefore **major**.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence							
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1			
ct ude	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4			
lmpa 1agnit	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3			
2	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant			

2	10	8	6	4	2
Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant
1	5	4	3	2	1

Mitigation

- Ensure the designs of the dam and associated infrastructure are based on in-depth site studies and latest technology that incorporates safeguards against identified hazards.
- Set appropriate e-flows and regular releases of the sediments to compensate for the envisaged changes in flow and to sustain the channel flow and the associated ecological services and biodiversity.

d) Effect on the Underground water reserves and recharge system

The dam and reservoir operation will have inadvertent effects on the groundwater levels. Excessive draw down of water in the reservoir will adversely affect the underground water reserves and the existing users of groundwater whether it is required for drinking water for humans and animals or to sustain plant life (particularly wetlands), especially at dry times of the year. Over time the well springs may dry up if this practice is done repeatedly, and may also affect the channel flow especially during low flows in the dry seasons. This may have enormous repurcussions on both natural and human environment and especially adversely effect marginal and wetland biodiversity as well as the poor people. The poor may resort to use of alternative sources of water that carry health risks, particularly water sources infested with worms and schistosomiasis. Also severe and continued reduction in the water table level (groundwater mining) with drawdown of the reservior, may also lead to significant land subsidence with consequent damage to structures and difficulties in operating hydraulic structures for flood defence, drainage and irrigation. According to the nature of the soils, especially for organic soils like the case of Mishumba catchment, the change in soil structure may be irreversible.

On the otherhand the low efficacy of the irrigation structures, in the long-term, may lead to unusal rise in the local water-table (waterlogging). The poor water reticulation and distribution systems, coupled with poor main system management and inefficient in-field irrigation practices will certainly lead to water logging. Fortunately for Mishumba Catchment, there is a reasonable hydraulic gradient to allow for movement of water downstream. The challenge however is that the key is characterized by hot weather, and the high water table may lead to rising groundwater through capillary action which will evaporate, leaving salts in the soil. The high water table will also make it difficult to work the soil for agricultural production.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **UNLIKELY** due to terrain and landscape of the Mishumba Catchment, and the fact that the design and e-water flow system has been set to avoid and or reduce excessive daw down but also efficiently supply and drain irrigation water. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **MEDIUM** because of the seasonal variations and occasional prolonged droughts which may lead to excessive draw down, and or high rains which may lead to less demand for water and poor drainage of irrigable area. The significance of this impact is therefore minor.

Impact Significance	Likelihood of Occurrence

		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1
act Magnitude	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4
	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3
	Low 2	Moderate 10	Moderate 8	Minor 6	Minor 4	Insignificant 2
dml	Negligible 1	Minor 5	Minor 4	Minor 3	Insignificant 2	Insignificant 1

Mitigation

- A possible advantage of reducing the water table level prior to the rainy season is that it may increase the potential for groundwater recharge. Lowering the water table by the provision of drainage to irrigation schemes with high water tables brings benefits to agriculture.
- Any changing availability of groundwater for drinking water supply needs to be assessed in terms of the economics of viable alternatives.
- Set the field application efficiency for irrigation for the different seasons at levels that ensure well balanced levels of the groundwater.

e) Water and air quality

The change in hydrological regime as a result of establishment and operation of Kabuyanda ICRP project and its associated irrigation infrastructure may alter the capacity of the environment to assimilate water soluble pollution. In particular, reductions in low flows may result in increased pollutant concentrations already discharged into the water course either from point sources, such as the cottage mining and agroprocessing industry, irrigation drains and adjoining settled areas, or from non-point sources, such as application of agrochemicals that leak into groundwater and soil erosion. Reduced flood flows may also remove beneficial flushing, and reservoirs may cause further concentration of pollutants. In case of increase in low flows, the effect on solute dispersion will likely be beneficial, particularly if the solutes are not highly soluble and tend to move with sediments.

Poor or extensive use of pesticides is likely to effect plants, fish and other aquatic biodiversity, birds and mammals including humans. Persistent use of such pesticides is in itself a danger as these pesticides, soluble or not soluble, may bond chemically to soil particles and may be transported by erosion even beyond the Mishumba Catchment. Use of organochlorine insecticides such as DDT, dieldrin and endosulfan, is particularly hazardous to aquatic systems and become rapidly concentrated in the food chain up to the higher tier (human beings). Also non-specific herbicides can rapidly affect the supply of food, and yet the associated risks are likely to increase if a monoculture is practiced, as is typical of many developed irrigation systems that are aimed at increasing overall production. In addition, the use of both natural and chemical fertilizers may result in an excess nutrients as all may not be picked by the crops, which excess nutrients can cause problems in the adjoining wetlands, interconnected rivers, River Mishumba and main Kagera River. Key nutrients of target include the nitrates and phosphates tend to be fixed to

soil particles and therefore will reach water courses when soil is eroded or during floodings. The excessive nutrients that reach water bodies may result in eutrophication and increased algal blooms, and due to death and rotting of algal matter and resulting in depletion of oxygen in water bodies. In the reservoir, the depletion of oxygen may occur due to the excessive organic material that is submerged during the filling process resulting in bed decays and waters with progressively less oxygen. Other possible causes of depletion of oxygen will be suffocation of terrestrial animals that can move with the filling of the reservoir and or the mortality of aquatic ones due to change in water quality. The depletion of oxygen may end up causing anaerobic decomposition that will result in production of gases such as hydrogen sulphide, methane and ammonia all of which are poisonous and some of which contribute to the greenhouse effect. The production of greenhouse gases may also be produced by irrigated crop field and this may need to be investigated and mitigations put in place. The other cumulative impact is that change in water quality as aresult of the Kabuyanda ICRP will lead to disruption of natural food chains and webs, and the loss of agricultural production.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **POSSIBLY** with the creation of a reservoir which will hold and increase the resident time for water that will allow settling of solutes and salts in the irrigation water and sediments. It is also possible in that farmers are mostly likely to use chemicals in form of fertilizers and pesticides. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **HIGH** because of the interference in the natural movement of chemicals and the change in hydrological regimes in the Catchment. The significance of this impact is therefore **moderate**.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence							
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1			
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4			
hitu	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor			
agr	3		12	9	6	3			
Σ	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant			
act	2	10	8	6	4	2			
dm	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant			
	1	5	4	3	2	1			

Mitigation

- Reservoir area should be cleared of organic matter and animals facilitated to move prior to impoundment to limit anaerobic decomposition once the dam is filled.
- The Kabuyanda ICRP should adopt integrated pest management including considering of use of low use of chemical methods and or use of natural herbicides.
- Limit use of non-specific and non-target use of agrochemicals
- Adopt a rigorous water quality monitoring system from filling to the operation phase.

f) Soil salinity

The key reasons for increase in soil salinity on an irrigation scheme are:

• Salts carried in the irrigation water are liable to build up in the soil profile, as water is removed by plants and the atmosphere at a much faster rate than salts. The salt concentration of incoming flows may increase in time with development activities upstream and if rising demand leads to drain water reuse;

• Solutes applied to the soil in the form of artificial and natural fertilizers as well as some pesticides will not all be utilized by the crops;

• Salts which occur naturally in soil may move into solution or may already be in solution in the form of saline groundwater. This problem is often severe in deserts or arid areas where natural flushing of salts (leaching) does not occur. Incase of high level of groundwater the rise of such that water through capillary action and evaporation of such water will leave salts on the surface and in the upper layers of the soil; and,

• The transfer from rainfed to irrigation of a single crop, or the transfer from single to double irrigation may create a "humidity/salinity bridge" in the soil, between a deep saline groundwater and the (so far) salt-free surface layers of the soil.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **LIKELY** as salts and solutes will be concentrated by the standing water in the reservoir, and that farmers are surely going to apply agrochemicals together with the effluents from cottage industries. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **MEDIUM** as use of chemicals will be moderated and guided by experts. The significance of this impact is therefore **moderate**.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence					
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1	
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4	
ignitu	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3	
act Ma	Low 2	Moderate 10	Moderate 8	Minor 6	Minor 4	Insignificant 2	
lmp	Negligible 1	Minor 5	Minor 4	Minor 3	Insignificant 2	Insignificant 1	

Mitigation

- There is need for careful soil monitoring before and during the operational phase of Kabuyanda ICRP with regular soil sampling and testing.
- Use of fertilizers and chemicals should be appropriately guided based on the nutrient assessment and kind of crops targeted.
- The irrigation water supply and flooding should allow for flushing of the soils and irrigation facilities.

g) Effect on natural and human environment with establishment and Operation of Kabuyanda dam and reservior

The operation of the Mishumba River dam and reservoir may have significant impact on the river ecology downstream. The drastic change in the hydrological regime will have cumulative impacts on natural and human environment, channel morphology, and water (surface and underground)

recharge and flow systems. The operation of Mishumba River dam may also lead to a number of disease hazards associated with dams some of which can be minimized, others eliminated by careful operation. The identified diseases and parasites include malaria, schistosomiasis, river blindness, flukes and worms. The establishment and operation of the dam may also lead to establishing of aquatic weeds and invasive floral and fauna species within and around the reservoir and other irrigation infrastructure over time.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **POSSIBLY** as surveys showed that the area although highly disturbed or lacked prisitine natural ecosystems, did not have invasive species and did not have typical known terrestrial and or aquatic weeds. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **LOW** because of the location of the dam in upstream of the catchment, and area naturally of limited established natural vegetation. The significance of this impact is therefore minor.

		Likelihood of Occurrence					
Impac	t Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare	
		5	4	3	2	1	
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4	
litu	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	
agr	3		12	9	6	3	
Ξ	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	
act	2	10	8	6	4	2	
d m	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant	
	1	5	4	3	2	1	

Mitigations

- Put in place technically and engineering-wise feasible measures to ensure efficacy of the dam in terms of its main functions, namely irrigation, flood protection and biodiversity sustenance.
- Conduct assessment and analysis of downstream demands so as to determine and set the minimum compensatory flows for the different seasons, both for the natural and human environment. This should be done at the design stage and regularly updated during operation of the dam and reservior.
- The design should as much as possible mimic natural flooding regimes in planning and setting the dam offtake facilities. In particular, passing flood flows early in the season to enable timely recession agriculture may have the added advantage of passing flows carrying high sediment loads.
- Rooted aquatic weeds and invasive species along the shore (or in shallow reservoirs) can be partially controlled by alternate desiccation and drowning. The local communities, especially user communities can also put in place measures to de-weed the reservoir and associated irrigation infrastructure, and alternative use the weeds as animal fodder and building materials.

a) Ecological imbalances

Irrigation activities may alter the water table and general hydrological regime of the ecosystems. An imbalance in the general ecology of the landscape may be brought about by many potential impacts some of which may be of relatively minor significance in their own right but they often interact to produce a cumulative effect over a prolonged period of time. This can result in very significant long-term changes to the local ecology. Consequences might include effects on the microclimate and exposure of the soil to erosion. Changes in microclimate may result in a wide variety of potential impacts. The impacts may range from reduction in diversity and abundance of the local flora and fauna, thereby decimating biodiversity; decline in critical socio-economic services such as in pollinators and predators of vector. Irrigated agriculture often provides improved conditions for crop diseases to develop, particularly fungal and bacterial foliage diseases. Diseases and weeds can also spread quickly. Any change to a more uniform environment on the project lands is likely to favour vigorous species adapted to a wide variety of conditions. Species, such as insects and rodents, are often regarded as pests. The preferred habitats of natural predators, such as snakes and birds, may be reduced by land use changes and by the increased use of pesticides. Increased application of agro-chemicals poses a threat of pollution both on-site and off-site, with not only environmental but also health effects on humans, other organisms and ecosystems. The impact is likely to happen and will be long-term. It will be indirect and negative with having a **medium** magnitude and **moderate** significance.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
gni	3		12	9	6	3		
Aa	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ipact l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u>_</u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

Mitigation Measures

- Implement the biodiversity and environmental flow management plan
- implement the recommended environmental flow requirements (EFR).
- Closely monitor the hydrological aspects such as water table, flow rates, and also the physical and chemical properties of the water.

b) Proliferation of aquatic invasive species

From the field surveys, two invasive species of plants are already known to occur within the project area. These are *Lantana camara* and *Mimosa pigra*. These occurred at several points and hence have a potential to increase even further within and outside the project footprint. flourish mainly because of disturbances that create unstable environmental conditions in an ecosystem. They tend to be favoured by high dispersive power and high tolerance to unsuitable conditions.

The River Kagera system already has the alien invasive *Eichhornia crassipes* (water hyacinth). Fragments and small clumps of this aquatic alien invasive may be observed floating, being carried by running river water. In instances, the aquatic invasive weed forms fairly large mats sometimes trapped in *Vossia cuspidata* but is mostly being drifted down the river, in small clumps, single plants or its fragments (PROESS Consulting Engineers 2016). Creation of more flooded areas within the Kabuyanda Irrigation area is **unlikely** to lead to invasion of aquatic species such as the Water Hyacinth from the Kagera river system since Kagera is downstream. Other potential alien/invasive species include *Pistia stratiotes* (Nile Cabbage) and *Salvinia molesta* (Kariba Weed). The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **UNLIKELY** and impact magnitude as **MEDIUM**. The significance of this impact is **MINOR**.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
gni	3		12	9	6	3		
Aa	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ipact l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u> </u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

Mitigation Measures:

- Sensitise all project staff and workers about the dangers of alien/invasive species, measures to prevent their invasion, and their identification for early intervention.
- Prevention of the introduction, release or escape and spread of alien/invasive species, including training and capacity building in their management, when the invaders are still absent
- Institute a Monitoring Plan for alien/invasive species for their prevention, early detection and management in case they invade the project areas.
- Early eradication through implementation of an integrated program when there is still a small and localised population, in case the alien/invasive species invade.
- Long-term management and containment of established invasions including restoration and human adaptation to the ecological, health and/or economic impact when the invasion is widespread and abundant, with the aim of suppressing the invader's population but also protecting resources as control costs increase.

h) Effect on floral biodiversity by Kabuyanda ICRP

Although the additional survey did not find any rare or vulnerable plant species in the catchment, the presence of this floral biodiviersity is critical for the functioning and ecological services of the Mishumba Catchment. The establishment including construction works and setting up of the dam and associated irrigation structure, has the immediate effect of clearance of existing vegetation. This is also the case that in long term the project will result in clearance of land of existing vegetation for production and or submerging what is existing during the flooding and supply of existing water. It is more likely that other species comfortable in the new ecolological setting will emerge. This however may also open room for invasive species whose seed is normally impeded

with improved seed for crops brought in from outside the area. The project is likely also to have impact on wetland plant species in the long term through encroachment or regulation of flow of water in such areas. Also the terrestrial plant species may be affected by reservoir flooding, dewatering downstream of dams; construction of transmission lines, access roads or canals or through lowered water tables.

		Likelihood of Occurrence					
Impac	t Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare	
		5	4	3	2	1	
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4	
litu	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	
agn	3		12	9	6	3	
Ξ	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	
act	2	10	8	6	4	2	
d m	Negligible 1	Minor 5	Minor 4	Minor 3	Insignificant 2	Insignificant 1	

Mitigation

- Clearance of vegetation and or submerging should be carefully considered to avoid loss of floral biodiversity.
- Ecological water flow regimes should be set taking in consideration the identified floral biodiversity while noting that some plants may be obligate and others may be facultative aquatic plants.

i) Change in faunal composition, abundance and behavior

The cumulative impacts here will be on the wild terrestrial animals and aquatic organisms (especially fish). Most noticeable will be on those of known or potential significant ecological and economic importance such as the fisheries on which the communities rely for fish supply. Also critical in this regard will be the relics of previous highlights of the fishery of Lake Victoria, Singida tilapia and Victoria carp (Mwanja et al. 2012, Rutaisire, 2003) which were confirmed to be extant in Mishumba Catchment with the additional surveys and assessment. The effects or cumulative impacts of the identified impacts will be disruption and loss of breeding and spawning grounds; disruption in upstream migration of rheophilic migratory species including Victoria carp; creation of new habitat for limnophilic species with establishment of a reservoir, displacement of terrestrial animals down stream with the reservoir and clearing of vegetation cover, building and establishment of a new fishery at the reservoir, loss of habitats for birds, reptiles and small mammals identified along the river and associated habtats.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **LIKELY** due to damming and disruption of the river flow, clearing and loss of vegetation during construction and filling of the reservoir, loss of land to the reservoir and infrastructure construction, and secondary effects including change in water quality, increased soil erosion, change in water depths and flow rates. The Impact Magnitude is assessed as **LOW** because the basin has other sites in which the species will moved or facilitated to move, the e-flows have been set to facilitate and mitigate changes in biodiversity, special conservation areas and breeding sites have been identified and mapped for protection

under the **BEFMP**, and there are plans and processes that have been designed as part of system for Kabuyanda ICRP to limit the effects of the identified impacts. The significance of this impact is therefore **moderate**.

Impact Significance		Likelihood of Occurrence					
		Certain 5	Likely 4	Possible 3	Unlikely 2	Rare 1	
de	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4	
agnitu	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3	
act Ma	Low 2	Moderate 10	Moderate 8	Minor 6	Minor 4	Insignificant 2	
d m	Negligible 1	Minor 5	Minor 4	Minor 3	Insignificant 2	Insignificant 1	

Mitigation

- Animals should be allowed or facilitated to move before filling of the reservoir. Where possible the filling should be done in a way that allows the animals to escape.
- Critical or vulnerable species, especially the two fish species that is Singida tilapia and Victoria carp, should be protected and continuously monitored to ensure continued existence. Where possible special conservation areas should be established to protect the remnant pockets of individuals in the Mishumba catchment.
- The BEFMP should be implemented and the implementation monitored to ensure compliance to and effectiveness of identified practices and measures.

c) Risk of severe floods due to damaged dam and changed landscape

The new constructed dam will pose a risk of flooding due to longevity, and uncertain adherence to repair and maintenance (O&M) plans. Basing on oral history and trend line analysis, there have been two severe floods due to over flooding of River Mishumba and River Kyabaganda (Middle Chezho& Kyabaganda Sub catchments). The river flooding happened April, May and June 2020, affecting approximately 122 households in Lower Mishumba & Middle Chezho Sub-catchments. During community consultation meeting, the cause of flooding in River Mishumba was attributed to climatic hazard of heavy rainfall in upstream area of the dam site. By the time it occurred, there was no rain that had happened within dam site villages. The flooding was unexpectedly spontaneous. Locally, property destroyed included cattle, poultry, houses, distilling site for local potent gin called waragi, trees and human death. Basing on the previous trends, there is likelihood that the same flooding can happen again in future after project completion. In the same way, there is a possibility of dam floor embankment walls to weaken and allow huge volume of water to leak, infiltrate and/or burst. Findings from Ecosystems Service survey indicate that all respondents stated that if similar floods happen again, its impact will be magnified because the floods may cause overflow, and/or destroy (tear open) dam floor embankment walls.

The flooding is anticipated to cause ecological alterations including saturation of soils impairing growth of the non-purely aquatic flora. Such plants are not adapted to severe waterlogging, and

may not cope with the saturated conditions. The same impact is anticipated on fauna that is not purely aquatic in different groups of invertebrates and vertebrates. The resultant effect will be submerging and destruction of some species, reduction in abundance of the affected species of flora and fauna, local migration of some fauna, especially small mammals and reptiles.

It should be noted that available reports on hazard risk assessment in Isingiro by National Emergency Coordination and Operations Centre (NECOC) in 2017 categorized the floods as LOW risk. There's need to update the data following severe floods of May 2020. Additionally, there is an anticipated induced risk of floods due to broken high pressurized distribution pipes. This may be attributed to lifespan of pipes, vandalism and gaps in safety management.

The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as POSSIBLE due to consequences of current and future climate variability and extreme events especially heavy rains and Impact Magnitude as HIGH because it will be disastrous to communities upstream, mid-stream and downstream. The significance of this impact is moderate.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
a)	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
gnitude	Medium 3	Major 15	Moderate 12	Moderate 9	Minor 6	Minor 3		
Мағ	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ıpact ľ	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
Ľ	1	5	4	3	2	1		

- Conduct In-depth Climate Risk Screening and Climate Proofing of the infrastructure (dam, irrigation networks and other auxiliary structures) within the core project zone. This will enable the integration of climate change factors into ICRP as a rural development project in order to enable avoidance, if possible, or reduce future costs related with climate change induced hazards, risks and vulnerabilities. The Climate Proofing Screening tool could be possibly used by the ICRP with help of the Climate Change Department at Ministry of Water & Environment (CCD/MWE). The findings of the climate proofing will inform decision making and investment in long-lasting and environmentally sound, economically viable, and socially acceptable mitigation measures to be implemented throughout the ICRP project cycle (planning, design, construction, operation, and decommissioning).
- Implement and/or strengthen the Kagera Catchment Management Plans (CMP) with emphasis on River Mishumba Sub Catchment in order to control anthropogenic (human) threats and effects of climate variability and extreme events.

a) Changes in patterns of fishing activities

Most of the capture fishing activities are done along rivers in Lower Mishumba sub catchment (87%), Lower Mishumba & Chezho sub catchment (62%); Mishumba & Chezho (57%), Mishumba and Rweibare (52%), Lower Chezho (48%); Kyabaganda & Middle Chezho (45%); Middle Chezho (39%); Kinyara (35%) and Mishumba (35%). The aquaculture being practiced in the downstream (existing fish ponds at Sample site 22 (Lower Chezho Sub-catchment). Overtime, as the dam is constructed and river flow altered, if the recommended amounts of e-flows are not maintained i.e., released in specified periods, the damming may have direct negative impact on fishing activities downstream i.e., reduced fishing which is partially a livelihood source to the downstream communities. In downstream, there is likely increase in aquaculture (fish ponds and crop farming) especially in Ntundu parish in Kikagate SC, Kyamusooni parish in Ruborogota and Kabuyanda Town Council. The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as a **LIKELY** and Impact Magnitude is **LOW**. The impact magnitude is **MODERATE**.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
	High	Maior 20	Maior 16	Moderate	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
e	4			12				
tud	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
ing.	3		12	9	6	3		
Ma	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ıpact I	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u> </u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

- Form groups of artisanal fishermen in upstream, midstream and downstream, and engage their representatives during project activities.
- Earmark position of artisanal fishermen group on GRM committees (ensure that they MUST be represented).
- Provide alternative livelihoods to artisanal fishermen that use river sections within dam site and critical dam area. These are headed by local elder called Muzeyi Ndyabayika (C/O Kagoto 2 village chairperson).
- Provide appropriate technology to artisanal fishermen that is not destructive to river resources, as well as disseminating information and knowledge in value addition for existing fisheries.
- Avoid fish farming in dam (no fish stocks and/or cages) to avoid diverse social risks that may arise. Note that even though artisanal fishing takes place, only 35% of households capture fish for home consumption and 17% for sale within Mishumba catchment (dam site), and 20% capture fish for sale in Lower Mishumba (critical dam area). So, stocking fish in the dam, would create a new competitive enterprise with no clear arrangement that would manage it and ensure all people benefit from it equally. In case fish farming

happens, it will also pose a threat to dam safety. The ideal situation would be to leave it as it was before project.

- Create sensitize communities about importance of protecting the river and how to utilise the ecosystem services it offers (with emphasis on avoiding drinking untreated / unboiled water drawn from the river).
- Maintain recommended amounts of e-flows, ensuring water release in specified periods

8.3.2.3 Impact on Socio-economics

a) Vulnerability due to discrepancies in access to irrigation equipment

In order to ensure effective use of irrigation water, there is need for farmer households acquire supplementary irrigation equipment vis-à-vis crop types. Given the fact that ICRP will cater for Irrigation system installations, the beneficiary households will have to meet the cost of acquiring supplementary irrigation equipment to be used in improving the water delivery method to crops especially banana. One possible equipment is the micro sprinklers used in banana plantations but they not available in irrigable area at the moment. There is no agro-input dealer reported to have supplied any. It's likely that the poor farming households will be vulnerable in terms of continuous exposure to drought, food and income insecurity. More so, the poor may be vulnerable to land conflict arising from acquisition of big chucks of land for irrigated farm production by the rich individuals and/or institutions. The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as **LIKELY** and Impact Magnitude as **MEDIUM.** The significance of this impact is **MODERATE**.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 15	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
gni	3		12	9	6	3		
Ma	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ıpact l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u> </u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

- Support farmers with supplementary irrigation equipment for banana and coffee. It should be noted that banana plant has higher canopy than the proposed sprinklers proposed in the design report. It's also notable that since banana growing is more profitable than vegetables, it's anticipated that if the banana farmers are to perceive the ICRP as useful then their banana crops should be irrigated effectively.
- Conduct research and innovation to develop and disseminate sprinkler systems that are higher than banana canopy in order to help farmers irrigate banana gardens. The innovations in sprinkler systems could be undertaken by both private sector, academia and/or national agricultural research centers (e.g., NARO/MAAIF).

• Support area-based farmer groups, SACCOs and cooperatives with revolving credit facilities to help them support farmers with additional requirements.

b) Scaling localized Inequality (beneficiaries Vs non-beneficiaries)

The ICRP will contribute localized inequality between the beneficiary and non-beneficiary households within the project area (Mishumba catchment and irrigable areas). The inequality will accrue from barriers in terms of spatial / location, access and utilization capabilities. This will be continuous. At present, the proportion of beneficiary communities within the irrigable area is smaller compared to non-beneficiaries with in the core and influence zones of the ICRP project components (dam and irrigation networks). The benefits to communities within the irrigable area in terms of improved climate resilience to drought by use of irrigation, will indirectly trickle to non-beneficiaries. For instance, over 30 villages located upstream near the dam site are outside the irrigable area, but they will benefit from improved food production in the area. By not directly benefiting from the irrigation facilities, the communities outside irrigable area are more vulnerable to climate variability and extreme events (e.g., drought, dry spell). This will minimize social inclusion hence inequality and grievances. The irrigation scheme will benefit communities within irrigable area compared to a big proportion of communities that are severally affected by drought and dry spells in entire project area. The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as LIKELY and impact magnitude as MEDIUM. The significance of this impact is MODERATE.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
gni	3		12	9	6	3		
Ma	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ipact l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u>ا</u> ر	1	5	4	3	2	1		

- Extend the irrigable area to cover communities located upstream near and/or close to dam site. These areas include Kanywamizi parish (Kyamazinga 1, Kyamazinga 2, Kagara, Kanywamizi 3, Nyamiyanga, Kagoto 1, Kagoto 2); Rwakakwenda parish (Kaaro 1, Bugarama 1, Katooma 1, Katooma 2).
- Integrate non-beneficiary communities (near middle stream and downstream areas) into other development projects such as 1) World Bank funded OPM/DRDIP (Development Response to Disaster Impact project) that supports micro irrigation projects within the project area (core zone of Kabuyanda TC, Kabuyanda and Kikagate Sub Counties); 2) Local government investments.
- c) Incidence of diseases (water related and NCDs)

There is recorded evidence that the incidence of water related diseases has been increasing over the years due to high population which has limited access to safe and clean water. Piped water supply for domestic use is limited to urban areas of Kabuyanda Town Council and it's accessed through paying fees averagely UGX 100-300/= per jerrycans. Given the domestic water consumption projections, the irrigation piped network will be regarded as a free domestic water source for domestic chores (drinking, cooking, bathing, washing, etc.). Even though MWE under Southern Umbrella and NWSC has extended piped water in mid-stream and downstream area (Kabuyanda, Kikagate and Ruborogota), the connections are few compared to demand. The exposure to untreated water from reservoir in itself poses health risks such as disease. The incidence (new cases) of typhoid, bilharzia and other disease will increase over the years. It should be noted that the piped network for irrigation is more likely not to have same operation and maintenance (O&M) plan like those used by domestic piped water supply systems. Over time, the weakening O&M for irrigation system is likely to give way to more risks. In addition, the increased use of agro-chemicals will scale-up contamination of water and soil, hence risks of communicable and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and illnesses among humans. The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as a CERTAIN and impact magnitude is LOW. The impact magnitude is MODERATE.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
gni	3		12	9	6	3		
Ma	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
t l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
edu	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u> </u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

- Support timely distribution of portable water through collaboration with undergoing piped water supply projects such as 1) Kagera Water Works / Isingiro Distribution Phase 3 implemented by MWE/NWSC; 2) South Western Umbrella / WSDF / MWE; 3) OPM/DRDIP Domestic Water projects e.g., GFS; 3) Millennium Village project e.g., GFS; 4) District Local Government projects e.g., shallow wells, boreholes, etc.
- Support portable water storage technologies for domestic use (not production) at household and community level. These technologies may include construction of rain harvesting water tanks.
- Support communities with water treatment solutions for drinking water e.g., distribution of water guard (e.g., aqua-safe tablets), clay water filters, energy saving stoves for boiling water, access to firewood sources e.g., Rwoho CFR, among others.

d) Conflict over restriction on use of buffer zone resources (land and access route to firewood sources)

At present there is high rate of encroachment and degradation of swamps and river banks. The demand for agriculture land force people to encroach on river banks and swamps. This practice is wide spread. For instance, there are 108 encroachers who cultivate within buffer zone of 100 meters along rivers and swamps in 3 villages of Kagoto 2, Kagoto 1 and Katooma 1. Along these rivers, there are no pillars to demarcate the buffer zone. As a requirement, the ICRP will be required to construct pillars as guided by the laws. Though communities may understand (after consultations), the demand for cultivable land will continue due to population increase. Over a given period, lapses in environmental law enforcement may induce more encroachment.

Conflict over Firewood: Restricted access to firewood collection inside Rwoho CFR using footpath through dam site (reservoir) may cause community conflicts. For instance, all communities in 2 villages of Kyamazinga 1 & 2 all pass through the dam site area to collect firewood in Rwoho CFR. The footpaths are located within the proposed dam site. During construction and operation phase, access routes will be blocked. The alternative routes to Rwoho forest are long distances which women and children who are major firewood collectors may not be able to walk to and fro. These restrictions of access to resources will cause conflict. The likelihood of this impact occurring is ranked as a POSSIBLE and Impact Magnitude is LOW. The impact magnitude is MINOR.

		Likelihood of Occurrence						
Impact	Significance	Certain	Likely	Possible	Unlikely	Rare		
		5	4	3	2	1		
e	High 4	Major 20	Major 16	Moderate 12	Moderate 8	Minor 4		
tud	Medium	Major 1E	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor		
ü	3		12	9	6	3		
Mag	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Insignificant		
ipact l	2	10	8	6	4	2		
	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor	Insignificant	Insignificant		
<u></u>	1	5	4	3	2	1		

Other Mitigation Measures

- Support the implementation of Catchment Management Plans (CMPs) already in place under the Kagera Catchment Management zone / Victoria WMZ (MWE/ Mbarara Regional Office).
- Collaborate with NFA to ensure community collaborations in accessing fuelwood from Rwoho CFR;
- Construct river bridges across River Mishumba to enable movement to Rwoho CFR. The bridge should allow non-motorized traffic foot, bicycles and motorcycle) and located between dam site and sampling point 8.
- Establish alternative river crossing using wood from Kagara parish to Rwoho CFR especially for communities whose access routes (footpath to Rwoho CFR will be permanently blocked by dam especially Kyamazinga 1, Kyamazinga 2, Kagoto 2 and Kagara).



9 **BIODIVERSITY AND ECOLOGICAL FLOW MANAGEMENT PLAN (BEPM)**

9.1 Overview

The Biodiversity and Ecological-Flow Management Plan (BEMP)" is designed for monitoring and mitigating the effects of the planned dam construction on River Mishumba, and the development and operation of Kabuyanda Irrigation Climate Resilience Project (Kabuyanda ICRP). The plan is based on data and information gathered during the ESIA development, and on primary data gathered during the additional surveys and sampling as a way of updating the ESIA information and filling the gaps in that data. The additional surveys and sampling have revealed that Kabuyanda ICRP construction activities have the potential to generate a wide range of environmental impacts on ecologically valuable receptors including designated sites, sensitive habitats and vulnerable, threatened and endangered species. In all, the project when well implemented has a large potential to enhance ecological conservation.

The BEMP seeks to proactively address such impacts and proposes to use an adaptive management approach (plan-do-check-act-re-plan) to reduce their potential severity, based around the use of 'Biodiversity Specialists, Hydrologists and Physical Scientists' to plan and regularly update the management plans, clear the route prior to any activities including removal of any vegetation.

9.1.1 Purpose of this Biodiversity and Ecological-flow Management Plan

Project construction and operation and maintenance activities can create negative outcomes on the ecological environment within Mishumba catchment that is targeted for development and operation of Kabuyanda ICRP, with some of ecological aspects such as existence of vulnerable and endangered species in the catchment being regarded as highly sensitive together with other highly valued ecological components of the biodiversity. This management plan therefore:

- Outlines actions and measures necessary for the effective management of biodiversity in Mishumba catchment;
- Identifies and covers identified impacts upon biodiversity;
- Details specific control measures to be implemented by Kabuyanda ICRP and its contractors (and subcontractors), to achieve this;
- Incorporates the requirements of the ESIA findings, international standards, Uganda legislation, the Bank's requirements and Project-specific construction permits.

By doing this, the Biodiversity and E-flow Management plan defines the actions and measures necessary for the overall management of biodiversity and environmental flows for both the Project beneficiary (Kabuyanda ICRP attendant communities) and contractors in line with the applicable law and other obligations.

9.1.2 Scope of the Biodiversity and Environment flow Management Plan

This plan covers all construction and operation and maintenance activities of Kabuyanda ICRP, and is applicable to all persons involved with the project, Contractors and Subcontractors. Whilst this plan will act as a 'framework' to determine what the different actors including contractors will be expected to produce, the contractors are required to ensure that all the requirements are adopted within their own management plans. Further information on Roles and Responsibilities is provided in sections within this plan.

Documents will be managed and controlled by the Project Contract Manager within Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE). The methods for document management and improvement during the construction phase will be described in the Document Guide to be developed by MWE Project Management Unit (PMU).

9.2 Project Approach to Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management

Project construction and operational activities have the potential to generate a wide range of environmental impacts on ecologically valuable receptors including sensitive habitats. The plan seeks to proactively address such impacts and proposes to use an adaptive management approach (plan-do-check-act-re-plan) to reduce their potential severity, based around the use of experts' advice on clearing the route prior to implementation of planned activities in terms of reducing the impact of those activities on biodiversity.

9.2.1 Supervision of Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan

It is important to translate mitigation requirements written in the ESIA and the BEFMP as well as Contractors Environment and Social Management Plan (CESMP) or any other plans into practical measures on the ground. It is also important to ensure that all persons involved in the construction and supervision of the project activities are fully aware of the requirements as outlined in the BEMPs. This can be conducted via project launch trainings and practical toolbox talks ahead of the construction phase with specific focus on e-flow requirements to sustain patches of wetland vegetation (including effective control of works near water) and effective avoidance and minimization of impacts during the construction phase of the project.

To coordinate responses to environmental concerns during construction and ensuring that the eflow requirements are met, a number of technical reporting mechanisms will be set up to allow for issues to be raised and resolved in an efficient manner. These will be integrated with the project's Environmental Social Safeguards Supervision. They should form pre-enabling surveys ahead of the construction works including mapping of the sensitive areas. These should be used by the Supervising Engineer and the contractor to update the contractor's Environment and Social Management Plan (CESMP) including timing of works, and amendments to construction method statement as may be required. The reporting on progress of construction works should involve compliance to e-flow requirements in the monthly construction review meetings.

9.2.2 Key responsibilities of the Safeguards Supervising Consultant and the Resident Engineer

- a) Ensuring that the pre-works data collection surveys are completed sufficiently to ensure:
 - Biodiversity in the Mishumba catchment is mapped and areas to be impacted are quantified;
 - Environmental flow requirements are determined for different reaches and respective biodiversity contained therein.
 - Locations of sensitive habitats and occurrence and distribution of value ecosystem components mapped. These habitats might include:
 - ✓ Forests
 - ✓ Riparian areas;
 - ✓ Pools and backwaters (and areas holding water ephemerally);

- ✓ Adjoining swamps in Mishumba catchment;
- ✓ Tributaries of River Mishumba with the project area;
- ✓ Notable vegetated areas e.g., grasslands and areas of scrub;
- ✓ Invasive plant species.
- Key locations for valuable, notable and vulnerable (threatened and endangered) species identified and their protection measures outlined and operationalized.
- b) Action plans for biodiversity conservation activities and e-flow management emanating from all Kabuyanda ICRP planned activities around sensitive sites/habitats, (including sensitive riparian areas) are drawn and published;
- c) Walkthrough (rapid assessment) surveys immediately prior to works commencing in an area are conducted. The purpose of such surveys will be to identify features such as:
 - Animal breeding and nursing grounds;
 - Evidence of breeding activities;
 - Species to receive specific restoration etc.
- d) Tool box talks to contractors to ensure compliance are provided.
- e) The works and moving of any species discovered away from the work sites is technically supervised;
- f) The day-to-day checks such as checking trenches for fauna and ensuring the other components of the CESMP are followed and appropriately monitored;
- g) The findings from the field for reporting back to relevant stakeholders at regular intervals (at every month) are mapped and reported;
- h) Species data in the field to ensure that the receptors selected for Biodiversity Action and e-flow Management Plan (BAP) monitoring are appropriate and updated; and,
- i) Adequate data is captured to inform the monitoring within the BAP.

9.2.3 **Protection of Designated Sites**

During the additional surveys, a list of the identified biodiversity at designated sites should be protected. This includes the baseline data in **Chapter 4** that covers the Fisheries biodiversity in **Section 4.2.2.3 (Table 4**-12), Vegetation and Flora diversity in **Section 4.2.3.1 (Table 4**-13) and Fauna biodiversity in **Section 4.2.4.2** i.e., Butterflies **(Table 4**-15), Dragonflies **(Table 4**-16), Amphibians **(Table 4**-17), Reptiles **(Table 4**-18) and Birds **(Table 4**-19).

9.2.4 Site / habitat specific method statements

Sensitive habitats along River Mishumba (**Table** 9-1) identified in line with the ESIA and during the additional surveys in the catchment may require specific method statements to limit impacts. The method statements to be drawn up for works within areas of concentrated biodiversity or areas with vulnerable species and sensitive habitats should contain the following information as a minimum:

• Location of the designated site/sensitive habitat and the reaches within which the prescriptions of the method statement should be applied;

- Specific habitats within the area, their locations and any specific floral or faunal associations;
- Any details obtained in the pre-works services;
- Any special input required from the Hydrologist and Biodiversity Specialist;
- Explicit details of the proposed mitigations which should be applied in the area;
- Details of any specific construction practices which should be applied in the area;
- Details of any timing restrictions which apply to works in the area; and
- Restoration details for the habitats within the area where the method statement applies.

Table 9-1: Descrip	otion of key habitat	s of focus in Bio	diversity and E-fl	ow Management Plan
Tuble 5 1. Desering	scion of hey habitat		and croney and E m	on management man

Habitats	Section of catchment	Distance from dam (km)	Description of site	
Swamps	Downstream	2	Swamps adjoining streams and river.	
River banks	Dam extent, midstream and downstream	1 to 7	Areas especially where overbank flows take place	
Forest reserves	Midstream and Downstream	2 to 7	Replanted forests with little or no natural vegetation	
Dam site (extent)	Dam extents	within 2	This includes the reservoir, the dam and immediately after the dam	
River Mishumba	Dam extents, midstream and downstream	within 7	This includes the main channel of R. Mishumba	
Small streams	Midstream and downstream	2 to 7	Adjoining streams in the irrigable area contributing to water flow in R. Mishumba	

9.2.5 Working in Habitat Areas

Key habitats are sites where biodiversity was found in the additional surveys including those harbouring vulnerable species. The CESMP and Operational ESMPs will have specific action plans for the sites (Annex 7 and Annex 9:) to match the conservation status and biodiversity richness of these sensitive sites.

9.2.6 Conservation of Notable Species

Table 9-2 below outlines a subset of the notable species that are likely to be encountered in the catchment and will require specific mitigation and a rough identification of where they are expected to be found. This will be further detailed following the detailed mapping to be produced by the biodiversity experts before work commences (Annex 7 and Annex 9). These species have been selected to drive appropriate mitigation and restoration.

 Table 9-2: Notable and protected species that may be encountered in the project areas

Plants	•	None of concern
Amphibians	•	None of the species found is of conservation concern.

Avi fauna	Crowned Eagle, Stephanoaetus coronatus, regionally vulnerable.
	Martial Eagle, Polemaetus bellicosus, near-threatened (Uganda) & it is
	regionally (Africa) vulnerable
	Blue-Headed Sunbird Cyanomitra alinae, Red-Chested Sunbird Cinnyris
	erythrocercus and Cardinal Quelea cardinalis is African region responsibility
	 Semi-Collared Elycatcher, Eicedula semitorguata, near-threatened
	African Crake Crex egregia near-threatened at the African region and
	National Pod list for Uganda
	• African Marsh Harrier Circus ranivorus, near-threatened in Africa
	region.
	African Darter, Anhinga rufa, vulnerable in Africa and also in Uganda
	• Grey Crowned Crane, Balearica regulorum, vulnerable globally, near-
	threatened in Africa, and endangered in Uganda
Fish, bivalves	• Singida tilapia (Oreochromis esculentus) locally known as Ngege, least
and crustaceans	concern
	 Victoria carp (Labeo victorianus) locally known as Ningu, least concern
Invertebrates	None of conservation concern
Mammals	None of conservation concern
Reptiles	None of conservation concern

9.3 Roles and Responsibilities

9.3.1 Overview

An integrated approach to biodiversity management involves a range of stakeholders, including the Project Management Unit (Ministry of Water and Environment, Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries), the Contractors (and subcontractors), local authorities, regulatory agencies and the general public. Such a system therefore requires robust processes regarding information dissemination, training, designation of responsibility, management actions, monitoring, control, and corrective actions. Generic roles and responsibilities for the Company and Contractors are detailed below. An initial RACI matrix (defining who is Responsible, Accountable, Consulted and Informed) and split of activities between key stakeholders is shown in **Table** 9-3 below with further information on specific responsibilities for CESMP actions outlined in Annex 7 to this CESMP. A detailed RACI matrix should be developed by the EPC contractor prior to work on site commencing.

Table 9-3: Initial split of activities

Activities	Beneficiary PMU	Beneficiary's Biodiversity	Contractors (constructor)	Contractor Biodiversity
		Specialist		Specialist
Initial Ecological Surveys	R	A	1	I

ESIA Clearance	R	А	Ι	1
Pre-Construction and Pre-Operational Surveys	R	А	1	1
Development of construction biodiversity e-flow management plan	I	М	R	А
Development of operational biodiversity and e-flow management plan	I	М	R	А
Dissemination of information and	I	М	R	Α
training to workforce				
Management and monitoring of	I	M	R	Δ
day to day ecological impacts				2
Ecological mitigation	I	Λ <i>Λ</i>	R	Δ
Implementation		101		A
Audit of contractor performance	А	R	С	С
Corrective actions	Ι	М	R	Α
Management of cooperation	А	I	Α	1

(R=responsible, A= accountable, I= Informed, C= Consulted M= monitoring role)

The operational cooperation procedures will be set in the statement of works that will be the responsibility of the contracted firm or organization for the construction and also for the operation with approval of the statements by MWE (Beneficiary PMU) and the Contractor.

9.3.2 Beneficiary PMU's Roles and Responsibilities

The PMU's management roles and responsibilities during project construction and project operation are summerised here below:

The project will be implemented through existing Government structures, relying mainly on Government staff. Individual consultants – where necessary - will be recruited where the Ministry has inadequate capacity. The PMU will comprise Government staff and individual consultants recruited for the purpose of ensuring efficient project implementation. The PMU is headed by the Project Coordinator in MWE who will be assisted by the Co-Coordinator in MAAIF. The PMU will work closely with all project staff and relevant stakeholders, and among others perform the following roles:

• take overall lead in coordinating project implementation and supervision activities.

• coordinate and manage preparation of required project studies (TORs, ESIA & RAP) and Engineering Designs, including incorporation of the various ESMP and BEMP requirements in the Bidding Documents and Contracts.

- secure the required statutory approvals at various levels of project implementation.
- undertake procurement of Supervision Consultants and Contractors.
- Prepare and submit periodic reports to PS-MWE, MAAIF, Finance, and World Bank.

• ensure smooth coordination with other Central Government Agencies such as National Forestry Authority, NEMA, and the District Authorities, including site visits and supervision.

At local level, staff will be designated from the relevant implementing departments, chaired by the Chief Administrative Officer, to provide technical oversight on implementation at LG level. The District staff will consist of the District Production Coordinator, District Engineer, District Community Development Officer, District Natural Resources Officer, District Agricultural Officer, District Water Officer, Senior Agricultural Engineer and District Commercial Officer. The District and Sub county Officials will take lead in engagements with the Communities and Local Authorities. They will lead in mobilization of communities to take part of project implementation activities including implementation of Grievance Redress Committees and ensure satisfactory resolution of grievances received during project implementation.

9.3.3 Contractor Roles and Responsibilities

Overarching Contractor HSSE requirements are defined in the relevant articles of their contracts and associated mandatory annexes - Work of statement document. Each contractor must also implement all relevant requirements of the CESMPs, including this Biodiversity CESMP. Contactors are also responsible for ensuring that any subcontracted work also meets these requirements. Contractors will therefore be required to present to the Beneficiary, represented in the project by MWE Contract Manager in accordance with the requirements, their proposed approaches to:

- Prevention and management of ecological impacts on site
- Any other conditions outlined in this CESMP and Operations ESMPs or its appendices.
- In addition, Contractors will present the Beneficiary (MWE) with details of: their proposed team responsible for implementing requirements management and monitoring for biodiversity protection and environmental flows.
- Contracts / agreements' pre staff team responsible for implementing requirements management and monitoring for the protection of biodiversity and their CVs,
- Records any ecological impacts.

Further specific responsibilities of both Supervising Consultants and the contractors/subcontractors are outlined in the annexes to this plan.

Roles	Responsibilities
Works	• Develop their own plans for Biodiversity Management in accordance with the
Contractor	requirements of this Plan and in accordance with criteria established by The
	Contracting Parties of Biodiversity and Environmental flow Management
	Services.
	• Compliance with the legislation and the management procedures for the biodiversity.
	• Application of the biodiversity protection management techniques within the
	works as required by The Contracting Parties of Biodiversity and E-flow
	Services.

Table 9-4: Contractor Roles and Responsibilities

	• Providing information / training of all personnel engaged in the project with					
	particular focus on works in sensitive areas.					
	• Designates by decision the person / persons responsible for implementing the					
	requirements of this Plan (contractor).					
	• Any biodiversity impact will be reported directly to MWE Contract Manager and					
	to the Biodiversity and E-flow Management team.					
	Assures the necessary resources and the means to implement the biodiversit					
	protection measures.					
	• Within the Project, the responsibility for managing the biodiversity aspects lies					
	with the contractor in line with the "polluter pays" principle.					
The	• Ensure that all activities are carried out in accordance with the requirements					
Supervising	of this Plan					
Engineer	• Oversee development of the Biodiversity Management Plan in compliance					
and	with the requirements of this Management Plan and with the Environmental					
Safeguards	Agreement.					
Supervising	• Ensure that construction activities and activities are conducted in accordance					
Consultant	with the requirements of this Biodiversity Management Plan.					
	• Ensures compliance with all environmental requirements required by the					
	agreement, opinions / views issued by the custodians / administrators of					
	protected areas to project.					
	Provides notification and consultation to custodians / administrators of					
	protected natural areas for the conduct of work within the protected natural					
	dreas.					
	Ensure compliance with the measures proposed in the report on the ESIA study made for the project including implementation of biodiversity protection and					
	e-flow management requirements as detailed in this report					
	 Coordinate and supervises all activities related to the implementation of this 					
	nlan					
	Establish actions and apply all necessary measures to protect biodiversity					
	according to the law					
	• Carry out the monitoring of biodiversity and meeting of the e-flow					
	requirements.					
	• Provide communication with contractors' decision makers in applying the					
	requirements of the Plan.					
	• Prepare and submits to the environmental authority and MWE an annual					
	report that will include the results of biodiversity and e-flow monitoring and					
	actions / measures taken to protect biodiversity and ensure set e-flow					
	requirements are met.					
	Reports on all hazards, incidents and non-conformities.					
	• Perform routine inspection on work sites to ensure that all activities are					
	carried out in accordance with this Plan.					

• Monitoring the works and moving any species discovered away from the works
in line with requirements of this plan.
• Undertaking day-to-day checks such as checking for fauna and ensuring the
other components of the ESMPs are followed in line with requirements of
MWE Biodiversity Specialist.

9.4 Management, Mitigation, Monitoring and Verification

9.4.1 Management Actions

A range of management actions (and other mitigation measures) are required to be implemented in respect of biodiversity and e-flow management. The specific management actions and measures required of MWE as contract manager and its contractors are described in the ESMP.

9.4.2 General Monitoring Activities

Monitoring provisions for this Biodiversity and E-flow Management Plan have been developed through the following process:

Table 9-5: Monitoring provisions for this Biodiversity and E-flow Management Plan

Objective	Approach
Risk – based	Monitoring programmes to address material issues based on the use of the
	'source
	pathway-receptor' approach in the ESIA. These are commensurate with:
	the scale and nature of the activity,
	the assessed potential level of impact (and uncertainty thereof), and
	the sensitivity of the local environment within the activity area of influence
Compliance -	Additional monitoring programmes to meet specific regulatory needs
based	

Following this approach, the proposed monitoring plans should meet the requirements of both MWE's to understand and manage the Project's potential impacts for each construction activity/ location and any specific requirements of the Uganda authorities. The specific monitoring requirements for this Biodiversity and E-flow Management Plan are presented herein.

9.4.3 Management System Verification Monitoring

Management System verification monitoring requirements, as detailed in the framework for ESMPs Documents, are divided into three levels as shown **in Table** 9-6 below.

Table 9-6: Management System verification monitoring requirements

Tier	Objective	Responsibility	Description
Tier 1	MWE	MWE & NEMA	These audits are aimed at assessing the MWE HSES
		Management	management system elements and assessing their
		System Audits	continued suitability throughout the project life
			cycle.
Tier 2:	MWE		These audits are undertaken by the MWE team or
		MWE	consulting firm on behalf of MWE to confirm

	ESMPs		compliance by the Kabuyanda ICRP manager and its
	Audits		contractors with the ESMPs.
Tier 3:	Contractor	Contractor	These audits are to be undertaken by contractors to
	self-audits		confirm compliance by themselves and their sub-
			contractors with the ESMPs and their own HSE
			management systems.
			The managing contractors shall ensure that audit
			reports are provided to MWE

9.4.4 Key Performance Indicators

Both the general monitoring and the management system verification processes require robust Key Performance Indicators (KPI) to be developed. These are quantitative or qualitative measurements used to gauge performance over time and can be used to assess the effectiveness of control measures. The initial KPIs considered relevant to this Biodiversity CESMP (and to be developed further and supplemented by the EPC contractor as part of the detailed contractor management plans) for the construction phase are shown in **Table** 9-7 below. Those derived from the Ecosystems Services Survey **Section 4.4** are summarised in **Annex 8**.

Identification	КРІ	Target/Action Threshold	Monitoring Measure	Associated Mitigation Controls	KPI Threshold
KPI-01	Instances of non-	Minimise and	See	All	Target for
	compliance with	continued	verification	measures	no non
	the requirements	improvement	in Annex 7	in Annex 7	compliance
	of this plan.				issues
KPI-02	Instances of non-	Minimise and	See Annex 7	See Annex	Target for
	compliance with	continued		7	no non
	project standards	improvement			compliance
	identified during				issues
	monitoring for				
	specific species				
	and valuable				
	habitats				
KPI-03	Timely reporting	Weekly to	To be	All	Reports of
	of	fortnightly	recorded	measures	quality
	biodiversity and	reporting with	the weekly	in Annex 7	biodiversity
	e-flows	sufficient	compliance		data during
	information to	information	report		construction
	prevent	to inform the			
	unnecessary	construction or			
	mortally	operation team			
		i.e., to change			

Table 9-7: Biodiversity and Environmental flow KPIs

		working area or			
		to move species			
		ahead of the			
		construction or			
		operation			
		activity			
KPI-04	Timely reporting	Fortnightly to	To be	All	Reports of
	of	reporting with	recorded in	measures	quality
	biodiversity	sufficient	BAP and e-	in Annex 7	biodiversity
	information to	information to	flow		data during
	feed	inform the BAP	Management		Construction
	into the BAP		Plan.		or
					Operation.

The specific auditing requirements for the verification of each management and mitigation controls measure described within this plan are identified in ESMPs. This includes identification of the relevant audit tier level (1 to 3) to be undertaken.

9.5 Training

The contractor is required to ensure that all employees receive appropriate training in relation to biodiversity issues, so that the activities do not generate impacts on biodiversity.

MWE should also develop Internal Biodiversity and E-flow Management training protocol to train project staff to support and supervise the contractors.

The Safeguards Supervising Consultant to be hired by MWE will provide more support in E-flow monitoring and management.
The surviving vegetation along River Mishumba in the midstream (irrigable) area)

10 ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW REQUIREMENT (EFR) MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

10.1 Overview

Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme Development Environmental Flow Management Plan (EFMP) sets in place the EFR, a monitoring system, evaluation, and adjustment based on the information generated during the ESIA development and outcome of the additional surveys and sampling in the project area. It covers the pre-construction, construction, dam filling and operation and maintenance phases of the project. It provides guidance for integrating the acquired information into the management of River Mishumba in lieu of the planned development (construction) and operationalization of the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme. The assessment has indicated that the damming of River Mishumba will certainly significantly alter the natural water flows of the river for development and operation of irrigation scheme.

The plan stipulates ways and means of cooperation and communication among the dam operators, MWE as the organization responsible for monitoring, and MAAIF, DLGs and LLGs that will be responsible for coordinating the different aspects of the Kabuyanda ICRP project with the implementers including the contractors and operators of the project. These key actors have to broadly agree on the baseline condition, the expected condition of the river, the e-Flows predicted to maintain the expected condition, and the indicators and targets that will be used to measure whether these are being achieved. Implementation of e-Flows will be accompanied by an e-Flows monitoring program that provides the necessary data to inform each step, and supported by transparent reporting and independent auditing, provisions of which are made in this plan.

Kabuyanda irrigation scheme environmental flow management plan (EFMP) is a set of management actions and guidelines related to all regulation of water flow and mitigating the consequent impacts as they relate to the proposed irrigation scheme and associated infrastructure development and operationalization. The Kabuyanda EFMP focuses on the e-flows aspects. It describes the activities needed to implement, monitor, and review of the e-flows and clearly defines the responsibilities and key performance indicators. Figure 10-1 provides the schematic representation of the EFMP set up and linkages.



Figure 10-1: Environmental Flow Management Plan - Adaptive Management System as envisaged for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme

10.2 Implemeting the e-flow plan

Environmental Management Plan for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme must be based on the set eflow as per set levels (Table 6-26) in this report which sets the water release from the reservoir or in case of adjustment following technical assessment and consultations with the concerned stakeholders from farmers to District Local Government and comprised of representatives of the farmers, the Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) operating in the area, Community-Based Organisations (CBOs) in support of farmers in the scheme, lower Local Government (Parish and Subcounty) and technical representatives from the district. Decisions on the any changes in set water flows should be made by the above multi-stakeholder committee approved by District Local Council endorsed by MWE to which the Irrigation Scheme Management reports to in case of need for changes in the set e-flows. In case of disagreement, the Ministry's level coordination mechanism will take up the issue and resolve it accordingly.

10.3 Specifics of the EFMP

10.3.1 Objectives

The Kabuyanda ICRP project EFMP plan's main objective is to provide guidance for environmental flow releases, adjustments in the flows, regulation of the e-flows, and management of the processes for the e-flow releases so as to ensure that the adjustments in water flow with the damming of River Mishumba does not adversely impact the ecosystem and socioeconomic activities below the dam in Mishumba catchment. The plan also provides a framework for regular monitoring of the impacts of the set and adjusted e-flows, for the maintenance or improvement of the ecological condition of the downstream areas. The specific objectives of the plan are;

- a) to define and set the environmental flow requirements for the key ecosystem services, aquatic and associated terrestrial biodiversity, and for existing socioeconomic activities in different reaches of River Mishumba and the catchment at different times of the year;
- b) propose a management system for setting, implementing, monitoring, research and adjusting the environmental flows to suit the e-flow requirements of downstream ecological components and socioeconomic activities of the Mishumba ecosystem.
- c) to put in place a system for proposing/assessing measures to mitigate, as much as technically and economically feasible, any detrimental impact on key ecosystem services, aquatic biodiversity (such as fish, macro-invertebrate and amphibians' species) and the downstream users as a result of regulated e-flows associated with dam construction and operation of the irrigation infrastructure;
- d) Allocate responsibility (including financial) for implementation of the EFMP/ESMP

10.3.2 The spatial scope

This EFMP plan spatially covers the Mishumba catchment, which is the project area for Kabuyanda ICRP project as detailed in **Chapter 1** - **Section 1.4.**

10.3.3 The dates of initialization, duration and provisions for revision

This Kabuyanda EFMP will enter into force after clearance and approval by the client and initialization and endorsement by both the Client and the Contractors at the different stages of the project, principally at the construction stage and also at the operational phase. This plan is drafted as an adaptive management plan, meaning it is amenable to adjustment with monitoring and research on e-flows and impact on different aspects of the ecosystem. This plan therefore is for duration of the Kabuyanda Irrigation project, implemented in phases.

The plan will be revised on demand/initiation by the Client or suggestion by the contractors and approval by the Client, but as a standard this plan will reviewed comprehensively every after three years, and will be adjusted to suit the changes in e-flow requirements and changing biodiversity and geomorphological conditions of the rivers and streams in project area whenever necessary with the recommendation of the biodiversity specialist and or the Hydrologist and approval of the Client.

10.3.4 Values to be protected / trade-offs

The e-flows as set currently were based mainly on technical evaluation analysis of the ecological and socioeconomic survey as well as the information that was generated during the ESIA development (2019). Focus of the assessment was put on the biodiversity where the assessment uncovered the requisite information and had the required expertise to set the e-flow requirements, and based on ecosystem components or species that would serve as ecological indicators in monitoring the impact of the set e-flows on the general biodiversity available in Mishumba catchment. In this regard, the e-flow values set were based on key fisheries groups in River Mishumba river considered representative of the aquatic biodiversity and key ecological indicators in their response to alterations in e-flows.

Key values to be protected in the EFPM include:

- 1) The physical resource base in the basin including demand and availability of surface and ground water, and water quality
- 2) Sensitive ecosystems and critical portions of the natural environment within a watershed context and assess the effects of water quality on the ecosystems.
- 3) Social issues, vulnerabilities, challenges (including gender issues, vulnerable groups, etc.) related to water resources development and management.
- 4) Swamps and watersheds within the Mishumba catchment
- 5) Inventory of matters related to the physical resource base including the effects of water development on the environment

Some of key trade-offs considered in setting of the current e-flows include:

- 1) Natural distribution and use of the River Mishumba by different organisms and the damming and diversion of water would limit the areas in which such biodiversity occurs.
- 2) Natural timing and cues for ecological and physiological processes of the biodiversity.
- Effects of Water Development on the Environment, Sources and causes of degradation of land and water, Riparian ecosystems, Wetland ecosystems, other ecosystems and Natural Resources, Environmental issues and watershed conditions, their use and associated values
- 4) Decentralised water management potential as an alternative to an expansive dam across River Mishumba.
- 5) Future use and values of water resources,
- 6) Future use and values of environmental resources (ecosystems and natural resources) Protection of the biodiversity.
- 7) Existing socioeconomic activities including the extensive smallholder crop production enterprises, cottage brewery and mining industries, pond fish farming and others.

10.3.4.1 The agreed target ecological and social conditions across each season

The wide stakeholders will need to review and agree to the above trade-offs as well as consider the target ecological and social conditions across the wet and dry seasons that formed the basis for the set e-flow requirements as part of this EFPM. The key set ecological and social conditions set up are as indicated below:

A. Ecological conditions – Wet season

- 1) Ensure continued upstream migration of rheophilic fish for breeding, spawning and nursing.
- 2) Allow for overbank flow and flooding of floodplains, natural poodles and ditches to provide for lateral movement of limnophilic fish for breeding, spawning, nursing and feeding purposes, and habitats respectively.
- 3) Vegetated riparian areas along the river for refugee of the young fish, feeding of invertebrates, buffering of the river against pollutants and siltation.
- 4) Swamps will have to be maintained in the catchment as pockets and preserves of biodiversity including breeding and feeding birds, breeding and feeding fish, reptiles and amphibians, and invertebrates. Swamps are also need to serve as buffers.

B. Ecological conditions – Dry season

- 1) Allow for limited flow downstream for spent females and young of the rheophilic fishes to migrate downstream for feeding and growth.
- 2) Preserve ditches and swamps as they serve as refuges or haven for the limnophilic fish species, amphibians in the dry season
- 3) Limit and control the reclamation of swamps as they serve as refugees for limnophilic species and invertebrates

C. Socioeconomic conditions

- The livelihoods of the attendant communities must be considered if they depend on River Mishumba by offering alternative livelihoods, compensation and or retooling.
- All activities regarding the EFMP must take in consideration the need for building the resilience to the attendant communities especially during periods of prolonged drought.
- 3) The set e-flows in both wet and dry season must take in consideration the interests and challenges faced by different gender.
- 4) In setting and releasing the e-flows, the indigenous knowledge and local innovations and technologies must be taken in consideration.
- 5) Construction and or operation of the dam and associated irrigation infrastructure should not disfranchise communities of their due livelihoods and means of production without alternatives or compensations.

10.3.5 Dam designing to meet the target conditions

The dam's cofferdam is designed to allow the design flood to pass in the diversion structure without overtopping. Its embankment will be realized with excavation material from the diversion gallery, with a crest elevation of RL+1338.50m and a length of about 47 m. U/S coffer dam is designed with the design flow of 4.90 m³/s corresponding to return period of 20 years. The slopes of the coffer dam proposed are 1:2.0 V/H on upstream, 1:2 V/H on downstream. The downstream cofferdam is designed in order not to be overtopped by the tail water level corresponding to the maximum design flow. According to the hydrological study the discharge with a 20-year Return Period is $Q_{20} = 4.9 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. In this case, the intake gallery is overestimated as a diversion work, since it is designed to host the intake pipeline and its appurtenant structures. In terms of the dam body, the design of the Kabuyanda Dam the properties of foundation strata and Seismic coefficient parameters have been adopted as suggested by the Dam Safety Panel. According to the Final Design Report - MWE (2019), these properties have been determined by SP and the Geotechnical reports furnished by them are enclosed as Annex 4.1 to 4.3. In case of barrow area soil properties, MATEST CONSULT LTD investigated additional sources of materials as suggested by MWE. These construction material investigation reports are given under Annex 4.4 of the same report. The properties have been assessed judiciously to adopt for the most economic design of the zoned earth dam section. The spillway structure of Kabuyanda Dam will be located on the left side of the dam, in order to reduce the interference of the concrete structure with the embankment dam. A side channel spillway has been selected, since it is particularly well-suited for embankment dams. The conventional side channel spillway consists of an overflow weir discharging into a

narrow channel in which the direction of the flow is approximately parallel to the weir crest. Two road bridges are proposed on the side channel spillway and at stilling basin to access the earth dam and control building respectively.

In regards to the intake, Intake gallery of 154 m long barrel located on the left side of the natural river at a lower level to divert water from the river bed in the stretch between the temporary upstream and downstream cofferdams. The Intake gallery after the first phase will host the intake pipeline which will feed the irrigation system. The cofferdams were designed in order to allow the maximum discharge which can be evacuated by the intake gallery to pass without overtopping the upstream cofferdam and avoiding damage to the work area. An excavated channel upstream of the intake gallery will convey the water of the natural river into the gallery. Tail channel on downstream of the intake gallery will convey the water from the intake gallery to the natural river

10.3.6 The measures adopted for restoration, or preventing or mitigating impacts

Among the key measures in the EFMP for preventing impacts, mitigating impacts and or restoration following impacts include the following:

- Provision for a passage for upstream migration of rheophilic upstream breeding and spawning fish species.
- Setting and operating rules for e-flows releases and/or water quality targets
- Initiatives to restore and/or offset impacts.
- Maintain vegetated buffers wherever possible along River Mishumba and adjoining streams and tributaries banks
- Preserve, maintain and restore swamps

10.4 Organisation for the implementation of the EFMP

10.4.1 Organization principles

The organizational structure for the Kabuyanda ICRP project environmental flows and biodiversity management plan is given in **Figure 10-2**. The proposed structure was based on the following principles:

- The closer the management to the ecosystem, the greater the responsibility, ownership, accountability, participation and use of local knowledge. For Kabuyanda ICRP the environmental flow assessment and management will be decentralized to the lowest appropriate level (Local Government, if possible).
- 2) Environmental flow managers will have to consider the effects (actual or potential) of the planned e-flow releases and regulation on the adjacent and other close related ecosystems. This includes upstream and downstream impacts and the impacts discussed (Chapter 6 i.e., Section 6.4 and Chapter 8) of other land management practices.
- 3) Conservation of ecosystem structure and functioning, in order to maintain ecosystem services, shall be a priority target of environmental flows, particularly in the face of climate change and the need for resilient and adaptable ecosystems.
- 4) Environmental flows will take into consideration that ecosystems must be managed within the limits of their functioning. Realistic management objectives will be set based on environmental conditions and sustainability objectives.

- 5) Environmental flows will be undertaken at the appropriate spatial and temporal scales for the objectives to account for the needs of connectivity and interactions between hydrology and ecology.
- 6) Environmental flows need to reflect the varying temporal scales and lag-effects that characterize ecosystem processes, with objectives for ecosystem management set for the long term, which may conflict with short-term gains.
- 7) Adaptive management will be used to refine environmental flows to manage aquatic ecosystems within their limits to be resilient and sustainable and to achieve the change in desired outcomes.
- 8) Environmental flow management must recognize that change is inevitable. Ecosystems change, environmental conditions, such as rainfall, temperature and geomorphology change, and social values and objectives change.
- 9) Environmental flows will support the balance between conservation and use of biological diversity and ecosystem services. Rather than having some resources protected and some not protected, the management and use of ecosystems should integrate both desired outcomes.
- 10) All information used must be documented to understand the basis of decisions and support adaptive management as ecosystems or objectives change.
- 11) Setting environmental flows shall involve all relevant sectors of society and scientific disciplines, recognizing the complex nature of hydrology/ecology relationships and the potential interactions and outcomes.

10.4.2 Organizational Structure



Figure 10-2: Organizational structure for Environmental flows and Biodiversity Management Plan.

10.4.3 Role of the different Actors

10.4.3.1 National level

- Monitoring and assessment;
- Planning and regulation advice and facilitation;
- Quality assurance and guidance;
- Capacity development; and

- Financial assistance and funding.
- a) Project Steering Committee comprised of national stakeholders from public and private sector.
 - ✓ Guidance to the Project Management Unit in terms of implementation decisions as to the setting, implementing monitoring and adjusting of e-flows.
 - ✓ Follow up and monitoring of the performance and coordination of the project activities related to e-flows
 - ✓ Consideration and approval of project activity workplans and set budgets for EFMP.
- b) Project Technical Committee/Project Management Unit
 - Technical planning and coordination of implementation activities related to setting of environmental flows, release and regulation of e-flows, and adjustment and monitoring of the impact of e-flows.
 - ✓ Monitoring and Supervision of the project constructors, operators, consultants and service providers as to their roles in EFMP.
 - ✓ Technical support and backup to DLGs and LLGs in supervision of the local contractors and service providers in scheduled activities in the EFMP.
 - Mobilization and coordination of stakeholders in the setting of environmental flow requirements
- c) The NEMA is the apex body for environmental law enforcement in Uganda. NEMA in respect for the EFMP is in charge of:
 - ✓ Review and administrative clearance of environmental evaluations, in conjunction with other lead agencies
 - ✓ Delivery of permits (for instance, permits for activities within the legal buffer zones of waterbodies). The responsibility of delivering permits is vested into the different lead institutions
 - Monitoring compliance whose responsibility of control is distributed over 375 gazetted inspectors (2014) distributed in many Ugandan institutions (including the MWE). Only 30 of them belong to NEMA.

10.4.3.2 Regional level (District Local Governments and Lower Local Governments)

- Monitoring of assessment
- Planning and Regulation Advice and Facilitation
- Quality assurance and guidance
- Capacity development
- Financial assistance and funding
- a) District Local Governments and Lower Local Governments Technical Staff
 - ✓ Coordination, supervision and monitoring of technical EFMP activities at local government level.
 - ✓ Mobilization and guiding of local stakeholders in setting and adjusting of e-flows.
 - ✓ Supervision of contractors to ensure that the e-flows releases follow the set eflow requirements and commitments.

10.4.3.3 Community level

- Coordination of management and development activities
- Implementation of infrastructure project and programmes
- Operations and maintenance
- Community mobilisation and stakeholders' participation ensuring demand- driven implementation
- Communications and awareness raising
- a) Mishumba Catchment Community Based Organization.
 - ✓ Participating in the generation of information and engaging with National and Local Governments Technical Teams in setting of e-flow requirements
 - ✓ Monitoring and following the e-flows releases and reporting on the impacts of such releases to biodiversity and socioeconomic activities

10.4.3.4 Service Providers (Constructors, Consultants, Technicians, Business Support Services Providers and others)

 Implementation, servicing and maintenance of the planned project (infrastructure, supplies of irrigation equipment, farming implements, machinery and inputs; providers of irrigation support services (technical and business), and others at national regional and community levels

10.4.3.5 Civil Society Organizations and Community Based Organizations

- Monitoring and reporting on the impact of damming, impact of damming on community and environment
- Providing of information for setting and monitoring of e-flow releases
- Mobilization and sensitization of communities in setting of and following e-flow releases and regulations.

10.5 Adaptive management

The adaptive management system will be central to utilization of the monitoring results as the EFMP is based on setting-checking-implementing-monitoring-re/planning design with the replanning informed by the outcome of the monitoring, and aimed at adjusting the e-flows so as to meet the e-flow requirements in a way that mitigates the observed impacts and addresses the failings of the previous e-flow settings. The recommended interval and basis for e-flows reviews is 3 years. The decision to adjust or not will be technical and will considered and taken by Technical Committee for the project at National level but with consultation and involvement of key stakeholders starting at farmer community level. The monitoring programme outcome and guidance, disclosures and consultations should be made on any altered/updated plan where necessary.

10.6 A framework for implementing and monitoring the EFMP

The monitoring activity shall be guided by the following steps:

1) Review of EFMP, study the project documents and technical reports, and review existing data.

- 2) Conduct a pilot monitoring study
- 3) Consult with stakeholders as to size of the data required to prove that the set e-flows and release plan and implementation are working.
- 4) Refine the monitoring study design
- 5) Establish the rationale of the study design

10.7 Reporting, record keeping and auditing/quality control arrangements.

Any monitoring of the performance of the EFMP including at that by the community will need review and clearance at the national level by the PMU so as to harmonize processes and agree on the type of data to be collected against the set objectives.

10.7.1 Delegation of institutional responsibility

The project will be implemented through existing Government structures, relying mainly on Government staff. The PMU will comprise Government staff and individual consultants recruited for the purpose of ensuring efficient project implementation. While each Ministry may have staff already engaged in these activities, the individual consultants under the PMU will provide additional support to the extensive additional work that the project will bring along, an additional work-load that may end once the project ends. This set-up strengthens and is aligned with Government structures and ensures sustainability. It also promotes cooperation between two ministries which have to keep working in close alignment when it comes to irrigation development, building on their respective mandates (Source: ICRP Project Appraisal Document, 2020).

The monitoring programme at national, regional and community level will be the remit of the Project PMU, and where in house, the PMU may seek the services of an independent consultant or firm. Monitoring at project site will be responsibility of respective organizations, whose monitoring programme and design will have to be considered and approved by MWE to whom the findings will be reported. The requirement and schedule for monitoring by the constructors and operators will be stated within the contracts and will be as specified above a weekly with captured in set and agreed format following the guidance of the MWE hydrologist and Biodiversity specialist.

Highly modified section of River Mishumba in the upstream (before reservoir area)

11 DAM OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

Dams are complex structures subject to several forces that can cause failure. These forces are active over the entire life of the dam, and the fact that a dam has stood safely for years is not necessarily an indication that it will not fail. Therefore, Kabuyanda Irrigation dam must not be thought of as part of the natural landscape, but as man-made structure, which must be designed, inspected, operated, and maintained accordingly. A dam failure can be a disastrous event with catastrophic consequences to the downstream area and the surrounding environment. Inundation from dam failures have the potential for immense damage to property, the economy, the environment, and possibly fatalities as thousands of lives may be lost. There are many causes of dam failures and incidents, each depending on the dam's material, design, surrounding environment, construction methods, etc. In addition, there are enabling causes that are deficiencies in the dam structure and triggering causes that are outside factors which can adversely affect the safety of a dam.

According to the World Bank good practice note on safety of dams¹⁴, dam safety plans are required for certain dams. Therefore, the Operation and Maintenance Plan (O&MP) is a requirement that outlines the general operation and maintenance (O&M) requirements of the dam and associated facilities to allow the users to define such requirements in the context of their projects. The following four dam safety reports were prepared, as required by OP4.37: Plan for Construction Supervision and Quality Assurance; Instrumentation Plan; Operation and Maintenance Plan; and Emergency Preparedness Plan. The above plans were reviewed by the Bank's Dam Safety Specialist and found satisfactory and along with the design progress, the Instrumentation Plan will be updated before bidding; the OM plan will be updated 6 months before reservoir impoundment starts; the Emergency Preparedness Plan will be updated 12 months before the reservoir impoundment starts.

11.1 Main Characteristics of Dam and Appurtenant Structures

Kabuyanda dam under the Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme will impound water to develop 3,300 ha of irrigated agriculture extending southwards from the dam along the R. Mishumba banks. It will be a 33 m high zoned earth-fill dam, located at E233602 and N9899313 at the border of Katooma I (Rwakakwenda Parish), Kyamazinga I & II (Kagara Parish) and Rwoho CFR (Rwoho Parish) villages. The reservoir will operate at minimum and maximum storage capacity of between 1.5Mm³ and 8.8Mm³ respectively, beyond which the excess will be discharged through a spillway with a design discharge of 57m³/s (10,000-year return period). It will drain a catchment area of about 90km² with its minimum drawdown level of +1347.0m and full reservoir level of +1359.00m across the 314m crest

It will have conventional side channel spillway with an overflow weir discharging into a narrow channel in which the direction of the flow is approximately parallel to the weir crest. The spillway system will comprise the following hydraulic elements:

¹⁴ https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/35484/Appendix-3-Operation-and-Maintenance-Plan-Sample-Framework.pdf?sequence=6&isAllowed=y

- a. an ogee crest with sill elevation at RL +1359.00m;
- b. a side channel about 78.50m long, characterized by a rectangular section 8.5m wide and by a variable flow in the first stretch in correspondence of the spillway crest;
- c. a side channel about 30.00 m long, characterized by a variable rectangular section from 8.5m to 7.215m width;
- d. a steep chute about 40m long characterized by a rectangular section of progressively narrowing width (from 7.215m to 5m); and
- e. a chute about 110m long and 5m wide with stilling basin for energy dissipation is located at the end of the chute.

The spillway crest is designed to discharge;

- a. the peak of the routed flood for 10,000 years' return period, Q10000 = 58.20m³/s, considering the routing effects of the flood into the reservoir; and
- b. the routed Probable Maximum exceptional Flood QPMF, = 270m³/sec

While discharging the 10,000 years return flood the reservoir level will rise up to 0.8m over the Max Operating Level (FRL/FTL) up to an elevation of RL+1359.80m, providing a moderate routing of the peak discharge while maintaining an adequate freeboard even considering the waves due to the reservoir exposure to storm winds. While discharging the PMF, the reservoir level will reach the elevation RL+1361.25 m. This is commonly accepted in the engineering practice, due to the exceptionality of the event. The height of the spillway chute is H = 19.60m (H = 1351.60 – 1332.0), while the slope is 1 in 7.65. The spillway structure will end with a stilling basin to dissipate the water energy by means of a hydraulic jump and reduce scouring of the river bed. The stilling basin has been designed for routed flood with 10,000 years return period, Q10000 = 58.20 m³/s. Tail channel of about 100m long protected with stone pitching, will convey the spilled flow from the stilling basin to the natural riverbed.

11.2 Potential Hazards of Kabuyanda Dam and Surrounding Areas

A dam hazard is source of potential harm or a situation with the potential to cause loss whose threat or condition may result from either an external cause (for example, earthquake, floods, or human activity) or an internal vulnerability with the potential to initiate a failure mode. In dam safety, a hazard is often seen as a measure of the consequences of dam failure in the downstream area of the dam in the event of dam failure or mis-operation, resulting in an uncontrolled release of flood waters. Dam failure is an uncontrolled release of water, sediment, or other stored contents of a reservoir through partial or complete collapse of the impounding dam, or the inability of a dam to fulfil the intended design purposes¹⁵

Kabuyanda Irrigation dam is located on a raised elevation (surrounded by hills) compared to the irrigable (downstream environment) where water will be utilised. This subjects the proposed dam to a hazard or threats that may cause dam failure. These can be loads and threats (flooding from high precipitation, landslides), dam failure or uncontrolled release of water, earthquakes and internal threats. Therefore, failure may occur due to both enabling and triggering causes which

¹⁵ World Bank - Good Practice Note on Dam Safety (2020)

are both nature and man-made hence consequences/impacts in the downstream areas (i.e., nature and occupation of the valley downstream, or of valley use upstream).

Potential causes of dam failure

These include:

- a. **Overtopping**: this may occur when the water level surpasses the height of the dam crest, causing it to spill over the dam to the surrounding settings;
- b. *Seepage and piping*: This cause erosion and saturation in the embankment or foundation material and causes it to lose strength thereby allowing water to seep through;
- c. *Instability issues:* Instability of a dam can be a serious problem and can cause sliding and movement of the embankment or foundation thereby weakening infrastructure stability and integrity;
- d. *Lack of maintenance:* Dams also fail due to maintenance concerns and inadequate slope protection, surface run-off erosion, inappropriate vegetative growth and animal burrowing;
- e. *Foundation or abutment weakness*: Any weakness or deficiency in the foundation or abutments can be detrimental to a concrete dam;
- f. *Poor concrete strength or deterioration:* inadequate or degraded concrete can lead to the inability of the dam to sustain large loads and result in failure; and
- g. *Cracking*: caused by movements e.g., the natural settling of a dam hence resulting into failure.

Triggering causes of dam failure

These include:

- a. **Extreme weather**: Extreme weather conditions such as sudden weather changes can compromise dam structural integrity occasioned by raise in reservoir water to problematic levels and thereby increasing seepage and piping;
- b. **Deterioration or poor condition**: can be through aging of a dam or a lack of proper maintenance regime. Deterioration of a dam's material can cause cracking, instability, and creating "paths" for internal erosion though which, water can break through;
- c. **Equipment malfunction or human error**: Malfunction of dam facility alongside events arising from human error for instance, improper management of operational functions such as opening and closing of hydraulic gates, lack of adherence to both O&M as well as Emergency Action Plan (EAP) schedules and other similar actions can result in unpredictable forces that can cause failure to a dam facility all by itself; and
- d. **Animal activity or unchecked vegetation growth**: when animals burrow through dam embankments or vegetation within the embankment grows to unsafe levels and impacts of its roots, all these can occasion seepage and piping on the embankment leading to eventual dam failure.



Figure 11-1: Conceptual Diagram of Threats/Loads, Dam Response/Performance, and Consequences¹⁶

11.2.1 Risk Classification of Kabuyanda Dam

According to the World Bank - Good Practice Note on Dam Safety (2020), the main criteria for classifying dams is based on either geometrical parameter (typically dam height and reservoir capacity, sometimes including dam type), incremental consequences or hazard potential that would occur as a result of a dam failure, or a combination of these.

Risk matrices are derived from four categories i.e. red (high) for dams with greatest risk (higher dam safety requirements); orange (substantial risk); light green (moderate risk); and green (low risk) for dams with lowest risk (lower dam safety requirements) as in Table 11-1. These can conceptualize the risk classification using two elements:

- a. structural dimensions, such as the dam height and reservoir capacity, and
- b. downstream consequences (the number of people affected and other consequences) in case of dam failure.

In addition, unusually high loads and threats, such as intensive floods, high seismicity, and structural vulnerabilities of dams as a result of a structure's type (such as embankment dam in comparison with concrete gravity dam), geological conditions amongst others should also be considered given their potential uncertainties.

Table 11-1: Tv	vpical risk	classification	of Kabuy	/anda Iri	rigation Dam
	ypical lisk	classification	or Rubus	anda m	isation Dam

	Magnitude of downstream consequences							
Potential hydraulic		Low	Moderate	Substantial	High			
force of failure and	High							
vulnerability (Dam's								
features and	Substantial							

¹⁶ World Bank - Good Practice Note on Dam Safety (2020)

potential	Moderate		
vulnerability)	Low		

The International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD) Bulletin 72 provides a simple concept of risk classification using four (4) parameters i.e., (a) dam height (b) reservoir capacity (c) number of people potentially affected, and (d) other potential consequence Table 11-2

Dam's dimensional	Reservoir capacity (million m³)	<0.1	0.1–1	1 - 120	>120
features	Points	0	2	4	6
	Dam height (m)	<15	15–30	30 - 45	>45
	Points	0	2	4	6
Downstream	Evacuation requirements	None	1–100	100 - 1,000	>1,000
consequence in	(number of people)				
case of dam	Points	0	4	8	12
failure	Potential damage	None	Low	Moderate	High
	downstream				
	Points	0	4	8	12
Total risk points	(summation of the 4 factors'	<6	7–18	19 - 30	31–36
points)					
Class		I	11	III	IV
		(low)	(moderate)	(substantial)	(high)

Table 11-2: ICOLD Dams Classification System¹⁷

Therefore, Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam and surrounding areas is rated to have substantial hazard.

11.3 Management Structure

Management of safety of dams is an integral part of the overall sustainable management of dams (ICOLD-Bulletin "Dam Safety Management". ICOLD Preprint, B154). In this project, the management of the dam, its dam safety assurance, as well as the reservoir is the responsibility of the Directorate of Water Resources Management (DWRM) under the Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE) as provided in the Ministry's Dam Safety Guidelines of 2014¹⁸. The Directorate has a unit responsible of all dam safety issues in Uganda. Therefore, like any other infrastructure water resource management project, the Dam Safety Unit (DSU) is comprised of a committee of administrative and technical expertise to execute the processes as defined under dam safety regulations. In compliance with the triggered OP 4.37, a Dam Safety Panel of experts has been constituted to provide the necessary oversight. Therefore, it puts regulations for the processes to enable effective operation of all dimensions of the organisation both under normal and abnormal conditions including emergencies. It is also responsible for making all organizational

¹⁷World Bank - Good Practice Note on Dam Safety (2020)

¹⁸ MoWE/DWRM Dam Safety Guidelines August 2014-Republic of Uganda, Kampala

and management arrangements to perform activities within the constraints of government regulation.

In this regard, the role of the DSU under the Directorate is crucial in ensuring proper dam management and regulation. In addition, the DSU acts as a link of communication/information source among the actors including the public or stakeholders impacted by the dam.

The dam operations and maintenance team will have the following roles;

- a. Data collection and processing for the dam;
- b. Assessment of dam construction and management;
- c. Dam inspection;
- d. Providing technical advice on dam construction;
- e. Dissemination and guidance on dam safety;
- f. Drafting regulations, guidelines, technical instructions on dam safety;
- g. Monitoring the implementation of dam construction safety aspects;
- h. Inventories and registration of dam as well as its hazard classification;
- i. Dam archive management.

11.3.1 Overall Management Structure

The Dam Safety Unit (DSU) under MWE/DWRM will be formed of the following staff.



Figure 11-2: Overall organizational structure of the dam operation and maintenance team

11.3.2 Key Staff Qualification and Training							
Key Technical	N°. of Staff	Level of qualifications and training	Roles and Responsibilities	Reporting to:			
General Dam Safety Manager	01	Advanced degree in Geotechnical Engineering, Geology or Dam Engineering, Hydropower Engineering, Civil and Building Engineering or Water Engineering with Management Skills	Overall Management of the Staff Reporting to the MoWE				
Environment, Health and Safety Officer	01	Degree in Environmental Engineering, Environmental Sciences or Occupational Health and Safety	Heading all Occupational Health and Safety Services including	General Dam Safety Manager			
Dam or Geotechnical Engineer	01	Advanced degree in Geotechnical Engineering, Geology or Dam Engineering or related field with knowledge in Hydropower projects	Geotechnical Services	General Dam Safety Manager			
Instrumentation Engineer	01	Diploma in Instrumentation Engineering (Minimum) with good knowledge in flow, concrete related equipment	Overall supervision of Surveillance Instruments	General Dam Safety Manager			
Electro- Mechanical Engineer	01	Degree in Mechanical or Electrical Engineering with knowledge in Hydropower Engineering	All electrical and mechanical works	General Dam Safety Manager			
Civil Engineer	01	Degree in Civil and Building Engineering with knowledge in Water Engineering	All civil works (concrete)	General Dam Safety Manager			

Agricultural Engineer	01	Advanced degree in Agricultural or Irrigation Engineering, Water Engineering or Related	Agriculture or irrigation services	General Dam Safety Manager
Water Resources or Hydrology and Hydraulic Engineer	01	Advanced degree in Hydrology, Hydropower Engineering, Water Engineering or Related	Hydrological and hydraulic aspects	General Dam Safety Manager
GIS and CAD Officer	01	Degree in Geographic Information Systems, Geomatics, Land Surveying or related filed with knowledge in CAD software and IT	GIS and CAD Services including IT Update of all project components in shapefiles	General Dam Safety Manager
Social, Gender & Environment Safeguards	01	Advanced degree in Sociology or Social Sciences	Social	General Dam Safety Manager

11.4 Maintenance Program

11.4.1 Maintenance Program Overview

Kabuyanda dam will impound a huge volume of water, that if it fails to hold it, the water will be release into the surrounding environment (especially downstream) thereby impacting on physical and human environment. Therefore, the dam should undergo routine and scheduled inspections, so that its maintenance team is able to timely discover and fix faults/risks early enough. Routine inspections on the dam are important, because they provide an input to preventive maintenance which keeps the dam and its appurtenant structures operating normally in line with its design and established standard and acceptable practices. The maintenance program is to indicate the components of Kabuyanda dam and its appurtenant structures that will require scheduled maintenance activities and a record of what is to be done, when and by who. This can be general and specific maintenance requirements for each operational component and all monitoring instruments and specific activities.

As such, dam maintenance program defines all tasks to be undertaken as scheduled to ensure;

- a. reliable and safe operation;
- b. regular inspection;
- c. early detection of deterioration; and
- d. repair or rehabilitation to be carried out in a timely manner to ensure all structures and facilities are kept in good condition.

The following maintenance program is proposed to be undertaken in the Kabuyanda dam;

- a. vegetative maintenance involving mowing and overgrown bush removal;
- b. debris removal that would impact on the flow near gates, spillways, channels;
- c. animal control to guard against mainly in seepage pathways;
- d. earth embarkments focusing on addressing any eroded paths, ruts, sloughs that could occur in the embankment;
- e. Security or vandalism or public access to ensure safety of the investment;
- f. Safety items installed to support and enhance safety of the dam and the public;
- g. Slope protection to ensure the inclination is kept stable as design;
- h. Concrete repairs to ensure its integrity;
- i. Cleaning of drains to ensure efficient discharges flow throughs;
- j. Refreshing staff gauges;
- k. Instruments in terms of efficient use as prescribed; and
- I. Exercise gates.

11.4.2 Civil Works and Electrical Mechanical Equipment Maintenance Program

Concrete is an inexpensive, durable, strong and basic building material often used in dams for core walls, spillways, stilling basins, control towers, and slope protection. However, poor workmanship, construction procedures, and construction materials may cause imperfections that later require repair. Long-term deterioration or damage caused by flowing water or other natural forces must be corrected.

Mechanical equipment includes spillway gates, sluice gates or valves, stoplogs, sump pumps, flashboards, relief wells, emergency power sources, siphons and other equipment associated with spillways, drain structures, and water supply structures.

Component	Maintenance activity					
Inlet structure	Promptly repair or replace damaged lining and					
	components.					
	Remove sediment that has accumulated to maintain capacity of the channel.					
	igstarrow Vegetation shall be maintained and trees and brush					
	controlled by chemical or mechanical means. Control					
	noxious weeds.					
	Keep machinery away from steep side slopes. Keep					
	equipment operators					
	 informed of all potential hazards 					
Inlet control	 Promptly repair or replace damaged components. 					
dovico	 Exercise gate valve regularly. 					
device	Lubricate in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations					
	Penair deteriorated concrete as soon as nessible					
Quitlat						
Outlet	◆ Exercise regularly.					
	Lubricate in accordance with manufacturer's					
	recommendations.					
	 Promptly repair or replace damaged components. 					

Table 1	1-3: General	l maintenance	plan

Even have been to		Fill wills and sulling that a source the such as hereins
Embankment	•••	Fill rills and guilles that occur on the embankment slopes
	•	and in the vegetated spillway and reseed the filled areas.
	**	When animal burrows are found, remove the burrowing
		animals, replace
	*	embankment materials and reseed.
	**	Maintain a vigorous sod in the emergency spillway and on
		embankment by regular mowing and fertilization.
		Remove excess growth. Do not burn or overgraze.
	*	Prevent trees and brush from growing on embankment
		slopes, crest, or toe.
	*	Control tree and bush growth by hand cutting, mowing,
		or chemicals. Avoid damaging grass with herbicide
		sprays.
	*	Maintain a fencing to keep livestock of embankment,
		where applicable.
	*	Operate mowing and other equipment on slopes in
		accordance with machinery operation manual.
Spillway	*	Fill rills and gullies that occur in the vegetated spillway
		and reseed the
	*	filled areas.
	*	Maintain a vigorous sod in the spillway by regular mowing
		and fertilization. Remove excess growth. Do not burn or
		overgraze.
	*	Do not graze livestock during establishment of vegetation
		and when soil conditions are wet.
	*	Protect spillway from damage by farm equipment and
		vehicles. Do not
	*	use spillway as a road and practice care when crossing to
		prevent tillage
	*	marks or wheel tracks.
	*	Prevent trees and brush from growing in the spillway.
		Control tree and bush growth by hand cutting, mowing,
		or chemicals.
	*	Avoid damaging grass with herbicide sprays.
	*	Re-establish vegetative cover immediately where scour
		erosion has
	*	removed established seeding.
	*	Where there is rock lining, replace any dislodged rock and
		fill back to
	*	grade if displacement or settlement occurs.
Staff gauges	*	Promptly repair or replace damaged components.
Survey Monuments	*	Promptly repair or replace damaged components.

Category	of	Condition(s)	Frequency
Maintenance			

Critical Maintenance	*	Erosion, slope failure or other	*	Anytime there is
(require immediate		conditions which are endangering		need to address the
repair or maintenance		the integrity of the dam;		specific conditions
under the direction of a	*	Piping or internal erosion as		
gualified engineer)		evidenced by increasingly cloudy		
	•	seepage or other symptoms;		
	•••	Splilway blockage or restriction; and		
	**	Excessive or rapidly increasing		
		seepage appearing anywhere near		
Periodic Maintenance	*	Remove bushes and trees from the	*	at least annually
(whenever they are		embankment and abutments;		,
noted during Operation	*	Repair erosion gullies;		
	*	Repair defective gates or valves;		
inspections or Periodic	*	Repair deteriorated concrete or		
Inspections)		metal components; and		
	*	Maintain riprap or other erosion		
		protection.		
Continuous	*	Test, clean and lubricate gates and	*	Semi-annually
Maintenance		valves;	*	Anytime there is
	*	Inspect and maintain		need to address the
		instrumentation and gauging		specific conditions
		equipment;		
	*	Remove debris from dam area and		
		emergency spillway approach and		
	.•.	exit channel;		
	•••	Remove debris from embankment		
		inteke structures; and		
		Close and romove debris from		
	••	seenage weirs and small drainage		
		ditches.		
Embarkment	*	Fill erosion gullies with properly		
Maintenance		compacted cohesive soil material;		
		Seed or riprap repaired area to		
		stabilize from future erosion;		
	*	Fill rodent burrows with slurry of		
		soil, cement and water. Remove the		
	•	rodents;		
	•••	Maintain grass cover by spraying		
		weeds, tertilizing and watering as needed;		
	*	Remove brush, bushes and trees		
		from embankment and from within		
		7.62m of the groins and 15.24m of		
		the toe of embankment; Remove		
		tree roots, fill with compacted soil		
		and re-seed area;		

*	Add or repair riprap where displacement or other damage	
	occurs.	
*	Maintain grading of the	
	embankment crests to prevent	
	potholes, rutting or other potential	
	for standing water to accumulate.	
*	Maintain fences to provide site	
	security and to exclude livestock	
	from the embankments.	
*	Repair and revegetate damaged	
	embankment surfaces.	
*	Perform regular inspections of the	
	embankments and abutments to	
	identify potential maintenance	
	items.	
*	Test gates and valves	 Semi-annually
*	Repair defective gates and valves to	*
	ensure smooth operation and	
	prevent leakage.	
*	Repair deteriorated concrete or	
	metalwork.	
*	Repair and verify calibration of	
	water measurement equipment.	
*	Lubricate gates and valves	🛠 annually or as
		recommended by
		the manufacturer.
*	Remove debris from the outlet	 annually
*	Remove debris from the outlet channels, inspect and repair erosion	✤ annually

11.5 Surveillance Program

Surveillance of Kabuyanda dam will help to avoid/reduce chances of dam failure by giving early warning of any potential issues that may impact on its performance for the irrigation purposes. Dam surveillance helps to:

- a. compile an accurate history of observations relevant to the assessment of dam safety;
- b. allow the safety performance of the dam to be regularly assessed and reported; and
- c. facilitate the early detection and reporting of potential deficiencies or adverse trends.

Therefore, the surveillance program for Kabuyanda dam will consider instrumentation for the dam and its environment. This will help to measure, collect, and analyze data of key physical parameters of a dam and associated structures in order to assess their performance and behavior, detect potential defects and deficiencies at an early stage, and verify that those structures perform according to the design.

11.5.1 Surveillance Types and Schedule

Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam embankments can easily be monitored by using the following devices. devices mentioned in Table 11-5 below. The surveillance program provides visual details inspections and instrumentation monitoring. The plan provides elements for the surveillance program of the dam and reservoir. Table 11-5 show the proposed typical surveillance instruments for Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam.

Key indicator	Instrumentation type	Parameter to measure	Location of	
			instrumentation	
Pore pressure	 Open standpipes 	◇	Dam body	
	Twin tube hydraulic		Dam foundation	
	Piezometers		 Abutment 	
	Vibrating wire			
	piezometers			
Leakage or	✤ Weirs (v-notch) or	✤ Measure flow rates of	 Dam downstream 	
seepage	flumes	seepage	 Abutment 	
losses	 Turbidity meter 			
Deformation	Deformation survey	 Measure cracks, scarps, 	 Dam crest 	
	 Surface movements 	erosion gullies,	 Abutments 	
	survey	settlement, trees, wet		
	 Settlement gauges 	areas, and slab or wall		
	Yardstick or Folding	movement		
	Rule			
	 Inclinometers 			
Vandalism	 Camera (CCTV) 	✤ Dated and labelled	 Dam crest 	
		provide an excellent	 Fence 	
		record of existing	✤ Gates	
		conditions, and if taken		
		periodically from the		
		same location, which can		
		be used for comparison		
Ground-water	 Observation wells 	Determine the ground-	Embankment or	
level		water level.	foundation	
Reservoir	✤ Automatic water	✤ To the rise and fall in	 Dam crest 	
water levels	level gauge	water levels in the dam;	 Upstream inlet 	
	 Rainfall gauges 	and	 At the dam 	
		 Precipitation. 		

Table 11-5: Proposed instrumentation for Kabuyanda Irrigation Dam

11.5.2 Periodic Dam Safety Inspection

The Kabuyanda irrigation dam surveillance program is to put in place, the frequency and regular inspections of the dam and its associated structures and instrumentation monitoring, data analyses, evaluation, and storage. However, the frequency of inspections and monitoring data analyses will vary depending on their specific tasks, complexity of the system, and the potential risks of the dam.

The Kabuyanda dam having been ranked as a substantial potential hazard, it will retain a huge volume of water, that if it fails, it will release the water into the surrounding environment with

attendant impacts on the environment and host community. The dam is therefore to undergo routine inspections to enable the maintenance team establish faults before the dam fails. The routine inspections are important as they help in timely identification of deficiencies, affected sections/parts, causes and indicators which sets in a schedule for preventive maintenance to allow the dam operate normally.

It is crucial that the dam be inspected regularly for any instances or signs of seepage, cracks, instability and maintenance concerns occasioned vegetation, rodent activities etc.). This will help to take proper maintenance measures when needed. Therefore, emphasis will have to be on the following areas as illustrated in Figure 11-3 and explained in Table 11-6. Details of some of the checklists to be used are in **Annex 12**.



Figure 11-3: Schematic illustration of typical deficiencies in dam captured in inspection

Areas of Inspection	Affected Sections or	Causes	Indicators	
(deficiency)	Structures			
Seepage	 Embankment 	 internal pressures 	 Exterior wet area 	
	 Foundation 	on structure	or like a flowing	
	 Conduits 	 cracks 	spring	
	 Abutments 		 Sand boils 	
			 Noticeable 	
			changes in	
			vegetation	
			Changes in	
			drainage flow	
Cracking	 Crest or slopes of 	 Poor Materials used 	 leakages 	
(longitudinal and	the dam	internal pressures	 observation 	
transverse)	 Foundation 	on structure	flowing spring	
	 Conduits 			
Instability	 Embankment 	✤ seepage or surface	 Change of position 	
	 Foundation 	runoff		

		 settlement of the dam foundation varying forms of embankment erosion 	or the
Maintenance concerns	 Dam crest Embankment 	 poor slope slope grotection surface runoff gullies erosion vegetative growth animal burrows 	f osion vs

Therefore, the DSU shall depend on the purpose of the inspection and carry out the following types of dam safety inspections as in Table 11-7

Inspection/Monitoring Type	Frequency	Items to Inspect, Monitor, Record	Personnel
Informal unscheduled (e.g., storm event, earthquake)	As needed	Spillway and Embankment: water levels, unusual conditions	DWRM
Informal	Quarterly	Spillway and Embankment: water levels, spillway debris, seepage, slides, rodent activity, vegetation, vandalism	DWRM
Maintenance	Annually	In addition to above items: slope protection condition, maintenance of operating and safety equipment	DWRM
Technical	5 Years	Safety Inspection	Engineer

Table 11-7: Type of inspection or monitoring and frequency for Kabuyanda Dam

11.5.3 Instrumentation and Monitoring

Various monitoring devices such as weirs, piezometers, and settlement monuments will be used to monitor dam embankment structures. They are used to determine if the structure is performing as designed, to detect signs of serious problems, or to provide further information after a problem has been detected. Due to expense, the use of extensive instrumentation to ensure safety is usually limited to large dams where failure would result in loss of life and significant damage. A full-scale monitoring and instrumentation program requires professional design and will not be discussed here.

Table 11-8 shows the instruments for dam monitoring while Table 11-9 shows the monitoring frequency for Kabuyanda irrigation dam.

S. No.	Description of item of work	Purpose
1	Standpipe Piezometer model EPP- 10SP or Water level sounder model EPP-10/6	Monitoring ground water level and its variation with time
2	VW type piezometer model EPP- 30V	Monitoring pore water pressure in soil, earth/rockfills, foundations and concrete structures
3	V-notch Weir	Monitoring water flowrates, by measuring the head of water over the v-notch crest
4	Targets model EBS-16	Measuring vertical settlement of any dam structure due to nearby excavation and construction activities or movements.
5	Vertical movement devices (Magnetic settlement devices) model EDS-91	Monitoring vertical settlement or heave of soft ground (soil and rock movement in embankments and dams)
6	Automatic weather station model EAWS-101	Allows remote monitoring of meteorological data
7	Control Buildings	

Table 11-8: Instrumentation for Kabuyanda Dam

Table 11-9: Proposed reading frequency of monitoring instruments

Monitoring activity	Risk classification			
	Low	Medium	High	
Routine surveillance	Monthly	Weekly	Daily – weekly	
Reservoir level	At routine inspection	Continuous	Continuous or	
			telemetered	
Seepage/leakage	At routine inspection	Monthly – daily	Daily	
Phreatic surface/uplift				
pressure				
Deformation survey	Minimum 10-yearly	5-yearly	Yearly	
Rainfall	Typically, not required at	Daily	Daily – hourly	
	site			
Seismic motion	Typically, not required at	As required	As required	
	site			
Turbidity of seepage	As required	Six months –	Monthly	
		yearly		

11.5.4 Reporting and Archiving Procedure

The MoWE specifically its DSU will be accountable of most important information on every structure under the responsibility of DWRM. This includes compliance with the proposed plans and following records/inventory of reservoir operations which should be maintained:

- a. Rainfall record on daily basis throughout the year;
- b. Reservoir levels on daily basis during dry season and hourly basis during wet season;
- c. Depth of outflow over the spillway on hourly basis during wet season;
- d. Estimated spillway outflows during wet season on hourly basis;
- e. Irrigation releases;
- f. Water audit register to be maintained for estimating the inflows on hourly basis during wet season and daily basis during dry season by accounting all the releases/outflows and the incremental change in storage in the reservoir;
- g. All operating procedures;
- h. Environmental Flow Management Plan; and
- i. Biodiversity and Environmental Management Plan; among others.

Use of Records: The records will be part of the monitoring system for the reservoir, and findings will be shared both internally and externally, where appropriate to enable stakeholder engagements. The records are part of the instrumentation plan. However, the DSU will further take up the responsibility of updating the database about the dams.

A culvert (road) washed away by the flash flood downstream of the dam

12 MONITORING PROGRAM

The development of this monitoring program was based on Mishumba river monitoring system. This was through review and assessment of the monitoring system and procedures for the Mishumba River, especially downstream the proposed dam, conduct a gap analysis of the need for improvements to the monitoring system and management and propose the team needed for effective monitoring plan, sites for installing flow monitoring stages, field equipment needed and local capacity needed for implementing this monitoring plan, among others.

12.1 Gap Analysis

A rapid assessment of the monitoring system was done and it established key gaps existing (and anticipated) in the environmental monitoring of the environmental flow, bio-diversity and ecosystem services across the River Mishumba. Among the key gaps identified included:

- A. Need for Readiness Assessment before and/or during implementation: The readiness assessment is justifiable because there is substantial preparatory work required to be done before the actual construction of a results-based M&E system that encompasses river flow monitoring can be completed. The readiness assessment will provide:
- ii) Review of information needs of stakeholders (esp. MDAs and DLGs) that are present as well as anticipated in relation to River Mishumba catchment. It should be noted that all monitoring processes provide answers to information needs. The nature of information and data is not clearly defined at present, in particular it's indicators, indicator definitions, data structures, data flow charts, data models, river flow measurement monitoring systems, information flow requirements, etc.;
- Agree on the appropriate type and model of the monitoring system that integrates key functions such as process monitoring, compliance monitoring, implementation monitoring against result monitoring, context monitoring, financial monitoring, organizational and grievance monitoring;
- iv) Assess the community and organizational roles, responsibilities, capabilities and incentives;
 - Who will own the system?
 - Who will benefit from the system?
 - How much information do they really want?
 - How will the system directly support better resource allocation and the achievement of program goals?
 - How will the organization, the champions, and the staff react to negative information generated by the monitoring system?
 - How will the monitoring plan/system link with project, program, sector, and national goals?
- **B.** Need to harmonize on desired outcomes of monitoring plan vis-a-vis scenarios and targets of stakeholders (ICRP, MDAs, DLGs & community). The existing documentations (e.g., design report and appraisal document) do not clearly define the overall M&E plan for river flow, biodiversity and ecosystem services. This is critical because establishing outcomes will illustrate what success looks like. In addition, it's quite insufficient to

directly set indicators before harmonizing the overall outcomes vis-a-vis the agreed scenarios. Setting the outcomes is crucial before identifying and defining indicators for which data will be captured. The current situation shows that there is not yet collaborative effort on harmonizing the outcomes of the monitoring plan. Outcomes refer to changes in relationships, actions, activities, behaviors within the R. Mishumba flow regime.

Some of the key issues to be harmonized will include:

- what are the strategic priorities?
- what are the desired outcomes?
- who is to participate? identify and/or review major concerns of stakeholder groups;
- translate problems into shared outcome statements among key players;
- disaggregate to capture key desired outcome e.g., improved habitats for fauna by size and conditions and species.
- C. Needs to develop and define Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to monitor outcomes: At present, the KPI for monitoring river flow, biodiversity and ecosystem services are not very clear. The KPI are intended to help understand if the desired outcomes are achieved. The SMART indicators (SMART Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Time bound) are not yet developed. At same time, the definition of KPIs is required, as well as determining the data structures, baseline values and target values. The indicators will cover Inputs (what is needed), output (what has been done), outcomes (desired situation), risks and assumptions. This is a critical gap that has been identified. However, pre-defined indicators from previous projects and best practices could be of use. The indicators will have to be in tandem with national environmental monitoring frameworks including the MoWE Standard National Climate Change Indicators (MoWE, 2016).
- **D.** Need to set baseline Setting Baselines and Gather Data on Indicators: The previous studies clearly describe the baseline conditions along the river Mishumba. However, in order to effectively monitor, the baseline values for KPIs need to be developed. The baseline is the first measurement of an indicator that sets the current condition against which future change can be tracked. It is essential to establish the baseline data, identify data sources, define data collection methods, tools and devices; collect data, and establish all data information flow protocols (capture, storage, processing, reporting, knowledge management).
- *E. Need to set targets* for the monitoring plan: The target setting is not yet clear in relation to overall ICRP performance framework, funding and resource levels, capacity, budgets, facilities, and the like throughout the target period (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, biannual, annual, etc.).
- F. Need to develop comprehensive monitoring plan for river flow, biodiversity and ecosystem services: Under the EFR report, a monitoring plan has been presented to give an overview. There is need to have a detailed monitoring plan. This could be a stand-alone document to guide all the ICRP actors. Presently, there is gap in linking Implementation Monitoring and Results Monitoring. The detailed monitoring plan will clearly stipulate this at all monitoring levels (input, activity, output, outcome, impact). It will also define

outcomes and targets link to annual work plans, as well as the continuous flow of information up and down the system.

- **G.** Need to strengthen the plan to report monitoring results: The current proposal on reporting requirements is contained in the design report, dam operation plan, instrumentation plan, among others. The major cross-cutting gap is that, there are many contributing specialties that seem to be parallel to each other, and these need to be harmonized. At same time, reporting templates are required. The target audience of the reporting is majorly tied to internal ICRP users, not external audiences.
 - There is need to redefine who consumes what information, at what level, what is the purpose? In what format will information be disseminated?
 - Who will receive what information?
 - When?
 - Who will prepare the information?
 - Who will deliver the information?
- H. Need to integrate Information, Education and Communication (IEC): In order to achieve the desired outcomes, reporting should integrate IEC needs of communities and is critical. An IEC plan could be integrated into the ESMP and EFR plan as well. It should also relate to other national IEC frameworks e.g., Uganda National Climate Change Communication Strategy (UNCCS, 2017).
- I. Review on how to use the monitoring results/reports: The uses of performance findings and their additional benefits need to be clearly defined at all levels. What is known is that internal use of findings at ICRP is fairly well laid out. However, for sustainability there is need to expound on the how finding of monitoring reports could be used by external and auxiliary actors e.g., DLGs, civil society, academia, etc. Also, the aspect of knowledge management, learning, feedback and organizational memory are essential and need to be addressed / planned for.
- J. Consideration on sustaining the monitoring plan during operations phase is inadequate: There critical aspects that need to be refined especially during operations, namely: a) will demand for monitoring results be continuous? b) What incentives to the monitoring actors after construction? What data quality assurance plan? What capacity is needed in terms of skills, resources and infrastructures?

12.2 Scope of the Monitoring Programme and its overall objective

A monitoring programme is to preserve and to achieve habitat structure and availability; ensure supply of natural food resources in key habitats; maintain the natural breeding, spawning and migration cues and pathways of key fish species in relation to the annual hydrograph of River Mishumba. The Kabuyanda ICRP EFMP is about ensuring that the e-flows recommendations meet the e-flow requirements for key aquatic assets of River Mishumba, and that once the e-flows implemented they do address not only the requirements for fish species but the other biodiversity within or dependent on River Mishumba flow regimes. Monitoring and assessment programme for Kabuyanda EFMP will generate the information to answer clear and precise management and scientific questions on the success of the set e-flows in fulfilling the EFMP objectives. This

framework is based on the fact that the relationship between flow regime and river conditions for River Mishumba were extensively examined through detailed field surveys and sampling, in both wet and dry seasons, and also based on historical data and information gathered during the ESIA development for the Kabuyanda ICRP project. This formed the basis for setting of the environmental flow recommendations and the establishing the need to protect or modifying the flow regime with damming of River Mishumba in order to meet the identified ecological and socioeconomic e-flow requirements (**Chapter 6** above and **Annex 5**).

12.3 Conceptual understanding of flow-ecology relationships and the questions (hypotheses) to be tested

This monitoring programme is based on the concept that alteration of water flow in River Mishumba, a largely an ephemeral river whose flow is generally considered low, may impact the biodiversity in the areas of the project thereby affecting other ecological services and functions of River Mishumba. Under circumstances of limited or controlled loss of riparian vegetation, poodles/pools, reclamation of swamps, change in morphology of the river channel, reduction of flows and changed course of water in River Mishumba, the ecology will not be affected hence continuous ecosystem services provision by the River Mishumba.

However, if the flow is not properly adapted to address the above concerns, possibly it may result into reduced channel riparian vegetation due to encroachment, filling with sedimentation; succession of plants leading to dominance of the waters with unpalatable filamentous algae that is much more suited to the harsh conditions; reduced flow results in armoring, reduced flushing of detritus, nutrients, settling of fine sediment, all which add to reducing the capacity of the river; reduction in habitat space for macroinvertebrates and fish in the substratum because of armoring and infilling with fine sediments. Also, some parts of the bottom may be exposed with reduction in flow and the fact that sediments and organic matter may enter the channel directly from adjacent valley slopes and may not be flushed by low flows in the main channel.

12.4 Timing and monitoring schedules

The monitoring programme for the EFMP will commence before the commencement of construction of the dam and associated infrastructure. This responsibility is entirely for the technical team under MoWE/DWRM. However, this team can be supported by CSOs, CBOs, the Kabuyanda Catchment based Community organization, the local governments, technical committee from central governments and Consultants. Within the plan, monitoring and reporting will be fortnightly for the contractors, monthly for the biodiversity specialist and Hydrologist, and annually for MoWE and NEMA where MoWE takes the lead of every step (Annex 7, Annex 8 and Annex 9).

12.5 Information and Data Variables for the Monitoring Programme

The monitoring plan aims at tracking progress of implementing BEMP and capturing data that is helpful in decision making throughout the project cycle. **Table** 12-1 indicates the information/data needs under key parameters or response variables, location of such variables, location of application and comments about the variable (**Annex 7, Annex 8 and Annex 9**).

Table 12-1: Environmental variables with established causal links with changes to the flow regime
Ecosystem	Response	Location of	Comments	Period of		
component	variable	application		Monitoring		
River fisheries production and productivity	 Fish occurrence and production 	Survey points 6, 8, 14, 15, 18, 20 & 24 Upstream the dam	Short-term responses to specific flow events as predicted	At least twice a year i.e., every season – dry and wet		
Vegetation (details in Annex 5)	 Riverbank understory vegetation (species composition, distribution, abundance, survival, growth, reproduction) 	Nearly all 24 survey points	Survival and total biomass responded predictably to flow events in lower reaches	Twice a year (once in dry and once in wet season)		
Dragonflies	 Abundance, diversity 	Selected swamps within the Mishumba catchment	Easily communicated and can assess effect of watering quickly	Twice a year (once in dry and once in wet season)		
Fish	 Larval fish (occurrence, relative abundance, community composition) 	The six survey sites where fish was caught (6, 8, 14, 15, 18, 20, & 23) Upstream the dam	Preliminary results suggest good response to flow stress	At least twice a year i.e., every season – dry and wet		
Frogs	 Abundance, diversity and breeding occurrence in swamps 	Sites close to flood prone areas of the river	Easily communicated and can assess effect of watering quickly	Twice a year (once in dry and once in wet season)		
Birds	 No. of species occurring in the catchment 	Nearly all 24 survey points considering the upstream, midstream and downstream	Easily communicated and can assess effect of watering quickly	Twice a year (once in dry and once in wet season)		
Flow and water stage	✤ Water levels	 At the damsite The Existing River gauging station downstream of the dam 	The variation in expected flows based on the season of the year	 Daily When there is need e.g., in case of any occurrence eve heavy downpour 		

 Proposed gauging 	
station at	
the last	
sampling	
site (outlet)	
– Point 24	

An access road to Rwoho CFR destroyed by heavy trucks carrying timber from the forest in the upstream

Ca 5-19

13 IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS AND PROCEDURES

13.1 Institutional arrangements

13.1.1 Ministry's' level coordination mechanism

The project will be implemented through existing Government structures, relying mainly on Government staff. Individual consultants – where necessary - will be recruited where the Ministry has inadequate capacity. The PMU will comprise Government staff and individual consultants recruited for the purpose of ensuring efficient project implementation. The implementation of proposed irrigation project will be done under the overall ICRP implying that, its implementation (during both construction and operation phases) will be guided under the broader Multi-sectoral Steering Committee. A multi-sectoral Project Steering Committee (PSC) will be set up to provide high-level operational and policy guidance to ensure that the Project component and its activities are implemented as planned. The PSC will be chaired by the Permanent Secretary of MWE and will comprise Permanent Secretaries (or their representatives at high technical level) of the Ministries and stakeholder statutory agencies as well as Isingiro district. The PSC will meet quarterly to review work plans, budgets and progress of implementation and operation, and ensure adherence to relevant Government policies and strategies during implementation of the Project.

13.1.2 The Project Support Team - PST

The Permanent Secretary of the MWE will be the Accounting Officer for all Project's funds. MWE through its Water for Production Department will have overall responsibility for Components 1 in which the project falls. As the Lead implementing agency for the Project, MWE will be responsible for planning, procurement, budgeting, Financial Management (FM), Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E), and reporting, among others. A Project Support Team (PST) will be constituted within the Water for Production Department of MWE will oversee selected activities, in addition to key hired technical specialists (procurement, accountant, environmental, social, and M&E specialists). The PST will also be responsible for preparing plans, developing budgets, monitoring results, compiling reports, and disseminating outputs and outcomes.

13.1.3 Roles of other ministries in the project

The role of other stakeholder ministries can be summed up as follows:

- a. Ministry of Trade, Industry and Co-operatives (MoTIC) will be responsible amongst others, for developing, coordinating, regulating, promoting and facilitating domestic and external trade with particular emphasis on value addition drives in the project as well as export promotion and access to regional and international markets for the commodities under this project;
- b. Ministry of Gender, Labor and Social Development (MoGLSD) will be key with respect to the supervision of implementation of livelihood restoration programs as well as providing guidance in mitigation of risks of sexual exploitation and abuse, and employment of children. The Ministry will be key in aspects of HIV/AIDS mainstreaming, occupational health and safety as well as gender issues in the project; and
- c. Ministry of Agriculture Animal Industry and Fisheries (MAAIF) will be one of the key implementing partner of the Project's activities that fall within its mandate especially

implementation processes for the agri-business development component, which is important for value addition on crop products from the project. They will take lead in provision of the required agricultural technical support, farmer training and sensitisation, guidance on good quality inputs, safe farming practices, etc.,

13.1.4 Role of National Environment Management Authority-NEMA

National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) will review and approve the Project ESIA and any subsequent Environmental Assessments that will be prepared for project infrastructures not covered under this study as well as monitoring records submitted in accordance with the National Environment Act and its respective Regulations. They will approve and monitor implementation of Operational ESMP.

13.1.5 Isingiro District local government administration

The Isingiro District on its part, will designate a Project Support Officer (PSO) amongst its staff, who will head the District Technical Support Team to support the implementation and technical supervision of the Project, including sensitization of farmers, training, and monitoring and evaluation in the respective local governments. In addition, the DEO and CDO are all key in the implementation of the project with respect to observance of environmental and social safeguards during project implementation (during both construction and operation phases). At District Local Government level, staff will be designated from the relevant implementing departments, chaired by the Chief Administrative Officer, to provide technical oversight on implementation. The Isingiro District staff will consist of the District Production Coordinator, District Engineer, District Community Development Officer, District Natural Resources Officer, District Agricultural Officer, District Water Officer, Senior Agricultural Engineer and District Commercial Officer. The District and Sub- County Officials will take lead in engagements with the Communities and Local Authorities. They will lead in mobilization of communities to take part of project implementation activities including implementation of catchment management activities, sensitization and information dissemination, formation of Grievance Redress Committees and ensure satisfactory resolution of grievances received during project implementation.

13.1.6 Role of the contractors

Contractors hired to undertake project civil works shall be required to develop their own Contractor's ESMP which will include among others; the project ESIA approved by both NEMA and World Bank, Health and Safety Management Plan, Traffic Management Plan, Waste Management Plan, Construction Camp and Equipment Yard Management Plan, Labor Force Management Plan which shall also include Code of Conduct for Workers, Construction Materials Acquisition Due Diligence Procedure, etc. The Contractors shall hire the following key staff to undertake project implementation: Project Manager, Environmental Specialist, Sociologist, Health and Safety Officer.

13.1.7 Role of the supervising consultant

The Engineer/Supervising Consultant will be responsible for the technical and contractual implementation of the works to be undertaken. The responsibilities of the Engineer/Supervising Consultant will include:

- a. Ensure that, the requirements as set out in the ESMP and any other conditions stipulated by the relevant Authorities are implemented;
- b. Assist the Contractor in ensuring that the conditions for ESMP are adhered to and promptly issue instructions to the Contractor;
- c. Support the Contractor in the preparation of monthly site meetings and that, such meetings have their agenda embody aspects of environmental and social compliance; and
- d. Review and approve work method statements by the contractor to ensure environmental and social safeguards are fully addressed in works to be undertaken.

13.1.8 Project implementation support

The World Bank task teams will support implementation of the Project, especially during the construction phase and during the initial operations phase, until project closure (30-Apr-2026) or as may be extended. The type and level of support will be guided by the scope of the Project, the activities in each component, relative risks involved, and the institutional capacity of the government counterpart. Implementation support by the World Bank will consist of at least semiannual Implementation Support Supervision missions, short technical missions, meetings and audio conferences between the World Bank and relevant implementing agencies. Field visits to key construction and rehabilitation sites will be conducted during supervision missions. Additional support will also be provided by the World Bank's procurement, FM, and safeguards specialists, most of whom will be based in the Kampala office, on Project contracts and overall compliance with Environmental and Social safeguards and fiduciary requirements. In addition, the Project will support international technical experts to advise the GoU and to provide technical support to the implementing agencies as they develop ToRs, design and feasibility studies. This Implementation Support Plan is indicative and may be revised during Project implementation based on emerging Project challenges and field conditions.

13.2 Implementation procedures

The Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan along with the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) propose preparation of specific plans that will address the management of specific media, areas and aspects of the environment and community. The Implementation Procedures proposed here specify how mitigation measures and monitoring actions in the Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan will be thematically implemented along the given time frames and specific responsibility assignments. The proposed thematic plans also define frameworks for follow-up actions in order to check progress and the resulting effects on the environment during construction and operation phases of the project. The plans refer to each phase of the project cycle and will contain actions and programs for their implementation, related to the requirements of the respective regulatory framework.

Each plan, as a basis, will have the following content: a) objectives of the plan; b) management actions; c) responsibilities in carrying out activities; d) monitoring over the implementation of the activities; e) reporting; f) criteria, objectives to be achieved and monitoring indicators; g) timeframe for implementation. Each plan will propose a way of control and communication, as well as topics for employee training. Part of each plan will be checklists for the purpose of keeping records/monitoring the compliance of the measures and activities of the plans and their

implementation with the legal requirements and requirements defined in each plan. Informing about the level of implementation of each particular plan will be through regular reports. The form of the reports will be proposed by appropriate experts (and will be defined in each plan). For this purpose, preparation of the following plans, in addition to the Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan, Environmental Flow Requirement Management System, Dam Operation Plan and Monitoring Plan, is required.

- 1. Quality Management Plan;
- 2. Auxiliary sites Acquisition and Management Plan;
- 3. Decommissioning Plan (for contractor's facilities after construction phase);
- 4. Waste Management Plan;
- 5. Erosion and Pollution Control Plan;
- 6. Security Management Plan;
- 7. Labour Force Management Plan;
- 8. Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Plan;
- 9. Emergency Response Plan;
- 10. Community Health and Safety Plan;
- 11. Cultural Heritage Management Plan;
- 12. Grievance Redress Mechanism; and
- 13. Stakeholder Engagement Plan.

Regenerating riparian vegetation along River Mishumba after the Flash floods of May 2020

14 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Eco-Hydraulics: The estimated monthly runoff for R. Mishumba at the proposed dam location indicates a bi-modal variation with two peak flows in April and October of 0.8m³/s and 0.89m³/s, respectively, and a MAF is 0.51m³/s. The month with the lowest flow is July with a flow of 0.12 m³/s. June, July and August record flows representing 24% of the MAF. Based on the data series, the river sometimes dries up in the dry months of July and August, and February and September. Flow in the months of September-November is more variable than during the other months. The maximum flows, that lead to flooding, are most likely to occur during the months of September - December. Rweibara (Kasharira) tributary joins R. Mishumba immediately downstream of the Kabuyanda dam while Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda join Mishumba at about 5km. The Rweibara River contributes about 18% of the 0.61m³/s AAF of R. Mishumba at the confluence, and the combined flow of Rweibara and Rwemango Rivers is about 43.3% of the total flow to the confluence. The contribution of 56.7% from the three tributaries of Chezho, Rwemango and Kyabaganda can fully sustain the ecosystem down of this main confluence.

Fish biodiversity: The additional surveys established existence of ecologically significant and critical fish biodiversity including relics of previous highlights of Lake Victoria fishery, Singida tilapia (*Oreochromis esculentus*) and Victoria carp (*Labeo victorianus*), both of which are currently considered as critically endangered and are Redlisted by IUCN. Other fish species found in Mishumba catchment are Zilli's tilapia (*Coptodon tilapia*); Albert tilapia (*Oreochromis leucostictus*); African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*); Mudfish (*Clarias cassonii*); and Haplochromines (*haplochromis spp.*). In conclusion the additional survey and study found that the project will not have adverse effects on the aquatic biodiversity, and in many instances will instead provide a life line for this fish biodiversity by creating conditions to boost the numbers of fish such as the resultant reservoir and creation of special conservation areas recommended as part of the management options for aquatic biodiversity in BMP. Recommendations and guidelines have been given in here for sustenance and development of all the identified fish species for the different stages of the project development and operation. Key recommendations are as follows:

- Limnophilic fishes, especially the relatively large bodied fishes such as Singida tilapia, will required the e-flows to provide and maintain a minimum depths of >0.15m at the lower end of Mishumba River with water flow rates of not more than 1.4 m/s and seasonal overbank flow and inundation of adjoining areas so as to refresh and or create feeding, spawning and nursing grounds for such fish species found in the catchment.
- The rheophilic species in the catchment including Victoria tilapia, require seasonal flushes or pulses coupled with marked increase in water depths to stimulate spawning and creation of spawning, nursing and feeding grounds for such species. It is also recommended that selected sections of Mishumba River or sections of adjoining rivers with pockets of rheophilic species be maintained as relatively fast flowing (> 06 m/s) sections for purposes of sustaining the ecological requirements of such fishes.
- That two special conservation areas need to be created at specially selected sites on adjoining rivers Chezho and Kyabaganda for the preservation and breeding of the critically endangered Victoria carp (Ningu). This is meant to compensate for the interruption of the upstream

migration on River Mishumba for Ningu's breeding and spawning by the damming of River Mishumba.

Flora and Fauna: The habitats were found to be greatly modified and non-critical for floral biodiversity. Large chunks of the area were under cultivation for subsistence, with farmlands, and pasturelands. Even the protected Rwoho CFR was had only the exotic tree species, notably Eucalyptus spp., Pinus spp. and Grevillia Robusta. All plant species were of Least Concern (in agreement with the ESIA). All habitats in Mishumba are non-critical for biodiversity, though it is home to some species of fauna and as such, caution be taken to ensure that, observance of minimal water flows and guided opening up of the areas should take into account, requirements set out in this study for sustaining the habitats. The additional survey established that there is less in-stream vegetation cover inform affecting water movement processes. However, it's projected that the impoundment/damming of Mishumba River will increase the resident time of the water which will allow for transformation of some of these chemical species. The chemical species input will be majorly anthropogenic, linked to the cottage industries and agricultural practices in the catchment. In terms of fauna, the additional surveys established that Mishumba catchment has no vulnerable, threatened or endangered fauan. The faunal species identified in the Mishumba catchment were common snakes, otters, water ducks and water insects including water skates, water bugs, dragon flies, earth worms.

Although the conclusion is that the Kabuyanda ICRP based on damming of River Mishumba will have no effect on existing fauna and floral since the area is already highly disturbed owing to the farming and mining activities in the area, cutting and clearing of the natural vegetation, and high level of siltation as shown by the level of turbidity and TDS at the different sampling sites, is likely to affect the provisional of ecological services by Mishumba River. It is therefore the recommendation of this study that:

- The water release schedule from the reservoir be 0.1m³/s during the dry season (lowest) and 0.24 m³/s during the rainy season, and for maintenance purposes, and the floods be based on the return periods of 2 years and 5 years.
- Agricultural production and socioeconomic activities within Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme be properly planned and controlled to significantly cut out the unnatural supply and entry of such chemical species in the water course.
- Water flow be set and regulated to maintain the existing flora and fauna, and limit the entry and establishment of invasive species.
- The Biodiversity and Ecological Flow Management Plan (BEFMP) has been developed in response to the identified potential impacts herein and those in the ESIA, and must funded and implemented as part of the Kabuyanda ICRP Project.

Social-economic: The catchment is an active site with planted forests in Rwoho CFR, extensive subsistence, pastoral livestock keeping, timber felling and chain sawing and cottage brewery. Change of purpose and use of water will certainly require transforming the communities into crop producers. There is a need to consider the cultural attachments, traditions and indigenous knowledge of these communities in the catchment plan as most households surveyed grow some bananas (matooke). This was not provided for in the agriculture development plan under the

irrigable area, neither is the livestock production and existing cottage industries. Therefore, measures to allow for such communities to be transformed through training, exposure and demonstration have been captured as means of helping them adopt to the new plan for use of the area. In addition, suggestions to keep some of the traditional and cottage enterprises sustained is encouraged.

Underground water: The underground water level was assessed and found to be flacuate between rainy and dry seasons, and the variation to be also highly correlated to the level of water in the Mishumba River channel. Our conclusion is that the institution or change in hydrological regime for Mishumba Catchment to support the Kabuyanda ICRP project has to mimic the natural flow regime so as not to constrain the underground water sources and supply. Flooding or overbank releases will raise the water tables if not properly timed while over drawing in periods of low levels may result in drawing down the underground water resources. It is therefore the recommendation of the additional survey and study, that e-flows be done in a way that avoids excessive water supply or draw down so as to protect the underground water sources.

Environmental flow assessment and monitoring: The development of e-flow requirements for various species was based on species whose water requirements are dominated by others and would not require further analysis. This is based on the understanding that by satisfying the requirements of those that require more water, the requirements of other species will by ecological relation (shared habitats and effects) be realized. Therefore, flow requirements concentrated on the requirement for fish and for the papyrus for fauna and flora respectively. The e-flows were superimposed with the flow required for flushing or maintenance of the river reaches. It has already been indicated that the floods for the return period of 2 years and 5 years will be maintained for this purpose. In conclusion it was established that the overall discharge in the river reaches under consideration are quite small, therefore, there is very limited room for manipulation of flows to provide meaningful variations. From this perspective and the fact that the only investment using significant water in the catchment is the proposed irrigation scheme, only three (3) scenarios were studied. These include:

- Scenario one (1) represents the water required for the e-flows as deduced from the analysis of flows from sampling points 3, 6, 8, 14 and 15 including the maintenance of the high and low floods.
- Scenario two (2) comprises the proposed releases by the ESIA study report but with an addition of releasing low and high floods as in the previous scenario.
- Scenario three (3) is when the releases are increased in by twenty percent (20%) and forty percent (40%) of the Mean Annual Flow (MAF) during the dry and wet season respectively. It also includes the maintenance of the high and low floods.

Scenario 3 seemed the more appropriate scenario for the Mishumba Catchment based Kabuyanda ICRP project e-flow management.

Adjustments to the dam design and O&M plans: In the hydrolauic assessment it was established that Kabuyanda dam will impound and hold back a huge volume of water creating a reservoir. It was however concluded on analysis that the dam as designed and its operation and maintenance

plan, are adequate and require no adjustments. As a point of emphasis however makes the following recommendations:

- The dam should undergo routine and scheduled inspections so as to enable discovery and attendance to any engineering and operational problem that may arise in a timely manner.
- Preventive maintenance programme for the components of Kabuyanda dam and its appurtenant structures be adopted and incorporated in the Kabuyanda ICRP project.

Cummulative Impacts: Kabuyanda ICRP project with its associated dam and irrigation infrastructure were found to have appropriately designed, and if operated as per design will have minimal and reversible cumulative impacts. It is a largely a positive project to both natural and the human environments. However key areas of concern leading to cumulative impacts are those regarding the impact of water reservoir and irrigation scheme on water quality, soil salinity, floral and faunal biodiversity, and underground water. To that effect the following conclusions are made concerning cumulative impact assessments carried out:

- Kabuyanda will transform the eixisting socioeconomic activities into organized irrigable crop production and associated downstream economic activities, and will have minimal or no resettlement of people as they ordinary do not reside in the catchment.
- Kabuyanda ICRP will involve land take for the dam and irrigation infrastructure as well as the irrigable area but this land is already under crop production and will not involve displacing peoples' other socioeconomic activities apart from a few cottage industries that in themselves related to agricultural production and products.
- The project is not in competition with other major socioeconomic or production activities as the site is majorly public land with a forest reserve based on planted trees with no prisitine vegetation.
- Does not significantly alter flows downstream apart from the period of daming and creation of the water reservoir.
- The resevior will allow for development a fishery to support the fishing livelihoods and perhaps act as a key conservation area for the limnophilic species and other aquatic bidoversity including water birds, terrestrial animals and aquatic plants.
- The project will have a moderate impact on habitat fragmentation and reduced connectivity of the rivers and habitats in the Mishumba catchment.
- There are no risks of opening and disturbing pristine areas, as the area is already highly disturbed and modified. However there will be additional cumulative impacts from clearing of vegetation for the dam and irrigation infrastructure construction as well establishment of a reservoir.

Key cumulative impacts were assessed and linked to issues of water quality, soil salinity, floral and faunal biodiversity, and underground water levels. However all the impacts if handled as per the project design are manageable and reversible.

It is clear that save for the month of January, the recommended flows within the ESIA are sufficient to protect the fish and fauna. The impact of these floods is assumed negligible and therefore, no further analysis of the same has been conducted. Therefore, the recommended water release schedule from the reservoir is $0.05m^3/s$ during the dry season (lowest) and $0.1 m^3/s$ during the rainy season. For maintenance purposes, the floods with the return periods of 2 years and 5 years should be imposed on this flow.

The study concludes that no hinderance in the environmental or social findings can stop the implementation of the Kabuyanda ICRP, hence recommending that the proposed mitigation/enhancement measures of identified impacts and several the proposed plans be implemented accordingly for the success of the project.

REFERENCES

Davis, Richard, and Rafik Hirji. 2003. "Water Resources and Environment Technical Note C.3 Environmental Flows: Floods Flow." *The World Bank Washington* 28.

Final Design Report. 2019. Final Design for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme in Isingiro District.

- Glauco Kimura de Freitas. 2008. "Methods and Tools for Defining Methods and Tools for Defining Environmental Flows Environmental Flows Glauco Glauco Kimura de Kimura de Freitas Freitas The Nature Conservancy The Nature Conservancy GEF IW:LEARN Regional Workshop on Application of Environm." 11–15.
- Jax, Kurt, David N. Barton, Kai M. a Chan, Rudolf De Groot, Ulrike Doyle, Uta Eser, Christoph Görg, Erik Gómez-baggethun, Yuliana Griewald, Wolfgang Haber, Roy Haines-young, Ulrich Heink, Thomas Jahn, Hans Joosten, Lilin Kerschbaumer, Horst Korn, Gary W. Luck, Bettina Matzdorf, Barbara Muraca, Carsten Neßhöver, Bryan Norton, Konrad Ott, Marion Potschin, Felix Rauschmayer, Christina Von Haaren, and Sabine Wichmann. 2013. "Author's Personal Copy Ecosystem Services and Ethics." Ecological Economics 93(May 2011):260–68.
- MA. 2003. Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: A Report on the Conceptual Framework Working Group of the Millenium Ecosystem Assessment. Vol. 5.
- Michel, Nicole L., Christopher J. Whelan, and Gregory M. Verutes. 2020. "Ecosystem Services Provided by Neotropical Birds." *Condor* 122(3):1–21. doi: 10.1093/condor/duaa022.
- MWE. 2014. "Directorate of Water Resources Management National Water Resources Strategy." (August).
- MWE. 2019. "Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme." (September).
- The Brisbane Declaration. 2007. "Environmental Flows Are Essential for Freshwater Ecosystem Health and Human Well-Being"." 10th International River Symposium and International Environmental Flows Conference 1–7.
- Wenny, Daniel G., Travis L. DeVault, Matthew D. Johnson, Dave Kelly, Cagan H. Sekercioglu, Diana F. Tomback, and Christopher J. Whelan. 2011. "Perspectives in Ornithology the Need to Quantify Ecosystem Services Provided by Birds." Auk 128(1):1–14. doi: 10.1525/auk.2011.10248.
- Whelan, Christopher J., Daniel G. Wenny, and Robert J. Marquis. 2008. "Ecosystem Services Provided by Birds." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1134(November 2017):25–60. doi: 10.1196/annals.1439.003.
- WMO. 2019. Guidance on Environmental Flows:Integrating E-Flow Science with Fluvial Geomorphology to Maintain Ecosystem Services.

Ashrafi B, Neugebauer C, Kloos MA (2022). Conceptual Framework for Heritage Impact Assessment: A Review and Perspective. Sustainability 14, 27. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010027</u>.

Canter L, Ross B (2010). State of practice of cumulative effects assessment and management: the good, the bad and the ugly. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 28(4), 261-268, <u>https://doi.org/10.3152/146155110X12838715793200</u>.

Cumulative Impact Assessment and Management: Guidance for the Private Sector in Emerging Markets (2013) was jointly prepared by the Environmental, Social, and Governance Department of the International Finance Corporation (IFC) and ESSA Technologies Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada.<u>http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/946301468309844309/pdf/769980WP0P</u> 1292440Box374382B00PUBLIC0.pdf

Da Silva GDP, Parkins JR, Nadeau S (2020). Social Impact Assessment Methods for Predicting Cumulative Effects involving Extractive Industries and Indigenous People. Project Report #20-02, Department of Resource Economics and Environmental Sociology, University of Alberta. Accessed at https://www.ualberta.ca/resource-economics-environmental-sociology/research/project-reports.

Davenport T, Howard P, Baltzer M (Eds) (1996). Rwoho and Kijanebalola Forest Reserves biodiversity report. Forest Department, Kampala, Uganda.

Dixon A, Mvula A, Carrie R 2020. A Functional Landscape Approach to managing wetland socialecological systems: Experiences from the Kankhulukulu project in Malawi. University of Worcester.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2015). Good practice and guidance: on Cumulative Effects Assessment in Strategic Environmental Assessment. EPA, Wexford, Ireland

Forest Department (2002). Uganda Forestry Nature Conservation Master Plan. Report No. 32.

IUCN (2021). *Red List of Threatened Species, Version 2020-2*. <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>, accessed January 2021.

Jonsson PR, Hammar L, Wåhlström I, Pålsson J, Hume D, Almroth-Rosell E, Mattsson M (2021). Combining seascape connectivity with cumulative impact assessment in support of ecosystembased marine spatial planning. J Appl Ecol. 58:576–586. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-</u> <u>2664.13813</u>

Klinger RC, Brooks ML (2009). Practical consideration for early detection and monitoring of plant invasions. In: Inderjit (Ed), *Management of Invasive weeds in India*. University of New Delhi, India.

Langdale-Brown I, Osmaston HA, Wilson JG (1964). The vegetation of Uganda and its bearing on land uses, Uganda Government Printer, Entebbe, Uganda.

Maroyi A 2018. Syzygium Cordatum Hochst. ex Krauss: An Overview of Its Ethnobotany, Phytochemistry and Pharmacological Properties. Molecules 2018, 23, 1084; <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23051084</u>

Mbanze AA, Martins AM, Rivaes R, Ribeiro-Barros AI, Ribeiro NS 2019. Vegetation structure and effects of human use of the dambos ecosystem in northern Mozambique. Global Ecology and Conservation, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00704</u>

Ministry of Water and Environment (2019). Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for Kabuyanda Irrigation Scheme, under Irrigation for Climate Resilience Project - P163836. Kampala, Uganda

National Water and Sewerage Corporation (2020). Development of water and sanitation infrastructure for Mbarara-Masaka areas. We Consult.

National Water and Sewerage Corporation Corporate Plan 2021-2024.

Orwa C, Mutua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Anthony S 2009. Agroforestree Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. World Agroforestry Centre, Kenya

Sample Guidelines: Cumulative Environmental Impact Assessment for Hydropower Projects in Turkey (2012).

http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/787161468178468723/pdf/864920WP0IFC0G0IC0 0Department0CESPQ.pdf

Sharma, U. and Dutta, V. (2020). Establishing environmental flows for intermittent tropical rivers: Why hydrological methods are not adequate? *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 17, 2949–2966. Available online at: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02680-6</u>

Soukhaphon A, Baird IG, Hogan ZS (2021). The Impacts of Hydropower Dams in the Mekong River Basin: A Review. Water 13, 265. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w13030265</u>

The National Wildlife Federation. (2021). Ecosystem Services. Retrieved from The NationalWildlifeFederation:https://www.nwf.org/Educational-Resources/Wildlife-Guide/Understanding-Conservation/Ecosystem-Services.

World Bank Group (2016). Managing Environmental and Social Impacts of Hydropower in Bhutan. World Bank Group, Washington DC.

APPENDIX		
Annex 1: List of sampled water q	uality parameters	
Parameters	Units	Measurement recurrence
In-situ parameters	·	
Temperature	°C	Seasonally and in every sampling
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/I and %	site and every sampling time
Conductivity	μS/cm	
рН	-	
Laboratory analyzed parameters		
Turbidity	NTU	Seasonally and in every sampling
COD	mg/l	site and every sampling time
Alkalinity	mg/l	
Calcium	mg/l	
Magnesium	mg/l	
Chloride	mg/l	
Nitrogen as Nitrate and Ammonia	mg/l	
Sulphate	mg/l	
Phosphate	mg/l	
Iron	mg/l	
Manganese	mg/l	
Fluoride	mg/l	
Aluminum	mg/l	
Total dissolved solids	mg/l	
Coliform bacteria, Total coliforms	100 ml/number of colonies	
Phenols		Seasonally and in every sampling
Vanadium		site and every sampling time
Nickel		
Cobalt		
Cyanide		
Cadmium		
Mercury		
Lead		
Copper		
Selenium		
Zinc		
DDT and other toxic		
agrochemicals used in the area		
(consultant to proposed		
indicators)		

Annex 2: Laboratory water quality analysis certificates

Water Quality Analysis -Laboratory Results for the Wet Season

November 2020



					Cer	tificati	e of A	nalysi	S				
Client Name		8	: JBN C	onsult	s and P	lanner	sLtd						
Client Address		1	Plot	18 Mba	igo Roa	ad, Na	jera 1						
Sample type & Loca	ation		: Surfa	ce wat	er fron	n River	Mishu	mba la	singiro	Distric	t		
Date Sampled		- 3	19 th N	loveml	ber 202	20							
Date received			: 2 nd D	ecemb	er 202	0							
Analysis Completio	n data		: 28th (Decem	ber 20	20							
TEST RESULTS								15					
Sample Name	ы	112	н	El	E2	13	ci	C2	ca:	01	62	ω	Drinking water standards (DEA512:2018 Maximum or each Mr. for Natural
Laboratory Number	E43704	E43705	E43706	E43707	E43758	£43709	E43710	E43711	543712	E43713	E43714	513715	putable Water)
Chemical Osygen Denand (mg/L)	104	139	119	45	51	59	39	39	43	121	110	127	100
Electrical Conductivity (pS/cm)	113200	107300	105205	108400	108500	134400	89300	94700	101500	98750	145100	132900	2305
TDS (og/L)	79240	25110	73640	75880	75810	94080	62510	66290	71330	69090	101570	93830	1500
Turbidių (NTU)	103	118	32.1	103	136	135	37.2	-40.2	8	13	12.4	12.6	29
Iron (mg/L)	1.82	1.74	1.57	5.23	4.75	4.89	1.98	1.74	2.04	11,38	8.41	6,45	1
Aluminium (mg/L)	1.80	2.30	1.90	3.60	3.90	4.20	0.08	0.09	0.12	4.81	9.46	5.01	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	*2	<2	-2	3	-2	<2	-2	<2	1	.<2	2	<2	
Total Hardness (og/L)	480	495	475	495	510	500	468	458	479	420	458	530	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/l.)	203	198	239	215	250	269	189	198	210	247	250	270	600
(ng4.)	277	297	236	280	260	231	279	260	269	173	208	260	600
Calcium (mg/L)	81	79	96	36	100	108	76	79	84	99	100	108	190
Magnesiun (ng/L)	66	31	57	67	62	55	67	62	65	42	50	62	100
E. Coli (CEU/100mb) Total Colforms	<1	41	-1	<1	<1	<1	-1	<1	-1	<1	e)	41	9
(CFU/100mb)	>290	>200	>290	>200	>200	⇒200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	⇒290	0
Manganese (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	>0.01	<0.01	~02.01	<0.01	-0.0)
Physichates (mg/L)	-0.01	0.28	0,06	0.41	0.51	8.45	0.28	0.24	0.20	0.02	-30,01	<0.01	8.2
Chlorides (mg/L)	42	44	44	17	30	22	28	19	23	19	In	30	250
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	1,50	1.40	1.60	3,30	3.50	3.50	1.50	1.60	1.60	2.00	1.60	1.80	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	0.72	9.68	0,72	: -:0,01	<0.01	0.08	0.37	0.37	0,39	-02.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	274	265	271	1015	1022	1026	1053	1057	1051	1688	1100	1003	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed, mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter of PATATOR LESS.

Check

Water Guality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Wohenguality Ishonatory@truwi.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

Issued by 20 n



				(Certific	ate of	Analy	sis				
Client Name Client Address		: JI : F	BN Con Not 48 I	sults ar Mbago	nd Plan Road, I	ners Lto Najjera	1	2022011	759-7-09-7			
Sample type & Locat Date Sampled	ion	:5	urface 9 th Nov	water f ember	rom Ri 2020	ver Mis	humba	Isingir	o Distri	ct		
Date received		: 2	nd Dece	mber 2	2020							
Analysis Completion	data	: 2	8 ^m Dec	ember	2020							
TEST RESULTS	5	1		9	28				1.11.1	2	w 2	
Sample Name	FI	F2	F3	DI	D2	D3	AI	A2	AJ .	A menorals	A Plankton	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum permissible for Natural
Laboratory Number	E43716	E43787	E43718	E43719	E43720	E43721	643722	E43723	E43724	E43725	E43726	petalde Watert
Chemical Oxygen Demand (og/L)	81	80	82	74	70	70	60	67	64	58	49	100
Electrical Comfuctivity (µS/cm)	197000	97600	117200	177100	186500	189600	1200	881	861	894	883	2500
TDS (mg/L)	137900	68320	82040	123970	130550	132720	\$40	617	603	626	618	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	32	29	31	140	129	132	3.5	2,44	2.8	1.8	22.2	25
Ires (og/L)	5.87	5.23	5.64	5.57	4.84	5.34	0.24	0.38	0.26	0,41	0.76	1
Aluminians (mg/L)	1.34	3,41	3.50	0.68	0.61	0.59	114	2.94	2.83	3.19	323	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	<2	<2	<1	<2	<2	<2	-2	<2	<2	
Total Hardness (ng/L)	676	130	487	590	558	600	444	315	307	320	316	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	174	180	153	305	268	264	207	148	145	151	149	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	502	250	332	285	290	336	237	167	162	169	167	600
Calcium (mg/1.)	70	72	62	122	107	106	83	59	58	50	. 60	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	120	60	80	68	- 70	81	.57	40	39	30	- 40	100
E. Coli (CF1/100mls)	-	-i	<1	et .	4	-1		13	0	21	3.3	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>260	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
Manganese (mg/L)	-0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	0.05	0.01	4,90	2.60	7.30	2,70	2.50	<0.08
Physhates (mg/L)	<0.01	0,07	0.71	0.77	0.84	0.72	0.02	0.10	0.01	<0.01	+0.01	0.7
Chlorides (mg/L)	43	32	37	15	21	13	78	61	92	63	63.2	250
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	11.29	0.32	0.45	0.51	0.52	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	0,63	6.48	0.57	10.01	<0.01	<0.01	1,28	1.40	1.50	0.70	0.72	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	1030	1839	1046	786	747	803	318	338	327	286	273	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

PRINCIPAL Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sampledelivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by MITONAL WAYNS GUALIFY DERENDI KANDANDAY - DEFENSE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality/aboratory@nwe.go.ug P.O.Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

 \star 2 Issued by 27 Hry



			Cert	ificate of A	nalvsis			on	LITEBOL
Client Name Client Address Sample type & Locatin Date Sampled Date received Analysis Completion d TEST RESULTS	on lata	: JBN (: Plot : Surfa : 19 th (: 2 nd D : 28 th	Consults and Pl 48 Mbago Roa ace water from November 2020 December 2020 December 2020	anners Ltd d, Najjera 1 River Mish 0 0	umba Isi	ngiro Di	istrict		
Sample Name	L Phyto/200	st	V pleto/zoo 1 260	U Pleto 8 200	W Mineral	Z mineral	Vmberal	O murak	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number Chemical Oxygen Demand	E43591	1913394	E43595	E43596	E43597	041598	E43599	E43600	permisible for Natural potable Water)
(mg/L) Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	167 1376	93	73	605	37	21	51	148	100
TDS (mg/L)	963	45850	888	720	440	949	818	1000	2500
Turbidity (NTU)	5.22	2.09	97.4	50.7	91.4	5.67	51.5	0.86	1500
tron (mg/L)	0.33	0.12	0.8	2.34	0.58	0.66	0.69	0.02	
Aluminium (mg/l.)	7.48	0.47	3.54	0.10	0.23	0.75	5.82	2.31	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	~2		29.8	25.4	4	<2	e l	
Fotal Hardness (mg/L)	505	720	\$11	388	208	520	404	301	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	275	145	215	181	103	216	199	284	600
(mg/L)	289	575	295	207	105	304	205	277	600
Calcium (ng/L)	110	58	86	72	41	86	80	114	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	69	138	71	50	25	73	49	66	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mis)	18	<1	23	312	24	29	ų	23	0
CFU/109mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>290	>200	>300	0
Manganese (mg/L)	5.60	<0.01	2.60	2.60	0.03	2.20	2.10	6.10	<0.01
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.38	1.36	0.09	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.7
Chlorides (mg/L)	157	40	125	131	м	206	109	181	250
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	1.49	1.36	6.94	0.45	18.00	7.83	7.46	0.33	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	0.34	0.47	0.17	0.27	0.23	0.16	0.17	0.11	0.5
sulphate (mg/L)	410	1448	386	280	143	335	383	398	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.



Water Cuality Management Department Urectorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality lateratorwitinwe.go.ug P.O.Box 19, Entebbe Tet 041-321342

BORATORI Issued by DEC 2020



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 19th November 2020

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

Date received

: 2rd December 2020 Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020

TEST RESULTS

Sample Nume	H Phyto-200	S2	R Phyto/200	83	J Phyto/200	P Migera minerals River	C2 minerals	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	E43601	E43602	E11603	Fascor	Erikov	111000		permisible for Natural
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l.)	26	01	47	06	633	1543606	E43607	putable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1398	\$860	1464	75100	925	37	376	100
TDS (mg4.)	979	4102	1025	52570	646	1188	632	2500
Turbidity (NTU)	41.1	2.6	10.1	3,2	33.7	1.03	156	1900
fron (mg/L)	1.55	0,34	0.08	<0.01	3.38	3.34	2.54	25
Aluminium (mg/L)	1.30	0.73	0.51	2.80	3.42	3.21	0.12	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	2	~2	<2	<2	<2	17.4	
Total Hardness (eg/L)	469	303	644	690	332	646	295	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L) Magnesium Hardness	230	1.95	200	288	156	299	139	500
(mg/L)	239	197	384	402	176	347	156	669
Culcium (mg/L)	92	42	104	115	62	120	56	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	57	47	92	96	42	83	37	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls) Ustal Coliforms	15	18	8	21	16	10	12	0
CFU/100mils)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
Manganese (mg/L)	4.70	<0.01	7.10	<0.01	2.60	2.30	0.07	<0.01
Phonhates (mg/L)	0.08	0,15	0.05	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	0.7
hlorides (mg/L)	160	39	195	85	92	143	105	250
litrates as N (mg/L)	3,20	2.45	1.91	0.41	1.27	5.40	3,80	10
ammonium as N(mg/L)	0.46	0.27	0.28	1.19	0.55	0.32	0.46	0.5
ulphate (mg/L)	412	1685	464	432	259	508	226	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter AL ANAL



Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality Jato atory/Brivet go.og P O Box 19, Entotibe Tel: 041 301 aco Tel: 041-321342

LABOR Issued by DEC 2020



				Cer	rtificate of Ana	lysis			
Client Name		: JBN	Consu	Its and I	Planners Ltd	1.4.455			
Client Address		: Plo	t 48 Mi	bago Ro	ad, Najiera 1				
Sample type & Locat	ion	: Su	face wa	ater from	n River Mishumi	ba Isingiro	District		
Date Sampled		: 19"	Noven	nber 20	20	and the group of			
Date received		: 2 nd	Decem	ber 202	0				
Analysis Completion	data	: 28	h Decen	nber 20	20				
TEST RESULTS							3		
Sample Name	N minerals	Himmerala	1 minerals	O musecals	C physicizes plankton	O plotašao	Q physicsee	Z Phytorizan	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	E43608	E43609	E43610	643611	E43612	613613	E43614	E41615	permisible for Natural
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	29	21	132	71	1402	160	95	202	100
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1589	1384	936	975	971	1448	1744	1412	2500
TDS (mg/L)	1112	969	655	683	580	1614	1221	988	1500
Turkidity (NTU)	2.53	12	10.2	45.6	89.7	3.65	22.6	13.4	25
Iron (mg/L)	<0.01	1.51	1.29	1:01	4.84	0.02	1.36	0.05	1
Aluminium (ng/L)	<0.001	1.60	3.78	0.13	0.16	1.98	16.00	0.82	
Total Alkalisity (mg/L)	21	<2	<2	8.8	<2	<2	<2	2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	602	319	337	353	351	545	665	531	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L) Magnesium Hardness	279	241	159	166	165	253	308	217	600
(mg/1.)	323	378	179	187	186	292	357	284	600
Calcium (mg/l.)	112	97	63	66	66	101	123	99	150
Magnesium (mg/l.)	78	67	43	45	45	70	56	68	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mdv) Court Collin	3	43	-51	65	25	36	-12	17	0
(CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
Manganese (mg/L)	4.40	3.40	2.60	3.20	0.04	7.80	3.70	1.80	e0.01
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.46	<0.01	0.24	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.16	0.03	0.7
Chlorides (mg/L)	203	155,	72	138	128	197	165	217	250
Sitentes as N (mg/L)	2.10	2.00	9.00	0.78	0.38	1:44	5.16	5.88	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	0.17	0.49	0.86	0.15	0.95	0.21	0.39	0.16	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	422	406	260	284	255	400	569	353	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by At RATIONAL WAS FILE OF DALLEY OUT TO SEE A DEPONICIENT DEPENDENT

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Jaco skory Bruwe ao up</u> P.O.Box 19, Entebbe Tet 041-321342

ABORATORIES Issued by DEC 2020 m



				Cel	uncare	OI Mild	iyala			
Client Name		: JBN C	onsult	s and I	lanner	s Ltd	- Bachard			
Client Address		: Plot 4	8 Mba	ago Ro	ad, Najj	era 1				
Sample type & Locati	on	: Surfa	ce wat	er from	n River	Mishum	ba Isingiro Distr	ict		
Date Sampled		: 19 th N	ovem	ber 20	20					
Date received		: 2"d De	ecemb	er 202	0					
Analysis Completion	data	: 28 th C)ecem	ber 20	20					
TEST RESULTS										
Sample Nome	Y physiologic	G phytoózoa	RI	A physo	L minerala	S phytostaso	P phyto'azo Kagouji	Q mnerak	Fmrents	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum permisible for Natural
Laboratory Number	E43636	E43617	643618	E43619	E43620	E43621	E43622	E43623	E43624	potable Water)
(mg/L)	908	289	51	90	177	321	1486	118	-75	100
Electrical Conducts ity	1000	1515	Jana	CHORE .	11177	763	1947	1221	1997	2500
(headenn)	1022	1312	20403	333	1377	700	1,002		Tear	2000
TDS (mg/1.)	715	918	49280	699	964	533	957	1240	859	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	160	28.2	1.71	28.9	0.44	98.3	118	\$.35	53.4	25
Iron (mg/L)	2.38	2.47	0.09	2.17	0.33	5.76	2.18	0.52	4.76	15
Aluminians (wg/L)	<0.001	5.1	2.45	3.14	6.54	0.76	2.34	19	3.38	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	55	<2	<2	<2	<	96.8	81.4	<2		
Tutal Hardness (mg/L)	372	490	722	363	516	267	512	576	455	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	174	228	258	170	240	126	238	313	212	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	198	262	-169	193	276	140	274	363	243	600
Calcium (mg/l.)	70	91	103	68	96	51	95	125	85	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	47	63	111	46	66	34	66	87	58	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	19	24	4	21	4	23	9	2	34	0
Total Culiforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>290	n:
Manganese (mg/l.)	0.02	3.10	2.80	2.40	3.40	6.80	7.50	3.90	5.30	+0.61
Phoshates (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.11	<0.01	0.7
Chlorides (mg/L)	176	150	113	92	143	72	153	165	63	330
Nitrates as N (ng/L)	1.54	3.10	1.54	1.00	3,20	0.12	6.32	7.30	1.70	10
Ammonium as N(mg3.)	0.67	0.35	0.88	0.59	0.19	1.93	131	0.54	0.91	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	280	376	1050	232	393	155	292	587	358	400

Notes;

Sulphate (mg/l.)

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter AL ANALYSI

Checked by SR e WWGPT 4 NATURAL WATER OUADAY REFERSACE LADIOLOGY (BUILDER)

Water Quality Management Department. Directorate of Water Resources Management. <u>Waterquality Isboratory@rowe.go.uc</u> 9:0 Box 19, Entebbo Tet. 041-321342

LABOR Issued by 定 DEC 2021 m



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled

ion : Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 19th November 2020

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Date received : 2rd December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020

TEST RESULTS

Sample Name	l Minerala	P migera River Phyto/zoo	K Minerals	R2	E phyteône	C minerals	W Phyte and Zoo	\$3	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	154362.9	E43626	1943627	E#3628	E43629	E43630	E43631	E43632	permisible for Natanal potable Water)
Chemical Osygen Demand (mg/L)	103	64	48	73	83	47	74	39	100
Electrical Conductivity (pS/cm)	1605	1709	1376	80200	1163	912	689	72000	2500
TDS (mg/L)	1124	1196	963	56140	81.4	638	482	58400	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	- 1	13.4	0.93	3:69	244	107	191	1.75	25
tron (ngil.)	-0.01	2.7	1.07	0.02	8.24	5.21	1.14	1.82	1
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.08	2.94	0.63	2.65	3.5	0.14	0.27	0.53	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	11.6	<2	-12	52	<2	-2	74.5	<2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	609	651	816	602	429	328	237	326	600
Calcium Hardows (mg/L)	282	302	240	252	201	154	113	114	600
Magnesium Hardness (ng/L)	327	350	276	350	229	173	124	212	600
Calcium (mg/L)	113	121	96	TOL	80	62	45	46	1.50
Magnesium (mg/L)	78	84	66	84	55	42	30	. 51	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mis)	3	14	16	8	36	34	23	si	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mbs)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	u
Manganese (ng/L)	5,00	2.30	2.89	<0.01	3,10	3.50	0.87	<8,01	+0.01
Phoshates (mg/L)	<0.01	0.06	0,08	0.02	0.03	-05,01	0.20	0.23	0.7
Chlorides (ng/L)	214	151	154	85	67	77	78	37	290
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	0.20	3.69	3.15	0.33	0.34	0.47	2.87	0.16	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	0.07	0.29	0.39	0.00	1.38	0.95	0.76	0.82	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	426	495	405	1112	364	118	141	1011	100

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter PATA ANALY STANDED FOR THE SAMPLE SAMPLE



Water Cuality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality informatory@mee.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel. 041-321362

LABORATORIES Issued by 94 REFERE



				Certifica	te of Ana	IYSIS				
Client Name		: JBI	V Consult	s and Planne	rs Ltd					
Client Address		; Plo	ot 48 Mba	go Road, Na	ijera 1					
Sample type & Lo	cation	: Su	rface wat	er from Rive	r Mishum	ba Isingi	iro District			
Date Sampled		: 19	th Novemb	per 2020		1010185				
Date received		: 2"	^d Decemb	er 2020						
Analysis Completi	on data	: 28	th Decemi	ber 2020						
TEST RESULTS										
Sample Name	Gt B morrals	11Physic and aco	D Zoo platkier	P Mzersis Kagozj	K physo and zoo	1 physo-soo	F physic and zeo	R Minpole	N Zez-Phyto	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum nerminible for Natural
Laboratory Number	643633	E43534	E43635	£43636	843637	E43531	1543639	E43640	E43641	patable Water)
Chemical Oxygen Derwood (mg/l.)	-u	nn	1035	42	15	98	.29	39	68	160
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1463	1626	1092	1511	1387	429	1203	1426	1411	2500
TDS (mgl.)	1024	1138	764	918	971	300	842	998	1063	1500
Tarlédity (NTU)	2.23	20,1	235	69.8	25.6	26.7	102	7,89	36.4	25
Iron (mg/L)	0.49	0.12	5,36	0.76	1.07	1.01	5.84	0,05	0,8	11
Alaminium (mg/l.)	6.67	0.05	9,45	0.23	6,71	0.57	3,21	2.71	48.001	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	16	-2	20	-2	54.2	9	-2	-2	
Total Handness (mg/L)	351	617	401	490	520	101	446	536	538	100
Calcium Hundress (mg/L)	236	285	187	228	242	65.	208	249	250	100
Magnesium Hardness (mg/t.)	295	334	213	252	278	67	238	287	288	600
Calcium (11g/L)	102	10	75	91	97	26	83	100	100	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	τı	\$0	.51	63	61	16	37	69	69	102
E. Coll (CFUI0Imk)	37	. 94	21	16	6	36	34	29	12	.0
Total Celiforus (CFU/100mb)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>290	>203	>200	>200	>200	0
Mangamese (og/L)	4.10	7.90	2.80	0.71	4.50	0.03	4.70	6.10	1,20	+0.00
Phosheses (mg/L)	0.03	0.05	0,09	0.01	0,10	0.23	-0.01	<0.01	0.94	9.T
Chlorides (sigil.)	128	230	81	191	164	77	77	181	168	250
Nitrates as N (mpll.)	3.61	0.25	1.59	11.00	3,80	4.20	3,45	2.30	0.71	10
Ammenium as N(rep1.)	0.25	0,35	9.84	0.13	0.48	0.55	1.14	0.17	0.28	0,5
Sulphate (mp/L)	3474	423	335	300	3199	32	340	403	100	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed, mg/l-stands for milligrams per liteCIPAL ANALYSE

Checked by

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality:aboratory@mwe.go.up P.O.Box 19, Enteboe Tel: 041-321342

LABO Issued by RILS sh. 2020 n n



Client Name		- 10 M	Constant	Cerun	cate of	Analysis	5			
Client Address		: JBN	Consults	and Plar	ners Ltd					
Sample type & Location		: PIO	t 48 Mbag	o Road,	Najjera	1				
Date Sampled	on	: Sur	face wate	r from R	iver Misl	humba Isi	ngiro Di	strict		
Date received		19	Novembe	er 2020						
Applusic Completion of		: 2 *	Decembe	r 2020						
TEST RESULTS	ata	: 28°	Decembe	er 2020						
ILST NESULIS	-	1	-	1	-					
Sample Name	C2 Physo	T Minerals	N Phytofapo	S minerals	Y Mitternly	M Minerals	E minerals	D minerals	G Materials	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number Chemical Occurry Damaged	E43642	E43643	0.03644	E43645	E43646	E43647	843618	1543649	1:43650	permisible for Natural potable Water)
(mg/l.)	72	12	83	65	73	47	8.0	15		100
Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)	970	377	1611	685	975	1-029	1135	1236	1360	2506
TDS (mg/L)	679	264	1128	450	683	994	795	851	952	1530
Turbidity (NTU)	212	1.58	18.7	83.5	186	1.99	225	149	14.7	25
tron (ng:1.)	5.74	0,1	0.05	1.9	0.65	0,30	4.85	5.18	1.04	
Atominium (ag/L)	0.10	0.53	<0.001	0.64	0.36	2.6	4.1	0.52	3.98	
Total Alkalinity (ng/L)	90.4	.50	25.2	22.2	9.6	-2	<2	-2	<2	
Total Harriness (mg/L)	351	110	611	235	353	534	418	451	509	600
Colcium Hardness (mg/L)	16.5	55	283	112	165	248	195	210	237	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	186	55	328	123	187	286	223	241	272	600
Calcium (mg/L)	-66	32	- 113	45	66	99	78	84	95	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	45	13	70	30	45	69	53	58	6.5	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	19	6	53	. 11	7.5	8	4	32	15	0
CFU/100mls)	>200	>209	>200	>200	>200	>260	>200	>200	>200	n
Manganese (mg/L)	6.70	0.56	6.80	<0.01	0.05	0.18	5.20	3.30	2.70	+0.05
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.04	<0.01	0.05	-0.01	<0.01	-00.01	<0.01	-0.01	<0.01	0.7
Chlorides (mg/l.)	112	125	220	55	143	98	115	137	142	250
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	1.60	2.10	1.90	0.10	16:00	2.70	0.49	0.40	3 00	10
Amminium as N(ng/L)	1.57	0.05	0.24	0.67	0.11	0.09	0.81	0.94	0.53	0.5
iulphate (ng/l.)	223	27	415	206	286	409	304	335	390	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter (PAL AMALYS)

Checked by d

Water Cusity Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Watercusity incoreloxy@mwe.ao.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tet: 041-321342

Issued by RIES 2-3 DEC 2020 北 in An



					Cell	incate	OF ALL	alysis					
Client Name		:	JBN Co	onsults	and PL	anners	Ltd						
Client Address			Plot 4	8 Mbas	to Road	d, Naije	era 1						
Sample type & Loca	tion		Surfac	e wate	r from	River A	Mishun	aba tsir	eiro Di	strict			
Date Sampled			19 th No	ovemb	er 2020)	a la carra de		Bue by	Jerre L			
Date received			2 nd De	cembe	r 2020	5							
Analysis Completion	n data		28th D	ecemb	er 2020	0							
TEST RESULTS							_	_					
Sample Name	21	Z2	Z3	81	N2	N3	UT.	U2:	U 3	τı	12	13	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	E43651	E43652	E43653	643634	E43655	1543636	D43637	E43658	E43659	E43660	143661	E43662	permisible for Natural potable Wateri
Chemical Oxygen Demand	116	100			100		-		147	030255	1. 2.5	1	3420
Electrical Conductivity	110	112		40	30	-43	81	31	31	147	м	- 31	100
(µS/cm)	121400	110000	130800	136409	112699	124900	128200	116600	116300	146200	112000	108100	2500
TDS (mg/L)	87780	77000	91560	95480	82320	87430	89740	81629	81410	102340	78400	75670	3500
Turbidity (NTU)	5,68	53	1.75	2.14	5.82	4 79	26.2	2.13	26.7	2.65	424	5.1	25
troe (ing/L)	<0.01	<0.01	+0.01	<6,01	<0.01	-0.01	0.08	0.11	0.17	-0.01	-0.01	<0.01	1
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.94	0.85	0.85	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	0.21	0.24	8.19	0.61	0.71	0.77	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	-2	12	-2	<2	-2	-2	2	-9	-2	12	~2	<2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	498	458	479	492	510	490	500	505	497	480	446	458	600
Colcium Handness (mg/L)	331	369	300	378	298	250	194	210	217	350	300	349	600
Magnesium Hardness Img/L)	141	98	179	114	212	210	306	295	280	130	146	109	600
Calcium (mg/l.)	142	144	120	151	119.	112	-78	81	87	140	120	140	150
Magnesium (ng/L)	35	24	43	27	31	50	73	71	67	31	35	26	100
E. Coli (CPU/100mb)	4	á.	44	(c)	140	-	4	-1	4	-1	<1	st	
Total Colifornis (CFL/100mls)	>200	>200	>208	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	а. П
Manganese (mp/L)	0.07	0.05	-0.01	-95.01	-0.01			<0.01	<0.01	~0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-001
Phothates (mg/L)	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	-0.01	0.29	0.31	0.09	0.12	9.11	<0.01	-0.01	<0.61	0.7
Chlarides (ng/L)	67	68	60	n3	70	74	42	89	83	19	25	33	250
Nitrates as N (reg/L)	4.16	3.50	3.60	1,80	2.30	1.30	3.30	3.87	3.64	0.15	0.18	0.21	10
Ammunium as N(mg-L)	0,20	0.24	9.26	-001		-9.61	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	<0.01		<0.01	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	1000	1013	1084	1001	993	958	971	964	968	989	993	1002	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter IPAL ANALYSE

Checked

Water Quality Maragement Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality laboratory@mwn.go.ug</u> P:0 Box 19, Entebbe Tet 041-321342

LABO RATORIES Issued by k 14-6 2021 1.40 THURS -Sign



: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District

Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled

: 19th November 2020

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Date received : 2rd December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Sample Name	P1 kagonji	P2 Kagoni	P3 hagorgi	P1 nigeera	P2 Migeen	P3 Migeern	л	12	13	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	643663	E43664	E43665	E43666	E43667	E43668	E43669	E43670	E43671	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	42	72	67	59	64	50	73	40	52	100
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	129900	106100	145400	126200	153900	108900	115100	120800	145900	2500
TDS (mg/L)	90930	74270	101780	68340	107730	76230	80570	81560	102136	1503
Turbidity (NTU)	36.3	35.1	18	3.05	2.9	3.4	6.6	7.2	5.8	25
fran (mg/1.)	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.18	0,21	0.27	0.02	0.03	0.02	3
Aluminium (mg/l.)	2.14	2,43	2.31	2.91	2.75	3.18	3.70	3,45	3.76	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	4	<2	-2	<2	2	<2	<2	<2	<2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	512	498	503	510	502	506	471	478	475	600
Calcium Hardness (ng/L)	358	351	304	298	313	246	238	230	225	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	154	147	199	212	189	260	233	248	250	603
Calcium (mg/L)	143	140	122	119	125	98	95	92	90	130
Magnesium (mg/L)	37	35	48	51	45	62	56	60	60	100
E. Coll (CFU/100mls)	4	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	~1	<1	<1	ō
Total Coliforns (CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
Manganese (mg/1.)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	=0.61
Phoshutes (mg/L)	0.06	0.30	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.2
Chlorides (mg/L)	63	74	6t	48	52	SR	35	33	36	250
Nitrates as N (mg/L)	10.00	5.60	7.40	3 30	2.40	2.90	<0.01	-0.01	-0.01	18
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	+10.01	+10.03	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.5
Sulphate (mg/L)	897	964	899	1028	1038	1035	1017	1025	1018	400

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter AT AMALY STA

Checke

Water Cuality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mee.go.up P.O.Box 19, Entrothe Tet: 041-321342

LABORATOROSS Issued by J DEC 2021 14710



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled Date received

: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 19th November 2020

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

: 2nd December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Sample Name	ĸŧ	K2	K3	Li	12	2,3	M	M2	MI	11	12	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	E43672	E43673	B13674	E43675	E43676	E43677	E43678	E41670	1143680			premisible for Natural
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/l.)	233	187	148	38	32	26	15	19.5075	13	140601	2:43682	potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (pS/cm)	158900	151000	176000	135800	104400	143300	181300	154200	1565	144500	144206	190
TDS (mg/L)	111230	105700	123200	95060	73080	100310	126910	107940	1096	129150	100940	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	3.03	3.6	1.5	1.7	2.1	2.3	8.07	-11	7.8	2.86	3.2	15
tron (mg/L)	<9.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.38	0.16	0.32	1
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.59	0.68	0.72	6.14	7.80	7.40	2.80	2.40	2.90	0.07	0.08	
Fotal Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	-12	<	~2	<2	-2	<	-2	<2	-2	<2	
Total Handness (mg/L)	498	485	482	450	438	444	587	810	593	657	580	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	223	237	220	208	211	328	346	121	275	30.3	1.10	1000
Magnesium Hardness (mg/l.)	275	268	262	242	227	216	241	289	318	363	332	600
Calcium (mg/L)	89	87	55	83	84	91	138	128	110	(21	90	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	66	64	63	58	54	.52	58	69	76	85	80	100
Coli (CFU/100mls)	<1	<1	100	1	1	1	24		34 U	63.7	13.1	
Fotal Californis CPU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	2200	0
dasganese (og/L)	<0.01	<0.01	s) 01	<0.01	+0.01	0.01	1.30	-0.01	12	<0.01	-0.01	
boshates (mg/L)	0.02	0.03	0,03	0.09	0.08	0.08	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.7
likarides (mg/L)	47	44	-43	48	86	52	50	47	187	35	68	250
idrates as N (mg/L)	-0.01	<0.01		2.40	2.10	2.00	6,40	0.48	0.26	0.29	0.31	10
annonium as N(ng/L)	43.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0,01	-0.01	+00.01	-9.01	-02.01	<0.01	×0.01	-0.01	0.4
ulphote (mg/L)	892	894	887	1007	997	999	937	964	515	928	937	422

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed, mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter IPAT, AMAT, YST

Check ZP OULET!

Water Cuality Mensgement Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Water quality introntomi@riwe goung</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tot: 041-321342

LABORATORIES π 2 J DEC 2020 z'i



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Sample type & Location Date Sampled

Location : Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 19th November 2020 : 2nd December 2020

Date received 2nd December Analysis Completion data 28th December

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Sample Name	VI	V2	¥3	vi	Y2	YI	W1	W2	wa	91	Q2	Q3	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Muximum
Laboratory Number	E43683	E43684	143685	E43586	E43587	DIVIS.	Faten	Certan	Tites		Lines.	Sec. C	permisible for Natural
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	53	18	28	47	57	*4	L'ADRAV	113690	Intrast.	1543692	1-13693	543094	potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (uStem)	11.5600	111500	112800	113200	INOLOG	122500	maxin	41	30	72	65	63	100
TDS (mg/L)	80920	78050	78960	88540	91070	\$3750	135240	23710	133430	TOSCOR	130008	114900	2509
Turbidity (NTU)	38.2	53	43	1.51	190	287	70.7	91	65	13	11.0	80430	1500
Irin (mg/L)	2.7	0.98	13	0.34	0.28	0.39	0.43	0.51	0.59	0.64	0.48	0.42	
Aluminium (mg/1.)	6.18	5.60	1.80	0.38	0.41	0.36	0.31	0.29	0.28	18.00	21.00	22.00	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	-2	<2	=2	3	<2	-3	<2	4	0	4	
Total Bardoess (mg/L)	\$21	490	507	495	-187	476	502	456	634	454	477	487	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L) Magnesium Hardness	219	227	208	256	237	247	205	211	290	246	240	254	600
(mg/L)	292	263	299	239	250	229	297	285.	344	208	232	213	600
Calcium (mg/l.)	55	91	\$3	102	95	99	82	84	116	98	96	102	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	70	63	72	57	60	55	71	68	83	50	57	56	100
Coli (CFU100mls)	<1	43	<1	<1	4	4	4	<1	.<1	4	<1	-1	0
CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
fanganese (mg/L)	<0,01	-8.91	<0.01	<0.01	-20.01	<0.0	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.04	*8.01
hoshates (ng/L)	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.21	0,14	0.23	0.29	0.33	0.31	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.7
'Morides (mg/L)	43	47	38	42	43	41	37	34	40	48	53	58	250
ötentes as N (mg/L)	3.60	5.90	5.80	6.50	4,30	4,90	2.40	1.80	2.30	3.20	2.50	2.70	10.
mnonium as N(mg/L)	-0.01	<0.01	-0.61	0.32	0.26	0.43	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-6.0)	<0.01	-0.01	0.5
alphate (mg/L)	993	1000	997	1032	1023	1030	921	947	931	1034	1017	1016	100

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter CIPAL ANALYS C

Checked

Water Duelity Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Isboratory@mwe.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041.321342

LABOSSued by RUES 1º 2.3 DEC 2020 NI HELL



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Locatio

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Sample type & Location : Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District Date Sampled : 19th November 2020

d : 19th November 2020 d : 2nd December 2020

Date received Analysis Completion data

TEST RESULTS

tion data : 28th December 2020

Sample Name	01	02	03	RI	B2	B3	B mnerab	B zee plaukton	B Plasa	Drinking water standards (DEAS12/2018 Maximum
Laboratory Number	E43695	543095	F43697	1111/09	Eastern	1.11700	100000	1.12-22-1-0		permisible for Natural
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	26	39	46	10	51	543700	643702	E43702	E43703	potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	108603	161500	155300	74300	104000	92400	1753	52	62	100
TDS (mg/L)	76020	113050	107310	\$2010	72808	64680	849	614	5.09	2500
Turbidity (NTU)	3	2.72	3.4		3.32	1.5	15	041	0.93	1500
Iron (mg/L)	0.04	0.06	0.02	3,89	3.74	3.95	3.36	4.79	4.44	1
Aluminium (mg/L)	2.20	2.60	2.00	0.92	0.89	0.95	0.79	0.85	0.88	
Total Alkolinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	~2	<2	<2	<2	0	<2	<2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	506	530	531	521	491	488	450	31.3	310	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	287	238	249	251	228	217	210	148	146	200
(mg/L)	219	292	282	270	263	271	240	166	105	600
Calcium (mgA.)	115	95	100	100	91	87	84	59	58	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	33	70	68	65	63	65	58	49	39	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	4	<1	<1	<1	~1	<1	8	- 11	14	0
CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	>200	0
Manganese (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	1.90	2.60	2.70	2.90	s0.01
^a hoshates (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.28	0.01	0.7
Thiordes (mg/L)	71	52	56	35	38	36	60	79	64.5	250
Sitrates as N (mg/L)	1.30	1.10	0.98	1.50	2.10	1.80	0.25	0.28	1.70	10
Ammonium as N(mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	0.12	0.64	0.5
iulphate (mg/L)	983	985	979	988	992	000	1163	302	161	100

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter PALAMALYST

Chec athhy POPULATION WAT THE GROUP OF

Water Quality Management Department Directorale of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.go.gg P O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

BORATORIES Issued by 士 1 n



		Cer	tificate of	Analysis				
Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location	: JBN Co : Plot 4 : Soil Sa	onsults and P 8 Mbago Roa mples from	lanners Lto ad, Najjera River Mish	l 1 umba Isingi	iro District			
Date Sampled Date received Analysis Completion data	: 28 th No : 2 nd De : 28 th De	ovember 202 cember 202 ecember 202	20 0 20					
TEST RESULTS			-				-	
Laboratory Number	E43735	E43736	E43737	E43738	E43739	E43740	E43741	E43742
Sample/Source Name	E AFTER BRIDGE	м	I **	A	CI	т	z	3
Cadmium (mg/kg)	<0.2	< 0.2	<0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	<0.2	0.3
Lead (mg/kg)	23	84	82	13	14	5.2	42	15
Copper (mg/kg)	42	115	166	15	15	7.9	78	17
Zinc (mg/kg)	30	79	87	22	30	16	36	38
Cobalt (mg/kg)	7.0	16	8.3	0.9	5.1	4.3	7.6	6.2
Nickel (mg/kg)	12	29	27	13	14	7.7	15	14
Selenium (mg/kg)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Vanadium (mg/kg)	62	176	239	51	33	11	105	32
Mercury (mg/kg)	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by



PRINCTISSUED by NALYST LABORATORIES 读 2-3 UEC 2020 NATIO REFEREN Sign 2

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Lisborstony (R</u>river going P.O Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342



: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location

Sample type & Location : Soil Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District Date Sampled : 28th November 2020

Date received : 2nd December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E43743	E43744	E43745	E43746	E43747	E43748	E43749	E43750	E43751
Sample/Source Name	L	н	Q	E	D	U/N	x	0	B
Cadmium (mg/kg)	<0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.3	0 0.0
Lead (mg/kg)	49	13	41	11	11	88	73	65	0.0
Copper (mg/kg)	116	16	28	14	71	108	202	00	21
Zinc (mg/kg)	78	19	39	20	11	26	205	98	10
Cobalt (mg/kg)	13	1.7	17	16	51	10	34	8/	18
Nickel (mg/kg)	26	8.6	16	1.0	2.1	19	12	26	1.4
Selenium (mg/kg)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	11	3.7	21	17	38	24
Vanadium (mg/Rg)	~0.2	~0.2	S0,2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	< 0.2
vanadium (mg/kg)	00	28	65	28	12	240	217	83	69
vlercury (mg/kg)	<1	<1	<	<]	<]	<1	<1	<1	<1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by



Issued by PRINCIPAL ANALYST LABORATORIES 0 DEC 2020 IT. TREN Signi

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterouelity Interatory/Drive on un</u> P.O. Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342



: Soll Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District

Client Name : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled

Date Sampled : 28th November 2020 Date received : 2nd December 2020

Date received : 2nd December 2020 Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E43727	E43728	E43729	E43730	E43731	E43732	E43733	E43734
Sample/Source Name	v	R	E BEFORE BRIDGE	к	C2	G	I	p
Cadmium (mg/kg)	< 0.2	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.2	<0.2	0.2
Lead (mg/kg)	80	22	38	48	3.1	30	36	10
Copper (mg/kg)	209	33	42	193	24	20	71	92
Zine (mg/kg)	17	21	63	77	3.7	20	60	0.0
Cobalt (mg/kg)	14	1.5	11	11	11	8 1	10	15
Nickel (mg/kg)	16	14	24	36	11	0.5	20	3,3
Selenium (mg/kg)	< 0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	>0	2.8
Vanadium (mg/kg)	200	70	108	70	7	-0,2	120	<0.2
Mercury (mg/kg)	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	60	139	18

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter.





Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Water Quality laboratory (20mwe go.ing</u> P. O. Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342



Client Name Client Address

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Sample type & Location Date Sampled

: Soil Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 28th November 2020

Date received : 2nd December 2020

: 28th December 2020 Analysis Completion data TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number		E43727	E43728	E43729	E43730	E43731	E43732
Sample/Source Name	Units	v	R	E BEFORE BRIDGE	к	C2	G
	Or	ganochiorine Pe	sticides	24 - C			
BHC	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lindane (g-B)	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aldrin	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxychlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosulfan	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DDT	µ8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Orgar	ophosphorous	Pesticide	s	and the second		
Dichlorvos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methacrifos	μ <u>8/</u> Ε	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diazinon	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Etrimfos	μ <u>8</u> /g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phosphamidon	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloropyrifos methyl	HB/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fenitrothion	HB/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Primiphosmethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Malathion	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorpyrifos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Parathionethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pirimiphosethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorfenvinphos	µg/g	ND	ND	NDIT	. ND-	ND.	ND

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. ND means Not Detected

LABORATORIE: The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for meligrams per liter DEC 2020

Checked BANAGER 9 * NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwc.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebor Tel: 041-321342

N NATIONAL issued b REFERENCE 1311 Sign:.


MINISTRY OF WATER AND ENVIRONMENT NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTEBBE Certificate of Analysis

Client Name Client Address

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Sample type & Location : Soil Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District

Date Sampled Date received

: 28" November 2020 : 21ª December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020 TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number		E43739	E43740	E43741	E41742	1042742	Fannes
Sample/Source Name	Units	CI	T	Z	12	1.	E43744
Ditta	Org	anochlorine Pes	ticides	10	10	IL.	In
BHC	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	IND	IND	INITS
Lindane (g-B)	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND
Heptachlor	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aldrin	µ8/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	IND	ND
Oxychlordane	11g/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	NUS	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordane	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	ue/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	1.0.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosullân	119/9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DDT	up/a	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Omana	IND .	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dichlorvos	ug/o	phosphorous P	esticides				
Methacrifos	nola	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diazinon	PH/S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
trimfos	Page	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
bosphamidon	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
homonyifos method	1µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
entrothion	hes	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
riminhoomathad	HB/B	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Inlathion	H8/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
kloss site	hBla	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
anorpycnos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
aramonemyr	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
miniphoseunyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
nortenvinphos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID

Notes:

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. ND means Not Detected

PRINCIPAL ANA The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

LABORATORY Checked by WAGER NWORL NUTIONAL WATER QUALITY REPERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBR

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Internetory@mwc.go.ug</u> P.O.Box 19, Enterbe Tet 041-321342

六 23 ued NATIO REFEREN Sign:



MINISTRY OF WATER AND ENVIRONMENT NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTEBBE Certificate of Analysis

Client Name

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled

: Soil Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 28th November 2020

Date received

: 2nd December 2020

: 28th December 2020 Analysis Completion data TEST RESULTS

	E43733	E43734	E43735	E43736	E43737	F43738
Units	1	р	E AFTER BRIDGE	м	1**	A
Org	anochlorine Pe	sticides			1	
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µ8/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
μ <u>8/g</u>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µ8/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Organ	phosphorous	Pesticides	1.00	14 PA	para	ND
48/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NIN
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
µp/g	ND	ND	ND	MD	ND	ND
4.8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ug/g	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND
ue/e	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
110/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
42/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
48/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ug/g	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND
up/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Units Org	E43733 Units I Organochlorine Pe µg/g ND µg/g ND	E43733 E43734 Units I P Organochlorine Pesticides ND ND µg/g ND ND	E43733 E43734 E43735 Units I P BRIDGE Organochlorine Pesticides BRIDGE MD ND ND µg/g ND ND ND µg/g	E43733 E43735 E43735 E43736 Units I P BRIDGE M Organochlorine Pesticides P BRIDGE M µg/g ND ND ND ND ND µg/g ND ND ND ND ND	E43733 E43735 E43735 E43736 E43737 Units I P BRIDGE M I ** 0rganochlorine Pesticides ND ND

Notes:

PRINCIPAL ANALYST Samples are analyzed on as received basis. ND means Not Detected The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results S are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter.

Checked by ORATORY ANAGER DO 120 NWOSEL NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REPEARANCE LABORATORY ENTERING

Water Gualty Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Isboratory@rww.go.so</u> P.O.Box 19, Entebbo Tel: 041-321342

2626 NATIONA sseed b REFERENCE Signia



MINISTRY OF WATER AND ENVIRONMENT NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTEBBE Certificate of Analysis

Client Name **Client Address**

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Sample type & Location Date Sampled

: Soil Samples from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 28th November 2020

Date received : 2rd December 2020

Analysis Completion data : 28th December 2020

Т

TEST RESULTS	5
Laboratory N	umber

	_	1:43745	E43746	E43747	E43748	E43749	E43750	124376
Sample/Source Name	Units	Q	E	D	U/N	x	0	1.4375
BHC	Luck.	Organochloria	ne Pesticio	les	Tenta	IA	10	B
Lindane (o.B)	48/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	IND	MID	laura -
Hentachlor	PB/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aklrin	µ8/ <u>8</u>	ND	NÐ	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	11 <u>6</u> /8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND
Davchlordane	H8/E	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gaurna-chlurdane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordano	HB/B	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	IND
Dieldrin	P8/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NO	ND
Indrin	HB/B	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
indosulfan	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NU	ND
DDT	HR/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	IND
	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND.
)ichlaravar	Or	ganophosphor	ous Pestic	ides	1.112	han	IND	ND
Aethaeritise	P8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	NIN	lares -	Lease -
ligarran	14B/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Trimfos	14 <u>8</u> /8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
hosohamidoa	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
harmanifur most a	HB/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	NUN	ND	ND
enitrothice	118/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
iminhowmath. 4	μ <u>β/g</u>	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
a kothian	148/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND
bloom site	1/8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
matpyriles	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND I	ND	ND	ND
a automennyi	<u>рв/g</u>	ND	ND	ND	NIC)	ND	ND	ND
shaftania	H6/6	ND I	ND	ND	ND IN	ND	ND	ND
iconcovinghos	HB/g	ND	ND	ND	110	ND	ND	ND
tes;			-	100	NO.	ND.	ND	ND

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. ND means Not Detected

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. ND means Not Detected The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams por Itel ORATORIES

DR	BORATORY
HINNER	2.8 DEC 2020 MYORA
E NATTO	NAL WATER QUALITY CE LOCORATORY -ENTEBBE

Water Curvity Management Department Droptorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquarity Isbox star-yohnwe no ug</u> P.O. Box 19, Entelbate Tel: 041-321342

女 NATE REFERENC 46 14 Sign:.. EWTERSE

Water Quality Analysis -Laboratory Results for the Dry Season

February 2021



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021

TEST RESULTS		0	_	4	10		_	
Laboratory Number	E46435	E46436	E46437	E46:38	E46439	E46440	E16441	Dricking water standards (DEAS12:2318 Maximum
Sample Name	6Phytoo	6s				7 Minerals	75	permittible for Natistal potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	808	232000	805	215000	223000	1270	198900	2500
TDS (mg/L)	565.6	162400	563.5	150500	156100	889	139230	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	27	17	14	3	35	26	17	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	225	122	249	97	290	302	292	250
Manganese (mg/L)	2.8	2.51	2.4	<0.01	<0.01	1.96	0.28	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	1.52	1.34	1.29	<0,01	<0.01	0.32	<0.01	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	3.15	2.12	1.93	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.21	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	200	210	206	1233	1166	376	1256	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.49	0.38	0.15	<0.02	<0.02	0.38	<0.02	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.82	0.96	0.55	0.42	0.59	0.68	0.65	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	104	22	53	36	41	84	38	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	2	2	1
Total Hardness (mg/L)	280	790	315	830	831	276	782	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	120	340	135	357	357	119	336	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	160	450	180	473	474	157	446	600
Calcium (mg/L)	48	136	54	143	143	47	135	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	38	108	43	114	114	38	107	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	13	(4)	4	<	<	15	<	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	<	>200	<1	<	>200	<	0
Iron (mg/L)	4.8	0.89	0.76	0,34	0.28	0.45	0.65	1

Notes;

Notes; Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrands period Niter PAL ANALYS? LABORATORY

NWORL NWORD 021 ¹⁷ NATIONAL WATER QUALITY DEFENSION LABORATORY - ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality isboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

MAY 202 NATION REFERENCE LITY Sign:.. ENTERR



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd								
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1								
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District								
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021								
Date received	: 7 th April 2021								
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021								
TEST RESULTS									

Laboratory Number	E46442	E46443	E46444	E46445	E46446	E46447	E46448	E46449	E46450	E46451	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	7Zoo	AD	AL	Aminerals	Aphyto	Azoo	CD	CL.	Cminerals	Cphyloo	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (uS/cm)	1297	122000	ND	874	664	712	162000	170300	557	987	2500
TDS (mg/L)	907.9	85400	ND	611.8	464.8	498.4	113400	119210	389.9	690.9	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	9	1	ND	2	3	2	2	1	1.酒	0	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	318	178	ND	319	135	267	180	190	278	220	250
Manganese (mg/L)	2.18	1.89	ND	1.94	2.29	1.99	2.28	2.13	1.98	2.38	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	1.32	1.21	ND	1.27	1.19	51	1.56	1.66	1.45	1.93	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	5	3	ND	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.57	0.78	0.99	0.98	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	368	379	ND	278	236	246	275	259	220	204	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.84	0.78	ND	0.089	0.045	0.063	0.98	0.95	1.4	1.75	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.60	3.92	ND	3.97	3.86	3.62	3.48	0.97	1.08	1.05	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	93	41	ND	65	173	92	55	48	67	98	100
Total Alkalinity (ng/L)	2	2	ND	<2	2	2	2	2	24	106	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	238	710	ND	211	198	376	847	822	211	378	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	102	305	ND	91	85	162	364	353	91	163	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	136	405	ND	120	113	214	483	469	120	215	600
Calcium (mg/L)	40	122	ND	36	34	65	146	141	36	65	150
Magnesium (mg/L) 🛛 🍸	733	. 97	ND_	- 29	27	51	116	112	29	52	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	/ <[<	ND	6	16	22	<]	<	1	1	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	<	ND	>200	>200	>200	<]	<1	>200	>200	0
Iron (mg/L)	2.8	0.52	ND	0.58	2.89	13	0.98	0.76	0.88	1.8	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by BO TORY MA 04 CMWOR NWORL. NATIONAL WATER QUALITY DEFERENCE LASORATORY -ENTERING

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterpusity Laboratory@mwe.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342

PRINCIPAINALYST LABURATORIES NAT × REFERD U LITY ARC Sig ENTERNE ---



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021

TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46426	E46427	E46428	E46429	E46430	E46431	E46432	E46433	E46431	E-dahling water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	6	ID	IL	IMinerals	1.ºhyto	1300	6D	6L	6minerals	persidell's for National potolia, Writeri
Electrical Conductivity (pS/cm)	50600	128200	ND	699	748	589	151400	267000	823 .	2500
TDS (rrg/L)	35420	89740	ND	489.3	523.6	412.3	105930	1869G0	576.1	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	148	224	ND	10	4	4	3	2	34	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	289	67	ND	78	167	156	79	128	197	250
Manganese (mg/L)	<0.01	1.8	ND	1.4	1.7	1.5	< 0.01	<0.01	2.14	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.74	1.4	ND	1.9	1.45	1.67	< 0.01	<0.01	0.86	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	3.5	0.44	ND	2.4	2.6	2	6	5	3	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	1350	1740	ND	245	280	168	1467	1400	208	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.08	0.11	ND	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.50	0.44	ND	1.01	1.16	1.05	1.08	0.91	0.69	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (r:g/L)	57	43	ND	78	146	\$7	33	58	77	. 189 .
Total Alkalinity (reg/L)	<2	<2	ND	2	2	<2	<2	4	<2	
Total Hardness (ing/L)	650	700	ND	200	257	240	840	790	300	,600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	280	- 301	ND	85	111	103	361	340	129	.600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	371	399	ND	114	146	137	479	450	171	600
Calcium (rzg/L)	112	120	ND	34	44	41	144	136	52	150
Magnesiem (mg/L)	89	96	ND	27	35	33	115	108	41	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls) 🛛 🗸 🗸	<1	<1	ND	4	<1	7	<1	<	21	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<1	<1	ND	>200	<	>200	<	<	>200	0
lion (mg/L)	3.2	0.43	ND	0.56	2.4	0.12	0.57	0.76	0.68	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Rest its are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed, mg/l-stands for milligrains per liter

REINCIPAL ANALYST C RATORIES LAB MA NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE CABORATORY-ENTEBBE Sign:....

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.gc.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

MMOR -ENTEBRE



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
	and a second

April 2021 Analysis Completion data : 3rd May 2021 TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46562	E46563	E46564	E46565	E46566	E46567	E46568	E46569	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum	
Sample Name	ws	XD	XL	Xminerals	Xphytao	xs	Xzao	Yphyto	permisible for Nataral potable Water)	
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	70300	80500	63500	1333	1767	76200	2240	1634	2500	
TDS (mg/L)	49210	56350	44450	933.1	1236.9	53340	1568	1143.8	1500	
Turbidity (NTU)	71	149	55	71	51	145	125	120	25 .	
Chlorides (mg/L)	198	189	179	346	387	210	358	367	250	
Manganese (mg/L)	2.43	1.5	1,67	2.15	2.31	1.91	3.39	3.63	<0.01	
Ammonium (mg/L)	0,43	0.51	0.21	0.176	2.5	2.1	<0.01	2,16	0.5	
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.56	0.21	0.22	3.45	.0.1	0.16	0.36	<0.01	10	
Sulphate (mg/L)	1000	1239	1109	337	416	1320	420	398	400	
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.38	0.4	0.43	0.3	0.99	1.2	1.14	0.77	0.7	
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.28	0.33	0.38	0.36	0.34	0,44	<0.001	0.47		
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	56	45	51	38	54	38	78	102	100	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	<2	<2	2	<2	<2	<2		
Total Hardness (mg/L)	746	- 800	763	356	398	751	433	365	600	
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	321	344	328	153	171	323	186	157	600	
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	425	456	435	203	227	428	247	208	600	
Calcium (mg/L)	128	138	7 131	61	68	129	74	63	150	
Magnesium (mg/L) 🛛 🗸 🗸	102	109	104	49	54	103	59	50	100	
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	<]	<	<1	<1	<[<1	<	2	0	
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<]	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	>200	0	
Iron (mg/L)	0.45	0.23	0.31	0.24	1.8	0.33	0.27	1.4	1	

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of milligrams of Niter PAL ANALYST are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/i-stands for milligrams of Niter PAL ANALYST

Checked by NWORL 1 NWORE NATIONAL WATER QUALITY EFFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

LABORACEONIES 20: NATIC × EFEREN MALITY Sign RATO RY-ENTERBIE ~ ************



Certificate of Analysis insults and Planners Ltd

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021

Analysis Completion data TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46554	E46555	E46556	E46557	E46558	E46559	E46560	E46561	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	VL.	Vminerals	Vphyto	VS	Vzoo	WD	WL.	Wminerals	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	88500	1357	685	91500	1571	82300	100800	1324	2500
TDS (mg/L)	61950	949.9	479.5	64050	1099.7	57610	70560	926.8	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	20	2	21	40	76	30	41	34	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	383	400	389	280	403	273	307	353	250
Manganese (mg/L)	0.45	1.14	1.4	0.98	1.32	2.67	2.38	2.62	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.31	0.51	0.63	0.47	0.56	0.49	0.36	0.477	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	33	0.35	0.28	0.11	0.14	0.34	0.41	4.7	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	1087	312	165	1509	380	1299	1389	331	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.44	0.23	0.19	0.1	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.32	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.26	0.30	0.23	7.16	6.81	7.50	6.89	7.13	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	45	67	57	38	89	23	26	48	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	<2	2	<2	2	2	<2	<2	_
Total Hardness (mg/L) 🕤 👔	753	311	287	689	412	743	866	351	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	324	134	123	296	177	319	372	151	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	429	177	164	393	235	424	494	200	600
Calcium (mg/L) 🌱 🍸	# 130	53_	_ 49	119	71	128	149	60	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	103.	43	39	94	56	102	118	48	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	745	1.3	* f*	<1	<]	<	2	<	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<1	>200	>200	<]	<]	<1	>200	<	0
Iron (mg/L)	7.9	7.8	5.4	5.9	6.8	0.56	0.87	0.99	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of an approximation of the client does bear sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams of the client LABOR and ALS AND ALS

Checked by DAT 2021 NWORI. NWORL NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LASORATORY -ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.co.uo P.O.Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342

LAB Q Sudding RIES 202 * ATIC FEREN ALITY gn_{i} ATO -ENTERRE



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	IBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7 th April 2021
	and a second sec

TEST RESULTS

: 7th April 2021 : 3rd May 2021 Analysis Completion data

Laboratory Number	E46528	E46529	E46530	E46531	E46532	E46533	E46534	E46535	E46536	Driaking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum	
Sample Name	Ophyto	QS	0200	RD	RL	Rminerals	Rphyto	RS	R200	permisible for Natural potable Water)	
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1185	196000	1188	71600	48900	1290	ND	64900	2810	2500	
TDS (mg/L)	829.5	137200	831.6	50120	34230	903	ND	45430	1967	1500	
Terbédity (NTU)	27	2	30	3	4	18	ND	9	11	25	
Chlorides (mg/L)	276	176	289	220	211	320	ND	198	276	250	
Manganese (mg/L)	1.98	0.56	2.45	0.34	0.29	2.43	ND	0.35	1.94	<0.01	
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.2	0.04	0.32	<0.001	<0.001	0.24	ND	0.05	0.087	0.5	
Nitrates (mg/L)	4.4	3.2	5.6	2.9	3.1	5.72	ND	3.6	5.9	10	
Sulphate (mg/L)	380	1400	400	1289	1328	390	ND	1427	523	400	
Pheshates (mg/L)	0.67	0.21	0.66	0.04	0.08	0.04	ND	1.1	1.3	0.7	
Aluminium (ng/L)	2,99	3.38	2.84	3.91	23.37	19.68	ND	25.83	28.29	1	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	53	32	68	39	38	47	ND	23	65	100	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	2	2	2	2	<2	<2	ND	<2	<2		
Total Hardness (mg/L)	254	783	265	587	523	341	ND	673	412	600	
Calcirca Hardness (mg/L)	109	337	114	252	225	147	ND	289	177	600	
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L) 🐧	145	446	151-	335	298	194	ND	384	235	600	
Calcium (mg/L)	44	135	46	101	90	59	ND	116	71	150	
Magnesium (mg/L)	35	107	36	80	72	47	ND	92	56	100	
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	22	<1	6	<	<]	31	ND	<]	1	0	
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	<	>200	<1	<]	>200	ND	<]	>200	0	
Iron (mg/L)	32	27	16	0.48	0.54	1.78	ND	0.65	0.35	1	

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per inter AL_ANALYST LABORATORIES

LAB Checked by NNOR 28 MYORL

"NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTEDDE Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.co.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tet: 041-321342

issued b TION W ITY RF PERENNE LABO ATOR INTERBR qn:...



Certificate of Analysis : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd

: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District

: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

Client Name	
Client Address	
Sample type & Location	
Date Sampled	
Date received	
Analysis Completion data	

: 7th April 2021 n data : 3rd May 2021

: 7th April 2021

TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46492	E46493	E46494	E46495	E46496	E46497	E46498	E46499	E46500	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum	
Sample Name	KS	K200	LL	Lminerals	Lphyto	LS	L.200	MD	ML	permisible for Natural potable Water)	
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	154200	817	71800	790	1233	92300	1286	93700	25100	2500	
TDS (mg/L)	107940	571.9	50260	553	863.1	64610	900.2	65590	17570	1500	
Turbidity (NTU)	8	26	5	6	5	0	20	2	3	25	
Chlorides (mg/L)	176	215	140	289	310	138	289	98	292	250	
Manganese (mg/L)	2.4	2.28	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	< 0.01	<0.01	
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.23	2.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.741	0.5	
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.94	0.54	8.1	7.4	7.9	5.2	9.1	8.3	35	10	
Sulphate (mg/L)	175	174	1400	158	219	538	230	1390	1100	400	
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.09	0.12	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	0.79	0.7	
Aluminium (mg/L)	4.65	4.21	4.55	4.24	4.62	0.77	0.87	0.73	0.84		
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	33	69	32	56	36	28	64	44	38	100	
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	2	<2	2	2	<2	2	2	<2		
Total Hardness (mg/L)	722	312	763	334	412	873	278	723	265	600	
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	310	134	328	144	177	375	120	311	114	600	
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	412	178	435	190	235	498	158	412	151	600	
Calcium (mg/L)	-124	54	131	57	71	150	48	124	46	150	
Magnesium (mg/L)	99	43/	104	46	56	119	38	99	36	100	
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	<1	1	14	3	4	<	7	<	<	0	
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<1	>200	<1	>200	>200	<	>200	<	<1	0	
Iron (mg/L)	0.09	0.25	<0.01	0.45	0.52	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1	

Notes; Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligram per liter PAL ANALYST

Checked by BC 1/1 NWORL 04 2021 NWORL NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTREBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Watercuality Booratory@inwe.go.ug</u> P.0 Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

LABORSGEONVIES * MAI × ATION BEFERENCELABOR WA **M**i VITY Sign: TOR ENTEBBI



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7 th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021
TEST RESULTS	
and the state of t	

Laboratory Number	E46482	E46483	E46484	E46485	E46496	E46487	E46488	E46489	E46490	E46491	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maxi:aeu
Sample Name	IS	JD	Iminerals	Jphyta	Js	J200	KD	KL	Kmineral	sKpłyto	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	ND	115000	543	671	84800	552	146000	229000	675	784	2500
TDS (mg/L)	ND	80500	380.1	469.7	59360	386.4	102200	160300	472.5	548.8	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	ND	9	32	11	9	9	1	16	2	2	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	ND	190	128	135	95	159	89	92	216	220	250
Manganese (mg/L)	ND	1.34	2.52	2.38	<0.01	3.75	3.14	2.45	1.65	2.19	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	ND	0.09	0.57	0.49	<0.01	2.25	2.9	1.98	0.41	0.38	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	ND	0.23	2.94	3.4	8.2	0.11	13	1.43	1.48	1.6	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	ND	1200	71	122	1186	64	1240	1589	162	163	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	ND	0.48	0.35	0.33	0.39	0.47	<0.01	<0.01	0.07	0.04	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	ND	1.97	1.60	2.21	2.83	2.34	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.10	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	ND	35	67	62	48	103	25	28	57	48	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	ND	<2	2	<2	2	2	2	4	2	<	_
Total Hardness (mg/L)	ND	650	218	251	727/	253	719	983	277	264	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	ND	280	94	108	313	109	309	423	119	114	. 600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L) 🕓	ND	371	124	143	414	144	410	560	158	150	600
Calcium (mg/L)	ND	112	37	43	125	44	124	169	48	45	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	/ND	- 89	30	34	99	35	98	134	38	36	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	ND	1	18	14	<	n.	<]	<]	12	4	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	ND	<	>200	>200	<	>200	<	<]	>200	>200	0
Iron (mg/L)	ND	1.5	4.2	0.09	0.08	0.33	0.03	0.04	0.98	0.79	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by BO ATOR M GER Ð, NWORL 2021 NWORE. X NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBLE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Isboratory@mee.ac.us</u> P.O.Box 19, entebbe Tet: 041-321342

PRINCIPASided DWALYST LABORATORIES 4 202 ATION FERENCE JTY. ABO Sign:, TOPENTEBRIC m ·



				Certifi	cate o	f Analy	/sis				
Client Name	Ĭ	JBN Co	nsults a	and Plan	ners L	td					
Client Address	:	Plot 48	8 Mbag	o Road,	Najjera	91					
Sample type & Location	:	Surface	e water	from R	iver Mi	shumb	a Isingir	o Distri	ct	21	
Date Sampled	1	: 7 th April 2021									
Date received		7 th Apr	il 2021								
Analysis Completion data		3 rd Ma	y 2021		_				_		
TEST RESULTS		-	-	-	-	r	1				17
Laboratory Number	E46462	E46463	E46464	E46465	E46466	E46467	E46468	E46469	E46470	E46471	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	Fininerals	Fphyto	Fphyto	FS	Fzoo	FZoo	GD	GD	GL.	Gminerals	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	673	364	724	156600	403	383	86600	247000	201000	655	2500
TDS (mg/L)	471.1	254.8	506.8	109620	282.1	268.1	60620	172900	140700	458.5	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	116	183	109	101	103	176	190	112	106	105	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	191	153	167	128	175	180	89	92	101	196	250
Manganese (mg/L)	1.83	0.69	0.78	0.83	0.59	0.64	0.89	0.76	0.555	1.682	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.183	1.93	1.7	2.01	1.99	2.2	0.1	0.13	0.12	0.19	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.56	0.14	0.08	0.12	2.7	2.4	0.09	0,05	0.12	0.8	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	166	24.7	200	218	31	35	130	127	134	157	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.56	0.39	0.27	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.64	0.55	0.84	0.75	0.73	5.04	4.31	4.43	4.80	5.17	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	58	73	82	26	64	69	29	38	46	73	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	2	\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	279	135	309	856	164	120	698	930	920	253	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	120	58	133	368	71	52	300	400	395	109	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	159	77	176	488	93	68	398	530	524	144	600
Calcium (mg/L)	48	23	53	147	28	21	120	160	158	44	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	38	18	42	117	22	16	95	127	126	35	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	6	19	22	<]	18	21	<	<	<]	4	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	>200	>200	<1	>200	>200	<	<	<	>200	0

Iron (mg/L) Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

0.678

523

0.92

0.73

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter PAL ANALYST CLARCE ATORIES

0.81

0.79

0.44

0.53

TORY Checked by ER NWOR WWOSt 202 9 NATION R QUALITY REFERENCE LORDONORY -ENTERIES

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality, laboratory@mwa.co.uo</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

Issued by 0 4 HAT 202 * NAT REFERENC MALITY ABU DATO S -ENTERBE nc mat

3.4

3.8

I



MINISTRY OF WATER AND ENVIRONMENT BE

Client Name		IDN Co	neulte a	Certini	cale of	Analy	212				
Client Name	3	Plot 48	Mhag	o Road	Mailers	1					
Sample type & Location		: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District									
Date Sampled	1	7th Apri	12021								
Date received		7th Apr	il 2021								
Analysis Completion data	à	3rd May	y 2021	ł.							
TEST RESULTS			_	_	_						
Laboratory Number	E46472	E46473	E46474	E46475	E46476	E46477	E46478	E46479	E46480	E46481	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	Gphyto	GS	Gzoo	HD	HL	Hninerak	Hphyto	Hs	Hzoo	ID	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	701	202000	709	228000	184600	705	743	209000	531	124000	2500
TDS (mg/L)	490.7	141400	496.3	159600	129220	493.5	520.1	146300	371.7	86800	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	42	87	25	23	24	15	22	10	2	10	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	201	97	301	68	73	184	212	76	289	178	250
Manganese (mg/L)	1.8	0.77	1.45	<0.01	0.34	1.66	2.09	<0.01	<0.01	4.5	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.09	0.13	0.18	<0.01	⊴0.01	0.344	1.47	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.9	0.14	0.19	<0.01	<0.01	2.7	0.88	<0.01	<0.01	0.32	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	161	142	152	1401	1350	184	185	1371	1390	740	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.05	0.07	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	0.4	0.003	0.02	<0.01	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	4.16	3.95	4.11	4.19	4.31	4.90	6.27	5.92	5.74	5.49	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	120	51	98	33	27	64	78	36	89	44	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	8.4	
Total Hardness (mg/L) 📋	376	879	300	800	970	298	281	867	234	690	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	162	378	129	344	417	128	121	373	101	297	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	214	501	171	456	553	170	160	494	133	393	600
Calcium (mg/L)	765	151	-52	138	167	51	48	149	40	119	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	51	120	41	109	133	41	38	119	32	94	100

Iron (mg/L) Notes;

E. Coli (CFU/100mls)

Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

7

>200

0.49

<

<

0.38

2

>200

2.7

<

<

2.1

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative constraints for milligrams per liter are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

<

<

1.9

1

>200

2

1

>200

2.3

<

<

1.7

3

>200

1.8

<1

<

0.03

BORATORY Checked by AGER NWOR A MAY NWORLS ENATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY - ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

LAB Q Buddiby RLES × N io W, 01 LITY REFEI ABO NORY ENTEBBE Sia -

0

0

1

431



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3rd May 2021

TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46452	E46453	E46454	E46455	E46456	E46457	E46458	E46459	E46460	E46461	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum		
Sample Name	Cs	C200	DD	DL.	Dminerale	Dphyto	DS	Dzoo	FD	FL	permisible for Natural potable Water)		
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	273000	621	220000	173100	1058	509	131600	897	209000	176800	2500		
TDS (mg/L)	191100	434.7	154000	121170	740.6	356.3	92120	627.9	146300	123760	1500		
Turbidity (NTU)	4	3	4	91	70	29	31	122	165	35	25		
Chlorides (mg/L)	190	210	178	240	290	225	189	289	134	146	250		
Manganese (mg/L)	1.99	1.78	1.1	1.3	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.4	1.94	1.78	<0.01		
Ammonium (mg/L)	2,1	2.4	<0.01	<0.01	⊴0.01	0.01	⊴0.01	0.01	0.13	0.15	0.5		
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.87	0.75	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.9	3.5	2,1	0.05	0.09	10		
Sulphate (mg/L)	207	219	277	267	283	278	260	265	127	153	400		
Phoshates (mg/L)	1.6	0.94	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.05	<0.01	<0.01	0.7		
Ahminium (mg/L)	1.13	1.09	1.17	0.17	0,20	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.15			
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	48	146	37	44	76	89	27	78	31	34	100		
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	2	2	<2	2	<2	2	2	2	2	2			
Total Hardness (mg/L)	900	265	890	751	288	167	678	266	846	809	600		
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	387	114%	383	323	124	72	292	114	364	348	600		
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	513 (151	-507-	428	164	95	386	152	482	461	600		
Calcium (mg/L)	155	46	153	129	50	29	117	46	146	139	150		
Magnesium (mg/L)	/123	- 36	122	103	39	23	93	36	116	111	100		
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	4	12	1	<]	8	3	<]	1	<	<	0		
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<	>200	<]	<]	>200	>200	<1	>200	<	<	Û		
Iron (ng/L)	1.3	0.98	1.8	1.5	1.9	5.87	0.98	1.34	0.64	0.71	1		

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked b ATORY GER WOR NWORK 2021 NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEDBE

Water Cusity Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O.Box 19, Entebbe Tet: 041-321342

PRINCISSIED ANALYST LABORATORIES N CION REP RENCE ABORAT JUAL RY-EN EBB



		Certifi	cate of Analy	sis									
Client Name	: JBN Con	sults and Plan	nners Ltd	100 C 4 7 C 4									
Client Address	: Plot 48	Mbago Road,	Najjera 1										
Sample type & Location	: Surface	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District											
Date Sampled	: 7 th April	: 7 th April 2021											
Date received	: 7 th April	2021											
Analysis Completion data	: 3 ^{re} May	2021											
TEST RESULTS		1		1		1							
Sample Number	RSediment	Sediment	TSediment	Usediment	VSediment	WSediment							
Laboratory Number	E46609	E46610	E46611	E46612	E46613	E46614							
Cadmium (ppm)	0.20	0.32	0.32	0.28	<0.2	<0.2							
Lead (ppm)	25	37	8	93	91	100							
Copper (ppm)	35	53	7	180	220	198							
Zinc (ppm)	24	41	18	31	21	43							
Cobalt(ppm)	2	4	5	24	/ 19	32							
Nickel (ppm)	13	34	9	28	12	9							
Selenium (ppm)	<0.2	<0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2 <0.2											
Varadium(ppm)	74	74 110 19 275 250 320											
Mercury (ppm)	<1												

Notes;

Notes; Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter PRINCIPAL Store by LYST LABORATORIES



2021 -MITION ERENCI ATY TOR Sign: NTEBBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality leboratory@mwc.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN	Consults an	d Planners	Ltd				
Client Address	: Plo	t 48 Mbago	Road, Najje	ra 1				
Sample type & Location	: Sur	face water f	rom River N	Aishumba	Isingiro Disi	trict		
Date Sampled	: 7 th /	April 2021						
Date received	: 7 th	April 2021						
Analysis Completion dat	a : 3 rd	May 2021						
TEST RESULTS				-			1.5	
Laboratory Number	E46607	E46608	E46609	E46610	E46611	E46612	E46613	E46614
Sample/Source Name	PSediment	OSediment	RSediment	Sediment	TSediment	Usediment	VSediment	WSedimen
		Or	ganochlorin	e Pesticide	5	ý_n	$\hat{N} = -i$	v
BHC	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lindane (g-B)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aldrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxychlordane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordane	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosulfan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DDT	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Orga	nophosphor	ous Pestic	ides	1		sistem.
Dichlorvos	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methacrifos	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diazinon	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Etrimfos	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phosphamidon	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloropyrifos methyl	ND)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fenitrothion	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Priniphosmethyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Malathion	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorpyrifos	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Parathionethyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pirimiphosethyl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorfenvinphos	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter PRINCIPAL ANALYST

Checked by NAGER NWORK 2021 NWORL "NATIONNE WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERIES

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>WaterQuality Listoratory@mwe.co.ug</u> P.O.Box 19, Enterbe Tet: 041-321342

LARSONTORIES * ATIC REFERENCE AL ATER QUALITY GBATORY-ENTEBBE 41 Sign:



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7 th April 2021

: 7th April 2021 : 3rd May 2021

Analysis Completion data TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46510	E46511	E46512	E46513	E46514	E46515	E46516	E46517	E46518	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	Nzoo	OD	OL	Ominerals	Ophyto	OS	Ozoo	PD	PL	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1291	95400	75200	1680	914	77100	1087	78200	120300	2500
TDS (mg/L)	903.7	66780	52640	1176	639.8	53970	760.9	54740	84210	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	6	2	15	28	2	5	4	1	3	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	320	289	276	301	310	267	256	214	189	250
Manganese (mg/L)	2.99	<0.01	< 0.01	1.1	1.4	0.56	0.23	0.11	0.15	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	0.38	<0.01	<0.01	0.1	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	LI.	1.3	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	0.12	40	37	3.8 🐔	4.2	12	4.5	1.3	1.4	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	378	1479	1600	416	375	1489	349	1230	1472	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.24	0.33	0.29	0.34	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.23	0,42	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	3.57	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	0.004	2.84	2.44	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	98	25	31	38	36	26	52	33	24	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	2	2	2	<2	2	2	2	2	<2	2
Total Hardness (mg/L)	317	598	. 547	325	297	655	309	612	673	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	136	257	235	140	128	282	133	263	289	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	181	341	312	185	169	373	176	349	384	600
Calcium (mg/L)	55	103	94	56	51	113	53	105	116	150
Magnesium (mg/L) 🛛 🔨	43	82	75	44	41	90	42	84	92	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	14	<	<1	12	9	<1	8	<	<1	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	<	4	>200	>200	<	>200	<	<]	0
Iron (mg/L)	<0.01	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.03	2.8	3.6	1

Notes;

Notes; Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per-liter PRINCIPAL ANALYST LABORISE

NWORL INWORE IN NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEBBE

Water Quality Management Department. Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality.laboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

1 2021 NATIONAL WA FX REFERENCH 01 LITY LABORTORY ENTEBBE ign:.. A



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021

Laboratory Number	E46501	E46502	E46503	E46504	E46505	E46506	E46507	E46508	E46509	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	Mphyto	Ms	Mzoo	ND	NL	Nmineral	sNphyto	Nphyto	NS	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1749	99000	1325	82000	76600	1295	1155	742	85500	2500
TDS (mg/L)	1224.3	69300	927.5	57400	53620	906.5	808.5	519.4	59850	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	3	0	4	1	2	2	2	6	9	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	188	294	186	96	112	330	300	316	189	250
Manganese (mg/L)	1.07	0.13	1.8	0.98	0,78	2.96	2.45	2.78	1.84	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	2.12	<0.01	1.73	0.45	0.43	0.44	0.34	0.41	0.48	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	5,4	41	3	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.28	0.24	0.09	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	516	1403	447	980	1044	368	350	180	1580	490
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.68	0.19	0.29	0,18	0.13	0.25	0.26	0.28	0.26	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.89	8.04	9.20	7.80	9.59	9.10	3.20	3.44	2.95	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	56	27	78	33	38	46	65	58	41	100
Total Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	2	<2	2	12	2	2	2	2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	288	673	215	732	609	257	248	318	690	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	124	289	92	315	262	111	107	137	297	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	164	384	123	417	347	146	141	181	393	600
Calcium (mg/L)	50	116	37	126	105	44	43	55	119	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	39	92	29	100	83	35	34	44	94	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	9	<	15	<1	<1	31	22	28	<1	Û
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	<1	>200	<1	<1	>200	>200	>200	<1	0
Iron (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	0.18	0.26	<0.01	<0.01	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter AL ANALYST ABORATORIES

R Checked by

NWORL A NWORK. 202 MA WATER QUALITY NATIONAL REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEBBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory(thmwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342

Issued by Ĩ 202 NATION w REFERENCE LABOHATORY ENTEBBE S gn:... m to



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021

		1								Drinking water standards
Laboratory Number	E46519	E46520	E46521	E46522	E46523	E46524	E46525	E46526 QL	E46527 Qminerals	(DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	Pphyto	Pphyto	Pphytoo	PS	Psedimen	Pzoo	QD			permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1144	934	1782	76400	1118	1049	169600	137000	1306	2500
TDS (mg/L)	800.8	653.8	1247.4	53480	782.6	734.3	118720	95900	914.2	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	3	2	3	1	10	54	92	28	2	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	317	332	356	367	297	270	180	195	266	250
Manganese (mg/L)	1.8	1.89	1.74	0.98	1.144	1.4	0.76	0.56	2.06	<0.61
Ammonium (mg/L)	1.67	2.1	2.05	1.34	0.9	0.75	0.06	0.09	0.18	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.6	9.1	6.2	5.3	4.9	4.6	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	412	378	439	1230	300	290	980	1060	407	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.84	0.72	0.77	0.32	0.28	0.63	0.11	0.14	0.54	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	2.71	3.20	2.46	3.95	3.62	0.28	2.88	2.63	3.58	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	37	43	48	31	45	65	23	43	48	100
Total Alkalinity (ng/L)	<2	2	2	2	2	<2	<2	2	2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	278	317	398	648	363	298	736	717	267	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	120	136	171	279	156	128	316	308	115	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	158	181	227	369	207	170	420	409	152	600
Calcium (mg/L) 🦷	48	*55	68	111	62	51 -	127	123	46	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	38	43	54	89	50	41	101	98	37	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	12)-	N.	<1	<1	<]	3	<	4	12	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	>200	4	<1	<	<]	>200	<	<	>200	0
Iron (mg/L)	3.8	3.1	1.8	2.2	2.5	1.65	13	16	24	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per Meri PAL ANALYST

RC TORY Checked GE 04 NWORL NWORL WATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTREBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Water Quality Laboratory/Birrwo.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

LABORATORIES 柄门 202 NATION WATER REFERENCE LABO BUALITY. RATORI -ENTEBBE ign: A



0.0000000		(Certific	cate of A	nalysis	3		752,631	1 Action		111
Client Name	: JBN Con	sults ar	nd Plan	ners Ltd							
Client Address	: Plot 48	Mbago	Road,	Najjera 1							
Sample type & Loca	ation : Surface	water f	from Ri	ver Mish	umba Is	ingiro D	District				
Date Sampled	: 7 th April	2021									
Date received	: 7 th Apri	2021									
Analysis Completion	n data : 3 rd May	2021									
TEST RESULTS									11	1	
Laboratory Number		E46590	E46591	E46592	E46593	E46594	E46595	E46596	E46597	E46598	E46599
Sample/Source Name	Units	HA	HB	HSediment	IA	IB	ISediment	JA	IB	JSediment	KA
	9. 9 F.W.M.	euc	Organo	chlorine Pes	ticides	151			21 	6	
BHC	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lindane (g-B)	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aldrin	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxychlordane	Hø/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND /	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordane	HØ/g	ND	ND	ND h	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosulfan	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DDT	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	19	0	rganoph	osphorous l	Pesticides	¥.			35	11	2
Dichlorvos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methacrifos	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diaziron	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Etrimôs	μg/g	ND -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phosphamidon	use Val	ND C	NĎ	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloropyrifos methyl	µg/g D	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fenitrothion	µg/g T *	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Priniphosmethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Malathion	Mager ININ	ND _	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorpyrifos	µ@'g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Parathionethyl	µ8'8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Divisiohoustbal	wala	ND	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes;

Chlorfenvirphos

µg/g

TRINCIPAL ANALYST

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrades per liter

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

MAI GER ecked by Ch n 2021 NWORL NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBE

Water Cusity Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality laboratory@mwe.go.ug P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

NATIONAL Washed b REFERING ALITY ARG RATOL Sign -ENTEBBE



				vertinica	te of An	alvsis				0.000		
anticu.		: JBN Con : Plot 48 I	sults an Mbago I	d Planne Road, Na	ers Ltd ajjera 1	1			-			
ion data		: Surface : 7 th April : : 7 th April : : 3 rd May	water fr 2021 2021 2021	om Rive	r Mishum	iba Ising	tiro Distr	rict				
		. J Widy	2021		_	-						
HA	HB	HSediment	IA	IB	Sediment	114	ID	10 11	1		_	
E46590	E46591	E46592	F46501	EAGSOA	EACCOC	DICTOR	JD	JSediment	KA	KB	KSediment	
0.24	0.32	0.20	10000	040394	040393	E#0396	E46597	E46598	E46599	E4660	E46601	
17	22	0.49	90.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
1/	23	22	43	56	69	100	120	142	40	44	68	
19	25	42	78	101	125	445	534	632	178	106	202	
17	23	25	59	77	94	213	255	202	0.5	190	303	
2	3	16	24	31	28	50	40	302	85	94	145	
7	10	22	24	14	30	30	09	82	23	25	39	
<02	<0.2	<0.2	20.2	44	34	103	123	146	41	45	70	
22	20	125	10.2	<0,2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
<1	(1	133	150	195	240	53	63	75	21	23	36	
4	N	N	<	<	<	<	<	<1	<1	<1	<1	
	000 data 1000 data 14A E46590 0.24 17 19 17 2 7 <0.2 22 <1	In data In data <td< td=""><td>: JBN Con : Plot 48 f : Surface : 7th April : 3rd May HA HB HA HB E46590 E46591 0.24 0.32 0.24 0.32 0.29 17 17 23 19 25 2 3 16 7 7 10 22 29 135 <1</td></td<>	: JBN Con : Plot 48 f : Surface : 7 th April : 3 rd May HA HB HA HB E46590 E46591 0.24 0.32 0.24 0.32 0.29 17 17 23 19 25 2 3 16 7 7 10 22 29 135 <1	Secation : JBN Consults an : Plot 48 Mbago I : Surface water fr : 7 th April 2021 : 7 th April 2021 ion data : 7 th April 2021 ion data : 3 rd May 2021 HA HB HSediment HA HB HSediment E46590 E46591 E46592 0.24 0.32 0.29 17 23 55 19 25 42 17 23 25 2 3 16 24 7 10 22 34 <0.2	Certification Certification Socation Plot 48 Mbago Road, National Surface water from Rive 2 Plot 48 Mbago Road, National Surface water from Rive 2 Tth April 2021 Con data 2 Tth April 2021 Con data 2 Tth April 2021 Con data Start April 2021 In data Con data Con data Start April 2021 In data Con data <td cols<="" td=""><td>Certificate of An. Certificate of An. Subscript of AB Monor Section Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan=</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>Certificate of Analysis : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1 Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 Ion data : 3th May 2021 HA HB HSediment IA IB ISediment JA JB E46590 E46591 E46592 E46593 E46594 E46595 E46596 E46597 0.24 0.32 0.29 <0.2</td> <0.2</td> <0.2	<td>Certificate of An. Certificate of An. Subscript of AB Monor Section Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum Tth April 2021 Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan=</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>Certificate of Analysis : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1 Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 Ion data : 3th May 2021 HA HB HSediment IA IB ISediment JA JB E46590 E46591 E46592 E46593 E46594 E46595 E46596 E46597 0.24 0.32 0.29 <0.2</td> <0.2	Certificate of An. Certificate of An. Subscript of AB Monor Section Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Condata T th April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Condata Surface water from River Mishum T th April 2021 Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan="2"Colspan=	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Certificate of Analysis : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1 Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021 Ion data : 3th May 2021 HA HB HSediment IA IB ISediment JA JB E46590 E46591 E46592 E46593 E46594 E46595 E46596 E46597 0.24 0.32 0.29 <0.2	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Samples are analyzed on as received basis. The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams pender PAL ANALYST LABORATOPILES



ATERLES × 04HA NATION REFERENC Sign: LABE LITY ENTEBBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waternusity Intonatory3mme.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entetber Tel: 041-321342



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name	: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1
Sample type & Location	: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District
Date Sampled	: 7 th April 2021
Date received	: 7th April 2021
Analysis Completion data	: 3 rd May 2021
TEST RESULTS	

Laboratory Number	E46537	E46538	E46539	E46540	E46541	E46542	E46543	E46544	E46545	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	SL	Sminerals	Ss	Szoo	TD	n.	Tminerals	Tphyto	TS	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	95600	1549	96600	1510	64200	70900	1489	1547	87200	2500
TDS (mg/L)	66920	1084.3	67620	1057	44940	49630	1042.3	1082.9	61040	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	2	8	1	3	2	65	77	2	2	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	307	325	330	340	310	330	342	372	289	250
Manganese (mg/L)	<0.01	2.03	0.51	2.4	0.34	<0.01	1.86	2.3	2.1	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	<0.01	0.13	<0.01	2.02	1.67	<0.01	<0.01	1.92	its .	0.5
Nitrates (mg/L)	40	5.3	35	2.6	28	31	3.5	2.1	22	10
Sulphate (mg/L)	1449	386	1168	407	1453	1365	362	440	1690	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	1.25	0.18	0.19	0.83	0.16	0.2	0.39	0.81	0.82	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	3.33	0.63	3.01	3.26	3.44	0.79 -	0.93	0.58	0.90	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	78	98	45	167	54	45	78	86	56	100
Tota! Alkalinity (mg/L)	2	<2	12	2	12	2	2	2	2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	844	365/	922	353	682	716	297	301	871	600
Calcium Hardness (mg/L) 🛛 🔛	- 363	157	396	152	293	308	128	129	375	600
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L)	481	208	526	201	389	408	169	172	496	600
Cakium (mg/L)	145	63	159	61	117	123	51	52	150	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	115	50	126	48	93	98	41	41	119	100
E. Coli (CFU/100mls)	<	8	<]	3	<1	<1	56	31	<1	0
Total Coliforms (CFU/100mls)	<1	>200	<1	>200	<1	<	>200	>200	<[0
Iron (mg/L)	0.32	1.4	0.56	0.34	<0.01	<0.01	0.24	0.13	<0.01	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per ther AL ANALYST LABORATORIES

hecked by ANAGER 041 702 INWORD NWORL WATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEBER

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Interactory@mee.ac.un</u> P.O.Box 19, cmetbe Tet: 041-321342

Issued by 262 71 NA ION w QUALITY REFU RENEE ABURATORY-ENTEBRE S 1



Client Name Client Address Sample type & Location Date Sampled Date received Analysis Completion data TEST RESULTS	: J : f : S : 7 : 7 : 7 : 7 : 7 : 7 : 3	BN Consult Plot 48 Mba iurface wat h April 202 h April 202 d May 202	Gerufficate s and Planners igo Road, Najji er from River 1 1 1	of Analysis s Ltd era 1 Mishumba Isin	giro District		
Sample Number	LA	LB	LSediment	MSediment	00.1	ne u	
Laboratory Number	E46602	E46603	F46604	EACCOS	OSediment	PSediment	QSediment
Cadmium (ppm)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	1240005	E46606	E46607	E46608
Lead (ppm)	53	74	~0.2	<0.2	1.40	0.38	0.42
Copper (ppm)	120	160	83	99	78	23	48
Zinc (ppm)	70	108	187	110	91	16	33
Cobalt(ppm)	10	98	109	67	74	30	42
Nickel (ppm)	18	25	28	21	33	10	14
Selenium (nom)	33	46	51	22	45	6	14
Variation (ppm)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0	18
vanadium(ppm)	74	104	115	108	00	<0.2	<0.2
Mercury (ppm)	<1	<1	<1	170	88	26	82
otes;		- 1	Alexan	1	<1	/<1	<1

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checked by PRINCIPASSued BALYST ORATORY LAR LABORATORIES MANAGER MWORL k NWORL 84 MAY 2021 * NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEBBE NATIO REFERENC VALITY AB RAT Sign: -ENTEBBE RA Am Tong.

Water Quality Managament Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Isboratory/Browe.co.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342



		Certifica	te of Ana	alysis							
Client Name : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd											
Client Address	: Plot 48 Mbag	o Road, N	ajjera 1								
Sample type & Locatio	n : Surface water	from Rive	er Mishum	ba Isingiri	District	č.					
Date Sampled	: 7th April 2021			10000 9 00							
Date received	: 7th April 2021										
Analysis Completion da	ata : 3 rd May 2021										
TEST RESULTS								1			
Laboratory Number		E46600	E46601	E46602	E46603	E46604	E46605	F46606			
Sample/Source Name	Units	KB	KSedimer	VI.A	LB	LSediment	MSediment	OSediment			
		Organochlo	orine Pestici	des	1		Internetin	10 o cumen			
BHC	ue/e	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Lindane (g-B)		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Heptachlor	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Aklrin	ue/e	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Heptachlor Epoxide	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Oxychlordane	ue/e	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Gamma-chlordane	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Alfa-chlordane	ug/g	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Dieldrin	112/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Endrin	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Endosulfan	ug/g	ND 🤜	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
DDT	42/2	ND -	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	On	ganophosp	horous Pes	ticides		1		Lauren			
Dichlorvos	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Methacrifos	118/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Diazinon	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Etrimfos	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Phosphamidon	Hele mand	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Chloropyrifos methyl	Hg/g CIUC	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Fenitrothion	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Priniphosmethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Malathion	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Chlorpyrifos	Hg/g 10101	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Parathionethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Pirimiphosethyl	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Chlorfenvinphos	fenvinphos µg/g		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per the therefore based on the ther

Checked by MAGER MAY 2021 NWORL NWOR NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBE

Water Quality Management Department Directonite of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Isboratory@mwe.go.ug</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tel: 041-321342

LABORATORRIES 202 RI NATION AL W RR FERENCH LABORATOR **ENTERBE** Sign:.. An



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name : JBN Consults and Planners Ltd											
Client Address : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1											
Sample type & Lo	cation : Su	inface wat	er fron	River N	lishumba	Isingin	o Distri	ct			
Date Sampled	: 7th	April 202	1								
Date received	: 7'	April 202	1								
Analysis Complet	ion data : 3'	^d May 202	1								
TEST RESULTS		11107 202							-	_	
Laboratory Number		E46570	E46571	E46572	E46573	E46574	E46575	E46576	E46577	E46578	E46579
Sample/Source Name	Units	1Sediment	2A	2B	2Sediment	44	48	4Sediment	6A	68	6Sediment
	(0	1	Orga	nochlorine P	esticides	1		1	1	1	
BHC	μø/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Lindane (g-B)	µ.8/2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Aldrin	µ8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachior Epoxide	48/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxychlordane	Ha/R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	μgʻg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-ch'ordane	HB'B	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	μ <u>8</u> /g	ND	ND	ND.	A ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrín	H8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosulfan	µ8/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DOT	pg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	1.6.20		Organo	phosphorou	Pesticides	:5537		1			
Dichlervos	μg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methaerillos	µg'g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diazinon	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Etrimfos	µg/g	ND +	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phosphanidon	µg/g T	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloropyrifos methyl	49/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fenitrothion	498 C 1 mg C 2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Priniphosmethyl	HER	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Malahion	18/8 1 7 7 0	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chicopyrifes	48,8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Parathionethyl	48/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Pirmphosethyl	H8,8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorferwinphos	u@g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/I-stands for milligrams bet Red PAL ANALYST

BORATORY necked C WIAMAGER, 2021 NWORE MWOR "NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBRE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management Waterquality.laboratory@mve.go.un P.O Box 19, Entroble Tel: 041-321342

LABORATORIES Issued by * 2021 ATION WATER QUA REFERENCE ATY. LABORATORY ENTEBBE Sign: m



Certificate of Analysis

				or anno an	0 01 7 014					<u> </u>
Client Name		: JBN	Consults an	d Planne	rs Ltd		121			
Client Address	200	: Plot	48 Mbago	Road, Na	jjera 1	2006/02/02/02/02	22702010			
Sample type & Locat	tion	: Surf	ace water f	rom Rive	r Mishum	ba Isingiro I	District			1 5
Date Sampled		: 7 ¹⁰ A	pril 2021							
Date received	4.4.4	: 7" A	pril 2021							
TEST RESULTS	data	: 3. 1	nay 2021			_				
Sample Number	7A	7B	7Sediment	AA	AB	ASediment	CA	CB	CSediment	GSediment
Laboratory Number	E46580	E46581	E46582	E46583	E46584	E46585	E46586	E46587	E46588	E46589
Cadmium (ppm)	1.35	1.76	2.23	0.28	0,34	0.42	<0.2	<0,2	<0.2	0.20
Lead (ppm)	58	75	95	18	22	27	5	7	9	38
Copper (ppm)	30	39	50	22	26	33	2	3	3	29
Zinc (ppm)	110	143	182	19	23	29	4	6	8	17
Cobalt(ppm)	24	31	39	1	1	2	2/	3	4	11
Nickel (ppm)	48	62	78	12	14	18	4	5	6	15
Selenium (ppm)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Vanadium(ppm)	120	156	198	65	78	98	5	8	10	93
Mercury (ppm)	<1	<	<	<	<	<	<]	<	<1	<

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligran significeriPAL ANALYS1



LABOR TORIES * NATION EFERENG ABO ORY ENTERBR Sign

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Watercuality laboratory@mws.co.uo</u> P.O.Box 19, Entetbe Tel: 041-321342



			Certifi	cate of /	Analys	is					
Client Name	: JBN				-						
Client Address	: Plo	t 48 Mbag	o Road,	Naijera 1	í.						
Sample type & Loc	ation : Sur	face water	from R	iver Mish	iumba l	singiro	District				
Date Sampled	: 7 th	April 2021	1952040				District			3 2	
Date received	: 7 th	April 2021			-						
Analysis Completio	n data : 3 rd	May 2021									
TEST RESULTS			-	-					_		
Laboratory Number		E46580	F46581	F46587	F46583	E46584	E46585	EASSES	E46597	101000	EACCOD
Sample/Source Name	Units	7A	7B	7Sedment	44	AR	ASadimant	CA	CP	Cention	1540389 CE-dimen
		1.44	Orga	nochlorine P	esticides	Inn	Procenticia	-Jun	jun .	CSeumen	Usedanen
BHC	HB'g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	INT:
Lindane (g-B)	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Akirin	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Oxychlordane	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Gamma-chlordane	µg'g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Alfa-chlordane	µø/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND /	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dieldrin	µg/g	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endrin	H8/8	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Endosulfan	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
DDT	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	1000	100	Organor	bes phorous	Pesticida		1	1	Trees.	110	li des
Dichlorvos	u gʻg	ND	ND	ND	ND	ŃD	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methacrifos	µø'g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Diazinon	µg/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Etrimfos	HB/8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Phosphamidon	8/84	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloropyrifos methyl	10 8/84	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Fenitrothion	ug/g	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Priniphosmethyl	PB'B	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Malathon	P8/8 / 1 C	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorpyrifos	48/g	ND	NĎ	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Parathionethyl	HB'S	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Piriniphosethyl	8/84	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorienvinphos	Here	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter

Checkel By BORATORY AGER м NWORL < 2021 NWORL lay NATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTEBBE

Water Quality Management Department Directorate of Water Resources Management <u>Waterquality Infocatory@mwe.go.up</u> P.O Box 19, Entebbe Tet: 041-321342

PRINCIPAL ANALYST LABOR ASTERNES 04 MA 202 * NATIONA WAT ry ERENCELABOR INTEBRE gn: m m



Certificate of Analysis

: Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District

: JBN Consults and Planners Ltd : Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1

: 7th April 2021 : 7th April 2021

Analysis Completion data : 3rd May 2021 TEST RESULTS

Laboratory Number	E46546	E46547	E46548	E46549	E46550	E46551	E46552	E46553	Drinking water standards (DEAS12:2018 Maximum
Sample Name	T200	UD	ՄԼ	Uminerals	Uphyto	Us	Uzoo	VD	permisible for Natural potable Water)
Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm)	1461	125800	107500	1350	2180	139500	1547	118300	2500
TDS (mg/L)	1022.7	88060	75250	945	1526	97650	1082.9	82810	1500
Turbidity (NTU)	4	1	21	2	3	4	36	40	25
Chlorides (mg/L)	343	314	318	344	360	303	374	330	250
Manganese (mg/L)	2.18	< 0.01	<0.01	1.94	2.12	< 0.01	2.33	< 0.01	<0.01
Ammonium (mg/L)	1.84	<0.01	< 0.01	1.53	1.67	<0.01	2.72	0.2	0.5
Nit:ates (mg/L)	2	36	42	1.73	1.94	38	2.2	7.5	10
Salphate (mg/L)	408	1488	1409	342	620	1442	400	:023	400
Phoshates (mg/L)	0.83	0.45	0.23	0.09	<0.01	0.905	0.84	0.33	0.7
Aluminium (mg/L)	0.65	0.65	0.70	0.79	0.87	0.95	0.16	0.12	
Chemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	114	54	45	67	73	43	89	34	100
Totai Alkalinity (mg/L)	<2	2	2	12/	2	2	<2	<2	
Total Hardness (mg/L)	353	921	900	376	387	826	329	892	6(%)
Calcium Hardness (mg/L)	152	396	387	162	166	355	[4]	384	609
Magnesium Hardness (mg/L) 🌱	201	525	513	214	221	471	188	508	600
Calcium (mg/L)	61	158	155	65	67	142	57	153	150
Magnesium (mg/L)	48	126	123	51	53	113	45	122	
E. Coli (CFU/10Gmls)	21	<	<1	22	<	<1	2	<	0
Total Coliforns (CFU/100mls)	>200	<	<1	>200	<[<	>200	<1	0
Irvis (mg/L)	<0.01	0.98	0.56	1.6	1.8	0.78	0.66	8.6	1

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered Rest UST are there fore based on the sample delivered and analyzed, mg/l-stands for mill graning per bio RATORIES

BORATORY Checked by MANAGER n MMORL 202 AWORL

WNATIONAL WATER QUALITY REFERENCE LABORATORY -ENTERBR

Water Quality Management Department Directurate of Water Resources Management Waterouality laboratory@mwe.co.ug P.O.Box 19, Entebba Tel: 041-321342

NATIO LITY 01 EFERENC STEROI Sign h 1



Certificate of Analysis

Client Name Client Address Sample type & Loca Date Sampled Date received Analysis Completion	tion data	: Plot 48 Mbago Road, Najjera 1 : Surface water from River Mishumba Isingiro District : 7 th April 2021 : 7 th April 2021 : 3 rd May 2021													
Sample Number	1Sediment	2A	2B	2Sediment	4A	4B	4Sediment	6A	6B	6Sediment					
Laboratory Number	E46570	E46571	E46572	E46573	E46574	E46575	E45576	E46577	E46578	E46579					
Cadmium (ppm)	1.40	0.54	0.81	1.01	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2					
Lead (ppm)	28	23	35	43	52	64	70	104	146	177					
Copper (ppm)	43	12	18	22	63	78	85	126	176	214					
Zinc (ppm)	28	44	66	82	89	110	120	178	249	303					
Cobalt(ppm)	4	9	14	18	32	40	43	64	90	109					
Nickel (ppm)	34	19	29	36	42	52	57	84	118	143					
Seleaium (ppm)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2					
Vanadium(ppm)	100 48 72 90 190		236	257	380	532	646								
Mercury (ppm)	<1	<1	<1	<1 ,	4	<1	4	4	<1	<1					
	11	Sec		10 823	3-048-347 202	Station States	1	and the second second	0.0	Weight and the					

Notes;

Samples are analyzed on as received basis.

The client does bear sampling responsibility as to the representative characters of the sample delivered. Results are therefore based on the sample delivered and analyzed. mg/l-stands for milligrams per liter



Issued by PRINCIPAL ANALYST LABORATORIES × 202 * NATION REI UALITY RENCI BO ENTEBBE an: ********

Water Quality where guite in Dupo to ant Directorate of Water Resources Mana; o nent Water Quality (altocatory@metugo.vg) P.O Box 19, Enterve Tel: 041-321342

Annex 3: Vegetation and Flora

			Manatatian	Burn Current	14/	[
Point	Vegetation	Land use/cover	Vegetation Cover (%)	or Bog (%)	water Cover (%)	Pics
1	Cyperus-Persicaria riverine vegetation along the river in the valley that has	Plantation farming,	70	15	15	3441-3442
	Eucalyptus sp woodland in Rwoho CFR	Apiculture, Charcoal				
		burning				
2	Cyperus-Persicaria-Phoenix riverine vegetation in Rwoho CFR	Eucalyptus planting	80	15	5	3447-3452
3	Cyperus riverine vegetation and bushed fallow in valley with Eucalyptus sp	Plantation farming,	50	40	10	3444-3451
	and Pinus sp for Rwoho CFR on hill slopes	Apiculture				
4	Lepistemon-Commelina wetland fallow derived from Cyperus-Typha-	Cultivation and	90	5	5	3568-3571
	Ludwigia riverine vegetation; This is in the middle of Eucalyptus sp	Apiculture				
	woodland of Rwoho CFR					
5	Eucalyptus sp woodland in a swamp	Cultivation	98	2	0	3572-3575
6	Cyperus riverine vegetation and bushed fallow in valley with Eucalyptus sp	Plantation farming,	40	50	10	3454-3458
	and Pinus sp for Rwoho CFR on hill slopes	Apiculture				
7	Cyperus-Bridelia-Melanthera riverine vegetation with patch of Eucalyptus	Cultivation	100	0	0	3576-3583
	woodland					
8	Cyperus wetland in valley with Musa-Coffea- gardens contiguous with	Plantation and	75	15	10	3462-3468
	Eucalyptus woodland in Rwoho CFR	subsistence farming				
9	Typha-Cyperus-Melanthera streamline vegetation with moderately bushed	Livestock grazing and	100	0	0	3476-3481
	grassland patch	subsistence cultivation				
10	Cyperus-Typha-Leersia riverine vegetation with Eucalyptus-caffea Garden	Cultivation	87	3	10	3482-3487
	on hill slopes					
11-A	Cyperus-Typha streamline vegetation in valley with Musa-Coffea gardens	Cultivation, Settlement	90	7	3	3492-3503
	on hill slopes	and livestock grazing				
11-B	Cyperus-Typha riverine vegetation	Cultivation, settlements	75	15	10	3504-3507
12	Eucalyptus sp woodland with patches of Sorghum-Ipomoea-gardens	Cultivation	83	7	10	
	through which passes a stream					
13	Typha riverine vegetation with patches of Eucalyptus woodlots	Cultivation	90	0	10	3552-3554
13	Typha-Cyclosorus-Cyperus riverine vegetation fringed by Eucalyptus sp	Livestock grazing and	99	0	1	
	plantation	subsistence cultivation				
14	Cyperus riverine vegetation with Eucalyptus sp woodland on hill slopes	Plantation farming and	60	25	15	3471-3473
		Distillation				
15	Cyperus riverine vegetation with patches of bare ground and short bushes	Cultivation and	60	25	15	3512-3517
		Distillation				

16	Cyperus-Typha-Persicaria riverine vegetation with patches of cultivated	Cultivation	75	15	10	3519-3522
	Eucalyptus sp and Zea mays					
17	Cyperus community surrounding open water patch	Cultivation	40	55	5	3524-3527
18	Cyperus riverine vegetation	Cultivation and Livestock	55	10	35	3531-3537
		grazing				
19	Cyperus-Typha-Leersia riverine vegetation	Cultivation	75	20	5	3538-3541
20	Typha riverine vegetation with patches of Eucalyptus sp and a grassland	Cultivation	85	5	10	3545-3548
22	Typha riverine vegetation; There are fish ponds surrounded by Cynodon	Fish farming, cultivation	70	0	30	3559-3567
	dactylon					
23	Typha-Cyclosorus-Leersia riverine vegetation	Cultivation	82	3	15	3587-3589
24	Typha-Leersia-Persicaria riverine vegetation with fields of cultivation	Cultivation	50	0	50	3590-3598



Figure 14-1: Land use/cover, vegetation, bare ground or bog and water coverage in Mishumba

Family	Species	Life form	Global conservati on status by IUCN	Percentage cover s																							
Acanthaceae	Acanthospermum hispidum DC.	Herb	NE																								
Acanthaceae	Acanthus polystachius Delile	Shrub	NE	2 0	7	3			2														3	2	4 5	2	
Acanthaceae	Asystasia gangetica (L.) T. Anderson	Herb	NE	1	8				2	2		8			1	2			4	5		2 5	3				5
Acanthaceae	Barleria ventricosa Hochst. ex Nees	Herb	NE				2					1				1		1	1				1	1			
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste radicans Hutch. & Dalziel	Herb	NE						2			7		2	5	3 0			3	2		2 5	1	1 5			
Acanthaceae	Hygrophila auriculata Heine	Herb	NE													7	1 0		6	3		2 0					
Acanthaceae	Thunbergia alata Bojer ex Sims	Climber	NE									2															
Amaranthac eae	Achyranthes aspera L.	Herb	NE	2	2	5		3	2	2		2	1		1				8	8	1 0	2	3		1 0	1 0	2
Amaranthac eae	Aerva lanata (L.) Juss. ex Schult.	Herb	NE		1																				2	2	
Amaranthac eae	Alternanthera pungens Kunth	Herb	NE											1						1							
Amaranthac eae	Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	Herb	LC							1								2				5					
Amaranthac eae	Amaranthus dubius Mart. ex Thell.	Herb	NE				2		2					3					2								
Amaranthac eae	Amaranthus graecizans L.	Herb	NE						1	2																	
Amaranthac eae	Amaranthus lividus L.	Herb	NE	1	2	2	2		5	2		2	1						2			2	1			2	
Amaranthac eae	Cyathula achyranthoides (Kunth) Moq.	Herb	NE							1	1			1													
Amaryllidace ae	Scadoxus multiflorus (Martyn.) Raf	Herb	NE												3												
Apiaceae	Centella asiatica (L.) Urb.	Herb	LC									2	2	2	1					2		2		3			

Apocynacea e	Mondia whitei (Hook. f.) Skeels	Climber	NE	7		2			1																		
Apocynacea e	Secamone sp.	Herb		2		2	1		1	2	1			2													
Araliaceae	Hydrocotyle ranunculoides L. f	Herb	LC			3																	\square				
Arecaceae	Phoenix reclinata Jacq.	Tree/Fn	NE		1 0	2												2									
Asparagacea e	Asparagus falcatus L.	Shrub	NE			3							1		1	3			3	5			1				
Asparagacea e	Dracaena fragrans (L.) Ker Gawl.	Shrub/U d	NE																								1
Asparagacea e	Sansevieria dawei Stapf.	Herb	NE													2											
Asteraceae	Acmella caulirhiza Del.	Herb/	NE			1	1						3							1	2	2	\square			4	
Asteraceae	Acmella uliginosa (Sw.) Cass.	Herb	LC						1			3										1 0					
Asteraceae	Ageratum conyzoides L.	Herb	NE	2	8	2	2	2	2		2		5	2		2	5	5	2		5	8	3	4		5	4
Asteraceae	Aspilia africana (Pers.) C.D. Adams	Herb	NE	5	7	5		3				4															
Asteraceae	Bidens pilosa L.	Herb	NE	2	1 5	3		5	1 0		2	5		1		2	2	5	2	2		7	5		2	1 0	3
Asteraceae	Bidens sp.	Herb											3										\square				
Asteraceae	Blumea alata (D. Don) DC.	Herb	NE		1									1										1		2	
Asteraceae	Bothriocline longipes (Oliv. & Hiern) N.E. Br.	Shrub	NE			1						1 5									2		2				
Asteraceae	Conyza floribunda Kunth	Herb	NE			3						2		2		2	1		2			1	\square	3	2	2	2
Asteraceae	Crassocephalum crepidioides (Benth.) S. Moore	Herb	NE	2	5	1				2			1				1								2		2
Asteraceae	Crassocephalum paludum C. Jeffrey colekiti	Herb	LC														1							1			2
Asteraceae	Crassocephalum vitellinum (Benth.) S. Moore	Herb	NE						1		1								1			2					
Asteraceae	Dichrocephala integrifolia (L. f.) Kuntze	Herb	NE						2				1								2	1				3	3
Asteraceae	Emilia discifolia (Oliv.) C. Jeffrey	Herb	NE																			1		1			

							1		1	1		1	1								-		1		T		T
Asteraceae	<i>Erlangea tomentosa</i> (Oliv. & Hiern) S. Moore	Shrub	NE													1	1				2						
Asteraceae	Galinsoga parviflora Cav.	Herb	NE		8	1		2	4			2		1				2	1	3	2	5	1		3		
Asteraceae	Gynura scandens O. Hoffm.	Herb	NE						2												2		4				
Asteraceae	Lactuca sp.	Herb																	1			1					
Asteraceae	Melanthera scandens	Herb	NE				3		3	7	7	2				2	5	2	2		3		4	1	5	3	2
	(Schumach. & Thonn.) Roberty											0									0		0	0			
Asteraceae	Sonchus sp.	Herb														1											
Asteraceae	Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn.	Herb	NE						1					1					2			1					1
Asteraceae	Tagetes minuta L.	Herb	NE		8	2	1			1		1					1	2	1	2		2				2	1
Asteraceae	Tridax procumbens	Herb	NE																	1							
Asteraceae	Vernonia amygdalina Delile	Tree	NE	1		3									1	2	1		2	8			6	4	5	2	
				0																					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Asteraceae	Vernonia auriculifora Hiern	Shrub/U	NE							1						5	1		2		2			3			
Actorecco		0 Llorb						1																	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Asteraceae	Vernonia cinerea (L.) Less.	Herb	NE		4	-		1		2		2									2			2	<u> </u>		
Bignoniacea	Schum	Shrub	INE		4	5		T		3		3									2			2		2	
Bignoniacea	Stereospermum kunthianum	Troo	10																						2	├──	
e	Cham.	1166																							5		
Boraginacea	Cordia africana Lam.	Tree	NE		1				1																		
e					0																						
Brassicaceae	Cardamine africana L.	Herb	NE		1	1	2		1			2										2					1
Cannaceae	Canna indica L.	Herb	NE						2	1																	1
Capparaceae	Capparis fascicularis DC.	Shrub	NE						1						2	1		1									
Celestraceae	Maytenus heterophylla (Eckl. &	Shrub	NE		1	1			1						5			1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	
	Zeyh.) N. Robson																										
Colchicaceae	Gloriosa superba L.	Herb	LC							1														2	1		
Commelinac	Commelina africana L.	Herb	LC			2																					
eae																											
Commelinac	Commelina benghalensis L.	Herb	LC	7	5	3	5	2	1	8	7	3	7			1	2	2		1		3	1	1	1	5	3
eae																0		0		0		0	5	0	0		
Commelinac	Commelina subulata Roth	Herb	NE																					2			
eae																											1
Commelinac eae	Polyspatha paniculata Benth.	Herb	NE																						3 5		
--------------------	--	---------	----	--------	--------	----	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---	---	--------	--------	---	--------	--------	---	--------	--------	---	---	--------	--------	--------	--------
Convolvulac eae	<i>Dichondra repens</i> J.R. Forst. & G. Forst.	Herb	NE												2						2						
Convolvulac eae	Ipomea rubens Choisy	Climber	NE						4		2	4						1 0			1 0	5			2		
Convolvulac eae	Ipomoea cairica (L.) Sweet	Climber	NE			7	2		1 0	3	3		2		2	4	1 0	2 0	4	2 0		5		1 0			
Convolvulac eae	Ipomoea involucrata P. Beauv.	Climber	NE							2	2													2	1 5	1 5	
Convolvulac eae	Ipomoea purpurea (L.) Roth	Climber	NE						1	2		2									2				1 0		
Convolvulac eae	Ipomoea sp.	Climber		2																							
Convolvulac eae	<i>Lepistemon owariense</i> (P. Beauv.) Hallier f.	Climber	NE	7	8	5																	3		5 0	2 5	
Cucurbitace ae	Cucumis sp	Climber				1																		2			
Cucurbitace ae	Zehneria sp.	Climber		2	2	1																					
Curcubitace ae	Diplocyclos palmatus (L.) C. Jeffrey	Climber	NE			2				3									2								
Curcubitace ae	Momordica foetida Schumach.	Climber	NE	1 0		5		2	2	1 0														2	1 0	5	1 0
Curcubitace ae	Mukia maderespatana (L.) M. Roem.	Climber	NE	7						2			2					1 5		2							
Curcubitace ae	<i>Zehneria thwaitesii</i> (Schweinf.) C. Jeffrey	Climber	NE				1																				
Cyperaceae	Cyperus articulatus L.	Herb	LC														1		7								
Cyperaceae	Cyperus cyperoides (L.) Kuntze	Herb	NE		1	1			2			1				2	1		1	1		3	2	5			
Cyperaceae	Cyperus denudatus L. f.	Herb	NE	5 0	8 0	80	4 5	2 5	1 0	5 5	4 5		5	1 0	5 0		1 0			5	3	5		1 0			8 0
Cyperaceae	Cyperus difformis L.	Herb	NE							3									3			2					
Cyperaceae	Cyperus digitatus Roxb.	Herb	LC		3 0	15	1 0	2 0	3		2		2		4												

Cyperaceae	Cyperus dives Delile	Herb	NE	7	1 0			6 5	3 0	2 0	1 5	6 5	5 0	1 5	2 0	3	1 0	1 5	2 0		1 0	1 0	2 5		8		1 0
Cyperaceae	Cyperus dubius Rottb.	Herb	LC					1		1				3													
Cyperaceae	Cyperus exaltatus Retz.	Herb	LC	3 5						3 0	2 0			5 0	4 0				1 0		2 0						
Cyperaceae	Cyperus latifolius (Peter) Kük.	Herb	NE	2 0	1 5	5	2	1 0					5			2		2 0	8				2	-			1 0
Cyperaceae	Cyperus papyrus L.	Herb	LC			20	2 0	1 5	5	5	5			2	1 0									-			
Cyperaceae	Cyperus sp.	Herb		5	1			1		1								1								1	1
Cyperaceae	Kyllinga alba Nees	Herb	NE												3	1			5	2		2	3	1			
Cyperaceae	Kyllinga elatior Kunth	Herb	NE																1	1							
Cyperaceae	Kyllinga sp.	Herb																			1 0						
Euphorbiace ae	Acalypha bipartita Müll. Arg.	Shrub	NE		1	2						1								2	8				1 0	1 0	
Euphorbiace ae	Acalypha crenata Hochst. ex A. Rich.	Herb	NE																			1					
Euphorbiace ae	Acalypha ornata Hochst. ex A. Rich.	Herb/Fn	NE			1															1 5			4	5 0		
Euphorbiace ae	Cascabela thevetia (L.) Lippold	Shrub	LC											2													
Euphorbiace ae	Erythrococca bongensis Pax	Shrub	NE												2												
Euphorbiace ae	Euphorbia heterophylla L.	Herb	NE									3				1		3	1	2							1
Euphorbiace ae	Euphorbia indica Lam.	Herb	NE																	3							
Euphorbiace ae	Euphorbia tirucalii L.	Tree	NE									2	1	5						4		1 0					
Euphorbiace ae	<i>Flueggea virosa</i> (Roxb. ex Willd.) Royle	Shrub	NE			1	1									4		1	2	8		1	Γ	1			
Euphorbiace ae	Macaranga schweinfurthii Pax	Tree/Fg	NE	8	2																						
Euphorbiace ae	Ricinus communis L.	Shrub	NE		2	1	1		1	2	1									4				1	8		

Euphorbiace	Shirakiopsis elliptica (Hochst.)	Shrub	NE	5	5		2		2																5		
Euphorbiace	Tragia brevines Pax	Herb	NF			2						1							1			4				<u> </u>	
ae						-						_							-					l		l	
Fabaceae	Acacia brevispica Harms	Climber	LC					1		1			1							2							
Fabaceae	Acacia hockii De Wild.	Shrub	NE												1									 			
Fabaceae	Acacia polyacantha Willd.	Tree	NE														2			1							
Fabaceae	Acacia sieberiana DC.	Shrub	NE			2											1		1	2							
Fabaceae	Aeschynomene indica L.	Tree/r	LC		2																						
Fabaceae	Albizia schimperiana Oliv.	Tree	LC	2	2	2																		2	5	3	
Fabaceae	Alysicarpus glumaceus (Vahl)	Herb	NE														2		1							ĺ	
	DC.								_							-		-					\square	,		—	
Fabaceae	Calliandra calothyrsus Meisn.	Shrub	NE						_							8		-					\square			┣──	
Fabaceae	Crotalaria brevidens Benth.	Herb	NE														1					<u> </u>	\square	J			
Fabaceae	Crotalaria pallida Aiton	Herb	NE													1		-					\square	1		└──	
Fabaceae	Crotalaria spinosa Benth.	Herb	NE														2	-	1	1				 		L	
Fabaceae	Desmodium canum (J.F. Gmel.) Schinz & Thell.	Herb	NE			2													1	5							
Fabaceae	Desmodium salicifolium Mart. ex Benth.	Herb	LC																2								
Fabaceae	Erythrina abyssinica Lam.	Shrub	NE			5									3						1 0				6	3	
Fabaceae	Indigofera arrecta Benth. ex Harv.	Shrub	NE														1			1							2
Fabaceae	Indigofera spicata Forssk.	Herb	NE													1	3		1								
Fabaceae	Mimosa pigra L.	Shrub	NE						5	8	2			1						2				1			
Fabaceae	Neonotonia wightii (Wight &	Herbace	LC		4	10						1				1			3		2	1	8	1		3	
	Arn.) J.A. Lackey	ous Climber										0				0					0	0				0	
Fabaceae	Rhynchosia viscosa (Roth) DC.	Climber	NE													5	1 0										
Fabaceae	<i>Senna didymobotria</i> (Fresen.) Irwin & Barneby	Shrub	LC	5	2	3		3	1 5	3	3	2									1 5			2	2		
Fabaceae	Senna spectabilis (Vogel) H.S. Irwin & Barneby	Tree	LC																3								

Fabaceae	Sesbania sesban (L.) Merr.	Shrub/r	NE			1		2	1	3	1							2								
Fabaceae	Teramnus labialis (L. f.) Spreng.	Herb	NE							3		1			1		3	5	7				8			
															0											
Fabaceae	Vigna sp.	Climber	NE													3										
Lamiaceae	Hoslundia opposita Vahl	Shrub	NE			1						1			2			1	1		2			3		
Lamiaceae	Leonotis nepetifolia (L.) R. Br.	Herb	NE	1		1		2		3	3	4	2			5	2	2	7		7	3	2			3
Lamiaceae	Leucas martinicensis (Jacq.) R.	Herb	NE						1	5		1	1				1	1			1					1
	Br.																									
Lamiaceae	Ocimum gratissimum L.	Shrub	NE															3	1							2
Lamiaceae	Plectranthus sp.	Herb			1															2	1				3	
Lauraceae	Persea americana Mill.	Tree	NE				4																		3	
Malvaceae	Abutilon mauritianum (Jacq.)	Herb	NE			5			1			2			3			5		5		2	1		2	
	Medik.																									
Malvaceae	Dombeya dawei Sprague	Shrub	NE																					2		
Malvaceae	Grewia mollis Juss.	Shrub	NE												2		1		5							
Malvaceae	Grewia similis K. Schum.	Shrub	NE											1				2	3		1					
Malvaceae	Hibiscus fuscus Garcke	Herb	NE												2											
Malvaceae	Hibiscus micrantha L. f.	Shrub	NE		1																					
					0																					
Malvaceae	Hibiscus sp.			5	5	2		1	2	1	2			1	2	2		3						1		
Malvaceae	Sida acuta Burm. f.	Herb	NE	2							1	2	1	1				3	1	3				2		
Malvaceae	Sida cuneifolia A. Gray	Herb	NE											3				2	1		2	2				
Malvaceae	Sida rhombifolia L.	Herb	NE		1	1									1			1	1		3		1			
Malvaceae	Sida sp.	Herb							1			2	1					1			1		1			
Malvaceae	Sida urens L.	Herb	NE		5				3				1					2		7			1			
Malvaceae	Triumfetta annua L.	Herb	NE		1													2	1	2					3	1
Malvaceae	Triumfetta rhomboidea Jacq.	Shrub	NE			1							1								1	2				
Malvaceae	Triumfetta sp.	Shrub										2													1	
Malvaceae	Urena lobata (L.) Miq.	Herb	NE																						5	
Malvaceae	Wissadula rostrata (Schumach.)	Herb	NE	1			1			1	1				2			2			3					
	Planch.																									
Meliaceae	Trichilia emetica (Forsk.) Vahl	Shrub	NE		4																					
Menisperma	Cissampelos mucronata A. Rich.	Climber	NE	5		3			1			2		1	5		2	2	3	3	3	2	4	3		2
ceae																										
Moraceae	Ficus elastica Roxb. ex Hornem.	Tree	NE							1								1								

Moraceae	Ficus natalensis Hochst.	Tree	NE																		5					
Myrsinaceae	Maesa lanceolata Forssk.	Shrub	NE	2	5															1 0				5	4	
Myrtaceae	Eucalyptussp.	Tree	NE												5 0		1 5	1 5	1 5	3 0					1 0 0	
Myrtaceae	Psidium guajava L.	Shrub	NE												1				1						1	
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i> Hochst. in C. Krauss	Tree	NE	2 0	5					4																
Nymphaeac ea	Nymphaea nouchali Burm.f.	Herb	NE								2		2			2	2									
Oleaceae	Jasminum eminii Gilg	Climber	NE												2			3	2							
Oleaceae	Jasminum sp.	Climber																	1							
Onagoracea e	Ludwigia abyssinica A. Rich.	Herb	NE	1 0	1 5	7	1 0	1 0	5		2	2 0	1	2	5	2	2	1 5		1 5	1		1 0	1		
Onagoracea e	Ludwigia adscendens (L.) H. Hara	Herb	NE							5								6	5							
Onagoracea e	<i>Ludwigia octovalvis</i> (Jacq.) P.H. Raven	Herb	LC				1				2											2	2			
Oxallidaceae	Oxalis corniculata DC.	Herb	NE		2	2							1		1			1	1	2 0	2	2	1 0	1 0	3 0	
Phyllanthace ae	Bridelia micrantha (Hochst.) Baill.	Shrub	LC	5	5	2	1		1											3 5			4	1		
Phyllanthace ae	Phyllanthus ovalifolius Forssk.	Shrub	NE	1	1	1			3		1				1			1				2	1		1	1
Phytolaccac eae	Phytolacca dodecandra L'Hér.	Herb	NE			3	2					1	3	1	1				4				3	4		
Phytolaccac eae	Phytolacca sp.	Herb																							2	
Poaceae	Brachiaria decumbens Stapf	Grass	NE												2											
Poaceae	Brachiaria leersioides (Hochst.) Stapf	Grass	NE																		2					
Poaceae	Chloris gayana Kunth	Grass/Og	NE																			2 0				
Poaceae	Chloris pycnothrix Trin.	Grass	NE										1	1												1

Poaceae	Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers.	Grass	NE			2			5	5	2	1 0	1 5	8	4 0	4 0	3 0	1 0	1 0	1 5		1 0		2 0		6	2
Poaceae	Digitaria longiflora (Retz.) Pers.	Grass	NE												5	5	5		2 0	5							
Poaceae	Digitaria velutina (Forssk.) P. Beauv.	Grass	NE			2					2	5					1				1 0					5	6
Poaceae	Echinochloa colona (L.) Link	Grass	LC										1 0							3		1 0					
Poaceae	Echinochloa haploclada (Stapf) Stapf	Grass	NE						2																		
Poaceae	Echinochloa pyramidalis (Lam.) Hitchc. & Chase	Grass	LC							2	1 0		2		1 0												
Poaceae	Eleusine africana KennO'Byrne	Grass	LC		2	2	1	1	1			2	2	2					2			1			1		
Poaceae	<i>Eriochloa fatmensis</i> (Hochst. & Steud.) Clayton	Grass	NE							2		5															
Poaceae	Leersia hexandra G.C. Tucker	Grass	NE			2	2 0	5	1 0	1 0	1 0	2 0		3	2 0	3 0	1 5	3 5	3 0	2 0	5	4 0					2 0
Poaceae	Oplismenus hirtellus (L.) P. Beauv.	Grass	NE																						3		
Poaceae	Panicum brevifolium L.	Grass	NE																						5		
Poaceae	Panicum hymeniochilum Nees	Grass	LC	5	7	2	1				2																
Poaceae	Panicum maximum (Steud.) Oliv.	Grass	NE									2							1 0	4							
Poaceae	Panicum sp.	Grass		5																							
Poaceae	Paspalum scrobiculatum L.	Grass	LC											1		5	2		5				3				
Poaceae	Paspalum sp	Grass				1	1							1 0					1					2			
Poaceae	Pennisetum purpureum Schumach.	Grass	NE			2		5	1	2	1								2	5	5	3	2	1	1 0		
Poaceae	Phragmites mauritianus Kunth	Grass	NE									1	1					1									
Poaceae	Setaria poiretiana (Schult.) Kunth	Grass	NE		2	3	2		3			5	2					3	2	2		4	2		1 5		
Poaceae	Setaria sphacelata (Schumach.) Stapf & C.E. Hubb. ex M.B. Moss	Grass	NE									2					4						5				
Poaceae	Setaria verticillata (Guss.) B. Boivin	Grass	NE		1		1		2			1	1					3	1	5						3	

Poaceae	Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench	Grass	NE																	1 5							
Poaceae	Sporobolus agrostoides Chiov.	Grass	NE																			3			8	3	
Poaceae	Sporobolus festivus Hochst. ex A. Rich.	Grass	NE				1		2						1	5			3	5		1					
Poaceae	Sporobolus pyramidalis P. Beauv.	Grass	NE																2	2		1	4				
Poaceae	Zea mays L.	Grass	NE															1 0		5							
Polygonacea e	Oxygonum sinuatum (Hochst. & Steud. ex Meisn.)	Herb	NE																	1							1
Polygonacea e	Persicaria madagascariensis (Meisn.) S. Ortíz & Paiva	Herb	NE		4	2	4			3			2	1		8	4	4	7	3	5						
Polygonacea e	Persicaria senegalensis (Meisn.) Soják	Herb	LC			1			2	3	4		2 0									3					
Polygonacea e	<i>Persicaria setosula</i> (A. Rich.) K.L. Wilson	Herb	NE	2 0	3 0	15	1 0	1 0	2 0	2 0	1 5	1 0	1 0	2	1 0	2		2	8	5	2 0	1 0	3	8		1	3
Polygonacea e	Rumex bequaertii De Wild.	Herb	NE						2	1	1	2	4				2			5	5	1			3	1	5
Portulaccace ae	Portulaca oleracea L.	Herb	NE																	1							
Proteaceae	Grevillea robusta A. Cunn. ex R.Br.	Tree	NE													3											
Rhamnaceae	Ziziphus sp.	Tree			7																						
Rosaceae	Rubus steudneri Schweinf.	Shrub	NE	1															1				2	3		1	
Rubiaceae	Pavetta lanceolata Eckl.	Shrub	NE		1																						
Rubiaceae	Rubia cordifolia L.	Climber	NE							2					1	2			2			3		1			
Rubiaceae	Spermacocce sp.	Herb					1																				
Rubiaceae	Spermacoce ocymoides Burm. f.	Herb	NE	1																							
Rubiaceae	Spermacoce princeae (K. Schum.) Verdc.	Herb	NE											2													
Rubiaceae	Tricalysia niamniamensis Schweinf. ex Hiern	Shrub	NE																			2					
Rubiaceae	Vangueria apiculata K. Schum.	Tree	NE																					2		2	
Rutaceae	<i>Clausena anisata</i> (Willd.) Hook. f. ex Benth.	Tree	NE		3																						

Rutaceae	Vepris nobilis (Delile) Mziray	Shrub	NE													1							Π	1			
Salviniaceae	Azolla pinnata R.Br.	Herb	LC													1	3						\square	2			
Sapindaceae	Allophylus abyssinicus (Hochst.)	Shrub	NE															1		3			Π	2			
	Radlk.																										
Sapindaceae	Allophylus africanus P. Beauv.	Shrub	NE													2								<u> </u>			
Sapindaceae	Blighia unijugata Baker	Shrub	NE																						4		
Sapindaceae	Paullinia pinnata L.	Climber	NE	2	3	2	1			1									1	1				<u> </u>			
Scrophularia	Scoparia dulcis L.	Herb	NE																					2		1	
ceae																								<u> </u>			
Solanaceae	Capsicum frutescens L.	Shrub	LC													1											
Solanaceae	Nicandra physalodes (L.) Gaertn.	Shrub	NE										3							2				<u> </u>			
Solanaceae	Physalis peruviana L.	Herb	NE	1	2	1						1												2		1	
Solanaceae	Solanum aethiopicum L.	shrub	NE																		1						
Solanaceae	Solanum anguivi Lam	shrub	NE			2	1			1														1			
Solanaceae	Solanum campylacanthum	Shrub	NE											3						3		2		Í			
	Hochst. ex A. Rich.																										
Solanaceae	Solanum dasyphyllum	Shrub	NE			1			1	1		2		5	1				1	1		2		Í			2
	Schumach. & Thonn.																										
Solanaceae	Solanum lycopersicum L.	Herb	NE							1																	
Solanaceae	Solanum macrocarpon L.	Shrub	NE											3					1			1					
Solanaceae	Solanum nigrum L.	Herb	NE	1	2	1			1	2									1			1	1		6		1
Sphenocleac	Sphenoclea zeylanica Gaertn.	Herb	LC																		2		Π			5	
eae																								l			
Thelyptelida	Cyclosorus interraptus (Willd.)	Fern	LC	2	5	2				2	3				1	1	3	2			1		Π	6	5	4	5
ceae	H. Itô			0												5	5	5			0			0			
Typhaceae	Typha capensis (Rohrb.) N.E.Br.	Herb	LC			2			1		3			3	4	5	5	8	6	4	2		9	7			7
											0				0	0	0	0	5	5			0	0			5
Typhaceae	Typha domingensis Pers.	Herb	LC							1		1											Π		4		
										0		5												l			
Verbanacea	Clerodendrum johnstonii Oliv.	Herb	NE		2	6	2	1		2		6			2			1	5	5	1	5	8	1		8	
е																		0			0			0			
Verbanacea	Clerodendrum myricoides	Herb	NE												2								\square				
е	(Hochst.) Steane & Mabb.																				\square						
Verbanacea	Lantana camara (L.) L.H. Bailey	Shrub	NE			4	2			3		5		2	1	4		2	7	8	4		2	1		2	2
е																				۱ I		1 '		l		1 1	

Verbanacea	Lantana trifolia L.	Shrub	NE								1		2			1	1		1		
Verbanacea e	Stachytarpheta urticifolia Sims	Shrub	NE								1										
Vitaceae	Cissus petiolata Hook. f.	Climber	NE			1															
Vitaceae	Cissus quadrangularis L.	Climber	NE	5	1 0	1	1			2		2	1		3	5			1 5		
Vitaceae	Cyphostemma adenocaule (Steud. ex A. Rich.) Desc. ex Wild & R.B. Drumm.	Climber	NE			4		2	1 0	1				1 0	2	1 0		2	6		
Vitaceae	Cyphostemma cyphopetallum Fresen.	Climber	NE																	2	
Vitaceae	<i>Cyphostemma serpens</i> (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) Desc.	Climber	NE			2							2	1	2	2					
Zingiberacea e	Aframomum alboviolaceum (Ridl.) K. Schum.	Herb	NE													3					

Family	Species	No. counted	No. counted	IUCN Red List Status
		(Wet Season)	(Dry Season)	
Accipitridae	119 - Aquila spilogaster African Hawk-Eagle	2	-	LC
Accipitridae	122 - Lophaetus occipitalis Long-Crested Eagle - F	2	1	LC
Accipitridae	124 - Stephanoaetus coronatus Crowned Eagle - FF	-	1	R-VU, U-EN
Accipitridae	125 - Polemaetus bellicosus Martial Eagle	2	2	NT, R-VU
Accipitridae	75 - Milvus migrans Black Kite - pA	4	2	PM
Accipitridae	76 - Haliaeetus vocifer African Fish Eagle - W	1	-	LC
Accipitridae	90 - Polyboroides typus African Harrier Hawk	4	1	LC
Accipitridae	93 - Circus ranivorus African Marsh Harrier - W	1	3	R-NT
Alcedinidae	375 - Halcyon senegalensis Woodland Kingfisher - A	7	14	LC
Alcedinidae	378 - Ispidina picta African pygmy Kingfisher - fw	1	-	LC
Alcedinidae	383 - Ceryle rudis Pied Kingfisher - W	2	1	LC
Anatidae	57 - Anas undulata Yellow-Billed Duck - W	4	3	LC
Anhingidae	7 - Anhinga rufa African Darter - W	3	2	R-VU, U-VU
Apodidae	367 - Tachymarptis melba Alpine Swift - p	23	22	PM
Ardeidae	17 - Bubulcus ibis Cattle Egret - G	-	3	Least Concern
Ardeidae	22 - Ardea intermedia Intermediate Egret - W	-	1	Least Concern
Ardeidae	26 - Ardea melanocephala Black-Headed Heron - w	3	6	-
Charadriidae	211 - Charadrius hiaticula Common Ringed Plover - PW	2	-	PM
Charadriidae	221 - Vanellus senegallus African Wattled Lapwing - W	-	5	LC
Charadriidae	223 - Vanellus spinosus Spur-Winged Lapwing - WG	-	2	LC
Charadriidae	227 - Vanellus crassirostris Long-Toed Lapwing - W	-	2	LC
Cisticolidae	657 - Cisticola ayresii Wing-Snapping Cisticola - G	6	4	LC
Colliidae	369 - Colius striatus Speckled Mousebird	11	29	LC
Columbidae	270 - Turtur tympanistria Tambourine Dove - F	2	21	-
Columbidae	271 - Turtur afer Blue-Spotted Wood Dove - F	-	2	LC
Columbidae	283 - Streptopelia semitorquata Red-Eyed Dove - f	2	3	LC
Columbidae	284 - Streptopelia decipiens African Mourning Dove	18	58	-
Columbidae	289 - Streptopelia senegalensis Laughing Dove	5	10	LC
Corvidae	855 - <i>Corvus albus</i> Pied Crow	1	14	LC
Corvidae	858 - Ptilostomus afer Piapiac	5		LC
Cuculidae	309 - Cuculus solitarius Red-Chested Cuckoo - AF	9	2	LC
Cuculidae	323 - Centropus superciliosus White-Browed Coucal	6	33	LC

Annex 4: Species check list of bird species recorded during the survey both seasons combined

Estrilididae	959 - <i>Lagonosticta senegala</i> Red-Billed Firefinch	14		LC
Estrilididae	963 - <i>Lagonosticta rubricata</i> African Firefinch	-	7	LC
Estrilididae	966 - Estrilda paludicola Fawn-Breasted Waxbill	6	-	LC
Estrilididae	980 - Spermestes cucullata Bronze Mannikin	1	39	-
Estrilididae	981 - Spermestes bicolor Black-and-White Mannikin - f	20	17	LC
Gruidae	185 - Balearica regulorum Grey Crowned Crane - WG	21	57	VU, R-NT, U-EN
Hirundinidae	501 - Neophedina cincta Banded Martin - AG	-	21	LC
Hirundinidae	512 - Hirundo angolensis Angola Swallow	19		LC
Hirundinidae	513 - Hirundo rustica Barn Swallow - Pw	13	16	PM
Indicatoridae	456 - Indicator minor Lesser Honeyguide - f	6		LC
Laniidae	812 - Lanius collaris Common Fiscal - G	2	5	LC
Laniidae	815 - Lanius excubitoroides Grey-Backed Fiscal - Afw	6	9	LC
Leiothrichidae	764 - Turdoides sharpei Black-Lored Babbler	2	-	-
Malaconotidae	843 - Laniarius erythrogaster Black-Headed Gonolek - f	9	9	-
Meropidae	392 - Merops persicus Blue-Cheeked Bee-Eater - P	-	43	PM (LC)
Motacillidae	516 - Motacilla capensis Cape Wagtail - W	23	8	
Motacillidae	520 - Motacilla aguimp African Pied Wagtail - w	2	5	
Muscicapidae	576 - Cossypha heuglini White-Browed Robin-Chat - f	4	5	LC
Muscicapidae	592 - Saxicola torquatus African Stonechat	4	8	LC
Muscicapidae	601 - Myrmecocichla nigra Sooty Chat	1	1	LC
Muscicapidae	725 - Muscicapa comitata Dusky-Blue Flycatcher - F	1		LC
Muscicapidae	730 - Ficedula semitorquata Semi-Collared Flycatcher - P	-	1	NT, PM
Musophagidae	302 - Musophaga rossae Ross's Turaco - F	-	4	Least Concern
Musophagidae	376 - Crinifer zonurus Eastern Grey Plantain Eater	-	2	Least Concern
Nectariniidae	783 - Cyanomitra alinae Blue-Headed Sunbird - FF	-	5	RR, R-RR
Nectariniidae	787 - Chalcomitra senegalensis Scarlet-Chested Sunbird - f	-	4	Least Concern
Nectariniidae	790 - Nectarinia kilimensis Bronze Sunbird - f	7	4	LC
Nectariniidae	803 - Cinnyris erythrocercus Red-Chested Sunbird - W	2	-	R-RR
Passeridae	881 - Passer griseus Northern Grey-Headed Sparrow	6	21	-
Ploceidae	897 - Ploceus ocularis Spectacled Weaver - f		2	LC
Ploceidae	903 - Ploceus intermedius Lesser Masked Weaver	223	3	-
Ploceidae	908 - <i>Ploceus cucullatus</i> Black-Headed Weaver (some	75	134	LC
Ploceidae	910 - Ploceus melanocenhalus Vellow-Backed Weaver W		76	
Plocoidao	910 - Floceus melanolecpinalas Tenow-Backed Weaver - W	2	70	
Plocelude		5	-	

Ploceidae	930 - Euplectes franciscanus Northern Red Bishop - G		5	Least Concern
Ploceidae	932 - Euplectes axillaris Fan-Tailed Widowbird - w	18	17	LC
Ploceidae	937 - Amblyospiza albifrons Grosbeak Weaver - fW	3	15	LC
Psittacidae	292 - Poicephalus meyeri Brown Parrot	1	4	LC
Pycnonotidae	543 - Baeopogon indicator Honey Guide Greenbul - FF	8	-	LC
Pycnonotidae	547 - Atimastillas flavicollis Yellow-Throated Greenbul - f	-	40	LC
Pycnonotidae	732 - Pycnonotus barbatus Common Bulbul	41	74	LC
Rallidae	171 - Crex egregia African Crake - AwG		2	R-NT, U-NT
Rallidae	178 - Zapornia flavirostra Black Crake - W	29	32	LC
Rhamphastidae	443 - Pogonornis bidentatus Double-Toothed Barbet - f	1	-	LC
Scopidae	28 - Scopus umbretta Hamerkop - w	5	1	LC
Stenostiridae	732 - Elminia longicauda African Blue-Flycatcher - f	2	-	-
Sturnidae	872 - Lamprotornis purpuroptera Ruppell's Long-tailed Starling	12	6	LC
Threskiornithidae	39 - Bostrychia hagedash Hadada Ibis - w	17	26	LC
Threskiornithidae	42 - Threskiornis aethiopicus Sacred Ibis - W	-	2	LC
Turdidae	612 - Turdus pelios African Thrush - f	1	1	LC
Viduidae	985 - Vidua macroura Pin-Tailed Whydah - G	-	2	LC
Zosteropidae	811 - Zosterops senegalensis Northern Yellow White-Eye - f	4	-	LC

Annex 5: Biology and flow-related ecological requirements of plant species most sensitive to hydrology in River Mishumba

Table 14-1 shows the flora most dependent on water. From the five attributes about these plant species which determine their distribution, the dominant flora was established to be herbs (74.1%) with only a few grasses and one species of tree (*Syzygium cordatum*) that are heavily dependent on ground water for their survival (Orwa *et al.*, 2009, Maroyi 2018, Mbanze *et al.*, 2019, Dixon *et al.*, 2020). Most (81.5%) of the plant species have a perennial growth cycle, and survive through the growing seasons. This means that environmental flow rates that ensure their continued growth and reproduction through the growing seasons can support their survival (Table 6-11)

Only a single species (3.7%), *Nymphaea nouchali*, is favoured by presence of open water conditions. This species was recorded from sites 8, 14 and 15. It has floating leaves with long petioles (leaf stalks) that arise directly from the rhizome by which it gets anchored for support. The species requires presence of water throughout the year and is favoured by slow-flowing water. It grows between 30 and 90 cm, with an average of 60 cm deep and requires an environmental flow rate as in Table 6-13.

With the exception of *Nymphaea nouchali*, survival of rest of plant species is not necessarily dependent on open water availability as they can still grow provided there is a regime of flooding (seasonal or permanent), marshy conditions in the vegetation or edges at the river. This is verified by the fact that the vast majority of plant species (92.6%) in Table 14-1 are hygrophytes (plants adapted to permanently damp - not wet - conditions) and can grow well under permanent conditions of moderate levels of soil moisture and are less dependent. The hygrophytic species of plant mostly (83.3%) grow emergent, anchored with roots, thus farther away from the open water. Most plant species therefore can survive under lower e-flow rates.

Plant species							Α	ttribu	ıte					
	Gro hat	owth bit		Gro Cy	wth cle		Co micro	ommo ohabit	on tat(s)		Wa Iov affi	ter- ing nity	hydro c, tl	lf ophyti hen:
	Tree	Grass	Herb	Annual	Perennial	Seasonally flooded	Permanently waterlogged	Water edge	Marshy ground	Abundant-water	Hydrophyte	Hygrophyte	Floating	Emergent, Rooted and anchored
Hygrophila auriculata	0	0	1	1	0	1					0	1	0	0
Cyperus papyrus	0	0	1	0	1		1				0	1	0	1

Table 14-1: Summary of the biology and flow-related ecological requirements of plant species most sensitive to hydrology in River Mishumba

Cyperus articulatus	0	0	1	0	1	1					0	1	0	0
Cyperus denudatus	0	0	1	0	1	1					0	1	0	0
Cyperus difformis	0	0	1	1	0	1					0	1	0	0
Cyperus dives	0	0	1	0	1	1	1				0	1	0	0
Cyperus exaltatus	0	0	1	0	1	1					0	1	0	0
Cyperus latifolius	0	0	1	0	1	1					0	1	0	0
Hydrocotyle ranunculoides	0	0	1	1	0		1	1			0	1	0	1
Syzygium cordatum	1	0	0	0	1		1		1		0	1	0	0
Melanthera scandens	0	0	1	0	1			1			0	1	0	0
Nymphaea nouchali	0	0	1	0	1					1	1	0	1	1
Ludwigia abyssinica	0	0	1	0	1	1		1			0	1	0	1
Ludwigia octovalvis	0	0	1	0	1	1		1			0	1	0	1
Echinochloa colona	0	1	0	1	0	1					0	1	0	0
Echinochloa haploclada	0	1	0	0	1	1		1			0	1	0	0
Echinochloa pyramidalis	0	1	0	0	1		1	1	1		0	1	0	1
Leersia hexandra	0	1	0	0	1		1	1	1		1	0	0	1
Paspalum scrobiculatum	0	1	0	0	1	1			1		0	1	0	0
Phragmites m auritianus	0	1	0	0	1		1	1			0	1	0	0
Persicaria madagascarie nsis	0	0	1	0	1		1	1	1		0	1	0	1
Persicaria senegalensis	0	0	1	0	1	1			1		0	1	0	0
Persicaria setosula	0	0	1	0	1		1	1	1		0	1	0	1
Sphenoclea zeylanica	0	0	1	1	0	1					0	1	0	0
Cyclosorus interraptus	0	0	1	0	1		1		1		0	1	0	0

Typha	0	0	1	0	1		1	1	1		0	1	0	1
capensis														
Typha	0	0	1	0	1		1	1	1		0	1	0	1
domingensis														
Total number	1	6	20	5	22	14	12	12	10	1	2	25	2	10
of species														
Percentage	3.	22	74	18	81	51	44	44	37	3.	7.4	92.6	16.	83.3
number of	7	.2	.1	.5	.5	.9	.4	.4	.0	7	%	%	7%	%
species	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%				

Annex 6: Stakeholder Consultations (Attendance Lists) for Kabuyanda ICRP

Community meeting at Kyamazinga 1 village (upstream) held on 5th March 2021

	ect Name	L			
Pro.	Name	RI Villaga -Le Position	Cumulfe Date:	5/5/2021 Telephone	Signature
*	hundrense	With many store of	clarait	merilanter	e fitek
2.	NAYABAHIKA PRANC	SKTAMAZANA I	VIMAR	0763443281	Team
3.	Muhamana Loopera	+ Grand zinga T	closen your	0751680122	n-
4.	Therpionumshound	Erama zinan I	climan water	0751004813	men
5.	Kuconco Aleni	KJamazing I	C/Renson	0759674917	Kenne
6.	Kelhett Twiking	e Kyannasinga I			
2.	Mhabaz, Lidia	KofamazingaI		-	-
8,	metungive ihis	ona kyamazinga I			
9.	Twinomyambi Lac	iton kyaniatingat		07-581819	Dij
10.	AD MUSTER - mese	na Kumaningi =	1 Sec- Environd	- 5704969	51 lest

Community meeting at Kyamazinga 2 village (upstream) held on 8th March 2021

Deve	eet Name Know 92	youds to Ici Con	Date Date	\$12,1202	
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Sign
L.	1 1 0	1. Chaman	Lan	02030 311	4
2.	hal-g-	Silver Manager	Finance	0757705um	S
3	Valuetaka ar	Vinna Zina	5 Sec. wener	0756626603	t
4.	Patrophase da	Sin Marina Inga	π.		Tur
5.	manderwerke en	New marina	T Seve- youth		ni
6.	Tulling Vares	to Kanazina	TT .	1 1	Va
7.	Vacassi Vast	era Vajerne 2. par Ti	-stol Person		U
8.	Saana Silvin	Viana 2000	Sac. Burner	nt	5
10	servere amore	and the second second			-

Proj Proj	ect Name Korbuya De ect Code: Meety Le	ATTENDANCE FORM	Date	5 (3/20-	ч
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signature
1.	BANYENZAL ALLAS	T CAMPAN LOE	HALLOTO 57	7759368393	they
2.	TUSTIME EDWARD	CHAIRMON LCI	KATOOMA	0753815835	Tusis
3.	MarEsilium PATRICK	CIMAN L-CI	KARTO 7	0782414269	-
4.	TURINKAHE ZAVERIO	Lei clp	LUAMINAGA	078799734	to This
5.	Annonic Grand Swellin	VHT CONSIDER	V Nampula	075903276	theas
6.	muchuma za proces	2 V 6+ E	KRYEED 71	070211	565
7	Russel R. P.	man has the	hach the	25911	onG

Meeting Village Chairpersons for dam site area on 6th March 2021

Community meeting at Kagoto 1 village (dam site) held on 6th March 2021

Proi	oct Code Kasothe E		Da	te: 6/3/20	24
No.	Name	Position	Location	Télephone	Signature
1.	Monesiana Patrick	dina Les	Kagobo I	6752411259	OTHE
2	Kanusz-10 Dan	Production	Kagotoz	077479635	575
3.	Auguras Terra	C/PErson Loome	n Kagoto I	075531846	? manufac
4	Na man an latter		Vagoto I	075587624	states
5	Tumusine projet		Wagstor		1
6.	Furidal Twower	ie:	1/ ago to I		
2.	Madiride Beatras		Kagete I	075252549	5
8.	Nealamiter madias		Kagote I		
9.	Time & anaroe John	5775	Kergoto I		-
10.	They earcre obe	d	Kagoto I	07894100	67
	Tumusisme Herr	Y VHT	Kagoto 1	CLANTER	25
	Norwtongi Pete	10	Lagoro +		

Meeting with Kagoto 1 Village committee leaders held on 7th March 2021

Date	the second se	and landsect		Dural Contractor	and the second
File	ect Code:	Marca meete	Date:	7.03 2021	
	Maine	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatur
1	NWESILINA PATRICK	Chian L.C. 5	KALOTO S	5782414269	THE
2	Mis Loy Kamban	VIC/Person	KALSTO I	078891293	line
3.	Mukasa Aldunana	Cec	e	07564225	Raito
4.	Musime Javia	Wence See	K 4	5755818469	30000
5,	Kanniano Francis	Production Employ		077479659	1941-2
6.	Nthan Elia	Signer Les	10 10	0774763565	El
7.	Cumuritma Aid	Tropunes	¥ 4	07758524 M	Aus
8.	Ametrica Achtrali	Youth See	3.4		140
9.	Fundatoria Leo	Kiringu	1. e	074453894	Rec
10.	Champing Reposed	tobolide.	Vagobe 3	HATT 391	cha
11.	a viving statu	N. H.T.			
12	Tumustime Henry	V. 44. T.	Egoto 3		
	A STATE AND AND AND A STATE OF A	N- 14- 1			

Meeting with Kagoto 2 village committee leaders held on 6th March 2021

00000	Name	Position	Location		Signature
1. 1	Banyensand'	Agen alman La	Kasita z	PD (arlenas	
2.	dever Down	Back & secreticy 4	et Kanto TT	076663571	le
	Chatti banci	londie Krun (Elder)	Kagobon	07566238	1.0
-	Antin' John	20500 Uf Car	Hapton	640395750	1 50 84
6.	BONISMY ALOY	nous biffena Lot	KagotoIT	070577124	The S
7.	edre si uza	Many Information	RagdoII	07.79796501	Kedra
8	avenda yan	usening Emplimente	Vagoto (T)	075471277	Takan
9.	Heller Brand	onthe Cfresson	Kageto D	0756623170	- hat
10 01	Kardoa J	P. RDU	Kaguk	4 07,500	15+9
12	22	1 AN PORT		1	

Community meeting at Katoma 2 village held on 6th March 2021

Proj	ect Name Kottoyon Da	ATTENDANCE FOR Project	n M Iby Date	e 6/3/202	4
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatur
1.	TURYPHINUBROAD FLANGES	PEASHIN	VATIONAN I	0704E358	Franci
2	T		KATROMA	0757204234	
3	Howi Murah	0,0	Katcoma		
4	Resonwich Source		Kadocena I	095571530	4 Tright
5,	Trazinaministry Cat	- un	Water min I	07-646916	Ticon 1
- 6	Musicer in the	cidit .	Externa I	07,02548487	NR.S.
#	Natamba medard	Bunter	Kachenance D	0705543142	Airies
8.	Matauta Gordon	Kalissen			-197
9,	ARINE THE	di	Mateoma	0700464260	Judi
10	Runner Wi Torker	PEasant	Vatoona	MAN MARSHIE	Jackson-

Community meeting at Katooma village held on 8th March 2021

	ject Code: K-CLEVenna		Date:	× labor	
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signature
1.	TUSIME EDWARD	KATOOMA	CHARMON LOG	07538/3845	Gusta
	BIRYDMUMEISH DACSON		VICE CIMAN	0702-90781	Barrow
3.	SEGUTA JOHN	-	ABPENCE	0758618367	FOR YO-
	NYANGOMA JUSTINA	~	INVAROMENT	0751052556	S COLL
3	MUBANGIZI TADES	25	Sec	STSS WALTL	
.6.	NAMATA JURIET	~	PUBLISTY	- 100 Hiles	
-1+	MUHNEZI ZAVE	-	TOUTH	0159857000	Touc
0	KABAZA LOYI	~	WENEN	Constant of Select on the	
*	SAFARI NOREDA	1	INFOMATION		Noreda
10.	WMN/GBAZE A	- Edd	DISABLITY	0756201410	
61 J	KAKURAMASI BANABA	2	ELDER		Bours

Meeting with Kaaro 3 village held on 6th March 2021

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

No	Name	Univo me	Dat	e: 6/3/12	221
	rvane	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatu
1.	AR-TAKIKWASA VASIED	PERENCIPY	KAARO TTI	07596mugh	Topmy
2.	AGABA JUNIOR	PERSONAL .	KAARO TH	07-538186W	Steen
3.	USIONANOE SANCU	N TEASMNI	KAMADIU	07042607	1 ho
.4.	KATUSIME OIFT	PERSONT	LANDO I	07455559	Gitt
5	MATSIKO BENON	PEASANT	KAARD TH	0102916000	water
6.	TUSHEMERIDURE	PEASANT	KARKO TA	0754104920	War To
7.	KOMULLANDER VSTNDIE	PENSIN	KAADO JA	085300708	Konne
8.	WE YAHEBWA WOR	PERSANT	KAPRO TO	-	locky
9.	AMERICE FRED	REASPING	KAARD TH	07-86314902	America
	100 Eastern Contraction				4

Community meeting at Nyamiyaga village held on 6th March 2021

Pro	iect Name: Krapman	ATTENDANCE FO	DRM		
Pro	ject Code Nyamiyap	. villere meet	v	lata	
No.	Name	Position	Location	6 8 2	14
A.	TURINKALL TANK	I al plan	-	07570000	1.002
2,	Att 1 MIRIS Davit Provide	Let Mester	Alyemiyaga	0 10 11/1.54	97
3,	Niliana a Cara - Cara	Secreteri	etifics regaringings	0779141170	Rom
4.	MIMBI SIGUE GLOK	1 Seventures / pro	duction l'yamiyago	0701059775	GL
S.	Mumwillinze counting	Kinnsu	reganizacy		Corti
6.	OBEdi Jove your yor	\$			OF

Pro	oject Name	ATTENDANCE FO	DRM		
Pn	oject Code NyAm	Da	Date		
140	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Simular
	TURINICAHE EN	uters Lei Clasm	01		- Charles
4	Tumute Greeners	Aliasta Alla Inchis	Nypaniya Ga	0787997340	Roma
3.	Mugasta Acina	Marine VIC Person 40	Nyamyadon	075422137	4 cymas
4:	winter and	and see Le I	Myamiyaten	077521340	4 fings
5	Alba a con a fa	WARE Treasurer LC	Mypannya ka	075200023	OWOK
6.	M C C	approx Dictance 300 L	er Najamuyata	077914#78	Good
7.	Musenen Jaw	pullo Robuc. Sec. 1.0.	Klypminga Ga	07037.54647	Muga
8.	Altimizigibus Ga	ony Environment ph	what Alymonya Go	070 1059 775	GLOR
9	Normanu Hales 151	UNICO YOUTH & PECA-	Los NyAmiyata	0759215538	Nidyan
10	TIMDIWEGI SE	LINA WOMEN Sec La	NYAMUARCA	0782411773	Tinder
12.550	BUSHERWICE RU	SIAND CIP Elderty	Kerne	ana l	0.91

Community meeting at kabumba village (downstream) held on 12th March 2021

Proj	eet Code: Kabamian Chill	2. Co	Date:	12/3/2024	
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signature
I.	MUGURA BATSON	C) man LCI	Knemba cel	0781125421	Miles
2	NGABERATINO HOPE	INVironent	KABUMBA GEI	0705901809	
3	MARION BALLINA	PUREAUER	Kithoman cell	5773751023	
3	K-10 muse Nos Journ	a. Information	KARIMBA CEN		
5.	KIGAMBE JOHNSON	ELDER	KABUMBA CEI		1.6
6.	TURIANE BUE MERIA	· Pubulicity	KABUMBA W	H. 070013944	
7.	MILIAS KLUCH	Women) = person	KABIN BAG	1 0753332510	
8.	Mulicua Tosepu	GENAL SEC	KABUMBA CU	1070358280FO	
9.	VE MARE MRE. UND DW	-1 65011	KATBONEA CEN	075539972	

Meeting Village chairpersons in Ntundu parish (down stream) on 8th March 2021

1418000	eer code	PANISL -LEI CAN	r persons 1)ate: 8[3[207	-1
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signature
1.	Muluka Stores	N KABUMBA CEI		0781125421	aller.
2	TWESIME LAMS	on KAGUNGA CEN		84523465248	
4	Muculuz, John	nu humas cen		0772176248	
4.	A PLINHI TWE JOHN	HAD NOTIFIC TH CERT		075678506	
5.	BONNBARN LIS	IA MERENRIA IL		075262633	-
6,	TUNGUME GOEFE	+ BILLIRUNGU CEN		-	
7.	THE SINGLASS LEN	AND KINJUBUKA		075156275	-
8.	MONDAY ONES	mas morenen III		075767655	-
8.	MONDAY ONES	mas mbandara III		0/340/034	

Meeting VHTs in Ntundu parish on 8th March 2021

	iect Code: Ntrundy Por	nge VHTs	Date	8/2/2021
Mo.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone Signatu
1	MONDAY ONESMAS	VH7 CORDINATOR	NTIAIDU PHIKISH	07576765555 Declar
	MUGARSRUIS LUCKY	VH 7	MBARARA II	070182851910
-	ALIWINTEREZA Atimess	VHT	MBREACH I	070391262
-	AINGMANSAZI ATSTHIN	¥#7	RUSARE	0753302412
*	TUMUSOME PEALAH	V#5	LITARE	070093 3073
5	SAM ASIMUE	VH7	KIJUBUKA	0786898556
7-	THIRY RIZE EVAS	VHT	KINBURA	57 nuuzska
8	BAHANINA RECHERL	V#7	BIKURUNIN	1054826076
9.	BATIMBE SYLWER	VHT	BIKARUSIA	
10.	CLARE BYAMWARK	UH7	BIKURWALLOW	Deutocau
7.8	STELLA KATWIKE	NAT.	Verener	10199700704

Meeting women cultivators at Ruzinga village on 11th March 2021

Proj	ect Code RM Ships	1 Pubaraposo - Rosa	in the base for	na 71/2/200	
Ng.	Nume	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatur
KI	Kalemahangs.	P RUZINSIO			PER
2	& Yogabirw	e Ruzinga			
3,	ASINGUE SPE	Dation Buisingto			1
4	Nyamushan	a.T.			
5.	TERRITA K	State Land Street			
6.	ALABAKO29 S	hallot		07816326	28
7-	Ko muhanas A	ly n.		0707237	36
8.	Same it	C. C	and the second second		

Meeting farmers at Nombe village on 5th March 2021

eroj	ect Name: Non Viel	De RDEF	P		
Proj	ect Code: N. Omb	- Unlares / Kin	agate nu	. children	
ND.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatur
E.	Tushaha Polesi	famer	Nonla	-	
2	Nisimon Supit	aunt	It	07:94 202	20
3	Magumbo Edwa	1 Caner	//	09050 200	10 10
4 4	Tramale Lawrence	2 Lonvert	hi	- 000- 000	1.445
3.	Trada Kalala	E C			

Meeting with Rukureijo village committee leaders on 5th March 2021

- POR	est Name DCH Du VYM)¢-	R.M.	
Proj	ect Code RyKuv	eiso Villare	Ð	ate states and
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone Signature
<u>i</u>	Nevernage Matt	in alleran	Removert	0772673695
2	Machens Shire	so VICIP	U	0996404049
3.	Stelle Brethund	a sec	il.	077797255
4	Russing Brig	in trance S	- WHTH	0782595026
5.	Kote azí Sia		1+	0743335255
6.	Kalini Ehri	Nothende	i Crec n	0763034870
7.	An in Made	1 homas Ser	2- 16:	0779422525
8.	of angi Nyani	a Faring		0779542601
				man in chice

Meeting Kikagate Sub County Technical Staff held on 10^{th} March 2021

Proj	cot Name Kalongala cot Code Kalongala S	ATTENDANCE FOR	M Date:	10/3/000	
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signatur
1	AMOS ARIGHE	Parish chief	Rusamus Juka	078769064	9 0
2	TUMBO JULYE MOR	A Atto	KIKACIA THE STO	07771244	in Tim
3.	Julemanument BASHIR	SAR	Killerante 5/2	0772770148	brue
4.			+1		
5.					1
2.			_		

Meeting Kabuyanda Town Council Sub County Technical Staff held on 11th March 2021

Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

Proj	iest Name Korburgale P	ATTENDANCE FORM	al bedr Date	ula local	
Nu.	Name	Pusition	Location	Telephone 3	Researce
L	BHANATONDA NICENT	TOININ CLERK	KAGALANNON TIC	0758816686	Eaterla
2	WARAKO JNNOCENT	HEALTH INSPECTION	Kelmeda The	N769018685	AB
	NAMORAL HADITAH	Ache	Kaburanda The	0701172905	and the
4	Alternation advertages	Levitent Questices	KARAMANDATE	D7:3922320	-14
1.	NEXTOFORE TESNA	Tourid AGEN	CENTIPH WHERE KASUMATER TIC	0152941827 7	Burbo
	Sahib Francis	Day anound	Haburano	C 75100445	F RALL
Ţ.	Amilitare areas	Let Cleana	bala yaler	E OTSA Somer	-
a	Hallin Dickson Brinomogia	to printing complete	the Kattoning I	9705332591 1	12-32
4.	Alterle Grode	ASALDRI	Wetherego ale	070720065	· He
	the second s	a second a second secon	and the second sec	a second se	the second
	Dr. Collins Atomint	Inchance	Kaburgende ski	877122152 1	5 Zill
340	Dr. Collins Artonyint Arina inve priscille	Sinchange a EIN	Kalungende ski Kiertersychieder	6771221512 1 this 07799314	5-24
In Pro Pro	Dr. Colling Artoninie Arine i we priscille oject Name Kabayyande	JBN ATTENDANCE FOR MURASTO ELS	Kabungente sei Hartersyonnder	6779221512 0 this 07799312	3-24 33-6
IN Pri No	Dr. Colling Artonnie Arina inve Priscille oject Name Kabaryade oject Code prilety	Suchange SEIN ATTENDANCE FOR KIKAgerte S/C 5, Position	Kabungente sei Hartengemeder M allmtal Herba	077922152 His 07799392 c (2/3/2024	5 24 53 to
In Pro Pro No 1	Dr. Colling Attornut Arina ince Priscille oject Name Kabaygade oject Cade Milety Name America Adia 15	JBN ATTENDANCE FOR KIKAgette S/C J Position	Kabungente se Eastangemeder M Selfmetal Heals Location	e (2/3/2021 this 07799363 e (2/3/2021 Teleptions	Signature
Pro Pro No 1 -2	Dr. Colling Attorned Arine ince Priscille oject Name Kabryand oject Code Milety Name AMOS ARIGUE	Suchange E 10 ATTENDANCE FOR KIKAgotte S/C J Position Parish chief	Kabungente se Easterryemider M allmtal fleets Location Kikagate	1073922152 His 07799322 10 (2/3/2024 Telephone 9(C075146380	Stenature Cly
Pro Pro No 1 2 3	Dr. Colling Attorned Arine ince Priscille oject Name Kabayade oject Code Milety Name AMOS ARIQUE Tumustacije Moses	JBN ATTENDANCE FOR ATTENDANCE FOR KIKAgete S/C 7 Position Parish chief ATHO	Kaburgenteski Kaburgenteski Kaburgenteski M Lacator Lacator Kikagates Kikagates	107392252 His 07799322 1000 10075146380 10075146380 10075146380 10075259842	Sternmon (4) Direct
90 Pri Pio No 1. 2. 3. 4.	Dr. Colling Attorned Arina inve Priscille oper Name Kabryande oper Cade milety Name AMOS ARIAIE Tumustalings Mosses	JBN BILLA ATTENDANCE FOR ATTENDANCE FOR KIKAgette S/C 7 Position Parish chief A77H2	Kabunpentese Easteryonnda M Ellmial fleets Locators Kikagate : Kikagate :	c (2/3/202) this 07799303 c (2/3/202) Teleptione \$C 075146380 c 075257842	Signature Al Distance

Meeting Ruborogota Sub County Technical Staff held on 10^{th} March 2021

Proje	et Name Kabuyada pro	ATTENDANCE FORM	Date:	10/3/2001	
No.	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signature
.L.	THERE INA THRESTINE	DARSH CHIEF	KARAMA	07.59 12 1214	To.
2	ABENNUE JODAN	000	Ruesasciota sic	0773945219	Mastant
3	Immennes Romas	PARISH CHIEF	Rozeronoinga	HICTO845016	EL28
4.			*		
5:				the second second	

Meeting Kabuyanda Dam Committee on 11th March 2021

Ne	Name	Position	Location	Telephone	Signat
N.	Hakish Dickit Reinsmini	la committe mande	" Lato ang	182522090	the
Z	Tisebaze prest	Uman	Kan Jusaman zi	07575218	
3.	My humiza bright	publicity see.	Kabugu		1
4	Alimbisitas Gronia	Gen. Sec .	Kabright		-
\$	Imaandh	Camm member	Kabrigu		-
6,	Katera George	Comm Member.	Lamosamarzi	and in a	
	the second se	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 - 1 in the	A CONTROL	and the second second

Meeting Kabuyanda Sub County Technical Staff on 9th March 2021

fundation also KABnog. rel. No Dogiha 757443619 3AS IIME 4370 - 07501H Pla C752574027 Pichnet Fortagen Ces myana Hussein Slafia 6/02033951 /h TUSING PATRICK 7755228766 CASO 6 Abadne Asaph Pichigicoro 075765593 Sac Musialan Robert 0708495302 HA Kaningans Boses ALL MULLIN MAIN GAN Plening & 0770683653 10 Hedgemanahe .J acstation 0784-276379

Meeting Kagoto 2 village leader on 4th March 2021

TO BRO IT VIL Ragoto I villale 4/3/2021 Prosthe Tol. NO Climan have 0259368393 /078 Glorios 65 hume a patience VIII 0779141178 Martickens - picasfa Junja Devenues colliverado mostalares Ereck Bargase Tuyahitayo Michael - 07843979640

Meeting artianal fishermen at Kagoto 1 (dam site) on 4th March 2021

Figuress olal legolo cel topsus france kppolo Kaloto E Itavs Jedi Kaloto I 141913 Long Haloto I 161913 Bradon Kapota I 131915 P-4

List of Village Envrionment Committe leaders in Rwamwijuka parish (irrigable area)

Dame of the	PURAMWIJJKA PARISE SECRETARIES
	FOR ENVIRONMENT
4	NAME VILLAGE
1	ASIMME LYDIA Kuyonza
9	AKANKWASA MICHEAL BUDDARE
3	KYAKABALE ASAPH KIGANDO
4	KIRUNGA JAMES RWAMWINKA
5	NYAMBAKO NZERA KAMWOSYA
6	GAKUARO TARASISIO REVENITO
-7	MWEBESA MEDARD KABURARA
8	CATTERINE MANZI ILASHARIRA
	LOIT PARISH
	UNITH MUTABAZI
	compiled by
	the state of the s
	Amos APIGNE
and the second second	AREA PARISH CHIEF

List of Kagoto 1 village council leaders

agoto LC 1 Villale Tel. No Atrula Position 0+3+5+15= 10+5+31859 1. BANYENZAKI ALLAGY dessons. 2. MATIRI JOHN BOSCO V-C PERSONS S NAORERE OMAX JACK G-Secretary MIGHE PROSSY TRASURE 5 MABUMBA JOVENTA 07554741744 Frwaile martine protection 6 . Kayonga Kedres Publist Babigumier John Youth representative 0754564214 8 Kabatoro Stella 9 C/Person Jor women Bandondo Mikadi to monday Aloysius Eldery man Kenisit Diffence 0787 287528 Abc signmedance mackle PWD-0774595765 Muhumung Pabick 0772987127 · Tunjahabuce Sonah V set Tushabonicoe Annee N-14-VHT

List of Kabuyanda town Council Technical staff

Town council Staff SIN NAME ContracT Hartimbisible Noise TICLERK 0703924983 3-Banturaki Benard Ase T/clerk 0704582748 S Evan Andrew Planner 0704423474 3 Evan Andrew A Atwine Winnie Treacuses 0702525239 5 Muganga Ealson A- 20 Civic 0703580015 6- Ninsiima Evidence Agric officer 0703480521 7 Tusingurve Robert 11/190 8. Atukwatse Denis (AAHO) Tusingwive Robert THAgent C752-173199-9. Oreeba Onesmas Asst Envin Officer 0757695400. 10/Mmjuni Vicent Asst Records officer 0700279270. D Wardowo innocent #/Inspector 0759013685 0759018685 13 Nambatya Hadijah Tax officer (1) Kestisembo Jesika Stonor 0701193905 mperer 1075 2941227

List of Village leaders at Mbarara 3 village (irrigable area)

Lei Comm	arera III VIII	labo- Bugino/ KAtangale 8/3/2021
Name	Posibia	/ Tel. No
1. Monday Oneanus 2. Kacuanto Dance 3. Kayan Francis 4. Manday Jack Tweetaze Kenett Ingonumesta 7. Kentra a Kakare 8. Margret Finanoma 9. Muramuzi suita 10. Similay syprim	CIM Lez VICIMAN Secontory Treasurer Invironmental Publicity Women chemme Clober ciferen Youth ciferen P LAD cife	07.57676555 0706230784 07553354959 - 0704936671 0755666006 0775213264 0759159778

List of community leaders for Ndani village

Villa Commen an Vane NO Sabiti James clman 1 cT 0757546649. 2. Tibe signa vanance VICIMAN LCI 3. Byonganda Posiano Secretary in Defence 0758190357 0754738901 4 Mbabazi Willy General secretary 5. Tindiwegi charlene star information 0754870910 0772668172 6. Kyonukama peninal Treauverse to Environment 0751435490 7. Ayabuteno Arnet Slf- women 0774410471 S. Mon maningwine Stfor People with disabilition-9. Tayebwa Micholas Stim youth 0702336765 0752175453 10. Baguma Johnion alpuson for older sade -Almye 11 21700 cherrow

Annex 7: Summary of BEMP consideration on biodiversity mitigation measures and management Actions

Ref	Торіс	Sub Topic	Location	Requirement (Collecting mode/treatment/evacuation/final disposal)	Owner (c/t)	Verification Process
B001	Biodiversity General	- Interaction with other plans	All	This Biodiversity CESMP will be applied in conjunction with all other relevant management plans, including, but not necessarily limited to those outlined in the CESMP.	М, ВТ, С	Biodiversity - General Internal audit program and record
B002	Biodiversity General	- Use o Biodiversity Specialists	f All	The Project will seek to minimize impacts on notable species and loss, fragmentation, alteration, disturbance and disruption of sensitive habitats. The approach to be taken is outlined throughout this CESMP. A principal management tool in this will be the use of Biodiversity Specialists. All Biodiversity Specialists will be appropriately skilled for undertaking site supervision and species relocations where required.	M, BT	Monitoring report, Maps
B003	Biodiversity General	 Mapping of sensitive habitats and species. 	Sensitive Habitats (Class 3 - 5)	The Biodiversity Specialists contracted will identify and map any sensitive habitats though in this study, no sesntive habitats were found. Habitats will be mapped in sufficient detail that the locations of notable plant. (And where practical animal) species (including Uganda Red List species) are clearly marked. Bespoke mitigation will be applied in all areas where sensitive habitats are identified (see specific below). The maps will be used to monitor mitigation effectiveness.	BT	Monitoring report, Maps
B004	Biodiversity General	 Mitigation for sensitive habitats and species. 	Sensitive Habitats (Class 3 - 5)	Where any such habitats or species is present impacts will be mitigated as outlined in the ESIA for example by scheduling works to a less sensitive time of year or the use of appropriate species translocation to nearby suitable habitats. The resultant "hazard" mapping will be updated weekly with reports on any critical receptors.	BT, C	Monitoring report, Maps
B005	Biodiversity General	 Pre- construction checks 	Sensitive Habitats (Class 3 - 5)	Before commencement of vegetation stripping the Biodiversity, Specialists will conduct pre- construction checks, to help avoid accidental injury or death to sensitive species such as ground nesting birds, reptiles, amphibians and bats. Checks will include within hollow trees and other places of shelter. The Biodiversity Specialists will prepare a weekly monitoring report and hazard map showing sensitive locations. This will be shared with workers in an appropriate manner (e.g., Toolbox talks) so that sensitive areas can be avoided or bespoke mitigation implemented.	BT, C	Monitoring report, Maps
B006	Biodiversity General	- Training	All	MWE will train internal staff to be able to provide advice to contractors with input and advice if required and enable an informed overview of the biodiversity input from the contractors. Workers will be made aware of the ecological sensitivities of the areas and will be trained in mitigation for unforeseen events, including the presence of uncommon habitats and species. Health and safety recommendations regarding poisonous or otherwise dangerous plants or animals will also be provided by the e.g., through toolbox talks Biodiversity Specialists. Emergency numbers will be provided for Ecologists should protected species be found on site in the absence of site supervision.	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports, record
B007	Biodiversity General	- Road signs	Roads	Areas of high wildlife use will be indicated through appropriate signage along access roads where potential exists for vehicle/wildlife collision.	BT, C	Field verification Field verification
B008	Biodiversity General	- Biodiversity Specialist	Forests, riparian habitats	Where works in forests, riparian habitats or in water are unavoidable, at least one Biodiversity Specialists should be deployed to work with the workforce during clearance to identify sensitive habitats and species present on site, in particular nests with eggs/chicks, dens, burrows, hibernacula and other places of shelter to prevent direct mortality. In many locations two Biodiversity Specialists will be required.	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports, record

B009	Biodiversity -	Escape	All	Pits and excavations will be filled in as soon as possible following works. Any that need to remain	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	ramps		open for longer than 48 hr periods will have appropriate ramps (soil and not more than 45°) to		record
				allow fauna to escape should they fall in. Morning checks for fauna will be conducted for		
				excavations left open overnight.		
B010	Biodiversity -	Nesting birds	All	Active bird nests will not be damaged. As far as possible tree and scrub clearance will not be	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			undertaken during the breeding bird season (March to August inclusive). Should clearance during		photo record
				this time be necessary a preclearance nesting bird check of the vegetation to be cleared will be		
				undertaken by the Biodiversity Specialists and a decision on whether to move the nest or defer		
				the clearance will be made by the Biodiversity Specialists.		
B011	Biodiversity -	Translocation	All	Potential habitats for translocation will be identified in close proximity to project footprint (but	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			outside of the works corridor) if required. Translocation location will vary depending on the		photo record
				species but should be located according to target habitat.		
B012	Biodiversity -	Tree	All	Wherever possible the felling of significant/mature trees will be avoided and connectivity	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	conservation		between areas of forest habitats will be maintained. No trees over 100 mm in diameter will be		photo record
				felled without a pre-felling check by a Biodiversity Specialist.		
B013	Biodiversity -	Laydown	All	Laydown areas and compounds will be sited to avoid unnecessary clearance of vegetation.	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	areas				photo record
B014	Biodiversity -	Barriers and	All	Regular wildlife crossing points will be installed to enable wildlife to cross excavations, berms	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	crossing		and drainage channels. Fencing will be minimized and no areas vital for wildlife will be isolated		photo record
		points		by the workforce activities but temporary barriers will be used to prevent wildlife from accessing		
				waste disposal areas.		
B015	Biodiversity -	Monitoring	All	Restored areas will be monitored mowing regimes used to control growth of invasive species.	BT	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	and		The success of ecological restoration measures will be observed for a period of minimum 36		photo record
		management		months so that they can validate the effectiveness of the solutions adopted, however 5 years		
		regimes		monitoring is recommended.		
B016	Biodiversity -		All	Careful management of networks of ditches and polders so as to provide alternative habitats for	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			species; in order to bring the land to its original state		photo record
B017	Biodiversity -		All	Where necessary conditions will be created for recolonization of notable species in the affected	BT	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			habitat by providing a microhabitat that replies the initial state (pre-project). The success of the		photo record
				measures to restore the environment in areas affected will be evaluated according to the data		
				collected by the Biodiversity Specialist and specified within the BAP.		
B018	Biodiversity -		Entire Project	Maintain vegetated buffers wherever possible along River Mishumba and adjoining streams and	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			tributaries banks		photo record
B019	Biodiversity -	Dead wood,	Forested areas	Structures will be created within selected areas at a density of about 3-5 (stacks)/km, 3-5 mc	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General	boulders		material. These structures will ensure no net loss for amphibian and reptile species which utilize		photo record
				these features.		
B020	Biodiversity -	Replanting	Forested areas	During the ecological restoration phase, a series of measures will be taken in selected areas	вт , с	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			within the Mishumba catchment in order to mitigate the impact of land clearing by regenerating		photo record
				the cleared vegetation cover		
B021	Biodiversity -	Replanting	All	Replanting will be conducted according to the ESIA and restoration CSEMP and Operation ESMPs	вт, с	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			within the specified areas of irrigation command area.		photo record
B022	Biodiversity -		Access Roads	Access areas roads will be constructed in such a way that rain-water run-off is effective and	BT, C	
	General			puddles which could attract amphibians are avoided.		

B023	Biodiversity -	Vehicles	Sensitive Habitats (Class 3-	Use of low-impact vehicles (in terms of emissions and load bearing) where applicable.	С	Field verification, monitoring reports
	General		5)			
B024	invasive species	All	A site wide ban on workers	All vehicles and equipment will be washed down before entering the sensitive sites (see specific	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports
			bringing vegetation or soil	mitigation with regards to Japanese Knotweed)		
			from outside the site area			
			to prevent dispersion of			
			non-native invasive			
			species.			
B025	Biodiversity -	Bats	Within forested areas	200 bat boxes to be erected within forested areas. These will be monitored as a component of	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General		Within forested areas	the BAP.		photo record
B026	Biodiversity -	Restoration	All	Ephemeral water bodies to be created along the route in line with the Environmental permit at	BT, C	Field verification, monitoring reports,
	General			a density of 1 per 3 – 5km.		photo record

Anne	innex 8: Summary of Beivip consideration on social risks and impacts regarding provisioning ecosystems services										
No.	Impact & Risk	Area af	fected	Baseline Management Measures		Key Performance	BEMP Targets	Responsibility			
		River stretch	Sub Catchments	condition (value)	(Safeguards)	Indicator (KPI)					
1	Decrease in access to provisioning ecosystems services (river water, fishing etc.)										
	 a) Disruption of water collection points along river streams (dirty water due to construction of dam upstream and trenching of distribution networks) 	UpstreamMidstreamDownstream	 Mishumba Lower Mishumba Middle Chezho Lower Chezho 	 97% of HHs collect fresh water 	 Establish alternative clean water sources (extend free piped water) during construction phase in 6 parishes (Kagara, Kanywamizi, Central, Rwamwijuka, Kisyoro, Ntundu) Conduct sensitization led by DHO/ Health Inspectors, Health Assistants & VHTs 	 Provide alternative domestic water supply points (PSP) established before construction to serve 4,465 HHs in 6 parishes 	All done before construction begins	 MWE/ICRP WSDF-SW DWO, DHO Contractor Sub county Parish Community 			
	 b) Disruption of watering points for livestock at dam site area (6 villages affected) 	• Upstream (dam site)	• Mishumba	• 94% of HHs	 Create alternative watering points below dam site Restrict livestock at dam site Community dialogue on livestock watering & setting regulations 	 Alternative sites for livestock watering selected and gazetted 	Dialogue before construction	 Contractor DLG Sub County Agriculture Extension Community Livestock owners 			
	c) Disruption of crop farming livelihoods within floodplain and buffer zones	 Upstream Midstream Downstream 	 All sub catchments 	 93% HHs cultivate within swamps & buffer zones 	 Develop & implement 7 sub catchment protection action plans Minimal eviction of encroachers in critical sampled points Organize encroachers into groups and offer them casual jobs esp. women and youth (labour intensive public works) 	 Minimum of 450 encroachers evicted before construction begins Integrate evictees into livelihood restoration actions (alternative crops) Atleast 18 active groups formed (maximum of 25 people each) and give casual jobs 	Sensitization & dialogue before project roll-out especially with women	 MWE/ICRP DLG Sub county Agriculture Extension Parish Community 			
	 d) Disruption of artisanal fishing activities (for domestic & income uses) especially during dam construction in Mishumba & Lower Mishumba, Middle Chezho sub catchments 	 Upstream (dam site) Midstream 	 Mishumba Lower Mishumba Middle Chezho 	 52% HHs (fish for domestic consumption) 21% HHs (fish for sale) 	 Adhere to Environmental safeguards, ESMP & sub catchment protection plans Restrict fishing activities at dam site Organize Mobilize artisanal fishermen into groups and offer them casual jobs (labour intensive public works) - contact lead fisher head called Ndyabahika to mobilize. 	 7 groups of fishermen formed (1 per sub catchment) 	 Sensitization done before, during construction Start with 3 groups in Mishumba & Lower Mishumba, Middle Chezho 	 MWE/ICRP DLG / DFO Sub county Agriculture Extension Community 			

A second Q. Common of DENAD consideration on a sick side and income

Habitat Type and	Mitigation Method Description
location	
	 Ponds in the irrigable area (downstream) along Mishumba should be mapped prior to demarcation of blocks.
	• If the ponds are to be destroyed, drainage should be conducted via pumping with a suitable pump filter (to prevent animals and
Ponds /	debris being drawn into the pump). All animals present within the pond should be moved to appropriate habitats away from the
ephemeral water	works.
bodies	 If possible, pond drainage should be avoided April – November.
	• Replacement of these ponds is required on a minimum of a 1 to 1 replacement, dug to mimic the size and location of the ponds
	lost and using liners to retain water where hydrologically required.
	• There are areas of riparian habitat that qualify as priority biodiversity features and may qualify as CH. The method of crossing has
	been determined by considering the width of the river, the composition of the river bed and the volume and the flow of the river.
	Crossings will either be directionally drilled avoiding the need to dig up the river bed or open cut (wet or dry depending on the status
Pinarian areas	of the watercourse). Silt fences would be used in areas of wet open cut, strategically positioned to prevent sedimentation downstream.
Ripariali areas	• Banks would be restored using either gabion cages or wooden revetments to ensure soil/integrity before vegetation matures to
	provide soil stability. There would be re-planting of scrub in some areas or vegetation would be allowed to colonise naturally as
	appropriate.
	All riparian works and river crossing should follow the prescriptions of the water chapter of this ESIA.
	• Efforts should be made to protect and retain as much swamps as possible, and were destroyed or drained in construction, on
Swamps	completion of activity restoration of the swamps should conducted.
Swamps	• Any draining of the swamps should consider allowing the animals contained to move or to be moved to other habitats before
	reclamation starts.
	• Felling of trees should be avoided during the breeding season of birds and reptiles. If required a check by the Biodiversity Specialist
	will be required.
Forested areas	 Noisy work should also be avoided at such times
	Dead wood should be retained on site.
	• Forest should be reinstated/ replanted as specified within the ESIA and impacts should be addressed within the offsetting strategy.

Annex 9: Summary of BEMP consideration on specific mitigation measures and actions for Sensitive Habitats and notable Species

Annex 10. Moniton	ing indifice work for Rubuyanaa ferr				
Objectives / Targets (as per ToR)	Indicators	Means of Verification (Documented Evidence)	Frequency (Timeframe)	Assumptions	Responsible Entity
Defining the magnitude and extent of the impacts (environmental, social and ecological) of the planned operation of the Kabuyanda Dam, with an emphasis on key ecosystem services, aquatic and terrestrial biodiversity (such as fish, amphibians and macro- invertebrate species) and the downstream users	Total No. of persons / HHs accessing ecosystem services (provisioning & cultural benefits) at given time (Disaggregated by sex/ gender, river stretch, sub catchment, PAPs, magnitude & significance of impact, ecosystem services, coping mechanisms, project phase, fr)	 Monitoring Tools (primary data collection) BEMP MIS DLG Department reports (Fisheries, Production, water, health, Roads, Agriculture Extension) Social Safeguards Reports 	 Monthly Quarterly Bi-annual End of project phase (Construction) 	The baseline conditions are taken into consideration as of January 2021	 MWE/ ICRP DLG & LLGs Community CSOs
	No. of HHs collecting water from river streams (using clean, less dirty water) not affected by dam construction, operation & decommissioning No. of persons / HHs carrying out artisanal fishing for food & sale No. of persons / HHs watering grazing livestock near rivers (watering points)	 Project committee reports DLG Water Reports Social Safeguards Reports 	 Monthly Quarterly Bi-annual End of project phase (Construction) 	Access to safe water is very limited, and dependence open sources (river streams) is still dominant esp.	 MWE/ ICRP DLG & LLGs Community NG

Annex 10: Monitoring Framework for Kabuyanda ICRP
Objectives Targets (as p ToR)	/ per	Indicators	Means of Verification (Documented Evidence)	Frequency (Timeframe)	Assumptions	Responsible Entity
		No. of persons / HHs cultivating within			upstream and	
		swamps and buffer zones			downstream	
		No. of persons / HHs actively engaged				
		in aquaculture (fish ponds)				
		No. of persons / HHs accessing				
		firewood sources				
		No. of persons / HHs moving across				
		river (bridge)				
		No. of homesteads / human				
		settlements within irrigable area				
		Cultural good and other non-material				
		benefits				
		Fauna				
		Number of fauna species	Biodiversity inventory reports	Twice a year (once		MWE / DLG /
				in dry and once in		Hired Consultants
				wet season)		
		Number of individuals of each species	Biodiversity inventory reports	Twice a year (once		MWE / DLG /
		seen		in dry and once in		Hired Consultants
				wet season)		
		Number of fauna species using habitat	Biodiversity inventory reports	Twice a year (once		MWE / DLG /
				in dry and once in		Hired Consultants
				wet season)		
		Number of fauna species in 20m	Biodiversity inventory reports	Twice a year (once		MWE / DLG /
		buffer per 1 Kilometre of distance		in dry and once in		Hired Consultants
		along the Mushumba river and its		wet season)		
		tributaries				

Annex 11: Past Current and Satellite Images (25th March 2021) of River Mishumba from Upstream (dam area) to downstream (River Kagera)



Past and Current Images of sections of River Mishumba Catchment (Before May 2020 and After May 2020

Annex 12: Screening of timeseries data

The screening of the data acquired from the main design report was done by undertaking trend analyses using both the Mann-Kendall and Sen's slope analyses. The Mann-Kendall nonparametric test (M-K) was conducted to investigate the presence of trends in the annual series. The M-K test statistic S is calculated using the formula:

$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn}(x_j - x_k)$$

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x_{j} - x_{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} +1\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} x_{j} - x_{k} > 0\\ x_{j} - x_{k} = 0\\ x_{j} - x_{k} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Where x_i and x_k are the annual values in years j and k, j > k, respectively.

If n < 10, the value of S is compared directly to the theoretical distribution of S derived by Mann and Kendall. For n >= 10, the statistic S is approximately normally distributed with the mean and variance as follows:

$$E(S) = 0$$

$$VAR(S) = \frac{1}{18} \left[n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{p=1}^{a} t_p(t_p-1)(2t_p+5) \right]$$

Where q is the number of tied groups; tp is the number of data values in the pth group.

The standard test statistic Z is computed as follows:

$$Z = \begin{cases} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{VAR(S)}} & \text{if } S > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } S = 0\\ \frac{S+1}{\sqrt{VAR(S)}} & \text{if } S < 0 \end{cases}$$

Sen's Slope estimator test

Non-parametric method (Sen, 1968) was used to estimate the magnitude of trends in the data series. The slope of "n" pairs of data w:

$$\beta_i = Median \left[\frac{X_j - X_k}{i - k} \right] \forall (k < j)$$

In this equation, Xj and Xk denote values of data at time j and k, respectively, and time j is after time k. The median of "n" values of the bi is the Sen's slope estimator. A negative bi value represents a decreasing ternd, a positive bi represent an increasing trend over time.

If "n" is an even number, then the slope Sen's estimator is computed by using the following equation:

$$\beta_{med} = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{n/2} + \beta_{(n+2)/2}$$

If "n" is an odd number, then the estimated slope by using the Sen's method can be computed as follows:

$$\beta_{med} = \beta_{(n+1)/2}$$

 β_{med} was then tested by a two tailed test at 100(1- α) % confidence level, and the true slope of monotonic trend is confirmed or not to be significant.

Annex 13: Topographic Survey

Topographic Surveys

February 2021

Topographic Maps for the River section



































Topographic Surveys

February 2021

Section Profiles of River Mishumba























Additional Surveys & Assessments on Biodiversity & Ecological Flow Management Plan, Kabuyanda ICRP

Topographic Surveys - February 2021

Full River Mishumba Profile




Annex 12: Checklists/logs for different kinds of inspections for operation and maintenance of the dam and its associated structures.

Annex B: Dam Safety Inspection Form

Name of dam:	
Location:	
River name:	
Nearest downstream city, town,	or village name:
Construction start date:	Completion date (actual or planned):
Name of owner:	
Address of owner:	
Email:	
Telephone number:	
Name of chief engineer:	
Name(s) of main contractor(s): _	
Name of owner's engineer (if de	signer and construction supervisor are different,
please list both names):	
Name of contract engineer:	

Section 1: Available Information

List all plans and reports that are available on the dam and that have been studied for the dam safety inspection. Please enclose copies of plans with typical details. If no such plans exist, sketches can be used.

A copy of a map of suitable size showing the location of the dam and reservoir area must also be attached. Photographs taken during the inspection should also be enclosed and referred to in the report.



Section 2: Description of the Dam

Dam type: _____ Dam height: _____

Dam crest length: _____ Storage capacity (gross, live, or dead): _____

(In addition to the main dam, the information of the saddle dams, such as dam type, height, and crest length, should be provided.)

Major repair and rehabilitation works done after completion, if any: ______

Main dam safety issues previously observed, if any: _____

Section 3: Geology of Dam Site

General details (rock types, quality, weathering, joint openings and filling, shear zones, faults, and so on):

Please attach a copy of the geological map–plan view and cross-section.

Geological conditions and actual or potential problems, such as sliding resistance, settlement, seepage, and erodibility.

Left flank:	

Right flank:

River section:

Spillway channel: _____

Are the slopes around the reservoir rim stable?

Section 4: Description of Main and Saddles Dams Material

Section 5: Eva	luation of the Downstream Consequence Potential
Estimate of t	ne number of people at risk/potential loss of life:
Estimate of p social impact	otential economic loss, including major infrastructure and potential environmental and s:
Consequence	potential level:
Section 6: Flo	od Estimates
Cat <mark>chm</mark> ent ar	ea (square kilometers):
Mean annual	precipitation (millimeters):
Methods use	d for flood estimates:
Flood estima	Beturn period and inflow for diversion facilities design griteria during construction
	(1:50 and so on and discharge volume in cubic meters per second):
	1:100 (cubic meters per second):
	1:200 (cubic meters per second):
	1:1,000 (cubic meters per second):
	1:5,000 (cubic meters per second):
	1:10,000 (cubic meters per second):
	Probable maximum flood (cubic meters per second):

Inflow design flood (cubic meters per second):
Safety check flood (cubic meters per second):
Any explanation for determining the inflow design flood and safety check flood and any historical large flood events:
Section 7: Evaluation of Capacity of Service and Auxiliary Spillways
Spillway type:
Spillway length: Critical spillway width:
Spillway gates type and number, if any:
Nonoverspill crest level: Full supply level or spillway sill level (meters):
Maximum flood water level (surcharge water level under inflow design flood and safety check flood):
Spillway design capacity during inflow design flood (cubic meters per second):
Will the incoming flood be significantly reduced by flood attenuation?
Available freeboard during inflow design flood (meters):
Attach graphs for inflow and outflow hydrographs and reservoir water level corresponding to "design flood" and "check flood" as well as the rating curve for spillway discharge capacity vs. reservoir water
Any safety concerns related to the spillway capacity and gates operational reliability, if any:
Any safety concerns related to the actual freeboard as a result of crest settlements, reservoir silting, and so on:
Section 8: Dam Inspection
Date:Reservoir water level:
Names of inspection team leader and members:

Note: For dams under construction, the inspection report should indicate the quality of the construction works and any safety issues related to detailed design and construction methods or procedure.

Crest of Embankment Structure	
Crest width (meters):	
Is the crest still level or has settlement occurred?	
Are there signs of erosion?	
Describe:	
Are there signs of crack?	
Describe (use separate page, if necessary):	
Is maintenance necessary on the crest?	
Are there signs of animal holes (ants, rats, moles,	and so on) or vegetation?
Describe:	
Upstream Face of Embankment Structure	
Slope (vertical: horizontal):	
Slope protection measures, if any:	
Are there signs of erosion?	Describe:
Are there signs of cracks?	Describe:
Are there signs of settlement?	Describe:
Downstream Face of Embankment Structure	
Slope (vertical: horizontal):	
Slope protection measures, if any:	
Are there signs of erosion?	Describe:
Are there signs of cracks?	Describe:

Are there signs of settlement?	Describe:
Are there signs of bulging or sliding?	
Are there wet patches?	Describe:
Are there signs of seepage or leaks?	Describe:
Amount of leakage?	
Is the leaking water clear or turbid?	
Are there signs of animal holes (ants, rats	, moles, and so on)? Describe:
Vegetation on Embankment Structures	
Are there any trees or shrubs on the struc	ctures?
If so, describe type, size, number, and po	sition:
Drainage System in Embankment Structure	25
Has a toe drain or internal drainage system	ms been provided?
Describe:	
Amount of leakage (cubic meters/s)?	
Is the water from the drains clear or turbi	d?
Concrete Structures	
Any cracks? Descri	be position, size, and length (on separate page, if necessary): _
Is there leakage through the cracks?	Describe (flow rate):
Is there leakage at the joints?	Describe:

Is there settlement?	Describe:
Is there relative movement?	Describe:
Describe condition of concrete:	
Upstream slope (horizontal: vertical):	
Downstream slope (horizontal: vertical):	
Describe pressure relief holes:	
Downstream Toe and Flanks of Dam Structures	
Describe wet patches (position, size):	
Seepage and leaks (position, flow rate):	
Are there trees within 5 meters of the downstream	m toe of the dam structures?
Describe:	
Flood Outlets, Return Channels, and Training Walls	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Condition of structures in spillway channel (sills,	retaining walls, and so on):
Is the stability of the dam threatened by the spill	way channel?
Is there loose material in the spillway channel?	
Describe:	
Is there any erosion in the spillway channel?	
Describe:	
Is there any erosion in the river?	
Describe:	

Are the spillway length and freeboard still as shown on the drawings?
Stilling Basins and Apron
When was the stilling basin last emptied and inspected for scouring?
Observations and evaluation:
Outlet Works
Number of outlet conduits and pipes: Diameter:
Type: Maximum discharge capacity (cubic meters/s):
Condition of outlet works foundation:
Is the control upstream or downstream, and what are their types?
Is there provision for an upstream emergency gate or valve, and what are their types?
Are the gates or valves used regularly?
Are the gates or valves in working condition?
Are there leaks alongside the outlet conduit or pipe?
Is there any erosion downstream of the outlet works?
Rust protection?
Sediment deposits and any removal facilities or functions?
Emergency drawdown rate (the number of days lowering from the full level to the half level and to the bottom):
Other observations:

Attach the rating curve of outlet works.

Section 9: Overall Safety Evaluation of the Dam and Associated Structures

Section 10: Evaluation of Construction and Quality Control (for Dams under Construction)

Quality of contractor's quality control plan and actual compliance: _____

Owner's engineer's construction supervision and quality assurance plan and quality of control or progress reports:

First reservoir impoundment plan and actual filling:

Section 11: Evaluation of the Quality of Operation and Maintenance

Names and titles of operation staff:

Have there been any major floods and damages since the beginning of operation or during construction works?

Are the Operation and Maintenance Plan and Emergency Preparedness Plan, or similar plans, available? Are they used and up to date?

What routine inspection reports, monitoring records, or maintenance records were available for evaluation?

Are relevant stakeholders aware and ready to implement the emergency action plan and any warning system installed?

Section 12: Other Findings

Section 13: List of Appendixes

Item	Y	N	Comments
Site plan			
Geological maps/model			
Selected design/completion drawings			
Spillway discharge curve/table/formula			

Reservoir elevation-area-capacity curves/tables		
Inflow/outflow hydrographs		
Instrumentation Plan		
Operation and Maintenance Plan		
Reservoir operation plan, including flood periods		
Accidents/damage reports, if applicable		
Any relevant photos		

Section 14: Recommendations of Previous Dam Safety Inspection, if Any

Date of previous evaluation: _____

Name of inspector:

List of previous recommendations and status of implementation:

No.	Recommendation	Status

Section 15: Recommendations of This Dam Safety Inspection, Assessment, and Examination

Recommendations for remedial works, safety improvement measures, and maintenance needs, regular routine inspections, monitoring, further investigations, rehabilitation should be included.

No.	Recommendation

The recommendation should be repeated in the main inspection report.

Signature (by the expert or team leader and all members of the inspection team):

Date: _____